Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Junhong Wang, Nanjing Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Jing Shi, Nanjing Medical University, China Yang Yang, Nanjing Medical University, China Katsuhiro Hosoyama, Tohoku University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Minjuan Zheng Zhengmj@fmmu.edu.cn Liwen Liu liuliwen@fmmu.edu.cn

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 12 October 2023 ACCEPTED 02 January 2024 PUBLISHED 15 January 2024

CITATION

Ma H, Gong W, Lim DS, Li J, Ta S, Hu R, Li X, Zheng M and Liu L (2024) Echocardiographyguided percutaneous intramyocardial alginate hydrogel implants for heart failure: canine models with 6-month outcomes. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1320315. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1320315

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ma, Gong, Lim, Li, Ta, Hu, Li, Zheng and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Echocardiography-guided percutaneous intramyocardial alginate hydrogel implants for heart failure: canine models with 6-month outcomes

Hui Ma^{1†}, Wenqing Gong^{1†}, D. Scott Lim^{2†}, Jing Li¹, Shengjun Ta¹, Rui Hu¹, Xiaojuan Li¹, Minjuan Zheng^{1*} and Liwen Liu^{1*}

¹Xijing Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Center, Department of Ultrasound, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, ²Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, United States

Background: Echocardiography-guided percutaneous intramyocardial alginatehydrogel implantation (PIMAHI) is a novel treatment approach for heart failure (HF). We validated PIMAHI safety and efficacy in canine HF models.

Methods: Fourteen canines with HF [produced by coronary artery ligation, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 35%] were randomised to PIMAHI treatment (n = 8) or controls (n = 6). Echocardiography, two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography, and pathological examinations after a 6-month follow-up were performed. Repeated-measures analysis of variance was used for within-group comparisons.

Results: At 6-month follow-up, PIMAHI treatment reversed LV dilation and remodelling, increasing LV free wall thickness (LVFW, p = 0.002) and interventricular septum thickness (IVS, p < 0.001) and reducing LV end-diastolic volume (EDV, p = 0.008) and end-systolic volume (ESV, p = 0.004). PIMAHI significantly improved LV systolic function, increasing LVEF (EF, p = 0.004); enhanced LV myocardial contractility, including increased LV global longitudinal strain (GLS, p < 0.001), global circumferential strain (GCS, p = 0.006), and mitral annulus displacement (MAD, p = 0.001). Compared with controls at 6-month, PIMAHI group significantly increased LVFW thickness (8.5 \pm 0.3 vs. 6.8 \pm 0.2 mm, p = 0.002) and IVS (7.9 ± 0.1 vs. 6.1 ± 0.2 mm, p < 0.001); decreased LVEDV $(30.1 \pm 1.6 \text{ vs. } 38.9 \pm 4.5 \text{ ml}, p = 0.049)$ and ESV $(17.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs. } 28.7 \pm 3.6 \text{ ml}, p = 0.049)$ 0.004); increased LV systolic function (42.7 ± 1.5 vs. $26.7 \pm 1.1\%$ in EF, p = 0.001); and enhanced LV myocardial contractility including GLS (13.5 ± 0.8 vs. $8.4 \pm$ 0.6%, p = 0.002), GCS (16.5 ± 1.4 vs. 9.2 ± 0.6%, p = 0.001), and MAD (11.4 ± 3.5vs 4.6 ± 2.5 mm, p = 0.003). During PIMAHI treatment, no sustained arrhythmia, pericardial, or pleural effusion occurred.

Conclusions: PIMAHI in canine HF models was safe and effective. It reversed LV dilation and improved LV function.

Abbreviations

HF, heart failure; AHI, alginate hydrogel implant; PIMAHI, percutaneous intramyocardial alginate hydrogel implant; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography; STI, peckle tracking imaging; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; EDWT, end-diastolic wall thickness; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain; MAD, mitral annulus displacement; LV, left ventricle; ECG, Electrocardiography; IVS, interventricular septum; FW, free wall; AWM, anterior wall motion; PWM, posterior wall motion; ESV, end-systolic volume; EDV, end-diastolic volume; DT, deceleration time; MR, mitral regurgitation; SV, stroke volume; EDSI, end-diastole sphericity index; TT, tissue tracking; HE, haematoxylin-eosin; SERCA–1, sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase-1.

KEYWORDS

percutaneous intramyocardial alginate hydrogels implants, heart failure, animal models, left ventricular function, myocardial contractility



1 Introduction

Heart failure (HF) remains a major health problem worldwide and is associated with high mortality and morbidity (1-3). Although patients with HF have improved outcomes with recent advances in drug and device therapy, an estimated 1%–10% of the overall HF population still progress to an advanced stage of the disease, with 5-year mortality rates exceeding 50% (4, 5). As HF progresses, the heart undergoes progressive enlargement of the left ventricle (LV), and its shape transforms from elliptical to one that approximates a sphere with reduced LV systolic function. Improving LV remodelling and LV systolic dysfunction alleviates symptoms and improves the quality of life and prognosis (6, 7).

Alginate is a polysaccharide that occurs naturally in the cell wall of algae and bacterial capsule of Azotobacter sp. and Pseudomonas sp. Because of alginate capability of forming hydrogel, biocompatible, non-toxic materials and nonimmunogenic, both alginate and alginate composite have been used for various biomedical applications. Alginate is a natural cytoskeleton with excellent spatial support/filling mechanical properties (8). In several previous studies, direct alginate hydrogel implants (AHI) into the LV wall have been demonstrated to improve the indices of LV systolic function in animal models with advanced HF and to be more effective than standard medical therapy alone for improving exercise capacity and symptoms in patients with advanced chronic HF (9, 10). Previously, AHI was performed with concomitant cardiac surgeries via an open chest procedures. If the hydrogel can be delivered percutaneously, this therapy may become a routine treatment for patients with HF, particularly those who are at high risk for open surgery (11). We developed a novel minimally invasive percutaneous intramyocardial alginate hydrogel implant (PIMAHI) guided by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) into the LV free-wall, providing a new approach for treating HF with AHI. This study aimed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a circumferential implant alginate hydrogel using this new minimally invasive approach in canine models of HF.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Canine HF models

The local animal ethics committee for research animal care (ethical approval number: 2019120501) approved this study, which was conducted following the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study included 14 canines (male beagles, weight 12.8 ± 0.7 kg, age 1.5 ± 0.2 years old). All canines were obtained from Xi'an Biotechnology (China) and were in good health. The physical examination and echocardiography results of all canines were normal. Fourteen canines underwent surgical ligation of the left anterior descending (LAD) artery below the first and second diagonal branches to produce HF models. They were followed up until the LV ejection fraction (EF) was reduced to 35%. One of the control canines died at 1-month follow-up.

2.2 Alginate preparation

Hangzhou Deke MedTech Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, China) supplied all calcium alginate hydrogel samples prepared sterilely. This hydrogel is considered a "device" used in intramyocardial injections because alginates are inert with no known biological activity in the human body.

2.3 PIMAHI procedure

Fourteen canines with HF were randomised to the PIMAHI treatment (n = 8) and control (n = 6) groups. During PIMAHI, all canines were under general anaesthesia in the right lateral recumbent position, with continuous electrocardiography, blood pressure, and blood oxygen saturation monitoring. The procedure of TTE-guided PIMAHI is shown in the graphical abstract. Under the real-time guidance of TTE, a puncture (PTC) needle was inserted percutaneously into the middle segment of the LV wall. Subsequently, along the circumference of the middle LV wall halfway, alginate-hydrogel (Algisyl-LVRTM, supplied by Deke, Inc. Shanghai, China) was injected in five individual points (0.3-0.35 ml per point and the total volume was 1.5-1.75 ml, which achieved similar individual and total hydrogel volume to Sabbah HN.et al' research) (12). The coronary artery branches distributed on the surface of the heart were carefully avoided using colour Doppler ultrasound during implantation.

2.4 Echocardiography measurements

Two-dimensional (2D) TTE was acquired at baseline, before PIMAHI, and after PIMAHI (at 1, 3, and 6 months) using an EPIQ 7C/CX50 ultrasound system (Philips Medical Systems, Bothell, Washington) with transducer S5-1 (frequency: 1.6– 3.2 MHz) to evaluate the variation in cardiac remodelling and function. The canines were placed in the right lateral recumbent position and underwent TTE studies (including M-mode, 2D, pulse wave, and colour flow Doppler examinations). Electrocardiography (ECG) was simultaneously performed.

Echocardiographic parameters were measured, including enddiastolic wall thickness (EDWT) of the interventricular septum (IVS) and LV free-wall (FW), the amplitude of LV anterior wall motion (AWM) and posterior wall motion (PWM), LV endsystolic volume (ESV) and end-diastolic volume (EDV), E peak of mitral valve flow spectrum/e peak of tissue Doppler mitral valve ring motion (E/e), deceleration time (DT) of early mitral inflow velocity, and length of mitral regurgitation (MR). LVEF and stroke volume (SV) were calculated using the formula recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines. The enddiastole sphericity index (EDSI) was also calculated (13). LV Global longitudinal strain (GLS) and global circumferential strain (GCS) were analysed using 2D speckle tracking imaging (STI). Mitral annulus displacement (MAD) was determined using 2D tissue tracking (TT). A blinded reader performed all measurements and analyses of echocardiographic parameters.

2.5 Gross and pathological examinations

Gross specimens from the PIMAHI and control groups were obtained 6 months after PIMAHI for pathological examination. Transverse slices (parallel to the longitudinal axis of the

10.3389/fcvm.2024.1320315

myocardial fibre) were obtained from the base to the apex level (average 6-mm thickness). Immersion in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin was used to fix them. The fixed tissues were dehydrated, embedded in paraffin and sectioned. Histological examination using staining with haematoxylin-eosin (HE) (indicated a myocardial lesion including myocyte hypertrophy, cell arrangement disorder, and interstitial leukocyte infiltration) and Masson trichrome (revealed myocardial fibrosis) was performed. Immunohistochemistry was used to detect SERCA1 expression (evaluated myocardial contractility).

2.6 Statistical analysis

SPSS 23.0 software was used for analysis. Repeated-measures analysis of variance, with alpha set at 0.05, was used for withingroup comparisons. If significance was attained, pair-wise comparisons were made using the t-test between post-PIMAHI and PIMAHI before measurements, with p < 0.05 considered significant. Inter-group comparisons were made using a repeated-measures analysis of variance with an alpha set at 0.05 to assess treatment effects. The difference between the PIMAHI and control groups was also evaluated. A t-statistic for two means with significance set at p < 0.05 was also used to compare the measures between the base and before PIMAHI. All data are reported as mean \pm SEM.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline and Pre-PIMAHI data of 14 HF canines

Fourteen canine models of HF with LV global remodelling were successfully established 14 weeks after coronary ligation. The baseline characteristics (prior to development of cardiomyopathic state) and pre-PIMAHI measurements of the 14 canine models are summarised in Table 1. Compared to the pre-PIMAHI state, the baseline state of these 14 HF canine models demonstrated a larger LV EDV and ESV (p < 0.001), and LV EDWT (p < 0.001) and EDSI (p = 0.008) were reduced, consistent with LV adverse remodelling. LVEF was decreased (p < 0.001), LV wall motion (p < 0.001), and MAD were compromised (p < 0.001) compared to the baseline state. Strain analysis by STI showed that GLS and GCS were decreased (p < 0.001), indicating worsened cardiac function compared to the baseline state.

3.2 Outcome of PIMAHI treatment

At 6 months the hydrogel implant were showed remaining within gross specimen of the PIMAHI treatment (Figure 1). During the hydrogel implant procedure, there was no sustained arrhythmia, except for some brief ventricular premature TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics, prior to ischemic cardiomyopathy and pre-PIMAHI indicators of HF canines (n = 14).

Variables	Pre-MI	Cardiomyopathy pre-PIMAHI	<i>P</i> -value					
HR, bpm	114.6 ± 5.4	119.0 ± 4.0	0.507					
Weight, kg	12.8 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.5	0.006					
Wall thickness (end diastolic), mm								
LV FW	8.3 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.3	< 0.001					
IVS	7.5 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.1	< 0.001					
LV volume, ml								
EDV	22.4 ± 0.9	34.7 ± 2.1	< 0.001					
ESV	10.4 ± 0.9	23.3 ± 1.4	< 0.001					
SV	12.0 ± 0.8	11.5 ± 0.7	0.642					
LV EDSI	0.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.0	0.008					
Cardiac systolic function (LV)								
EF, %	55.9 ± 1.2	33.0 ± 0.7	< 0.001					
M-mode, mm								
Anterior	6.4 ± 1.5	1.8 ± 0.3	< 0.001					
Posterior	9.2 ± 0.9	5.7 ± 0.3	< 0.001					
GLS, %	16.8 ± 0.8	9.3 ± 0.0	< 0.001					
GCS,%	21.7 ± 1.1	11.6 ± 0.3	< 0.001					
MAD, mm	11.9 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 2.1	< 0.001					
MR(length), cm	0.4 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.8	0.147					
AV Vmax, cm/s/s	89.0 ± 5.6	76.7 ± 4.2	0.091					
Cardiac diastolic function (LV)								
E/e′	9.9 ± 1.3	11.1 ± 1.1	0.484					
DT, ms	141.2 ± 12.9	134.2 ± 8.4	0.635					

Values are mean \pm SEM.

LV, left ventricular; EDV, end-diastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume; SV, stroke volume; EDSI, end-diastole sphericity index; EF, ejection fraction; LV, left ventricular; FW, Free wall; IVS, interventricular septum; IVS, interventricular septum; DT, early mitral inflow deceleration time; AV, Aortic Valve; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain; MAD, Mitral annulus Displacement; MR, mitral valve regurgitation.

contractions, and no pericardial or pleural effusion was detected. The hemodynamic state of the canine models were maintained, and none required cardioactive medications during the implantation procedure, nor in follow-up.

PIMAHI acutely thickened the LV myocardium at injection sites, reversed LV dilation and remodelling compared to the pre-PIMAHI state—LV EDWT was increased (FW, p = 0.002; IVS, p < 0.001) and LV cavity size was reduced (LVEDV, p = 0.008 and LVESV, p = 0.004) in PIMAHI-treated HF canine models (Table 2). In the control group, the follow-up studies showed a decrease in LV EDWT (FW < 0.001; IVS, p = 0.002) and an increase in LVEDV (p = 0.001) and LVESV (p < 0.001), consistent with progression of the cardiomyopathy (Table 2).

In those canine models who underwent PIMAHI, there was an acute improvement in LV systolic function: LVEF (p =0.004), LV wall motion (AWM p < 0.001, PWM p = 0.001), and MAD (p = 0.001) had increased compared to the pre-PIMAHI state. STI strain analysis showed that LVGLS (p < 0.001) and LVGCS (p = 0.006) increased significantly in PIMAHI-treated canine models compared with the pre-PIMAHI state. In contrast, LVGLS (p = 0.008) and LVGCS (p = 0.036) decreased in the control groups (Table 3). Additionally, the cardiomyopathic state in canines resulted in development of



FIGURE 1

Images of echocardiography and a macroscopic view of heart sections. The left column shows the echocardiographic images of PIMAHI treatment HF canines (A, D, G, J, and M display the PCT entering IW, PW, IW, AW, and IVSW, respectively, and the PCT tip indicated by arrows). The middle column shows the area echo where the AHI implanted was enhanced (B, E, H, K, and N displayed IW, PW, IW, AW, and IVSW, respectively, indicated by the arrows). The right column shows the macroscopic view of transverse heart slices of PIMAHI treatment (C, F, I, L, and O, respectively, indicated by the arrows). TW, inferior wall; PW, IW, AW, and IVSW, respectively, indicated by the arrows). IW, inferior wall; PW, posterior wall; LW, lateral wall; AW, anterior wall; IVSW, interventricular septum wall. RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle.

	pre- PIMAHI	1 month after PIMAHI	3 months after PIMAHI	6 months after PIMAHI	<i>P-</i> value				
PIMAHI treated $(n = -8)$									
Wall thickness (end diastolic), mm									
LV FW	7.6 ± 0.1	$8.6\pm0.1^*$	$8.5\pm0.1^*$	$8.5\pm0.3^{*}$	0.002				
IVS	6.8 ± 0.1	$7.4\pm0.1^*$	$7.7 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$7.9 \pm 0.1^{*,**}$	< 0.001				
LV volume, ml									
EDV	35.9 ± 1.9	30.6 ± 2.0*	$30.7 \pm 1.8^{*}$	$30.1 \pm 1.6^{*}$	0.008				
ESV	24.2 ± 1.3	19.2 ± 1.3*	$18.8 \pm 1.3^{*}$	$17.3 \pm 1.2^{*}$	0.004				
SV	11.7 ± 0.7	11.4 ± 0.8	11.9 ± 0.8	12.7 ± 0.6	0.078				
LVEDSI	0.2 ± 0.0	0.19 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.796				
Controls $(n = 5)$									
Wall thickness (end diastolic), mm									
LV FW	7.8 ± 0.2	$7.4 \pm 0.2^*$	$7.1 \pm 0.3^{*}$	6.8 ± 0.2***	< 0.001				
IVS	6.8 ± 0.3	6.6 ± 0.4	$6.4 \pm 0.6^*$	6.1 ± 0.2***	0.002				
LV volume, ml									
EDV	32.9 ± 4.7	35.4 ± 3.7	$37.4 \pm 4.3^{*}$	$38.9 \pm 4.5^{\star}$	0.001				
ESV	21.7 ± 3.1	24.0 ± 2.4*	26.1 ± 3.4*	28.7 ± 3.6*****	<0.001				
SV	11.1 ± 1.7	11.4 ± 1.4	11.3 ± 1.0	10.3 ± 1.1	0.415				
LV EDSI	0.2 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.0	0.304				

TABLE 2 The echocardiographic of LV structure analysis in PIMAHItreated HF canines and control canines.

Values are mean \pm SEM.

LV, left ventricular; FW, free wall; IVS, interventricular septum; EDV, end-diastolic volume; ESV, end-systolic volume; SV, stroke volume; EDSI, end-diastole sphericity index.

*p < 0.05 vs. before PIMAHI.

**p < 0.05 vs. 1 month after PIMAHI.

***p < 0.05 vs. 3 months after PIMAHI.

MR, which had a significant reduction in the PIMAHI group (p = 0.005) (Table 3). In the control group, LVEF (p = 0.007) and LV wall motion (AWM, p = 0.045; PWM, p = 0.013) worsened over time (Table 3). This study demonstrated no significant changes in LV diastolic function in the canine models at any point in time (Table 3).

3.3 Comparisons of Longer-term PIMAHI treatment effects

Six months after PIMAHI, the thickness of the LV wall remained increased (Figures 2A, 3A) and LV cavity size had decreased (Figure 2B) of the PIMAHI-treated canine models compared with the controls. When PIMAHI-treated canines were compared to controls at 6 months post-intervention, LVEF and LV wall motion all were increased (Figures 2C–D, 3B). GLS, GCS, and mitral annulus displacement all increased (Figures 2E–F, 3C–D) in PIMAHI-treated canines compared with controls 6 months later.

3.4 Pathology and correlation with pathology and correlation with AHI

Gross specimens from 8 dogs of the PIMAHI groups, and 5 dogs of the control groups were obtained 6 months after treatment. In

PIMAHI-treated cardiomyopathic canine models, HE-stained (Figures 4A,B) and Masson- stained (Figures 4C,D) LV wall sections showed that the pockets of AHI materials were still within the LV wall, which was encapsulated by a thin layer of connective tissue along with a mild inflammatory response infiltrating lymphocytes macrophages. and Adjacent cardiomyocytes showed slight hyaline degeneration. There was no evidence of myocardial toxicity. Immunohistochemistry analysis of PIMAHI treatment showed that sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase-1 (SERCA-1) expression (Figures 5C,D) increased compared with that in the control group (Figures 5A,B). The SERCA-1 density was higher in the PIMAHI group (n = 8)than in the control group (n = 5) (Figure 5E), consistent with improvement in myocardial contractility.

4 Discussion

HF is a major health problem worldwide and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, with in advanced heart failure states a more than 50% mortality rate (14). PIMAHI is a new primary interventional approach for treating HF using the AHI. This study demonstrated its safety and efficacy in treating canines with HF, which reduced LV size, partially restored the physiological LV shape, and improved LV systolic function. Intramyocardial AHI therapy as a treatment for advanced HF has resulted in positive initial outcomes in the previous animal and early clinical investigations, including increased LV wall thickness, decreased LV volume and improved LVEF (10–12, 15, 16). In previous studies, all AHI procedures were performed using an open-chest surgical procedure.

PIMAHI is a percutaneous intramyocardial, non-thoracotomy that is accomplished by inserting a PTC needle and implanting the AHI into the myocardium of the LV wall. And this procedure which achieved injection in five individual points along the circumference of the middle of left ventricle wall halfway, has not been reported yet. If confirmed to be feasible and effective, it will provide potential value for the treatment of dilated cardiomyopathy. This minimally invasive approach avoided the physical trauma caused by a sternotomy and the damage to the conduction system distributed underneath the endocardium, and therefore might be safer and more costeffective. Another advantage of PIMAHI is that the heart beats during the implantation procedure, which reduces ischaemiareperfusion injury. In addition, PIMAHI percutaneous approach results in an improved cosmetic appearance.

In this study, both during and after the PIMAHI procedure, the circulatory system was not damaged (real-time monitoring of pericardial and pleural effusion by echocardiography and ECG monitoring), as we prevented coronary artery damage by colour Doppler ultrasound before the operation, which might have contributed to the long-term outcomes. Similar percutaneous intramyocardial injection in order to perform radiofrequency ablation in animal studies (healthy sheep models), HCMs, and cardiac tumours by our research team also showed that this procedure is safe (17–20).

	pre-PIMAHI	1 month after PIMAHI	3 months after PIMAHI	6 months after PIMAHI	<i>P</i> -value
PIMAHI treated (n = -	-8)				
Cardiac systolic functio	on (LV)				
EF, %	32.4 ± 1.1	37.4 ± 1.4	38.9 ± 1.9*	42.7 ± 1.5*,**,***	0.004
M-mode, mm		·	·	·	
Anterior	1.5 ± 0.4			$3.8 \pm 0.4^{*}$	< 0.001
Posterior	5.4 ± 0.4			8.0 ± 0.3*	0.001
GLS, %	9.5 ± 0.4	$14.1 \pm 0.5^{*}$	$13.6 \pm 0.6^{*}$	$13.5 \pm 0.8^{*}$	< 0.001
GCS, %	11.7 ± 0.3	12.3 ± 0.6	14.9 ± 1.3****	16.5 ± 1.4***	0.006
MAD, mm	5.0 ± 1.6	8.7 ± 2.3*	9.8 ± 3.0***	11.4 ± 3.5***	0.001
MR (length), mm	1.1 ± 0.3	$0.2 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$0.3 \pm 0.2^{*}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{*}$	0.005
Cardiac diastolic functi	on (LV)			·	
E/e′	12.5 ± 1.3			10.9 ± 1.6	0.300
DT,ms	139.1 ± 9.4			117.0 ± 6.3	0.100
Controls $(n = 5)$		·	·	^	
Cardiac systolic functio	on (LV)				
EF, %	33.8 ± 0.8	31.3 ± 0.9*	29.6 ± 0.8***	26.7 ± 1.1***	0.007
M-mode, mm		·	·	·	
Anterior	2.4 ± 0.3	-	-	$1.3 \pm 0.3^{*}$	0.045
Posterior	6.2 ± 0.3	-	-	$4.1 \pm 0.5^{*}$	0.013
GLS, %	10.4 ± 0.8	9.8 ± 0.6	9.4 ± 0.8*	8.4 ± 0.6***	0.008
GCS, %	11.4 ± 0.5	10.0 ± 0.3	$9.9 \pm 0.4^{*}$	9.2 ± 0.6*	0.036
MAD, mm	6.7 ± 2.5	5.9 ± 1.8	5.6 ± 1.8	4.6 ± 2.5	0.542
MR (length), cm	0.3 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.4	0.064
Cardiac diastolic functi	on (LV)			•	
E/e [′]	8.7 ± 1.6	-	-	8.6 ± 1.2	0.934
DT, ms	126.2 ± 16.4	-	-	117.4 ± 15.6	0.246

TABLE 3 The cardiac function analysis in PIMAHI-treated HF canines and control canines.

Values are mean ± SEM

LV, left ventricular; EF, ejection fraction; DT, early mitral inflow deceleration time; AV, Aortic Valve; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain; MAD, Mitral annulus Displacement; MR, mitral valve regurgitation.

*p < 0.05 vs. before PIMAHI.

**p < 0.05 vs. 1 month after PIMAHI.

***p < 0.05 vs. 3 months after PIMAHI.

There have been studies on the mechanism of action of AHI in treating HF. With some studies demonstrating that, AHI therapy augments the LV wall thickness, due to the filling mechanical properties of alginate, with a subsequent reduction in LV size, which can reduce wall stress based on LaPlace's Law (an indicator of cardiac preload) (11). Other studies have demonstrated that solidified alginate hydrogel material acts as an LV mid-wall constraint skeleton with excellent spatial support. which significantly reduces adverse LV remodelling (14) In this study, the results showed that PIMAHI have thickened the LV myocardium at injection sites, reversed LV dilation and remodelling, consistent with the above mechanism. This present study measured LV strain (GLS and GCS) by STI, which reflects LV myocardial contractility, and mitral annulus displacement by 2D tissue-tracking, which reflects longitudinal contractility of the LV (21-23). The results showed a significant increase of mitral annulus displacement, GLS, and GCS scores at 6 months after PIMAHI treatment. It indicated that AHI in treating HF could improve myocardial contractility. Therefore, this might demonstrate the mechanism of cardiac function improvement and further explain the reason for LV deformation after PIMAHI treatment.

The hydrogen phosphate, dihydrogen phosphate and calcium ions contained in the extracellular matrix of human myocardial tissue are in dynamic balance and the content is very low. Although these ions will undergo ion exchange with the alginate hydrogel implanted in the myocardium, causing the hydrogel to be ion exchanged into a sodium alginate solution, this process is very slow. In addition, the wrapping of fibroblasts will also delay the ion exchange (24). In this study, the pathology examination by microscopy demonstrated that PIMAHI implants remained intact even after 6 months of implantation, which may be relevant to the persistence of the treatment effect. A thin layer of connective tissue encapsulated AHI pockets, which may explain the lack of degradation. There was no evidence of significant inflammation (lymphocytes and macrophages), indicating the safety of AHI treatment. These results are consistent with those of the previous studies. In addition, in this study, immunohistochemistry analysis showed that SERCA 1 expression increased compared with the control group, which reflected the improvement in myocardial contractility and had a favourable impact at the cellular level consistent with reverse LV remodelling (25). SERCA proteins are involved in maintaining calcium homeostasis, abnormalities in the structure or in the



circumferential strain; MAD, mitral annulus displacement.

amount of which have been mainly related to cardiac malfunction in mammals, so we considered that the improvement of cardiac function may be caused by the increase of calcium uptake capacity which related to SERCA-1 expression increase.

In the initial stage of this study, the needle had occasionally inadvertently entered the heart cavity (6.5%, 3 of 46 points); however, with further experience, we learned to adjust the insertion point to avoid this endocardial puncture. A previous study showed that the intra-vascularly injected hydrogel rapidly dissolved in the bloodstream, and the kidneys excreted the water-soluble alginate chains. There was pathological evidence for adverse effects on the heart, brain, kidney, or liver at 6-month examinations, which further supported the safety of PIMAHI treatment.



FIGURE 3

Line graphs illustrating changes in canines. Line graphs illustrate changes in EDWT of LVFW and IVS (A), LVEF (B), LV GLS (C), and LV GCS (D) before PIMAHI and 1, 3, and 6 months after PIMAHI compared with the control. Values are presented as mean \pm SEM. **P* < 0.05, 1 month after PIMAHI vs. the control; [†]*P* < 0.05, at 3 months PIMAHI vs. the control; [†]*P* < 0.05, at 6 months PIMAHI vs. the control. LV, left ventricular; EDWT, end-diastolic wall thickness; LVFW, left ventricular free wall; IVSW, interventricular septum wall; EF, ejection fraction; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain.



FIGURE 4

Pathology photographs of sections from the left ventricular free wall in PIMAHI treatment. HE-stained-stained (A,B) and Masson-stained (C,D) sections showed that the pockets of AHI materials were still within the LV wall, which was encapsulated by a thin layer of connective tissue along with a mild inflammatory response infiltrating lymphocytes and macrophages. (B,D) were the yellow dotted box partial zoom image of (A,C), respectively.



FIGURE 5

Immunohistochemistry photographs of SERCA 1 expression from the left ventricular free wall in PIMAHI treatment and controls HF canines. SERCA 1 expression increased in the PIMAHI (C,D) than in the control group (A,B). The sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase-1 (SERCA-1) density was higher in the PIMAHI group than in the control group (E). (B,D) were the yellow dotted box partial zoom image of (A,C), respectively.

5 Conclusion and limitations

Our study showed that PIMAHI, guided by echocardiography, as a novel minimally invasive treatment for canines with HF, was safe and effective, as it reversed LV dilation and remodelling and improved LV systolic function.

This was a preliminary study, with a small sample size, and the follow-up period was short. Further enrolment and follow-up of appropriate animals will continue.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HM: Writing – original draft. WG: Writing – original draft. DL: Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – original draft. ST: Writing – original draft. RH: Writing – original draft. XL: Writing – original draft. MZ: Writing – review & editing. LL: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1320315/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Heidenreich PA, Albert NM, Allen LA, Bluemke DA, Butler J, Fonarow GC, et al. Forecasting the impact of heart failure in the United States: a policy statement from the American heart association. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2013) 6:606–19. doi: 10.1161/HHF.0b013e318291329a

2. Merlo M, Cannata A, Gobbo M, Stolfo D, Elliott PM, Sinagra G. Evolving concepts in dilated cardiomyopathy. Eur J Heart Fail. (2018) 20:228–39. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1103

3. Ziaeian B, Fonarow GC. Epidemiology and aetiology of heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2016) 13:368–78. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2016.25

4. Roger VL, Weston SA, Redfield MM, Hellermann-Homan JP, Killian J, Yawn BP, et al. Trends in heart failure incidence and survival in a community-based population. *J Am Med Assoc.* (2004) 292:344–50. doi: 10.1001/jama.292.3.344

5. Chen J, Normand SL, Wang Y, Krumholz HM. National and regional trends in heart failure hospitalization and mortality rates for medicare beneficiaries, 1998–2008. J Am Med Assoc. (2011) 306:1669–78. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.1474

6. Sabbah HN, Kono T, Stein PD, Mancini GB, Goldstein S. Left ventricular shape changes during the course of evolving heart failure. *Am J Physiol.* (1992) 263:H266–70. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1992.263.1.H266

7. Douglas PS, Morrow R, Ioli A, Reichek N. Left ventricular shape, afterload and survival in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. (1989) 13:311–5. doi: 10.1016/0735-1097(89)90504-4

8. Ahmad Raus R, Wan Nawawi WMF, Nasaruddin RR. Alginate and alginate composites for biomedical applications. *Asian J Pharm Sci.* (2020) 16:280–306. doi: 10.1016/j.ajps.2020.10.001

9. Lee RJ, Hinson A, Bauernschmitt R, Matschke K, Fang Q, Mann DL, et al. The feasibility and safety of Algisyl-LVR as a method of left ventricular augmentation in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy: initial first in man clinical results. *Int J Cardiol.* (2015) 199:18–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.06.111

10. Anker SD, Coats AJ, Cristian G, Dragomir D, Pusineri E, Piredda M, et al. A prospective comparison of alginate-hydrogel with standard medical therapy to determine impact on functional capacity and clinical outcomes in patients with advanced heart failure (AUGMENT-HF trial). *Eur Heart J.* (2015) 36:2297–309. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv259

11. Sack KL, Aliotta E, Choy JS, Ennis DB, Davies NH, Franz T, et al. Intramyocardial alginate hydrogel injection acts as a left ventricular mid-wall constraint in swine. *Acta Biomater.* (2020) 111:170–80. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2020.04.033

12. Di Donato M, Dabic P, Castelvecchio S, Santambrogio C, Brankovic J, Collarini L, et al. Left ventricular geometry in normal and post-anterior myocardial infarction patients: sphericity index and 'new' conicity index comparisons. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2006) 29(Suppl 1):S225–30. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcts.2006.03.002

13. McMurray JJ, Stewart S. Epidemiology, aetiology, and prognosis of heart failure. *Heart.* (2000) 83:596–602. doi: 10.1136/heart.83.5.596

14. Sabbah HN, Wang M, Gupta RC, Rastogi S, Ilsar I, Sabbah MS, et al. Augmentation of left ventricular wall thickness with alginate hydrogel implants improves left ventricular function and prevents progressive remodeling in dogs with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol HF.* (2013) 1:252–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jchf. 2013.02.006

15. Kadner K, Dobner S, Franz T, et al. The beneficial effects of deferred delivery on the efficiency of hydrogel therapy post myocardial infarction. *Biomaterials.* (2012) 33:2060–6. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.11.031

16. Lee LC, Wall ST, Klepach D, Rastogi S, Ilsar I, Sabbah MS, Kohli S, et al. Algisyl-LVR with coronary artery bypass grafting reduces left ventricular wall stress and improves function in the failing human heart. *Int J Cardiol.* (2013) 168:2022–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.01.003

17. Liu L, Li J, Zuo L, Zhang J, Zhou M, Xu B, et al. Percutaneous intramyocardial septal radiofrequency ablation for hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2018) 72:1898–909. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.07.080

18. Zheng MJ, Yang J, He GB, Zhou XD, Liu LW. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of obstructive right ventricular giant myxoma. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2018) 105:e159-61. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2017.11.041

19. Liu L, Liu B, Li J, Zhang Y. Percutaneous intramyocardial septal radiofrequency ablation of hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy: a novel minimally invasive treatment for reduction of outflow tract obstruction. *EuroIntervention*. (2018) 13: e2112–3. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-17-00657

20. Liu F, Fu J, Hsi D, Sun C, He G, Hu R, et al. Percutaneous intramyocardial septal radiofrequency ablation for interventricular septal reduction: an ovine model with 1-year outcomes. *Cardiology*. (2020) 145:53–62. doi: 10.1159/000502973

21. Potter E, Marwick TH. Assessment of left ventricular function by echocardiography: the case for routinely adding global longitudinal strain to ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2018) 11:260–74. doi: 10. 1016/j. jcmg. 2017

22. Zito C, Longobardo L, Citro R, Galderisi M, Oreto L, Carerj ML, et al. Ten years of 2D longitudinal strain for early myocardial dysfunction detection: a clinical overview. *Biomed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:8979407. doi: 10.1155/2018/8979407

23. Cameli M, Mandoli GE, Sciaccaluga C, Mondillo S. More than 10 years of speckle tracking echocardiography: still a novel technique or a definite tool for clinical practice? *Echocardiogr-J Card.* (2019) 36:958–70. doi: 10.1111/echo.14339

24. Wei X, Gu Q, Wang Q, Xiao J. Progress of alginate derivatives based on biomedical materials. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi.* (2015) 29:508–12. doi: 10.7507/1002-1892.20150108

25. Periasamy M, Maurya SK, Sahoo SK, Singh S, Reis FCG, Bal NC. Role of SERCA pump in muscle thermogenesis and metabolism. *Compr Physiol.* (2017) 7:879–90. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c160030