#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Debiao Li, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Pradyumna Agasthi, Mayo Clinic, United States Mingxing Xie, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Li Liang lianglidoctor@sina.com Yaojun Zhang 13770668667@139.com Dongye Li dongyeli@xzhmu.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Coronary Artery Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

### RECEIVED 10 March 2022 ACCEPTED 06 October 2022 PUBLISHED 26 October 2022

#### CITATION

Liang L, Zhu Y, Li F, Guo K, Chang S, Li Q, Zhang Y and Li D (2022) Comparative analysis of instantaneous wave-free ratio and quantitative real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography for the assessment of myocardial perfusion. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:893647. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.893647

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liang, Zhu, Li, Guo, Chang, Li, Zhang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Comparative analysis of instantaneous wave-free ratio and quantitative real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography for the assessment of myocardial perfusion

Li Liang<sup>1\*</sup>, Yongxiang Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Fangfang Li<sup>1</sup>, Kai Guo<sup>1</sup>, Shang Chang<sup>1</sup>, Qian Li<sup>1</sup>, Yaojun Zhang<sup>1\*</sup> and Dongye Li<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Xuzhou Cancer Hospital, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

**Background and hypothesis:** The field of coronary artery physiology is developing rapidly and changing the practice of interventional cardiology. A new functional evaluation technique using the instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) has become an alternative to fractional flow reserve. Future research studies need to determine whether physiological indicators play a role in evaluating myocardial perfusion in the catheter room.

**Materials and methods:** Thirty-eight patients scheduled for coronary angiography and iFR evaluation underwent a real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography (RT-MCE) examination at rest. The myocardial perfusion parameters (A,  $\beta$ , and A  $\times \beta$ ) on the myocardial perfusion curve were quantitatively analyzed using Q-Lab software. Coronary angiography and iFR assessment were completed within 1 week after the RT-MCE examination in all patients. Correlation analysis was used to identify iFR- and MCE-related indicators. The sensitivity and specificity of iFR in the quantitative detection of coronary microcirculation were obtained.

**Results:** The correlation coefficients between iFR and A,  $\beta$ , and A ×  $\beta$  were 0.81, 0.66, and 0.82, respectively. The cut-off value for iFR was 0.85 for microvascular ischemia detection, while the sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of myocardial perfusion were 90.7 and 89.9%, respectively. The

receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve area for iFR was 0.946 in the segments related to myocardial blood flow.

**Conclusion:** The iFR is an effective tool for detecting myocardial microcirculation perfusion, with satisfactory diagnostic performance and a demonstrated role in physiological indices used for the perfusion assessment.

KEYWORDS

instantaneous wave-free ratio, RT-MCE, myocardial perfusion, coronary physiology, coronary microcirculation

### Introduction

The principle of instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) is based on the theory that coronary microvascular resistance is constant during the diastolic wave-free period, which starts at 25% of diastolic duration and ends 5 ms before the end of the diastolic period. The ADVISE study has found that when the heart is in the diastolic wave-free period, the microvascular resistance in the coronary artery is the lowest and most stable, which is similar to the average resistance achieved during coronary hyperemia caused by adenosine and other vasodilators. The Pd/Pa measured during this period is a substitute for coronary flow during maximum hyperemia (1-6). Therefore, the cumulative data for iFR show that it is a reasonable alternative to fractional flow reserve (FFR) in a cardiac catheterization laboratory. Its advantage is that adenosine is not required (6-8). Realtime myocardial contrast echocardiography (RT-MCE) is a novel method for the evaluation of global and regional myocardial perfusion. Our preliminary study has suggested that quantitative RT-MCE indexes showed many advantages over qualitative indexes, as they are more reproducible and describe physiological features of both myocardial blood volume (MBV) and myocardial blood flow (MBF). Although MCE is a powerful tool for evaluating myocardial perfusion, it is still limited by time-consuming analysis and imaging artifacts (9).

Research in the field of coronary artery physiology is developing rapidly and changing the application of interventional cardiology (1-3, 10, 11). Many studies support the use of coronary physiological indicators in the cardiac catheterization room to evaluate epicardial stenosis. Understanding the differences between these indicators can provide the operators the flexibility to apply them in a clinical environment. However, only a few studies have determined whether physiological indexes played a role in evaluating myocardial microcirculation perfusion in the catheter room.

### Materials and methods

### Study population

A total of 38 consecutive patients with suspected coronary heart disease were enrolled in the study. All patients agreed to undergo MCE, coronary angiography (CAG), and iFR measurements. The inclusion criteria were age over 18 years, stable clinical condition, and normal regional and global left ventricular (LV) function in a resting state as determined by a routine echocardiography examination. The exclusion criteria included severe arrhythmia, atrial fibrillation, and contraindications for contrast media. All patients signed a written informed consent form. The study was in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, and the protocol was approved by the hospital and regional medical ethics committees.

# Real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography image acquisition

Imaging was performed using Philips IE33 (Philips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) equipped with an S5-1 sensor and low-power RT application. A commercially available second-generation contrast agent SonoVue (Bracco S. p. A, Milan, Italy) was used. A total of 59 mg of SonoVue were diluted in 5 mL of normal saline, and 2.5 mL of the solution was injected intravenously at an infusion rate of about 1 mL/min in each test. The injection parameters were adjusted for each patient according to the MCE images to ensure optimal myocardial enhancement. The process was operated by a volumetric infusion pump through a 20G vial in the vein of the proximal forearm. The SonoVue infusion rate was carefully adjusted to minimize far-field attenuation and optimize myocardial opacity.

In the study setup, the best balance between myocardial contrast enhancement and attenuation was achieved at a very low mechanical index (0.1). The color gain was adjusted

to reduce the signal-to-noise to minimize the noise in the myocardium and LV cavity. Furthermore, the time gain compensation was adjusted to obtain uniform signal intensity and reduce the noise from the myocardium, pericardium, mitral valve, and epicardium. All settings were initially optimized and remained unchanged in each separate acquisition (12–14).

The long-axis and apical two- and four-chamber section readings were obtained by an experienced ultrasound doctor. Once the cavity and myocardial contrast medium turbidity reached a stable state, microbubble destruction was performed with a short burst (flash) of eight frames with a high mechanical index (1.6). The mechanical index was automatically readjusted to the low level immediately after the flash so that microbubbles refilling could be continuously observed in the next 10 cardiac cycles. For each view, the program was repeated at least two times to obtain 15 cardiac cycles and store them as raw data.

# Real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography data analysis

The MCE sequence was transmitted to a personal computer for offline analysis. The quantitative analysis tool in Q-Lab 4.2 software (Philips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) was used to analyze the images using a random blind method. The data were automatically measured in the region of interest (ROI) and manually positioned using a standard 17-segment LV model. The ROI at the end of each contraction frame after the first flash was automatically copied to all subsequent selected frames. These ROIs were manually realigned frame by frame. According to the functional relationship between indicators, the segmental MCE parameter A was expressed as the average MBV,  $\beta$  represented the average MBF speed, and A ×  $\beta$  showed the average MBF (**Figure 1**) (15–19). TABLE 1 Patient characteristics and coronary angiography.

	n	Min	Max	Mean
Gender	27, male			_
Age	38	43	82	$59.92\pm10.66$
Hypertension	25	-	-	-
Diabetes	4	-	-	-
Obesity	11	-	-	-
Smoking history	20	-	-	-
Drinking history	9	-	-	-
LM, %	2	60	60	60.00
LAD, %	25	20	100	$70.44 \pm 28.93$
D1,%	11	20	99	$46.73\pm25.71$
ОМ, %	9	20	95	$43.89\pm30.19$
LCX, %	13	30	100	$72.69 \pm 23.95$
RCA, %	14	20	100	$70.71\pm28.34$
CHOL (mmol/L)	38	2.85	8.22	$5.08 \pm 1.10$
HDL (mmol/L)	35	0.55	2.35	$1.25\pm0.36$
Tn I (ng/ml)	2	0.89	0.91	$0.90\pm0.01$

LM, left main; LAD, left anterior descending;  $\rm D_1,$  first diagonal; LCX, left circumflex; OM, obtuse marginal; RCA, right coronary artery; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

Using repeated blind analysis of 10 randomly selected patients, A and  $\beta$  intra- and inter-observer variability values for all parameters were obtained after at least 8 weeks.

# Coronary angiography and instantaneous wave-free ratio

All patients underwent a multi-view selective coronary angiography examination within 1 week after RT-MCE. Coronary angiography was analyzed by an independent experienced observer who was unaware of RT-MCE data. The guiding catheter was used after a coronary



\_\_\_\_\_

angiography evaluation and the iFR values for LAD, LCX, and RCA were measured. All procedures were performed according to the guidelines for iFR measurements. The sensor catheter was placed at least 3 cm below the farthest lesion in the coronary artery. If the distance was <3 cm or there was no stenosis, the catheter was placed at the distal end of the coronary artery as far as possible to avoid sticking to the wall and missing potential lesions. Intracoronary nitroglycerin (100 ug) was administered before the measurement. The iFR value was automatically calculated online using proprietary software (version 3.3.0, Volcano Harvest, Volcano Corporation, Rancho Cordova, CA, USA). from two tests were averaged to minimize the impact of analysis error before performing statistical analysis. Correlation analysis was used to evaluate iFR- and MCE-related indicators. P < 0.05was defined as statistically significant. The predictive ability of iFR was calculated using the ROC curve. The Classification Tree was used for the multifactorial analysis of myocardial ischemia identified by MCE. Intra- and inter-observer variability values were calculated as SD of the mean difference and expressed as a percentage of the mean.

### Results

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The MCE parameters

# Patient characteristics and coronary angiography

Forty patients were referred for a diagnostic coronary angiography examination due to suspected coronary heart



FIGURE 2

The data distribution of A (myocardial blood volume),  $\beta$  (myocardial blood flow velocity), A  $\times \beta$  (myocardial blood flow), and iFR (instantaneous wave-free ratio).

04

disease. In addition, two patients were excluded due to insufficient image quality of all standard apical views (not all coronary artery regions were fully visualized), leaving a total of 38 patients for comparative quantitative analysis. Coronary angiography detected a total of 74 coronary artery stenosis (LM, 2; LAD, 25; D<sub>1</sub>, 11; LCX, 13; OM, 9; and RCA, 14). Patient baseline data and coronary artery disease (CAD) distribution characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

# Real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography data

A total of 561 myocardial segments were obtained from 608 myocardial segments in 38 patients. Due to poor image quality, 47 segments were excluded. The numbers of myocardial segments represented by LAD, LCX, and RCA were 214, 175,



The correlation coefficients between iFR and A,  $\beta$ , and A  $\times \beta$  are 0.81, 0.66, and 0.82, respectively (P < 0.01). The iFR and A, and A  $\times \beta$  are highly correlated, respectively (P < 0.05).



and 172, respectively. There were 204 basal, 214 middle, and 143 apical myocardial segments. The intra- and inter-observer coefficients of variation based on quantitative analysis were 93.8 and 94.6%, respectively.

### Quantitative myocardial contrast echocardiography and instantaneous wave-free ratio data

A total of 271 ischemic segments were detected out of 561 total evaluated segments using quantitative analysis. The values for A,  $\beta$ , and A ×  $\beta$  in 561 segments were 6.17 ± 3.22, 0.57 ± 0.24, and 3.90 ± 3.86, respectively. Then, 207 ischemic segments were detected by the quantitative analysis of coronary angiography results. The corresponding iFR values for 561 effective segments in the myocardium were obtained based on the 16-segment method, with an iFR value of 0.87 ± 0.10. Quantitative MCE and iFR data are shown in Figure 2.

### Correlation analysis between instantaneous wave-free ratio and myocardial contrast echocardiography

The correlation coefficients between iFR and A,  $\beta$ , and A ×  $\beta$  were 0.81, 0.66, and 0.82, respectively (P < 0.01). According to these data, there was a significant correlation between iFR and A as well as A ×  $\beta$  (P < 0.05; **Figure 3**). The logarithmic and power curve fitting between iFR and A as well as A ×  $\beta$  was ideal (P < 0.05; **Figure 4**).

### Instantaneous wave-free ratio data at myocardial segment perfusion level derived using quantitative myocardial contrast echocardiography

The A  $\times$   $\beta$  < 2.75 (20, 21) is the gold standard for myocardial ischemia, with the cut-off for iFR of 0.85 for the



by ties

diagnosis of coronary microcirculation. The sensitivity and specificity values for iFR-based detection of myocardial ischemia were 90.7 and 89.9%, respectively. The ROC curve area for iFR was 0.946 for the segments related to myocardial blood flow (P < 0.01; Figure 5).

# Classification of instantaneous wave-free ratio and myocardial contrast echocardiography (A and A x $\beta$ )

Three nodes were identified using Classification Tree analysis, with A < 4.58 serving as the cut-off point for myocardial blood volume reduction. The three nodes were 0.77, 0.87, and 0.95, respectively (Figure 6). One node (0.856) was determined using Classification Tree analysis and A ×  $\beta$  < 2.75

as the cut-off point for myocardial blood flow reduction (Figure 7).

### Discussion

At present, functional evaluation of coronary artery disease using FFR is a routine practice in percutaneous coronary intervention and is officially recommended by European guidelines (1). In recent years, a new functional evaluation technique employing iFR has become an alternative to FFR. Many previous studies have shown that iFR demonstrates encouraging diagnostic accuracy that is highly consistent with the FFR results (22–29). Although many large-scale clinical trials have verified the application value of iFR, the association between iFR and myocardial ischemia based on MCE evaluation remains unexplored (23, 30–33).



Eight factors, including hypertension, diabetes, obesity, smoking, drinking history, 16 myocardial segments, CAG>50% and iFR, were used for multifactorial analysis of the Classification Tree. The results showed that myocardial ischemia based on A was only related to iFR.

FIGURE 6

The 0.77, 0.87, and 0.95 nodes can be found by using classification tree analysis and A < 4.58 as the cut-off point of myocardial blood volume reduction.



multifactorial analysis of Classification Tree. The results showed that myocardial ischemia based on A×β was only related to iFR.

FIGURE 7

The 0.856 node can be found by using classification tree analysis and A  $\times$   $\beta$  < 2.75 as the cut-off point of myocardial blood flow reduction.

Furthermore, the iFR measurement requires the use of pressure lines, but adenosine is not required. Therefore, the iFR assesses coronary pressure rather than MBF and coronary artery ischemia rather than myocardial ischemia. Myocardial blood flow and myocardial ischemia are the causes of coronary atherosclerotic heart disease. RT-MCE is a reliable method for evaluating regional myocardial perfusion. It has been reported that MBF measurements obtained by intermittent and quantitative RT-MCE techniques are well correlated with Doppler blood flow measurements in animals and humans (20, 21). In the present study, the correlation between iFR and MCE was compared from the perspective of myocardial perfusion. The study tried to explore the diagnostic value and application of iFR in evaluating myocardial blood flow perfusion and to expand the decision-making value of iFR in interventional therapy.

The main findings of the present study revealed a good correlation between iFR and multiple indicators of myocardial perfusion. In particular, iFR was highly correlated with regional MBV (A) and MBF (A  $\times \beta$ ), which was expected. There was also a very good curve fitting between iFR and A as

well as A  $\times$   $\beta$ . However, the correlation between iFR and regional MBF velocity ( $\beta$ ) was relatively low. The coronary artery is characterized by high blood flow. Blood volume and blood flow velocity are two factors that determine blood flow and are mutually restricted both in the epicardial artery and microcirculation (34). This may be related to the speed index itself serving as a secondary indicator.

Because the coronary artery system consists of three components with different functions (conducting epicardial coronary arteries, arterioles, and capillaries), myocardial ischemia may occur when any of these components fail. However, macrovascular and microvascular diseases are characterized by different processes and have different susceptibility factors (35). In the present study, there were only 23 (4.1%) myocardial segments with iFR > 0.856 and A  $\times \beta$  < 2.75. Thus, there was no primary microvascular ischemia. Furthermore, the study tried to explore the factors related to myocardial ischemia based on MCE. Eight factors, including hypertension, diabetes, obesity, smoking history, drinking history, 16 myocardial segments, CAG > 50%, and iFR, were considered. The Classification Tree analysis results

showed that the myocardial ischemia based on MCE was only associated with iFR and was not associated with CAG > 50%.

In our previous studies, MCE has been demonstrated to be a better method for assessing myocardial perfusion than radionuclide tests, such as SPECT (20). The myocardial ischemia diagnosis cut-off values for A,  $\beta$ , and A  $\times \beta$  were 4.58, 0.64, and 2.73, respectively. Using these cut-off points as the gold standard, the iFR was demonstrated to have a very good diagnostic value for evaluating myocardial ischemia. Most of the experiments in the previous studies have focused on the comparison between iFR and FFR. The present study was the first to identify the cut-off point for iFR to diagnose myocardial ischemia at the myocardial perfusion level based on MCE.

The present study considered both the MBV and MBF, as well as the possible omission of positive patients and the reduction of unnecessary medical expenditure. It was believed that the gray area of iFR existed, as J. M. Lee and E. M. Baile's research in previous studies (27-31). As described in the above results, interventional therapy should be considered when the iFR value is between 0.85 and 0.95. Myocardial ischemia could only be demonstrated for iFR < 0.85. For patients with iFR values ranging from 0.85 to 0.95, FFR should be considered for further assessment of coronary artery function to perform an objective evaluation of interventional treatment decisions. Determining the application value of iFR at the myocardial perfusion level was the most significant aim of the present study. MCE had a high temporal and spatial resolution and was highly sensitive to the diagnosis of myocardial ischemia. A significant correlation between iFR and MCE was thus identified, which will provide a good reference for guiding interventional therapy using iFR.

### Study limitations

The present study compared the correlation between myocardial perfusion indices derived from MCE and iFR. However, real cases of coronary artery lesions are much more complicated. For example, multiple lesions, microangiopathy, and collateral circulation compensation all affect the results. Subsequent subgroup analysis should be performed in future.

## Conclusion

The iFR was an effective method for evaluating myocardial perfusion. The iFR demonstrated a reasonable diagnostic performance and a role in physiological indexes for evaluating coronary microcirculation. To effectively improve its clinical applicability, stronger comparative detection techniques, and simplified data analysis and display are still needed. Furthermore, different coronary artery physiology techniques need to be compared in larger controlled studies.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

### Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of Xuzhou Cancer Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this manuscript.

### Author contributions

LL, YJZ, DL, YZ, FL, SC, and QL: concept. LL, YZ, FL, KG, and SC: design. DL, YJZ, LL, QL, SC, and KG: supervision. YJZ, DL, and LL: fundings and writing. YZ, FL, KG, SC, QL, and LL: materials. FL, YZ, QL, and SC: data collection or processing. KG, SC, and QL: analysis or interpretation. LL, YJZ, DL, YZ, and FL: literature search. LL, YJZ, DL, QL, SC, YZ, FL, and KG: critical review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This study can be included in the project plan of Xuzhou Science and Technology Bureau. Project Leader: LL. Award number: KC21165.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, Collet JP, Cremer J, Falk V, et al. 2014 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: the Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J.* (2014) 35:2541–619. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ ehu278

2. De Bruyne B, Pijls NHJ, Kalesan B, Barbato E, Tonino PA, Piroth Z, et al. Fractional flow reserveguided PCI versus medical therapy in stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med. (2012) 367:991–1001. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1205361

3. Zimmermann FM, Ferrara A, Johnson NP, van Nunen LX, Escaned J, Albertsson P, et al. Deferral vs. performance of percutaneous coronary intervention of functionally non-significant coronary stenosis: 15-year follow-up of the DEFER trial. *Eur Heart J.* (2015) 36:3182–8. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv452

4. Baptista SB, Raposo L, Santos L, Ramos R, Calé R, Jorge E, et al. Impact of routine fractional flow reserve evaluation during coronary angiography on management strategy and clinical outcome : One-Year Results of the POST-IT. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2016) 9:e003288. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS. 115.003288

5. Sen S, Asrress KN, Nijjer S, Petraco R, Malik IS, Foale RA, et al. Diagnostic classification of the instantaneous wave-free ratio is equivalent to fractional flow reserve and is not improved with adenosine administration Results of CLARIFY (Classification Accuracy of Pressure-Only Ratios Against Indices Using Flow Study). J Am Coll Cardiol. (2013) 61:1409–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.01.034

6. Sen S, Escaned J, Malik IS, Mikhail GW, Foale RA, Mila R, et al. Development and validation of a new adenosine-independent index of stenosis severity fromcoronary wave-intensity analysis: results of the ADVISE (ADenosine Vasodilator Independent Stenosis Evaluation) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2012) 59:1392–402. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.11.003

7. May AN, Kull A, Gunalingam B, Francis JL, Lau GT. The uptake of coronary fractional flow reserve in Australia in the past decade. *Med J Aust.* (2016) 205:127. doi: 10.5694/mja15.01225

8. Berry C, van't Veer M, Witt N, Kala P, Bocek O, Pyxaras SA, et al. VERIFY (VERification of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow Reserve for the Assessment of coronary artery stenosis severity in EverydaY practice): amulticenter study in consecutive patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2013) 61:1421–7. doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2012.09.065

9. Vogel R, Indermühle A, Reinhardt J, Meier P, Siegrist PT, Namdar M, et al. The quantification of absolute myocardial perfusion in humans by contrast echocardiography: algorithm and validation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2005) 45:754–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.11.044

10. Shuttleworth K, Smith K, Watt J, Smith JAL, Leslie SJ. Hybrid instantaneous wave-free ratio-fractional flow reserve versus fractional flow reserve in the real world. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2017) 4:35. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2017.00035

11. Petraco R, Park JJ, Sen S, Nijjer SS, Malik IS, Echavarria-Pinto M, et al. Hybrid iFR FFR decision-making strategy: implications for enhancing universal adoption of physiology-guided coronary revascularisation. *EuroIntervention*. (2013) 8:1157–65. doi: 10.4244/EIJV8I10A179

12. Martínez GJ, Yong AS, Fearon WF, Ng MK. The index of microcirculatory resistance in the physiologic assessment of the coronary microcirculation. *Coron Artery Dis.* (2015) 26(Suppl 1):e15–26. doi: 10.1097/MCA.00000000000213

13. Marinescu MA, Löffler AI, Ouellette M, Smith L, Kramer CM, Bourque JM. Coronary microvascular dysfunction, microvascular angina, and treatment strategies. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2015) 8:210–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.12. 008

14. Luo C, Long M, Hu X, Huang Z, Hu C, Gao X, et al. Thermodilutionderived coronary microvascular resistance and flow reserve in patients with cardiac syndrome X. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2014) 7:43–8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.113.000953

15. Claessen BE, Bax M, Delewi R, Meuwissen M, Henriques JP, Piek JJ. The Doppler flow wire in acute myocardial infarction. *Hear.* (2010) 96:631–5. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2009.186932

16. Wei K, Ragosta M, Thorpe J, Coggins M, Moos S, Kaul S. Noninvasive quantification of coronary blood flow reserve in humans using myocardial contrast echocardiography. *Circulation.* (2001) 103:2560–5. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.103.21. 2560

17. Van Camp G, Ay T, Pasquet A, London V, Bol A, Gisellu G, et al. Quantification of myocardial blood flow and assessment of its transmural distribution with real-time power modulation myocardial contrast echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2003) 16:263–70. doi: 10.1067/mje.2003.55

18. Zhang WZ, Zha DG, Cheng GX, Yang SQ, Huang XB, Qin JX, et al. As-sessment of regional myocardial blood flow with myocardial contrast echocardiography: an experimental study. *Echocardiography.* (2004) 21:409–16. doi: 10.1111/j.0742-2822.2004.03008.x

19. Bierig SM, Mikolajczak P, Herrmann SC, Elmore N, Kern M, Labovitz AJ. Comparison of myocardial contrast echocardiography derived myocardial perfusion reserve with invasive determination of coronary flow reserve. *Eur J Echocardiogr.* (2009) 10:250–5. doi: 10.1093/ejechocard/jen217

20. Li DY, Liang L, Xu TD, Zhang H, Pan DF, Chen JH, et al. The value of quantitative real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography for detection of angiographically significant coronary artery disease. *Clin Cardiol.* (2013) 36:468–74. doi: 10.1002/clc.22142

21. Sun L, Wang Z, Xu T, Pan D, Liang L, Hao J, et al. The value of real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography for detecting coronary microcirculation function in coronary artery disease patients. *Anatol J Cardiol.* (2018) 19:27–33.

22. Jeremias A, Maehara A, Généreux P, Asrress KN, Berry C, De Bruyne B, et al. Multicenter core laboratory comparison of the instantaneous wave-free ratio and resting Pd/Pa with fractional flow reserve: the RESOLVE study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2014) 63:1253–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.09.060

23. Echavarria-Pinto M, van de Hoef TP, Garcia-Garcia HM, de Vries T, Serruys PW, Samady H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of baseline distal-to-aortic pressure ratio to assess coronary stenosis severity: a post-hoc analysis of the ADVISE II study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2015) 8:834–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2014.12.245

24. Johnson NP, Jeremias A, Zimmermann FM, Adjedj J, Witt N, Hennigan B, et al. Continuum of vasodilator stress from rest to contrast medium to adenosine hyperemia for fractional flow reserve assessment. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2016) 9:757–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2015.12.273

25. Hennigan B, Oldroyd KG, Berry C, Johnson N, McClure J, McCartney P, et al. Discordance between resting and hyperemic indices of coronary stenosis severity: the VERIFY 2 study (a comparative study of resting coronary pressure gradient, instantaneouswave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve in an unselected population referred for invasive angiography). *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2016) 9:e004016. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.116.004016

26. Kobayashi Y, Johnson NP, Zimmermann FM, Witt N, Berry C, Jeremias A, et al. Agreement of the resting distal to aortic coronary pressure with the instantaneous wave-free ratio. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 70:2105–13.

27. Lee JM, Park J, Hwang D, Kim CH, Choi KH, Rhee TM, et al. Similarity and difference of resting distal to aortic coronary pressure and instantaneous wave-free ratio. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 70:2114–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.09.007

28. Hwang D, Jeon KH, Lee JM, Park J, Kim CH, Tong Y, et al. Diagnostic performance of resting and hyperemic invasive physiological indices to define myocardial ischemia: validation with (13)N-ammonia positron emission tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2017) 10:751–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2016. 12.015

29. Rivero F, Cuesta J, Bastante T, Benedicto A, Fenandez-Perez C, Antuna P, et al. Reliability of physiological assessment of coronary stenosis severity using intracoronary pressure techniques: a comprehensive analysis from a large cohort of consecutive intermediate coronary lesions. *EuroIntervention*. (2017) 13:e193–200.

30. Cook CM, Ahmad Y, Shun-Shin MJ, Nijjer S, Petraco R, Al-Lamee R, et al. Quantification of the effect of pressure wire drift on the diagnostic performance of fractional flow reserve, instantaneous wave-free ratio, and whole-cycle Pd/Pa. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2016) 9:e002988. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS. 115.002988

31. Baile EM, Pare PD, D'Yachkova Y, Carere RG. Effect of contrastmedia on coronary vascular resistance: contrast-induced coronary vasodilation. *Chest.* (1999) 116:1039–45. doi: 10.1378/chest.116.4.1039

32. Leone AM, Scalone G, De Maria GL, Tagliaferro F, Gardi A, Clemente F, et al. Efficacy of contrast medium induced Pd/Pa ratio in predicting functional significance of intermediate coronary artery stenosis assessed by fractional flow reserve: insights from the RINASCI study. *EuroIntervention*. (2015) 11:421–7. doi: 10.4244/EJJY14M07\_02

33. Leone AM, Martin-Reyes R, Baptista SB, Amabile N, Raposo L, Franco Pelaez JA, et al. The multi-center evaluation of the accuracy of the contrast MEdium Induced Pd/Pa RaTiO in predicting FFR (MEMENTO-FFR) study. *EuroIntervention.* (2016) 12:708–15. doi: 10.4244/EIJV12I6A115

34. Wei K. Assessment of myocardial blood flow and volume using myocardial contrast echocardiography. *Echocardiography.* (2002) 19:409–16. doi: 10.1046/j. 1540-8175.2002.00409.x

35. Lee JM, Doh JH, Nam CW, Shin ES, Koo BK. Functional approach for coronary artery disease: Filling the gap between evidence and practice. *Korean Circ J.* (2018) 48:179–90. doi: 10.4070/kcj.2017.0393