Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christian Zuppinger, Bern University Hospital, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY

Katarzyna Czarzasta, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland Mariann Gyöngyösi, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

*CORRESPONDENCE Minjie Lu coolkan@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Imaging, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 22 April 2022 ACCEPTED 28 July 2022 PUBLISHED 29 September 2022

CITATION

Zhu L, Wang Y, Zhao S and Lu M (2022) Detection of myocardial fibrosis: Where we stand. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:926378. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.926378

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhu, Wang, Zhao and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Detection of myocardial fibrosis: Where we stand

Leyi Zhu^{1,2,3}, Yining Wang^{1,2}, Shihua Zhao^{1,2} and Minjie Lu^{1,2,4*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Fuwai Hospital, Beijing, China, ²Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ³School of Medicine, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, ⁴Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Imaging (Cultivation), Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Myocardial fibrosis, resulting from the disturbance of extracellular matrix homeostasis in response to different insults, is a common and important pathological remodeling process that is associated with adverse clinical outcomes, including arrhythmia, heart failure, or even sudden cardiac death. Over the past decades, multiple non-invasive detection methods have been developed. Laboratory biomarkers can aid in both detection and risk stratification by reflecting cellular and even molecular changes in fibrotic processes, yet more evidence that validates their detection accuracy is still warranted. Different non-invasive imaging techniques have been demonstrated to not only detect myocardial fibrosis but also provide information on prognosis and management. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) is considered as the gold standard imaging technique to noninvasively identify and quantify myocardial fibrosis with its natural ability for tissue characterization. This review summarizes the current understanding of the non-invasive detection methods of myocardial fibrosis, with the focus on different techniques and clinical applications of CMR.

KEYWORDS

myocardial fibrosis, heart failure, biomarkers, non-invasive imaging, cardiovascular magnetic resonance

Introduction

Myocardial fibrosis is a common pathological remodeling process existed in many cardiovascular diseases, which is characterized by the excessive deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. By causes and location, myocardial fibrosis is generally classified into two subtypes: replacement and interstitial fibrosis (1). In replacement fibrosis, the macroscopic collagen-based scar forms in response to cardiomyocyte death. Interstitial fibrosis, in contrast, represents the microscopic deposition of ECM proteins in interstitial areas, and it is not directly associated with cardiomyocyte death. Reactive fibrosis is the most common subtype of interstitial fibrosis, triggered by insults such as pressure overload. It can be seen in the course of aging and various disease conditions such as hypertension and diabetes (2). Infiltrative fibrosis is another subtype that is marked by the progressive deposition of glycosphingolipids and insoluble proteins, which can be seen in Anderson-Fabry disease and amyloidosis, respectively (3). However, replacement and interstitial fibrosis are not entirely independent. For example, myocardial infarction (MI) may induce not only replacement fibrosis in infarcted sites, but also reactive interstitial fibrosis in peri-infarct and remote areas (4).

Traditionally, myocardial fibrosis is evaluated by histopathological analysis of endocardial biopsy (EMB) or autopsy specimens, which is regarded as the gold standard to identify and quantify myocardial fibrosis. The extent of myocardial fibrosis is quantified by measuring collagen volume fraction (CVF). However, its invasive nature, together with low representativeness (failed to obtain samples of the whole myocardium) and sampling error (possible to miss localized fibrosis), limits it to become a widely accepted routine examination in clinical practice (2, 5). Over the past decades, researchers have made great efforts to develop non-invasive detection methods, including laboratory biomarkers and non-invasive imaging techniques. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) can provide comprehensive information on myocardial anatomy, function, perfusion and tissue characterization (e.g., fibrosis, edema, fat), emerging as the non-invasive gold standard imaging technique to assess myocardial fibrosis (6). The roles of various CMR techniques to aid diagnosis, risk stratification and management have also been demonstrated (7-10). This review summarizes the current understanding of the non-invasive detection methods of myocardial fibrosis, with the focus on different techniques and clinical applications of CMR.

ECM homeostasis: Physiology and pathology

ECM is a dynamic but tightly regulated structure, maintaining homeostasis through the synthesis and degradation of its protein components (11). This review focuses on the turnover of type I and III collagens, the primary structural proteins in ECM. In physiological conditions, fibroblasts secrete collagen precursors, called type I and III procollagens, which are then converted into mature type I and III collagens by proteinases (12). Two types of propeptides, procollagen type I carboxy-terminal propeptide (PICP) and procollagen type III amino-terminal propeptide (PIIINP), respectively coming from the carboxy-terminal of type I procollagen and the amino-terminal of type III procollagen, are released during this process and therefore can be used as the biomarkers of collagen synthesis (2, 13). Furthermore, collagens can be crosslinked by lysyl oxidases (LOXs) and LOX-like (LOXLs) enzymes to resist degradation (11). Collagen degradation is controlled by matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs, to enhance degradation) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMPs, to inhibit degradation). Similarly, telopeptides such as collagen type I carboxy-terminal telopeptide (CITP) are cleaved and released during collagen degradation.

In fibrotic tissues, where different insults such as pressure overload, myocardial injury and even ischemic cardiomyocyte death occur, a series of pro-fibrotic reactions initiated by various mechanisms including neurohumoral pathways, mechanosensitive pathways, inflammatory signaling cascades and growth factors disturb the ECM homeostasis, leading to the increased collagen deposition and/or the reduced collagen degradation (2). Both activated fibroblasts and myofibroblasts can secret elevated levels of structural proteins (e.g., type I and III collagens, elastin) and pro-fibrotic non-structural proteins (e.g., fibronectin, matricellular proteins) (4, 14, 15). The circulating expression levels of some pro-fibrotic or antifibrotic mediators including galectin-3, soluble suppression of tumorigenicity-2 (sST2) and microRNAs (miRNAs) may change significantly during fibrotic processes; therefore, they can be used as potential biomarkers of myocardial fibrosis (13, 16). Eventually, due to the excessive ECM deposition or the loss of cardiomyocytes (in cases of MI), the extracellular space expands in fibrotic tissues.

Of note, the initial fibrotic process is not considered pathological because it includes protective or reparative responses against different insults. Pathological fibrosis is now appreciated as the prolonged and excessive fibrotic responses, which may result from persistent pro-fibrotic insults, or the dysregulation of pro-fibrotic factors along with the concurrent presence of myofibroblasts (17, 18). Pathological fibrosis can lead to a series of detrimental consequences (Figure 1). In interstitial fibrosis, fibrotic tissues contribute to structural and electrical changes, thus leading to alterations in cardiac function and arrhythmias. Excessive fibrosis in perivascular areas restricts the supply of oxygen and nutrients to the myocardium, which exacerbates adverse remodeling responses and makes cardiomyocytes more susceptible to adverse outcomes such as ischemia (19). In replacement fibrosis, the myocardial scar may result in the subsequent remodeling process in uninjured areas, including interstitial and perivascular fibrosis. The abnormal mechanical stress and the pro-fibrotic mediators traversing from injured areas are two underlying mechanisms of this reactive remodeling process (20, 21). It has been proposed that myocardial scar is also associated with a higher risk of ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) (22). Ultimately, some lethal cardiovascular events such as heart failure (HF) and sudden cardiac death (SCD) may occur if the excessive fibrotic responses persist.

Detection: Laboratory biomarkers and imaging techniques

Laboratory biomarkers

Laboratory biomarkers can be non-invasively detected *via* either the serum or plasma, thus safer compared with EMB



and autopsy. They reflect cellular and even molecular changes; therefore, they can detect myocardial fibrosis in the early stage of fibrotic processes (13).

Collagen-derived biomarkers

PICP and PIIINP are two collagen-derived biomarkers released in the process of collagen synthesis. The levels of serum PICP and PIIINP have been shown to correlate with CVF in patients with HF (13). Both PICP and PIIINP presented high sensitivity but low specificity to identify patients with HF and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (23). In HF patients with reduced LVEF (<40%), PICP presented more reliable diagnostic performance with 86.2% sensitivity and 98.8% specificity in one study (24). The prognostic value of PICP and PIIINP to predict cardiovascular and all-cause death in HF patients has also been demonstrated (25, 26). In addition, the ratio of CITP to MMP1 (CITP/MMP1) inversely correlates with the degree of collagen cross-linking. The combination of PICP and CITP/MMP1, reflecting type I collagen deposition and cross-linking respectively, is associated with a higher risk of HF rehospitalization or cardiovascular death (27), and a higher incidence or recurrence of atrial fibrillation (28). On the other hand, these collagen-derived biomarkers are not cardiac specific, and they are sensitive to comorbidities and noncardiac conditions that affect collagen turnover. Extensive validation is still needed because accumulating studies have indicated the circulating level of these biomarkers (e.g., PICP

and PIIINP) did not correlate with the collagen content derived from histological examination or imaging techniques (29–31). Hence, these biomarkers have not yet been implemented in clinical practice.

Galectin-3 and sST2

Some novel laboratory biomarkers are associated with multiple factors including cardiac stress, inflammation and fibrosis. Galectin-3 is a beta-galactosidase-binding lectin, acting as an important inflammatory mediator in fibrotic processes. sST2 is a decoy receptor to block the cardioprotective effects of interleukin-33, which inhibits myocardial fibrosis and hypertrophy. The expression of galectin-3 and sST2 is upregulated in fibrotic processes (32, 33); however, there has been no evidence to support their correlations with CVF. Both galectin-3 and sST2 have a certain diagnostic ability to identify HF patients, and galectin-3 showed superior performance in the setting of HF with preserved LVEF (galectin-3: sensitivity 0.760, specificity 0.803; sST2: sensitivity 0.636, specificity 0.595) (34). Of note, circulating levels of galectin-3 and sST2 will increase in various inflammation-related conditions, including cancer, obesity and diabetes (35). They also lack cardiac specificity, similar to collagen-derived biomarkers. Therefore, some researchers suggested that these two biomarkers are of no value for diagnostic purposes (36). Though the diagnostic value remains uncertain, their prognostic role has been wellestablished. Several studies have reported the prognostic value

of galectin-3 and sST2 in patients with chronic HF, and sST2 showed a superior value over galectin-3 for risk stratification (37). Furthermore, both galectin-3 and sST2 were recommended for risk stratification in the 2013 ACCF/AHA guidelines on HF (38) and its updates in 2017 (39), but they remain without evidence of an incremental management benefit (40).

MiRNAs

MiRNAs regulate fibrotic responses at the posttranscriptional level, and they can present and be detected in the circulation. The circulating level of miR-21, one of the most studied miRNAs, has been shown to exert fibrogenic responses (41) and positively correlate with the myocardial expression level of genes encoding type I collagen and fibronectin (42). On the other hand, several miRNAs including miR-29b and miR-203 have been suggested to be involved in the negative regulation of fibrotic processes and exert potential cardioprotective effects (43, 44). However, these miRNAs are not cardiac specific. A recent review suggested that the effect of miR-21 appears to differ, depending on various cell types and disease conditions (45). Therefore, more studies are required.

There is an increasing interest in the investigation of cardiacspecific miRNAs, which not only play a central role in cardiac development and regeneration but express abundantly in the myocardium (46). The circulating level of cardiac-specific miR-1 will increase in patients with acute MI and its overexpression can reduce fibrosis in animal models; therefore, miR-1 may serve as a novel cardiac-specific biomarker of myocardial fibrosis (46). Surprisingly, a recent study indicated that the plasma level of miR-4454, rather than miR-1, was correlated with the extent of myocardial fibrosis detected by imaging technique in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) (47). However, validation in a larger cohort is required and the origins of miR-4454 should be further researched. The cardiac-specific miR-133a has been shown to hinder myocardial fibrosis through its inhibitory effects on pathways activated by pro-fibrotic mediators such as transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) (48, 49). In a mouse model of nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), miR-133a inversely correlated with several critical profibrotic mediators such as fibronectin and TGF- β (48).

There are still lots of limitations of miRNAs for detecting fibrosis, including the non-specific nature of most miRNAs, the limited and sometimes controversial evidence, the suboptimal detectability of some transcripts in the circulation, and the complex and non-standardized quantification methods (16).

Non-invasive imaging techniques

Currently, a variety of non-invasive modalities have been employed to detect myocardial fibrosis, including echocardiography, nuclear imaging, cardiac computed tomography and CMR. The role of various modalities to evaluate prognosis and aid management has also been demonstrated.

Echocardiography

Echocardiography is the most frequently used imaging modality for diagnosing cardiovascular diseases because of its easy availability and affordability. Fibrotic tissues may present structural changes (e.g., abnormal thickening of the myocardium) and impaired systolic and/or diastolic function in echocardiography; however, these manifestations are lack of specificity (50).

Integrated backscatter (IB) is а traditional echocardiographic approach to detect histological abnormalities of the myocardium by quantitatively assessing ultrasonic reflectivity (51, 52). There are currently two parameters of IB: the calibrated IB (cal-IB, the mean value of the IB signal calibrated by the backscatter power from the pericardium) and the cardiac-cycle-dependent variation of IB (cdv-IB) (53). Cal-IB is more frequently used as the biomarker of myocardial fibrosis and its relation with histological examination has been validated in several studies (51, 54). In one study, the area of myocardial fibrosis showed positive close correlations with cal-IB and negative close correlations with cdv-IB (53). Despite greater availability and non-invasiveness compared with EMB, the application of IB is stilled limited because IB signals are affected by various factors including structural and functional properties of the myocardium. Some validation studies showed a lack of correlation between cal-IB and myocardial fibrosis estimated by histological examination or CMR (55, 56).

The abnormal collagen deposition may affect the movement of myocardial fibers, thus leading to the adverse wall motion of the myocardium (57). Recent progress has indicated that strain parameters derived from the speckle-tracking technique can reflect the impaired myocardial deformation of fibrotic tissues. Strain parameters of both ventricles have been used to effectively detect myocardial fibrosis (58). Longitudinal strain is predominantly impaired by subendocardial fibers, and in general, it is the most sensitive deformation parameter of ventricular mechanics in cardiovascular diseases (59, 60). Therefore, global longitudinal strain (GLS) is the most studied parameter of echocardiographic strain analysis to detect myocardial fibrosis. Previous studies focused on the relation between GLS and CMR parameters, and GLS significantly correlated with the extent of fibrosis estimated by CMR in patients with HCM (61), Anderson-Fabry disease (62), and heart transplant recipients (63). Some recent studies have reported the correlations between GLS and histological fibrosis in both rat models (64) and HF patients (65). Furthermore, the prognostic value of GLS has been investigated and GLS was superior to all other echocardiographic parameters in HF patients with preserved LVEF (57, 66).

Various novel parameters incorporating data from echocardiographic strain analysis have also been introduced. Mechanical dispersion is a parameter defined as the standard deviation of time to peak negative longitudinal strain (67). In a cohort of HCM patients, mechanical dispersion correlated with the extent of fibrosis estimated by CMR, and surprisingly, it was a strong independent predictor of VAs, which is superior to GLS (68). Of note, both longitudinal strain and mechanical dispersion are not specific parameters to detect myocardial fibrosis, because they reflect the comprehensive changes of pathophysiological status in the myocardium (e.g., fibrosis, hypertrophy, microvascular ischemia) (68, 69). Myocardial work is another novel parameter of transthoracic echocardiography, reflecting the stroke work through pressure-strain loop by incorporating deformation and afterload information of the left ventricle (70). Recent studies indicated that parameters of myocardial work were associated with CMR findings of fibrosis and global constructive work (GCW) showed a better ability to predict myocardial fibrosis compared with GLS (71, 72).

However, it is critical to note that techniques of echocardiography detect fibrosis indirectly, and they are highly dependent on adequate acoustic windows.

Nuclear imaging

Nuclear imaging techniques, including single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positronemission tomography (PET), can be used for detecting myocardial fibrosis. Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy is a SPECT technique where perfusion defects can indirectly reflect the myocardial scar. PET with ¹⁵O-labeled water (H₂¹⁵O) and carbon monoxide (C¹⁵O) assesses myocardial fibrosis through an indirect marker called perfusable tissue index (PTI), which represents the fraction of myocardium that is perfusable by water (73). Theoretically, PTI reduces in fibrotic tissues, where the water is not able to exchange rapidly. In a cohort of patients with chronic ischemic heart disease, PTI is inversely related to the extent of fibrosis estimated by CMR (74); while in a HCM cohort, their relation became positive due to myocardial hypertrophy and edema, both of which can expand the volume of water in fibrotic tissues and thus compensate the reduced PTI (75). Furthermore, PTI has been shown to correlate with the extent of histological fibrosis after MI in a canine model (76), yet the histologic comparisons in humans are still lacking.

Accumulating studies of nuclear medicine have paid great attention to fibrosis-specific molecular imaging. Several imaging methods, such as collagelin labeling (e.g., using ⁹⁹mTc-labeled collagelin), activated fibroblast and myofibroblast labeling (e.g., using ⁶⁸Ga-labeled or ¹⁸F-labeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor) techniques, have emerged (6, 77, 78). Though promising, more studies are required to validate its detection accuracy in humans. Also, it is not possible to precisely delineate fibrotic regions in nuclear imaging techniques, whose spatial

resolution is considerably lower than that of CMR (75). Studies examining the use of multimodality imaging (e.g., PET-CMR) for the detection of myocardial fibrosis are still ongoing.

CMR

CMR realizes "one-stop" inspection by assessing myocardial anatomy, function, perfusion and tissue characterization with high temporal and spatial resolution, emerging as the gold standard imaging technique to non-invasively identify and quantify myocardial fibrosis (6). There are currently two major techniques: late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) for detecting replacement fibrosis, and T1 mapping/extracellular volume fraction (ECV) for detecting interstitial fibrosis. Myocardial strain analysis, especially through CMR featuretracking technique (CMR-FT), has shown promising to detect functional abnormalities derived from myocardial fibrosis (Table 1).

LGE

Replacement fibrosis can be detected by late enhancement with gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs). After Kim et al. initially demonstrated the association between LGE and histological replacement fibrosis in dogs (80), increasing evidence has shown their correlation in patients with various cardiovascular diseases, including HCM (81), Anderson-Fabry disease (82) and aortic stenosis (AS) (83).

LGE imaging is acquired 10-20 min after the injection of GBCAs, which does not cross membranes of cardiac cells and distributes in the extracellular space (84). Both the expansion of extracellular space and the lower clearance rate (also called the wash-out rate) can lead to the retention of GBCAs within fibrotic tissue (80, 85). With the T1 shortening effect of GBCAs, fibrotic tissue reveals with a higher signal intensity compared with the surrounding normal myocardium in T1weighted images. Conventional LGE imaging uses an inversion recovery (IR) sequence to identify the approximate T1 of normal myocardium, with the aim to "null" the signal of normal myocardium and thus highlight the signal of fibrotic tissue (86). The selection of postprocessing methods for segmentation is crucial for detection accuracy. Manual planimetry, "n"-SD and full width half maximum (FWHM) technique are recommended by the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) for standardized postprocessing (87). "n"-SD and FWHM are both threshold-based methods, where pixels with signal intensities above the threshold would be defined as fibrotic regions (88). However, the variability of signal distribution in fibrotic tissue may impact detection accuracy. For example, scars with microvascular obstruction (MVO) presenting low signal intensity in the core but high signal intensity in the border may not be defined as fibrosis in these threshold-based methods. Deep learning algorithms may provide a solution, whereas

Technique	Relationship to myocardial fibrosis	Parameter	Fibrosis characterization	Strength	Limitation
LGE	 Favor of detecting replacement fibrosis Correlated with the degree of histological fibrosis in human 	e.g., the global and regional extent (%)	 Regions with high signal intensities reflect focal fibrosis/scar 	► Widely used in clinical practice	 Low sensitivity to interstitial fibrosis Requires injection of GBCAs
T1 mapping/ECV	 Detects all types of myocardial fibrosis Correlated with the degree of 	Native T1 time (ms)	 Increased Needs to rule out conditions including edema and other 	 Without the injection of GBCAs 	 Reflects changes in both intracellular and extracellular space
	histological fibrosis in human		interstitial factors	 Relatively short scan time 	 Needs robust standardization and local reference range
		Post-contrast T1 time (ms)	 Decreased Seldom used for detecting fibrosis 	► Used for calculating ECV	 Requires injection of GBCAs Sensitive to glomerular filtration rate and other technical and physiological factors Needs robust standardization and local reference range
		ECV (%)	 Increased Needs to rule out conditions including edema and other interstitial factors 	 More specific to extracellular space More stable to several technical factors 	 Requires blood sampling to obtain hematocrit Requires injection of GBCAs Needs robust standardization and local reference range
FT	 Indirect Associated with the degree of histological fibrosis in human Correlated with the degree of histological fibrosis in a mouse model (79) 	Global and segmental strain (%), strain rate (%/s) and rotational mechanics	 Impaired strain parameters reflect fibrosis 	 Without the injection of GBCAs Fast postprocessing procedure without extra data acquisition 	 Low reproducibility Low spatial and temporal resolution compared with echocardiography Needs further study

TABLE 1 Various techniques of cardiovascular magnetic resonance for detecting myocardial fibrosis.

frontiersin.org

intensive studies are still needed to validate their accuracy and reproducibility.

LGE is a useful tool to differentiate between ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies in clinical practice. LGE in ischemic cardiomyopathies involves the subendocardial layer corresponding to the distribution of coronary artery territories, with a variable transmural extension. LGE in nonischemic cardiomyopathies, in contrast, demonstrates nonischemic (nonsubendocardial) and varied patterns. For example, patients with HCM often present LGE in the midmyocardium of right ventricular insertion points (89), while intramural LGE in basal and/or mid septum (also called "mid-wall" stripe) is often shown in patients with DCM (90). LGE in myocarditis is typically observed in the subepicardial myocardium. However, subendocardial LGE was recently reported as a severe phenotype of myocarditis, which should be differentiated from ischemic cardiomyopathies (91). Of note, LGE patterns of nonischemic cardiomyopathies mentioned above are not specific. Cumulative evidence has demonstrated the prognostic value of the presence and extent (including the global and regional extent) of LGE to predict cardiovascular death and all-cause mortality in both ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies (7, 92-95). In addition to its prognostic role, LGE has been proposed for treatment decisions of cardiomyopathies. The transmural extent of LGE is employed to select pre-operative patients for revascularization because it is inversely associated with the possibility of contractile recovery (8, 96). "Gray zone" is defined as the peri-infarct areas with intermediate signal intensity between the post-infarct scar and normal myocardium (86), which may result from reactive fibrotic responses and partial volume effects (97). It is now appreciated that the comprehensive scar evaluation including post-infarct scar and surrounding "gray zone" is more associated with VAs and SCD than LVEF, the current selection criteria for implantable cardioverter-defibrillators therapy (22, 98). Similarly, LGE has a stronger prognostic value for SCD risk stratification than LVEF in nonischemic cardiomyopathies, especially for patients with LVEF>35% (99, 100).

Intensive exercise may induce a series of remodeling responses in cardiovascular structure and function, including myocardial fibrosis. Increasing studies have demonstrated that some athletes could develop cardiac remodeling that may overlap with features of mild forms of cardiomyopathy, known as the diagnostic "gray zone" (101). CMR is a feasible approach to differentiate these physiological adaptations from pathological cardiomyopathies with its abilities of tissue characterization and quantitative measurement. In endurance athletes, inferior right ventricular insertion points LGE is the most common pattern, which represents the microinjuries in regions of tension concentration (102, 103). Other differential features include the symmetric and mild dilation of four chambers, the balanced and concentrically increased wall thickness, and the enhanced bilateral stroke volumes (102, 104). Valvular heart diseases involve not only valve lesions, but also the remodeling process in the myocardium, including fibrotic responses. There are two types of myocardial fibrosis in patients with AS: interstitial fibrosis against pressure overload developed in the early stage of the disease process, and replacement fibrosis in the midmyocardium developed along with disease progression (105). The latter can be detected in LGE imaging and it is an important predictor of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (106).

LGE plays a vital role in both diagnosis and risk stratification for infiltrative diseases. The typical LGE pattern of cardiac amyloidosis is diffuse subendocardial or transmural, which provides not only high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis but also a strong prognostic value for all-cause mortality (107–109). Myocardial fibrosis can be the first sign of organ involvement in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease (110) and it typically presents LGE in the basal inferolateral myocardium (111).

Of note, standardization must be performed because parameters of LGE can be influenced by different factors such as field of strength, amount of GBCAs, type of sequences and segmentation methods (1). The low sensitivity for detecting interstitial fibrosis due to its comparative nature, and the low spatial resolution due to respiratory motions as well as heart rate variabilities are two major limitations of LGE (88). Despite the extended scan time, the novel three-dimensional highresolution LGE technique may be a promising approach.

T1 mapping and ECV

T1 mapping and ECV technique provide not only insights into interstitial fibrosis, but also the quantitative evaluation of tissue characterization based on fully quantitative pixel-wise analysis. T1 mapping parameters (including native T1, postcontrast T1 and ECV) have been demonstrated to correlate with the degree of histological interstitial fibrosis (112), and ECV has exhibited excellent agreement with extracellular space derived from histological examination (113).

Theoretically, each voxel of the myocardium can be measured on T1 mapping images. Based on IR, the modified Look-Locker inversion-recovery (MOLLI) is the most widelyused pre-pulse sequence, using multiple Look-Locker sequences at the same phase of cardiac cycle within one breath hold (1, 114). After each Look-Locker pre-pulse, the readout sequence (e.g., steady-state free precession) acquires signals following an exponential course, and identifies the exact T1 value through a curve-fitting process (6). Limitations of MOLLI are the long breath-hold time and the sensitivity to heart rate. To date, novel sequences have emerged, such as short MOLLI and saturation pulse-prepared heart rate-independent IR (SASHA). T1 map is a reconstructed image after postprocessing, where the T1 value of each voxel is encoded as the signal intensity (or color) of each corresponding pixel.

Of note, either native T1 or post-contrast T1 is influenced by changes in both intracellular and extracellular space (1). ECV is a more specific parameter targeting extracellular space, and it is calculated by incorporating parameters of native and post-contrast T1 and correcting the effect of hematocrit (115). Moreover, ECV is relatively stable in contrast to native T1, because it is a ratio parameter offsetting the influence of several technical factors such as field of strength, imaging sequences and GBCAs. Increased native T1 and ECV can be seen in myocardial edema (e.g., in acute MI or myocarditis) or expansion of extracellular space (e.g., in myocardial fibrosis or infiltrative diseases). ECV is considered as the most sensitive marker of myocardial fibrosis after ruling out edema and other interstitial factors such as infiltrative diseases (113). However, the requirement of blood sampling for hematocrit at the time of scanning limits the routine use of ECV qualification. Based on the linear relationship between the hematocrit and the native T1 of blood, synthetic ECV is a new method to determine ECV without blood sampling (116). Recently, a large cohort study showed synthetic ECV presented no statistical difference from conventional ECV estimated by CMR and measured ECV by histological examination (117).

T1 mapping and ECV are useful to quantify the infarct size and the extent of "gray zone" in ischemic cardiomyopathies. The early CMR imaging with T1 mapping and ECV is also a potent diagnostic tool for identifying the etiology of MI with non-obstructive coronary arteries (118). In patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathies such as HCM and DCM, native T1 and ECV have shown strong diagnostic and prognostic values, especially for patients without LGE (9, 119). ECV can identify diffuse myocardial fibrosis at the early stage of hypertensive cardiomyopathy, before the appearance of LGE (120) (Figure 2). T1 mapping is also included in the updated Lake Louise Criteria (CMR consensus recommendations for myocarditis) in 2018 to detect edema and reactive fibrosis during the early inflammatory phases (121) and it can significantly improve the diagnostic ability of CMR for acute myocarditis (122).

The introduction of ECV provides new differential features between exercise-induced remodeling and pathological cardiomyopathy. The left ventricular mass increases during long-term intensive exercise, thus leading to the expansion of intracellular space and the relative decrease of ECV (123). Therefore, as most studies have demonstrated, regions of myocardial fibrosis in athletes present a decreased or normal ECV, while a high ECV is generally observed in cardiomyopathies (102, 124).

T1 mapping and ECV are particularly useful to detect myocardial fibrosis in infiltrative interstitial diseases. Native T1 significantly increases in patients with cardiac amyloidosis, providing high accuracy for diagnosis (125). In contrast, native T1 decreases in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease. It has been revealed that the low native T1 can occur earlier than the appearance of left ventricular hypertrophy, which is the most common CMR finding in Anderson-Fabry disease (126).

It should be pointed out that some conditions can result in the reduction of native T1 (e.g., excessive deposition of fat or iron) and ECV (e.g., myocardial hypertrophy). For example, false-negative results of fibrosis may occur in cases of MI with MVO (vascular blockage) or intramyocardial hemorrhage (containing methemoglobin), or in cases of Anderson-Fabry disease (fat deposition) (6). Parameters in T1 mapping and ECV technique are still dependent on various technical and physiological factors; therefore, both standardization and local reference range are needed (127).

Myocardial strain analysis

Unlike LGE and T1 mapping, strain analysis detects myocardial fibrosis indirectly by assessing the mechanics and deformation of the myocardium without contrast agents. Tagging technique is a traditional tool for strain analysis; however, its use is limited by complex postprocessing procedures. CMR-FT is a more feasible approach based on the fast postprocessing of cine images, acquiring strain information (e.g., strain, strain rate, rotational mechanics) globally or segmentally in three orthogonal directions: longitudinal, circumferential and radial (50). Multiple strain parameters have been demonstrated their association with CMR tagging (128), LGE (129) and histological examination (130).

The diagnostic ability of strain parameters derived from CMR-FT has been evaluated in recent years. Global and segmental strain could respectively stratify different extent and transmurality of LGE in patients with ST-segment elevation MI, and the surrounding areas of the infarcted core without LGE had impaired strains (129). Segmental rather than global longitudinal strain, especially septal longitudinal strain, was associated with histological fibrosis in HCM and provided incremental values over LGE to detect myocardial fibrosis (130, 131). In addition, the value of CMR strain parameters for risk stratification has also been reported (10, 132).

However, the reproducibility and spatial resolution of CMR-FT are relatively low compared with echocardiography.

Conclusions and future perspectives

The excessive ECM deposition resulting from disturbed ECM homeostasis is the major pathophysiology of myocardial fibrosis, a pathological remodeling process associated with a poor prognosis. Different non-invasive detection methods have been employed over the past decades. Laboratory biomarkers begin to take shape from diagnosis to risk stratification, and miRNAs seem promising, yet the evidence remains limited and sometimes mixed. Significant progress has been made in noninvasive imaging techniques, among which CMR is considered



as the non-invasive gold standard imaging technique to identify and quantify myocardial fibrosis with its natural ability for tissue characterization, providing comprehensive information on diagnosis, prognosis, risk stratification and management.

Studies examining the combination strategy of laboratory biomarkers and imaging techniques to increase diagnostic accuracy and improve risk stratification are currently under investigation. The combination of LGE and circulating biomarkers including PICP and galectin-3 has been shown an additive prognostic value in nonischemic cardiomyopathies (133, 134). Yet researches regarding the diagnostic role of this combination strategy are still limited. Furthermore, there is also no well-established non-invasive detection method targeting myocardial fibrosis specifically. Both collagen- and elastin-specific CMR contrast agents have been developed, bringing hope for the direct quantification of interstitial spaces (135, 136). Hopefully, future research on detection methods of myocardial fibrosis will help, to detect diseases with higher accuracy, to allow earlier diagnosis of diseases, and ultimately to reduce morbidity and mortality.

Author contributions

ML conceived this idea and was in charge of the overall responsibility of this work. LZ drafted the manuscript, which was revised by YW, SZ, and ML. All authors reviewed and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Construction Research Project of the Key Laboratory (Cultivation) of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2019PT310025), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81971588), the Youth Key Program of High-level Hospital Clinical Research (2022-GSP-QZ-5), the Capital Health Research and Development of Special (2020-2-4034), and the Clinical and Translational Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2019XK320063).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Ambale-Venkatesh B, Lima JA. Cardiac MRI: a central prognostic tool in myocardial fibrosis. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2015) 12:18–29. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2014.159

2. Lopez B, Ravassa S, Moreno MU, Jose GS, Beaumont J, Gonzalez A, et al. Diffuse myocardial fibrosis: mechanisms, diagnosis and therapeutic approaches. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2021) 18:479–98. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-00504-1

Hashimura H, Kimura F, Ishibashi-Ueda H, Morita Y, 3 Higashi M, Nakano S, et al. Radiologic-pathologic correlation S, c. secondary ca MR primary and cardiomyopathies: imaging of and histopathologic hearts from autopsy and transplantation. Radiographics. (2017) 37:719-36. doi: 10.1148/rg.201716 0082

4. Sun Y, Weber KT. Infarct scar: a dynamic tissue. Cardiovasc Res. (2000) 46:250-6. doi: 10.1016/S0008-6363(00)00032-8

5. Bing R, Dweck MR. Myocardial fibrosis: why image, how to image and clinical implications. *Heart.* (2019) 105:1832–40. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315560

6. Gupta S, Ge Y, Singh A, Gräni C, Kwong RY. Multimodality imaging assessment of myocardial fibrosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 14:2457–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.01.027

7. Bruder O, Wagner A, Jensen CJ, Schneider S, Ong P, Kispert EM, et al. Myocardial scar visualized by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging predicts major adverse events in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2010) 56:875–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.007

8. Kim RJ, Wu E, Rafael A, Chen EL, Parker MA, Simonetti O, et al. The use of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging to identify reversible myocardial dysfunction. *N Engl J Med.* (2000) 343:1445–53. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200011163432003

9. Xu J, Zhuang B, Sirajuddin A, Li S, Huang J, Yin G, et al. MRI T1 mapping in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: evaluation in patients without late gadolinium enhancement and hemodynamic obstruction. *Radiology*. (2020) 294:275–86. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019190651

10. He J, Yang W, Wu W, Li S, Yin G, Zhuang B, et al. Early diastolic longitudinal strain rate at MRI and outcomes in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Radiology.* (2021) 301:582–92. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2021210188

11. Bonnans C, Chou J, Werb Z. Remodelling the extracellular matrix in development and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2014) 15:786–801. doi: 10.1038/nrm3904

12. Li AH, Liu PP, Villarreal FJ, Garcia RA. Dynamic changes in myocardial matrix and relevance to disease: translational perspectives. *Circ Res.* (2014) 114:916–27. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.302819

13. López B, González A, Ravassa S, Beaumont J, Moreno MU, San José G, et al. Circulating biomarkers of myocardial fibrosis: the need for a reappraisal. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2015) 65:2449–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.04.026

14. Tomasek JJ, Gabbiani G, Hinz B, Chaponnier C, Brown RA. Myofibroblasts and mechano-regulation of connective tissue remodelling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2002) 3:349–63. doi: 10.1038/nrm809

15. Frangogiannis NG. Matricellular proteins in cardiac adaptation and disease. *Physiol Rev.* (2012) 92:635–88. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00008.2011

16. Shrivastava A, Haase T, Zeller T, Schulte C. Biomarkers for heart failure prognosis: proteins, genetic scores and non-coding RNAs. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2020) 7:601364. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.601364

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Hara H, Takeda N, Komuro I. Pathophysiology and therapeutic potential of cardiac fibrosis. *Inflamm Regen*. (2017) 37:13. doi: 10.1186/s41232-017-0046-5

18. Tian J, An X, Niu L. Myocardial fibrosis in congenital and pediatric heart disease. *Exp Ther Med.* (2017) 13:1660–4. doi: 10.3892/etm.2017.4224

19. Travers JG, Kamal FA, Robbins J, Yutzey KE, Blaxall BC. Cardiac fibrosis: the fibroblast awakens. *Circ Res.* (2016) 118:1021–40. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306565

20. Weber KT, Sun Y, Bhattacharya SK, Ahokas RA, Gerling IC. Myofibroblastmediated mechanisms of pathological remodelling of the heart. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2013) 10:15–26. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2012.158

21. Talman V, Ruskoaho H. Cardiac fibrosis in myocardial infarction-from repair and remodeling to regeneration. *Cell Tissue Res.* (2016) 365:563-81. doi: 10.1007/s00441-016-2431-9

22. Malhotra S, Canty JM. Structural and physiological imaging to predict the risk of lethal ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2019) 12:2049–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.05.034

23. Martos R, Baugh J, Ledwidge M, O'Loughlin C, Murphy NF, Conlon C, et al. Diagnosis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: improved accuracy with the use of markers of collagen turnover. *Eur J Heart Fail*. (2009) 11:191–7. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfn036

24. Demir S, Ede H, Kaplan M, Yavuz F, Yucel C, Kurt IH. The novel diagnostic marker in low-LVEF heart failure patients. *Bratisl Lek Listy.* (2018) 119:421–4. doi: 10.4149/BLL_2018_076

25. Duprez DA, Gross MD, Kizer JR, Ix JH, Hundley WG, Jacobs DR. Predictive value of collagen biomarkers for heart failure with and without preserved ejection fraction: MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis). *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2018) 7:e007885. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.007885

26. Löfsjögård J, Kahan T, Díez J, López B, González A, Ravassa S, et al. Usefulness of collagen carboxy-terminal propeptide and telopeptide to predict disturbances of long-term mortality in patients \geq 60 years with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2017) 119:2042–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.03.036

27. Ravassa S, López B, Querejeta R, Echegaray K, San José G, Moreno MU, et al. Phenotyping of myocardial fibrosis in hypertensive patients with heart failure. Influence on clinical outcome. *J Hypertens.* (2017) 35:853–61. doi:10.1097/HIH.000000000001258

28. Ravassa S, Ballesteros G, López B, Ramos P, Bragard J, González A, et al. Combination of circulating type I collagen-related biomarkers is associated with atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 73:1398–410. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.12.074

29. Ellims AH, Taylor AJ, Mariani JA, Ling LH, Iles LM, Maeder MT, et al. Evaluating the utility of circulating biomarkers of collagen synthesis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2014) 7:271–8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.113.000665

30. Adamcova M, Baka T, Dolezelova E, Aziriova S, Krajcirovicova K, Karesova I, et al. Relations between markers of cardiac remodelling and left ventricular collagen in an isoproterenol-induced heart damage model. *J Physiol Pharmacol.* (2019) 70:71–7.

31. Rubiś P, Dziewiecka E, Szymańska M, Banyś R, Urbańczyk-Zawadzka M, Krupiński M, et al. Lack of relationship between fibrosis-related biomarkers and cardiac magnetic resonance-assessed replacement and interstitial fibrosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Cells.* (2021) 10:1295. doi: 10.3390/cells10061295

32. Januzzi JL Jr, Peacock WF, Maisel AS, Chae CU, Jesse RL, Baggish AL, et al. Measurement of the interleukin family member ST2 in patients with acute dyspnea: results from the PRIDE (Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide Investigation of Dyspnea in the Emergency Department) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2007) 50:607–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.05.014

33. Schelbert EB, Fonarow GC, Bonow RO, Butler J, Gheorghiade M. Therapeutic targets in heart failure: refocusing on the myocardial interstitium. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2014) 63:2188–98. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.01.068

34. Chen H, Chhor M, Rayner BS, McGrath K, McClements L. Evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of current biomarkers in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis.* (2021) 114:793–804. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2021.10.007

35. Piek A, Du W, de Boer RA, Sillje HHW. Novel heart failure biomarkers: why do we fail to exploit their potential? *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci.* (2018) 55:246–63. doi: 10.1080/10408363.2018.1460576

36. Meijers WC, Bayes-Genis A, Mebazaa A, Bauersachs J, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, et al. Circulating heart failure biomarkers beyond natriuretic peptides: review from the Biomarker Study Group of the Heart Failure Association (HFA), European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2021) 23:1610–32. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2346

37. Bayes-Genis A, de Antonio M, Vila J, Peñafiel J, Galán A, Barallat J, et al. Head-to-head comparison of 2 myocardial fibrosis biomarkers for long-term heart failure risk stratification: ST2 versus galectin-3. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2014) 63:158–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.087

38. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Jr., Drazner MH, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. (2013) 128:1810–52. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31829e8807

39. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Jr., Colvin MM, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation*. (2017) 136:e137–e61. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000509

40. Heidenreich PA, Bozkurt B, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Byun JJ, Colvin MM, et al. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2022) 145:e895–e1032. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.12.012

41. Thum T, Gross C, Fiedler J, Fischer T, Kissler S, Bussen M, et al. MicroRNA-21 contributes to myocardial disease by stimulating MAP kinase signalling in fibroblasts. *Nature*. (2008) 456:980–4. doi: 10.1038/nature07511

42. Villar AV, García R, Merino D, Llano M, Cobo M, Montalvo C, et al. Myocardial and circulating levels of microRNA-21 reflect left ventricular fibrosis in aortic stenosis patients. *Int J Cardiol.* (2013) 167:2875–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.07.021

43. Chaturvedi P, Kalani A, Medina I, Familtseva A, Tyagi SC. Cardiosome mediated regulation of MMP9 in diabetic heart: role of mir29b and mir455 in exercise. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2015) 19:2153–61. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12589

44. Yang X, Li X, Lin Q, Xu Q. Up-regulation of microRNA-203 inhibits myocardial fibrosis and oxidative stress in mice with diabetic cardiomyopathy through the inhibition of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway via PIK3CA. *Gene.* (2019) 715:143995. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2019.143995

45. Jakubik D, Fitas A, Eyileten C, Jarosz-Popek J, Nowak A, Czajka P, et al. MicroRNAs and long non-coding RNAs in the pathophysiological processes of diabetic cardiomyopathy: emerging biomarkers and potential therapeutics. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2021) 20:55. doi: 10.1186/s12933-021-01245-2

46. Chistiakov DA, Orekhov AN, Bobryshev YV. Cardiac-specific miRNA in cardiogenesis, heart function, and cardiac pathology (with focus on myocardial infarction). J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2016) 94:107–21. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2016.03.015

47. Thottakara T, Lund N, Krämer E, Kirchhof P, Carrier L, Patten M, et al. A novel miRNA screen identifies miRNA-4454 as a candidate biomarker for ventricular fibrosis in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Biomolecules.* (2021) 11:1718. doi: 10.3390/biom11111718

48. Chen S, Puthanveetil P, Feng B, Matkovich SJ, Dorn GW II, Chakrabarti S. Cardiac miR-133a overexpression prevents early cardiac fibrosis in diabetes. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2014) 18:415–21. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12218

49. Li N, Zhou H, Tang Q. MiR-133: a suppressor of cardiac remodeling? Front Pharmacol. (2018) 9:903. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.00903

50. Mandoli GE, D'Ascenzi F, Vinco G, Benfari G, Ricci F, Focardi M, et al. Novel approaches in cardiac imaging for non-invasive assessment of left heart myocardial fibrosis. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:614235. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.614235

51. Picano E, Pelosi G, Marzilli M, Lattanzi F, Benassi A, Landini L, et al. In vivo quantitative ultrasonic evaluation of myocardial fibrosis in humans. *Circulation.* (1990) 81:58–64. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.81.1.58

52. Carluccio E, Biagioli P, Zuchi C, Bardelli G, Murrone A, Lauciello R, et al. Fibrosis assessment by integrated backscatter and its relationship with longitudinal deformation and diastolic function in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2016) 32:1071–80. doi: 10.1007/s10554-016-0881-5

53. Mizuno R, Fujimoto S, Yamaji K, Yutani C, Hashimoto T, Nakamura S. Myocardial ultrasonic tissue characterization for estimating histological abnormalities in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: comparison with endomyocardial biopsy findings. *Cardiology.* (2001) 96:16–23. doi: 10.1159/000047381

54. Pérez JE, Barzilai B, Madaras EI, Glueck RM, Saffitz JE, Johnston P, et al. Applicability of ultrasonic tissue characterization for longitudinal assessment and differentiation of calcification and fibrosis in cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (1984) 4:88–95. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(84)80323-X

55. Jellis C, Wright J, Kennedy D, Sacre J, Jenkins C, Haluska B, et al. Association of imaging markers of myocardial fibrosis with metabolic and functional disturbances in early diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2011) 4:693–702. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.963587

56. Prior DL, Somaratne JB, Jenkins AJ Yii M, Newcomb AE, Schalkwijk CG, et al. Calibrated integrated backscatter and myocardial fibrosis in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Open Heart.* (2015) 2:e000278. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2015-000278

57. Le TT, Huang W, Singh GK, Toh DF, Ewe SH, Tang HC, et al. Echocardiographic global longitudinal strain is associated with myocardial fibrosis and predicts outcomes in aortic stenosis. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:750016.

58. Lisi M, Cameli M, Mandoli GE, Pastore MC, Righini FM, D'Ascenzi F, et al. Detection of myocardial fibrosis by speckle-tracking echocardiography: from prediction to clinical applications. *Heart Fail Rev.* (2022) 27:1857–67. doi: 10.1007/s10741-022-10214-0

59. Geyer H, Caracciolo G, Abe H, Wilansky S, Carerj S, Gentile F, et al. Assessment of myocardial mechanics using speckle tracking echocardiography: fundamentals and clinical applications. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2010) 23:351–69. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2010.02.015

60. Jia F, Li X, Zhang D, Jiang S, Yin J, Feng X, et al. Predictive value of echocardiographic strain for myocardial fibrosis and adverse outcomes in autoimmune diseases. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:836942. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.836942

61. Popović ZB, Kwon DH, Mishra M, Buakhamsri A, Greenberg NL, Thamilarasan M, et al. Association between regional ventricular function and myocardial fibrosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy assessed by speckle tracking echocardiography and delayed hyperenhancement magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. (2008) 21:1299–305. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2008.09.011

62. Krämer J, Niemann M, Liu D, Hu K, Machann W, Beer M, et al. Two-dimensional speckle tracking as a non-invasive tool for identification of myocardial fibrosis in Fabry disease. *Eur Heart J.* (2013) 34:1587–96. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht098

63. Sun W, Shen X, Wang J, Zhu S, Zhang Y, Wu C, et al. Association between 2D- and 3D-speckle-tracking longitudinal strain and cardiovascular magnetic resonance evidence of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in heart transplant recipients. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:727745. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021. 727745

64. Fu L, Ruan Q, You Z, Huang H, Chen Y, Cheng S, et al. Investigation of left ventricular strain and its morphological basis during different stages of diastolic and systolic dysfunction in spontaneously hypertensive rat. *Am J Hypertens*. (2022) 35:423–32. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpac008

65. Cameli M, Mondillo S, Righini FM, Lisi M, Dokollari A, Lindqvist P, et al. Left ventricular deformation and myocardial fibrosis in patients with advanced heart failure requiring transplantation. *J Card Fail.* (2016) 22:901–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2016.02.012

66. Sengeløv M, Jørgensen PG, Jensen JS, Bruun NE, Olsen FJ, Fritz-Hansen T, et al. Global longitudinal strain is a superior predictor of all-cause mortality in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2015) 8:1351–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.0 7.013

67. Haugaa KH, Edvardsen T, Leren TP, Gran JM, Smiseth OA, Amlie JP. Left ventricular mechanical dispersion by tissue doppler imaging: a novel approach for identifying high-risk individuals with long QT syndrome. *Eur Heart J.* (2009) 30:330–7. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehn466

68. Haland TF, Almaas VM, Hasselberg NE, Saberniak J, Leren IS, Hopp E, et al. Strain echocardiography is related to fibrosis and ventricular arrhythmias in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 17:613–21. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jew005

10.3389/fcvm.2022.926378

69. Pagourelias ED, Alexandridis GM, Vassilikos VP. Fibrosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: role of novel echo techniques and multi-modality imaging assessment. *Heart Fail Rev.* (2021) 26:1297–310. doi: 10.1007/s10741-020-10058-6

70. Vaz Ferreira V, Mano TB, Cardoso I, Coutinho Cruz M, Moura Branco L, Almeida-Morais L, et al. Myocardial work brings new insights into left ventricular remodelling in cardio-oncology patients. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2022) 19:2826. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19052826

71. Cui C, Li Y, Liu Y, Huang D, Hu Y, Wang Y, et al. Association between echocardiographic non-invasive myocardial work indices and myocardial fibrosis in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:704251. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.704251

72. Gonçalves AV, Rosa SA, Branco L, Galrinho A, Fiarresga A, Lopes LR, et al. Myocardial work is associated with significant left ventricular myocardial fibrosis in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2021) 37:2237–44. doi: 10.1007/s10554-021-02 186-3

73. Yamamoto Y, de Silva R, Rhodes CG, Araujo LI Iida H, Rechavia E, et al. A new strategy for the assessment of viable myocardium and regional myocardial blood flow using $^{15}\text{O-water}$ and dynamic positron emission tomography. *Circulation.* (1992) 86:167–78. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.86.1.167

74. Knaapen P, Bondarenko O, Beek AM, Götte MJ, Boellaard R, van der Weerdt AP, et al. Impact of scar on water-perfusable tissue index in chronic ischemic heart disease: Evaluation with PET and contrastenhanced MRI. *Mol Imaging Biol.* (2006) 8:245–51. doi: 10.1007/s11307-006-0 044-5

75. Knaapen P, van Dockum WG, Bondarenko O, Kok WE, Götte MJ, Boellaard R, et al. Delayed contrast enhancement and perfusable tissue index in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: comparison between cardiac MRI and PET. *J Nucl Med.* (2005) 46:923–9.

76. Iida H, Tamura Y, Kitamura K, Bloomfield PM, Eberl S, Ono Y. Histochemical correlates of (15)O-water-perfusable tissue fraction in experimental canine studies of old myocardial infarction. *J Nucl Med.* (2000) 41:1737–45.

77. Tzolos E, Kwiecinski J, Berman D, Slomka P, Newby DE, Dweck MR. Latest advances in multimodality imaging of aortic stenosis. *J Nucl Med.* (2022) 63:353–8. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.121.262304

78. Xie B, Wang J, Xi XY, Guo X, Chen BX Li L, et al. Fibroblast activation protein imaging in reperfused ST-elevation myocardial infarction: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2022) 49:2786–97. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05674-9

79. Lapinskas T, Kelle S, Grune J, Foryst-Ludwig A, Meyborg H, Jeuthe S, et al. Serelaxin improves regional myocardial function in experimental heart failure: an in vivo cardiac magnetic resonance study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e013702. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.013702

80. Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, Harris K, Chen EL, Simonetti O, et al. Relationship of MRI delayed contrast enhancement to irreversible injury, infarct age, and contractile function. *Circulation*. (1999) 100:1992–2002. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.100.19.1992

81. Moon JC, Reed E, Sheppard MN, Elkington AG, Ho SY, Burke M, et al. The histologic basis of late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2004) 43:2260–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.03.035

82. Moon JC, Sheppard M, Reed E, Lee P, Elliott PM, Pennell DJ. The histological basis of late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in a patient with Anderson-Fabry disease. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* (2006) 8:479–82. doi: 10.1080/10976640600605002

83. Azevedo CF, Nigri M, Higuchi ML, Pomerantzeff PM, Spina GS, Sampaio RO, et al. Prognostic significance of myocardial fibrosis quantification by histopathology and magnetic resonance imaging in patients with severe aortic valve disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2010) 56:278–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.12.074

84. Judd RM, Atalay MK, Rottman GA, Zerhouni EA. Effects of myocardial water exchange on T1 enhancement during bolus administration of MR contrast agents. *Magn Reson Med.* (1995) 33:215–23. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910330211

85. Kim RJ, Chen EL, Lima JA, Judd RM. Myocardial Gd-DTPA kinetics determine MRI contrast enhancement and reflect the extent and severity of myocardial injury after acute reperfused infarction. *Circulation*. (1996) 94:3318–26. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.94.12.3318

86. Mewton N, Liu CY, Croisille P, Bluemke D, Lima JA. Assessment of myocardial fibrosis with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2011) 57:891–903. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.11.013

87. Schulz-Menger J, Bluemke DA, Bremerich J, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, et al. Standardized image interpretation and post processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR)

board of trustees task force on standardized post processing. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. (2013) 15:35. doi: 10.1186/1532-429X-15-35

88. Wu Y, Tang Z, Li B, Firmin D, Yang G. Recent advances in fibrosis and scar segmentation from cardiac MRI: a state-of-the-art review and future perspectives. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:709230. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.709230

89. Rubinshtein R, Glockner JF, Ommen SR, Araoz PA, Ackerman MJ, Sorajja P, et al. Characteristics and clinical significance of late gadolinium enhancement by contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2010) 3:51–8. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.109.854026

90. Assomull RG, Prasad SK, Lyne J, Smith G, Burman ED, Khan M, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance, fibrosis, and prognosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2006) 48:1977–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.07.049

91. Li JH, Xu XQ, Zhu YJ, Cheng CY, Lu MJ, Wang HY, et al. Subendocardial involvement as an underrecognized cardiac MRI phenotype in myocarditis. *Radiology.* (2022) 302:61–9. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2021211276

92. Krittayaphong R, Saiviroonporn P, Boonyasirinant T, Udompunturak S. Prevalence and prognosis of myocardial scar in patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease and normal wall motion. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* (2011) 13:2. doi: 10.1186/1532-429X-13-2

93. Becker MAJ, Cornel JH, van de Ven PM, van Rossum AC, Allaart CP, Germans T. The prognostic value of late gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: a review and meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2018) 11:1274–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.03.006

94. Liu J, Zhao S, Yu S, Wu G, Wang D, Liu L, et al. Patterns of replacement fibrosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Radiology*. (2022) 302:298–306. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2021210914

95. Roes SD, Kelle S, Kaandorp TA, Kokocinski T, Poldermans D, Lamb HJ, et al. Comparison of myocardial infarct size assessed with contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and left ventricular function and volumes to predict mortality in patients with healed myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2007) 100:930–6. doi: 10.1016/j.amicard.2007.04.029

96. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, Alfonso F, Banning AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40:87–165. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy855

97. Heiberg E, Ugander M, Engblom H, Götberg M, Olivecrona GK, Erlinge D, et al. Automated quantification of myocardial infarction from MR images by accounting for partial volume effects: animal, phantom, and human study. *Radiology.* (2008) 246:581–8. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2461062164

98. Zegard A, Okafor O, de Bono J, Kalla M, Lencioni M, Marshall H, et al. Myocardial fibrosis as a predictor of sudden death in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2021) 77:29–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.10.046

99. Di Marco A, Brown PF, Bradley J, Nucifora G, Claver E, de Frutos F, et al. Improved risk stratification for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2021) 77:2890–905. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.04.030

100. Klem I, Klein M, Khan M, Yang EY, Nabi F, Ivanov A, et al. Relationship of LVEF and myocardial scar to long-term mortality risk and mode of death in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation.* (2021) 143:1343–58. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.048477

101. Martinez MW, Kim JH, Shah AB, Phelan D, Emery MS, Wasfy MM, et al. Exercise-induced cardiovascular adaptations and approach to exercise and cardiovascular disease: JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2021) 78:1453–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.08.003

102. Malek LA, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. Myocardial fibrosis in athletes-current perspective. Clin Cardiol. (2020) 43:882-8. doi: 10.1002/clc.23360

103. Małek Ł A, Barczuk-Falecka M, Werys K, Czajkowska A, Mróz A, Witek K, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance with parametric mapping in long-term ultra-marathon runners. *Eur J Radiol.* (2019) 117:89–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2019.06.001

104. Maestrini V, Torlasco C, Hughes R, Moon JC. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance and sport cardiology: a growing role in clinical dilemmas. *J Cardiovasc Transl Res.* (2020) 13:296–305. doi: 10.1007/s12265-020-10 022-7

105. Bing R, Cavalcante JL, Everett RJ, Clavel MA, Newby DE, Dweck MR. Imaging and impact of myocardial fibrosis in aortic stenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2019) 12:283–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.11.026

106. Musa TA, Treibel TA, Vassiliou VS, Captur G, Singh A, Chin C, et al. Myocardial scar and mortality in severe aortic stenosis. *Circulation*. (2018) 138:1935–47. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032839 107. Raina S, Lensing SY, Nairooz RS, Pothineni NV, Hakeem A, Bhatti S, et al. Prognostic value of late gadolinium enhancement CMR in systemic amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2016) 9:1267–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.01.036

108. Syed IS, Glockner JF, Feng D, Araoz PA, Martinez MW, Edwards WD, et al. Role of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of cardiac amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2010) 3:155–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.09.023

109. Maceira AM, Joshi J, Prasad SK, Moon JC, Perugini E, Harding I, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in cardiac amyloidosis. *Circulation*. (2005) 111:186–93. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000152819.97857.9D

110. Sechi A, Nucifora G, Piccoli G, Dardis A, Bembi B. Myocardial fibrosis as the first sign of cardiac involvement in a male patient with Fabry disease: report of a clinical case and discussion on the utility of the magnetic resonance in Fabry pathology. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. (2014) 14:86. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-14-86

111. Moon JC, Sachdev B, Elkington AG, McKenna WJ, Mehta A, Pennell DJ, et al. Gadolinium enhanced cardiovascular magnetic resonance in Anderson-Fabry disease. Evidence for a disease specific abnormality of the myocardial interstitium. *Eur Heart J.* (2003) 24:2151–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ehj.2003.09.017

112. Taylor AJ, Salerno M, Dharmakumar R, Jerosch-Herold M. T1 mapping: basic techniques and clinical applications. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 9:67–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.11.005

113. Nakamori S, Dohi K, Ishida M, Goto Y, Imanaka-Yoshida K, Omori T, et al. Native T1 mapping and extracellular volume mapping for the assessment of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2018) 11:48–59. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.04.006

114. Messroghli DR, Radjenovic A, Kozerke S, Higgins DM, Sivananthan MU, Ridgway JP. Modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI) for high-resolution T1 mapping of the heart. *Magn Reson Med.* (2004) 52:141–6. doi: 10.1002/mrm.20110

115. Flett AS, Hayward MP, Ashworth MT, Hansen MS, Taylor AM, Elliott PM, et al. Equilibrium contrast cardiovascular magnetic resonance for the measurement of diffuse myocardial fibrosis: preliminary validation in humans. *Circulation.* (2010) 122:138–44. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.930636

116. Treibel TA, Fontana M, Maestrini V, Castelletti S, Rosmini S, Simpson J, et al. Automatic measurement of the myocardial interstitium: synthetic extracellular volume quantification without hematocrit sampling. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 9:54–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.11.008

117. Chen W, Doeblin P, Al-Tabatabaee S, Klingel K, Tanacli R, Jakob Weiß K, et al. Synthetic extracellular volume in cardiac magnetic resonance without blood sampling: a reliable tool to replace conventional extracellular volume. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2022) 15:e013745. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.121.013745

118. Sörensson P, Ekenbäck C, Lundin M, Agewall S, Bacsovics Brolin E, Caidahl K, et al. Early comprehensive cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients with myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 14:1774–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.02.021

119. Li S, Zhou D, Sirajuddin A, He J, Xu J, Zhuang B, et al. T1 mapping and extracellular volume fraction in dilated cardiomyopathy: a prognosis study. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 15:578–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2021.07.023

120. Wang S, Hu H, Lu M, Sirajuddin A, Li J, An J, et al. Myocardial extracellular volume fraction quantified by cardiovascular magnetic resonance is increased in hypertension and associated with left ventricular remodeling. *Eur Radiol.* (2017) 27:4620–30. doi: 10.1007/s00330-017-4841-9

121. Ferreira VM, Schulz-Menger J, Holmvang G, Kramer CM, Carbone I, Sechtem U, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in nonischemic myocardial inflammation: expert recommendations. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2018) 72:3158–76. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.072

122. Li S, Duan X, Feng G, Sirajuddin A, Yin G, Zhuang B, et al. Multiparametric cardiovascular magnetic resonance in acute myocarditis: comparison of 2009 and 2018 Lake Louise Criteria with endomyocardial biopsy confirmation. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:739892. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.739892

123. McDiarmid AK, Swoboda PP, Erhayiem B, Lancaster RE, Lyall GK, Broadbent DA, et al. Athletic cardiac adaptation in males is a consequence of elevated myocyte mass. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 9:e003579. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003579

124. Swoboda PP, McDiarmid AK, Erhayiem B, Broadbent DA, Dobson LE, Garg P, et al. Assessing myocardial extracellular volume by T1 mapping to distinguish hypertrophic cardiomyopathy from athlete's heart. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2016) 67:2189–90. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.0 2.054

125. Karamitsos TD, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Fontana M, Ntusi NB, Ferreira VM, et al. Noncontrast T1 mapping for the diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2013) 6:488–97. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2012.1 1.013

126. Nordin S, Kozor R, Baig S, Abdel-Gadir A, Medina-Menacho K, Rosmini S, et al. Cardiac phenotype of prehypertrophic Fabry disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2018) 11:e007168. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.117.007168

127. Messroghli DR, Moon JC, Ferreira VM, Grosse-Wortmann L, He T, Kellman P, et al. Clinical recommendations for cardiovascular magnetic resonance mapping of T1, T2, T2* and extracellular volume: A consensus statement by the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) endorsed by the European Association for Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI). *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson.* (2017) 19:75. doi: 10.1186/s12968-017-0389-8

128. Hor KN, Gottliebson WM, Carson C, Wash E, Cnota J, Fleck R, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance feature tracking for strain calculation with harmonic phase imaging analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2010) 3:144–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.11.006

129. Yu S, Zhou J, Yang K, Chen X, Zheng Y, Zhao K, et al. Correlation of myocardial strain and late gadolinium enhancement by cardiac magnetic resonance after a first anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:705487. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.705487

130. Song Y, Bi X, Chen L, Yang K, Chen X, Dong Z, et al. Reduced myocardial septal function assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance feature tracking in patients with hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy: associated with histological myocardial fibrosis and ventricular arrhythmias. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2022) 23:1006–15. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeac032

131. Wabich E, Dorniak K, Zienciuk-Krajka A, Nowak R, Raczak G, Daniłowicz-Szymanowicz L. Segmental longitudinal strain as the most accurate predictor of the patchy pattern late gadolinium enhancement in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiol.* (2021) 77:475–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2020.11.004

132. He J, Sirajuddin A, Li S, Zhuang B, Xu J, Zhou D, et al. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in hypertension patients: a myocardial MR strain study. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* (2021) 53:527–39. doi: 10.1002/jmri.27313

133. Hu DJ, Xu J, Du W, Zhang JX, Zhong M, Zhou YN. Cardiac magnetic resonance and galectin-3 level as predictors of prognostic outcomes for non-ischemic cardiomyopathy patients. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2016) 32:1725–33. doi: 10.1007/s10554-016-0958-1

134. Raafs AG, Verdonschot JAJ, Henkens M, Adriaans BP, Wang P, Derks K, et al. The combination of carboxy-terminal propeptide of procollagen type I blood levels and late gadolinium enhancement at cardiac magnetic resonance provides additional prognostic information in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy - a multilevel assessment of myocardial fibrosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2021) 23:933–44. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.2201

135. Helm PA, Caravan P, French BA, Jacques V, Shen L, Xu Y, et al. Postinfarction myocardial scarring in mice: molecular MR imaging with use of a collagen-targeting contrast agent. *Radiology.* (2008) 247:788–96. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2473070975

136. Wildgruber M, Bielicki I, Aichler M, Kosanke K, Feuchtinger A, Settles M, et al. Assessment of myocardial infarction and postinfarction scar remodeling with an elastin-specific magnetic resonance agent. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2014) 7:321–9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.113.001270