

Effects of BNP and Sacubitrilat/Valsartan on Atrial Functional Reserve and Arrhythmogenesis in Human Myocardium

Uwe Primessnig^{1,2,3†}, Peter M. Deißler^{1,2†}, Paulina Wakula^{1,2}, Khai Liem Tran^{1,2}, Felix Hohendanner^{1,2,3}, Dirk von Lewinski⁴, Florian Blaschke^{1,2}, Christoph Knosalla^{2,5}, Volkmar Falk^{2,5,6}, Burkert Pieske^{1,2,3,7}, Herko Grubitzsch^{2,6} and Frank R. Heinzel^{1,2*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andre Rodrigues Duraes, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Valdo Jose Dias Da Silva, Universidade Federal Do Triângulo Mineiro, Brazil Kamal Sharma, B. J. Medical College and Civil Hospital, India

*Correspondence:

Frank R. Heinzel frank.heinzel@charite.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to General Cardiovascular Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 20 January 2022 Accepted: 25 March 2022 Published: 05 July 2022

Citation:

Primessnig U, Deißler PM, Wakula P, Tran KL, Hohendanner F, von Lewinski D, Blaschke F, Knosalla C, Falk V, Pieske B, Grubitzsch H and Heinzel FR (2022) Effects of BNP and Sacubitrilat/Valsartan on Atrial Functional Reserve and Arrhythmogenesis in Human Myocardium. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:859014. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.859014 ¹ Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Berlin, Germany, ² DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Berlin, Germany, ³ Berlin Institute of Health (BIH), Berlin, Germany, ⁴ Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria, ⁵ Department of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, German Heart Institute Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁶ Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany, ⁷ Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, German Heart Center Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Background: Although the angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI) sacubitril/valsartan started a new era in heart failure (HF) treatment, less is known about the tissue-level effects of the drug on the atrial myocardial functional reserve and arrhythmogenesis.

Methods and Results: Right atrial (RA) biopsies were retrieved from patients (n = 42) undergoing open-heart surgery, and functional experiments were conducted in muscle strips (n = 101). B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) did not modulate systolic developed force in human myocardium during β -adrenergic stimulation, but it significantly reduced diastolic tension (p < 0.01) and the probability of arrhythmias (p < 0.01). In addition, patient's plasma NTproBNP positively correlated with isoproterenol-induced contractile reserve in atrial tissue *in vitro* (r = 0.65; p < 0.01). Sacubitrilat+valsartan (Sac/Val) did not show positive inotropic effects on atrial trabeculae function but reduced arrhythmogeneity. Atrial and ventricular biopsies from patients with end-stage HF (n = 10) confirmed that neprilysin (NEP) is equally expressed in human atrial and ventricular myocardium. RA NEP expression correlates positively with RA ejection fraction (EF) (r = 0.806; p < 0.05) and left ventricle (LV) NEP correlates inversely with left atrial (LA) volume (r = -0.691; p < 0.05).

Conclusion: BNP ameliorates diastolic tension during adrenergic stress in human atrial myocardium and may have positive long-term effects on the inotropic reserve. BNP and Sac/Val reduce atrial arrhythmogeneity during adrenergic stress *in vitro*. Myocardial NEP expression is downregulated with declining myocardial function, suggesting a compensatory mechanism in HF.

Keywords: BNP, sacubitrilat/valsartan (Sac/Val), atrial function, arrhythmias, heart failure, neprilysin, sacubitril/valsartan

1

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a major burden in Western societies, both economically and in terms of disability-adjusted life years lost. Its prevalence is increasing with age up to 10% in the 8th decade of life (1, 2). HF with reduced ejection fraction (EF) and HF with preserved EF are characterized by a reduced functional reserve to physiological stress, such as adrenergic stimulation (3). The heart's atria play an important role in HF, as they improve ventricular filling and contribute to ventricular stroke volume and cardiac output to up to 40% during periods of hemodynamic demand (4). Atrial dysfunction and remodeling are closely associated with new-onset HF, atrial fibrillation, and overall increased mortality (5-7). The atria also contribute to endocrine signaling in HF, especially by releasing natriuretic peptides (NPs), such as atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and Btype natriuretic peptide (BNP). NPs modulate the functional reserve of the ventricular myocardium; however, their role in modulating the atria's functional reserve is unknown (8).

One of the pivotal enzymes involved in NP degradation is neprilysin (NEP), an endopeptidase located on the extracellular portion of the cell membrane (9). The regulation of NEP expression in HF is part of an ongoing discussion, as an early study found NEP upregulation in human ventricular cardiomyocytes in patients with aortic stenosis and HF (10), while a more recent study in a porcine model of ischemic cardiomyopathy suggested a systemic downregulation of total NEP expression (11). The expression of NEP in human atrial tissue has not been reported yet.

In 2014, the PARADIGM-HF trial introduced the first of its kind angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI), sacubitril/valsartan, which exerts its function by a dual mechanism, namely, angiotensin receptor blockage and NEP inhibition (12). Besides its beneficial effects on HF, evidence supports a reduced probability of (ventricular) arrhythmias in patients with HF on ARNI treatment (13-15). The exact mechanisms involved are unknown and supposedly multifactorial. By increasing the NP levels and stimulating the cyclic guanosine monophosphate/ protein kinase G (cGMP/PKG) pathway, sacubitrilat (Sac; the active metabolite of sacubitril) may improve cardiomyocyte function in (early) cardiac remodeling, as reported for ANP in a murine model of HF (8). Thus, we hypothesized that an increase in NP tissue concentration by Sac without or with angiotensin-receptor blockade by valsartan (Val) may exert beneficial effects on atrial myocardial function reserve and arrhythmias.

In this study, we, therefore, investigated the functional and antiarrhythmic effects of BNP and Sac without and with Val on human atrial myocardium. Furthermore, we also analyzed the expression of NEP in failing human left ventricular (LV) and right atrial (RA) myocardial samples.

METHODS

Patient Selection

The study was performed in line with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki ethical guidelines. Sample acquisition at Charité

Campus Virchow-Klinikum and German Heart Center Berlin (DHZB) was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Charité (EA2/167/15) and the Ethics vote for the Biobank at the DHZB (EA4/028/12). All patients were \geq 18 years old and provided written informed consent prior to enrolment. Patients with active malignancy, congenital heart disease, and endocarditis were not enrolled in our study, and one patient was excluded due to reported chronic right coronary artery occlusion. RA myocardial tissue and peripheral blood serum samples were obtained as part of the routine surgical procedure for patients undergoing heart surgery with extracorporeal circulation (predominantly coronary artery bypass graft or aortic valve replacement surgeries; see data specified below). Relevant medical and drug history was obtained from the patients' files.

Analysis of Echocardiography Recordings

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed within a week before surgery by an experienced investigator, using an Epiq 7G station (Philips, Andover, MA, USA) with a 2.5-MHz probe, and loops were recorded using standardized protocols. Echo data sets on (preoperative) ventricular and atrial function were reviewed and reanalyzed as applicable regarding the relevant readouts by an independent examiner (U.P.). According to the local standard operating procedure, left atrial (LA) and RA speckle-tracking analysis was performed using TomTec software (TOMTEC Imaging Systems GmbH, Unterschleißheim, Germany).

Functional Human Myocardial Experiments

Human myocardium for functional measurements was collected as excess tissue from the RA appendage (RAA) as part of the standard surgical procedure for patients undergoing open-heart surgery with extracorporeal circulation (aortic valve replacement surgeries and coronary artery bypass grafts). RAA biopsies from 42 patients with cumulative 101 muscle strips were included in our study collected between December 2018 and April 2020. Trabeculae were excised using microsurgical scissors and forceps. Human atrial muscle strips (\geq 3 mm length >0.65 mm, and preferred <1 mm diameter) were isolated, as the risk of central ischemia increases with muscle diameter. Directly after the end of the functional measurements, all muscle strips were snapfrozen in liquid nitrogen and stored for further molecular biology analysis. Functional atrial myocardium measurements were performed with a force transducer that recorded every muscle contraction (developed force) and relaxation. Muscle strips were stimulated at 1 Hz and 5 ms rectangular field stimulation and then prestretched up to the point of maximal force development (L_{max}), as also described previously (16). The acquisition was performed using the software MyoDat (MyoTronic UG, Heidelberg, Germany). Analysis of systolic and diastolic functional parameters was performed using the program MyoViewer (MyoTronic UG, Heidelberg, Germany). Furthermore, the incidence of arrhythmias (=spontaneous aftercontractions) was determined (see Supplementary Material for detailed information).

Experimental Protocols and Pharmacological Interventions

We investigated the effects of BNP, Sac (the active metabolite of sacubitril), and the combination of Sac with Val (Sac/Val) on atrial functional reserve and arrhythmogenesis in the presence of physiological stressors and varying stimulation frequency (1, 2, 3, and 0.5 Hz) in 42 patients (n = 101 muscle strips). Atrial trabecula were all treated with the physiological stressor isoproterenol (ISO), which is an unspecific beta-adrenoreceptor (β-AR) agonist. A concentration of 20 nM was used, as this marks a half-maximal saturation of the protein kinase A (PKA)mediated phosphorylation (17). To investigate the effect of BNP on atrial trabeculae, the intervention consisted of treatment with 100 nM BNP, which was found to be the dose of maximal BNP effect in a study conducted by Guo et al. (18). Furthermore, the effect of either 8.5 µg/ml Sac or the combination of Sac with 4.0 µg/ml Val was investigated on atrial functional reserve or arrhythmogenesis. Those concentrations were found to be the peak plasma concentrations of Sac/Val following oral treatment with an ARNI (19, 20). Finally, after the addition of BNP, Sac, or Sac/Val, different stimulation frequencies were tested beginning at 1 Hz and increasing to 2 and 3 Hz, followed by 0.5 Hz in the end.

Western Blot VASP-Ser239/-Ser157 Phosphorylation

Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP)-Ser239 and -Ser157 phosphorylation was investigated in human atrial muscle strips (control strips and strips treated with Sac/Val) using Western blot analysis (see **Supplementary Material** for detailed information).

Neprilysin Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

NEP levels were determined in LV and RA biopsies from patients (n = 10) with end-stage HF. Tissue was analyzed by the enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (EHMME, Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The total protein concentration in supernatants was determined *via* Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Scientific). Samples were then diluted in the ratio of 1:5 and assessed in duplicate. The concentration (ng/ml) was normalized to total protein content (ng/mg of total protein). See **Supplementary Material** for detailed information.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and figure design were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.1. Data points in the graphs represent single muscle strip experiments, and error bars are presented as the standard error of means (S.E.M) if not stated otherwise. Multiple comparisons of functional parameters (developed systolic force and diastolic tension) were analyzed with a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures (RMs) and Sidak *post-hoc* test (**Figures 1C,G, 2C,F,G**; **Supplementary Figures 1A, 2**). Comparison of two groups was done by unpaired two-sided *t*-test (**Figures 1B,D,F, 2B,E,H**,

4A; **Supplementary Figures 1B, 3A,B**), and arrhythmias were quantified by the two-sided chi-square test and additional calculation of odds ratio by the Baptista-Pike method (**Figures 3A–H**). Correlations were analyzed by either Pearson *r* (**Figures 1H, 4B,C**; **Supplementary Figure 4**) or Spearman *r* test (**Figure 4D**). For all analyses, a two-sided *p*-value of p < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics and Muscle Strip Experiments

Overall, 42 patients were included in our study between December 2018 and April 2020 for functional measurements in atrial muscle strips (n = 101). Most patients suffered from at least one cardiovascular risk factor (**Table 1A**). Treatment included angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors/AT1 blockers blockers and beta-blockers in most patients. The biomarker analysis showed normal blood count and electrolytes, elevated NTproBNP levels, and, on median, a slightly reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (**Table 1A**). The echocardiographic evaluation demonstrated a slightly reduced LVEF with a median of 53% overall. LV hypertrophy and mild diastolic dysfunction were observed in most patients (**Table 1B**).

Acute Effect of BNP on Atrial Inotropy and Lusitropy

We tested the time-depended effect of BNP (100 nM) during adrenergic stimulation with 20 nM ISO over 70 min on diastolic tension and developed systolic force (n = 20 strips from N = 9 patients, **Figure 1A**). BNP did not significantly increase systolic developed force in response to prolonged ISO treatment (70 min, 1 Hz stimulation, **Figure 1B**). BNP significantly lowered diastolic tension in ISO-treated atrial muscle strips (overall p = 0.005, twoway ANOVA with RM, **Figure 1C**), as also reflected by a higher negative slope in end-diastolic tension over time (**Figure 1D**).

Furthermore, we investigated the effects of BNP on frequencydependent modulation of the functional response to ISO (**Figure 1E**, n = 40 muscle strips from 17 patients). Developed systolic force in the presence of ISO decreased with higher frequency stimulation (2 and 3 Hz) and recovered with 0.5 Hz (**Figures 1E–G**). Treatment with BNP did not alter the frequency-dependent atrial systolic force response or contraction kinetics at any frequency (**Figures 1F,G**). At 3 and 0.5 Hz, BNP furthermore significantly reduced end-diastolic tension (ISO+BNP vs. ISO: 3 Hz <0.05; 0.5 Hz: p < 0.01, overall p =0.01, two-way ANOVA with RM) (**Supplementary Figure 1A**). The slope of end-diastolic tension during the frequency stair was positive in ISO but slightly negative in ISO+BNP (**Supplementary Figure 1B**, p = 0.09).

We found that the mean inotropic reserve of atrial muscle strips in response to isoproterenol *in vitro* correlated well with preoperative plasma NTproBNP values of the same patient (available from n = 19 patients without preincubation, **Figure 1H**).



FIGURE 1 | Effect of BNP on atrial inotropy and lusitropy after adrenergic stimulation. Example traces of time-dependent analysis of BNP (n = 20 strips) are shown in (**A**), with the corresponding data on the effect of BNP treatment on atrial systolic force (**B**), and end-diastolic tension generation (**C**) after 70 min. The slope of end-diastolic tension over time is shown in (**D**). The effects of a frequency-variation protocol [example traces in (**E**), n = 40 strips] on systolic force at different stimulation frequencies are shown in (**F**,**G**). Correlation of patient plasma NTproBNP and relative force increase after ISO treatment (values from n = 19 patients with available NTproBNP) is shown in (**H**). Data are shown as mean \pm SEM; each data point represents one muscle strip. In (**H**), each point represents the mean developed systolic force per patient. ISO, isoproterenol; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide.

Effect of Sac/Val on Atrial Inotropy and Lusitropy

We evaluated the effects of the NEP inhibitor Sac on the atrial functional reserve. Preincubation (1 h) and the presence of Sac alone did not affect the developed force or diastolic tension after ISO at different stimulation frequencies (Figures 2A-C, n = 8 and 7 muscle strips, respectively, from 5 patients). Preincubation (1h) and the presence of Sac in combination with Val in concentrations matching the peak plasma levels found in patients treated orally with an ARNI showed a slight trend toward an increased inotropy with ISO compared to control (Figures 2D-F) at 1 Hz, but otherwise had no significant effects on developed force or kinetics (time to peak, half time of relaxation, or relaxation constant tau; data not shown). Interestingly, despite the effects seen with BNP earlier, diastolic tension was unchanged with Sac/Val (Figures 2G,H; n = 24muscle strips from 9 patients, overall p = 0.3, two-way ANOVA with RM).

Effect of BNP and Sac/Val on Atrial Arrhythmias During Cardiac Stress

During ISO treatment, some of the atrial muscle strips developed arrhythmias (aftercontractions, **Figure 3A**). In the protocol with prolonged ISO-treatment at 1 Hz, the addition of BNP tended to lower the incidence of arrhythmias (**Figure 3B**, n = 20 trabeculae from 9 patients). The antiarrhythmic effect of BNP was more pronounced in atrial muscle strips exposed to ISO

and frequency stair (n = 40 trabeculae from 17 patients), with a pronounced reduction of arrhythmias in the recovery phase (0.5 Hz) following 3 Hz stimulation (**Figures 3C,D**). Overall the incidence of arrhythmias was reduced by 48% with BNP compared to the control group in this protocol [p = 0.008, two-sided chi-square test; odds ratio (OR) = 0.37; **Figure 3E**].

Sac/Val preincubation and presence tended to decrease overall arrhythmia burden during ISO and frequency stair (p = 0.12, two-sided chi-square test; OR = 0.48; **Figures 3F-H**) with a significant reduction of arrhythmias at higher stimulation frequencies (**Figure 3G**; p = 0.04, two-sided chi-square test; OR = 0.24, n = 24 trabeculae from 9 patients).

Sac treatment without Val had no impact on the prevalence of arrhythmias during adrenergic and frequency-dependent atrial stress (in n = 15 trabeculae from 5 patients, data not shown).

Effects of Sac/Val on VASP Phosphorylation

We probed signaling downstream of cGMP by measuring phosphorylation of VASP as a surrogate of PKG activity (21). pVASP-Ser239 is a known indicator for (A)NP-dependent increase in phosphorylation (22). In a subset of muscle strips (n = 15 from 5 patients) treated with either Sac/Val or vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) and undergoing the frequency protocol, VASP phosphorylation at Ser239 and Ser157 was studied. We did not observe any difference in VASP



phosphorylation between Sac/Val-treated atrial muscle strips and control group (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

Neprilysin Expression in Human End-Stage HF

NEP protein expression was measured in RA biopsies from patients with end-stage HF (n = 10, median LVEF 20%) that either underwent heart transplantation (70%) or left ventricular assistant device implantation (30%). We also measured NEP in the LV of these patients. The detailed patient characteristics can be found in **Supplementary Table 1**. Plasma NTproBNP was elevated to >6,500 pg/ml on average as a marker of severe end-stage HF. NEP was equally expressed in RA and LV tissue (**Figure 4A**), with a significant positive correlation of RA and

LV NEP expression within the same patient (**Figure 4B**), and RA NEP expression and RA EF (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Interestingly, also a strong negative correlation was found between LA volume and LV NEP (**Figure 4C**), and between patients' NYHA class and RA NEP (r = -0.81; p < 0.01, Spearman *r* test; **Figure 4D**).

DISCUSSION

This study investigated the effects of BNP and Sac/Val on the functional reserve of human atrial myocardium. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show that (i) BNP ameliorates increased diastolic tension during adrenergic stress and alleviates stress-induced atrial arrhythmogeneity *in vitro*;



analysis, the arrhythmias at 1 Hz (10 min) and 2, 3, and 0.5 Hz (each 5 min) have been included Finally, example traces of muscle strips treated with a vehicle (DMSO) or Sac/Val at the transition between 1 and 2 Hz stimulation frequency are shown in (**F**), with the effects of Sac/Val treatment on arrhythmias at higher stimulation frequencies in (**G**) and the overall effect on arrhythmia in (**H**). For this analysis, the arrhythmias at 1 Hz (10 min) and 2, 3, and 0.5 Hz (each 5 min) have been included. ISO, isoproterenol; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; Veh, vehicle (DSMO); Sac, sacubitrilat; Val, valsartan.

(ii) NEP is equally present in human atrial and ventricular myocardium, but its expression is reduced with a progression of cardiac dysfunction; and (iii) the combination of Sac and Val does not influence the adrenergic functional reserve in isolated atrial muscle but reduces arrhythmias in response to adrenergic stimulation.

NEP Expression and Regulation

Even though ARNIs have been the subject of intense research during the past decade, only little attention has been paid to the expression of NEP in the failing human heart. Fielitz et al. (10) were the first to show that NEP expression and activity were altered in LV myocardium in HF. They reported an increase in NEP expression and activity in LV samples from patients with HF, which they suggested to contribute to increased local degradation of bradykinin and NPs (10). In contrast to that, Pavo et al. found a reduction in LV NEP expression, concentration, and activity in a porcine model of ischemic cardiomyopathy. They suggested that NEP downregulation might represent a counterregulatory mechanism to HF (11). In this study, we show NEP expression in human atrial myocardium in similar quantities as in human failing LV. The inverse correlation of atrial dilatation with LV NEP protein expression suggests that chronically increased cardiac pressures as reflected by atrial





dilatation may be a trigger for NEP downregulation in the human heart. Indeed, NEP inhibition with candoxatril has been shown to reduce ventricular filling pressures (cardiac preload) (23, 24). Thus, downregulation of NEP may contribute to a compensatory reduction of preload in situations of increased wall strain in HF. However, candoxatril, in contrast, also increased systolic blood pressure, which is presumably due to increased angiotensin II and endothelin levels (23, 25). These effects diminish the positive effects of isolated NEP downregulation or inhibition (26, 27). The elevated levels of endothelin 1 or angiotensin II (both of which are synthesized within the myocardium, among others) also could explain why isolated NEP inhibition by Sac alone did not have any effects on functional parameters or arrhythmia in our *in vitro* study. The previously described mechanism of NEP downregulation in HF, therefore, may be, just like other compensatory mechanisms in HF [e.g., myocardial hypertrophy

TABLE 1 | Patient characteristics.

PetietrationalMedicationMedicationAge7(4-30)Bda-Bookar (AT-PB6/8.52/42BM27(4-30)Buta-Bookar (AT-PB6/8.52/42BM27(24-30)Durats8/8.57/42Andrai Appendixance758.30/42AFN28.51/42Dajabémin6.98.51/42Satafa6.98.51/42Conspary Attag Messance8.98.37/42Balabéne5.8.51/42Conspary Attag Messance8.98.37/42Balabéne5.8.51/42Conspary Attag Messance8.98.37/42Sonspary Attag Messance5.8.51/42Conspary Attag Messance9.8.51/42Conspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Conspary Attag Messance9.8.51/42Sonspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Conspary Attag Messance9.8.51/42Sonspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Conspary Attag Messance9.8.51/42Sonspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Conspary Attag Messance7.8.52/42Sonspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Sonspary Attag Messance7.8.52/42Sonspary Attag Messance8.9.51/42Sonspary Attag Messance7.8.52/42Sonspary Atta	(A)			
Sex (mate)B4% 3642Beta-Blocker64 % 27/42BM27 (24-30)Duretics36 % 15/42Arteral hypertension76 % 20/42MRI14 % 64/42Dysipidemia45% 19/42ARNI2 % 11/42Adjostas05% 11/42Statine06 % 27/42Diabetes multius89 % 37/42Insuin5 % 2/42Artis Hypertension28 % 11/42Coronary artery disease89 % 37/42Artis Hypertension29 % 12/42Goronary Artery Bypass Graft89 % 35/42Chronic kinkey disease29 % 12/42Goronary Artery Bypass Graft89 % 35/42Chronic kinkey disease37 % 14/42Goronary Artery Bypass Graft89 % 35/42Chronic kinkey disease39 % 12/42Mitral Valve Beplacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Stocker33 % 14/42Mitral Valve Beplacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholis9 % 12/42Mitral Valve Beplacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Stocker33 % 14/42Mitral Valve Beplacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholis14 (13-15)N = 4212 % 5/42Na (moth)14 (01-45)N = 4212 % 5/42Na (moth)14 (03-45)N = 4212 % 5/42Chronic kinding05 (05-97)N = 4212 % 5/42Chronic kinding16 (05-97)N = 4112 % 5/42USD (mn)16 (14-52)N = 4112 % 5/42USD (mn)16 (14-52)N = 3612 % 5/42Ladianter(min)16 (15-87)N = 3612 % 5/42	Patient characteristics		Medication	
BM27 (24-30)Diaretics36 % 15/42Arterid Nyortension76 % 32/42MRI14 % 6/42Diajolotion45% 11/42Statine2 % 1/42Adjostas26 % 11/42Cal antidiabetic26 % 11/42Diabetes mellitus33 % 14/42Carl antidiabetic5 % 2/42Artial Ebrilation26 % 11/42Coronary attery disease5 % 3/42Hari fallino26 % 11/42Coronary Attery Bapas Graft83 % 35/42Chorne kichey disease17 % 7/42Coronary Attery Bapas Graft83 % 35/42Chorne kichey disease17 % 7/42Coronary Attery Bapas Graft83 % 35/42Sonokar33 % 14/42Marti Alvao Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Abochaliem7 % 6/42Aordic Valve Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Sonokar3 % 14/42Marti Alvao Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Abochaliem7 % 6/42Aordic Valve Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Sonokar7 % 6/42Aordic Valve Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Abochaliem7 % 6/42Martia Valve Replacoment or reconstruction5 % 2/42Sonokar16 (135-51)N = 4216 % 16 % 17Na+ (mord)16 (16-57)N = 4217Na+ (mord)96 (36-67)N = 4217Cher (mg)61 (64-57)N = 4117Na (Marti Marti	Age	71 (66–77)	ACE Inhibitors/ AT1-RB	76 % 32/42
Arterial hypertension76 % 32/42MRI14 % 6/42Dyslipdemia45% 1/42ARNI2 % 1/42Adipositas33 % 1/42Ord antidiabetic26 % 1/42Diabetes mellitus33 % 1/42Ord antidiabetic26 % 1/42Coronary artery disease88 % 37/42Isulin5 % 2/42Atial Fibrilation26 % 1/42Coronary Artery Bypass Graft83 % 3/42Chronic kidney disease29 % 1/42Coronary Artery Bypass Graft83 % 3/42Chronic kidney disease17 % 7/42Aortic-Foot-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism7 % 3/42Aortic-Foot-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism140 (138-143)N = 424Na + (mmol/)140 (138-143)N = 42-Na + (mmol/)140 (138-143)N = 42-Na + (mmol/)096 (0,79-1,17)N = 42-Creatin (mg/di)0,96 (0,79-1,17)N = 42-Chronic king0,96 (0,79-1,17)N = 42-Chronic (mg/di)13 (24-57)N = 42-Chronic (mg/di)13 (24-57)N = 41-Chronic (mg/di)13 (12-14)N = 41-Nad (mmin)13 (12-14)N = 36-Nad (mmin)13 (12-14)N = 36-Nad (mmin)13 (12-38)N = 36-Nad (mmin)13 (12-38)N = 36-Nad (mmin)13 (12-38)N = 36-Nad (mmin)13 (12-38)N = 36-<	Sex (male)	86 % 36/42	Beta-Blocker	64 % 27/42
pyinoAf5% 19/42ARNI2 % 1/42Adiposita26 % 11/42Statine64 % 27/42Diabetes mellius26 % 11/42Oral antidabetic66 % 11/42Coronay artey disease88 % 37/42Inslu5 % 2/42Arial Fobilation26 % 11/42Coronay Artey Bypass Graft83 % 36/42Chronic kidney disease14 % 6/42Affect Aubre Replacement or reconstruction24 % 10/42Smokar33 % 14/42Adric Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Smokar33 % 14/42Adric-Noto-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Smokar33 % 14/42Mitral Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Achorison7 % 3/42Adric-Noto-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Smokar41 (13-15)N = 42*********************************	BMI	27 (24–30)	Diuretics	36 % 15/42
Adpositas26 % 11/42Statine64 % 27/42Diabotes mellitus33 % 14/42Oral antidabetic26 % 11/42Coronary artery disease88 % 37/42Isulin5 % 2/42Andle Ebralitation29 % 11/42Coronary Artery Bypass Graft83 % 35/42COPD14 % 6/42Ype of surgery83 % 35/42Coronic kidney disease17 % 7/42Acritic Vaive Peplacement or reconstruction24 % 10/42Smoke33 % 14/42Mitral Vaive Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Acoholism7 % 3/42Acritic Vaive Peplacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Acoholism140 (138-143)N = 42YmeLabortory markerYee (14, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 24, 2	Arterial hypertension	76 % 32/42	MRI	14 % 6/42
Deb33 % 14/42Oral antidabetic28 % 11/42Coronay artery disease88 % 37/42Isulin5 % 2/42Atial Fibrilation26 % 11/42COPD14 % 6/42 Coronay Artery Byass Graft 83 % 53/42Chronic kidney disease17 % 7/42Ardic Valve Replacement or reconstruction24 % 10/42Snoker7 % 3/42Ardic Valve Replacement or reconstruction26 % 2/42Acotolasm7 % 3/42Ardic Valve Replacement or reconstruction26 % 2/42Acotolasm7 % 3/42Ardic Valve Replacement or reconstruction26 % 2/42Acotolasm14 (13-15)N = 4212 % 5/42Asteinrowith14 (13-15)N = 4212 % 5/42Ki (mol/i)14 (13-15)N = 4214 % 5/42Rig (d)14 (13-15)N = 4214 % 5/42Snoker16 (190N = 4214 % 5/42Creatini (mg/d)05 (0.79-1.17)N = 4214 % 5/42Creatini (mg/d)03 (45-67)N = 4214 % 5/42Creatini (mg/d)03 (45-67)N = 4214 % 5/42Creatini (mg/d)03 (45-67)N = 4214 % 5/42Ly (d) (mg)03 (45-67)N = 4214 % 5/42Ly (d) (mg)03 (45-67)N = 4214 % 5/42Ly (d) (mg)03 (45-67)N = 4114 % 5/42 <td>Dyslipidemia</td> <td>45% 19/42</td> <td>ARNI</td> <td>2 % 1/42</td>	Dyslipidemia	45% 19/42	ARNI	2 % 1/42
Coronary artery disease88 % 37/42Insulin5 % 2/42Athial Fonliation20 % 11/42Coronary Artery Bypass Graft83 % 35/42Chorolic kidney disease17 % 7/42Arotic Nalve Replacement or reconstruction2 % 10/42Smoker33 % 14/42Arotic Nalve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Accholism33 % 14/42Arotic-Nach-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Accholism3 % 14/42Arotic-Nach-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Accholism3 % 14/42Arotic-Nach-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Accholism14 (13-15)M = 4212 % 5/42Asterimon/In14 (13-15)N = 4212 % 5/42Na-p(mon/I)40 (138-143)N = 2312 % 5/42Ni-posDNP (ng/n)76 (61-90)N = 4212 % 5/42Creatinin (mg/d)05 (0.79-1.17)N = 4212 % 5/42Creatinin (mg/d)0,56 (0.79-1.12)N = 4212 % 5/42Creatinin (mg/d)0,56 (0.79-1.12)N = 4212 % 5/42Creatinin (mg/d)0,36 (3-57)N = 4212 % 5/42Creatinin (mg/d)13 (12-14)N = 4212 % 5/42LyEDD (mm)0,316 (2-57)N = 4212 % 5/42	Adipositas	26 % 11/42	Statine	64 % 27/42
Aria Funda26 % 11/42COPD14 % 6/42 Tye surgery Heart failure29 % 12/42Coronary Artery Bypass Craft83 % 35/42Chronic Kidhey disease17 % 7/42Achtic Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Smoker33 % 14/42Mitral Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism0 % 2/42Achtic Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism3 % 14/42Mitral Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism0 % 2/42Achtic Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism14 (13–15)N = 42FNa+ (monl/)140 (138–143)N = 42FNF-proBNP forg/)66 (3696–1984)N = 42FOFF (mini)76 (61–90)N = 42FCreatin (mg/d)0.50 (0.79–1.17)N = 42FChart (monl/mol)10 (36–60)N = 42FChart (main)0.50 (0.79–1.17)N = 42FChart (mg/d)10 (36–60)N = 42FChart (mg/d)13 (36–61)N = 41FChart (mg/mol)13 (12–14)N = 41FVEF (%)13 (12–14)N = 41FVed (mm)13 (12–14)N = 43FVed (mm)13 (12–13)N = 36FLA valme (plant (min)13 (12–93)N = 36FVed (mm)13 (12–14)N = 41FVed (mm)13 (12–13)N = 36FLA valme (mm)0.50 (55–55)<	Diabetes mellitus	33 % 14/42	Oral antidiabetic	26 % 11/42
COPD14 % 442Spread surgerHeart failine29 % 12/42Coronay Arteny Byass Graft83 % 54/2Chronic kidney disease17 % 7/42Aortic Valve Replacement or reconstruction24 % 10/42Smoker30 % 11/42Miral Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Acotolism7 % 3/42Aortic-Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Acotolism7 % 3/42Aortic-Replacement or reconstruction12 % 5/42Aberly marker14 (13-15)N = 42StateN= (mon/)40 (108-143)N = 42StateN= (mon/)160 (108-143)N = 42StateChestinin (mg/)050 (579-11.7)N = 42StateCrastinin (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 42StateCrastinin (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 41StateCrastinin (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 41StateLyder (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 36StateLyder (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 36StateLyder (mg/)051 (50-5)N = 36StateLyder (mg/)051 (50	Coronary artery disease	88 % 37/42	Insulin	5 % 2/42
Heart tailure 29 % 12/42 Coronary Artery Byass Graft 83 % 36/42 Chronic kidney disease 17 % 7/42 Aortic Valve Replacement or reconstruction 24 % 10/42 Smoker 33 % 14/42 Miral Valve Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 9 % 3/42 Aortic-Root-Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 9 % 3/42 Aortic-Root-Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 9 % 3/42 Aortic-Root-Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 9 % 3/42 Aortic-Root-Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 9 % 3/42 Aortic-Root-Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Abcoholism 14 (13-5) N = 42 1	Atrial Fibrillation	26 % 11/42		
Chronic kidney disease 17 % 7/42 Aortic Vaive Replacement or reconstruction 24 % 10/42 Smoker 33 % 14/42 Mitral Vaive Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Alcoholism 7 % 3/42 Matiral Vaive Replacement or reconstruction 5 % 2/42 Alcoholism 12 % 5/42 12 % 5/42 Alcoholism 14 (13~15) N = 42 Na+ (mmol/) 140 (138–143) N = 42 Na+ (mmol/) 42 (4.0-4.5) N = 42 Na-proBNP (ng/l) 769 (396–1984) N = 23 GPR (m/min) 6161–30 N = 42 Creatinin (mg/d) 0.95 (0.79–1.17) N = 42 Bha1c (mmol/mol) 18.08-60 N = 42 Hb14 (mmol/mol) 18.08-61 N = 42 KB1 18.46-62 N = 42 KB1 18.46-62 N = 41 VEDD (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 VESG (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 VESG (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 36 LA voitune biplan (ml) 61 (5+31) N = 36 LA voitune biplan (ml	COPD	14 % 6/42	Type of surgery	
Snoker33 % 14/42Mitral Valve Replacement or reconstruction5 % 2/42Alcoholism7 % 3/42Aorio-Reot-Replacement12 % 5/42Laborator markersN = 42Hb (g/d)14 (13-16)N = 42K+ (mmol/)40 (138-143)N = 42K+ (mmol/)76 (36-1984)N = 23GFR (m/min)76 (36-1984)N = 42Creatini (mg/d)0.95 (0.79-11.73)N = 42Creatini (mg/d)0.95 (0.79-11.73)N = 42CPF (mg/)2.75 (0.9-11.23)N = 19CPF (mg/)2.75 (0.9-11.23)N = 19CPF (mg/)13 (48-52)N = 42LVETD (S)53 (45-57)N = 42LVETD (S)13 (12-14)N = 41LVETD (mm)13 (12-14)N = 41LVGU (mm)13 (12-13)N = 33LAdamet pipal (min)13 (12-33)N = 33LAdamet pipal (min)13 (12-33)N = 33LAdamet (mm)3 (35-40)N = 33LAdamet (mm)13 (12-34)N = 33LAdamet (mm)13 (12-34)N = 33LAdamet (mm)13 (12-32)N = 36LAdamet (mm)13 (14-20)N = 36LAdamet (mm)13 (14-20)N = 36LAdamet (mm)13 (14-20)N = 36RA area (m2)14 (14-30)N = 32RA area (m2)14 (14-30)N = 36RA area (m2) <td>Heart failure</td> <td>29 % 12/42</td> <td>Coronary Artery Bypass Graft</td> <td>83 % 35/42</td>	Heart failure	29 % 12/42	Coronary Artery Bypass Graft	83 % 35/42
Alcoholism78 3/42Ardic-Root-Replacement12 % 5/42Laboratory markersHe (qf)14 (13-15)N = 42Na+ (mmol/)40 (138-143)N = 42K+ (mmol/)42 (40-4.5)N = 42NT-proBNP (ng/)76 (39-09.4)N = 42Oreatin (ng/d)0.95 (0.79-1.17)N = 42Cheatin (ng/d)0.95 (0.79-1.17)N = 42CBP (mg/n)2.75 (0.9-1.12)N = 42CBP (mg/n)2.75 (0.9-1.12)N = 42DAta (mmol/mol/)2.75 (0.9-1.12)N = 42CBP (mg/n)3.03 (45-57)N = 42CBP (mg/n)6.04 (4-52)N = 41LMEDD (mmol6.161-8)N = 41LMEDD (mmol)1.012-10N = 41VEDD (mmol)1.012-10N = 41LAvolum biplan (ml)1.012-10N = 53LA value biplan (ml)1.012-10N = 53LA dameter (mm)0.035-55N = 33LA strain (2.370,m)1.014-20N = 36LA strain (Chronic kidney disease	17 % 7/42	Aortic Valve Replacement or reconstruction	24 % 10/42
Laboratory markers Hb (g/d) 14 (13–15) N = 42 Na+ (rmol/) 140 (138–143) N = 42 K+ (rmol/) 4.2 (4.0–4.5) N = 42 NT-proBNP (ng/) 769 (396–1984) N = 42 GFR (m/min) 76 (61–90) N = 42 Creatini (mg/d) 0.95 (0.79–1.17) N = 42 Cher (mg/n) 2.75 (0.9–11.23) N = 42 Cher (mg/n) 2.75 (0.9–11.23) N = 42 HbA1c (rmol/mol) 13 (38–67) N = 42 LVEF (%) 53 (45–57) N = 42 LVED (nm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 VSd (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 VAd (ml/m2) 13 (27–38) N = 35 LAV (ml/m2) 13 (27–38) N = 35 LA valuene biplan (ml) 61 (51–51) N = 36 LA valuene biplan (ml) 19 (14–29) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–65) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 36 (35–55) N = 36 RA area (m2) 10 (14–20) N = 36 RA are	Smoker	33 % 14/42	Mitral Valve Replacement or reconstruction	5 % 2/42
H I	Alcoholism	7 % 3/42	Aortic-Root-Replacement	12 % 5/42
Na+ (mmol/) 140 (138-143) N = 42 K+ (mmol/) 4.2 (4.0-4.5) N = 42 NT-proBNP (ng/) 769 (396-1984) N = 23 GFR (m/min) 769 (30-79-1.17) N = 42 Creatini (mg/d) 0.95 (0.79-1.17) N = 42 HbA10 (mmol/mol) 41 (38-46) N = 19 (B) 275 (0.9-11.23) N = 42 HbA10 (mmol/mol) 41 (38-46) N = 19 (B) 53 (45-57) N = 42 LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 VEDD (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 VEDD (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 PWd (nm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 VEV (nm) 12 (1-12) N = 41 LAV dume biplan (ml) 61 (51-81) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 36 (35-40) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 36 (35-40) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 36 (28-38) N = 38 RA area (cm2) 16 (14-20) N = 32 RA area (cm2) 52 (33-59) N = 32	Laboratory markers			
K+ (mmo/l) 4.2 (4.0-4.5) N = 42 NT-proBNP (ng/l) 769 (396-1984) N = 23 GFR (ml/min) 76 (61-90) N = 42 Creatinin (mg/dl) 0.95 (0.79-1.17) N = 42 CRP (mg/l) 2.75 (0.9-11.23) N = 42 HbA1c (mmol/mol) 10 (38-46) N = 19 Echo data Echo data Echo data LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 LVED (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 VSG (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 VSG (mm) 12 (1-12) N = 41 VAG (mm) 12 (1-23) N = 36 LA volume biplan (ml) 61 (51-81) N = 36 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 39 LA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 50 (35-55) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 52 (33-59) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 52 (33-59) N = 36 RA diameter (mmm) 52 (33-5	Hb (g/dl)	14 (13–15)	N = 42	
NF-proBNP (ng/l) 769 (396-1984) N = 23 GFR (ml/min) 76 (61-90) N = 42 Creatinin (mg/dl) 0.95 (0.79-1.17) N = 42 CRP (mg/l) 2.75 (0.9-11.23) N = 42 HbA1c (mmol/mol) 41 (38-46) N = 19 (B) Echo data V LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 VSd (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 VSd (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 VM (m/m) 12 (11-12) N = 41 LAV (mm) 13 (12-38) N = 35 LAV (mm) 31 (27-38) N = 35 LA viame biplan (ml) 61 (51-81) N = 36 LAV (m/m2) 31 (32-55) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 30 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14-29) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14-20) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28-38) N = 38 RA diameter (mm) 52 (23-59) N = 38 RA diameter (mm) 52 (23-59) N = 36	Na+ (mmol/l)	140 (138–143)	N = 42	
GFR (m/m)76 (61-90)N = 42Creatinin (mg/d)0.95 (0.79-1.17)N = 42CRP (mg/l)2.75 (0.9-11.23)N = 42HbA1c (mmol/mol)41 (38-46)N = 19(B) \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} Eho atta \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} LVEF (%)53 (45-57)N = 42LVEDD (mm)46 (44-52)N = 41PVDD (mm)13 (12-14)N = 41PVM (mm)12 (11-12)N = 41LA volume biplan (ml)61 (51-61)N = 36LAVI (ml/m2)31 (27-38)N = 35LA diameter (mm)38 (35-40)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14-29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14-20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28-38)N = 36RA diameter (mm)52 (33-59)N = 32RA area (cm2)61 (14-20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)52 (33-59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (<)	K+ (mmol/l)	4.2 (4.0-4.5)	N = 42	
Creatini (mg/di) 0.95 (0.79-1.17) N = 42 CRP (mg/l) 2.75 (0.9-11.23) N = 42 HbA1c (mmol/mol) 41 (38-46) N = 19 (b) E E EAD data N = 42 LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 VEDD (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 NSd (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 LA volume biplan (ml) 16 (18-81) N = 36 LAVI (m/m2) 31 (27-38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 35 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14-29) N = 20 RA area (om2) 16 (14-20) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (23-55) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (23-59) N = 36 RA area (om2) 16 (14-20) N = 36 RA area (om2) 25 (23-59) N = 36 RA area (om2) 26 (23-49) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (se) 42 (21-49) N = 14 ErÉ 11 (10-13) N = 17 TAPSE (NT–proBNP (ng/l)	769 (396–1984)	N = 23	
CRP (mg/) 2.75 (0.9–11.2) N = 42 HbA1c (mmol/mol) 41 (38–46) N = 19 (B) Ford ata Ford ata LVEF (%) 53 (45–57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44–52) N = 41 NSd (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11–12) N = 41 LAV olume biplan (ml) 61 (51–81) N = 36 LAV (m/m2) 31 (27–38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–40) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 50 (35–55) N = 35 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14–20) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14–20) N = 36 RA area (cm2) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA area (cm2) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ee) 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 53 (31–39) N = 37	GFR (ml/min)	76 (61–90)	N = 42	
HbA1c (mmol/mol) 41 (a8-46) N = 19 (B) Echo data N = 42 LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 IVSd (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11-12) N = 41 LAV olume biplan (ml) 61 (51-81) N = 36 LAV (m//m2) 31 (27-38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 39 LA diameter (mm) 50 (35-55) N = 36 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14-29) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14-20) N = 36 RA area (cm2) 52 (33-59) N = 32 RA area (cm2) 52 (33-59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (e0 42 (31-49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10-13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17-23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31-39) N = 37	Creatinin (mg/dl)	0.95 (0.79–1.17)	N = 42	
(B) Exho data LVEF (%) 53 (45–57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44–52) N = 41 IVSd (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11–12) N = 41 LA volume biplan (ml) 61 (51–81) N = 36 LAVI (mI/m2) 31 (27–38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–40) N = 39 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–55) N = 35 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14–29) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14–20) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 33 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 32 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–39) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (<e)< td=""> 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37</e)<>	CRP (mg/l)	2.75 (0.9–11.23)	N = 42	
Echo data LVEF (%) 53 (45–57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44–52) N = 41 VSd (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11–12) N = 41 LA volume biplan (ml) 61 (51–81) N = 36 LAVI (ml/m2) 31 (27–38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–40) N = 39 LA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 50 (35–55) N = 36 RA area (cm2) 16 (14–20) N = 38 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 33 RA diameter (mm) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ee) 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37	HbA1c (mmol/mol)	41 (38–46)	N = 19	
LVEF (%) 53 (45-57) N = 42 LVEDD (mm) 46 (44-52) N = 41 NSd (mm) 13 (12-14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11-12) N = 41 LA volume biplan (ml) 61 (51-81) N = 36 LAVI (m//2) 31 (27-38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35-40) N = 39 LA diameter (mm) 50 (35-55) N = 35 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14-29) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14-20) N = 38 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28-38) N = 38 RA diameter (nmm) 52 (33-59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ce) 42 (31-49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10-13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17-23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31-39) N = 37	(B)			
LVED (mm)46 (4-52)N = 41IVSd (mm)13 (12-14)N = 41PWd (mm)12 (11-12)N = 41LA volume biplan (ml)61 (51-81)N = 36LAVI (ml/m2)31 (27-38)N = 35LA diameter (mm)38 (35-40)N = 39LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35-55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14-29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14-20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28-38)N = 38RA diameter (mm)52 (33-59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (ee)42 (31-49)N = 14E/É11 (10-13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17-23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31-39)N = 37	Echo data			
NSd (mm) 13 (12–14) N = 41 PWd (mm) 12 (11–12) N = 41 LA volume biplan (ml) 61 (51–81) N = 36 LAVI (ml/m2) 31 (27–38) N = 35 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–40) N = 39 LA diameter (mm) 38 (35–40) N = 39 LA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 50 (35–55) N = 35 LA strain (>23% norm) 19 (14–29) N = 20 RA area (cm2) 16 (14–20) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 38 RA diameter (mm) 35 (23–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (<e)< td=""> 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37</e)<>	LVEF (%)	53 (45–57)	N = 42	
PWd (mm)12 (11-12)N = 41LA volume biplan (ml)61 (51-81)N = 36LAVI (ml/m2)31 (27-38)N = 35LA diameter (mm)38 (35-40)N = 39LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35-55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14-29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14-20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28-38)N = 38RA diameter (mm)35 (23-59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (ce)42 (31-49)N = 14E/É11 (10-13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17-23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31-39)N = 37	LVEDD (mm)	46 (44–52)	N = 41	
LA volume biplan (ml)61 (51–81)N = 36LAVI (ml/m2)31 (27–38)N = 35LA diameter (mm)38 (35–40)N = 39LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35–55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14–29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14–20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28–38)N = 38RA diameter (mm)52 (33–59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (\$e\$)42 (31–49)N = 14E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	IVSd (mm)	13 (12–14)	N = 41	
LAVI (ml/m2)31 (27–38)N = 35LA diameter (mm)38 (35–40)N = 39LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35–55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14–29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14–20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28–38)N = 38RA emptying fraction (norm >37%)52 (33–59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (e)42 (31–49)N = 14E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	PWd (mm)	12 (11–12)	N = 41	
LA diameter (mm)38 (35–40)N = 39LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35–55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14–29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14–20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28–38)N = 38RA emptying fraction (norm >37%)52 (33–59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (LP (1 - 13)N = 14E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	LA volume biplan (ml)	61 (51–81)	N = 36	
LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)50 (35–55)N = 35LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14–29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14–20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28–38)N = 38RA emptying fraction (norm >37%)52 (33–59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (42 (31–49)N = 14E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	LAVI (ml/m2)	31 (27–38)	N = 35	
LA strain (>23% norm)19 (14–29)N = 20RA area (cm2)16 (14–20)N = 36RA diameter (mm)35 (28–38)N = 38RA emptying fraction (norm >37%)52 (33–59)N = 32RA strain reservoir+conduit (ce)42 (31–49)N = 14E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	LA diameter (mm)	38 (35–40)	N = 39	
RA area (cm2) 16 (14–20) N = 36 RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 38 RA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ce) 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37	LA emptying fraction (norm >37%)	50 (35–55)	N = 35	
RA diameter (mm) 35 (28–38) N = 38 RA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ϵ e) 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37	LA strain (>23% norm)	19 (14–29)	N = 20	
RA emptying fraction (norm >37%) 52 (33–59) N = 32 RA strain reservoir+conduit (ee) 42 (31–49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10–13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17–23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37	RA area (cm2)	16 (14–20)	N = 36	
RA strain reservoir+conduit (ce) 42 (31-49) N = 14 E/É 11 (10-13) N = 17 TAPSE (mm) 19 (17-23) N = 39 RVEDD (mm) 35 (31-39) N = 37	RA diameter (mm)	35 (28–38)	N = 38	
E/É11 (10–13)N = 17TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	RA emptying fraction (norm >37%)	52 (33–59)	N = 32	
TAPSE (mm)19 (17–23)N = 39RVEDD (mm)35 (31–39)N = 37	RA strain reservoir+conduit (ϵ e)	42 (31–49)	N = 14	
RVEDD (mm) 35 (31–39) N = 37	E/É	11 (10–13)	N = 17	
	TAPSE (mm)	19 (17–23)	N = 39	
sPAP (mmHg) 25 (24–31) N = 21	RVEDD (mm)	35 (31–39)	N = 37	
	sPAP (mmHg)	25 (24–31)	N = 21	

Clinical characteristics of patient cohort included for in vitro experiments (A) and echo characterization of patient cohort (B). Data are presented as "median (IQR)" or "percent (n patients/all patients)." MRI, mineralocorticoid receptor inhibitor; ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; LV, left ventricle; LA, left atrium; RA, right atrium; AT1-RB, Angiotensin II receptor blocker.

(28) and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) activation (29)], considered to be at least partly maladaptive. Not until NEP inhibition is combined with angiotensin II receptor blockage, the full potential of this mechanism can be therapeutically exploited.

BNP and Sac/Val Effect on Developed Systolic Force and Diastolic Tension

The ARNI treatment is accompanied by a significant increase in circulating BNP, as its degradation is partly inhibited (30). Gu et al. (19) could show that ANP levels are already increased as

short as 15 min after oral administration of an ARNI in a rat model. In combination with other studies showing that ARNI treatment increased the EF in patients with HFrEF (31), this raised the question if there are also short-term effects of NPs and NEP inhibition on the systolic or diastolic functional reserve in human atrial myocardium.

Perera et al. demonstrated that ANP increases contractility in mice ventricular cardiomyocytes in a phase of early cardiac hypertrophy already seconds after wash-in, but only if the cells were pretreated with ISO. This positive inotropic effect was explained by an augmentation of cAMP signaling in the hypertrophied myocardium exerted by a spatial redistribution of cGMP sensitive PDE2 and PDE3 (8). In this study, we did not observe an immediate positive inotropic effect of BNP in human atrial myocardium. The first reason for the lack of immediate positive inotropic effects may be that our patient cohort was rather suffering from chronic than acute conditions (CAD in 91%, aHT in 81%, NTproBNP 769 ng/L); LV hypertrophy was found to be in some extent (IVSd median diameter 13 mm), but RA diameter (median 34 mm) did not indicate atrial enlargement. These findings are also in line with other publications studying coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) patient characteristics and echocardiography (32, 33). As chronic HF is associated with a reduction in NP-A receptor sensitivity, immediate natriuretic signaling may have been attenuated in the patient group presented in this study (34, 35). Furthermore, the positive-inotropic spatial redistribution of PDE2 and PDE3 between β -ARs was only described in the early stages of hypertrophy by Perera et al. (8). A loss of this early compensatory mechanism during progression/duration of cardiac hypertrophy due to NP and β -AR desensitization and/or phosphodiesterases (PDE) reorganization is very likely and can also explain the observed loss of positive inotropic effects of NPs in chronic stages of cardiac disease (as seen in our patient cohort) (8). Finally, differences between atrial and ventricular adaptive mechanisms may also contribute to the observations made: it is unclear to the extent in which the mechanism of PDE reorganization can also be found in atrial tissue, but our results suggest that, at least in chronic LV hypertrophy, atrial tissue does not exhibit cellular adaptive processes associated with increased inotropy after NP incubation. Thus, patient and sample characteristics could explain why these effects were not seen in the present cohort. It is imaginable that BNP does not show these effects at an equivalent dose to ANP. However, as both NPs were administered in a concentration of 100 nM, also in accordance with previous experimental findings (18), and as ANP and BNP bind to the same receptors (NPR-As), this was not further explored in this study.

Interestingly, with the addition of BNP, we did observe a timeand frequency-dependent reduction of diastolic tension during adrenergic stress (ISO), thus establishing BNP's effectiveness in improving lusitropy in isolated atrial myocardium after ISO treatment.

Sac/Val inhibits the degradation of NPs in the myocardium and, therefore, increases the tissue concentration of ANP and BNP. In isolated atrial tissue as used in our study, however, Sac/Val did not reproduce the effect of supramaximal BNP treatment. We, therefore, conclude that the BNP levels intrinsically recruitable (by stretch and adrenergic stimulation) are not sufficient to see an acute effect of Sac/Val treatment on diastolic atrial tension.

Interestingly, we observed a strong correlation between plasma NTproBNP levels and the adrenergic atrial functional reserve *in vitro*, which suggests that long-term effects of (B)NP on adrenergic signaling may play a role in modulating the atrial myocardial functional reserve. Especially, the interplay of cAMP- and cGMP regulated by PDEs, such as PDE3, may serve as an explanation for this effect, as PDE3 degrades up to 50% of cellular cAMP, but can be inhibited by cGMP (cGMP inhibited cAMP PDE) (36). Constantly elevated tissue BNP and cGMP levels would, therefore, inhibit the degradation of cAMP and strengthen the intracellular pathway mediated by ISO, ultimately leading to a rise in relative force increase as a long-term effect.

BNP and LBQ/Val Effect on Atrial Arrhythmias

The PARADIGM-HF study did not only show a reduced risk of HF-related hospitalization and death in ARNI treatment but also a significant reduction in mortality by sudden cardiac death (SCD) (12, 37). For that reason, only recently the focus of ANRI research also shifted to study the effects of ARNIs on cardiac (ventricular) arrhythmias. de Diego et al. (31) published one of the first clinical studies assessing the influence of ARNI treatment on arrhythmia. While episodes of premature ventricular contractions (PVCs), non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT), and sustained ventricular tachycardia were significantly reduced in ARNI treatment, there was only a statistical trend in the reduction of atrial fibrillation episodes reported (p = 0.07; ns) (31). These findings were verified in another study with a 12-month follow-up (15).

Despite that, observational clinical data also suggested that ARNI treatment may lower the risk for the development of (recurring) atrial fibrillation and lower the disease burden and frequency of arrhythmic events in patients with non-permanent atrial fibrillation (38–41).

Interestingly, in our study, we could demonstrate that while Sac/Val did not have a significant influence on diastolic tension and, therefore, wall strain, it still reduced the probability of arrhythmias significantly in ISO-treated muscle strips. This suggests that the reduction of atrial arrhythmias is not only related to a reduction in strain (diastolic tension). In ventricular tissue, it has been shown that ANP (10 nM) significantly suppresses ISO-induced Ca²⁺ spark frequency (CaSF) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production on a cellular level (42). Eiringhaus et al. demonstrated that in ventricular myocardium, Sac/Val decreases diastolic SR Ca²⁺ leak and CaSF. These effects were not visible under basal conditions but only after ISO treatment (43). Interestingly, in atrial myocardium, we could reproduce the antiarrhythmic effect at a nearly 50% lower Sac/Val dosage than Eiringhaus et al. (40 µmol). The dosage we used matched the peak plasma concentration

achieved in humans in Sac/Val treatment (\approx 22.17 µmol Sac) (19, 20). That indicates that the antiarrhythmic properties of Sac/Val are exerted at a dose attained in standard HF treatment. As Sac and Sac/Val did not affect developed force in atrial muscle but external BNP reproduced the reduction in atrial arrhythmia incidence, we propose that the increased (juxtacellular) concentration of NPs by NEP-inhibitor Sac/Val contributes to the antiarrhythmic effects in human atrial muscle strips. The exact downstream mechanisms of NP and Sac/Val's antiarrhythmic properties are yet to be studied. All in all, an antiarrhythmic effect of Sac/Val based on effects of cellular Ca²⁺ handling seems to be likely. We have probed VASP phosphorylation in trabeculae treated with Sac/Val, as an indicator of increased PKG activity. However, VASP phosphorylation is highly time-dependent and rapidly decreases over time, no longer being significant only 1 h after treatment with ANP (22).

In light of the potential antiarrhythmic properties of Sac/Val on atrial tissue, ARNI treatment should be evaluated in highrisk patients with simultaneous HF and atrial fibrillation or atrial remodeling in future studies. Especially, in this patient group with high morbidity and mortality, it is likely that early ARNI treatment could exert major beneficial effects beyond the classically known ARNI effects by suppressing the induction of atrial arrhythmias and, therefore, maintaining atrial function.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we could demonstrate that both BNP and Sac/Val exert beneficial effects on human atrial myocardium and that NEP expression in progressing HF with reduced EF is downregulated as part of an adaptive mechanism, and our data suggest that the favorable effects of Sac/Val are partly due to the increased concentration of NPs in the myocardium and that these effects are already achieved in therapeutic clinical doses.

Limitations

For this study, we were working with human myocardial samples obtained from routine heart surgeries. As these human samples are not available on a large scale, we had to work with rather small sample sizes. Furthermore, all patients come with a unique combination of comorbidities and genetic variations. Therefore, we had to deal with a heterogeneous group in terms of underlying conditions, which, on the positive side, realistically reflects the clinical variation of patients. For the experiments with Sac and Val, we had to use DMSO as a solvent. More recently, DMSO was identified to influence human cellular processes and to exert toxicity already on low doses (44, 45). To account for these factors, we added DMSO to our control groups.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Local Ethics Committee of the Charité (EA2/167/15) and the Ethics Vote for the Biobank at the DHZB (EA4/028/12). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FRH and UP conceived the study design and obtained patients informed consent. FRH obtained Ethics Committee approval and funding. UP collected the patients' clinical data and performed echocardiography analysis. FH, FB, CK, VF, and HG collected right atrial appendages from all patients. PD acquired and analyzed right atrial trabeculae function and assisted in molecular biology. KT contributed to acquisition and analysis of tissue preparation and right atrial trabeculae function. CK furthermore provided patient characteristics for molecular biology studies on NEP expression. DL provided methodological support and contributed to interpretation of the results. PW performed Western Blot and ELISA and interpretation of these results. UP and PD equally contributed to the analysis. FRH, UP, and PD drafted the manuscript and interpretation of all data. BP and FH provided valuable feedback to the manuscript draft. All authors contributed to the manuscript and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This project was funded by a research grants from Novartis Deutschland GmbH. PD received an MD scholarship from the DZHK. FRH is supported by research grants from the German Research Foundation (DFG: CRC1470 and HE 7737/4-1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Brian Danzer for his technical assistance and all nurses involved in the sample collection for their continued support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2022.859014/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:2129–2200m. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128
- Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet.* (2012) 380:2197–223. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4
- Norman HS, Oujiri J, Larue SJ, Chapman CB, Margulies KB, Sweitzer NK. Decreased cardiac functional reserve in heart failure with preserved systolic function. J Card Fail. (2011) 17:301–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2010. 11.004
- Rahimtoola SH, Ehsani A, Sinno MZ, Loeb HS, Rosen KM, Gunnar RM. Left atrial transport function in myocardial infarction. Am J Med. (1975) 59:686–94. doi: 10.1016/0002-9343(75)90229-6
- Hoit BD. Left atrial size and function: role in prognosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2014) 63:493–505. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.10.055
- Habibi M, Chahal H, Opdahl A, Gjesdal O, Helle-Valle TM, Heckbert SR, et al. Association of CMR-measured LA function with heart failure development. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2014) 7:570–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.01.016
- Hohendanner F, Messroghli D, Bode D, Blaschke F, Parwani A, Boldt LH, et al. Atrial remodelling in heart failure: recent developments and relevance for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *ESC Hear Fail.* (2018) 5:211–21. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12260
- 8. Perera RK, Sprenger JU, Steinbrecher JH, Hübscher D, Lehnart SE, Abesser M, et al. Microdomain switch of cGMP-regulated phosphodiesterases leads to ANP-induced augmentation of β -adrenoceptor-stimulated contractility in early cardiac hypertrophy. *Circ Res.* (2015) 116:1304–11. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.306082
- Turner AJ, Isaac RE, Coates D. The neprilysin (NEP) family of zinc metalloendopeptidases : genomics and function. *BioEssays.* (2001) 23:261– 9. doi: 10.1002/1521-1878(200103)23:3<261::AID-BIES1036>3.0.CO;2-K
- Fielitz J, Dendorfer A, Pregla R, Ehler E, Zurbrügg HR, Bartunek J, et al. Neutral endopeptidase is activated in cardiomyocytes in human aortic valve stenosis and heart failure. *Circulation*. (2002) 105:286– 9. doi: 10.1161/hc0302.103593
- Pavo IJ, Pavo N, Kastner N, Traxler D, Lukovic D, Zlabinger K, et al. Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction is characterized by systemic NEP downregulation. *JACC Basic to Transl Sci.* (2020) 5:715– 26. doi: 10.1016/j.jacbts.2020.05.011
- McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. N Engl J Med. (2014) 371:993–1004. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1409077
- Castiello A, Sciatti E, Gavazzoni M, Vizzardi E, Metra M. The potential antiarrhythmic properties of sacubitril/valsartan: a case report. J Cardiovasc Med. (2019) 20:780–2. doi: 10.2459/JCM.000000000000808
- Huo JY, Jiang WY, Chen C, Chen R, Ge TT, Chang Q, et al. Effects of angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitors on inducibility of ventricular arrhythmias in rats with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Int Heart J.* (2019) 60:1168–75. doi: 10.1536/ihj.19-065
- Martens P, Nuyens D, Rivero-Ayerza M, Van Herendael H, Vercammen J, Ceyssens W, et al. Sacubitril/valsartan reduces ventricular arrhythmias in parallel with left ventricular reverse remodeling in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2019) 108:1074– 82. doi: 10.1007/s00392-019-01440-y
- Wen Y, Deißler PM, Primeßnig U, Dushe S, Falk V, Parwani AS, et al. Impaired relaxation and reduced lusitropic reserve in atrial myocardium in the obese patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:1348. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.739907
- Negroni JA, Morotti S, Lascano EC, Gomes AV, Grandi E, Puglisi JL, et al. B-adrenergic effects on cardiac myofilaments and contraction in an integrated rabbit ventricular myocyte model. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* (2015) 81:162–75. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2015.02.014
- Guo S, Goetze JP, Jeppesen JL, Burnett JC, Olesen J, Jansen-Olesen I, et al. Effect of natriuretic peptides on cerebral artery blood flow in healthy volunteers. *Peptides*. (2015) 74:33–42. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2015. 09.008

- Gu J, Noe A, Chandra P, Al-Fayoumi S, Ligueros-Saylan M, Sarangapani R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of LCZ696, a novel dual-acting angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNi). *J Clin Pharmacol.* (2010) 50:401–14. doi: 10.1177/0091270009343932
- Akahori M, Ayalasomayajula S, Langenickel T, Pal P, Zhou W, Sunkara G. Pharmacokinetics after single ascending dose, food effect, and safety of sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696), an angiotensin receptor and neprilysin inhibitor, in healthy Japanese subjects. *Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet*. (2017) 42:407–16. doi: 10.1007/s13318-016-0354-1
- Borgognone A, Lowe KL, Watson SP, Madhani M. Natriuretic peptides induce weak VASP phosphorylation at Serine 239 in platelets. *Platelets*. (2014) 25:1– 7. doi: 10.3109/09537104.2013.773969
- 22. Sartoretto JL, Jin BY, Bauer M, Gertler FB, Liao R, Michel T. Regulation of VASP phosphorylation in cardiac myocytes: differential regulation by cyclic nucleotides and modulation of protein expression in diabetic and hypertrophic heart. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. (2009) 297:H1697– 710. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00595.2009
- Ando SI, Rahman MA, Butler GC, Senn BL, Floras JS. Comparison of candoxatril and atrial natriuretic factor in healthy men: effects on hemodynamics, sympathetic activity, heart rate variability, and endothelin. *Hypertension*. (1995) 26:1160–6. doi: 10.1161/01.hyp.26.6.1160
- Elsner D, Müntze A, Kromer EP, Riegger GA. Effectiveness of endopeptidase inhibition (candoxatril) in congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (1992) 70:494–8. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(92)91196-b
- Richards AM, Wittert GA, Espiner EA, Yandle TG, Ikram H, Frampton C. Effect of inhibition of endopeptidase 24. 11 on responses to angiotensin II in human volunteers. *Circ Res.* (1992) 71:1501–7. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.71.6.1501
- von Lewinski D, Kockskämper J, Rübertus SU, Zhu D, Schmitto JD, Schöndube FA, et al. Direct pro-arrhythmogenic effects of angiotensin II can be suppressed by AT1 receptor blockade in human atrial myocardium. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2008) 10:1172–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ejheart.2008.09.014
- 27. Castro-Chaves P, Fontes-Carvalho R, Pintalhao M, Pimentel-Nunes P, Leite-Moreira AF. Angiotensin II-induced increase in myocardial distensibility and its modulation by the endocardial endothelium in the rabbit heart. *Exp Physiol.* (2009) 94:665–74. doi: 10.1113/expphysiol.2008.046458
- Ritter O, Neyses L. The molecular basis of myocardial hypertrophy and heart failure. *Trends Mol Med.* (2003) 9:313– 21. doi: 10.1016/S1471-4914(03)00114-X
- Hartupee J, Mann DL. Neurohormonal activation in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2018) 14:30–8. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.163.Neurohormonal
- Packer M, McMurray JJ, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, et al. Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibition compared with enalapril on the risk of clinical progression in surviving patients with heart failure. *Circulation*. (2015) 131:54–61. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.013748
- 31. de Diego C, Gonzalez-Torres L, Núñez JM, Inda RC, Martin-Langerwerf DA, Sangio AD, et al. Effects of angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition compared to angiotensin inhibition on ventricular arrhythmias in reduced ejection fraction patients under continuous remote monitoring of implantable defibrillator devices. *Hear Rhythm.* (2018) 15:395–402. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.11.012
- 32. Gibson PH, Croal BL, Cuthbertson BH, Rae D, McNeilly JD, Gibson G, et al. Use of preoperative natriuretic peptides and echocardiographic parameters in predicting new-onset atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass grafting: a prospective comparative study. *Am Heart J.* (2009) 158:244–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2009.04.026
- Koene RJ, Kealhofer J V, Adabag S, Vakil K, Florea VG. Effect of coronary artery bypass graft surgery on left ventricular systolic function. J Thorac Dis. (2017) 9:262–70. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.02.09
- 34. Forfia PR, Lee M, Tunin RS, Mahmud M, Champion HC, Kass DA. Acute phosphodiesterase 5 inhibition mimics hemodynamic effects of Btype natriuretic peptide and potentiates B-type natriuretic peptide effects in failing but not normal canine heart. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2007) 49:1079– 88. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.08.066
- Blanton RM. CGMP signaling and modulation in heart failure. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. (2020) 75:385. doi: 10.1097/FJC.000000000000749
- Zaccolo M, Movsesian MA. cAMP and cGMP signaling cross-talk: role of phosphodiesterases and implications for cardiac pathophysiology. *Circ Res.* (2007) 100:1569–78. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.106.144501

- Rohde LE, Chatterjee NA, Vaduganathan M, Claggett B, Packer M, Desai AS, et al. Sacubitril/Valsartan and sudden cardiac death according to implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use and heart failure cause: a PARADIGM-HF analysis. JACC Hear Fail. (2020) 8:844–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2020.06.015
- 38. De Vecchis R, Paccone A, Di Maio M. Favorable effects of sacubitril/valsartan on the peak atrial longitudinal strain in patients with chronic heart failure and a history of one or more episodes of atrial fibrillation: a retrospective cohort study. J Clin Med Res. (2020) 12:100–7. doi: 10.14740/jocmr4076
- 39. Guerra F, Pimpini L, Flori M, Contadini D, Stronati G, Gioacchini F, et al. Sacubitril/valsartan reduces atrial fibrillation and supraventricular arrhythmias in patients with HFrEF and remote monitoring: preliminary data from the SAVE THE RHYTHM. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41(Supplement_2): ehaa946.0926. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/ehaa946.0926
- Lou Q, Liu GZ, Lv JC, Yun FX Li TK, Yang W, et al. Sacubitril/valsartan attenuates atrial electrical and structural remodelling in a rabbit model of atrial fibrillation. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2020) 881:173120. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173120
- Russo V, Bottino R, Rago A, Papa AA, Liccardo B, Proietti R, et al. The effect of sacubitril/valsartan on device detected arrhythmias and electrical parameters among dilated cardiomyopathy patients with reduced ejection fraction and implantable cardioverter defibrillator. *J Clin Med.* (2020) 9:1111. doi: 10.3390/jcm9041111
- 42. Murakami W, Kobayashi S, Susa T, Nanno T, Ishiguchi H, Myoren T, et al. Recombinant atrial natriuretic peptide prevents aberrant Ca^{2+} leakage through the ryanodine receptor by suppressing mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production induced by isoproterenol in failing cardiomyocytes. *PLoS ONE.* (2016) 11:1–16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163250
- Eiringhaus J, Wünsche CM, Tirilomis P, Herting J, Bork N, Nikolaev VO, et al. Sacubitrilat reduces pro-arrhythmogenic sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ leak

in human ventricular cardiomyocytes of patients with end-stage heart failure. ESC Hear Fail. (2020) 7:2992–3002. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12918

- Galvao J, Davis B, Tilley M, Normando E, Duchen MR, Cordeiro MF. Unexpected low-dose toxicity of the universal solvent DMSO. *FASEB J.* (2014) 28:1317–30. doi: 10.1096/fj.13-235440
- Verheijen M, Lienhard M, Schrooders Y, Clayton O, Nudischer R, Boerno S, et al. DMSO induces drastic changes in human cellular processes and epigenetic landscape *in vitro*. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40660-0

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Primessnig, Deißler, Wakula, Tran, Hohendanner, von Lewinski, Blaschke, Knosalla, Falk, Pieske, Grubitzsch and Heinzel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.