Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Davide Agnoletti, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Federica Piani, University of Bologna, Italy Miriam Sandín Rollán, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Baibing Mi ⊠ xjtu.mi@xjtu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 02 February 2023 ACCEPTED 03 August 2023 PUBLISHED 17 August 2023

CITATION

Liu H, Wang Y, Zhang B, Liu J, Huo Y, Cao S, Wu S, Wan Y, Xie X, Zeng L, Yan H, Dang S and Mi B (2023) Associations between long-term blood pressure trajectory and all-cause and CVD mortality among old people in China. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1157327. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1157327

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Wang, Zhang, Liu, Huo, Cao, Wu, Wan, Xie, Zeng, Yan, Dang and Mi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Associations between long-term blood pressure trajectory and all-cause and CVD mortality among old people in China

Huimeng Liu^{1,2}, Yutong Wang^{2,3,4}, Binyan Zhang^{2,3,4}, Jingchun Liu^{2,3,4}, Yating Huo^{2,3,4}, Suixia Cao^{2,3,4}, Shaowei Wu¹, Yong Wan⁵, Xinming Xie⁶, Lingxia Zeng^{2,3,4}, Hong Yan^{2,3,4}, Shaonong Dang^{2,3,4} and Baibing Mi^{2,3,4,5,6}*

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ²Key Laboratory for Disease Prevention and Control and Health Promotion of Shaanxi Province, Xi'an, China, ³Global Health Institute, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, China, ⁵Department of Geriatric Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁶Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

Background: Optimal blood pressure (BP) management strategy among the elderly remains controversial, with insufficient consideration of long-term BP trajectory. This study aimed to identify BP trajectory patterns as well as terminal BP trajectory among the Chinese elderly and to explore the relationships between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality.

Methods: We included 11,181 participants older than 60 at baseline (mean age, 80.98 ± 10.71) with 42,871 routine BP measurements from the Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey. Latent class trajectory analysis and Cox proportional hazard model were conducted to identify trajectory patterns and their associations with mortality. Furthermore, we also applied mixed-effects model to identify terminal BP trajectories among the elderly.

Results: Compared with stable at normal high level trajectory, excess systolic BP (SBP) trajectory with decreasing trend was associated with a 34% (HR = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.23– 1.45) higher risk of all-cause mortality. Considering the competing risk of non-CVD death, excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend had a more pronounced effect on CVD mortality, in which HR (95% CI) was 1.67 (1.17, 2.37). Similar results were also found in diastolic BP (DBP), pulse pressure (PP), and mean arterial pressure (MAP) trajectories. We further conducted a mixed-effects model and observed that SBP and PP trajectories first increased and began to decline slightly six years before death. In contrast, DBP and MAP showed continuous decline 15 years before death. **Conclusion:** Long-term BP trajectory was associated with all-cause mortality, especially CVD mortality. Keeping a stable BP over time may be an important way for CVD prevention among the elderly.

KEYWORDS

blood pressure trajectory, the elderly, all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, blood pressure trajectory before death

1. Introduction

Population aging has been recognized as one of the major challenges globally, including in China (1, 2). During the aging period, blood pressure (BP) dynamically changes during the aging process because of arterial stiffness and other age-related variation of blood vessels (3). It has been testified that these age-related BP changes were potent determinants of cardiovascular

disease (CVD) events and all-cause mortality (3). Dynamic BP management among the elderly, especially very old people, may be an effective strategy for reducing the risks of adverse health outcomes.

Long-term repeated BP measurements can better reveal the potential causation of cardiovascular disease's pathophysiological progression, but to date, most research has focused only on a single measurement. BP trajectory, usually identified by the latent class model, may provide additional value to CVD risk prediction and new sights in assessing life span BP variation because it can reflect longterm changes of individual BP over time and consider lifetime patterns such as starting levels, slope, and cumulative exposure (4, 5). Nevertheless, a majority of research considering BP trajectories was restricted to limited inclusion of the oldest-old participants or centenarians; therefore, most of those BP trajectories were at a high level or showed increasing patterns (6-11). For the very old elderly (usually older than 80 years old), terminal decline of BP is typical and has implications for risk assessment, BP monitoring, and study design (9). However, long-term BP trajectories and their patterns during the terminal period are little known among the Chinese elderly.

Regarding the relationship between BP and all-cause mortality among the elderly, recent studies left us with more confusion. Strategy of Blood Pressure Intervention in the Elderly Hypertensive Patients (STEP) found that intensive BP treatment, which controlled SBP at the range of 110-130 mmHg had no effect on the risk of allcause mortality (hazard ratio = 1.11, 95% CI: 0.78-1.56) (12), while in Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT), impressive benefits on death from any cause was observed (hazard ratio = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.61-0.92) in intensive treatment groups, in which target SBP was no more than 120 mmHg (13). It is worth mentioning that we should be more cautious when generalizing the results from SPRINT. For the elderly whose life expectancy is less than one year, with low Framingham risk score and high baseline SBP (at least 160 mmHg), intensive SBP treatment may not be a good choice (14, 15). Some observational studies suggested the cautious application of SBP less than 130 mmHg and observed a U or J shape relationship between BP and all-cause mortality (16, 17). These findings contradicted the hypothesis of "low the best" among the elderly and may somewhat explain the inconsistency between clinical trials and observational studies. Thus, there would seem to be a definite need for seeking further evidence on more consideration of the elderly health status and long-term trajectories of BP when making optimal management strategies.

Our study, based on the Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey (CLHLS), which could highly represent the oldest people in China (2), aimed to identify long-term BP trajectory as well as terminal BP trajectory. And further explore the associations of BP trajectories with all-cause mortality and CVD mortality among the Chines elderly who died after 60 years old.

2. Methods

2.1. Study sample

CLHLS is a nationwide, longitudinal cohort study covering half of the counties and cities randomly selected from 22 provinces in China. So far, it has conducted eight survey waves in 1998, 2000, 2002, 2005, 2008, 2011, 2014, and 2018 with high quality and representativeness (2). More details about the study design can be found elsewhere (18, 19). After death or loss to follow-up, new participants would be enrolled and matched with the same gender and age nearby (20). In the current study, 56,949 subjects from 8 waves of the CLHLS from 1999 to 2018 were initially included. We excluded participants with the following conditions: younger than 60 years old at baseline (N = 168), had missing data on the investigation date (N = 390), had outliers of BP measurements (systolic BP (SBP) < 40 mmHg or SBP > 250 mmHg; diastolic BP (DBP) < 40 mmHg or DBP >140, N = 2,115), had BP measurements less than three times during the follow-up period (N = 42,925) or had missing data on accurate death date (N = 170). Finally, 11,181 participants older than 60 years at baseline were involved in the subsequent analysis (Supplementary Figure S1).

The CLHLS study was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University, Beijing, China (IRB00001052-13074), and all participants or their proxy respondents have informed consent.

2.2. BP measurement and trajectories

BP measurements were conducted according to standard protocols by well-trained interviewers. Participants were measured for BP twice on the right arm by mercury sphygmomanometer (upper arm type; Yuyue, Jiangsu, China) after at least five minutes of rest. Bedbound participants were measured in a recumbent position. The mean value of the two measurements was used in further analysis. Pulse pressure (PP) was calculated as SBP-DBP, and mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated as (SBP + 2*DBP)/3 (16).

Given the dynamic BP changes among the elderly (9), there was a conceptual rationale to examine BP trajectories with age. We assumed that long-term BP change had several different and unobserved trajectories in the current study. Then, we used latent class trajectory analysis (LCTA) to identify longterm BP in a censored normal model with baseline BP as the risk factor (21). The procedure of trajectory identification was described in Supplementary Method S1. We tested the number of trajectory groups from 2-5, and used the following criteria to determine the optimal number of groups, and polynomial type (intercept, linear, or quadratic) of each trajectory: (1) satisfies Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), (2) each trajectory contains at least 5% predicted sample size, (3) average posterior probability greater than 70%, and (4) have the clinical meaning of each trajectory (21, 22). Finally, trajectory membership was recognized as an independent variable in the subsequent analyses. The fit indices and parameter estimation of 2-5 groups for BP trajectories were presented in Supplementary Tables S1, S2. Finally, three groups' distinct trajectories were identified in SBP, DBP, PP, and MAP (Figure 1).



2.3. Confounders assessment

Potential confounders were identified based on existing literature rather than deferring to statistical criteria (23, 24). We assessed demographic characteristics, socio-economic status, lifestyle behavior change, and health status (25, 26), which were reported from face-to-face interviews during each follow-up survey. Demographic characteristics and socio-economic variables included sex (male/female), ethnicity (Han nationality/others), average household income (<5,000/5,000-19,999/>20,000 yuan), place of residence (city/town/rural), main occupation before retired (professional and technical personnel governmental/ institutional or managerial personnel/agriculture, forest, animal husbandry/fishery worker/industrial worker/ commercial or service worker/military personnel/housework/others), marriage status (currently married and living with spouse/separated/ divorced/widowed/never married). Lifestyle behavior changes included regular exercise (yes/no), drinking, and smoking status, which were categorized according to the transition between the first visit and the last visit (non-smoker or drinker/ex-smoker or drinker/always smoking or drinking/current smoker or drinker). Health status included comorbidity and frail status. The current study defined comorbidity as the number of having the following chronic diseases during the follow-up period: hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, pulmonary diseases, tuberculosis, cancer, tumor, and Parkinson's disease. Frailty Index (FI), including 35 health deficits, was assessed at the first visit and calculated using the cumulative deficits-based standard method (27). More details can be found in **Supplementary Method S2**. Furthermore, the frail status was categorized into non-frail for those with FI \leq 0.1, pre-frail for 0.1 < FI \leq 0.21, and frail for FI > 0.21 (28). Because BP trajectories were identified over measurement age, we did not adjust for age further in the model. All confounders, except for behavior change, were assessed from the first interview.

2.4. Ascertainment of death

Participants' survival status was collected at each follow-up survey. Before the 2018 wave, for those who were interviewed in the previous wave, but died before the next survey, the death date and death cause were ascertained by the decedents' next-ofkin or village doctors (10). In the 2018 wave, the cause of death was ascertained during the follow-up survey in 2017–2018, and cardiovascular mortality was ascertained by ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) codes of I00-I99 (29, 30). The primary outcome was total mortality, and the secondary outcomes were death from CVD or non-CVD.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Demographic and health-related characteristics of the study participants were described across BP trajectories and presented as mean (standard derivation) for continuous variables and numbers (percentages) for categorical variables. ANOVA tested variance across BP trajectories for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables, respectively.

We used the Cox proportional hazard regression model to explore the associations between BP trajectories and the risk of all-cause mortality. For decedents, survival time was the study enrollment period to the date of death. Survival time was calculated from the enrollment date to the last visit date for survivors or censored people. We established four models to quantitatively assess the effect of BP trajectories on all-cause mortality. Model 1 was the crude model without confounder adjustment. Model 2 adjusted for demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of sex, ethnicity, baseline marriage status, baseline place of residence, main occupation before retirement, and average family income. In model 3, we additionally adjusted for lifestyle behaviors and health status including exercise at baseline, smoking status, drinking status, comorbidity status, and baseline frail status. Model 4 was additionally adjusted for baseline BP to identify the independent association between BP trajectories and mortality. Competing risk analysis with the cause-specific hazard model was used to compare the association with BP trajectories among CVD mortality and non-CVD mortality (31). To further explore the BP trajectory before death, we conducted a mixed-effects model with random intercept among decedents after adjusting for sex, BP measure age, and calendar year of the survey wave. The mixed-effects model accommodates the complexities of typical longitudinal data sets and is a valuable tool for explicating variation between and within subjects (32). Under BIC, we selected the best-fitted model from three mixed-effects models with linear, quadratic, and cubic items to identify the BP trajectories before death. We only included those who died within 15 years after enrollment in the study because of data availability. Detailed procedure and model selection were described in Supplementary Method S3.

Furthermore, we did analyses across sex, baseline place of residence, baseline age, smoking status, drinking status, frail status, and comorbidity subgroups. Sensitivity analyses included: (1) analyses on excluding bedridden participants, (2) multivariate imputation by chained equations (MICE) to reduce selection bias due to missing data on confounders (33) (more detailed

information on multiple imputations can be found in **Supplementary Method S4**).

All statistical tests were two-sided, and statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05. The analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. The patterns of BP trajectories and baseline characteristics

A total of 42,871 BP measurements were used to identify BP trajectories. Three SBP trajectories were identified (Figure 1A) based on BP levels and long-term dynamic changing trends. The first trajectory, characterized by stability at the normal high level of about 140 mmHg, was named the "stable at normal high level" trajectory (n = 5,239, 46.86%). The second trajectory, characterized by an increasing trend from 110 to 135 mmHg, was named the "increasing from normal-low" trajectory (n = 4,759, 42.56%). The third trajectory, characterized by constantly exceeding the normal BP range with decreasing trend from 170 to 140 mmHg was named the "excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend" (n = 1,183, 10.58%). The same trajectory pattern was also observed in DBP, PP, and MAP (Figure 1).

Compared with participants with a stable SBP trajectory at a normal high level, those who had an excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend were older, contained more females, had lower household income, included more non-smokers, and more non-drinkers, more frail people, and had more comorbidities (**Table 1**). Characteristics across DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories were similar to the SBP trajectories **Supplementary Tables S7–S9**).

3.2. Associations between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality

During the median follow-up of 8.4 (interquartile range, 6.1-11.0) years, 6,010 death occurred (mean death age 85.26 ± 9.66), and the overall death rate was 6.01 per 100 person-years. Supplementary Figure S2 demonstrated the cumulative survival rates of SBP, DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories. Cumulative survival probability was the lowest for those who had excess BP trajectories with decreasing trends. The results were similar for DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories. Table 2 showed the multi-variable adjusted association between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality. Compared with the stable at normal high level trajectory, excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend was associated with a 63% higher risk of all-cause mortality (HR = 1.63, 95% CI: 1.50-1.77) in the crude model, and this ratio was 34% [adjusted HR (aHR) = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.23-1.45] in model 3. The same results were also observed in the excess DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories with decreasing trend, which was associated with a 15% (aHR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.06-1.25), 30% (aHR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.19-1.42), and 27% (aHR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.17-1.37) higher risk of all-cause mortality, respectively. Meanwhile, we observed a protective effect of increasing from normal-low trajectories (aHR =

0.87, 95% CI: 0.82-0.92 for SBP trajectory, aHR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.88-0.98 for DBP trajectory, aHR = 0.89, 95% CI: 0.84-0.94 for PP trajectory, and aHR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.86-0.96 for MAP trajectory)

on all-cause mortality when compared with the stable at normal high-level trajectory. After additionally adjusting for baseline BP, the result remained unchangeable.

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of the study participants by SBP trajectories.

Characteristics	Stable at normal high level	Increasing from normal-low	Excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend	<i>P</i> -value ^a	
Number of participants (%)	5,239 (46.86)	4,759 (42.56)	1,183 (10.58)		
BP measurements n (%)	19,926 (46.48)	18,749 (43.73)	4,196 (9.79)		
Mean baseline age (SD)	81.06 (10.50)	79.76 (10.89)	84.68 (9.96)	<0.001	
Sex <i>n</i> (%)					
Male	2,428 (46.34)	2,236 (46.98)	456 (38.55)	<0.001	
Female	2,811 (53.66)	2,523 (53.02)	727 (61.45)		
Ethnicity n (%)					
Han nationality	2,201 (94.30)	7,118 (92.63)	984 (94.80)	< 0.001	
Others	275 (5.98)	335 (8.05)	54 (5.20)		
Average household income (yuan) n (%)					
Less than 5,000	1,650 (31.50)	1,263 (26.54)	464 (39.22)	<0.001	
5,000–19,999	1,598 (30.51)	1,547 (32.51)	356 (30.09)	_	
More than 20,000	1,990 (37.99)	1,948 (40.94)	363 (30.68)	_	
Baseline place of residence n (%)	,				
City	1,223 (23.34)	1,005 (21.12)	327 (27.64)	<0.001	
Town	1,673 (31.93)	1,167 (24.52)	471 (39.81)		
Rural	2,343 (44.72)	2,587 (54.36)	385 (32.54)	-	
	2,010 (11/2)	2,007 (0100)			
Main occupation before retirement n (%)	222 (4.25)	247 (5.20)	70 (5.02)	-0.001	
Professional and technical personnel	222 (4.25)	247 (5.20)	70 (5.93)	<0.001	
Governmental, institutional, or managerial personnel	195 (3.73)	170 (3.58)	37 (3.14)		
Agriculture, forest, animal husbandry Fishery worker	1,487 (28.48) 72 (1.38)	1,135 (23.91) 75 (1.58)	382 (32.37) 13 (1.10)	_	
Industrial worker	2,376 (45.51)	2,410 (50.77)	444 (37.63)	_	
Commercial or service worker	421 (8.06)	326 (6.87)	91 (7.71)		
Military personnel	31 (0.59)	320 (0.87)	8 (0.68)		
Housework	339 (6.49)	243 (5.12)	110 (9.32)		
Others	74 (1.42)	96 (2.02)	22 (1.86)		
	, 1 (1.12)	,0 (2.02)	22 (1.00)		
Marriage at baseline <i>n</i> (%)	2 000 (20 00)	1.052 (41.05)	224 (22.40)	0.001	
Currently married and living with spouse	2,088 (39.88)	1,953 (41.05)	384 (32.49)	<0.001	
Separated Divorced	100 (1.91)	122 (2.56)	17 (1.44)		
Widowed	21 (0.40)	30 (0.63)	7 (0.59) 762 (64.47)		
Never married	2,960 (56.53) 67 (1.28)	2,599 (54.62) 54 (1.13)	12 (1.02)	_	
	07 (1.20)	54 (1.15)	12 (1.02)		
Smoking status n (%)	2 0 2 (2 (0 ())			0.001	
Non-smokers	3,874 (74.04)	3,437 (72.34)	936 (79.39)	<0.001	
Ex-smokers	543 (10.38)	496 (10.44)	108 (9.16)	_	
Always smoking	643 (12.29)	639 (13.45)	113 (9.58)	_	
Current smoker	172 (3.29)	179 (3.77)	22 (1.87)		
Drinking status n (%)	a =0= (== ==)				
Non-drinkers	3,707 (70.88)	3,311 (69.76)	888 (75.32)	0.026	
Ex-drinkers	701 (13.40)	659 (13.89)	133 (11.28)	_	
Always drinking	550 (10.52)	511 (10.77)	105 (8.91)		
Current drinker	272 (5.20)	265 (5.58)	53 (4.50)		
Exercise at baseline <i>n</i> (%)					
Yes	1,799 (34.35)	1,670 (35.10)	395 (33.39)	0.499	
No	3,438 (65.65)	3,088 (64.90)	788 (66.61)		
Frailty status n (%)					
No frail	2,889 (55.14)	3,069 (64.49)	465 (39.31)	<0.001	
Pre frail	1,979 (37.77)	1,445 (30.36)	572 (48.35)		
Frail	371 (7.08)	245 (5.15)	146 (12.34)		

TABLE 1 Continued

Characteristics	Stable at normal high level	Increasing from normal-low	Excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend	<i>P</i> -value ^a
Comorbidity ^b n (%)				
No comorbidity	1,314 (25.08)	1,619 (34.02)	156 (13.19)	<0.001
1	1,694 (32.33)	1,581 (33.22)	428 (36.18)	
2	1,208 (23.06)	894 (18.79)	344 (29.08)	
More than and equal to 3	1,023 (19.53)	665 (13.97)	255 (21.56)	
CVD death n (%)	152 (2.90)	114 (2.40)	55 (4.65)	<0.001

CVD, cardiovascular disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

P-value <0.05 was highlighted in bold.

^aANOVA was used to test variance across BP trajectories for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables.

^bNumber of following chronic diseases during the follow-up period: hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease, pulmonary diseases, tuberculosis, cancer, tumor, Parkinson.

In subgroup analyses for SBP trajectory, a significant interaction with SBP trajectory was only found in the subgroup of living areas (P for interaction = 0.004, Figure 2), which suggested that the associations between SBP trajectories and the risk of all-cause mortality were consistent in other subgroups. The associations of DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories and all-cause mortality by subgroups were shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

3.3. Associations between BP trajectories and CVD mortality

In this study, we observed 321 (2.5%) deaths attributed to CVD. Survival status by sex, age, and ethnic groups was presented in **Supplementary Table S10**. It showed that the survival status had no variance among sex, and the prevalence of CVD mortality was higher among the older participants and Han participants. We further considered the competing risk of

non-CVD death when investigating the associations between BP trajectories and CVD mortality (**Table 3**). Compared with the stable trajectory, excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend increased the cause-specific hazard of CVD death by 67%, and non-CVD death by 32%, which showed a more pronounced effect on the cause-specific hazard of CVD death than non-CVD death. The same results were found in PP, and MAP trajectories. However, after adjusting for baseline BP, the associations between BP trajectories and CVD mortality became insignificant, except for PP trajectories.

3.4. BP trajectories 15 years before death

Among 6,010 decedents, we further explored the 15-year BP trajectories prior to death by a mixed-effects model (Figure 3). SBP and PP represented similar trajectories with a slight increase and then began to decline six years before death. SBP trajectories

TABLE 2 Association of blood pressure trajectory and all-cause mortality.

TABLE 2 Association of blood pressure disjectory and directory end directory.								
Blood pressure trajectories	Model 1 ^a HR (95%Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	Model 2 ^b HR (95%Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	Model 3 ^c HR (95%Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	Model 4 ^d HR (95%Cl)	<i>P</i> -value
SBP trajectory	SBP trajectory							
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Increasing from normal-low	0.81 (0.77,0.86)	<0.001	0.90 (0.86,0.96)	<0.001	0.87 (0.82,0.92)	<0.001	0.85 (0.78,0.92)	<0.001
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.63 (1.50,1.77)	<0.001	1.32 (1.22,1.44)	<0.001	1.34 (1.23,1.45)	<0.001	1.39 (1.23,1.55)	<0.001
DBP trajectory								
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Increasing from normal-low	0.89 (0.84,0.94)	<0.001	0.96 (0.90,1.01)	0.116	0.93 (0.88,0.98)	0.008	0.78 (0.72,0.85)	<0.001
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.29 (1.19,1.41)	<0.001	1.15 (1.06,1.26)	0.001	1.15 (1.06,1.25)	0.002	1.46 (1.30,1.63)	<0.001
PP trajectory								
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Increasing from normal-low	0.78 (0.74,0.82)	<0.001	0.89 (0.85,0.94)	<0.001	0.89 (0.84,0.94)	<0.001	0.98 (0.90,1.06)	0.600
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.48 (1.36,1.62)	<0.001	1.28 (1.17,1.40)	<0.001	1.30 (1.19,1.42)	<0.001	1.15 (1.02,1.30)	0.024
MAP trajectory								
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Increasing from normal-low	0.88 (0.84,0.94)	<0.001	0.94 (0.89,0.99)	0.027	0.91 (0.86,0.96)	0.001	0.81 (0.75,0.88)	<0.001
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.49 (1.39,1.61)	<0.001	1.24 (1.15,1.34)	<0.001	1.27 (1.17,1.37)	<0.001	1.48 (1.33,1.65)	<0.001

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

^aModel 1 was the crude model.

^bModel 2 was adjusted for sex, ethnicity, baseline marriage status, baseline place of residence, main occupation before retirement, and average family income.

^cModel 3 was additionally adjusted for exercise at baseline, smoking status, drinking status, comorbidity status, and frail status based on Model 2.

^dModel 4 was additionally adjusted for baseline BP based on Model 3.

P-value <0.05 was highlighted in bold.



presented the same trend in different sex, frail status, and death age groups (Supplementary Figures S4–S6). We also observed that, for those who died older than 100 years old, the estimated 15-year SBP trajectories before death were less fluctuating, consistent with our results showing a beneficial effect of stable BP for all-cause mortality. In addition, DBP and MAP showed approximately linear decline 15 years before death.

3.5. Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses tested the robustness of the associations between uncontrolled BP trajectories and all-cause mortality and CVD mortality. After excluding bedridden participants and conducting multiple imputations, we observed similar results, which showed the robustness of our study (Supplementary Tables S11, S12).

4. Discussion

In this nationwide prospective cohort study among the elderly in China, we found that long-term excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend was associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality and CVD mortality compared with stable at normal high level trajectory. Similar results were also found in DBP, PP, and MAP trajectories. Furthermore, for the decedents, we observed that SBP and PP trajectories increased and then declined six years before death slightly. While DBP and MAP trajectories showed continuous decline 15 years prior to death. These results indicated that long-term excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend might be a risk factor for death from any cause, especially death caused by CVD. Meanwhile, independent associations were observed among excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend and all-cause mortality regardless of baseline BP levels. The attention to long-term BP trajectories should be raised among the elderly.

4.1. Associations between BP trajectories and risk of mortality

Till now, randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and observational studies have given different answers to BP control strategy among the oldest people and have not reached an agreement yet (17, 34). Unlike current studies, our results focused on the longterm BP trajectories and provided evidence of a long-term dynamic BP monitoring strategy. The associations between excess BP with decreasing trend and mortality might be attributed to lasting excess BP exposure, which has been well explored in previous studies. Analysis based on two extensive cohort studies (Health and Retirement Study, median age: 65 years old, and English Longitudinal Study of Ageing, median age: 62 years old) reported that larger cumulative SBP and PP were independently associated with faster cognitive decline and higher all-cause mortality (35). As for single measurement, a nationwide population-based cohort study included 374,250 participants older than 75 years old in Korea found that SBP higher than 170 mmHg had a 17% (HR:1.17, 95% CI: 1.13-1.21) higher risk of mortality compared with SBP at 120-129 mmHg (17). A community-dwelling octogenarians' study in Shanghai also found

Blood pressure	Model 1 ^ª HR (95%Cl)		Model 2 ^b HR (95%Cl)		Model 3 ^c HR (95%Cl)		Model 4 ^d HR (95%Cl)			
trajectories	CVD mortality	Non-CVD mortality	CVD mortality	Non-CVD mortality	CVD mortality	Non-CVD mortality	CVD mortality	Non-CVD mortality		
SBP trajectory	SBP trajectory									
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Increasing from normal- low	0.68 (0.53,0.87)	0.82 (0.78,0.87)	0.76 (0.59,0.98)	0.91 (0.86,0.97)	0.81 (0.63,1.05)	0.87 (0.82,0.92)	0.88 (0.61,1.25)	0.85 (0.78,0.92)		
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	2.35 (1.72,3.20)	1.59 (1.46,1.73)	1.79 (1.26,2.53)	1.30 (1.19,1.42)	1.67 (1.17,2.37)	1.32 (1.21,1.44)	1.49 (0.91,2.44)	1.38 (1.22,1.55)		
DBP trajectory										
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Increasing from normal- low	0.86 (0.67,1.09)	0.89 (0.84,0.94)	0.95 (0.73,1.22)	0.96 (0.90,1.01)	0.95 (0.74,1.23)	0.93 (0.87,0.98)	0.86 (0.61,1.22)	0.78 (0.72,0.84)		
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.54 (1.09,2.16)	1.28 (1.17,1.39)	1.53 (1.07,2.18)	1.13 (1.04,1.24)	1.32 (0.92,1.90)	1.14 (1.04,1.25)	1.53 (0.93,2.50)	1.45 (1.29,1.63)		
PP trajectory										
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Increasing from normal- low	0.59 (0.46,0.75)	0.79 (0.75,0.83)	0.66 (0.52,0.85)	0.91 (0.86,0.96)	0.70 (0.54,0.90)	0.90 (0.85,0.96)	0.62 (0.43,0.89)	1.00 (0.92,1.09)		
Excess SBP trajectory with decreasing trend	2.30 (1.68,3.16)	1.44 (1.32,1.57)	1.73 (1.20,2.48)	1.26 (1.15,1.38)	1.70 (1.18,2.45)	1.28 (1.16,1.40)	2.00 (1.21,3.31)	1.11 (0.98,1.26)		
MAP trajectory										
Stable at normal high level	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Increasing under control	0.76 (0.59,0.98)	0.89 (0.84,0.94)	0.85 (0.65,1.11)	0.94 (0.89,1.00)	0.87 (0.67,1.14)	0.91 (0.86,0.97)	0.85 (0.59,1.21)	0.81 (0.74,0.88)		
Uncontrolled excess MAP trajectory with decreasing trend	1.98 (1.48,2.64)	1.47 (1.36,1.58)	1.67 (1.22,2.30)	1.22 (1.13,1.32)	1.49 (1.07,2.06)	1.25 (1.16,1.36)	1.54 (0.97,2.45)	1.47 (1.32,1.65)		

TABLE 3 Hazard ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from cause-specific hazard models for CVD and non-CVD mortality.

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

P-value <0.05 was highlighted in bold.

^aModel 1 was the crude model.

^bModel 2 was adjusted for sex, ethnicity, baseline marriage status, baseline place of residence, main occupation before retirement, and average family income.

^cModel 3 was additionally adjusted for exercise at baseline, smoking status, drinking status, comorbidity status, and frail status based on Model 2.

^dModel 4 was additionally adjusted for baseline BP based on Model 3.

that compared with SBP at 117–145 mmHg, higher SBP was associated with a 34% higher risk of all-cause mortality (36).

As for decreasing BP, it may be common among those who expose to a high risk of adverse health outcomes, such as heart failure, undernutrition, orthostatic hypertension, and frailty, thus the associations between decreasing BP and mortality may attribute to reverse causality (37). However, some existing studies also supported that BP decline and dramatic BP variation were associated with a higher risk of mortality (38-40). In our study, we found that excess BP trajectories with decreasing trends may associate with a higher risk of mortality, which could be reasonable. A previous study using CLHLS dataset has found a significant increase in hypertension prevalence among the Chinese oldest-old from 1998 to 2014. The mean SBP level decreased from 148.4 ± 24.4 mmHg in 1998 to $130.8 \pm$ 18.7 mmHg in 2005, and then increased to 139.7 ± 22.0 mmHg in 2014 (41). For some old participants, lasting excess BP at relative high level could be possible. Meanwhile, during the aging period, comorbidity, frailty, and other health conditions may decrease BP level. Along with our result that BP began to decline six years prior to death, we believe that it could be reasonable in identifying the BP trajectory with the combination of excess BP and BP decline among the very old people in China. More further studies are needed to explore whether BP variations enhanced or diminish the associations between long-term excess BP and mortality.

It has been well demonstrated that both very low and very high BP is associated with a higher risk of CVD events (41, 42). A *post hoc* observational analysis of a multicenter trial involving 20,330 patients (age >50) found that compared with high-normal (130–<140 mm Hg) SBP, SBP levels during follow-up in the very normal-low (<120 mm Hg), high (140-<150 mm Hg), or very high (\geq 150 mm Hg) range were associated with 29% (HR = 1.29, 95%CI: 1.07–1.56), 23% (HR = 1.23; 95% CI: 1.07–1.41), 108% (HR = 2.08; 95% CI: 1.83–2.37) increased risk of the first occurrence of stroke (42). According to experience, it is difficult to increase BP among the very old elderly, therefore, our findings may suggest the benefit of long-term stable BP although at a normal high level.

Baseline BP was one of the most important factors that should be taken into consideration in CVD prevention (43). We found that the associations between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality were robust regardless of the baseline BP, while



baseline BP may be an important factor when considering the associations between long-term BP trajectories and CVD mortality. A previous multicenter registry study in China found that having a baseline SBP \leq 110 mmHg or DBP <70 mmHg was associated with a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality in patients with AF (44). Future studies are needed to testify the role of baseline BP played in the associations between long-term BP trajectories and mortality.

4.2. Terminal BP decline among the Chinese elderly

The terminal decline was typical among the elderly and may somewhat explain the negative association between decreasing trajectory and death among the elderly (45). Our results were similar to a prospective cohort study using data from UK electronic health records (including 144,403 participants older than 80 years old), which found a terminal decline of SBP in the final two years of life (46). However, the variation of BP decline was milder among the Chinese elderly. The possible reason may be differences in meditation strategies, diet patterns, and race between the Chinese and British. Previous studies could better explain the different trajectory patterns of SBP and DBP before death (9). It has been demonstrated that with increasing age, PP and SBP become stronger predictors of coronary heart disease than DBP (47). Meanwhile, previous studies showed that SBP and PP increased in the early old and then decreased in later life, but DBP and MAP showed stabilized declines after 60 years old (10), which were consistent with the patterns of terminal BP trajectories in our study. Terminal BP decline may have potential implications for disease monitoring, risk estimation, and trail design.

Although the potential mechanisms of terminal BP decline have not been well explored, they could be partly explained by the following reasons. First, terminal BP decline may result from cardiovascular and neurological comorbidities, weight loss, dehydration, and polypharmacy and may be a marker of poor health for the elderly. Long-term excess BP and large variation among the elderly may impair endothelial function, lead to inadequate tissue perfusion, and damage cardiac, vascular, tissues, and organs (48, 49). For cardiovascular morbidity such as heart failure, left ventricle systolic dysfunction was associated with BP decreasing (50). Second, excessive antihypertension medications during later life may exist among the Chinese elderly, which may lead to a terminal BP decline. Last but not least, excess mortality of hypertensive left survivors with relatively lower BP.

4.3. Strengths and limitations

Our study had several strengths. This analysis was based on a high-quality and nationwide representative sample of the oldest old people in China, which guaranteed the robustness of the results. Furthermore, we used BP trajectory other than a single BP measurement to capture better dynamic changing patterns of BP, which could provide additional values to long-term BP monitoring among the elderly.

However, several limitations of our study merit mention. First, the study showed that long-term excess BP trajectory with decreasing trend was associated with a higher risk of all-cause and CVD mortality, but whether BP variations enhanced or diminish the associations between long-term excess BP and mortality remains unclear. Second, because of data unavailability, we could not adjust for other potential confounders such as antihypertensive meditation and biochemistry indicators (such as total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, et al.). Missing information on anti-hypertension meditation may overestimate the association between BP trajectory and mortality. Third, the unavailability of treatment for hypertension and/or other comorbidities such as diabetes, and dementia made it difficult to explore the potential role of anti-hypertension treatment in the associations between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality. Further studies are needed to address this gap. Forth, CVD mortality in CLHLS was underestimated because of the data collection methods (51), which might underestimate the association between BP trajectories and CVD mortality. And data on the specific type of CVD mortality was unavailable, so the associations between BP trajectories and types of CVD mortality remain unclear. Further studies also need to explore CVD-specific mortality by age and sex and identify the role of age and sex played in the association between BP trajectories and CVD-specific mortality. Fifth, selection bias may exist because we only included participants with at least three BP measurements. People with low adherence, such as frail people, were excluded, which may obscure the true relationship between BP trajectories and mortality. But our results were robust when excluded bedridden participants in the sensitivity analysis. What's more, recall bias and wrong proxy answers might exist in reporting death time and some confounders. And some potential confounders that affect the associations between BP trajectories and all-cause mortality may not be adjusted in the model based on the current literature.

5. Conclusion

In the nationwide prospective cohort study, excess BP trajectories with decreasing trend (including SBP, DBP, PP, and MAP), which decreased from an extremely high level and constantly exceeded the normal range, were all found to be associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality and CVD mortality when compared with stable at normal high-level trajectories. Moreover, terminal SBP/PP trajectories began to decline slightly six years before death, while DBP/MAP showed stabilized decline among Chinese elderly who died older than 60.

According to experience, it is difficult to increase BP among the very old elder, therefore, our findings may suggest the benefit of long-term stable BP although at a normal high level. Timely and suitable BP control management among the elderly is urgently needed. And random clinical trials considering frail status, meditation, terminal BP decline ect, are needed to testify these results further and promote optimal BP management strategies for the elderly.

Data availability statement

The datasets analysed for this study can be found in the Peking University Open Research Data Platform (https://opendata.pku. edu.cn/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.18170/DVN/WBO7LK).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University, Beijing, China (IRB00001052-13074). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

BM, HY, SD, and HL designed the research. HL and YW analyzed the data. HL wrote the paper. BM and HL had primary responsibility for the final content. BZ, JL, YH, SC, SW, YW, XX, LZ, HY, and SD provided additional interpretation of the data and revisions to the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Number: 82103944), the National Key R&D Program of China [Grant Number: 2017YFC0907200, 2017YFC0907201], the Science and Technology Resources Open Sharing Platform of the Shaanxi Province (Grant Number: 2023-CX-PT-47) and the Integration of Basic and Clinical Science Project of School of Basic Medical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong University (Grant Number. YXJLRH2022034).

Acknowledgments

The authors warmly thank the organizer and members of the Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Partridge L, Deelen J, Slagboom PE. Facing up to the global challenges of ageing. *Nature*. (2018) 561(7721):45–56. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0457-8

2. Zeng Y, Feng Q, Hesketh T, Christensen K, Vaupel JW. Survival, disabilities in activities of daily living, and physical and cognitive functioning among the oldestold in China: a cohort study. *Lancet.* (2017) 389(10079):1619–29. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(17)30548-2

3. Benetos A, Petrovic M, Strandberg T. Hypertension management in older and frail older patients. *Circ Res.* (2019) 124(7):1045-60. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA. 118.313236

4. Nuotio J, Suvila K, Cheng S, Langen V, Niiranen T. Longitudinal blood pressure patterns and cardiovascular disease risk. *Ann Med.* (2020) 52(3-4):43-54. doi: 10. 1080/07853890.2020.1733648

5. Jones BL, Nagin DS. Advances in group-based trajectory modeling and an SAS procedure for estimating them. *Sociol Methods Res.* (2007) 35(4):542–71. doi: 10. 1177/0049124106292364

6. Portegies ML, Mirza SS, Verlinden VJ, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Swanson SA, et al. Mid- to late-life trajectories of blood pressure and the risk of stroke: the rotterdam study. *Hypertension*. (2016) 67(6):1126–32. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.07098

 Li W, Jin C, Vaidya A, Wu Y, Rexrode K, Zheng X, et al. Blood pressure trajectories and the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage and cerebral infarction: a prospective study. *Hypertension*. (2017) 70(3):508–14. doi: 10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09479

8. Tielemans SM, Geleijnse JM, Menotti A, Boshuizen HC, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Jacobs DR Jr, et al. Ten-year blood pressure trajectories, cardiovascular mortality, and life years lost in 2 extinction cohorts: the Minnesota business and professional men study and the Zutphen study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2015) 4(3):e001378. doi: 10. 1161/JAHA.114.001378

9. Delgado J, Bowman K, Ble A, Masoli J, Han Y, Henley W, et al. Blood Pressure Trajectories in the 20 Years Before Death. (2018) 178(1):93–9. doi: 10.1001/ jamainternmed.2017.7023

10. Wang R, Vetrano DL, Liang Y, Qiu C. The age-related blood pressure trajectories from young-old adults to centenarians: a cohort study. *Int J Cardiol.* (2019) 296:141–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.08.011

11. Cheng S, Xanthakis V, Sullivan LM, Vasan RS. Blood pressure tracking over the adult life course: patterns and correlates in the Framingham heart study. *Hypertension*. (2012) 60(6):1393–9. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112. 201780

12. Zhang W, Zhang S, Deng Y, Wu S, Ren J, Sun G, et al. Trial of intensive bloodpressure control in older patients with hypertension. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 385 (14):1268–79. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2111437

13. Park JB, Kario K, Wang JG. Systolic hypertension: an increasing clinical challenge in Asia. *Hypertens Res.* (2015) 38(4):227–36. doi: 10.1038/hr.2014.169

14. Chen T, Shao F, Chen K, Wang Y, Wu Z, Wang Y, et al. Time to clinical benefit of intensive blood pressure lowering in patients 60 years and older with hypertension: a secondary analysis of randomized clinical trials. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2022) 182 (6):660–7. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.1657

15. Pan HY, Lin HJ, Chen WJ, Wang TD. Increased mortality with intensive control in patients with higher baseline SBP and lower Framingham risk. *J Hypertens*. (2022) 40(5):978–84. doi: 10.1097/HJH.00000000003100

 Lv Y-B, Gao X, Yin Z-X, Chen H-S, Luo J-S, Brasher MS, et al. Revisiting the association of blood pressure with mortality in oldest old people in China: community based, longitudinal prospective study. *Br Med J.* (2018) 361:k2158-k. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k2158 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2023. 1157327/full#supplementary-material

17. Song MS, Choi YJ, Kim H, Nam MJ, Lee CW, Han K, et al. Relationship between blood pressure levels and ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction, and mortality in very elderly patients taking antihypertensives: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *BMC Geriatr.* (2021) 21(1):620. doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02570-7

18. Zeng Y, Feng Q, Hesketh T, Christensen K, Vaupel JW. Survival, disabilities in activities of daily living, and physical and cognitive functioning among the oldest-old in China: a cohort study. Lancet (London. *England.* (2017) 389(10079):1619–29. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30548-2

19. Yi Z, Poston DL, Vlosky DA, Gu D. Healthy Longevity in China Demographic, Socioeconomic, and Psychological Dimensions (2008).

20. Zeng Y. Towards deeper research and better policy for healthy aging –using the unique data of Chinese longitudinal healthy longevity survey. *China Economic J.* (2012) 5(2-3):131–49. doi: 10.1080/17538963.2013.764677

21. Lennon H, Kelly S, Sperrin M, Buchan I, Cross AJ, Leitzmann M, et al. Framework to construct and interpret latent class trajectory modelling. *BMJ Open*. (2018) 8(7):e020683. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020683

22. Berlin KS, Williams NA, Parra GR. An introduction to latent variable mixture modeling (part 1): overview and cross-sectional latent class and latent profile analyses. *J Pediatr Psychol.* (2014) 39(2):174–87. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jst084

23. Hernán MA, Hernández-Díaz S, Werler MM, Mitchell AA. Causal knowledge as a prerequisite for confounding evaluation: an application to birth defects epidemiology. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2002) 155(2):176–84. doi: 10.1093/aje/155.2.176

24. Lee PH. Should we adjust for a confounder if empirical and theoretical criteria yield contradictory results? A simulation study. *Sci Rep.* (2014) 4:6085. doi: 10.1038/ srep06085

25. Tielemans S, Geleijnse JM, Laughlin GA, Boshuizen HC, Barrett-Connor E, Kromhout D. Blood pressure trajectories in relation to cardiovascular mortality: the rancho bernardo study. *J Hum Hypertens*. (2017) 31(8):515–9. doi: 10.1038/jhh. 2017.20

26. Tran J, Norton R, Canoy D, Ayala Solares JR, Conrad N, Nazarzadeh M, et al. Multi-morbidity and blood pressure trajectories in hypertensive patients: a multiple landmark cohort study. *PLoS Med.* (2021) 18(6):e1003674. doi: 10.1371/journal. pmed.1003674

27. Searle SD, Mitnitski A, Gahbauer EA, Gill TM, Rockwood K. A standard procedure for creating a frailty index. *BMC Geriatr.* (2008) 8:24. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-8-24

28. Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Limits to deficit accumulation in elderly people. *Mech Ageing Dev.* (2006) 127(5):494–6. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2006.01.002

29. Liu L, Chen C, Lo K, Huang J, Yu Y, Huang Y, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, frailty, and mortality among the Chinese oldest old: results from the CLHLS study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* (2021) 31(9):2707–15. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2021.05.033

30. Ji JS, Zhu A, Bai C, Wu CD, Yan L, Tang S, et al. Residential greenness and mortality in oldest-old women and men in China: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2019) 3(1):e17–25. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30264-X

31. Austin PC, Lee DS, Fine JP. Introduction to the analysis of survival data in the presence of competing risks. *Circulation*. (2016) 133(6):601–9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017719

32. Davis CS. Statistical methods for the analysis of repeated measurements. 1 ed: New York, NY: Springer (2002). XXIV, 416 p.

33. van Buuren S, Boshuizen HC, Knook DL. Multiple imputation of missing blood pressure covariates in survival analysis. *Stat Med.* (1999) 18(6):681–94. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0258(19990330)18:6<681::AID-SIM71>3.0.CO;2-R

34. Williamson JD, Supiano MA, Applegate WB, Berlowitz DR, Campbell RC, Chertow GM, et al. Intensive vs standard blood pressure control and cardiovascular

disease outcomes in adults aged ≥75 years: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. (2016) 315(24):2673–82. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.7050

35. Li C, Zhu Y, Ma Y, Hua R, Zhong B, Xie W. Association of cumulative blood pressure with cognitive decline, dementia, and mortality. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2022) 79(14):1321–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.01.045

36. Wang Y, Chen L, Cheng M, Wang Y, An D, Cai E, et al. Predictive value of attended automated office blood pressure and resting pulse rate for mortality in community-dwelling octogenarians: minhang study. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich).* (2021) 23(6):1239–45. doi: 10.1111/jch.14251

37. Georgianos PI, Agarwal R. Blood pressure and mortality in long-term hemodialysis-time to move forward. Am J Hypertens. (2017) 30(3):211–22. doi: 10. 1093/ajh/hpw114

38. Stevens SL, Wood S, Koshiaris C, Law K, Glasziou P, Stevens RJ, et al. Blood pressure variability and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br Med J.* (2016) 354:i4098. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4098

39. Cheng G, He S, He Q, Xie X, Tang C, Xie Q, et al. Trajectory patterns of blood pressure change up to six years and the risk of dementia: a nationwide cohort study. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2021) 13(13):17380-406. doi: 10.18632/aging. 203228

40. Smitson CC, Scherzer R, Shlipak MG, Psaty BM, Newman AB, Sarnak MJ, et al. Association of blood pressure trajectory with mortality, incident cardiovascular disease, and heart failure in the cardiovascular health study. *Am J Hypertens.* (2017) 30(6):587–93. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpx028

41. Böhm M, Schumacher H, Teo KK, Lonn EM, Mahfoud F, Mann JFE, et al. Achieved blood pressure and cardiovascular outcomes in high-risk patients: results from ONTARGET and TRANSCEND trials. *Lancet.* (2017) 389(10085):2226–37. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30754-7

42. Ovbiagele B, Diener HC, Yusuf S, Martin RH, Cotton D, Vinisko R, et al. Level of systolic blood pressure within the normal range and risk of recurrent stroke. *JAMA*. (2011) 306(19):2137-44. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.1650

43. Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet.* (2016) 387(10022):957–67. doi: 10. 1016/S0140-6736(15)01225-8

44. Xu W, Song Q, Zhang H, Wang J, Shao X, Wu S, et al. Impact of baseline blood pressure on all-cause mortality in patients with atrial fibrillation: results from a multicenter registry study. *Chin Med J (Engl).* (2023) 136(6):683–9. doi: 10.1097/CM9.0000000002627

45. Satish S, Zhang DD, Goodwin JS. Clinical significance of falling blood pressure among older adults. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2001) 54(9):961–7. doi: 10.1016/S0895-4356 (01)00360-2

46. Ravindrarajah R, Hazra NC, Hamada S, Charlton J, Jackson SHD, Dregan A, et al. Systolic blood pressure trajectory, frailty, and all-cause mortality >80 years of age: cohort study using electronic health records. *Circulation*. (2017) 135 (24):2357–68. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026687

47. Franklin SS, Larson MG, Khan SA, Wong ND, Leip EP, Kannel WB, et al. Does the relation of blood pressure to coronary heart disease risk change with aging? The framingham heart study. *Circulation*. (2001) 103(9):1245–9. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR. 103.9.1245

48. Diaz KM, Veerabhadrappa P, Kashem MA, Feairheller DL, Sturgeon KM, Williamson ST, et al. Relationship of visit-to-visit and ambulatory blood pressure variability to vascular function in African Americans. *Hypertens Res.* (2012) 35 (1):55–61. doi: 10.1038/hr.2011.135

49. Mancia G. Short- and long-term blood pressure variability: present and future. *Hypertension*. (2012) 60(2):512–7. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.112.194340

50. Guan Z, Liu S, Wang Y, Meng P, Zheng X, Jia D, et al. Left ventricular systolic dysfunction potentially contributes to the symptoms in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Echocardiography.* (2019) 36(10):1825–33. doi: 10.1111/echo.14496

51. Yi Z, Poston DL, Vlosky DA, Gu D. Healthy longevity in China: demographic, socioeconomic, and psychological dimensions. (2008).