Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wengen Zhu, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, China

REVIEWED BY Zi Amber Ye, Royal North Shore Hospital, Australia Yuxiang Zheng, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Huizhuang Guo doctorguo84@sina.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Thrombosis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 16 September 2022 ACCEPTED 14 October 2022 PUBLISHED 03 November 2022

CITATION

Yu S, Li C and Guo H (2022) Oral anticoagulant therapy for patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation following acute myocardial infarction: A narrative review. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:1046298. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1046298

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Yu, Li and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Oral anticoagulant therapy for patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation following acute myocardial infarction: A narrative review

Shenglong Yu^{1†}, Chenxi Li^{2†} and Huizhuang Guo^{3*}

¹Department of Cardiovascular, Panyu Central Hospital, Guangzhou, China, ²Medical Department, Queen Mary School, Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, ³Department of Radiology, Panyu Central Hospital, (Medical Imaging Institute of Panyu District), Guangzhou, China

Background: To evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of anticoagulant therapy and provide a piece of information on anti-thrombotic treatment strategies for patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation (NOAF) and acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

Methods: Literature from PubMed and Google scholar were screened until August 2022. Studies assessing oral anticoagulant (OAC) treatments for NOAF in patients with AMI were evaluated for inclusion.

Results: Three retrospective cohort studies were included. In the study performed by Madsen et al., patients with previously diagnosed AMI with or without NOAF were followed up for 5.8 years. About 38% of NOAF patients with anticoagulant therapies, which could reduce long-term mortality [adjusted hazard ratio (HR): 0.69; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.47–1.00]. Hofer et al. performed a single-center cohort study containing 1,372 patients with AMI with an 8.6-year follow-up period. Dual anti-thrombotic therapy (DAT) did not show the effect on the survival in NOAF (adjusted HR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.65–1.57), while triple antithrombotic therapy (TAT) could reduce long-term cardiovascular mortality (adjusted HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.45–0.92). Petersen et al. also did a cohort study with 1-year follow-up duration. It showed that anticoagulant therapies demonstrated positive results (HR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.41–1.47).

Conclusion: Recent studies have shown that anticoagulant therapy in AMI-NOAF patients can obviously reduce the mortality of AMI-NOAF patients, especially OAC therapy. Further clinical trials could confirm these findings.

KEYWORDS

atrial fibrillation, new-onset, myocardial infarction, antithrombotic therapy, review

Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and atrial fibrillation (AF) are the most common cardiovascular disease and cardiac arrhythmia in the settings, respectively (1–3). The two diseases share common risk factors, (4) and the presence of either can lead to an increased risk of the other (5–7). Patients with AMI are frequently accompanied by new-onset atrial fibrillation (NOAF) based on multiple mechanisms, such as atrial ischemia, atrial stretch, severe autonomic activation, and hormonal activation (8–11). Recent studies have shown that NOAF following AMI (AMI-NOAF) is strongly correlated to the increased risks of stroke, recurrence of MI, and both short- and long-term mortality (12–16). Thus, the monitoring and treatment of these patients have been taken into serious consideration.

The antithrombotic therapy for AMI-NOAF patients is contradictory. For AMI, dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a P2Y12 inhibitor is indicated to prevent stent thrombosis, (17) while in AF patients, oral anticoagulant (OAC) therapies including vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) or nonvitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) are more effective in preventing stroke and other thromboembolic events (18, 19). However, triple therapy combining DAPT with an anticoagulant is usually associated with an increased rate of excessive bleeding, which limits the clinical application (20). During the past decades, large randomized clinical trials showed that using NOACs in patients with AF who had undergone percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) may reduce the risk of bleeding compared to VKAs and DAPT without increasing the incidence of thrombotic events (21-23). Therefore, in this review, we evaluated the advantages and disadvantages of anticoagulant therapy and provided a piece of information on anti-thrombotic treatment strategies for patients with AMI-NOAF.

Methods

Two investigators searched the electronic database until August 2022 independently. Relevant articles were screened from PubMed and Google scholar by using the following keywords: (AMI OR acute coronary syndrome) AND (atrial fibrillation) AND (non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants OR direct oral anticoagulants OR dabigatran OR rivaroxaban OR apixaban OR edoxaban OR VKAs OR warfarin). Studies were included if they assessed oral anticoagulant treatments (NOACs or VKAs) for NOAF in patients with AMI.

The corresponding searched results were recorded in **Supplementary Table 1**. After screening titles and abstracts of publications, two authors extracted data independently. Then, the full-text screening was performed to determine whether the literature met the inclusion criteria. Disagreements were resolved by discussing with the third researcher. The

baseline information of each study was recorded, including the name of the first author, publication year, the types of anticoagulants, study design, baseline characteristics of the investigated population, and the study outcome.

Results

Figure 1 shows the diagram of the retrieved study selection for this review. A total of 143 retrieved records were retrieved from the PubMed database. Firstly, titles and abstracts of all these records were screened, and then 120 studies were excluded according to the predetermined criteria. In the fulltext screenings, we further excluded 20 studies because of the following reasons: (1) nine studies did not focus on new-onset AF, but the pre-existing AF, (2) seven studies were not written in English, and (3) four studies were reviews. Finally, three studies in total (24–26) (all of these studies were retrospective cohort studies) were included in this narrative review.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of all included studies. Each study was published in 2021. The inclusion sample size for all included studies ranged from 1372 to 161266. A total of 170,257 patients in total with previously diagnosed AMI or acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and with or without NOAF. The following-up period was from the range of 1 to 8.6 years. The primary and second outcome results were also shown in **Table 1**.

Madsen et al. described a sizeable single-center cohort including 7,944 patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) treated with PCI between 1999 and 2016 to investigate the prognostic implication of OAC therapy for AMI-NOAF, of which 75.2% were males (24). Among these patients with STEMI, 296 (3.7%) of them developed NOAF. It was reported that patients with NOAF were older, more frequently non-smoking women and often more likely to have cardiovascular comorbidities. NOAF can lead to increased longterm mortality [adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for STEMI with NOAF vs. without NOAF: 1.48; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.20-1.82]. It can also increase the risk of bleeding leading to hospitalization (adjusted HR: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.00-1.85). About 38% of NOAF patients were treated with OAC therapy, which can decrease long-term mortality (adjusted HR: 0.69; 95% CI: 0.47-1.00).

Hofer et al. also performed a single-center retrospective cohort study containing 1,372 patients with AMI to observe the development of *de novo* AF. They found that in the acute phase of AMI, 149 (10.9%) patients developed NOAF. After 8.6 years of following up analysis showed that 30.5% of patients died because of cardiovascular diseases. These included 93 (62.4%) patients in the NOAF group. It was reported that NOAF has a strong correlation with long-term cardiovascular mortality (adjusted HR: 1.45; 95% CI: 1.19–2.57). Dual antithrombotic therapy (DAT) did not show the effect on the



survival in NOAF (adjusted HR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.76–1.21). While triple antithrombotic therapy (TAT) can reduce long-term cardiovascular mortality (adjusted HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.45–0.92). But a recent meta-analysis showed that DAT can reduce bleeding and has a similar effect on preventing AF with ACS or PCI (27). Thus, the optimal treatment regimen should be decided by experts in specific conditions.

Petersen et al. did a nationwide cohort study; 161,266 ACS patients were included. In patients with newly diagnosed AF, a high incidence of ischemic stroke was observed (HR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.22–1.56). Also, compared to patients without AF, a higher mortality rate was in the NOAF group (HR: 1.52; 95% CI: 1.43–1.62). As for the recurrence of myocardial infarction, there was no significant difference was found in patients with firstly diagnosed AF (HR: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.91–1.07). And patients with NOAF also showed an increased rate of bleeding (HR:

1.28; 95% CI: 1.15–1.43). OAC treatment also showed positive results. It had the lowest incidence of ischemic stroke in both pre-existing AF (HR: 0.87; 95% CI: 0.63–1.20) and new-onset AF (HR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.41–1.47), although the difference was not statistically significant.

There are also some limitations in these three studies. The study of Madsen et al. does not contain information on the cause of death. And some asymptomatic patients may have undiagnosed previous paroxysmal atrial fibrillation which may give rise to an underestimated incidence. Additionally, due to a lack of power, the analysis of OAC therapy in NEW-AF which may be a confounding factor was not included. The limitations of Hofer's study were that there was no non-fatal data of ischemic stroke or bleeding complications, which may have an effect on the final results. Additionally, the follow-up visits of patients using DAT and TAT were not completed and the

References	Definition of NOAF	Study design	Follow-up period	Primary outcome results	Secondary outcome results
Madsen et al. (24)	A diagnosis of AF within 30 days after STEMI	Single-center, retrospective cohort study, only STEMI patients (<i>n</i> = 7,944)	5.8 years	Long-term all-cause mortality: STEMI with NOAF ($n = 296$) vs. without NOAF ($n = 7,648$): HR (95% CI) = 1.48 (1.20–1.82), $P < 0.001$; NOAF with OAC therapy ($n = 113$) vs. NOAF without OAC therapy ($n = 183$): HR (95% CI) = 0.69 (0.47–1.00), $P = 0.049$	Bleeding leading to hospitalization: STEMI with NOAF vs. STEMI without NOAF: HR (95% CI) = 1.36(1.00–1.85), $P = 0.050$; NOAF with OAC therapy vs. NOAF without OAC therapy: HR (95% CI) = 1.31(0.75–2.27), P = 0.34
Petersen et al. (25)	A diagnosis of AF during admission with ACS with no prior history of AF	Nationwide, retrospective cohort study, first-time admission with ACS (<i>n</i> = 161,266)	1 year	Ischemic stroke: ACS with a history of AF ($n = 18,961$), or NOAF ($n = 6,427$) vs. free of AF ($n = 161,266$): HR (95% CI) = 1.38 (1.22–1.56), 1.67 (1.38–2.01); OAC vs. antiplatelet therapy in patients with history of AF ($n = 6,679$), or NOAF ($n = 2,331$): HR (95% CI) = 0.87 (0.63–1.20), 0.78 (0.41–1.47)	All-cause mortality: With a history of AF, or with NOAF vs. without AF: HR (95% CI) = $1.25 (1.21-1.31)$, 1.52 (1.43-1.62); OAC vs. antiplatelet therapy in patients with history of AF, or with NOAF: HR (95% CI) = $0.75(0.68-0.84)$, $0.75(0.61-0.91)$; Bleeding: With a history of AF (6.9%), or with NOAF (5.7%) vs. without AF (3.6%): HR (95% CI) = $1.22(1.14-1.30)$, $1.28 (1.15-1.43)$; OAC vs. antiplatelet therapy in patients with history of AF, or with NOAF: HR (95% CI) = $1.18 (0.99-1.41)$, $1.11 (0.83-1.49)$
Hofer et al. (26)	A new onset of atrial fibrillating impulses at the time of admission or during the hospitalization	Single-center, retrospective cohort study, AMI (STEMI or NSTEMI) patients	8.6 years	Long-term cardiovascular mortality: NOAF ($n = 149$) or pre-existing AF ($n = 90$) vs. free of AF ($n = 1,133$): HR (95% CI) = 1.45 (1.19–2.57), 0.70 (0.35–0.98); DAT ($n = 21$) or TAT therapy ($n = 56$) vs. DAPT only therapy ($n = 32$) in patients with NOAF: HR (95% CI) = 0.97 (0.65–1.57), 0.86 (0.45–0.92)	Fatal bleeding events: No difference between different treatment strategies: NOAF ($n = 4$): 2.6% vs. pre-existing AF ($n = 2$): 2.2%, $P = 0.824$

TABLE 1 Summary of the relevant studies of this review.

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AF, atrial fibrillation; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CI, confidence interval; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; DAT, dual anti-thrombotic therapy: the combination of single anti-platelet therapy (aspirin or clopidogrel) and VKA; HR, hazard ratio; NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; OAC, oral anticoagulant; STEMI: ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; TAT: triple antithrombotic therapy: OAC plus DAPT; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; P2Y₁₂-I, P2Y₁₂ inhibitors.

rates of AF episodes were not evaluated during the observation period. What's more, this investigation was limited to VKAs. Because at that time, NOACs haven't been approved by FDA and EMA. As for the limitations of Petersen's study, first, this is an observational study, since the risk of residual confounding cannot be excluded, the assessment of antithrombotic therapy is challenging. Second, bleeding cannot be sorted by criteria. Third, the treatment regimens were drastically changed during the treatment period.

Discussion

Epidemiology of acute myocardial infarction-new-onset atrial fibrillation

Arrhythmia is not uncommon during the acute phase of AMI. The incidence of NOAF in patients with AMI varies among studies with a wide range from 2 to 21% (28–30). Approximately half of NOAF developed within 30 days of the onset of AMI (31). Notably, the onset of NOAF was not evenly distributed, as 30% of events occurred at the time or within

2 days after AMI, 16% during the intermediate stage of 3 to 30 days after AMI, while 54% occurred more than 30 days with gradually decreased during follow-up (31). Previous studies have shown that the incidence of NOAF after AMI ranges from 3.7 to 22.6% (3–7). Due to the loss of effective atrial contraction, increased ventricular rate, shortened ventricular diastolic time, irregular RR interval and other factors during AF, the decrease in ventricular filling and ejection, the decrease in coronary blood supply and the increase of myocardial oxygen consumption aggravates the degree of cardiac injury in patients with AMI. In addition, after the loss of normal atrial systolic function, atrial blood flow stagnation or turbulence can easily lead to thrombosis. These factors make patients with AMI more prone to hospital complications.

Risk factors of acute myocardial infarction-new-onset atrial fibrillation

Atrial fibrillation shares a couple of risk factors with AMI, such as aging, hypertension, obesity, diabetes, alcohol consumption, and sleep-disordered breathing (4, 5, 18). Therefore, the two diseases may share similar

pathophysiological pathways, and the co-occurrence of these two diseases seems not to be avoidable (4). The cause of AMI-NOAF is multifactorial with older age, female sex, hypertension, cardiogenic shock, and congestive heart failure have been identified as risk factors (32, 33). The conventional view holds that infarct size and severity contribute to the development of AF after AMI (34, 35). In contrast, a recent community cohort study of 3,220 people conducted by Jabre et al. found that AMI characteristics and indicators of severity, except anterior location, higher Killip levels, and lower left ventricular ejection fraction, are mostly irrelevant to the occurrence of NOAF (31). NOAF occurs in a short time after AMI. Atrial ischemia, atrial infarction, atrial dilatation and elevated intraatrial pressure may be the main causes in the early stage, while inflammation, oxidative stress and atrial remodeling involved in autonomic nerves may be the main causes in the later stage (8-11). The mechanism of NOAF after AMI is complex and has not been fully elucidated at present.

Mechanisms of acute myocardial infarction-new-onset atrial fibrillation

Since coronary occlusion is the pathogenesis of AMI, the resulting further myocardial ischemia is considered the most critical mechanism for the onset of NOAF. A case-control study conducted by Alasady et al. (36) demonstrated that approximately half of the AMI-NOAF patients had a critical lesion in the sinoatrial nodal branch originating from the right coronary or left circumflex arteries, which was 25-times more than the patients free of AF. Therefore, the atrial branch affected by coronary artery disease is considered a strong predictor of AMI-NOAF. Hofer et al. (26) and Alasady et al. (36) found that patients with AMI-NOAF were significantly less likely to receive timely PCI and stent implantation compared to those free of AF, resulting in broader tissue damage and scar formation. The peak creatinine kinase value is a surrogate marker for evaluating the infarct size (37), while the N-terminal proBtype natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) level indicates cardiac strain. In the research mentioned above, both creatinine kinase and NT-proBNP were elevated significantly in the AMI-NOAF patients, suggesting that the tissue damage and overstretch as the results of myocardial ischemia may develop an extended electrical and structural remodeling of the heart, and trigger the onset of AF. In animal models, ligation of the atrial branch of the right coronary artery would result in isolated atrial ischemia. In the ischemia region, there is a significantly decreased conduction velocity of atrial cardiomyocytes, which may promote and stabilize reentry that maintains AF (38). Additionally, inflammation reaction may also relate to AF. Psychari et al. (39) showed that the level of IL-6 in AF patients is obviously higher than it in non-AF patients. Thus, patients with AMI may generate systemic inflammatory response which may be responsible for NOAF. Yoshizaki et al. (40) demonstrated that in patients with NOAF following AMI, the level of white blood cell and C-reaction protein are higher than in patients with no NOAF (41).

Prognosis of acute myocardial infarction-new-onset atrial fibrillation

The general clinical characteristics of patients with NOAF after AMI are old age, low blood pressure, higher admission heart rate, higher Killip grade, more severe coronary artery disease and so on. Poor general condition directly affects the patient's condition and increases the difficulty of treatment, which increases the risk of in-hospital heart failure, reinfarction, cerebral infarction and hemorrhage, resulting in poor short-term and long-term prognosis and increased mortality. The SPRINT trial compared the pre-thrombolytic era with the thrombolytic era, the 30-day and 1-year mortality rates of patients with AF after AMI in the pre-thrombolytic era were 27.6 and 42.5%, respectively, and the 30-day and 1-year mortality rates of patients with paroxysmal AF after MI in the thrombolytic era were 25.1 and 38.4%, respectively (42). Through further multivariate adjustment, it was found that the mortality of patients with AF after MI in the thrombolytic era was significantly lower than that in the pre-thrombolytic era. The incidence of NOAF after PCI in the OACIS study was 12.0% (7). A meta-analysis including 43 studies (278,854 participants) showed that among patients with AMI, the presence of AF would lead to at least a 40% higher mortality rate than those with sinus rhythm, while this poor prognosis persists regardless of the timing of AF onset (29). Notably, AMI-NOAF was still associated with an increased risk of death, even after adjusting for risk factors such as age, diabetes, hypertension, prior AMI, heart failure, and coronary revascularization status (29). Nevertheless, the prognosis of cardiovascular disease and death related to the first detection of AF in ACS remains to be further elucidated.

Antithrombotic therapy for acute myocardial infarction-new-onset atrial fibrillation

Myocardial infarction is usually caused by a rupture of the plaque on the basis of a severe stenosis of the coronary artery, leading to thrombosis. AMI can initiate atherosclerotic plaques which are prone to rupture, owing to the high level of lipids and apoptotic cells, which leads to a fatty core and thin fibrous cap (43). Thus, thrombosis is formed and endothelial coverage is lost. This triggers two main pathways. One is coagulation activation, the other is platelet activation (44). Platelet recruitment is also related to two pathways. The first is dependent on the coagulation cascade. The second is associated with tissue factor release. AF can also lead to coagulation disorder through thrombogenesis by affecting the coagulation cascade (45). Thus, antithrombotic therapy is necessary for AMI-NOAF treatment.

Because there are plenty of pathways and factors in the coagulation process which is related to thrombosis formation. It is crucial to block any of them so that can people effectively decrease the risk of thrombosis formation. There are some examples. First, inhibiting platelet aggregation is essential for the whole treatment process. There are three main stages of the process being focused on, including the blocking of TXA2 formation, the P2Y₁₂ ADP receptor and the IIbIIIa integrin. Second, aspirin is also an important anticoagulant drug. It contains acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) which can irreversibly block COX-1 and COX-2 through acetylation of the active sites. Therefore, the production of thromboxane and prostaglandin (PGs) from platelets-membrane arachidonate can be blocked. The inhibition of COX-1 can decrease the formation of prostaglandin H₂, a metabolic precursor of TXA₂, which can activate platelet. Additionally, inhibiting coagulation is also a major process. It can be obtained both directly and indirectly. Direct anticoagulation involves the direct inhibition of thrombin or factor Xa, while indirect anticoagulation requires antithrombin activation which can activate thrombin to react.

In clinical practice, physicians usually face the dilemma of choosing appropriate antithrombotic therapy for patients with AMI concomitant AF. Aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor as the standard DAPT should be indicated to AMI patients, especially during the acute phase, to prevent recurrent MI and stent thrombosis, and to further reduce major adverse cardiac events (MACE), (46, 47) while anticoagulants (VKAs or NOACs) are recommended in patients with AF with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score greater than 2 for preventing stroke and systemic embolic events, no matter whether it is new-onset or prior existent (48).

Unfortunately, TAT comprising DAPT combined with anticoagulant would increase the incidence of bleeding as reported previously, which was thought to be positively associated with the mortality rate (49–51). Therefore, how to balance the efficacy and safety in AMI-NOAF patients is a significant challenge for the optimal antithrombotic treatment, and several retrospective studies have made suggestions on this topic. Although there are enormous new antithrombotic drugs invented for treatment, how to choose an appropriate treatment for different patients is also a big point to focus.

Future directions

We included 3 studies in our narrative review to explore the efficacy and safety of antithrombotic therapy in AMI patients following new-onset AF. The data of these studies was well collected which increases the credibility and quality of this narrative review. According to the previous studies, we can obviously conclude that antithrombotic therapy plays a significant role in preventing thrombosis formation and

reducing the mortality rate in AMI-NOAF patients. And it can also improve the prognosis of these patients. However, there are also some limitations of our study. Firstly, the number of studies included is very small. More clinical studies are needed to increase credibility. Secondly, the study performed by Hofer showed that DAT has a good effect on previously existing AF while there is no improvement in the prognosis of NOAF patients. But TAT exhibits better efficacy in NOAF patients than in pre-existing AF patients. However, some randomized controlled trials demonstrated that compared to TAT, DAT can significantly reduce bleeding events. And the use of TAT has a bias. Only patients with low bleeding risk can choose TAT treatment. Thus, how to choose the optimal regimen is still worthy of serious consideration. Finally, the efficacy of OAC is not evaluated in this review. OAC is a novel drug for these patients, however, there are not many clinical studies on OAC in the treatment of AMI-NOAF patients (46). But what is clear is that OAC can reduce the mortality rate.

Conclusion and further implications

Atrial fibrillation is a common complication of AMI. At present, the understanding of this complication has been gradually deepened. The pathogenesis of NOAF is not completely clear, further clinical or basic experiments will help to further explore the pathogenesis and break through the bottleneck for precision treatment. Finally, due to prolonged hospitalization, high incidence of hospital complications, high mortality, increased difficulty in hospital treatment management and poor long-term prognosis in patients with AMI complicated with NOAF, efforts should be made to identify those high-risk patients who can be monitored during hospitalization and who can benefit from early treatment. There are some studies showing the benefit of antithrombotic therapy such as OAC, TAT, and DAT, which can prevent thrombosis formation and reduce the risk of bleeding under certain conditions. Antithrombotic therapy for AMI-NOAF patients brings a promising future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Task Force Members, Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, Andreotti F, Arden C, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: the task force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European society of cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* (2013) 34:2949–3003. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/cht296

2. Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European association for cardio-thoracic surgery (EACTS): the task force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European society of cardiology (ESC) developed with the special contribution of the European heart rhythm association (EHRA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J.* (2021) 42:373–498. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612

3. Chugh SS, Havmoeller R, Narayanan K, Singh D, Rienstra M, Benjamin EJ, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a global burden of disease 2010 Study. *Circulation*. (2014) 129:837–47. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.113.005119

4. Börschel CS, Schnabel RB. The imminent epidemic of atrial fibrillation and its concomitant diseases – myocardial infarction and heart failure – A cause for concern. *Int J Cardiol.* (2019) 287:162–73. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.11.123

5. Camen S, Csengeri D, Geelhoed B, Niiranen T, Gianfagna F, Vishram-Nielsen JK, et al. Risk factors, subsequent disease onset, and prognostic impact of myocardial infarction and atrial fibrillation. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2022) 11:e024299. doi: 10.1161/jaha.121.024299

6. Alonso A, Krijthe BP, Aspelund T, Stepas KA, Pencina MJ, Moser CB, et al. Simple risk model predicts incidence of atrial fibrillation in a racially and geographically diverse population: the CHARGE-AF consortium. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2013) 2:e000102. doi: 10.1161/jaha.112.000102

7. Soliman EZ, Lopez F, O'Neal WT, Chen LY, Bengtson L, Zhang ZM, et al. Atrial fibrillation and risk of ST-segment-elevation versus non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) Study. *Circulation*. (2015) 131:1843–50. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.114.014145

8. Sagnard A, Guenancia C, Mouhat B, Maza M, Fichot M, Moreau D, et al. Involvement of autonomic nervous system in new-onset atrial fibrillation during acute myocardial infarction. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:1481. doi: 10.3390/jcm9051481

9. Karabağ Y, Rencuzogullari I, Çağdaş M, Karakoyun S, Yesin M, Uluganyan M, et al. Association between BNP levels and new-onset atrial fibrillation : a propensity score approach. *Herz.* (2018) 43:548–54. doi: 10.1007/s00059-017-4598-6

10. Parashar S, Kella D, Reid KJ, Spertus JA, Tang F, Langberg J, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation after acute myocardial infarction and its relation to admission biomarkers (from the TRIUMPH registry). *Am J Cardiol.* (2013) 112:1390–5. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.07.006

11. Luo J, Li H, Qin X, Liu B, Zhao J, Maihe G, et al. Increased risk of ischemic stroke associated with new-onset atrial fibrillation complicating acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* (2018) 265:125–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.04.096

12. Behar S, Zahavi Z, Goldbourt U, Reicher-Reiss H. Long-term prognosis of patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation complicating acute myocardial infarction. SPRINT study group. *Eur Heart J.* (1992) 13:45–50. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.eurheartj.a060046

13. Crenshaw BS, Ward SR, Granger CB, Stebbins AL, Topol EJ, Califf RM. Atrial fibrillation in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: the GUSTO-I experience.

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fcvm.2022.1046298/full#supplementary-material

global utilization of streptokinase and TPA for occluded coronary arteries. J Am Coll Cardiol. (1997) 30:406–13. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(97)00194-0

14. Lehto M, Snapinn S, Dickstein K, Swedberg K, Nieminen MS. Prognostic risk of atrial fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction complicated by left ventricular dysfunction: the OPTIMAAL experience. *Eur Heart J.* (2005) 26:350–6. doi: 10. 1093/eurheartj/ehi064

15. Pedersen OD, Bagger H, Køber L, Torp-Pedersen C. The occurrence and prognostic significance of atrial fibrillation/-flutter following acute myocardial infarction. TRACE Study group. TRAndolapril Cardiac Evalution. *Eur Heart J.* (1999) 20:748–54. doi: 10.1053/euhj.1998.1352

16. Lee JH, Kim SH, Lee W, Cho Y, Kang SH, Park JJ, et al. New-onset paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction: increased risk of stroke. *BMJ Open.* (2020) 10:e039600. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039600

17. Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA guideline focused update on duration of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines: an Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for coronary artery bypass graft surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of st-elevation myocardial infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the management of patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *Circulation*. (2016) 134:e123–55. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000000404

18. El-Shetry M, Mahfouz R, Frere AF, Abdeldayem M. The interplay between atrial fibrillation and acute myocardial infarction. *Br J Hosp Med.* (2021) 82:1–9. doi: 10.12968/hmed.2020.0584

19. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines and the heart rhythm society in collaboration with the society of thoracic surgeons. *Circulation*. (2019) 140:e125–51. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000665

20. Sørensen R, Hansen ML, Abildstrom SZ, Hvelplund A, Andersson C, Jørgensen C, et al. Risk of bleeding in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with different combinations of aspirin, clopidogrel, and vitamin K antagonists in Denmark: a retrospective analysis of nationwide registry data. *Lancet.* (2009) 374:1967-74. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(09)61751-7

21. Cannon CP, Bhatt DL, Oldgren J, Lip GYH, Ellis SG, Kimura T, et al. Dual antithrombotic therapy with dabigatran after PCI in atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 377:1513–24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1708454

22. Dewilde WJM, Oirbans T, Verheugt FWA, Kelder JC, De Smet BJGL, Herrman J-P, et al. Use of clopidogrel with or without aspirin in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy and undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: an open-label, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2013) 381:1107–15. doi: 10.1016/ s0140-6736(12)62177-1

23. Gibson CM, Mehran R, Bode C, Halperin J, Verheugt FW, Wildgoose P, et al. Prevention of bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing PCI. *N Engl J Med.* (2016) 375:2423–34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611594

24. Madsen JM, Jacobsen MR, Sabbah M, Topal DG, Jabbari R, Glinge C, et al. Long-term prognostic outcomes and implication of oral anticoagulants in patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation following st-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* (2021) 238:89–99. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2021.04.012

25. Petersen JK, Butt JH, Yafasova A, Torp-Pedersen C, Sørensen R, Kruuse C, et al. Incidence of ischaemic stroke and mortality in patients with acute coronary syndrome and first-time detected atrial fibrillation: a nationwide study. *Eur Heart J.* (2021) 42:4553–61. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab575

26. Hofer F, Kazem N, Hammer A, El-Hamid F, Koller L, Niessner A, et al. Longterm prognosis of de novo atrial fibrillation during acute myocardial infarction: the impact of anti-thrombotic treatment strategies. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. (2021) 7:189–95. doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvaa027

27. Haller PM, Sulzgruber P, Kaufmann C, Geelhoed B, Tamargo J, Wassmann S, et al. Bleeding and ischaemic outcomes in patients treated with dual or triple antithrombotic therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. (2019) 5:226–36. doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvz021

28. Schmitt J, Duray G, Gersh BJ, Hohnloser SH. Atrial fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review of the incidence, clinical features and prognostic implications. *Eur Heart J.* (2009) 30:1038–45. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehn579

29. Jabre P, Roger VL, Murad MH, Chamberlain AM, Prokop L, Adnet F, et al. Mortality associated with atrial fibrillation in patients with myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation*. (2011) 123:1587–93. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.110.986661

30. Rene AG, Genereux P, Ezekowitz M, Kirtane AJ, Xu K, Mehran R, et al. Impact of atrial fibrillation in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (from the HORIZONS-AMI [harmonizing outcomes with revascularization and stents in acute myocardial infarction] trial). *Am J Cardiol.* (2014) 113:236–42. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.0 9.016

31. Jabre P, Jouven X, Adnet F, Thabut G, Bielinski SJ, Weston SA, et al. Atrial fibrillation and death after myocardial infarction: a community study. *Circulation.* (2011) 123:2094–100. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.990192

32. Topaz G, Flint N, Steinvil A, Finkelstein A, Banai S, Keren G, et al. Long term prognosis of atrial fibrillation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiol.* (2017) 240:228–33. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.03.060

33. Rhyou HI, Park TH, Cho YR, Park K, Park JS, Kim MH, et al. Clinical factors associated with the development of atrial fibrillation in the year following STEMI treated by primary PCI. *J Cardiol.* (2018) 71:125–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2017.0 8.004

34. Crenshaw BSWS, Granger CB, Stebbins AL, Topol EJ, Califf RM. Atrial fibrillation in the setting of acute myocardial infarction: the GUSTO-I experience. global utilization of streptokinase and TPA for occluded coronary arteries. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (1997) 30:406–13. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(97)00 194-0

35. Asanin M, Perunicic J, Mrdovic I, Matic M, Vujisic-Tesic B, Arandjelovic A, et al. Prognostic significance of new atrial fibrillation and its relation to heart failure following acute myocardial infarction. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2005) 7:671–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ejheart.2004.07.018

36. Alasady M, Abhayaratna WP, Leong DP, Lim HS, Abed HS, Brooks AG, et al. Coronary artery disease affecting the atrial branches is an independent determinant of atrial fibrillation after myocardial infarction. *Heart Rhythm.* (2011) 8:955–60. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.02.016

37. Mahendiran T, Klingenberg R, Nanchen D, Gencer B, Meier D, Raber L, et al. CCN family member 1 (CCN1) is an early marker of infarct size and left

ventricular dysfunction in STEMI patients. Atherosclerosis. (2021) 335:77-83. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2021.09.019

38. Sinno H, Derakhchan K, Libersan D, Merhi Y, Leung TK, Nattel S. Atrial ischemia promotes atrial fibrillation in dogs. *Circulation*. (2003) 107:1930–6. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000058743.15215.03

39. Psychari SN, Apostolou TS, Sinos L, Hamodraka E, Liakos G, Kremastinos DT. Relation of elevated C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 levels to left atrial size and duration of episodes in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol.* (2005) 95:764–7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.11.032

40. Yoshizaki T, Umetani K, Ino Y, Takahashi S, Nakamura M, Seto T, et al. Activated inflammation is related to the incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Intern Med.* (2012) 51:1467–71. doi: 10.2169/ internalmedicine.51.7312

41. Luo J, Wei Y. Research progress on the mechanism and risk prediction of new-onset atrial fibrillation after acute myocardial infarction. *J Pract Electrocardiol.* (2016) 25:298–302. doi: 10.13308/j.issn.2095-9354.2016.04.019

42. Eldar M, Canetti M, Rotstein Z, Boyko V, Gottlieb S, Kaplinsky E, et al. Significance of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation complicating acute myocardial infarction in the thrombolytic era. SPRINT and thrombolytic survey groups. *Circulation.* (1998) 97:965–70. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.97.10.965

43. Naghavi M, Libby P, Falk E, Casscells SW, Litovsky S, Rumberger J, et al. From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: a call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies: part I. *Circulation.* (2003) 108:1664–72. doi: 10.1161/01.Cir. 0000087480.94275.97

44. Pesarini G, Ariotti S, Ribichini F. Current antithrombotic therapy in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions. *Interv Cardiol.* (2014) 9:94–101. doi: 10.15420/icr.2011.9.2.94

45. Negreva M, Zarkova A, Prodanova K, Petrov P. Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: insight into the intimate mechanisms of coagulation. *Cardiol Res.* (2020) 11:22–32. doi: 10.14740/cr972

46. Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, Collet JP, Costa F, Jeppsson A, et al. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: the Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease of the European society of cardiology (ESC) and of the European association for cardio-thoracic surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39:213–60. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx419

47. Gorog DA, Geisler T. Platelet inhibition in acute coronary syndrome and percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the past and present. *Thromb Haemost.* (2020) 120:565–78. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1702920

48. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:2893–962. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210

49. van Rein N, Heide-Jorgensen U, Lijfering WM, Dekkers OM, Sorensen HT, Cannegieter SC. Major Bleeding Rates in Atrial Fibrillation Patients on Single, Dual, or Triple Antithrombotic Therapy. *Circulation.* (2019) 139:775–86. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.036248

50. Valgimigli M, Costa F, Lokhnygina Y, Clare RM, Wallentin L, Moliterno DJ, et al. Trade-off of myocardial infarction vs. bleeding types on mortality after acute coronary syndrome: lessons from the thrombin receptor antagonist for clinical event reduction in acute coronary syndrome (TRACER) randomized trial. *Eur Heart J.* (2017) 38:804–10. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw525

51. Vaduganathan M, Harrington RA, Stone GW, Steg G, Gibson CM, Hamm CW, et al. Short- and long-term mortality following bleeding events in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from four validated bleeding scales in the CHAMPION trials. *EuroIntervention*. (2018) 13:e1841–9. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00723