Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giuseppe Gatti, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Giuliano Isontina, Italy

REVIEWED BY Abimbola Faloye, Emory University, United States Philemon Gukop, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Hassan Tatari 🖂 tatari@sbmu.ac.ir

RECEIVED 29 November 2023 ACCEPTED 22 January 2024 PUBLISHED 23 February 2024

CITATION

Khorgami M, Khalaj F, Gholampour M and Tatari H (2024) Missile embolism from pulmonary vein to left ventricle: report of a case. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1342146.

doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1342146

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Khorgami, Khalaj, Gholampour and Tatari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Missile embolism from pulmonary vein to left ventricle: report of a case

Mohammadrafie Khorgami¹, Fattaneh Khalaj², Maziar Gholampour¹ and Hassan Tatari^{1*}

¹Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ²Liver and Pancreatobiliary Diseases Research Center, Digestive Diseases Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Missile embolization is rare in penetrating trauma, occurring in 0.3% of cases. Bullet embolism into the left ventricle is less frequent, with few instances described in the literature. This paper describes an instance of left ventricular bullet embolism from the pulmonary venous system following gunshot chest trauma. A 7-year-old boy sustained a gunshot wound to his chest during an assault accident. Despite thoracic pain, he remained conscious and exhibited vital signs. A CXR and CT scan revealed a bullet in the left mediastinum. A left thoracotomy was performed to remove blood and clots from the pericardium. The patient was sent to a tertiary referral hospital for further investigation. The patient underwent elective surgery to remove the foreign body from inside the heart. The procedure involved a partial thymectomy and pericardial opening, and the patient was released from medical care after 14 days. After 6 months, there were no signs or symptoms of cardiothoracic infection or evidence of mitral valve regurgitation in echocardiography.

KEYWORDS

missile embolization, gunshot, left ventricle, pulmonary vein (PV), foreign body

1 Introduction

Missile embolization is a rare occurrence in penetrating trauma, where an object enters the bloodstream and travels to another part of the vascular system. In fact, according to a review of over 7,500 casualties from the Vietnam War, bullet embolization occurred only in 0.3% of cases (1). Although reports suggest emboli rarely occur in the pulmonary vasculature and right heart, bullet embolism into the left ventricle following penetrating chest injuries is considerably less frequent with few instances described in the literature (2–7). Due to a lack of extensive experience at any single institution, there are several controversies surrounding appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for managing such cases. This paper describes an instance of left ventricular bullet embolism from the pulmonary venous system following gunshot chest trauma.

2 Case description

The presented case report describes a 7-year-old boy without history of other medical concerns who was brought to the emergency unit after sustaining a single gunshot wound to the right side of his chest, resulting from an assault accident while on his way to school. There was no exit wound. Despite complaining of thoracic pain, the boy remained



conscious and exhibited vital signs, including a blood pressure of 116/79 mm Hg, a pulse rate of 118 beats per min, and a respiratory rate of 38/min. After examination by a general surgeon, a chest tube was placed in the damaged thorax (right), and a small amount of blood and air were released. Further examination following the relative stability of hemodynamics revealed an entrance wound near the right side of the sternum at the level of the third intercostal space. There was no marked subcutaneous emphysema or jugular venous distention. His initial hematocrit was 32%. Arterial blood gases did not detect hypoxemia or acidosis, and an electrocardiogram showed normal

sinus rhythm. Upon conducting a chest radiograph (CXR) and computerized tomography (CT) scan, it was determined a bullet (5.5 mm long) was in the left part of the mediastinum (Figures 1, 2). Therefore, a pleuropericardial window is installed through the left thoracotomy, which removes some blood and clots from the pericardium. After completing these procedures and receiving intravenous fluids, the patient's vital signs became completely stable, and he was referred to the local hospital with a cardiologist for further investigation, where echocardiography was performed and placing the bullet in the left ventricle was confirmed. Considering the risk of embolization, the patient is



FIGURES 3, 4

The entrance location of the bullet was discovered after right atriotomy and septostomy in the anterosuperior portion of the lower right pulmonary vein, and the bullet that had been lodged in the posterior chordal lobe of the mitral valve was removed and chest wall was repaired.

sent to the tertiary referral hospital. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) showed that the right atrium and ventricle were functioning normally, with a thick particle in the left ventricle (LV) cavity behind the posterior leaflet and mild mitral regurgitation (MR). No aortic insufficiency (AI) or pulmonary vein (PV) stenosis were also observed. In addition, high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) revealed left pleural effusion, scattered sub-segmental atelectasis in both lungs, and bilateral mild pneumothorax. Due to the possibility of bullet embolization, the patient underwent elective surgery to remove the foreign body from inside the heart. The surgical procedure was conducted via the median sternotomy approach. A partial thymectomy and pericardial opening were performed. The quantity of blood and clots evacuated from the pericardium Although dissection of the mediastinum and the great vessels was challenging, it was executed without harm. The entry point of the bullet was found in the anterosuperior part of the lower right pulmonary vein, which was covered by a clot. After the right atriotomy, the interventricular septum was split, and the bullet stuck in the posterior chordal lobe of the mitral valve was released and removed (Figure 3). Then the septum between the atrium and right atrium and the pulmonary vein are damaged and repaired, and after coronary perfusion is established and normal heart contractions return, cardiopulmonary bypass is stopped and the chest wall is repaired (Figure 4). Following the closure of the sternum, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit and was deemed to be in stable condition. The patient underwent extubation within the initial 24 h following the surgical procedure. The individual achieved full recuperation and was released from medical care after 14 days. During a subsequent 6-month evaluation, the patient continued to exhibit a state of well-being, and there were no symptoms or signs of cardiothoracic infection or evidence of MR in echocardiography.

3 Discussion

Missile embolism is a rare subtype of foreign body embolization that can occur as a complication of gunshot injuries. In such cases, the missile enters the vessel or cardiac cavity but loses its kinetic energy and remains within the lumen instead of passing through. Embolization becomes a concern when there is no exit wound for the projectile, and it can be located distant from its expected trajectory. While most instances occur shortly after injury, delayed occurrences ranging from days to years have also been reported in the literature (8, 9). The incidence of this phenomenon has been reported to be 0.3% in the Vietnam War and 1.1% in more recent conflicts, such as the Afghanistan and Iraq Wars. However, its occurrence in civilian populations remains unknown, although it may be higher than in military settings due to the lower velocity and kinetic energy of civilian weapons (1, 10, 11). As previously mentioned, most venous emboli migrate with the direction of blood flow and are typically found in the pulmonary arterial system or right heart (12). Missile emboli to the left heart are infrequent and occur via direct injury, entry through the pulmonary venous system, or a patent foramen oval (13). Symbas conducted a literature review spanning from 1940 to 1988 and found that out of the 201 patients with retained missiles in their hearts, the majority (87%) were due to direct injury to the heart or pericardium. The remaining 13% (n = 27) were caused by an embolization originating from a distant site of injury. Of those, 81% had an injury occur within a systemic vein resulting in embolization to the right heart, while only one patient experienced damage to a pulmonary vein leading to embolization on the left side of the heart. For the remainder of the cases studied, it was unclear where exactly entry into circulation occurred (12). According to Advanced Trauma Life Support principles, stabilization is crucial in penetrative chest trauma. This involves performing life-saving interventions such as endotracheal intubation, placement of chest tubes, transfusion of blood products, or emergency department thoracotomy. In an unstable patient, even during the initial assessment, a chest x-ray study is vital because it provides information concerning the location and potential trajectory of a projectile if present, which can determine if there is the absence or presence of pneumothorax or hemothorax. The presence of a blurred appearance around the heart on an x-ray indicates a movement that may indicate intracardiac or pericardial positioning (14). Patients with stable hemodynamics presenting with projectiles within their hearts pose diagnostic and therapeutic challenges that require accurate localization and evaluation for associated injuries using multidisciplinary approaches, including surgical intervention radiographic analysis, endoscopy, or bronchoscopy. Using a CT scan represents an ideal imaging modality to localize projectiles accurately and ensure evaluation for associated injuries, including pulmonary parenchyma injury assessment and thoracic vascular structures assessment; whereas tracheobronchial tree damage on top esophagus injury judging is possible with this method (15, 16). In cases where there are apprehensions regarding injuries to the aforementioned structures, it is recommended to employ esophagoscopy or esophagogram and bronchoscopy to evaluate the esophagus and tracheobronchial tree, respectively. An intracardiac projectile can be localized through various modalities, such as TTE (transthoracic echocardiography), TEE, fluoroscopy, and coronary angiography. TTE is useful for unstable patients, as it can quickly confirm or rule out the presence of a pericardial effusion that may require operative intervention (17). However, TEE is superior to TTE in determining the precise location of the projectile and the extent of myocardial damage, injury to valves, or septum. Fluoroscopy may be helpful when echocardiography fails to determine bullet location accurately (18-20). The control of intracardiac bullets in stable patients is a topic of debate within the medical community due to the challenges in predicting long-term outcomes, which can vary from asymptomatic to severe and life-threatening. The occurrences related to a projectile are influenced by various factors such as the site, size, shape, and mobility. it is imperative to tailor treatment to the specific characteristics of the projectile (21) for instance, Nagy et al. expressed that tiny projectiles measuring 5 mm, situated bilaterally adjacent to the heart,

characterized by their smooth contours and complete intramyocardial embedding, typically exhibit no symptoms and may be conservatively managed without intervention (22).

4 Conclusion

The removal of intracardiac missiles is not always necessary. A limited number of patients who present at medical facilities with vital signs after experiencing penetrating trauma and bearing a foreign object within the heart necessitate expeditious resuscitation and hemodynamic stabilization. Management strategies should be tailored to each specific case. If there are missiles that are completely embedded in the heart and do not cause any symptoms, they can be monitored without intervention. On the other hand, any missiles that cause symptoms should be removed. Missiles that are asymptomatic and located on the left side of the heart should be removed to prevent systemic embolization and potential peripheral or cerebral ischemia. Likewise, asymptomatic missiles on the right side of the heart should be removed to prevent pulmonary emboli if they are larger than 5 mm. However, if a right-sided missile has already embolized the pulmonary circulation but is located peripherally and the patient remains asymptomatic, it can be observed without intervention (23).

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by Iran University Medical of Sciences for the studies involving humans. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants

References

1. Rich NM, Collins GJ Jr, Andersen CA, Mcdonald PT, Kozloff L, Ricotta JJ. Missile emboli. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. (1978) 18(4):236–9. doi: 10.1097/00005373-197804000-00002

2. Mattox KL, Beall AC Jr, Ennix CL, DeBakey ME. Intravascular migratory bullets. *Am J Surg.* (1979) 137(2):192–5. doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(79)90143-0

3. Yoon B, Grasso S, Hofmann LJ. Management of bullet emboli to the heart and great vessels. *Mil Med.* (2018) 183(9-10):e307-13. doi: 10.1093/milmed/usx191

4. Bartlett H, Anderson CB, Steinhoff NG. Bullet embolism to the heart. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. (1973) 13(5):476-9. doi: 10.1097/00005373-197305000-00011

5. Fisk RL, Addetia A, Gelfand ET, Brooks CH, Dvorkin J. Missile migration from lung to heart with delayed systemic embolization. *Chest.* (1977) 72(4):534–5. doi: 10. 1378/chest.72.4.534

6. Braun SD. Arterial embolization of a bullet. AJR Am J Roentgenol. (2003) 180 (1):281. doi: 10.2214/ajr.180.1.1800281

provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

MK: Writing – review & editing. FK: Writing – original draft. MG: Writing – review & editing. HT: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our colleagues for their clinical management.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Bland EF, Beebe GW. Missiles in the heart: a twenty-year follow-up report of world war II cases. N Engl J Med. (1966) 274(19):1039-46. doi: 10.1056/NEJM196605122741901

8. Bakir DA, Othman YN, Taha AY. Pulmonary bullet embolism following cardiac gunshot wound: case report of a bullet that traversed the heart twice. *Cardiothorac Surg.* (2020) 28:1–5. doi: 10.1186/s43057-020-0017-5

9. Michelassi F, Pietrabissa A, Ferrari M, Mosca F, Vargish T, Moosa H. Bullet emboli to the systemic and venous circulation. J Br Surg. (1990) 77(4):466-72. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800770432

10. Nolan T, Phan HO, Hardy AH, Khanna P, Dong P. Bullet embolization: multidisciplinary approach by interventional radiology and surgery. *Seminars in Interventional Radiology*. New York, NY: Thieme Medical Publishers (2012). Vol. 29, No. 3, p. 192–6. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1326928

11. Bartlett CS. Clinical update: gunshot wound ballistics. Clin Orthop Relat Res[®]. (2003) 408:28–57. doi: 10.1097/00003086-200303000-00005

12. Symbas PN, Picone AL, Hatcher C, Vlasis-Hale S. Cardiac missiles. A review of the literature and personal experience. *Ann Surg.* (1990) 211(5):639. PMCID: PMC1358242

13. Schurr M, McCord S, Croce M. Paradoxical bullet embolism: case report and literature review. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* (1996) 40(6):1034–6. doi: 10.1097/00005373-199606000-00034

14. Nguyen V, Nguyen K. Plain film of intracardiac foreign bodies: the blurring effect. South Med J. (1991) 84(5):651-3. PMID: 2035092

15. Gandhi SK, Marts BC, Mistry BM, Brown JW, Durham RM, Mazuski JE. Selective management of embolized intracardiac missiles. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (1996) 62(1):290–2. doi: 10.1016/0003-4975(96)00097-5

16. Nagy KK, Roberts RR, Smith RF, Joseph KT, An GC, Bokhari F, et al. Transmediastinal gunshot wounds: are" stable" patients really stable? *World J Surg.* (2002) 26(10):1247-50. doi: 10.1007/s00268-002-6522-2

17. Freshman SP, Wisner DH, Weber CJ. 2-D echocardiography: emergent use in the evaluation of penetrating precordial trauma. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* (1991) 31(7):902–6. doi: 10.1097/00005373-199107000-00004

18. Hashimi MW, Jenkins DR, McGwier BW, Massey CV, Alpert MA. Comparative efficacy of transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography in detection of an intracardiac bullet fragment. *Chest.* (1994) 106(1):299–300. doi: 10.1378/chest.106.1.299

19. Limandri G, Gorenstein LA, Starr JP, Homma S, Auteri J, Gopal AS. Use of transesophageal echocardiography in the detection and consequences of an intracardiac bullet. *Am J Emerg Med.* (1994) 12(1):105–6. doi: 10.1016/0735-6757 (94)90214-3

20. Fragomeni L, Azambuja PC. Bullets retained within the heart: diagnosis and management in three cases. *Thorax.* (1987) 42(12):980–3. doi: 10.1136/thx. 42.12.980

21. Symbas PJ, Symbas PJ. Missiles in the cardiovascular system. Chest Surg Clin N Am. (1997) 7(2):343–56. PMID: 915629

22. Nagy KK, Massad M, Fildes J, Reyes H. Missile embolization revisited: a rationale for selective management. *Am Surg.* (1994) 60(12):975–9. PMID: 7992978

23. Galante J, London JA. Left ventricular bullet embolus: a case report and review of the literature. J Emerg Med. (2010) 39(1):25–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2007.09.057