Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jingwei Li, University of New South Wales, Australia

REVIEWED BY Jinwei Tian, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, China Zhiguang Ping, Zhengzhou University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jun Lyu Iyujun2020@jnu.edu.cn Haiyan Yin yinhaiyan1867@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 23 June 2022 ACCEPTED 20 July 2022 PUBLISHED 08 August 2022

CITATION

Lu X, Zhang L, Li S, He D, Huang T, Lin H, Yin H and Lyu J (2022) Association between statin use and the prognosis of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated with diabetes. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:976656. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.976656

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lu, Zhang, Li, He, Huang, Lin, Yin and Lyu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association between statin use and the prognosis of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated with diabetes

Xuehao Lu^{1†}, Luming Zhang^{1†}, Shaojin Li^{2†}, Dan He^{1,3}, Tao Huang⁴, Hongsheng Lin², Haiyan Yin^{1*} and Jun Lyu^{4,5*}

¹Department of Intensive Care Unit, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ²Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ³Department of Anesthesiology, Women's and Children's Hospital of Hengyang, Hengyang, China, ⁴Department of Clinical Research, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine Informatization, Guangzhou, China

Background: Type 2 diabetes leads to an increase in the prevalence of lipid abnormalities, which increases the risk of cardiovascular disease. Therefore, current guidelines generally recommend the use of moderate or high-intensity statins in patients with type 2 diabetes. There are still few studies on the overall risk benefit balance of statins for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients with diabetes. Compared with other types of lipid-lowering drugs, the advantage of statins for the prognosis of patients with AMI has not yet been determined. We investigated the effects of statins and non-statins on intensive care unit (ICU) and inpatient mortality in patients with AMI and diabetes.

Methods: This study retrospectively collected all patients with AMI and diabetes in the Medical Information Mart Intensive Care-IV database. We assessed ICU and in-hospital mortality rates during hospitalization in both groups. The clinical end point was in-hospital mortality and ICU mortality. Kaplan-Meier and Cox proportional-hazards regression models were applied to analyze the correlation between the two groups and the outcomes.

Results: Data on 1,315 patients with AMI and diabetes were collected, among which 1,211 used statins during hospitalization. The overall in-hospital mortality of patients with AMI and diabetes was 17.2%, and the total ICU mortality was 12.6%. The in-hospital mortality was lower for the statin group than for the non-statin group (13.9% and 55.8%, respectively). Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrated that survival probability was higher in the statin group than in the non-statin group. In the cohort without hyperlipidemia, the statin group had lower risks of ICU death (HR = 0.12, 95% CI = 0.04–0.40) and in-hospital death (HR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.16–0.84) compared with the non-statin group.

Conclusions: Statins can significantly reduce ICU and in-hospital mortality rates in patients with AMI and diabetes. Even in the population without

hyperlipidemia, statins can still reduce the mortality in patients with AMI and diabetes.

KEYWORDS

statin, acute myocardial infarction, diabetes, lipid-lowering drugs, cardiovascular disease

Introduction

Increases in the incidence rates of obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes have led to cardiovascular disease (CVD) becoming the most common disease leading to death and decreased quality of life, and this adverse situation may further escalate in the near future (1). Diabetes and dyslipidemia are independent risk factors related to the incidence of atherosclerotic CVD (2). The risk of death due to CVD is 3- to 6-fold higher in patients with diabetes than in those without diabetes (3). Lipid-lowering therapy for patients with diabetes is therefore an important measure for reducing the CVD risk. The UK Prospective Diabetes Study identified elevated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol as the leading coronary risk factor in patients with diabetes (4). Statins are 3hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors with the primary function of reducing endogenous LDL cholesterol. Some previous studies found that statins exert fascinating pleiotropic effects in addition to reducing LDL cholesterol, such as anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, and antioxidant effects (5), which can improve vascular function and improve ventricular remodeling (6). There is evidence that statins can reduce the risk of various cardiovascular events in patients with diabetes (7) resulting in statins becoming the first choice of lipid-lowering drugs for reducing CVD risk. Type 2 diabetes leads to an increase in the prevalence of lipid abnormalities, which increases the risk of CVD. Therefore, current guidelines generally recommend the use of moderate or high-intensity statins in patients with type 2 diabetes (8, 9). However, there is still controversy about whether statins are important in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients with diabetes, and there are still few studies. Some retrospective registration studies showed that the statin group showed lower major adverse cardiac events, all-cause mortality, cardiac death than the non-statin group (10, 11). However, studies have shown that the beneficial effect of statins in AMI patients with diabetes has not been confirmed (12). Most previous studies have focused exclusively on the protective effect of statins on cardiovascular events, and so the overall risk-benefit balance of statins for patients with AMI and diabetes needs to be reassessed. Compared with other types of lipid-lowering drugs, the advantage of statins for the prognosis of patients with AMI has yet to be determined. We therefore hypothesized that patients with AMI and diabetes who receive statins have lower intensive care unit (ICU) and in-hospital mortality rates than those who do not receive lipid-lowering drugs. We tested this hypothesis using the Medical Information Mart Intensive Care-IV (MIMIC-IV) database.

Methods

Data source and population

This was a retrospective study based on version 1.0 of the MIMIC-IV database, which a vertical, single-center database that includes all patients admitted to the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) emergency department or ICU during 2008–2019 (13). We obtained access to the database after completing the recognized "Protecting Human Research Participants" course. The institutional review boards of BIDMC and MIT approved any researcher meeting the data user requirements to use the MIMIC-IV database, and exempted them from the requirement to obtained informed consent from patients. This study included all patients with AMI complicated with diabetes in the database, and excluded patients younger than 18 years. We only extracted the information of patients hospitalized and admitted to ICU for the first time, and excluded those with multiple hospitalization records (Figure 1).

Data extraction

Structured Query Language was used to extract the following information from the database: age, gender, weight, ethnicity, acute physiology score-III (APSIII), first care unit, ventilator and vasopressor use, continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) (14). Major comorbidities included diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic pulmonary disease, renal disease, malignant cancer, and liver disease. The average values of the following vital signs were collected: mean blood pressure (MBP), heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, and peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO₂) within 24 h of ICU admission. The following first laboratory test results in the ICU were collected: white



blood cell (WBC), hemoglobin, platelet, red cell distribution width (RDW), anion gap, potassium, calcium total, creatinine, urea nitrogen, glucose, international normalized ratio (INR), urine output, total bilirubin, lactate, and the peak myocardial infarction markers of troponin T and creatine kinase isoenzyme (CKMB). The end point of this study was whether patients died in hospital, and the secondary outcome was ICU mortality.

Statistical analyses

We first used the multiple imputation method to supplement variables with <20% missing data using the R software "mice" package. The patients in this study were divided into statin and non-statin groups according to whether they had been treated with an antihyperlipidemic agent (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor). After the data cohort was determined, all categorical variables were expressed in numbers and percentages, and chisquare and Fisher's exact tests were used to determine the differences between the two groups. All continuous variables were expressed as median and interquartile range values, and differences between the two groups was determined using the Mann-Whitney U test. Kaplan-Meier and Cox proportional-hazards regression models were applied to analyze the correlation between the two groups and the outcomes. Log-rank tests were performed as non-parametric analyses to compare the survival distributions of the two groups. Two Cox models were constructed: model 1 had no adjustments, and

model 2 was adjusted for all of the above-mentioned covariates. All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.0.1), and P < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered indicative of statistical significance.

Results

Baseline characteristics

We finally included and analyzed 1,315 patients with AMI and diabetes from the MIMIC-IV database, among which 1,211 patients used statins during hospitalization (statins group) and 104 did not (non-statin group). The baseline data of the two groups are listed in Table 1. The overall in-hospital mortality of patients with diabetes complicated with AMI was 17.2%, and the total ICU mortality rate was 12.6%. The in-hospital mortality rate was significantly lower in the statin than in the non-statin group (13.9 and 55.8%, respectively; P < 0.001), as was the ICU mortality rate (9.3 and 51.0%, respectively; P < 0.001). In the statin group, the proportions of those who received CRRT and vasoactive drugs were lower (1.8 and 5.8%, respectively; P = 0.02), and the proportions of those that received PCI (26.3 and 12.5%, respectively; P = 0.003) and CABG (29.4 and 4.8%, respectively; P < 0.001) were higher. There were more patients with hyperlipidemia in the statin than the non-statin group (66.6 and 45.2%, respectively; P < 0.001).

TABLE 1 The baseline data of the statins group and non-statin group.

Statin group Non-statin р group n = 1,211n = 104Age (year) 71.00 (63.00, 79.00) 75.00 (63.75, 83.00) 0.064 Gender (%) 0.01 Male 766 (63.3) 52 (50.0) 52 (50.0) Female 445 (36.7) Ethnicity (%) 0.908 White 712 (58.8) 60 (57.7) Others 499 (41.2) 44 (42.3) Weight (kg) 84.82 (71.26, 99.12) 78.03 (69.16, 93.82) 0.025 APSIII 44.00 (34.00, 61.00) 67.00 (49.00, 93.75) < 0.001 First care unit (%) < 0.001 CCU 923 (76.2) 45 (43.3) 288 (23.8) 59 (56.7) others Vasopressor (%) < 0.001 855 (70.6) 46 (44.2) No 58 (55.8) Yes 356 (29.4) 0.109 Ventilator (%) No 571 (47.2) 40 (38.5) Yes 640 (52.8) 64 (61.5) CRRT (%) 0.02 No 1,189 (98.2) 98 (94.2) Yes 22 (1.8) 6 (5.8) PCI (%) 0.003 No 893 (73.7) 91 (87.5) Yes 318 (26.3) 13 (12.5) CABG (%) < 0.001 No 855 (70.6) 99 (95.2) 356 (29.4) 5 (4.8) Yes Comorbidities Diabetes complicated (%) 0.632 698 (57.6) 63 (60.6) No Yes 513 (42.4) 41 (39.4) Hyperlipidemia (%) < 0.001 No 404 (33.4) 57 (54.8) 807 (66.6) 47 (45.2) Yes Hypertension (%) 0.507 No 722 (59.6) 66 (63.5) Yes 489 (40.4) 38 (36.5) Congestive heart failure (%) 0.874 No 508 (41.9) 45 (43.3) Yes 703 (58.1) 59 (56.7) Peripheral vascular disease 1 (%) No 1,021 (84.3) 88 (84.6) Yes 190 (15.7) 16 (15.4) Cerebrovascular disease (%) 0.095 80 (76.9) No 1,015 (83.8)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Statin group	Non-statin group	p	
	<i>n</i> = 1,211	n = 104		
Yes	196 (16.2)	24 (23.1)		
Chronic pulmonary disease			0.627	
(%)				
No	923 (76.2)	82 (78.8)		
Yes	288 (23.8)	22 (21.2)		
Renal disease (%)			0.841	
No	694 (57.3)	58 (55.8)		
Yes	517 (42.7)	46 (44.2)		
Liver disease (%)			< 0.001	
No	1,127 (93.1)	85 (81.7)		
Yes	84 (6.9)	19 (18.3)		
Malignant cancer (%)			0.07	
No	1,134 (93.6)	92 (88.5)		
Yes	77 (6.4)	12 (11.5)		
Vital signs				
MBP (mmHg)	75.48 (69.88, 82.59)	71.87 (64.26, 79.29)	< 0.001	
Heart rate (bpm)	81.08 (72.04, 90.19)	87.00 (78.01, 100.84)	< 0.001	
Respiratory rate (insp/min)	18.85 (16.88, 21.00)	20.73 (17.59, 23.68)	< 0.001	
Temperature (°C)	36.77 (36.60, 36.94)	36.68 (36.50, 37.02)	0.109	
SpO ₂ (%)	97.09 (95.84, 98.27)	96.59 (95.24, 98.61)	0.242	
Laboratory tests				
Troponin T (ng/ml)	1.21 (0.31, 3.47)	0.65 (0.16, 2.43)	0.036	
CKMB (ng/ml)	11.00 (4.00, 36.50)	14.50 (4.00, 51.25)	0.367	
WBC (k/ul)	9.60 (7.50, 13.10)	11.40 (7.50, 17.70)	0.013	
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	11.20 (9.50, 12.80)	10.00 (7.97, 11.70)	< 0.001	
Platelet (k/ul)	203.00 (158.00,	182.50 (126.25,	0.003	
	255.75)	229.75)		
RDW (%)		15.60 (14.10, 17.10)	< 0.001	
Anion Gap (mEq/l)	16.00 (13.00, 19.00)	19.00 (16.00, 23.50)	< 0.001	
Lactate (mmol/l)	1.60 (1.20, 2.30)	3.65 (2.28, 8.12)	< 0.001	
Potassium (mEq/l)	4.20 (3.90, 4.60)	4.60 (4.05, 5.20)	< 0.001	
Calcium Total (mg/dL)	8.70 (8.20, 9.10)	8.20 (7.70, 8.90)	< 0.001	
Glucose (mg/dl)	174.00 (130.00, 237.50)	195.00 (124.50, 315.00)	0.075	
INR	1.20 (1.10, 1.30)	1.55 (1.20, 2.30)	< 0.001	
Creatinine (md/dl)	1.20 (0.90, 1.90)	1.90 (1.17, 2.90)	< 0.001	
Urea Nitrogen (mg/dl)	25.00 (17.00, 40.00)	37.50 (23.00, 56.25)	< 0.001	
Urine output (ml)	1535.00 (940.00,	785.00 (231.50,	< 0.001	
	2225.00)	1448.00)		
Bilirubin Total (mg/dl)	0.50 (0.30, 0.80)	0.80 (0.50, 1.50)	< 0.001	
ICU mortality (%)				
No	1,098 (90.7)	51 (49.0)	< 0.001	
Yes	113 (9.3)	53 (51.0)		

(Continued)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Statin group $n = 1,211$	group	
In-hospital mortality (%)			
No	1,043 (86.1)	46 (44.2)	< 0.001
Yes	168 (13.9)	58 (55.8)	

APSIII, acute physiology score-III; CCU, cardiac care unit; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; MBP, mean blood pressure; SpO₂, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation; CKMB, creatine kinase isoenzyme; WBC, white blood cell; RDW, red cell distribution width; INR, international normalized ratio.

Clinical outcomes

Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrated that the survival probability was significantly higher in the statin group than in the non-statin group (p < 0.0001, Figure 2). Two Cox models were constructed: model 1 had no adjustments, and in model 2 we adjusted for age, gender, weight, ethnicity, APSIII, ventilator use, vasopressor use, CRRT use, PCI use, CABG use, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic pulmonary disease, renal disease, malignant cancer, liver disease, MBP, heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, SpO₂, WBC, hemoglobin, platelet, RDW, anion gap, potassium, calcium total, creatinine, urea nitrogen, glucose, INR, urine output, total bilirubin, lactate, troponin T and CKMB. After adjusting for all of the above-mentioned covariates using Cox proportional-hazards models, the risks of ICU and in-hospital mortality were significantly lower in the statin than the non-statin group, with HRs of 0.14 (95% CI = 0.08-0.27, Table 2) and 0.28 (95% CI = 0.17-0.47, Table 2), respectively.

Subgroup analyses

Statins are most commonly used to reduce LDL cholesterol, and so a subgroup analysis was applied to the effect of statins on clinical outcomes in subgroups with hyperlipidemia. There were 854 patients with and 461 without hyperlipidemia. In the cohort with hyperlipidemia, the risks of ICU and in-hospital death were lower in the statin group than in the non-statin group, with HRs of 0.07 (95% CI = 0.02–0.20, Table 3) and 0.16 (95% CI = 0.07–0.41, Table 3), respectively; the corresponding values in the cohort without hyperlipidemia were 0.12 (95% CI = 0.04–0.40, Table 3) and 0.36 (95% CI = 0.16–0.84, Table 3), respectively.

Discussion

By collecting the statin use data of hospitalized patients with AMI complicated with diabetes, and comparing them with patients who did not use statins or other lipid-lowering drugs, this retrospective study found that statins had significant clinical benefits on the prognosis of hospitalized patients with diabetes and AMI. Compared with non-statins and other types of lipid-lowering drugs, statins can significantly reduce ICU and in-hospital mortality rates in patients with AMI and diabetes. In the population without hyperlipidemia, statins can still reduce the mortality in patients with AMI and diabetes. Statins can reduce serum LDL cholesterol levels. Current guidelines strongly recommend administering statins at high concentrations or at the maximum tolerance level of patients with AMI without contraindications (15, 16). Some previous studies have demonstrated that the benefits of statins far outweigh their potential risks (17, 18). Statin use is related to difficulty in controlling blood glucose in diabetes and prediabetes, but they greatly reduce the risk of cardiovascular events (19). In the current study, patients who took statins had significantly lower ICU mortality and in-hospital mortality risks than those who did not, with HRs of 0.16 (95% CI = 0.12-0.22) and 0.17 (95% CI = 0.13-0.24), respectively. After adjusting for some possible confounders, the advantage of statins in reducing the risk of death remained. In our study, adjusted ICU and in-hospital mortality rates were also significantly reduced, with HRs of 0.14 (95% CI = 0.08–0.27) and 0.28 (95% CI = 0.17– 0.47), respectively.

While the present patients in the non-statin group did not use statins to control blood lipids, they may have used other types of lipid-lowering drugs such as fibrates, ezetimibe, and niacin. Several past meta-analyses have found that although fibrates can reduce the risk of cardiovascular events (20-22), they will not reduce all-cause or CVD mortality. Similarly, a meta-analysis found that a combination therapy of statins and fibrates had no more clinical benefits than statins alone (23). A previous study also found no difference in cardiac or all-cause or myocardial infarction mortality between simvastatin-ezetimibe and high-intensity statins in a population with AMI, although a significant reduction in the repeated revascularization rate was observed (24). A previous meta-analysis compared the effects of statins, ezetimibe, and PCSK9 inhibitors, and found that statins had the greatest probability of reducing allcause and cardiovascular mortality (25). In another study on atherosclerotic vascular disease, compared with statins alone, the combination of niacin-laropiprant and statins not only failed to reduce cardiovascular event risk, but also increased the risks of bleeding, infection, and new-onset diabetes (26). These findings consistently suggest that statins have more benefits than other types of lipid-lowering drugs in patients at higher risks of



TABLE 2 Clinical outcomes between statin group and non-statin group.

	Non-statin group	Statin group	
	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value
ICU Mortality			
Unadjusted	Reference	0.16 (0.12,0.22)	< 0.001
Adjusted	Reference	0.14 (0.08,0.27)	< 0.001
In-hospital Mortality			
Unadjusted	Reference	0.17 (0.13,0.24)	< 0.001
Adjusted	Reference	0.28 (0.17,0.47)	< 0.001

HR, Hazard Ratio; ICU, intensive care unit.

cardiovascular events, and statins remain the most effective way to reduce mortality from these events.

Some novel conclusions can be drawn from this retrospective cohort study. Since statins are the most commonly used treatment for blood lipid control, we performed a subgroup analysis of whether patients were complicated with hyperlipidemia. In patients with hyperlipidemia, statins could significantly reduce ICU and in-hospital death risks, with HRs of 0.07 (95% CI = 0.02–0.20, P < 0.001) and 0.16 (95% CI = 0.07–0.41, P < 0.001), which is consistent with many guidelines (15, 16). The current

study also demonstrated that statins can reduce ICU and in-hospital mortality rates in patients without hyperlipidemia, with HRs of 0.12 (95% CI = 0.04–0.40, P = 0.001) and 0.36 (95% CI = 0.16-0.84, P = 0.018), respectively. This suggests that statins act via other mechanisms to improve the prognosis of patients with AMI and diabetes. Some previous studies have found that in addition to reducing LDL cholesterol, statins also exert fascinating pleiotropic effects, including anti-inflammatory, inhibiting oxidative stress, antiplatelet aggregation, antithrombosis, and improving vascular tension (27). These effects are essential to inhibiting atherosclerotic plaque progression and thus contribute to an overall reduction of the CVD death risk. However, the exact underlying molecular mechanism has not been determined, and so further research is still needed to clarify it.

Our study had some limitations. First, this study is a single center regression study, which questions the universality of conclusion. Secondly, this study lacked data related to newonset diabetes, such as fasting blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin before and after statins, so it was not able to explain the direct relationship between statins and new-onset diabetes. Third, LDL cholesterol is very important for the population of this study, but due to the limitations of the database, we failed to obtain these data. Finally, because most patients in the statin group in this study were treated with atorvastatin, we cannot provide the results of different statins separately. Notwithstanding these limitations, this study demonstrated

	ICU mortality		In-hospital mortality			
	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	p-interaction	HR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	p-interaction
Hyperlipidemia			0.595			0.086
No (<i>n</i> = 461)	0.12 (0.04,0.40)	0.001		0.36 (0.16,0.84)	0.018	
Yes (<i>n</i> = 854)	0.07 (0.02,0.20)	< 0.001		0.16 (0.07,0.41)	< 0.001	

TABLE 3 The effect of statins on clinical outcomes in subgroups with hyperlipidemia.

that statins have protective effects on patients with AMI and diabetes.

Conclusions

Compared with non-statins and other types of lipidlowering drugs, statins can significantly reduce ICU and in-hospital mortality rates in patients with AMI and diabetes. Even in the population without hyperlipidemia, statins can still reduce the mortality in patients with AMI and diabetes. Although prospective randomized trials are needed to confirm the current results, they strongly suggest that statins have a protective effect on patients with AMI and diabetes.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: The data were available on the MIMIC-IV website at https://mimic.physionet.org/, https://doi.org/10. 13026/a3wn-hq05.

Author contributions

XL and LZ created the study protocol, performed the statistical analyses, and wrote the first manuscript draft. SL conceived the study and critically revised the manuscript. DH assisted with data collection and manuscript editing. TH and

References

1. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the of diabetes 2010 prevalence for and 2030. Diabetes Clin (2010) Res Pract. 87:4-14. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2009. 10.007

2. Smith SC Jr. Multiple risk factors for cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. *Am J Med.* (2007) 120(Suppl. 1):S3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.01.002

3. Londahl M, Katzman P, Nilsson A, Ljungdahl L, Prutz KG. Cardiovascular prevention before admission reduces mortality following

HL assisted the analysis and explain of statistical methods. HY assisted with manuscript revision and data confirmation. JL contributed to data interpretation and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study received financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 82072232 and 81871585), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2018A030313058), Technology and Innovation Commission of Guangzhou Science, China (No. 201804010308), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine Informatization (2021B1212040007).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

acute myocardial infarction in patients with diabetes. J Intern Med. (2002) 251:325–30. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2796.2002.00959.x

4. Turner RC, Millns H, Neil HA, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Matthews DR, et al. Risk factors for coronary artery disease in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS: 23). *BMJ*. (1998) 316:823–8. doi: 10.1136/bmj.316.7134.823

5. Pedersen TR. Pleiotropic effects of statins: evidence against benefits beyond LDL-cholesterol lowering. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs.* (2010) 10(Suppl. 1):10–17. doi: 10.2165/1158822-S0-00000000-00000

6. Taylor F, Huffman MD, Macedo AF, Moore TH, Burke M, Davey Smith G, et al. Statins for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2013) 2013:CD004816. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004816.pub5

7. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists C, Fulcher J, O'Connell R, Voysey M, Emberson J, Blackwell L, et al. Efficacy and safety of LDL-lowering therapy among men and women: meta-analysis of individual data from 174,000 participants in 27 randomised trials. *Lancet.* (2015) 385:1397–405. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61368-4

8. American Diabetes Association. 10. Cardiovascular disease and risk management: *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care.* (2020) 43(Suppl. 1):S111-34. doi: 10.2337/dc20-S010

9. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:255-323. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz486

10. Kim YH, Her AY, Jeong MH, Kim BK, Hong SJ, Kim S, et al. Comparative effect of statin intensity between prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus after implanting newer-generation drug-eluting stents in Korean acute myocardial infarction patients: a retrospective observational study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* (2021) 21:386. doi: 10.1186/s12872-021-02198-w

11. Kim YH, Her AY, Jeong MH, Kim BK, Hong SJ, Kim S, et al. Effect of statin treatment in patients with acute myocardial infarction with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a retrospective observational registry study. *Medicine*. (2021) 100:e24733. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000024733

12. Takara A, Ogawa H, Endoh Y, Mori F, Yamaguchi J, Takagi A, et al. Longterm prognosis of diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction in the era of acute revascularization. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2010) 9:1. doi: 10.1186/1475-2840-9-1

13. Johnson A, Bulgarelli L, Pollard T, Horng S, Celi LA, Mark R. *MIMIC-IV* (version 1.0). PhysioNet (2021). doi: 10.13026/s6n6-xd98

14. Wu WT, Li YJ, Feng AZ, Li L, Huang T, Xu AD, et al. Data mining in clinical big data: the frequently used databases, steps, and methodological models. *Mil Med Res.* (2021) 8:44. doi: 10.1186/s40779-021-00338-z

15. Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:111–88. doi: 10.15829/1560-4071-2020-3826

16. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/ NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report

of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on clinical practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 73:e285– 350. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.003

17. Chan DC, Pang J, Watts GF. Pathogenesis and management of the diabetogenic effect of statins: a role for adiponectin and coenzyme Q10? *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* (2015) 17:472. doi: 10.1007/s11883-014-0472-7

18. Ray K. Statin diabetogenicity: guidance for clinicians. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2013) 12(Suppl. 1):S3. doi: 10.1186/1475-2840-12-S1-S3

19. Anyanwagu U, Mamza J, Donnelly R, Idris I. Effects of background statin therapy on glycemic response and cardiovascular events following initiation of insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes: a large UK cohort study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2017) 16:107. doi: 10.1186/s12933-017-0587-6

20. Abourbih S, Filion KB, Joseph L, Schiffrin EL, Rinfret S, Poirier P, et al. Effect of fibrates on lipid profiles and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review. *Am J Med.* (2009) 122:962 e961–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2009.03.030

21. Jun M, Foote C, Lv J, Neal B, Patel A, Nicholls SJ, et al. Effects of fibrates on cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* (2010) 375:1875–84. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60656-3

22. Keene D, Price C, Shun-Shin MJ, Francis DP. Effect on cardiovascular risk of high density lipoprotein targeted drug treatments niacin, fibrates, and CETP inhibitors: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials including 117,411 patients. *BMJ.* (2014) 349:g4379. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g4379

23. Ip CK, Jin DM, Gao JJ, Meng Z, Meng J, Tan Z, et al. Effects of add-on lipidmodifying therapy on top of background statin treatment on major cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol.* (2015) 191:138–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.04.228

24. Ji MS, Jeong MH, Ahn YK, Kim SH, Kim YJ, Chae SC, et al. Clinical outcome of statin plus ezetimibe versus high-intensity statin therapy in patients with acute myocardial infarction propensity-score matching analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* (2016) 225:50–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.09.082

25. Khan SU, Talluri S, Riaz H, Rahman H, Nasir F, Bin Riaz I, et al. A Bayesian network meta-analysis of PCSK9 inhibitors, statins and ezetimibe with or without statins for cardiovascular outcomes. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2018) 25:844–53. doi: 10.1177/2047487318766612

26. Group HTC, Landray MJ, Haynes R, Hopewell JC, Parish S, Aung T, et al. Effects of extended-release niacin with laropiprant in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med. (2014) 371:203–12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1300955

27. Almeida SO, Budoff M. Effect of statins on atherosclerotic plaque. Trends Cardiovasc Med. (2019) 29:451-5. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2019.01.001