#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Hamidreza Goodarzynejad, University Health Network, Canada

#### REVIEWED BY

Marco Matteo Ciccone, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy Deyong Long, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Shengen Liao shengenliao@163.com Xinli Li xinli3267@njmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Sex and Gender in Cardiovascular Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 22 April 2022 ACCEPTED 07 November 2022 PUBLISHED 01 December 2022

#### CITATION

Pang H, Zhu X, Cheang I, Zhang H, Zhou Y, Liao S and Li X (2022) CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score for in-hospital recurrence risk stratification in patients with myocardial infarction. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:925932. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.925932

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Pang, Zhu, Cheang, Zhang, Zhou, Liao and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score for in-hospital recurrence risk stratification in patients with myocardial infarction

## Hui Pang<sup>1†</sup>, Xu Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Iokfai Cheang<sup>1</sup>, Haifeng Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Yanli Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Shengen Liao<sup>1\*</sup> and Xinli Li<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, The Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

**Background:** Using the  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc score to recognize the risk of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation has been well-established. However, few studies have assessed whether the  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc score has a similar predictive value in recurrence after myocardial infarction (MI).

**Methods:** We conducted a retrospective observational cohort study of adult inpatients with MI. The CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and modified CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc (MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc) scores of all patients were calculated. The associations of both scores with recurrent MI were analyzed.

**Results:** A total of 6,700 patients with MI (60.0  $\pm$  11.1 years, 77.2% men) were enrolled, and 759 (11.3%) presented a definite recurrence during hospitalization. After multivariable adjustment by logistic regression in patients with MI, the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores were independently associated with recurrence. The MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score showed a better predictive value for risk of recurrence than that of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in overall [area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) 0.757 vs. 0.676] or male patients (AUC 0.759 vs. 0.708). MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was superior to CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc for identifying "truly high-risk" patients with MI, regardless of overall patients or sex-specific subgroups. The two scores had a similar focus on the identification of "low-risk" patients in overall or women, but not in men.

**Conclusion:** The  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc and  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc scores for predicting recurrence are validated in patients with MI. However,  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc could be more helpful to secondary prevention than  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc after MI, especially in men. The superiority of  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc compared with  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc in women is just more discriminatory for "truly high-risk" patients.

#### KEYWORDS

CHA2DS2-VASc, myocardial infarction, recurrence, sex differences, risk stratification

# Introduction

In recent years, morbidity and mortality from coronary heart disease (CHD) have been declining in most developed countries but rising in other low-and middle-income countries. Globally, death and disability rates from CHD in patients presenting with myocardial infarction (MI) remain high when the quality of medical and health services is improved remarkably (1). Patients with recurrent MI often have a poor prognosis, largely due to a reduction in cardiac pump activity and malignant arrhythmias, and even trigger sudden cardiac death (2, 3). Better management via risk stratification is imperative for short- and long-term secondary prevention of MI. A number of clinically applicable cardiovascular riskstratification schemes have been proven as efficient tools for risk stratification and therapeutic decision-making, such as Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score, which is based on nine clinical characteristics (4).

Major international atrial fibrillation (AF) guidelines recommend estimating stroke risk in patients with AF based on the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score, which summarizes common stroke risk factors. CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score performs just modestly in predicting high-risk patients, and its advantage is better at identifying "truly low-risk" patients with AF who develop stroke and thromboembolism (5).

Many clinical stroke risk factors (e.g., obstructive sleep apnea, left atrium dilatation, and renal impairment) (6-8) as well as some biomarkers (e.g., troponin, natriuretic peptides, and von Willebrand factor) (9, 10) are closely related to the stroke risk, but they do not improve the predictive value of CHA2DS2-VASc score. Moreover, patients with MI seem to be at increased risk for recurrent major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), owing to their clinical characteristics, comorbidities, and biomarkers, such as elderly (especially older women) (11), diabetes mellitus (DM), heart failure (HF), renal dysfunction (12), and interleukin-1beta (13). Coincidentally, there are some concordant factors between stroke and recurrent MI, which are two different disorders. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate whether the CHA2DS2-VASc score could assess the risk of recurrence in patients with MI, presented overall and stratified by sex.

# Materials and methods

#### Study population

A retrospective observational cohort of 6,700 adult inpatients in the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, and Xuzhou Central Hospital between January 2019 and December 2021 was evaluated. This study was conducted on

inpatients with types 1-3 MI but not types 4-5 procedurerelated MI, which occurred more than 28 days at enrollment (2). The history of MI was ascertained based on self-reporting or medical records. Patients with recurrent MI were enrolled who underwent acute myocardial infarction (AMI) during hospitalization. All patients had an MI attack for the first time during enrollment. Subjects with recurrent MI diagnosed prior to the enrollment were excluded. In addition, the study excluded patients who had congenital cardiovascular diseases, idiopathic cardiomyopathy, rheumatic mitral stenosis, mechanical or bioprosthetic heart valve, or mitral valve repair, malignant tumor, liver or kidney failure, major bleeding, and immune diseases. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2022-SR-062) under a waiver of informed consent, in accordance with ethical guidelines set up by the World Medical Association (The Declaration of Helsinki).

#### Data collection

Investigators collected epidemiological, demographic, clinical, and outcome data from electronic medical records, such as each patient's age, sex, and history of hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and AF. All information was recorded on a computerized database using a standardized electronic data collection form, which serves as the data source of this study.

#### Definitions and outcome measures

Each patient's CHA2DS2-VASc score ranging from 0 to 9 was calculated [patients were given 1 point for HF, hypertension, age 65-74 years, DM, vascular disease, and female sex and 2 points for age  $\geq$  75 years and prior stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA)/embolus] using baseline characteristics. Modified CHA2DS2-VASc (MCHA2DS2-VASc) to give 1 point for age  $\geq$  75 years, DM, and dyslipidemia, 2 points for prior stroke/TIA/embolus and male sex, 3 points for hypertension, and 5 points for HF, ranged from 0 to 15. The optimal cutoff point of CHA2DS2-VASc was 3 and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was 8 for predicting the incidence of recurrent MI, which was determined by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, area under the curve (AUC) analysis, and Youden index. Patients were subsequently categorized into the low-risk group (0-2) and high-risk group (3-9) according to the CHA2DS2-VASc and low-risk group (0-7) and high-risk group (8-15) according to the MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc.

The primary outcome of this study was the occurrence of AMI in hospitals. The definition of AMI based on

the Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018) is as follows: a clinical (or pathological) event in the setting of evidence of acute myocardial ischemia (ischemic symptoms, ischemic electrocardiographic changes, coronary artery intervention, new wall motion abnormalities, or fixed defect on radionuclide scanning) in which there is the presence of acute myocardial cell death detected by abnormal cardiac biomarkers (14).

#### Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of patients were described using means and standard deviations (SDs) for normally distributed continuous data and numbers and percentages for categorical data. These characteristics were compared using Student's t-tests, chi-square tests, or Kruskal-Wallis tests, as appropriate. The correlations between CHA2DS2-VASc and recurrence rate and MCHA2DS2-VASc and recurrence rate were evaluated using the test of Spearman's rank-correlation coefficient. To identify the independent predictors of in-hospital recurrent MI, a multivariate logistic regression model was performed using the following variables: age, sex, hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and AF. The results of logistic regression analysis were reported as an odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). The predictive value of CHA2DS2-VASc and MCHA2DS2-VASc with regard to recurrence was assessed using AUC in the presentation of the ROC curve. The AUC used to quantify the discriminatory capacity of the two scores for recurrence is defined as excellent (0.9-1), good (0.8-0.89), fair (0.7-0.79), poor (0.6-0.69), or fail/no discriminatory capacity (0.5-0.59) (15). Statistical significance was accepted for two-sided pvalues < 0.05. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0.

# Results

#### **Baseline characteristics**

In this study, 1,530 (22.8%) women and 5,170 (77.2%) men were included (**Table 1**). A total of 759 (11.3%) patients experienced a recurrent MI. Age at entry ranged from 21 to 94 years (mean age 60 years). Patients with recurrent MI were older and had higher CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores than those without such disorders. In the two groups, most recurrent patients were male (81.6 vs. 76.6%). Compared with the patients without recurrence, recurrent patients were more likely to have the comorbidities of hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and AF. Most recurrent patients were responsible for the majority of patients without recurrence.

# Patient characteristics and recurrent myocardial infarction

The independent predictors of recurrence analyzed by logistic regression are reported in **Tables 2**, **3**. After multivariable adjustment, older age, male sex, hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores were strongly independently associated with recurrent MI. Contrary to the male sex, the female sex as a stroke-related factor in AF was not considered to be an independent recurrent MI risk factor by multivariable analysis.

# Relationship between CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc, MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc, and recurrent myocardial infarction

The frequency distribution of the CHA2DS2-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores, and the incidence of recurrent MI across the CHA2DS2-VASc and MCHA2DS2-VASc scores in the study cohort, stratified by sex, are shown in Figure 1. The overall incidence of recurrent MI increased from 2.0 to 33.3% when the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score increased from 1 to 9 (Figure 1A). In addition, patients confer an overall increased risk of recurrent MI from 1.4 to 61.5%, while the MCHA2DS2-VASc score increased from 0 to 15 (Figure 1B). The positive correlations between CHA2DS2-VASc and recurrence rate and MCHA2DS2-VASc and recurrence rate were observed, and the Spearman correlation coefficients were 0.198 (p < 0.001) and 0.283 (p<0.001) separately. Further analyses revealed that the risk for recurrent MI incidence positively correlated with the CHA2DS2-VASc (Spearman correlation coefficient for men = 0.241 and women = 0.235) and MCHA2DS2-VASc (Spearman correlation coefficient for men = 0.294 and women = 0.237) among both women and men.

## Receiver operating characteristic curves for CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in predicting recurrent myocardial infarction

The ROC curves of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores were analyzed (**Figure 2** and **Table 4**). The predictive value of MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc for recurrence was fair, being 0.757 (0.739–0.774) with a cutoff value of 8, but CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc had just a poor effect on prediction, with the AUC of 0.676 (0.657–0.696) and a cutoff value of 3 (**Figure 2A**). Thus, for the secondary prevention of MI, MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was recommended to take into consideration the recurrence risk assessment because it significantly improved the predictive value compared with the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc (Z = 6.02, p < 0.001).

	Total ( <i>n</i> = 6,700)	Recur	P-value	
		No ( <i>n</i> = 5,941)	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 759)	
Age at baseline, mean (SD), years	60.0 (11.1)	59.7 (11.0)	62.9 (11.8)	< 0.001
Components of the $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc score, <i>n</i> (	(%)			
Age group, years				< 0.001
$\leq 64$	4216 (62.9)	3824 (64.4)	392 (51.6)	
65–74	1811 (27.0)	1576 (26.5)	235 (31.0)	
≥75	673 (10.0)	541 (9.1)	132 (17.4)	
Female	1530 (22.8)	1390 (23.4)	140 (18.4)	0.002
Hypertension	2964 (44.2)	2442 (68.8)	522 (41.1)	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	1904 (28.4)	1596 (26.9)	308 (40.6)	< 0.001
Heart failure	4060 (60.6)	3397 (57.2)	663 (87.4)	< 0.001
NYHA class				< 0.001
Ι	1420 (21.2)	1115 (18.8)	305 (40.2)	
II	1719 (25.7)	1493 (25.1)	226 (29.8)	
III	640 (9.6)	562 (9.5)	78 (10.3)	
IV	281 (4.2)	227 (3.8)	54 (7.1)	
Thromboembolism	520 (7.8)	400 (6.7)	120 (15.8)	< 0.001
Stroke/transient ischemic attack	458 (6.8)	349 (5.9)	109 (14.4)	< 0.001
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Dyslipidemia	2845 (42.5)	2489 (41.9)	356 (46.9)	0.009
Atrial fibrillation	341 (5.1)	283 (4.8)	58 (7.6)	0.001
CHA2DS2-VASc score, mean (SD)	3.2 (1.6)	3.1 (1.5)	4.1 (1.7)	< 0.001
MCHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc score, mean (SD)	6.9 (3.2)	6.5 (3.1)	9.4 (2.7)	< 0.001

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of myocardial infarction patients with or without recurrence.

NYHA, New York Heart Association; SD, standard deviation.

TABLE 2 Multivariate regression analysis investigating independent predictors of recurrent myocardial infarction.

	Coefficient	<b>S.E.</b>	Wald	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value
Age	0.011	0.004	7.343	1.011	1.003-1.018	0.007
Male	0.803	0.106	57.243	2.232	1.813-2.749	< 0.001
Hypertension	1.173	0.091	167.915	3.231	2.706-3.859	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	0.290	0.086	11.530	1.337	1.131-1.581	0.001
Heart failure	1.603	0.114	196.516	4.968	3.970-6.216	< 0.001
Thromboembolism	0.589	0.121	23.639	1.802	1.421-2.285	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	0.243	0.083	8.699	1.276	1.085-1.500	0.003

The adjusted model was adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and atrial fibrillation. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.

The AUCs of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores in predicting recurrent MI in male patients were 0.708 (0.687–0.730) and 0.759 (0.739–0.779), respectively. Both the two scores had a fair predictive value for recurrence, but the two predictors showed significant differences in risk assessment (Z = 3.43, p < 0.001) (Figure 2B). However, the superiority of the MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score among women yielded inconsistent findings. The AUCs of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores were 0.730 (0.688–0.771) and 0.736 (0.696–0.776), respectively. The predictive abilities of the two scores were

both fair, and there was no significant difference between them (Z = 0.21, p = 0.836) (Figure 2C).

# CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc, MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc, and recurrent myocardial infarction risk stratification

Recurrent MI risk differentiation among patients presented overall and stratified by sex was classified as low risk and

	Coefficient	S.E.	Wald	OR	95% CI	P-value
CHA2DS2-VASc	score					
Model 1	0.388	0.023	278.743	1.473	1.408-1.542	< 0.001
Model 2	0.624	0.033	352.294	1.867	1.749-1.993	< 0.001
Model 3	0.258	0.035	54.048	1.295	1.209-1.387	< 0.001
MCHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VAS	Sc score					
Model 1	0.342	0.016	476.346	1.408	1.365-1.452	< 0.001
Model 2	0.335	0.016	421.329	1.398	1.354-1.443	< 0.001
Model 3	0.342	0.016	476.346	1.408	1.365-1.452	< 0.001

TABLE 3 CHA2DS2-VASc and MCHA2DS2-VASc scores in predicting recurrence following myocardial infarction.

Model 1: unadjusted. Model 2: adjusted for age and sex. Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and atrial fibrillation. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.



#### FIGURE 1

Frequency distribution and incidence of recurrent myocardial infarction for congestive heart failure, hypertension, age 65–74 years, diabetes mellitus, vascular disease, female sex, age  $\geq$  75 years, and prior stroke/transient ischemic attack/embolus [CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc **(A)**] and age  $\geq$  75 years, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, prior stroke/transient ischemic attack/embolus, hypertension, male sex, and congestive heart failure [MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc **(B)**] scores' strata, presented overall, male sex, and female sex.



high risk, based on  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc and  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc scores (Table 5). The overall patients classified as lowrisk using  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc (score < 3) or  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc (score < 8) had similarly low recurrence rates (5.4 vs. 4.6%). There was also no evidence of a statistical difference in the recurrence rates among those in women ( $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc < 8 vs.  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc < 4) identified as low risk. High-risk  $MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc associated with a higher incidence of recurrent MI as compared with high-risk  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc was found in overall (19.4 vs. 15.1%), male (20.4 vs. 14.0%), and female (15.9 vs. 11.4%) patients.

#### Discussion

This study, based on a large cohort of patients with MI admitted with a duration longer than 28 days, has the following three main findings: (i) MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score is a fair predictor of recurrent MI, but only poor predictive accuracy of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score is available, (ii) MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score is significantly superior to CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score in predicting male patients who develop recurrent MI, although the predictive value of the two scores is fair in both men and women, and (iii) MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score is better at identifying high-risk patients and is as good as CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score in identifying patients at low-risk of recurrence among overall and female patients.

It has been reported that the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score is useful to predict many different diseases. In patients with CAD and sinus rhythm, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc exhibited moderate accuracy in predicting the risk of stroke or TIA in the period following an episode of worsening HF and reduced ejection (15). In acute coronary syndrome patients treated with aspirin and clopidogrel following PCI, CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc (AUC = 0.59) was able to predict platelet reactivity (16). Gunduz et al. found the predictive value of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc (AUC = 0.89) for mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) hospitalization, and length of stay in the ICU among COVID-19 patients (17). Similarly, another study also reported that CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc (AUC = 0.794) was significantly associated with all-cause mortality in COVID-19 patients (18).

A large number of people do not survive their first MI event, and if they do survive, their rate of adverse cardiovascular events, hospitalization, and mortality is greater than for the non-MI population. A risk stratification tool must be considered for each patient with MI in order to identify patients at high risk for disease progression. Notably, we found that many of the risk factors for incident MI recurrence were also risk factors for AF-related complications. Thus, we developed and tested the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score to predict the risk for recurrence after MI.

A total of 6,700 patients with MI were included in our analysis. Baseline characteristics showed significant differences between patients with or without recurrence, such as older age, female sex, hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, dyslipidemia, and AF. Moreover, patients with recurrence had higher CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores. A positive linear relationship in both sexes of elevated

	AUC	S.E.	P-value	95% CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Cut off point
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -V	ASc						
Overall	0.676	0.010	< 0.001	0.657-0.696	58.9	64.8	3
Male	0.708	0.011	< 0.001	0.687-0.730	77.1	52.9	2
Female	0.730	0.021	< 0.001	0.688-0.771	82.1	55.7	4
MCHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub>	-VASc						
Overall	0.757	0.009	< 0.001	0.739-0.774	63.8	74.4	8
Male	0.759	0.010	< 0.001	0.739-0.779	63.3	75.7	8
Female	0.736	0.020	< 0.001	0.696-0.776	65.7	69.9	8

TABLE 4 Predictive ability, sensitivity, and specificity of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores for recurrent myocardial infarction development, presented overall, and stratified by sex.

AUC, area under the ROC curve; CI, confidence interval; SE, standard error.

TABLE 5 Comparison of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores for incidence of recurrent myocardial infarction, presented overall, and stratified by sex.

	Case (n)	Recurrence rate (%)	P-value
Overall			
Low-risk			0.116
CHA2DS2-VASc<3	2,632	143 (5.4)	
$MCHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc<8$	3,638	166 (4.6)	
High-risk			< 0.001
$CHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc \geq 3$	4,068	616 (15.1)	
$MCHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc \geq 8$	3,062	593 (19.4)	
Male			
Low-risk			< 0.001
$CHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc<2$	862	17 (2.0)	
$MCHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc<8$	2,783	133 (4.8)	
High-risk			< 0.001
$CHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc \geq 2$	4,308	602 (14.0)	
$\text{MCHA}_2\text{DS}_2\text{-VASc} \geq 8$	2,387	486 (20.4)	
Female			
Low-risk			0.189
$CHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc < 4$	377	9 (2.4)	
$MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc < 8	855	33 (3.9)	
High-risk			0.006
$CHA_2DS_2\text{-}VASc \geq 4$	1,153	131 (11.4)	
$MCHA_2DS_2$ -VASc $\geq 8$	675	107 (15.9)	

CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc with recurrent events was found. After adjustment for baseline risk, higher CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was independently associated with higher recurrent event rates. Every 1-SD increase in CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was associated with a 29.5% increased risk. The AUC of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in predicting recurrence was 0.676 in overall, 0.708 in men, and 0.730 in women.

Despite being a predictor of recurrent MI, the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score has just poor accuracy. Thus, we analyzed risk factors for recurrence to improve model prediction. Our data indicated that older age, male sex, hypertension, DM, HF, thromboembolism, and dyslipidemia were independently significantly associated with a higher risk of recurrence. These risk factors were summarized in the clinical risk factor-based MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score. There was a positive linear relationship between MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and recurrence rate. Our observation of associations in both crude and comorbidity-adjusted analyses suggested that MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc acted independently of other risk factors for recurrent events. Every 1-SD increase in MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was associated with a 40.8% increased risk. MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was able to predict recurrence with an AUC of 0.757. It was noteworthy that MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc was possibly better than CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in identifying patients with MI with a risk of recurrence.

Heart failure is a common complication in patients with AMI, ranging from 15 to 35% of cases. Patients with HF exist in a hypercoagulable state and are at increased risk for thromboembolic events, even when in sinus rhythm (19). The presence of symptoms of HF and/or left ventricular systolic dysfunction identifies a population of MI survivors at high risk for death, reinfarction, and worsening HF (20). Reduced left ventricular ejection fraction still remains the most powerful independent predictor of sudden arrhythmic death in patients with MI. Current direct evidence noted that prior MI in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction was associated with a 31-fold higher risk of cardiovascular death in the first 30 days and persistently elevated rates of HF hospitalization (21). In view of the above published clinical trials and our findings, it seems robust to give 5 points for HF as a component of the MCHA2DS2-VASc score.

Other risk factors involved in the MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score, such as older age, DM, and dyslipidemia, are established as risk factors for CHD reported in many studies. The number of aging patients with CHD is associated with increased morbidity and mortality but also medical treatment, stent placement, and coronary artery bypass graft (22). In the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, empagliflozin reduced the risk of MACEs in patients with DM and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (23). In addition to placing older adults at increased risk for CHD, dyslipidemia may cause a rapid aggravation of the long-term prognosis, such as frequent premature death, multiple ischemic recurrences, and multivessel disease, in younger adults who develop CHD early in life (24). Familial hypercholesterolemia also increased mortality and increased risk of recurrent AMI after the first AMI event (25). Thus, the US and European guidelines recommend for high-risk patients, such as those with a recent MI, to aggressively lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (26). From the above mentioned, therefore, we have every reason to believe that the same risk factors should be paid more attention to control in both primary and secondary prevention of CHD.

Patients with AF with a  $CHA_2DS_2$ -VASc score of 1 or more for men and 2 or more for women are likely to benefit from antithrombotic therapy. However, the female sex is an age-dependent stroke risk modifier rather than a risk factor *per se* (27). In our study, logistic regression analysis confirmed that the male sex was an independent risk factor for MI recurrence but not the female sex; therefore, 2 points were assigned to the male sex instead of the female sex in the MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score. One observational study indicates that rates of recurrent MI, recurrent CHD events, and mortality in the first year after MI were higher among men than women (28). However, another study found that women experienced a large excess risk of recurrence after MI, independently of clinical characteristics (29).

Possible reasons for sex differences in the predictive value of MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score for recurrent events include the complexities of the interactions of risk factors and the effects of certain risk factors stratified by sex are incompletely captured by available data. In addition, the number of male patients with MI in our study was significantly larger than that of women. In addition, low-risk factors often result in a low hospitalization rate. We confirmed that the value of MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc would be more discriminatory for "truly low-risk" female patients with a rising population.

#### Limitations

Some limitations should be considered in our study. First, given the retrospective nature of our study, data are likely to have a certain extent of bias. Hospitalization for patients with MI with mild symptoms and few cardiovascular risk factors is much lower. Thus, the incidence of recurrent MI might be overestimated. Our analysis was conducted with the patients consecutively recruited from three large medical centers, which could partly reduce this selection bias. We also acknowledge that our data were based on electronic

medical records from Hospital Information System that are not created for research purposes. However, since the system is routinely used in clinical practice, the data are sufficiently accurate, complete, and full for this study purpose. The degrees of underreporting and misdiagnosis rate for risk factors in hospital registers are often low, which leads to the data with high validity. In addition, the in-hospital duration and observation periods are not fully described, quantified, and compared. However, since the analysis was the occurrence of in-hospital AMI, which occurred less than 28 days, the results of our study were irrelevant to these missing data. Our retrospective results should be confirmed by prospective cohort studies. Second, recurrent MI risk is a continuum. The MCHA2DS2-VASc score has a fair predictive value of artificially categorizing patients with MI into low and highrisk strata, only with a greater focus on the identification of "high-risk" patients. To improve identifying "truly low-risk" patients, it is necessary to be more inclusive of common recurrence risk factors as part of the MCHA2DS2-VASc score. Third, our analyses were based on data from inpatients with MI, and the results may not be entirely generalizable to other settings where comorbidity is less prevalent. It seems to increase the relative risk estimates in the analysis of provoked MI recurrence. Similarly, since our study is limited to Chinese individuals, it may be difficult to extrapolate our findings to other populations. Fourth, given that the MCHA2DS2-VASc score should be applicable to most patients with MI for most of the time and situations in everyday clinical practice, our study did not cover treatment and laboratory data. Therefore, it is not possible to exclude the effects of the paucity of data on the risk of recurrence.

#### Conclusion

CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc and MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores are both validated in recurrent MI prediction. However, MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc has a better predictive value than CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in overall and male patients with MI and, importantly, should be considered as being a similar predictive value to CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in female patients. MCHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc shifts toward a greater focus on the identification of high-risk patients with MI, and as good as CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc focus on identifying low-risk patients in women. The next step is to expand data collection for risk factors that are unique to and more common in women than men and to improve cardiovascular prevention models for women.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### 10.3389/fcvm.2022.925932

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

XL conceived and designed the study and supervised all the work. HP collected the data, planned the analyses, and drafted the manuscript. XZ and IC performed all calculations and interpreted the data. HZ and YZ designed the case report forms. SL supervised the statistical analyses. All authors contributed intellectually to the manuscript, reviewed drafts, and accepted the final draft.

#### Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81730106 to XL), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC1700505 to XL), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20190158 to HP),

#### References

1. Joshi PH, de Lemos JA. Diagnosis and management of stable angina: a review. *JAMA*. (2021) 325:1765–78. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.1527

2. Chinese Society of Cardiology of Chinese Medical Association, Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Cardiology. 2019 Chinese Society of Cardiology (CSC) guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with ST – segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Chin J Cardiol.* (2019) 47:766–83. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2019.10.003

3. Waks JW, Buxton AE. Risk stratification for sudden cardiac death after myocardial infarction. *Annu Rev Med.* (2018) 69:147–64. doi: 10.1146/annurev-med-041316-090046

4. Grinberg T, Bental T, Hammer Y, Assali A, Vaknin-Assa H, Kornowski R, et al. Temporal trends of the management and outcome of patients with myocardial infarction according to the risk for recurrent cardiovascular events. *Am J Med.* (2020) 133:839.e–47.e. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.12.027

5. Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax JJ, Blomström-Lundqvist C, et al. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS): the task force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J.* (2021) 42:373–498. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612

6. Goudis C, Daios S, Korantzopoulos P, Liu T. Should we incorporate obstructive sleep apnea in CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score? *Sleep Breath.* (2021) 25:2099–101. doi: 10. 1007/s11325-021-02305-3

7. Zhang Y, Yuan YQ. Value of left atrial diameter with CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score in predicting left atrial/left atrial appendage thrombosis in non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* (2021) 116:325–31. doi: 10.36660/abc.20190492

the Xuzhou Municipal Bureau of Science and Technology (KC19026 to HP), and the Six Talent Peaks Project in Jiangsu Province (WSN270 to HP).

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Zhenkun Zong (Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China) for the technical assistance on partial data collection and database management.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

 Premužić V, Stevanović R, Radić P, Salvetti M, Lovrić-Benčić M, Jelaković A, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular mortality in patients with atrial fibrillation: European Society of Hypertension project – ESH A Fib. *Medicine*. (2021) 100:e23975. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000023975

 Fu Y, Li K, Gao Y, Wang L, Chen M, Yang X. A novel risk score for predicting left atrial and left atrial appendage thrombogenic milieu in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Thromb Res.* (2020) 192:161–6. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres. 2020.05.010

10. Zhang F, Yang XC, Jia XW, Tang XH, Wang Z, Wang ZQ. Von Willebrand factor and ADAMTS13 plasma in older patients with high CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score with and without atrial fibrillation. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2017) 21:4907–12.

11. Leonardi S, Bueno H, Ahrens I, Hassager C, Bonnefoy E, Lettino M. Optimised care of elderly patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. (2018) 7:287–95. doi: 10.1177/2048872618761621

12. Shore S, Jones PG, Maddox TM, Bradley SM, Stolker JM, Arnold SV, et al. Longitudinal persistence with secondary prevention therapies relative to patient risk after myocardial infarction. *Heart.* (2015) 101:800–7. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2014-306754

13. Silvain J, Kerneis M, Zeitouni M, Lattuca B, Galier S, Brugier D, et al. Interleukin-1beta and risk of premature death in patients with myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2020) 76:1763–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.026

14. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). J Am Coll Cardiol. (2018) 72:2231-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1038

15. Mehra MR, Vaduganathan M, Fu M, Ferreira JP, Anker SD, Cleland JGF, et al. A comprehensive analysis of the effects of rivaroxaban on stroke or transient ischaemic attack in patients with heart failure, coronary artery disease, and sinus

rhythm: the COMMANDER HF trial. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40:3593-602. doi: 10. 1093/eurheartj/ehz427

16. Asher E, Abu-Much A, Bragazzi NL, Younis A, Younis A, Masalha E, et al. CHADS<sub>2</sub> and CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores as predictors of platelet reactivity in acute coronary syndrome. *J Cardiol.* (2021) 77:375–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2020.09.010

17. Gunduz R, Yildiz BS, Ozdemir IH, Cetin N, Ozen MB, Bakir EO, et al. CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score and modified CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score can predict mortality and intensive care unit hospitalization in COVID-19 patients. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* (2021) 52:914–24. doi: 10.1007/s11239-021-02427-1

18. Caro-Codón J, Lip GYH, Rey JR, Iniesta AM, Rosillo SO, Castrejon-Castrejon S, et al. Prediction of thromboembolic events and mortality by the CHADS<sub>2</sub> and the CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc in COVID-19. *Europace*. (2021) 23:937–47. doi: 10.1093/europace/euab015

19. Lin AY, Dinatolo E, Metra M, Sbolli M, Dasseni N, Butler J, et al. Thromboembolism in heart failure patients in sinus rhythm: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical trials, and future direction. *JACC Heart Fail.* (2021) 9:243–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf.2021.01.009

20. De Luca L. Established and emerging pharmacological therapies for postmyocardial infarction patients with heart failure: a review of the evidence. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* (2020) 34:723–35. doi: 10.1007/s10557-020-07027-4

21. Cunningham JW, Vaduganathan M, Claggett BL, John JE, Desai AS, Lewis EF, et al. Myocardial infarction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: pooled analysis of 3 clinical trials. *JACC Heart Fail.* (2020) 8:618–26. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf. 2020.02.007

22. Smit M, Coetzee AR, Lochner A. The pathophysiology of myocardial ischemia and perioperative myocardial infarction. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* (2020) 34:2501–12. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2019.10.005

23. McGuire DK, Zinman B, Inzucchi SE, Wanner C, Fitchett D, Anker SD, et al. Effects of empagliflozin on first and recurrent clinical events in patients with type 2 diabetes and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: a secondary analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2020) 8:949–59. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30344-2

24. Zeitouni M, Nanna MG, Sun JL, Chiswell K, Peterson ED, Navar AM. Performance of guideline recommendations for prevention of myocardial infarction in young adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2020) 76:653–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc. 2020.06.030

25. Svendsen K, Krogh HW, Igland J, Tell GS, Mundal LJ, Holven KB, et al. 2.5-fold increased risk of recurrent acute myocardial infarction with familial hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis.* (2021) 319:28–34. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2020.12.019

26. Gencer B, Mach F, Murphy SA, De Ferrari GM, Huber K, Lewis BS, et al. Efficacy of evolocumab on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with recent myocardial infarction: a prespecified secondary analysis from the FOURIER trial. *JAMA Cardiol.* (2020) 5:952–7. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0882

27. Wu VC, Wu M, Aboyans V, Chang SH, Chen SW, Chen MC, et al. Female sex as a risk factor for ischaemic stroke varies with age in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Heart.* (2020) 106:534–40. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315065

28. Peters SAE, Colantonio LD, Dai Y, Zhao H, Bittner V, Farkouh ME, et al. Trends in recurrent coronary heart disease after myocardial infarction among US women and men between 2008 and 2017. *Circulation.* (2021) 143:650–60. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047065

29. Asleh R, Manemann SM, Weston SA, Bielinski SJ, Chamberlain AM, Jiang R, et al. Sex differences in outcomes after myocardial infarction in the community. *Am J Med.* (2021) 134:114–21. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2020.05.040