#### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Tommaso Gori, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

REVIEWED BY Zhendong Liu, Shandong First Medical University, China Xintian Cai, People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xinghua Jiang ijangxhcg@gg.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Coronary Artery Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 24 September 2022 ACCEPTED 28 October 2022 PUBLISHED 10 November 2022

#### CITATION

Xiao S, Dong Y, Huang B and Jiang X (2022) Predictive nomogram for coronary heart disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:1052547. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1052547

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xiao, Dong, Huang and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Predictive nomogram for coronary heart disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

## Shucai Xiao, Youzheng Dong, Bin Huang and Xinghua Jiang\*

Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China

**Objective:** This study aimed to identify risk factors for coronary heart disease (CHD) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), build a clinical prediction model, and draw a nomogram.

**Study design and methods:** Coronary angiography was performed for 1,808 diabetic patients who were recruited at the department of cardiology in The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University from June 2020 to June 2022. After applying exclusion criteria, 560 patients were finally enrolled in this study and randomly divided into training cohorts (n = 392) and validation cohorts (n = 168). The least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) is used to filter features in the training dataset. Finally, we use logical regression to establish a prediction model for the selected features and draw a nomogram.

**Results:** The discrimination, calibration, and clinical usefulness of the prediction model were evaluated using the c-index, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, calibration chart, and decision curve. The effects of gender, diabetes duration, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein A1, lipoprotein (a), homocysteine, atherogenic index of plasma (AIP), nerve conduction velocity, and carotid plaque merit further study. The C-index was 0.803 (0.759–0.847) in the training cohort and 0.775 (0.705–0.845) in the validation cohort. In the ROC curve, the Area Under Curve (AUC) of the training set is 0.802, and the AUC of the validation set is 0.753. The calibration curve showed no overfitting of the model. The decision curve analysis (DCA) demonstrated that the nomogram is effective in clinical practice.

**Conclusion:** Based on clinical information, we established a prediction model for CHD in patients with T2DM.

#### KEYWORDS

prediction model, nomogram, coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, coronary angiography

# Introduction

According to the International Diabetes Federation, 537 million people aged 20-79 are estimated to have diabetes, and 783 million are expected to have diabetes by 2045 (1). Recent studies have shown that diabetes is associated with a 75% increase in cardiovascular mortality in adults. (2). Coronary heart disease (CHD) is one of the most common cardiovascular complications in diabetes patients. CHD accounts for 65% of deaths in diabetes patients (2, 3). The risk of CHD is twice higher in diabetic patients than in non-diabetes individuals (4). Chronic hyperglycemia exacerbates atherosclerosis plays an important role in the development of cardiovascular disease (5). The main mechanisms may be endothelial cell dysfunction, increased advanced glycation end products (AGEs), and severe oxidative stress induced by chronic hyperglycemia (6). Diabetes mellitus is one of the established risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Therefore, it is necessary to accurately identify the highrisk factors and high-risk groups of CHD in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) to prevent CHD.

Currently, coronary angiography is the gold standard for the diagnosis of CHD. However, it is an invasive method and not directly applicable to some patients (e.g., individuals with contrast agent allergy or claustrophobia). Thus, the objective of this study is to develop a prediction model and identify patients with T2DM who are at high risk of CHD. By using this model, a subset of unnecessary coronary angiography can be avoided. In recent years, the nomogram has become a commonly used predictive tool. The nomogram is a convenient tool to draw in the same plane according to a certain scale using a line with a scale. Its interpretation and usage are easier for medical staff. It can be conveniently and rapidly used in the clinical setting. The development and application of non-invasive systems to screen patients at high risk for early CHD is key for primary prevention of CHD.

## Participants and methods

This study was designed as a retrospective study based on The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University medical record system. In this study, 1,808 T2DM patients hospitalized for coronary angiography (CAG) from June 2021 to June 2022 were included. Five hundred sixty patients were prospectively enrolled after screening for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of these, 70% were randomly assigned to the training cohort (392 cases), and the remaining cases were included in the validation cohort (168 cases). The purpose of setting the training cohort was to build a model. The validation cohort was set up to confirm the performance of the model. Patients were not eligible for inclusion if the following exclusion criteria were met: (1) History of cardiovascular heart diseases, including myocardial infarction or revascularization procedures. (2) Patients without complete clinical data. (3) Age less than 18 years old.

## Data collection

Relevant demographic variables, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and history of hypertension, were collected for all patients. Fasting blood samples were collected in the morning for laboratory tests, including fasting blood glucose (FBG), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), serum uric acid (Uric), total bilirubin lipids (TBIL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), Remnant cholesterol (RC), non-highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (Non-HDL-C), creatinine (CRE), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), apolipoprotein A1 (APOA1), apolipoprotein B (APOB), lipoprotein-a [Lp(a)], homocysteine (HCY), and total cholesterol (TC). Atherogenic index of plasma (AIP) and triglyceride-glucose index (TYG) were calculated using the following formula. The AIP index was calculated as log (triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol). The TyG index was determined using ln(fasting triglycerides [mg/dL] × fasting glucose [mg/dL]/2). At the same time, the examination results of nerve conduction velocity (NCV), color ultrasound of the carotid artery, and coronary angiography were recorded.

# Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using R software (version 4.2.1)<sup>1</sup> and SPSS 25.0. First, data from all patients were collected to establish the dataset, which was randomly split into training and validation datasets in a 3:1 ratio by R software. Data are expressed as mean values and standard deviation (SD), and analyzed using SPSS. Continuous variables were compared using two-tailed *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test, depending on data distribution. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages and numbers and compared using the chi-square test. Then, the features were screened using least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression analysis on the data from the training dataset.

Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator is a wellknown method for regression with high-dimensional data. After filtering out features with non-zero coefficients, odds ratio (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated for each factor using multivariate logistic regression. Then obtain the corresponding *P*-values of each factor. The statistical significance levels were all two-sided. We selected risk factors with a *P*-value of <0.05 based on logistic regression to construct a predictive model and draw nomograms. We used R software for the training and validation data sets to calculate the C-index and draw receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, calibration curves, and performed decision curve analysis (DCA) to test the accuracy of the prediction model.

<sup>1</sup> https://www.r-project.org

## Results

## **Baseline characteristics**

Between June 2021 and June 2022, 1808 T2DM patients who underwent coronary angiography (CAG) at our hospital were included in our study. Following the screening, 560 participants who had complete data were included in this study. Of them, 326 were patients diagnosed with CHD. The whole patient cohort was randomly divided into a training cohort containing 392 (70%) patients and a validation cohort including 168 (30%) patients. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the training and validation cohorts, the proportion of patients with confirmed CHD was 59.2 and 56%, respectively. At baseline, there were no significant differences in the distribution

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the patients in different cohort.

Variables	Training cohort	Validation cohort	Р
	( <i>n</i> = 392)	( <i>n</i> = 168)	
Age, year	$63.72\pm9.956$	$64.45 \pm 10.363$	0.477
Male, sex	221 (56.38%)	103 (63.31%)	0.279
$BMI \ge 24$	$24.90\pm3.246$	$25.57\pm3.717$	0.088
Hypertension	272 (69.39%)	134 (79.76%)	0.012
DM duration $\geq 10$ year	164 (41.84%)	66 (39.29)	0.316
HbA1c, mmol/	$\textbf{7.86} \pm \textbf{4.932}$	$7.58 \pm 1.730$	0.45
Uric, μmol/l	$362.17 \pm 112.552$	$380.61 \pm 116.685$	0.058
Cre, μmol/l	$94.55 \pm 103.675$	$88.39 \pm 42.049$	0.236
TBIL, μmol/L	$13.02\pm5.923$	$13.21\pm 6.114$	0.806
TG, mmol/l	$2.14 \pm 1.831$	$2.41\pm2.174$	0.183
TC, mmol/l	$4.75\pm1.367$	$4.79 \pm 1.329$	0.49
HDL-C, mmol/l	$1.10\pm0.316$	$1.11\pm0.349$	0.669
LDL-C, mmol/l	$2.87 \pm 1.075$	$2.92 \pm 1.119$	0.702
Non-HDL-C, mmol/l	$3.64 \pm 1.321$	$3.69 \pm 1.339$	0.612
RC, mmol/l	$0.77\pm0.423$	$0.77\pm0.582$	0.822
APOA1, g/l	$1.279\pm0.305$	$1.286\pm0.323$	0.898
APOB, g/l	$1.01\pm0.355$	$1.03\pm0.362$	0.359
Lp(a), mg/l	$255.64 \pm 322.691$	$229.76 \pm 301.879$	0.592
HCY, μmol/L	$13.23\pm5.390$	$15.12\pm11.195$	0.019
FBG, mmol/l	$9.25\pm4.135$	$9.43 \pm 4.730$	0.841
TYG	$9.364 \pm 0.828$	$9.445\pm0.949$	0.443
AIP	$0.205\pm0.346$	$0.247\pm0.374$	0.19
NCV slow	146 (37.24%)	56 (33.33%)	0.377
Carotid plaque	318 (81.12%)	135 (80.36%)	0.833

BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA1C, glycosylated hemoglobin; Uric, serum uric acid; CRE, creatinine; TBIL, total bilirubin lipids; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Non-HDL-C, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; RC, remnant cholesterol; APOA1, apolipoprotein A1; APOB, apolipoprotein B; Lp(a), lipoprotein-a; HCY, homocysteine; FBG, fasting blood glucose; TYG, triglyceride-glucose index; AIP, atherogenic index of plasma; NCV slow, nerve conduction velocities were slowed.

of demographic and clinical characteristics between the two cohorts (Table 1).

## Feature selection

After LASSO analysis, 24 features were simplified into 9 potential predictors with non-zero coefficients based on training data set (Figure 1).

## Nomogram development

Multivariate logistic regression revealed that gender, diabetes duration, non-HDL-C, APOA1, Lp(a), HCY, AIP, NCV, and carotid plaque were the key predictors of CHD in patients with T2DM (Table 2). The nomogram is constructed and drawn based on the above 9 prediction factors (Figure 2).

## Nomogram performance

The C-index was 0.803 (0.759–0.847) in the training cohort and 0.775 (0.705–0.845) in the validation cohort. In the ROC curve, the Area Under Curve (AUC) of the training set (**Figure 3**) is 0.802, and the AUC of the validation set (**Figure 3**) is 0.753. The calibration curve showed no overfitting of the model (**Figure 4**). The logistic regression model fitted well with the data (Hosmer–Lemeshow test, P = 0.687). The above results proved that this nomogram could predict CHD effectively.

# The clinical application of the nomogram

We used DCA to evaluate the clinical application of nomogram and compare the net benefits of nomogram and reference models (Figure 5). In most reasonable threshold probability ranges, the DCA showed that the nomogram was clinically useful.

# Discussion

A nomogram is a two-dimensional graph that provides a graphical representation of mathematical relationships or formulas and can be used to calculate the risk of diseases without using a calculator. Nomograms are user-friendly digital interfaces and are accurate in improving clinical decisionmaking.

Currently, studies have mainly focused on the diagnostic markers or biomarkers of CHD, mainly uncommon and



shrinkage and selection operator: SE, standard error.

expensive biochemical indicators. In this study, we used the test results from Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes needed when applying for medical insurance. Previous relevant studies on CHD were used as evidence to filter the corresponding variables. These variables included age, gender, DM duration, hypertension, BMI, FBG, HbA1c, blood uric acid, TBIL, TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, NONHD, RC, APOA1, APOB, Lp(a), HCY, serum creatinine, NCV, and carotid plaque.

Through LASSO and logistic regression, our study revealed that gender, diabetes duration, non-HDL-C, APOA1, Lp(a), HCY, AIP, NCV, and carotid plaque were the key predictors of CHD in patients with T2DM. We developed a nomogram involving nine variables to predict the risk of CHD in patients with T2DM.

For female subjects with T2DM, the DM duration was less than 10 years, non-HDL-C was 2.59 mmol/L, APOA1 was 1.2 mmol/l, Lp(a) was 63.7, HCY was 6.85 mmol/l, and AIP

TABLE 2 Model established by logistic regression analysis based on the training cohort.

Prediction factors	β	OR	OR 95% CI	Р
Gender	0.406	1.501	0.914-2.466	0.109
DM duration	0.581	1.787	1.098-2.91	0.02
Non-HDL-C	0.347	1.414	1.121-1.785	0.003
APOA1	-1.493	0.225	0.089-0.569	0.002
Lp(a)	0.001	1.001	1-1.002	0.019
НСҮ	0.051	1.053	0.999-1.109	0.054
AIP	0.624	1.866	0.82-4.228	0.135
NCV slow	1.138	3.119	1.847-5.266	< 0.001
Carotid plaque	0.803	2.232	1.21-4.116	0.01
Intercept	-1.474			

 $\beta$  is the regression coefficient.

index was -0.2253. With normal nerve conduction velocity and no carotid plaques found on carotid ultrasound, the probability of coronary heart disease is 10.1% (Figure 6).

A systematic literature review comprising 10 articles from 2000 to 2017 analyzed 5012 T2DM patients without chest pain who underwent CAG or CTA (7). Among them, 33.7% were diagnosed with CHD. In this study, the prevalence of CHD was 58% in all patients. Compared with similar studies, CHD prevalence was slightly higher in this study, possibly due to the inclusion of both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.

In non-diabetic subjects, CHD is more common in men than in women. However, in this study, there was no significant disparity in the diabetic population. Numerous studies indicated that T2DM increases cardiovascular risk in women more than in men (8, 9).

Although mineralocorticoid receptor activation is an important contributor to impaired vascular function in diabetes, angiotensin-II-stimulated aldosterone release is increased in diabetic women compared with men, exposing women to aldosterone overexposure, which may be one of the mechanisms underlying the excess cardiovascular morbidity in diabetic women (10, 11).

This study revealed a high association between T2DM duration and CHD risk. Many studies have shown that the duration of diabetes is closely related to CHD (11, 12). A Korean single-center retrospective cohort with 2,006 patients revealed that diabetes with a duration of more than 10 years is a common risk factor for CHD (13).

In the present study, high non-HDL-C was a risk factor for CHD in patients with T2DM and was positively associated with the probability of CHD. Non-HDL was calculated as serum total cholesterol minus HDL levels. At present, more and more evidence shows that non-HDL-C is superior to LDL-C in predicting coronary heart disease risk (14, 15). According to



Development of the coronary heart disease (CHD) prediction nomogram. The CHD prediction nomogram was developed in the training cohort, with Gender, Duration, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C), apolipoprotein A1 (APOA1), lipoprotein-a [Lp(a)], homocysteine (HCY), atherogenic index of plasma (AIP), nerve conduction velocity (NCV), and Carotid plaque as predictors.

the 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines, non-HDL-C should be considered the first indicator when assessing cardiovascular risk in patients with diabetes (16). The possible mechanism is that LDL-C can be affected by apolipoprotein B cholesterol level, while non-HDL-C can reflect apolipoprotein B-related cholesterol level and is related to HDL level (17).

Apolipoprotein A1 is the main protein of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and has cardiovascular protective properties (18). HDL-C is considered the "good cholesterol" and higher HDL-C levels are correlated with cardiovascular health (19).

However, recent randomized clinical trials of HDL-C enhancers have failed to reduce cardiovascular events (20). Although HDL-C has been recognized as a traditional protective factor against atherosclerosis, not all HDL subsets are equally cardiovascular protective (21). It was found that the protective effect of HDL-C particles on atherosclerosis was impaired in homozygous and heterozygous apoA-I deficiency (22). This may suggest that apoA1 may be a more reliable predictor of CHD than HDL-C (23).

In our study, lipoprotein (a) was a risk factor for CHD in patients with T2DM and was positively associated with the probability of CHD. High lipoprotein (a) levels are associated with an increased risk of ischaemic CVD, particularly CHD (24, 25). Lp(a) is a complex produced in the liver by apolipoprotein (a) linked with disulfide bonds to LDL-C-like particles. Lp(a) contains not only all



#### FIGURE 3

(A) Training set and (B) Validation set: The pooled Area Under Curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The y-axis indicates the true positive rate of the risk prediction. The x-axis indicates the false positive rate of the risk prediction. The blue and red lines represent the performance of the nomogram.



### FIGURE 4

Calibration curves of the coronary heart disease (CHD) prediction nomogram. (A) Calibration curve of the CHD prediction nomogram in the training cohort; (B) Calibration curve of the CHD prediction nomogram in the validation cohort.



#### FIGURE 5

(A) Training set and (B) Validation set. Decision curve analysis (DCA) for the coronary heart disease (CHD) prediction nomogram. The y-axis measures net benefit. DCA shows the clinical usefulness of the nomogram score, according to a continuum of potential thresholds for CHD risk (x-axis) and the net benefit of using the nomogram to stratify patients according to risk (y-axis). Weighting factor = threshold probability/(1-threshold probability). Net benefit = true positive rate-(false positive rate × weighting factor). The red line represents the incidence risk nomogram of CHD. The gray line represents the assumption that all patients are diagnosed with CHD. The thin thick solid line represents the assumption that no patients are diagnosed with CHD.

the atherogenic factors of LDL-C but also one molecule of Apo(a). Apo (a) is structurally similar to plasminogen and has a thrombogenic effect (26). The AIM-HIGH trial revealed that patients with LDL-C up to 65.2 mg/dL (1.62 mmol/L) and Lp(a) >50 mg/dL had a 90% higher risk of major adverse cardiovascular events than patients



with similar LDL-C levels but Lp(a) levels below 50 mg/dL (24). A retrospective study of 3213 patients in a Chinese population also suggested that Lp(a) is an independent risk factor for CHD (27).

In the present study, HCY was a risk factor for CHD in patients with T2DM and was positively associated with the probability of CHD. The mechanism is unclear and controversial. The first view is that HCY has a direct cytotoxic effect on the vascular endothelium (28), which can cause vascular endothelial cell damage and functional changes and induce endothelial cell apoptosis (29). The second view is that HCY can affect coagulation and fibrinolysis, thereby promoting thrombosis (29). High levels of HCY can increase the risk of thrombosis, oxidative stress, and endothelial dysfunction.

Some studies have found elevated HCY levels to be a risk factor for CHD (30).

In our study, the value of the AIP index was positively associated with the probability of developing CHD. The concept of AIP was first proposed by Dobiásová and Frohlich (31) as a marker of atherosclerosis. Many studies have reported that elevated AIP is a potential biomarker for predicting cardiovascular diseases (32, 33). Previous studies have shown that both high-levels of TG and low-levels of HDL-C are important markers of CVD. High levels of TG damage the vascular endothelium (34), leading to endothelial dysfunction, coagulation, and activation of inflammatory responses. These alterations contribute to atherogenesis. In contrast, HDL-C plays a major role in reverse cholesterol transport and protects the heart against inflammation and oxidative stress. Many studies have found that AIP is closely related to LDL-C particle size (35, 36), and higher AIP is associated with smaller LDL particles. Small dense LDL-C has been shown to be very susceptible to oxidative damage, which in turn contributes to the development of atherosclerosis (37).

In the present study, decreased nerve conduction velocities were a risk factor for CHD in patients with T2DM. Nerve conduction velocities mentioned in this study were an exam item used to diagnose peripheral neuropathy. Decreased conduction velocities are suggestive of peripheral neuropathy (PN). Cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) is one of the common complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Previous studies showed that CAN contributes to increased cardiovascular risk (38). A US study involving 7,116 individuals found a significant association between all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and PN in subjects with diabetes (39). The relationship between CAN and PN has been intensively studied and shown by some studies (40, 41), demonstrating that PN in patients with T2DM can be used as a predictor of CHD.

In the present study, the presence of carotid plaques was a risk factor for CHD in patients with T2DM. Previous reports from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA) have suggested that the presence of carotid plaques is associated with cardiovascular risk in the entire cohort (42, 43). Recent studies have shown that carotid plaques are independently associated with incident CHD in participants (44). However, intima-media thickness (IMT) was not included in this study since a high variability was observed in the results. The measurements of IMT are mainly based on the personal experience of clinicians with different levels of expertise. A meta-analysis showed that the risk assessment of cardiovascular events did not improve when IMT was added to the Framingham risk score (45).

In contrast to earlier nomogram studies, most of these studies focused on demographic factors associated with CHD (46). Some nomogram studies often obscure the classification of patients into normal and abnormal status without focusing on their specific values. For example, some studies simply classified patients into hyperlipidemic and non-hyperlipidemic groups (47). We used blood lipid, nerve conduction velocity, carotid artery plaque, and other results from medical insurance tests in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. These results are easy to obtain from patients, accessible, and stable. It is more applicable to non-cardiovascular physicians to judge the cardiovascular risk of diabetes mellitus patients. Some studies do not mention whether patients undergo coronary angiography (48). The patients in our study had well-established CAG, and the diagnosis was consolidated.

There are some limitations in our study. Firstly, the data were obtained from patients with T2DM who underwent CAG and there may be a selective bias. Second, our risk prediction model was developed from a single center data and lacks external validation. However, we set up separate internal and external validation sets. Third, because of missing data, classical risk factors such as smoking history and drinking history were not included in this study. More studies are needed in the future to further confirm our findings. Finally, only clinical factors were included in this study, and more non-clinical factors could be involved.

## Conclusion

By collecting various information and biochemical test results of patients with T2DM in The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University and analyzing the relevant data, we built a prediction model for the risk of CHD in patients with T2DM and plotted nomograms. Gender, T2DM duration, Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein A1, lipoprotein(a), homocysteine, atherogenic index of plasma (AIP), nerve conduction velocity, and carotid plaque were included in the model. Through a variety of statistical methods, it is verified that the nomogram can predict the risk of CHD in T2DM patients with medium accuracy.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University.

Written informed consent was acquired from all participants for their participation in our study.

## Author contributions

SX and XJ designed the study. SX and BH collected and analyzed the data. SX and YD wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to EditSprings (https:// www.editsprings.cn) for the expert linguistic services provided.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2022) 183:109119. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119

2. Dal Canto E, Ceriello A, Rydén L, Ferrini M, Hansen TB, Schnell O, et al. Diabetes as a cardiovascular risk factor: an overview of global trends of macro and micro vascular complications. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2019) 26(Suppl. 2):25–32. doi: 10.1177/2047487319878371

3. Găman M-A, Cozma M-A, Dobrică E-C, Bacalbaşa N, Bratu OG, Diaconu CCJM. Dyslipidemia: a trigger for coronary heart disease in Romanian patients with diabetes. *Metabolites*. (2020) 10:195. doi: 10.3390/metabo10050195

4. Kautzky-Willer A, Kamyar MR, Gerhat D, Handisurya A, Stemer G, Hudson S, et al. Sex-specific differences in metabolic control, cardiovascular risk, and interventions in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Gend Med.* (2010) 7:571–83. doi: 10.1016/j.genm.2010.12.001

5. Cikim G, Canatan H, Gursu MF, Gulcu F, Baydas G, Kilicoglu AE. Levels of zinc and lipid peroxidation in acute coronary syndrome. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2003) 96:61–9. doi: 10.1385/BTER:96:1-3:61

6. Vanessa Fiorentino T, Prioletta A, Zuo P, Folli F. Hyperglycemia-induced oxidative stress and its role in diabetes mellitus related cardiovascular diseases. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2013) 19:5695–703. doi: 10.2174/1381612811319320005

7. Beller E, Meinel FG, Schoeppe F, Kunz WG, Thierfelder KM, Hausleiter J, et al. Predictive value of coronary computed tomography angiography in asymptomatic individuals with diabetes mellitus: systematic review and metaanalysis. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. (2018) 12:320–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2018. 04.002

8. Huebschmann AG, Huxley RR, Kohrt WM, Zeitler P, Regensteiner JG, Reusch JEJD. Sex differences in the burden of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular risk across the life course. *Diabetologia*. (2019) 62:1761–72. doi: 10.1007/s00125-019-4939-5

9. Sattar N. Type 2 diabetes-related sex differences in cardiovascular risk: reasons, ramifications, and clinical realities. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:1354–6. doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehz914

10. Haas AV, Rosner BA, Kwong RY, Rao AD, Garg R, Di Carli MF, et al. Sex differences in coronary microvascular function in individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes.* (2019) 68:631–6. doi: 10.2337/db18-0650

11. Fox CS. Cardiovascular disease risk factors, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and the framingham heart study. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2010) 20:90–5. doi: 10.1016/j. tcm.2010.08.001

12. Kang HM, Lee YJ, Kim D-JJD, Journal M. The association of self-reported coronary heart disease with diabetes duration in Korea. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2012) 36:350–6. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2012.36.5.350

13. Noh M, Kwon H, Jung CH, Kwon SU, Kim MS, Lee WJ, et al. Impact of diabetes duration and degree of carotid artery stenosis on major adverse

cardiovascular events: a single-center, retrospective, observational cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. (2017) 16:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0556-0

14. Johannesen CDL, Mortensen MB, Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG. Apolipoprotein B and non-HDL cholesterol better reflect residual risk than LDL cholesterol in statin-treated patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2021) 77:1439–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.01.027

15. Jia X, Qi Y, Zheng R, Lin L, Hu C, Zhu Y, et al. Discordance of apolipoprotein B, Non-HDL-cholesterol, and LDL-cholesterol predicts risk of increased arterial stiffness and elevated carotid intima-media thickness in middle-aged and elderly Chinese adults. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:906396. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022. 906396

 Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 290:140–205. doi: 10.15829/1560-4071-2020-3826

17. Sniderman A, Langlois M, Cobbaert C. Update on apolipoprotein B. Curr Opin Lipidol. (2021) 32:226-30. doi: 10.1097/MOL.00000000000754

18. Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, Aastveit AH, Kolar W, Steiner EJTL. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein AI, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. *Lancet.* (2001) 358:2026–33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)07098-2

19. Xepapadaki E, Nikdima I, Sagiadinou EC, Zvintzou E, Kypreos KEJD. HDL and type 2 diabetes: the chicken or the egg? *Diabetologia*. (2021) 64:1917–26. doi: 10.1007/s00125-021-05509-0

20. Aim-High Investigators, Boden WE, Probstfield JL, Anderson T, Chaitman BR, Desvignes-Nickens P, et al. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. *N Engl J Med.* (2011) 365:2255–67. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107579

21. Hussein H, Saheb S, Couturier M, Atassi M, Orsoni A, Carrié A, et al. Small, dense high-density lipoprotein 3 particles exhibit defective antioxidative and anti-inflammatory function in familial hypercholesterolemia: partial correction by low-density lipoprotein apheresis. *J Clin Lipidol.* (2016) 10:124–33. doi: 10.1016/j. jacl.2015.10.006

22. Rached F, Santos RD, Camont L, Miname MH, Lhomme M, Dauteuille C, et al. Defective functionality of HDL particles in familial apoA-I deficiency: relevance of alterations in HDL lipidome and proteome [S]. *J Lipid Res.* (2014) 55:2509–20. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M051631

23. Kallend D, Reijers J, Bellibas S, Bobillier A, Kempen H, Burggraaf J, et al. A single infusion of MDCO-216 (ApoA-1 Milano/POPC) increases ABCA1mediated cholesterol efflux and pre-beta 1 HDL in healthy volunteers and patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. (2016) 2:23–9. doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvv041

24. Willeit P, Ridker PM, Nestel PJ, Simes J, Tonkin AM, Pedersen TR, et al. Baseline and on-statin treatment lipoprotein (a) levels for prediction of

cardiovascular events: individual patient-data meta-analysis of statin outcome trials. Lancet. (2018) 392:1311-20. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31652-0

25. Kamstrup PR. Lipoprotein (a) and cardiovascular disease. *Clin Chem.* (2021) 67:154–66. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/hvaa247

26. Schmidt K, Noureen A, Kronenberg F, Utermann G. Structure, function, and genetics of lipoprotein (a). *J Lipid Res.* (2016) 57:1339–59. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R067314

27. Hu Y, Tao J-Y, Cai D-P, He Y-MJCCA. Interaction of lipoprotein (a) with lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol on first incident acute myocardial infarction. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2020) 501:1–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2019.10.044

28. Wang Z, Yang X, Cai J, Shi H, Zhong G, Chi H. Vascular endothelial function of patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Pak J Med Sci.* (2015) 31:538. doi: 10.12669/pjms.313.6892

29. Li F, Chen Q, Song X, Zhou L, Zhang J. MiR-30b is involved in the homocysteine-induced apoptosis in human coronary artery endothelial cells by regulating the expression of caspase 3. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2015) 16:17682–95. doi: 10.3390/ijms160817682

30. Xu T, Chen S, Yang F, Wang Y, Zhang K, Fu G, et al. The impact of homocysteine on the risk of coronary artery diseases in individuals with diabetes: a Mendelian randomization study. *Acta Diabetol.* (2021) 58:301–7. doi: 10.1007/s00592-020-01608-3

31. Dobiásová M, Frohlich J. The new atherogenic plasma index reflects the triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol ratio, the lipoprotein particle size and the cholesterol esterification rate: changes during lipanor therapy. *Vnitr Lek.* (2000) 46:152–6.

32. Fernández-Macías JC, Ochoa-Martínez AC, Varela-Silva JA, Pérez-Maldonado IN. Atherogenic index of plasma: novel predictive biomarker for cardiovascular illnesses. *Arch Med Res.* (2019) 50:285–94. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed. 2019.08.009

33. Won K-B, Heo R, Park H-B, Lee BK, Lin FY, Hadamitzky M, et al. Atherogenic index of plasma and the risk of rapid progression of coronary atherosclerosis beyond traditional risk factors. *Atherosclerosis*. (2021) 324:46–51.

34. Schwartz GG, Abt M, Bao W, DeMicco D, Kallend D, Miller M, et al. Fasting triglycerides predict recurrent ischemic events in patients with acute coronary syndrome treated with statins. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2015) 65:2267–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.03.544

35. Cai G, Shi G, Xue S, Lu WJM. The atherogenic index of plasma is a strong and independent predictor for coronary artery disease in the Chinese Han population. *Medicine.* (2017) 96:e8058. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000008058

36. Yildiz G, Duman A, Aydin H, Yilmaz A, Hür E, Maðden K, et al. Evaluation of association between atherogenic index of plasma and intima-media thickness of the carotid artery for subclinic atherosclerosis in patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Hemodial Int.* (2013) 17:397–405. doi: 10.1111/hdi.12041

37. Duran EK, Aday AW, Cook NR, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Pradhan AD. Triglyceride-rich lipoprotein cholesterol, small dense LDL cholesterol, and incident

cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2020) 75:2122–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc. 2020.02.059

38. Cha S-A, Yun J-S, Lim T-S, Min K, Song K-H, Yoo K-D, et al. Diabetic cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy predicts recurrent cardiovascular diseases in patients with type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0164807. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0164807

39. Hicks CW, Wang D, Matsushita K, Windham BG, Selvin E. Peripheral neuropathy and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in US adults: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2021) 174:167–74. doi: 10.7326/M20-1340

40. Pafili K, Trypsianis G, Papazoglou D, Maltezos E, Papanas NJDR, Practice C. Correlation of cardiac autonomic neuropathy with small and large peripheral nerve function in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2019) 156:107844. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107844

41. Voulgari C, Psallas M, Kokkinos A, Argiana V, Katsilambros N, Tentolouris N, et al. The association between cardiac autonomic neuropathy with metabolic and other factors in subjects with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications*. (2011) 25:159–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2010.06.001

42. Gepner AD, Young R, Delaney JA, Tattersall MC, Blaha MJ, Post WS, et al. Comparison of coronary artery calcium presence, carotid plaque presence, and carotid intima-media thickness for cardiovascular disease prediction in the multiethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2015) 8:e002262. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.114.002262

43. Gepner AD, Young R, Delaney JA, Budoff MJ, Polak JF, Blaha MJ, et al. Comparison of carotid plaque score and coronary artery calcium score for predicting cardiovascular disease events: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2017) 6:e005179. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005179

44. Mehta A, Rigdon J, Tattersall MC, German CA, Barringer TA III, Joshi PH, et al. Association of carotid artery plaque with cardiovascular events and incident coronary artery calcium in individuals with absent coronary calcification: the MESA. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 14:e011701. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING. 120.011701

45. Den Ruijter HM, Peters SA, Anderson TJ, Britton AR, Dekker JM, Eijkemans MJ, et al. Common carotid intima-media thickness measurements in cardiovascular risk prediction: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. (2012) 308:796–803. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2012. 10.058

46. Hsiao C-L, Chen P-Y, Hsu P-J, Lin SK. Nomogram and carotid risk score for predicting moderate or high carotid atherosclerosis among asymptomatic elderly recycling volunteers. *Diagnostics*. (2022) 12:1407. doi: 10. 3390/diagnostics12061407

47. Huang S, Xie X, Sun Y, Zhang T, Cai Y, Xu X, et al. Development of a nomogram that predicts the risk for coronary atherosclerotic heart disease. *Aging*. (2020) 12:9427. doi: 10.18632/aging.103216

48. Shi R, Wu B, Niu Z, Sun H, Hu F. Nomogram based on risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with coronary heart disease. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* (2020) 13:5025. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S273880