Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Arjun Ghosh, Barts Heart Centre, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Mario Enrico Canonico, University of Naples Federico II, Italy Chiara Lestuzzi, Santa Maria degli Angeli Hospital Pordenone, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Cui cui123@cicams.ac.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cardio-Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

RECEIVED 06 July 2022 ACCEPTED 14 September 2022 PUBLISHED 13 October 2022

CITATION

Wang Y, Cui C, Ren X, Dong X and Cui W (2022) Cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors: A comprehensive pharmacovigilance analysis based on the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System database from 2014 to 2021. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 9:988013. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.988013

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Cui, Ren, Dong and Cui. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors: A comprehensive pharmacovigilance analysis based on the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System database from 2014 to 2021

YanFeng Wang^{1†}, Chanjuan Cui^{2†}, Xiayang Ren³, Xinran Dong⁴ and Wei Cui²*

¹Department of Comprehensive Oncology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ²Department of Laboratory Medicine, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ³Department of Pharmacy, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ⁴School of Electronics Engineering and Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing, China

Background: The profiles of cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors, including intravenous monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and oral tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), targeting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) remain poorly elucidated in real-world settings. This pharmacovigilance analysis aimed to comprehensively investigate the frequency, spectrum, timing, and outcomes of cardiovascular toxicities associated with angiogenesis inhibitors and to explore the differences in such patterns between mAbs and TKIs.

Methods: Disproportionality analysis was performed by leveraging reports from the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database from 2014 to 2021. Cardiovascular adverse events (AEs) were grouped into nine narrow categories using the Standardized Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Queries (SMQs). Reporting odds ratio (ROR) and information components (ICs) were calculated with statistical shrinkage transformation formulas and a lower limit of 95% confidence interval (CI) for ROR (ROR₀₂₅) > 1 or IC (IC₀₂₅) > 0, with at least three reports being considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 757,577 reports of angiogenesis inhibitors and 70,668 (9.3%) reports of cardiovascular AEs were extracted. Significant disproportionality was detected in angiogenesis inhibitors for cardiovascular AEs ($IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 0.35/1.27$). Bevacizumab (31.8%), a mAb, presented the largest number of reports, followed by sunitinib (12.4%), a TKI. Hypertension (SMQ) was detected with the strongest signal value ($IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 1.73/3.33$), followed

by embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.32/1.26). Hypertension showed the shortest time to onset with a median (interquartile range) value of 23 (8, 69) days, while embolic and thrombotic events had the longest value of 51 (16, 153) days. Notably, hypertension presented the lowest proportions of death and life-threatening events (10.9%), whereas embolic and thrombotic events posed the highest (29.3%). Furthermore, both mAbs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.47/1.39) and TKIs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.30/1.23) showed increased cardiovascular AEs. Hypertension was detected in both agents (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 1.53/2.90 for mAbs and IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 1.83/3.56 for TKIs) with a shorter time to onset of 17 (6, 48) days for TKIs than mAbs of 42 (14, 131) days. By contrast, embolic and thrombotic events were detected for mAbs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.90/1.87) without TKI (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = -0.08/0.95).

Conclusion: Angiogenesis inhibitors were associated with increased cardiovascular toxicity with a discrepancy between intravenous mAbs and oral TKIs, deserving distinct monitoring and appropriate management.

KEYWORDS

cardiovascular toxicity, angiogenesis inhibitors, FAERS database, real-world study, disproportionality analysis, pharmacovigilance analysis

Introduction

Angiogenesis plays a critical role in tumor growth and metastasis, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been confirmed to be the main proangiogenetic factor (1, 2). Targeting VEGF-induced angiogenesis to establish an anti-neoplastic effect was first proposed by Folkman in 1971 (3). Since bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody (mAb), was first approved in 2004 by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of metastatic colorectal carcinoma in combination with chemotherapy (4), four main classes of agents targeting the VEGF signaling pathway have been developed: anti-VEGF mAb, anti-VEGF receptor (VEGFR) mAb, VEGF soluble decoy receptor capturing free available VEGF (VEGF-trap), and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), that is, oral small-molecule agents that act on the intracellular tyrosine kinase domains of VEGFRs to inhibit their activation (1, 2). In contrast to mAbs, small-molecule TKIs target multiple tyrosine kinases other than VEGFs. In addition, recombinant human endostatin is another agent with an antiangiogenic effect, which was developed mainly in China (5).

Despite the remarkable anti-tumor effects of angiogenesis inhibitors in a variety of cancer cases, emerging evidence has shown cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors (6-8). Although hypertension has received the most attention, a wider range of cardiovascular toxicity, including left ventricular systolic dysfunction, heart failure, myocardial ischemia, thromboembolic events, QT interval prolongation, and arrhythmia, has also been increasingly recognized (1, 2, 6–20). However, the majority of these data were from clinical trials, conducted in selected populations, which may underestimate the real burden of cardiovascular toxicity. Moreover, it is unclear whether the differences in the mechanism of action and route of administering between intravenous mAbs (including anti-VEGF mAb, anti-VEGFR mAb, and VEGF-trap) and oral TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity translate into clinically relevant differences in the incidence of cardiovascular toxicity.

Therefore, this pharmacovigilance analysis aimed to systematically investigate real-world patterns of total and class-specific cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors and to explore the potential differences in such profiles between mAbs and TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity.

Materials and methods

Data sources

The U.S. FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database is a free post-marketing safety surveillance database that contains millions of real-world spontaneous adverse event (AE) reports submitted by healthcare professionals, individual patients, and drug manufacturers around the world (21). The large quantity of data collected at a national level from a large population and under conditions that may have been overlooked in controlled clinical trials makes FAERS particularly

TABLE 1 Cardiovascular adverse events grouped into 9 narrow	
categories of Standardized MedDRA Queries (SMQs) according to	
MedDRA 24.0.	

SMQ name	SMQ code	Algorithm		
Cardiac arrhythmias	20000049	Narrow		
Cardiac failure	20000004	Narrow		
Cardiomyopathy	20000150	Narrow		
Embolic and thrombotic	20000081	Narrow		
events				
Hypertension	20000147	Narrow		
Ischaemic heart disease	20000043	Narrow		
Noninfectious	20000239	Narrow		
myocarditis/pericarditis				
pulmonary hypertension	20000130	Narrow		
torsade de pointes/QT	20000001	Narrow		
prolongation				

robust to conduct a pharmacovigilance study in the realworld setting.

In FAERS, AEs are coded using preferred terms (PTs) according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) (version 24.0). A specific PT can be assigned to several high-level terms (HLTs), high-level group terms (HLGTs), and system organ classes (SOCs). In addition, all PTs representing symptoms, signs, investigations, or diagnoses likely to be relevant can be grouped into meaningful categories using the Standardized MedDRA Queries (SMQs) to define a medical condition of interest. In this study, cardiovascular AEs were grouped into nine narrow categories of SMQs (cardiac arrhythmia, cardiac failure, cardiomyopathy, embolic and thrombotic events, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, noninfectious myocarditis/pericarditis, pulmonary hypertension, and torsade de pointes/QT prolongation) (Table 1) (see Supplementary Tables S1–S9) (22).

Data extract

This retrospective analysis enrolled data in the FAERS database from the first quarter of 2014 to the fourth quarter of 2021. Of note, there are inevitably duplicates (the same report submitted by different sources) and multiple reports (a follow-up of the same case with additional and updated information) in the spontaneous reporting database. Therefore, a two-step data cleaning was conducted before analysis. First, as for the reports with the same "safetyreportid," only the last version of the reports was used. Second, reports with the same variables, such as "patientsex," "patientonsetage," "reportercountry," "receiptdate," "reaction meddra pt," and "medicinal product," were considered duplicated and removed.

TABLE 2 Disproportionality analysis based on two-by-two contingency table.

	Target adverse events	Other adverse events	Total
Target drug	a (N _{observed})	b	$N_{drug} = a + b$
Other drugs	с	d	c+d
Total	$N_{event} = \!\! a \!\! + \! c$	b+d	$N_{total} = a + b + c + d$

Furthermore, since time to onset was defined as the period between the start date of angiogenesis inhibitors and the onset date of cardiovascular AEs, reports without any information on the "drug start date" or "case event date" or start date of the drug later than the onset date of AEs were regarded as aberrant and excluded from the analysis of time to onset.

Notably, the drugs are reported as free text in FAERS, either generic names or brand names even research codes can be reported; and misspelling can also be present. Thus, a thorough drug name archive including all generic names, brand names, and research codes of angiogenesis inhibitors approved by the U.S. FDA or the National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) in China (formerly known as the China Food and Drug Administration, CFDA) was applied (see Supplementary Table S10).

Statistical analysis

Currently, disproportionality analysis (also known as casenoncase analysis) is a widely used signal detection method in the pharmacovigilance study based on a two-by-two contingency table (Table 2) (23, 24).

Reporting odds ratio (ROR) and information components (ICs) are two specific indices calculated to detect potential associations between drugs and AEs. Notably, statistical shrinkage transformation was applied to obtain robust results, and the corresponding calculation formulas for ROR and IC are as follows (25):

$$\begin{split} \text{ROR} &= (\text{N}_{observed} \, + \, 0.5) / (\text{N}_{expected} \, + \, 0.5) \\ \text{IC} &= \log_2[(\text{N}_{observed} \, + \, 0.5) / (\text{N}_{expected} \, + \, 0.5)] \\ \text{N}_{expected} &= \text{N}_{drug} * \text{N}_{event} / \text{N}_{total}, \end{split}$$

where $N_{observed}$ (a) is the observed number of reports of target drug AEs, $N_{expected}$ is the expected number of reports of target drug AEs, N_{drug} (a+b) is the total number of reports of target drug, N_{event} (a+c) is the total number of reports of target AEs, and N_{total} (a+b+c+d) is the total number of reports in the whole database.

Moreover, the calculation formulas for the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the ROR and IC are as follows:

ROR 95%CI =
$$e^{ln(ROR) \pm 1.96\sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}}$$

IC₀₂₅ = IC - 3.3*(N_{observed} + 0.5)^{-0.5}
- 2*(N_{observed} + 0.5)^{-1.5}
IC₀₇₅ = IC + 2.4*(N_{observed} + 0.5)^{-0.5}
- 0.5*(N_{observed} + 0.5)^{-1.5}

The lower limit of the 95% CI for ROR (ROR_{025}) >1 or the lower limit of the 95% CI for IC (IC_{025}) exceeding 0 with at least three reports was considered statistically significant and deemed a potential signal.

All the analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States).

Results

Descriptive analysis

From the first quarter of 2014 to fourth quarter of 2021, a total of 42,874,609 reports were extracted from the FAERS database and 32,916,895 reports were included in the final analysis, of which 757,577 reports on angiogenesis inhibitors and 70,668 (9.3%) reports of cardiovascular AEs were extracted (Figure 1).

Characteristics of cardiovascular AE reports are presented in Table 3. The median [interquartile range (IQR)] age of patients with angiogenesis inhibitors was 66 (57, 73) years, which was older than those of 61 (47, 72) years with other drugs. Among cardiovascular reports associated with angiogenesis inhibitors, older patients (aged of \geq 65 years vs. 18–64 years: 40.5% vs. 33.1%) and male patients (49.1% vs. 41.3%) accounted for a greater proportion than younger patients and female patients, respectively. In addition, cardiovascular AEs with angiogenesis inhibitors were chiefly submitted by health professionals (65.0%) and mainly from the United States (46.3%). As for the outcomes of AEs, caused or prolonged hospitalization, other serious events and death were the most frequently reported.

Disproportionality analysis of cardiovascular AEs for angiogenesis inhibitors

Of note, most cardiovascular AEs were reported in cases using TKIs (N = 45 475, 62.4%), among which sunitinib was the most common reported agent (N = 9 061, 12.4%). By contrast, bevacizumab (N = 23 177, 31.8%), an anti-VEGF mAb, presented the largest number of reported AEs as a single agent (Table 4). Using angiogenesis inhibitors was significantly associated with a higher reporting frequency of cardiovascular AEs than the whole database corresponding to an ROR (ROR_{025} , ROR_{975}) of 1.29 (1.27, 1.30) and an IC (IC_{025} , IC_{975}) of 0.36 (0.35, 0.37) (Table 4).

Notably, significant signals were detected in the majority of agents, except for erdafitinib, fruquintinib (China), vatalanib, anlotinib (China), and recombinant human endostatin (China). Since these agents accounted for a very small proportion of AEs reported with no significant signals detected as a consequence, these agents were not included in the further analysis as single agents.

As for the signal strength, TKIs as a class of agents demonstrated the weakest signal value (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.30/1.23) compared with anti-VEGF mAb (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.43/1.35), anti-VEGFR mAb (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.48/1.40), and VEGF-Trap (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.69/1.62).

In addition, with respect to single agent, cediranib held the strongest signal value (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.98/2.01), despite a very small proportion reported (N = 71, <0.1%), while regoratenib (N = 3 184, 4.4%) showed the weakest signal value (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.01/1.01).

Spectrum of cardiovascular AEs based on PTs for angiogenesis inhibitors

Overall, hypertension (N = 10 654, 15.1%) contributed to the most frequently reported cardiovascular PTs associated with angiogenesis inhibitors, followed by dyspnea (N = 7 739, 11.0%) and increased blood pressure (N = 6 404, 9.1%).

According to $IC_{025} > 0$, a total of 112 PTs were observed to be significantly associated with angiogenesis inhibitors as a whole. For single agent, bevacizumab presented the broadest spectrum of cardiovascular AEs with a total of 106 PTs detected as signals, while cediranib held the least PTs (N = 3) (see Supplementary Table S11).

Of note, hypertension was detected as signals in 13 agents, except apatinib (China) and tivozanib, which were the most frequently reported PTs. Furthermore, blood pressure increased, ejection fraction decreased, and ascites with another three PTs detected as signals among 11 agents (see Supplementary Table S12).

Spectrum of cardiovascular AEs based on SMQs for angiogenesis inhibitors

As seen in Table 5, among the nine narrow categories of SMQs, cardiomyopathy (SMQ) (N = 22 186, 22.7%) comprised the most frequently reported



cardiovascular AEs, followed by hypertension (SMQ) (N = 19~385, 19.8%) and embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) (N = 15~365, 15.7%).

Specifically, hypertension (SMQ) held the strongest signal value (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 1.73/3.33), followed by embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.32/1.26), cardiac failure (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.13/1.10), cardiomyopathy (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.12/1.09), and pulmonary hypertension (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.11/1.08). However, cardiac arrhythmias (SMQ), ischemic heart disease (SMQ), noninfectious myocarditis/pericarditis (SMQ), and torsade de pointes/QT prolongation (SMQ) were not observed as significantly associated with angiogenesis inhibitors as a whole.

Based on MedDRA, embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) can be subcategorized into embolic and thrombotic events, arterial thromboembolic events (ATEs) (SMQ), embolic and thrombotic events, venous thromboembolic events (VTEs) (SMQ), and embolic and thrombotic events, vessel type unspecified, and mixed arterial and venous (SMQ). Further analysis showed that both ATEs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.01/1.01)

and VTEs ($IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 1.03/2.06$) were significantly associated with angiogenesis inhibitors.

Importantly, analysis based on a single agent showed varied patterns of cardiovascular AEs among different angiogenesis inhibitors, as depicted in Figure 2. Of note, aflibercept was the only agent significantly associated with ischemic heart disease (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅ = 0.61). Furthermore, cardiac arrhythmias (SMQ) were observed to be significantly associated with vandetanib ($IC_{025} = 0.87$) and cediranib ($IC_{025} = 0.62$). Similarly, torsade de pointes/QT prolongation (SMQ) is also associated with vandetanib ($IC_{025} = 1.57$) and cediranib $(IC_{025} = 0.07)$. In addition, nintedanib $(IC_{025} = 1.65)$, tivozanib (IC₀₂₅ = 0.60), and cediranib (IC₀₂₅ = 0.94) were the only three agents related to pulmonary hypertension (SMQ). By contrast, cardiac failure (SMQ), cardiomyopathy (SMQ), and noninfectious myocarditis/pericarditis (SMQ) were detected as signals in nine agents, embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) was detected in 10 agents, and hypertension (SMQ) was detected as signals in 14 agents, except apatinib (China), which was the most frequently reported PTs.

TABLE 3 Baseline characteristics of cardiovascular reports associated with angiogenesis inhibitors and other drugs from 2014 to 2021.

Characteristics	Angiogenesis inhibitors	Other drugs	Total (<i>n</i> = 2 389 490)		
	$(n = 70\ 668)$	$(n = 2\ 318\ 822)$			
Patient's age, years, median	66 (57, 73)	61 (47, 72)	61 (48, 72)		
(Q1-Q3)					
Data available, <i>n</i> (%)	52,859 (74.8)	1,603,471 (69.2)	1,656,882 (69.3)		
Age group, <i>n</i> (%)					
<18 years	847 (1.2)	62,446 (2.7)	63,293 (2.7)		
18~65 years	23,401 (33.1)	863,660 (37.3)	887,061 (37.1)		
\geq 65 years	28,611 (40.5)	677,365 (29.2)	706,528 (29.6)		
Unknown	17,809 (25.2)	715,351 (30.8)	732,608 (30.7)		
Patient's gender, n (%)					
Male	34,731 (49.1)	886,247 (38.2)	920,978 (38.6)		
Female	29,183 (41.3)	1,223,706 (52.8)	1,252,889 (52.4)		
Unknown	6,754 (9.6)	208,869 (9.0)	215,623 (9.0)		
Type of reporter, n (%)					
Health professional	45,906 (65.0)	1,278 704 (55.2)	1,324,610 (55.4)		
Non-health professional	23,572 (33.3)	972,435 (41.9)	996,007 (41.7)		
Unknown	1,190 (1.7)	67,683 (2.9)	68,873 (2.9)		
Outcome of adverse events, n (%)					
Death	13,300 (18.8)	286,691 (12.4)	299,991 (12.6)		
Life-threatening	3,117 (4.4)	141,776 (6.1)	144,893 (6.1)		
Caused/prolonged	24,975 (35.3)	816,713 (35.2)	841,688 (35.2)		
hospitalization					
Disabling/incapacitating	384 (0.5)	29,124 (1.2)	29,508 (1.2)		
Congenital anomaly	1 (0)	4,041 (0.2)	4,042 (0.2)		
Other serious events	19,391 (27.4)	664,311 (28.6)	683,702 (28.6)		
Reported countries, n (%)					
United States	32,755 (46.3)	1,242,146 (53.6)	1,274,901 (53.4)		
Canada	3,606 (5.1)	189,636 (8.2)	193,242 (8.1)		
Great Britain	1,915 (2.7)	100,385 (4.3)	102,300 (4.3)		
Germany	3,404 (4.8)	93,682 (4.0)	97,086 (4.1)		
France	3,611 (5.1)	88,021 (3.8)	91,632 (3.8)		
Italy	2,094 (3.0)	53,081 (2.3)	55,175 (2.3)		
Japan	7,085 (10.0)	79,696 (3.4)	86,781 (3.6)		
China	1,610 (2.3)	21,911 (0.9)	23,521 (1.0)		
Other countries	12,519 (17.8)	355,163 (15.4)	367,682 (15.4)		
Unknown	2,069 (2.9)	95,101 (4.1)	97,170 (4.0)		
Reported year, n (%)	_,				
2014	7,966 (11.3)	296,750 (12.8)	304,716 (12.8)		
2015	9,418 (13.3)	357,180 (15.4)	366,598 (15.3)		
2016	6,392 (9.1)	221,264 (9.6)	227,656 (9.5)		
2017	7,076 (10.0)	229,409 (9.9)	236,485 (9.9)		
2017	9,587 (13.6)	287,918 (12.4)	297,505 (12.5)		
2019	8,917 (12.6)	271,430 (11.7)	280,347 (11.7)		
2019	9,548 (13.5)	290,083 (12.5)	299,631 (12.5)		
2020	11,764 (16.6)	364,788 (15.7)	376,552 (15.8)		

Drug class	Agent	N (%)	ROR	ROR025	ROR975	IC	IC025	IC975
Anti-VEGF mAb	Bevacizumab	23,177 (31.8)	1.37	1.35	1.39	0.45	0.43	0.47
Anti-VEGFR mAb	Ramucirumab	1,193 (1.6)	1.49	1.40	1.58	0.57	0.48	0.64
VEGF-Trap	Aflibercept	1,596 (2.2)	1.71	1.62	1.80	0.77	0.69	0.83
Tyrosine kinase inhibitors	Sunitinib	9,061 (12.4)	1.06	1.04	1.08	0.08	0.05	0.11
	Lenvatinib	7,131 (9.8)	1.74	1.70	1.79	0.80	0.76	0.83
	Nintedanib	6,824 (9.4)	1.40	1.36	1.43	0.48	0.44	0.51
	Pazopanib	6,277 (8.6)	1.25	1.22	1.28	0.32	0.28	0.35
	Cabozantinib	4,827 (6.7)	1.05	1.02	1.08	0.07	0.02	0.10
	Sorafenib	4,473 (6.1)	1.13	1.10	1.16	0.18	0.13	0.21
	Axitinib	3,402 (4.7)	1.36	1.31	1.40	0.44	0.38	0.48
	Regorafenib	3,184 (4.4)	1.04	1.01	1.08	0.06	0.01	0.10
	Apatinib (China)	947 (1.3)	1.23	1.15	1.31	0.30	0.19	0.37
	Vandetanib	487 (0.7)	1.48	1.35	1.63	0.57	0.42	0.68
	Tivozanib	104 (0.1)	1.42	1.16	1.74	0.51	0.18	0.74
	Cediranib	71	2.60	2.01	3.37	1.38	0.98	1.66
	Erdafitinib	45	0.47	0.35	0.64	-1.08	-1.57	-0.72
	Fruquintinib (China)	5	0.75	0.30	1.85	-0.41	-1.98	0.57
	Vatalanib	2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	Anlotinib (China)	1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	All TKIs	45,475 (62.4)	1.24	1.23	1.26	0.32	0.30	0.33
Other	Recombinant human endostatin (China)	26	1.34	0.89	2.00	0.42	-0.24	0.88
Total		70,668	1.29	1.27	1.30	0.36	0.35	0.37

TABLE 4 Disproportionality analysis results associated with different angiogenesis inhibitors.

VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; mAb, monoclonal antibody; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor, TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitors; ROR, reporting odds ratio; IC, information components.

TABLE 5 Disproportionality analysis for angiogenesis inhibitors based on specific SMQs.

Cardiovascular reports	N (%)	ROR	ROR025	ROR975	IC	IC025	IC975
Cardiac arrhythmias (SMQ)	8,607 (8.8)	0.65	0.63	0.66	-0.63	-0.67	-0.60
Cardiac failure (SMQ)	9,031 (9.2)	1.12	1.10	1.14	0.16	0.13	0.19
Cardiomyopathy (SMQ)	22,186 (22.7)	1.10	1.09	1.12	0.14	0.12	0.15
Embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ)	15,365 (15.7)	1.28	1.26	1.30	0.35	0.32	0.37
Hypertension (SMQ)	19,385 (19.8)	3.38	3.33	3.43	1.76	1.73	1.78
Ischaemic heart disease (SMQ)	3,672 (3.7)	0.79	0.76	0.82	-0.34	-0.40	-0.30
Noninfectious myocarditis/pericarditis (SMQ)	5,849 (6.0)	1.01	0.98	1.03	0.01	-0.03	0.04
Pulmonary hypertension (SMQ)	10,009 (10.2)	1.10	1.08	1.12	0.14	0.11	0.16
Torsade de pointes/QT prolongation (SMQ)	3,524 (3.6)	0.68	0.66	0.71	-0.55	-0.60	-0.51

SMQ, standardized MedDRA queries; ROR, reporting odds ratio; IC, information components.

Time to onset of specific SMQs with significant signals

As displayed in Figure 3A, hypertension (SMQ) demonstrated the shortest time to onset with the median (IQR) value of 23 (8, 69) days, while embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) had the longest time to onset of 51 (16, 153) days. Nonetheless, cardiac failure (SMQ), cardiomyopathy

(SMQ), and pulmonary hypertension (SMQ) presented similar median values (IQR) of time to onset. Furthermore, the cumulative proportions of time to onset within the first 30 days and 90 days after treatment with angiogenesis inhibitors were 57.8% and 78.3% for hypertension (SMQ), which was the greatest, whereas they were 38.7% and 63.4% for embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ), which was the lowest (Figure 3B).



Outcome of adverse events

Of note, death accounted for 18.8% of all cardiovascular AEs associated with angiogenesis inhibitors, which was higher than that with other drugs (12.4%) (Table 3). Specifically, higher risk level outcomes, namely, death, life-threatening events, and caused or prolonged hospitalization proportions according to specific SMQs with significant signals are shown in Figure 4. Notably, hypertension (SMQ) presented the lowest proportions of death and life-threatening events (10.9%) and caused or prolonged hospitalization (30.7%), whereas embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) posed the highest proportions of death and life-threatening events (29.3%) and a similar proportion of caused or prolonged hospitalization (36.5%) compared with cardiac failure (SMQ), cardiomyopathy (SMQ), and pulmonary hypertension (SMQ).

Comparison of cardiovascular AEs between intravenous mAbs and oral TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity

Both mAbs and TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity were significantly associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular AEs, with a relatively stronger signal strength for mAbs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.47/1.39) than TKIs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.30/1.23).

As for PTs, Supplementary Table S13 showed the top 20 PTs with the strongest signal values between mAbs and TKIs. There was a great difference in the distribution of these PTs with only six PTs overlapping between these two classes with consistently stronger signals for mAbs.

According to SMQs, Figure 5 demonstrates that significant signals were detected in hypertension (SMQ), cardiac failure (SMQ), and cardiomyopathy (SMQ) for both classes, embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) and noninfectious myocarditis/pericarditis (SMQ) only for mAbs, and pulmonary hypertension (SMQ) only for TKIs. However, cardiac arrhythmias (SMQ), ischemic heart disease (SMQ), and torsade de pointes/QT prolongation (SMQ) were not detected as signals in both classes.

With respect to hypertension (SMQ), analysis of timing revealed a remarkably shorter time to onset for TKIs than mAbs, with a median (IQR) value of 17 (6, 48) days vs. 42 (14, 131) days. In addition, the cumulative proportions of time to onset within the first 30 days and 90 days were 65.8 and 84.7% for TKIs, which were higher than those of 42.0 and 65.8% for mAbs.

With regard to embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ), a total of 59 PTs were detected to be significantly associated with mAbs, whereas 23 PTs were found to be related to TKIs. Furthermore, 16 PTs overlapped between mAbs and TKIs, and



the majority with stronger signal values (IC_{025}) for mAbs except for two PTs with a stronger signal strength for TKIs (see Supplementary Table S14) were observed.

Both ATEs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.53/1.46) and VTEs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 1.79/3.47) held a significantly higher reporting frequency for mAbs. On the contrary, the signal was detected only in VTEs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = 0.80/1.76), and no signal was detected in ATEs (IC₀₂₅/ROR₀₂₅ = -0.12/0.93) for TKIs.

Discussion

Although the application of angiogenesis inhibitors has revolutionized the therapy and substantially improved

the outcomes for patients with a variety of malignancies, their side effects, especially cardiovascular toxicity, have been increasingly recognized along with their curative effects (1, 2, 6-20). Nevertheless, the real profiles of cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors are still unclear due to scarce evidence in the real-world setting (20). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive pharmacovigilance study on cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors by leveraging the FAERS database, involving the frequency, spectrum, timing, and outcomes of cardiovascular toxicity, as well as the extensive comparison of such patterns between mAbs and TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity. Importantly, the main findings of our study are as follows.



FIGURE 4

Proportions of cardiovascular adverse event outcomes according to five cardiovascular adverse events (SMQs) detected as significant signals. SMQs, Standardized MedDRA Queries; AEs, adverse events.



VEGF(R), vascular endothelial growth factor or receptor.

Cardiovascular toxicity burden and profile of angiogenesis inhibitors

First, our study revealed that cardiovascular reports accounted for a proportion of 9.3% of all reports related to angiogenesis inhibitors. Notably, using angiogenesis inhibitors was significantly associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular AEs according to the markedly higher signal values of $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 0.36/1.27$. In addition, all the four main classes of agents targeting the VEGF signaling

pathway and the majority of single agents demonstrated this trend, except for few agents, which may be due to a very small number of reported AEs. To sum up, these findings indicated that cardiovascular toxicity may be a class effect of angiogenesis inhibitors. Given its continuous development and wide application, the incidence of angiogenesis inhibitor-related cardiovascular toxicity is expected to increase constantly, which necessitates more attention to be paid.

Noteworthily, our study found that cardiovascular toxicity profiles varied among different agents of angiogenesis inhibitors,

such as different agents and different AEs. On the one hand, regarding specific agent, bevacizumab was first approved for clinical use; accordingly, its cardiovascular toxicity has been first and extensively studied over the past years (10, 13). Similarly, bevacizumab presented the largest number of reported AEs with relatively stronger signal strength as a single agent and held the broadest spectrum of cardiovascular AEs in our study. On the contrary, despite a very small proportion reported, cediranib held the strongest signal value for total cardiovascular AEs and some specific cardiovascular AEs, including cardiac failure (SMQ), cardiomyopathy (SMQ), and embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ). On the other hand, as for specific cardiovascular AEs, hypertension, to date, is the most frequently reported and best characterized cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors targeting VEGF (9, 16, 17, 26, 27). Similar to previous studies, the present study demonstrated that hypertension was the most frequently reported cardiovascular AE based on PTs (15.1%) and SMQs (19.8%). Moreover, hypertension was the most frequently detected signal among the agents observed (13/15), with the strongest signal value of $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 1.73/3.33$ based on SMQs and a relatively higher value of $IC_{025}/ROR_{025} = 2.06/4.19$ based on PTs.

Especially, as a single agent, lenvatinib presented the strongest signal strength for hypertension (SMQ) (IC₀₂₅ =2.98), indicating the most frequently reported PT, which was similar to a recent report (28) showing the highest incidence of any grade hypertension of 68% and grade 3 or 4 hypertension of 42% for lenvatinib. In addition, two meta-analyses revealed a higher incidence of torsade de pointes/QT prolongation for vandetanib (29, 30). Our present study demonstrated similar results.

Taken together, all these findings suggested a great discrepancy of cardiovascular AEs with specific agents, which deserves some individual AE monitoring strategies for angiogenesis inhibitors in the treatment of cancer types.

Timing and outcomes of cardiovascular AEs with angiogenesis inhibitors

As for the time to onset of cardiovascular AEs, in Österlund's study (31), the median time to the onset of hypertension was 1 month (range of 1–15 months and within 6 months in 95%), as calculated from the start of bevacizumab treatment. In addition, a real-life study on the TKI cohort showed that the cumulative incidence of thrombotic events kept increasing all along the first year of treatment (20). Nevertheless, no study to date has investigated the disparity of timing according to different types of cardiovascular AEs associated with angiogenesis inhibitors. Our present study first compared the time to onset of specific cardiovascular AEs based on SMQs, which provided some valuable information. We found that hypertension occurred

fairly early, whereas embolic and thrombotic events occurred relatively late. Of note, virtually almost every patient experiences a rapid increase in blood pressure within days after initiation of therapy (26), whether or not leading to hypertension. Therefore, recognition of the variance in time to onset among different cardiovascular AEs may be worthwhile at clinical practice to guide distinct monitoring strategies.

With regard to the outcomes of AEs, the present study showed that cardiovascular AEs associated with angiogenesis inhibitors presented more death than other drugs, suggesting a greater impact on patients' prognosis. In addition, we compared the differences in outcomes among specific cardiovascular AEs based on SMQs and found that hypertension posed the lowest risk of mortality, despite being most frequently reported in comparison to other cardiovascular AEs. It may be due to the less severity of hypertension per se as well as appropriate management with anti-hypertensive medications to some extent. However, the prognostic value of hypertension induced by angiogenesis inhibitors, namely, whether or not it was a biomarker for the efficacy of anti-cancer treatment, remains the subject of investigation (32-35). Furthermore, we found that embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ) posed the highest proportions of death and life-threatening events among the reported cardiovascular AEs (SMQs).

Differences in cardiovascular AEs between intravenous mAbs and oral TKIs

To our knowledge, no head-to-head study so far has compared the differences in cardiovascular AEs between mAbs and TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity. A systematic review and meta-analysis showed no significant interaction between the two subgroups for cardiovascular outcomes (12). Noteworthily, our study made the foremost and extensive comparison between mAbs and TKIs and thus provided several new insights into cardiovascular AEs associated with angiogenesis inhibitors. First, both mAbs and TKIs as class agents significantly increased cardiovascular AE risk with greater extent for mAbs. Second, there was a discrepancy in the distribution of cardiovascular AEs based on PTs or SMQs. Third, for hypertension (SMQ), TKIs demonstrated a relatively stronger signal strength and remarkably shorter time to onset than mAbs. Last, we also observed the variance in embolic and thrombotic events (SMQ), including ATEs and VTEs. Explanations for these discrepancies may be multifarious, mainly including the following two aspects: (1) difference in action mechanism, namely, unlike mAbs with high affinity to VEGF(R) (on-target mechanism), TKIs typically target multiple tyrosine kinases other than VEGFs, which, consequently, may induce some "off-target" toxicities besides the "on-target" effects; (2) difference in the administering route, that is, mAb is usually administered intravenously, while TKI is used

orally, which theoretically can generate some variances in AEs. Anyway, these findings again underscore the necessity to pay more attention to agent-specific AEs.

Limitations

There are some limitations in this study to be acknowledged. First, as a spontaneous reporting database, the FAERS database has some intrinsic limitations, such as multiple data sources, non-uniform data format, multi-reporting, under-reporting, and incomplete information. Second, the causal relationship of AEs and drug application cannot be confirmed in the retrospective study. Third, it is difficult to evaluate the effect of patients' baseline characteristics including cardiovascular risk factor profiles on the subsequent occurrence of cardiovascular AEs after application of angiogenesis inhibitors since no relevant variables other than age and sex were reported in the FAERS database. Finally, the majority of the reporting data in the FAERS database are from North America (particularly the United States), European countries, and Japan, while few reports come from China, which might result in geographic bias of the results. Therefore, further prospective studies may be warranted to confirm the findings in our study.

Conclusion

Treatment with angiogenesis inhibitors was significantly associated with an increased risk in cardiovascular toxicity as a class effect mainly involving cardiac failure, cardiomyopathy, hypertension, embolic and thrombotic events, and pulmonary hypertension with varied profiles in terms of frequency, spectrum, timing, and outcomes among specific agents and special AEs. Moreover, there was a great discrepancy in cardiovascular toxicity patterns between mAbs and TKIs with anti-VEGF(R) activity. These findings provide valuable evidence for precise management of cardiovascular toxicity associated with angiogenesis inhibitors in the treatment of cancer cases.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YW: conception, design, and manuscript reviewing and revising. WC: administrative support. XR and XD: data collection and analysis. YW and CC: data interpretation. CC: manuscript writing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the program of Beijing Hope Run Special Fund of Cancer Foundation of China (LC2017A13).

Acknowledgments

All the data were available from the FAERS database managed by the U.S. FDA. The conclusions in our study do not represent the opinion of the FDA.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fcvm.2022.988013/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Dobbin SJH, Petrie MC, Myles RC, Touyz RM, Lang NN. Cardiotoxic effects of angiogenesis inhibitors. *Clin Sci (Lond).* (2021) 135:71–100. doi: 10.1042/CS20200305

2. Dorst DCHV, Doorn LV, Mirabito Colafella KM, Manintveld OC, Hassing HC, Danser AHJ, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity of angiogenesis inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors: synergistic anti-tumour effects at the cost of increased cardiovascular risk? *Clin Sci (Lond).* (2021) 135:1649–68. doi: 10.1042/CS20200300

3. Folkman J. Tumor angiogenesis: therapeutic implications. N Engl J Med. (1971) 285:1182–6. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197111182852108

4. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, Cartwright T, Hainsworth J, Heim W, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for metastatic colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med.* (2004) 350:2335–42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa032691

5. Li K, Shi M, Qin S. Current status and study progress of recombinant humman endostatin in cancer treatment. *Oncol Ther.* (2018) 6:21-43. doi: 10.1007/s40487-017-0055-1

6. Zamorano JL, Lancellotti P, Rodriguez Muñoz D, Aboyans V, Asteggiano R, Galderisi M, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines: The Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:2768–801. doi: 10.1093/eurhearti/ehw211

7. Alexandre J, Cautela J, Ederhy S, Damaj GL, Salem JE, Barlesi F, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity related to cancer treatment: a pragmatic approach to the American and European Cardio-Oncology Guidelines. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e018403. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.018403

8. Herrmann J, Lenihan D, Armenian S, Barac A, Blaes A, Cardinale D, et al. Defining cardiovascular toxicities of cancer therapies: an International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS) consensus statement. *Eur Heart J.* (2022) 43:280– 99. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab674

9. Small HY, Montezano AC, Rios FJ, Savoia C, Touyz RM. Hypertension due to antiangiogenic cancer therapy with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors: understanding and managing a new syndrome. *Can J Cardiol.* (2014) 30:534–43. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2014.02.011

10. Economopoulou P, Kotsakis A, Kapiris I, Kentepozidis N. Cancer therapy and cardiovascular risk: focus on bevacizumab. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2015) 7:133–43. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S77400

11. Hsu PY, Mammadova A, Benkirane-Jessel N, Désaubry L, Nebigil CG. Updates on anticancer therapy-mediated vascular toxicity and new horizons in therapeutic strategies. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:694711. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.694711

12. Abdel-Qadir H, Ethier JL, Lee DS, Thavendiranathan P, Amir E. Cardiovascular toxicity of angiogenesis inhibitors in treatment of malignancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2017) 53:120-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.12.002

13. Totzeck M, Mincu RI, Rassaf T. Cardiovascular adverse events in patients with cancer treated with bevacizumab: a meta-analysis of more than 20,000 patients. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2017) 6:e006278. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.117.0 06278

14. Dobbin SJH, Cameron AC, Petrie MC, Jones RJ, Touyz RM, Lang NN. Toxicity of cancer therapy: what the cardiologist needs to know about angiogenesis inhibitors. *Heart.* (2018) 104:1995–2002. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2018-313726

15. Totzeck M, Mincu RI, Mrotzek S, Schadendorf D, Rassaf T. Cardiovascular diseases in patients receiving small molecules with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor activity: a meta-analysis of approximately 29,000 cancer patients. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2018) 25:482–94. doi: 10.1177/2047487318755193

16. Versmissen J, Mirabito Colafella KM, Koolen SLW, Danser AHJ. Vascular Cardio-Oncology: vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors and hypertension. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2019) 115:904–14. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvz022

17. Waliany S, Sainani KL, Park LS, Zhang CA, Srinivas S, Witteles RM. Increase in blood pressure associated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors targeting vascular endothelial growth factor. *JACC Cardiooncol.* (2019) 1:24–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jaccao.2019.08.012

18. Jiang L, Ping L, Yan H, Yang X, He Q, Xu Z, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity induced by anti-VEGF/VEGFR agents: a special focus on definitions, diagnoses, mechanisms and management. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* (2020) 16:823–35. doi: 10.1080/17425255.2020.1787986

19. Hou W, Ding M, Li X, Zhou X, Zhu Q, Varela-Ramirez A, et al. Comparative evaluation of cardiovascular risks among nine FDA-approved VEGFR-TKIs in patients with solid tumors: a Bayesian network analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2021) 147:2407–20. doi: 10.1007/s00432-021-03521-w

20. Vallerio P, Orenti A, Tosi F, Maistrello M, Palazzini M, Cingarlini S, et al. Major adverse cardiovascular events associated with VEGF-targeted anticancer tyrosine kinase inhibitors: a real-life study and proposed algorithm for proactive management. *ESMO Open.* (2022) 7:100338. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100338

21. Patel NM, Stottlemyer BA, Gray MP, Boyce RD, Kane-Gill SL, A. Pharmacovigilance Study of Adverse Drug Reactions Reported for Cardiovascular Disease Medications Approved Between 2012 and 2017 in the United States Food and Drug Administration Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) Database. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* (2022) 36:309–22. doi: 10.1007/s10557-021-07157-3

22. Zhai Y, Ye X, Hu F, Xu J, Guo X, Cao Y, et al. Cardiovascular toxicity of carfilzomib: the real-world evidence based on the Adverse Event Reporting System Database of the FDA, the United States. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:735466. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.735466

23. Hou Y, Ye X, Wu G, Cheng G, Du X, He J, et al. comparison of disproportionality analysis methods in national adverse drug reaction databases of China. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2014) 13:853–7. doi: 10.1517/14740338.2014.915938

24. Ang PS, Chen Z, Chan CL, Tai BC. Data mining spontaneous adverse drug event reports for safety signals in Singapore - a comparison of three different disproportionality measures. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2016) 15:583–90. doi: 10.1517/14740338.2016.1167184

25. Norén GN, Hopstadius J, Bate A. Shrinkage observed-to-expected ratios for robust and transparent large-scale pattern discovery. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2013) 22:57–69. doi: 10.1177/0962280211403604

26. Pandey AK, Singhi EK, Arroyo JP, Ikizler TA, Gould ER, Brown J, et al. Mechanisms of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) inhibitorassociated hypertension and vascular disease. *Hypertension*. (2018) 71:e1-8. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10271

27. van Dorst DCH, Dobbin SJH, Neves KB, Herrmann J, Herrmann SM, Versmissen J, et al. Hypertension and prohypertensive antineoplastic therapies in cancer patients. *Circ Res.* (2021) 128:1040–61. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318051

28. Chung R, Tyebally S, Chen D, Kapil V, Walker JM, Addison D, et al. Hypertensive cardiotoxicity in cancer treatment-systematic analysis of adjunct, conventional chemotherapy, and novel therapies-epidemiology, incidence, and pathophysiology. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:3346. doi: 10.3390/jcm9103346

29. Liu Y, Liu Y, Fan ZW, Li J, Xu GG. Meta-analysis of the risks of hypertension and QTc prolongation in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer who were receiving vandetanib. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* (2015) 71:541–7. doi: 10.1007/s00228-015-1831-1

30. Ghatalia P, Je Y, Kaymakcalan MD, Sonpavde G, Choueiri TK. QTc interval prolongation with vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Br J Cancer. (2015) 112:296–305. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.564

31. Österlund P, Soveri LM, Isoniemi H, Poussa T, Alanko T, Bono P. Hypertension and overall survival in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with bevacizumab-containing chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer.* (2011) 104:599–604. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2011.2

32. Rini BI, Cohen DP, Lu DR, Chen I, Hariharan S, Gore ME, et al. Hypertension as a biomarker of efficacy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2011) 103:763–73. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr128

33. George S, Reichardt P, Lechner T, Li S, Cohen DP, Demetri GD. Hypertension as a potential biomarker of efficacy in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor treated with sunitinib. *Ann Oncol.* (2012) 23:3180–7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mds179

34. Hurwitz HI, Douglas PS, Middleton JP, Sledge GW, Johnson DH, Reardon DA, et al. Analysis of early hypertension and clinical outcome with bevacizumab: results from seven phase III studies. *Oncologist.* (2013) 18:273– 80. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2012-0339

35. Duffaud F, Sleijfer S, Litière S, Ray-Coquard I, Le Cesne A, Papai Z, et al. Hypertension (HTN) as a potential biomarker of efficacy in pazopanibtreated patients with advanced non-adipocytic soft tissue sarcoma. A retrospective study based on European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 62043 and 62072 trials. *Eur J Cancer.* (2015) 51:2615– 23. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.08.002