Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paola Lanuti, University of Studies G. d'Annunzio Chieti and Pescara, Italy

REVIEWED BY Paula Fazendas, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Portugal Achille Anselmo, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Lu He 157732530@qq.com Yushun Zhang zys2889@sina.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Atherosclerosis and Vascular Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 25 May 2022 ACCEPTED 22 August 2022 PUBLISHED 12 September 2022

CITATION

He L, Xie X, Xue J, Xie H and Zhang Y (2022) Association of the systemic immune-inflammation index with all-cause mortality in patients with arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:952953. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.952953

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 He, Xie, Xue, Xie and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of the systemic immune-inflammation index with all-cause mortality in patients with arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease

Lu He*, Xuegang Xie, Jianying Xue, Hang Xie and Yushun Zhang*

Department of Structural Heart Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

Objective: Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), derived from blood cell counts of circulating platelets, neutrophils, and lymphocytes, has been identified as a novel inflammatory and prognostic marker. However, the clinical value of SII in patients with arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) had not been further explored. Thus, this study is designed to explore the associations of SII with mortality in ASCVD individuals.

Methods: All individuals with ASCVD aged \geq 20 years were included from the National Health and Nutritional Examination Surveys (NHANES) 2005–2014 and followed for survival until 31 December 2019. Multivariable Cox analysis investigated the associations between SII, evaluated as a continuous variable with splines, as categorical ones (quartiles), and the all-cause death. To demonstrate the association between SII and mortality, subgroup analysis, restricted cubic spline along with piecewise linear regression were also conducted.

Results: A total of 2,595 participants (57.8% men) were included. During a median of 7.7 years of follow-up, 1,122 deaths due to all-cause were recorded. After adjusting for multiple confounders, when compared with the patients in quartile 1 (SII In transform), those in quartile 4 had a 46% increased risk for all-cause death [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.46, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.22–1.75]. As a continuous variable, each unit of raised In-SII was associated with a 24% increased risk of all-cause death (HR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.10-1.38). In the restricted cubic spline regression model, the relationship between In-SII and all-cause death was non-linear. The cutoff value of In-SII for mortality was

6.57 and those with a higher than the threshold point had a 1.25-fold risk of mortality. No significant difference was noted below the threshold points.

Conclusion: An association was detected between the baseline In-SII and all-cause mortality in a United States ASCVD population. Increased SII is associated with poor survival in individuals with ASCVD.

KEYWORDS

immune-inflammation index, ASCVD, mortality, NHANES, non-linear

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) continue to be a significant health burden throughout the world (1). Among the world's leading causes of morbidity and mortality, atherosclerosis contributes to the majority of cardiovascular events and will remain the leading cause by 2030 (2). The ASCVD caused by atherosclerosis is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. It included non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI), stable or unstable angina, stroke, and coronary heart disease (CHD) (3), which consumes a high proportion of healthcare budgets globally (4). As a result of vascular inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, plaque formation, and diminished oxygen supply to target organs, atherosclerosis is characterized by inflammation of blood vessels and thickening of artery walls (5). Several stages of atherosclerosis are characterized by inflammation, and both innate and adaptive immune systems contribute to its progression that includes the formation and progression of fibro-fatty lesions and final complications (6, 7). According to a recent study, inflammation is responsible for the onset and progression of atherosclerosis (8). Healthy lifestyle patterns can greatly reduce the risk of atherosclerosis by preventing the development of inflammatory changes. Moreover, the Mediterranean diet reduced inflammatory biomarkers and prevented cardiovascular events (9). Atherosclerosis is often considered to be an inflammatory disease with important contributions from the immune system throughout the process (10). During atherosclerosis, inflammation and the immune system play crucial roles in initiation and resolution (11).

Recently, a new index of systemic immune-inflammation (SII), based on platelets, neutrophils, and lymphocytes, has been reported to predict outcomes for patients with multiple cancers and other several different clinical conditions, such as ulcerative colitis, heart failure, acute ischemic stroke, and acute kidney injury (12–16). The SII, calculated by the formula: platelets count \times neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, was developed by Hu et al. in 2014 and has been extensively explored since then (17). Inflammatory indicator SII is a composite indicator integrating neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelets. They are considered excellent indicators of local immune responses (18). It has been

demonstrated that SII is closely related to the poor prognosis of a number of CVDs. ASCVD is known to be accompanied by inflammation, which plays a crucial role in its development and occurrence. However, to our best knowledge, the association between SII and ASCVD prognosis has never been studied, and it is also not known whether a dose-response relationship exists between them. Thus, we will fill the knowledge gap in the ASCVD population of the United States (US).

Materials and methods

Study population and design

We analyzed data collected during the 2005–2014 cycles of the National Health and Nutritional Examination Surveys (NHANES), a nationwide cross-sectional study conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) designed to track the overall health and nutrition status of citizens in the United States. To select a representative sample of the United States civilians, a complex multistage probability sampling method was employed for the NHANES. The NCHS approved the protocol, and each participant provided written consent.

In the NHANES 2005–2014, there were a total of 50,965 individuals. We excluded participants without SII value, age <20 years, or pregnant women. Participants without ASCVD at baseline or survival information were excluded. Finally, a total of 2,595 adults were involved in our study. **Figure 1** illustrates how to include and exclude participants.

Definition of systemic immune-inflammation index

A hematology-analyzing device (Coulter®DxH 800 Analyzer) was used to determine the lymphocyte, neutrophil, and platelet counts and was expressed as $\times 10^3$ cells/µl. The SII level was calculated according to previous studies reported as platelet count × neutrophil count/lymphocyte count (17). In our analysis, SII was used as an exposure variable.



Outcome ascertainment

The NCHS provides links to mortality data used here. The NHANES datasets were linked with the National Death Index (NDI) based on a probabilistic match strategy to obtain data on mortality due to all causes. In the study, the primary outcome was mortality due to all causes, CVD, or cerebrovascular disease until 31 December 2019. As a measure of censoring, we examined the time from enrollment (the date of the interview) to death. These final mortality status, follow-up time, and the underlying leading causes of death files are available for online access.¹

Covariates

Covariates used in this study included age (<60 years and \geq 60 years), gender (male and female), ethnicity (Mexican American, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White, and others), family income-to-poverty ratio (PIR), waist

circumference (cm), body mass index (BMI) (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25–29.9, and \geq 30 kg/m²), marital status (married/living with partner and widowed/separated/divorced/never married), smoking status (never, former, and now), educational status (less than high school, high school or equivalent, and above high school), alcohol user (never, mild, moderate, and heavy), medical history of asthma, malignancy, stroke, diabetes, and hypertension. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated according to Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula (19). The definition of hypertension was either having a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 140 or/and a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 90 mmHg, taking antihypertensive medications, or having a self-reported history of hypertension. Diabetes was diagnosed based on self-reported diabetes, taking antidiabetic medicines, or hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level ≥6.5%. Asthma was diagnosed based on self-reported asthma or use of antiasthmatic drug. The laboratory biochemical parameters were selected a priori according to the clinical significance or evidence from the literature. The laboratory indicators used included albumin (g/dl), alanine aminotransferase (ALT; IU/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST; IU/L), HbA1c (%), total cholesterol (mg/dl), high-density lipoprotein (HDL)

¹ https://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Health_Statistics/NCHS/datalinkage/linked_ mortality/

cholesterol (mg/dl), serum creatinine (mg/dl), and uric acid (mg/dl). A detailed description of the laboratory testing procedures and quality control strategies was provided at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

Statistical analyses

As part of the NHANES complex sampling design, we utilized appropriate weights to ensure a representative sample of the US national population. Continuous variables were expressed as survey-weighted mean [95% confidence interval (CI)], and categorical variables were presented as a surveyweighted percentage (95% CI). The weighted chi-square test was carried out for the classified variables, and the p-value of the continuous variables was calculated with the weighted linear regression model. The SII data, moreover, are unevenly distributed and specifically skewed to the right. Values were lntransformed before conducting statistical analysis. The In-SII was grouped into four subgroups by its quartiles, i.e., Q1 (<5.8), Q2 (5.8–6.2), Q3 (6.2–6.6), and Q4 (≥6.6), and Q1 was used as the reference group. To evaluate the linear trend, the median value of each quartile was assigned as a continuous variable. Based on restricted cubic spline plots with three knots, the dose-response relationship between each of the parameters was clarified. The hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% CI for individuals in Q2, Q3, and Q4 were computed as compared to those in Q1. Additional analysis was undertaken using ln-SII as a continuous variable as well. Three distinct models were constructed using multivariate Cox regression models, i.e., a model without adjustment (no covariates were adjusted), a model with minimal adjustments, and a fully adjusted model. The minimally adjusted model was adjusted for sex, age, BMI, ethnicity, waist circumference, education level, marital status, and family poverty income ratio, and the fully adjusted model was further adjusted for alcohol user, smoke, malignancy, stroke, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, albumin, eGFR, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, HbA1c, HDL cholesterol, creatinine, and uric acid. To examine the threshold effect of the In-SII on all-cause mortality, we used a two-piecewise linear regression model with a smoothing function. We identified several turning points along a pre-defined interval and then chose the point with the highest model likelihood. The turning point was identified through trial and error that included a selection of inflection points along a pre-defined interval and then picking the inflection points that produce the maximum model likelihood. Furthermore, we tested the log-likelihood ratio of the one-line linear regression model with the two-piecewise linear model. Subgroup analyses were carried out according to patients' characteristics and comorbidities stratified by age (<60 and ≥ 60), gender (female and male), marital status (married [married/living with partner] and unmarried [divorced/never married/separated/widowed]), malignancy (yes and no), stroke (yes and no), asthma (yes and no), diabetes (yes and no), hypertension (yes and no), alcohol user (never, mild, moderate, and heavy), smoke (never, former, or now), and eGFR (<60, 60–90, and \geq 90). The *p*-values for the product terms between continuous ln-SII and multiple stratification factors were utilized to explore the significance of interactions.

Our results were also subjected to sensitivity analyses to assess their robustness. First, to exclude the possible reverse causality, sensitivity analysis was carried out by removing individuals who died within 2 years of follow-up. Second, a sensitivity analysis was performed among individuals free of a known medical history of malignancy. Furthermore, to account for missing values, a multiple imputation techniques based on 10 replications was used to account for missing values. Then we performed sensitivity analyses using 10 complete datasets, and a pooled result was yielded. Finally, to exclude the effect of drug treatment on the results, we further adjusted for the treatment with the main class of drugs (statins, beta blockers, and antiplatelet). In this study, p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were conducted using EpowerStats (X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA, United States) and R (The R Foundation).

Results

Characteristics of arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease participants

Table 1 exhibits the weighted demographic background characteristics of the included individuals. A total of 2,595 ASCVD were included in the study, of which 57.8% were men, with an average age of 66.7 ± 12.9 years.

Overall, there were significant differences in age, albumin, eGFR, AST, creatinine, uric acid, sex, ethnicity, marital status, and history of asthma among ln transform SII quartiles (all p for trend <0.05).

Systemic immune-inflammation index and the risk of all-cause mortality

There were a total of 1,122 deaths detected during a median follow-up of 92.6 months (interquartile range, 2–179 months). The association between ln-SII and all-cause mortality in patients with ASCVD is shown in **Table 2**. Compared with participants in Q1, the multivariate minimally and fully adjusted HRs (95% CI) for those in Q4 were 1.49 (1.23–1.81) and 1.46 (1.22–1.75), respectively, for all-cause mortality (all *p* trend < 0.001). When the ln-SII was treated as a continuous variable, we found that for per 1-unit increment in ln-SII, there was a 27% higher risk of all-cause mortality in the minimally adjusted model (HR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.12–1.43; *p* = 0.0002) and

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of ASCVD individuals according to In transform systemic immune-inflammation index quartiles, weighted.

	Q1 (<5.8)	Q2 (5.8–6.2)	Q3 (6.2-6.6)	Q4 (≥6.6)	P-value
Age (years)	64.21 (62.83, 65.59)	63.42 (61.90, 64.94)	65.36 (64.06, 66.65)	66.48 (65.22, 67.75)	0.0242
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.77 (29.13, 30.40)	29.83 (29.26, 30.39)	30.07 (29.35, 30.79)	30.51 (29.67, 31.34)	0.5297
Waist circumference (cm)	104.41 (103.02, 105.79)	104.74 (103.28, 106.20)	104.93 (103.25, 106.60)	106.69 (104.74, 108.65)	0.2881
Family poverty income ratio	2.65 (2.46, 2.83)	2.50 (2.34, 2.67)	2.58 (2.40, 2.77)	2.43 (2.28, 2.59)	0.3031
Albumin (g/dl)	4.19 (4.15, 4.22)	4.19 (4.16, 4.22)	4.14 (4.11, 4.18)	4.08 (4.03, 4.12)	0.0003
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	75.57 (73.21, 77.92)	77.12 (74.62, 79.61)	73.79 (71.43, 76.14)	69.39 (67.43, 71.35)	< 0.0001
ALT (IU/L)	26.75 (24.96, 28.53)	24.94 (23.02, 26.87)	23.48 (20.96, 25.99)	24.33 (20.15, 28.52)	0.1821
AST (IU/L)	28.72 (27.57, 29.87)	26.64 (25.04, 28.25)	25.29 (24.02, 26.56)	25.53 (23.58, 27.47)	0.0004
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	182.09 (178.01, 186.18)	183.50 (179.54, 187.46)	182.13 (177.39, 186.87)	184.15 (179.06, 189.25)	0.8596
HbA1c (%)	6.06 (5.97, 6.16)	6.14 (5.99, 6.28)	6.03 (5.92, 6.13)	6.13 (6.01, 6.25)	0.4715
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	50.79 (48.85, 52.73)	49.50 (47.98, 51.02)	50.69 (49.39, 51.98)	50.78 (49.20, 52.36)	0.6287
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	1.03 (0.98, 1.07)	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	1.15 (1.09, 1.22)	0.0022
Uric acid (mg/dl)	6.02 (5.88, 6.16)	5.75 (5.59, 5.92)	5.93 (5.79, 6.08)	6.13 (5.98, 6.28)	0.0092
Sex		,			0.0031
Female	37.87 (32.61, 43.42)	45.33 (40.60, 50.16)	46.22 (41.58, 50.92)	49.80 (45.30, 54.31)	5.0001
Male	62.13 (56.58, 67.39)	54.67 (49.84, 59.40)	53.78 (49.08, 58.42)	50.20 (45.69, 54.70)	
Ethnicity					< 0.000
Mexican American	3.49 (2.46, 4.93)	4.93 (3.51, 6.87)	4.69 (3.02, 7.22)	6.08 (3.98, 9.16)	< 0.000
Non-Hispanic Black	15.83 (12.51, 19.82)	10.24 (8.17, 12.76)	8.59 (6.91, 10.64)	6.46 (4.94, 8.41)	
Non-Hispanic White	72.51 (67.14, 77.30)	75.96 (72.10, 79.44)	79.66 (75.55, 83.23)	79.05 (74.35, 83.08)	
Other	8.17 (5.99, 11.05)	8.87 (6.50, 12.01)	7.05 (5.12, 9.63)	8.42 (5.99, 11.70)	
ducation level	0.17 (5.55, 11.05)	0.07 (0.30, 12.01)	7.05 (5.12, 5.05)	0.42 (3.99, 11.70)	0.2045
Less Than High School	27.04 (22.73, 31.83)	25.34 (21.13, 30.07)	26.83 (22.65, 31.47)	27.01 (22.91, 31.54)	0.2045
High school or GED	23.97 (20.49, 27.83)	27.79 (23.54, 32.50)	26.64 (21.81, 32.11)	31.91 (27.61, 36.55)	
Above high school	49.00 (44.01, 54.00)	46.86 (41.75, 52.04)	46.53 (40.27, 52.90)	41.08 (35.84, 46.54)	
Aarital status	19.00 (11.01, 91.00)	40.00 (41.75, 52.04)	40.55 (40.27, 52.50)	41.00 (35.04, 40.54)	0.0002
Unmarried	33.48 (28.78, 38.53)	35.21 (30.78, 39.91)	45.08 (40.51, 49.74)	43.42 (38.72, 48.25)	0.0002
Married	66.52 (61.47, 71.22)	64.79 (60.09, 69.22)	43.08 (40.31, 49.74) 54.92 (50.26, 59.49)	43.42 (38.72, 48.23) 56.58 (51.75, 61.28)	
Malignancy	00.32 (01.47, 71.22)	04.79 (00.09, 09.22)	34.92 (30.20, 39.49)	50.58 (51.75, 01.28)	0.4415
No	81.23 (76.90, 84.90)	80.95 (77.23, 84.19)	78.78 (74.43, 82.57)	77.29 (72.50, 81.46)	0.4415
Yes		19.05 (15.81, 22.77)			
Stroke	18.77 (15.10, 23.10)	19.03 (13.01, 22.77)	21.22 (17.43, 25.57)	22.71 (18.54, 27.50)	0.0721
No	66 24 (61 28 70 77)	66.94 (61.55, 71.92)	58.61 (53.97, 63.10)	62 02 (57 77 67 70)	0.0721
	66.24 (61.38, 70.77)			62.92 (57.77, 67.79) 37.08 (32.21, 42.23)	
Yes	33.76 (29.23, 38.62)	33.06 (28.08, 38.45)	41.39 (36.90, 46.03)	37.08 (32.21, 42.23)	0.02(0
Asthma	20.21 (75.60.24.21)	85 08 (80 00 88 00)	90.00 (75.46.92.90)	75 08 (72 02 70 54)	0.0268
No	80.31 (75.60, 84.31)	85.08 (80.09, 88.99)	80.00 (75.46, 83.89)	75.98 (72.02, 79.54)	
Yes	19.69 (15.69, 24.40)	14.92 (11.01, 19.91)	20.00 (16.11, 24.54)	24.02 (20.46, 27.98)	0 2251
Diabetes	(0.2) ((5.25.52.00)	(7.20) ((2.52, 71.72)	(0.51 ((4.05, 72.71)	(4 52 (50 07 (0 04)	0.3251
No	69.36 (65.37, 73.08)	67.29 (62.52, 71.73)	69.51 (64.95, 73.71)	64.53 (59.97, 68.84)	
Yes	30.64 (26.92, 34.63)	32.71 (28.27, 37.48)	30.49 (26.29, 35.05)	35.47 (31.16, 40.03)	0 (01)
Alcohol user	46.25 (41.40.51.20)		47.00 (41.00, 50, 54)		0.6014
Never	46.35 (41.48, 51.29)	50.72 (44.93, 56.49)	47.28 (41.99, 52.64)	52.65 (48.51, 56.75)	
Mild	36.67 (31.61, 42.05)	30.80 (26.05, 36.00)	36.12 (31.31, 41.23)	29.88 (25.32, 34.87)	
Moderate	7.40 (4.65, 11.58)	7.82 (5.11, 11.77)	7.35 (5.16, 10.39)	9.08 (7.09, 11.57)	
Heavy	9.58 (7.06, 12.87)	10.66 (7.46, 15.02)	9.24 (6.83, 12.39)	8.39 (5.63, 12.33)	o (===
Smoke	10.00 (07.00 11.50)				0.1728
Never	40.28 (36.20, 44.50)	41.96 (37.09, 46.98)	36.54 (32.00, 41.33)	35.73 (31.26, 40.46)	
Former	38.92 (34.32, 43.74)	36.30 (32.27, 40.54)	43.07 (37.85, 48.44)	38.44 (33.93, 43.16)	
Now	20.80 (17.11, 25.04)	21.74 (18.20, 25.76)	20.39 (16.44, 25.01)	25.83 (21.88, 30.21)	
Aypertension					0.0617
No	24.85 (20.29, 30.04)	30.51 (25.62, 35.90)	25.15 (20.70, 30.20)	21.38 (17.66, 25.63)	
Yes	75.15 (69.96, 79.71)	69.49 (64.10, 74.38)	74.85 (69.80, 79.30)	78.62 (74.37, 82.34)	

Mean for continuous variables: the p-value was calculated by the weighted linear regression. Percent for categorical variables: p-value was calculated by weighted chi-square test.

Non-adjusted	Adjust I	Adjust II	
1.42 (1.28, 1.57) < 0.0001	1.27 (1.12, 1.43) 0.0002	1.24 (1.10, 1.38) 0.0003	
1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	1 (Reference)	
1.02 (0.86, 1.22) 0.7931	1.00 (0.81, 1.22) 0.9707	1.05 (0.87, 1.27) 0.6206	
1.11 (0.93, 1.32) 0.2479	1.00 (0.82, 1.22) 0.9861	1.06 (0.87, 1.28) 0.5703	
1.76 (1.50, 2.08) < 0.0001	1.49(1.23, 1.81) < 0.0001	1.46 (1.22, 1.75) < 0.0001	
<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	
	1.42 (1.28, 1.57) < 0.0001 1 (Reference) 1.02 (0.86, 1.22) 0.7931 1.11 (0.93, 1.32) 0.2479 1.76 (1.50, 2.08) < 0.0001	1.42 (1.28, 1.57) < 0.0001	

TABLE 2 Associations of the SII In transform with all-cause mortality in individuals with ASCVD.

Non-adjusted model adjusts for: None.Adjust I model adjust for: sex, age, BMI, ethnicity, waist circumference, education level, marital status, and family poverty income ratio.Adjust II model adjust for: sex, age, BMI, ethnicity, waist circumference, education level, marital status, family poverty income ratio, alcohol user, smoke, malignancy, stroke, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, albumin, eGFR, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, HbA1c, HDL cholesterol, creatinine, and uric acid.

24% in the fully adjusted model (HR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.10–1.38; *p* = 0.0003).

The analyses of a non-linear relationship

Using smooth curve fitting, a non-linear relationship between ln-SII and all-cause death was discovered after adjusting for multiple potential confounders (Figure 2; p< 0.001). Moreover, the two-piecewise linear regression demonstrated that the possibility of all-cause death gradually



FIGURE 2

Relationship between In-SII and all-cause mortality by smooth curve fitting. Adjustment for sex, age, body mass index (BMI), ethnicity, waist circumference, education level, marital status, family poverty income ratio, alcohol user, smoke, malignancy, stroke, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, albumin, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total cholesterol, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, creatinine, and uric acid. The red line demonstrates the risk of mortality, and the blue dotted lines illustrate its 95% confidence interval (CI). reduced up to a minimum at the ln-SII value of 6.57 (SII = 713.4 $\times 10^{9}$) and then increased with higher ln-SII values. Below the threshold, per one unit increment in ln-SII was not associated with the risk of all-cause death [adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) = 0.99, 95% CI: 0.83–1.19, *p* = 0.946]. Nevertheless, above the threshold, ln-SII was associated with a higher risk of all-cause death (aHR = 2. 25, 95% CI: 1.68–3.02, *p* < 0.001; Table 3). Based on the log-likelihood ratio test, the model differences were statistically significant (*p* < 0.001).

Subgroup analyses and sensitivity analyses

In order to evaluate whether patient characteristics and comorbidities could account for the association between ln-SII and all-cause mortality, we calculated an adjusted multivariable HR for all-cause mortality stratified by age (<60 and ≥ 60 years), gender (female and male), malignancy (yes and no), stroke (yes and no), asthma (yes and no), marital status (married and unmarried), diabetes (yes and no), hypertension (yes and no), alcohol user (never, mild, moderate, and heavy), smoke (never,

TABLE 3 Threshold analysis for the relationship between In-SII and all-cause mortality in patients with ASCVD.

Models	Adjusted HR (95%CI) P-value
Model I	
One line slope	1.30(1.14, 1.48) < 0.0001
Model II	
Turning point (K)	6.57
<6.57	0.99 (0.83, 1.19) 0.9460
>6.57	2.25(1.68, 3.02) < 0.0001
HR between <6.57 and >6.57	2.27 (1.51, 3.40) < 0.0001
Logarithmic likelihood ratio test	<0.001

Adjust for: sex, age, BMI, ethnicity, waist circumference, education level, marital status, family poverty income ratio, alcohol user, smoke, malignancy, stroke, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, albumin, eGFR, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, HbA1c, HDL cholesterol, creatinine, and uric acid.

former, and now), and eGFR (<60, 60–90, and \geq 90 ml/min/1.73 m²). We observed the robust association between ln-SII and long-term death in most subgroups (Figure 3). There was no significant interaction with these stratification variables on the association of ln-SII with all-cause death (all *p* > 0.05).

For the purposes of minimizing the potential for reverse causality, deaths within the first 2 years of follow-up were excluded. When Q1 was used as a reference, the sensitivity analysis presented the similar results with statistical significance, as well as in unadjusted model (HR = 1.62, 95% CI: 1.35–1.94, p < 0.0001), minimally adjusted model (HR = 1.37, 95% CI:

1.11–1.68, p = 0.0035), and fully adjusted model (HR = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.08–1.66, p = 0.0085). The results persisted when ln-SII was treated as continuous variables (**Supplementary Table 1**). Furthermore, when individuals with history of malignancy were excluded, the main results still remained stable in unadjusted model (HR = 1.7, 95% CI: 1.4–2.0, p < 0.001), minimally adjusted model (HR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.2–1. 8, p < 0.001), and fully adjusted model (HR = 1.5, 95% CI: 1.2–1.9, p < 0.001; **Supplementary Table 2**). Moreover, multiple imputations (10 times) were performed to ensure that missing data would not influence the results. A multiple imputation sensitivity analysis

Variables	HR(95%CI)	P for interactio
Gender	I	0.9833
Female	0.7 (0.5-1.2)	
Male	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	
Age (years)	1	0.9128
<60	1.4 (0.9-2.1)	
≥ 60	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	
Marital status		0.4827
Unmarried	1.2 (1.0-1.5)	
Married	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	4
Malignancy		0.184
No	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	
Yes	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	—
Stroke	I	0.0527
No	1.5 (1.2-1.7)	4
Yes	1.1 (0.9-1.4) H	
Asthma	I	0.6246
No	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	
Yes	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	
Diabetes		0.3162
No	1.2 (1.1-1.4) ·	
Yes	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	
Hypertension		0.0543
No	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	
Yes	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	
Alcohol user	I	0.276
Never	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	
Mild	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	I
Moderate	1.8 (0.9-3.5)	• •
Heavy	2.5 (1.3-5.1)	
Smoke	, í	0.7023
Never	1.3 (1.1-1.7)	4
Former	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	4
Now	1.2 (0.9-1.7)	4
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)		0.6563
<60	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	I
60-90	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	-
≥90	1.3 (0.9-2.0)	

FIGURE 3

Forest plot of subgroup analysis for all-cause mortality. Hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using multivariate Cox regression models adjusted for the variables listed in the fully adjusted model except for the variable used for stratification.

was conducted but did not significantly alter the results (HR = 1.43, 95% CI: 1.21–1.69, p < 0.001; **Figure 4**). When further adjusted for the treatment with the main class of drugs (statins, beta blockers, and antiplatelet) in model II, the main results persisted (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Discussion

Globally, ASCVD continues to be the leading cause of death. In ASCVD, immune and inflammatory responses are demonstrated to play a key role in all stages of vascular lesions formation (8). It is well documented that inflammatory markers, such as neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, and platelets, play a critical role in coronary atherosclerosis (20, 21). Thus, the SII combines the three major immune cells and has received considerable attention in CVD. For example, studies have demonstrated that SII is a better predictor of mortality in individuals performing transcatheter aortic valve implant for severe aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease than the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and platelet/lymphocyte ratio (22). Thus, the SII should be explored in ASCVD.

To our knowledge, this is the first study designed for investigating the association between SII and the risk of allcause death in ASCVD in a large representative sample of the US adults. There have been some studies showing associations between individual leukocyte subtypes and cardiovascular events, but our study is uniquely positioned to test the association between all of them simultaneously. The main results demonstrated that higher ln-SII was strongly associated with all-cause mortality in ASCVD, independent of multiple confounding variables. These results were observed in both continuous and categorical ln-SII analyses. A non-linear curve for the association with all-cause death was found in the ASCVD population with a threshold value of 6.57 of ln-SII. Below the threshold, every one-unit increment in ln-SII was not associated with the risk of all-cause death. Nevertheless, above the threshold, ln-SII was associated with a higher probability of mortality. The robustness of the results was demonstrated by stratified analyses and sensitivity analyses.

As a new inflammatory biomarker, SII could assess the immune and inflammatory responses in a more balanced and comprehensive manner (23). As SII levels increase, inflammatory activity in various diseases might be high, leading to poor clinical outcomes (24). Having high SII values has been demonstrated to be a powerful tool in predicting survival outcomes in cancer, chronic patients with heart failure, and long-term survival in elderly non-ST-elevation patients with MI (12, 25, 26). The platelets play a critical role in prothrombotic potential during arterial thrombosis, as well as in atherogenesis and inflammation. Platelets have a central role in maintaining hemostasis and coagulation and prevention of hemorrhage in newly formed tumor vasculature (27, 28). Platelets are actively involved in the inflammatory and atherosclerotic process through interfacing with the endothelium, leukocytes, and non-activated platelets, and they promote the progression of atherosclerosis (29, 30). In the blood, neutrophils are the most abundant subtype of white blood cells and play a vital role in mediating inflammation. It has been demonstrated that neutrophils result in tissue damage and inflammation in advanced stages of atherosclerosis by causing smooth muscle cells to lyse and die (31). Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that neutrophils affect key biological processes related to atherosclerosis, thrombosis, and ischemic stroke by interacting with platelets (32). In every phase of the atherosclerotic process, lymphocytes play a vital role in regulating the inflammatory response. Low lymphocyte counts are associated with the progression of atherosclerosis. As the

Multiple imputation	HR (95% CI)		pvalue
1	1.43(1.21-1.69)	⊢ →	< 0.0001
2	1.44(1.22-1.71)	⊢	< 0.0001
3	1.42(1.20-1.69)	⊢	< 0.0001
4	1.43(1.20-1.69)	⊢	< 0.0001
5	1.46(1.23-1.72)	⊢	< 0.0001
6	1.44(1.22-1.71)	⊢−−− •	< 0.0001
7	1.43(1.20-1.69)	⊢	< 0.0001
8	1.44(1.22–1.71)	⊢	< 0.0001
9	1.45(1.22-1.71)	↓ • • • • • • • • • • •	< 0.0001
10	1.45(1.22-1.71)	⊢ −−−−4	< 0.0001
Pooled	1.43(1.21-1.69)	⊢	< 0.0001

Pooled results for multiple imputations (10 times) of missing data.

lipid core of an atherosclerotic plaque develops, it ruptures and forms a thrombus after lymphocytes undergo apoptosis (33). Furthermore, it has been confirmed that a low lymphocyte count is associated with cardiovascular events in a positive manner and associated with a worse prognosis in numerous diseases, such as stable coronary artery disease (34). Thus, in the light of the abovementioned evidence, it is reasonable to demonstrate a non-linear relationship between ln-SII and mortality in a US ASCVD population. SII is a simple, inexpensive inflammatory biomarker that can be obtained from complete blood work. Thus, SII has a great potential for clinical application in ASCVD.

Our study has some strengths and limitations. Most importantly, the study was based on a nationally representative large sample size cohort with the chance to adjust for a comprehensive range of confounders and the use of an NDI death record. Thus, it is possible to extrapolate the findings of this study to the general adult population in the United States. The study's prospective design offers another strength with ASCVD individuals being followed up for an average of 7.7 years, and follow-up periods were long enough for a sufficient number of deaths to be observed. A third strength is that multiple different sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the robustness of our results. Nevertheless, this study has certain limitations. First, due to the actual limitations of NHANES' own design, platelet, neutrophil, and lymphocyte count were measured at a single time point at baseline, which may have changed over time during the follow-up. Second, there was no information about how ASCVD and other cardiovascular risk factors were treated following the baseline interview in this study. Third, despite adjusting for many potential confounding factors, we could not completely rule out the effects of unmeasured confounders.

Conclusion

Using a large nationally representative survey in individuals among the US adults, the study revealed that higher SII was non-linear and associated with poor survival in ASCVD with a threshold value of 6.57 of ln-SII. Below the threshold, every oneunit increment in ln-SII was not associated with the possibility of all-cause death. Nevertheless, above the threshold, ln-SII was associated with a higher probability of all-cause death. Further studies will be needed to demonstrate whether lowering SII will improve the survival in ASCVD.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey dataset at https://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

Ethics statement

This study was reviewed and approved by the NCHS. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for their participation in this study.

Author contributions

LH and YZ created the study protocol. LH, XX, and HX contributed to the analysis plan. LH, XX, JX, and HX wrote the first draft of the manuscript. YZ revised the manuscript to create the final version. All authors contributed to the design of the study protocol and reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for sharing the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fcvm.2022.952953/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Roth GA, Johnson C, Abajobir A, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abyu G, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of cardiovascular diseases for 10 causes, 1990 to 2015. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 70:1–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.04.052

2. Khatana C, Saini NK, Chakrabarti S, Saini V, Sharma A, Saini RV, et al. Mechanistic insights into the oxidized low-density lipoprotein-induced atherosclerosis. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2020) 2020:5245308. doi: 10.1155/2020/5245308

3. Goff DC Jr., Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S, D'Agostino RB, Gibbons R, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* (2014) 129(Suppl. 2):S49–73. doi: 10.1161/01.cir. 0000437741.48606.98

4. Laslett LJ, Alagona P Jr., Clark BA III, Drozda JP Jr., Saldivar F, Wilson SR, et al. The worldwide environment of cardiovascular disease: Prevalence, diagnosis, therapy, and policy issues: A report from the American College of Cardiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2012) 60:S1–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.002

5. Müller KA, Rath D, Schmid M, Schoenleber H, Gawaz M, Geisler T. High plasma levels of gremlin-1 and macrophage migration inhibitory factor, but not their ratio, indicate an increased risk for acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Cardiol.* (2016) 39:201–6. doi: 10.1002/clc.22509

6. van der Valk FM, van Wijk DF, Stroes ES. Novel anti-inflammatory strategies in atherosclerosis. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2012) 23:532–9. doi: 10.1097/MOL. 0b013e3283587543

7. Ross R. Atherosclerosis-an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. (1999) 340:115-26. doi: 10.1056/nejm199901143400207

8. Tsoupras A, Lordan R, Zabetakis I. Inflammation, not cholesterol, is a cause of chronic disease. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:604. doi: 10.3390/nu10050604

9. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, Covas M-I, Corella D, Arós F, et al. Retraction and republication: Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279-90. N Engl J Med. (2018) 378:2441–2. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1806491

10. Herrero-Fernandez B, Gomez-Bris R, Somovilla-Crespo B, Gonzalez-Granado JM. Immunobiology of atherosclerosis: A complex net of interactions. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 20:5293. doi: 10.3390/ijms20215293

11. Esteve E, Ricart W, Fernández-Real JM. Dyslipidemia and inflammation: An evolutionary conserved mechanism. *Clin Nutr.* (2005) 24:16–31. doi: 10.1016/j. clnu.2004.08.004

12. Yang R, Chang Q, Meng X, Gao N, Wang W. Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in cancer: A meta-analysis. *J Cancer.* (2018) 9:3295–302. doi: 10.7150/jca.25691

13. Xie Y, Zhuang T, Ping Y, Zhang Y, Wang X, Yu P, et al. Elevated systemic immune inflammation index level is associated with disease activity in ulcerative colitis patients. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2021) 517:122–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2021.02.016

14. Tang Y, Zeng X, Feng Y, Chen Q, Liu Z, Luo H, et al. Association of systemic immune-inflammation index with short-term mortality of congestive heart failure: A retrospective cohort study. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:753133. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2021.753133

15. Zhou YX, Li WC, Xia SH, Xiang T, Tang C, Luo JL, et al. Predictive value of the systemic immune inflammation index for adverse outcomes in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Front Neurol.* (2022) 13:836595. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.836595

16. Jiang H, Li D, Xu T, Chen Z, Shan Y, Zhao L, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts contrast-induced acute kidney injury in patients undergoing coronary angiography: A cross-sectional study. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2022) 9:841601. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.841601

17. Hu B, Yang XR, Xu Y, Sun YF, Sun C, Guo W, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index predicts prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2014) 20:6212–22. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-14-0442

18. Wang BL, Tian L, Gao XH, Ma XL, Wu J, Zhang CY, et al. Dynamic change of the systemic immune inflammation index predicts the prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* (2016) 54:1963–9. doi: 10.1515/cclm-2015-1191

19. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang Y, Castro AF III, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med.* (2009) 150:604–12. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006

20. Falk E. Pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2006) 47:C7-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.09.068

21. Davi G, Patrono C. Platelet activation and atherothrombosis. N Engl J Med. (2007) 357:2482–94. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra071014

22. Kelesoglu S, Yilmaz Y, Elcik D, Çetinkaya Z, Inanc MT, Dogan A, et al. Systemic immune inflammation index: A novel predictor of contrast-induced nephropathy in patients with Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Angiology.* (2021) 72:889–95. doi: 10.1177/0003319721100 7738

23. Fest J, Ruiter R, Ikram MA, Voortman T, van Eijck CHJ, Stricker BH. Reference values for white blood-cell-based inflammatory markers in the Rotterdam study: A population-based prospective cohort study. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:10566. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28646-w

24. Huang J, Zhang Q, Wang R, Ji H, Chen Y, Quan X, et al. Systemic immuneinflammatory index predicts clinical outcomes for elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction receiving percutaneous coronary intervention. *Med Sci Monit.* (2019) 25:9690–701. doi: 10.12659/msm.919802

25. Seo M, Yamada T, Morita T, Furukawa Y, Tamaki S, Iwasaki Y, et al. P589 Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39(Suppl. 1):ehy564.P589.

26. Orhan AL, Şaylık F, Çiçek V, Akbulut T, Selçuk M, Çınar T. Evaluating the systemic immune-inflammation index for in-hospital and long-term mortality in elderly non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2022) 34:1687–95. doi: 10.1007/s40520-022-02103-1

27. Li Z, Yang F, Dunn S, Gross AK, Smyth SS. Platelets as immune mediators: Their role in host defense responses and sepsis. *Thromb Res.* (2011) 127:184–8. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2010.10.010

28. Ho-Tin-Noé B, Carbo C, Demers M, Cifuni SM, Goerge T, Wagner DD. Innate immune cells induce hemorrhage in tumors during thrombocytopenia. *Am J Pathol.* (2009) 175:1699–708. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2009.090460

29. Pasalic L, Wang SS, Chen VM. Platelets as biomarkers of coronary artery disease. Semin Thromb Hemost. (2016) 42:223-33. doi: 10.1055/s-0036-1572328

30. Gawaz M, Langer H, May AE. Platelets in inflammation and atherogenesis. J Clin Invest. (2005) 115:3378-84. doi: 10.1172/jci27196

31. Silvestre-Roig C, Braster Q, Wichapong K, Lee EY, Teulon JM, Berrebeh N, et al. Externalized histone H4 orchestrates chronic inflammation by inducing lytic cell death. *Nature*. (2019) 569:236–40. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1167-6

32. Jickling GC, Liu D, Ander BP, Stamova B, Zhan X, Sharp FR. Targeting neutrophils in ischemic stroke: Translational insights from experimental studies. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (2015) 35:888–901. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.2015.45

 Núñez J, Miñana G, Bodi V, Nunez E, Sanchis J, Husser O, et al. Low lymphocyte count and cardiovascular diseases. *Curr Med Chem.* (2011) 18:3226–33. doi: 10.2174/092986711796391633

34. Ommen SR, Gibbons RJ, Hodge DO, Thomson SP. Usefulness of the lymphocyte concentration as a prognostic marker in coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* (1997) 79:812–4. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9149(96)00878-8