Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Takatoshi Kasai, Juntendo University, Japan

REVIEWED BY Jun-yi Hou, Fudan University, China Qian Gong, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE

Tao Pan ⊠ pantao0924@163.com Bixin Chen ⊠ nbsdeyyicu@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 06 December 2023 ACCEPTED 29 January 2024 PUBLISHED 08 February 2024

#### CITATION

Zhou X, Hu C, Pan J, Xu C, Xu Z, Pan T and Chen B (2024) Dynamic arterial elastance as a predictor of arterial pressure response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome —a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1350847. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1350847

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhou, Hu, Pan, Xu, Xu, Pan and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Dynamic arterial elastance as a predictor of arterial pressure response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome—a systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiaoyang Zhou<sup>1†</sup>, Caibao Hu<sup>2†</sup>, Jianneng Pan<sup>1</sup>, Chang Xu<sup>1</sup>, Zhaojun Xu<sup>1</sup>, Tao Pan<sup>1\*</sup> and Bixin Chen<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Ningbo No.2 Hospital, Ningbo, Zhejiang, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Affiliated Zhejiang Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

**Introduction:** During the de-escalation phase of circulatory shock, norepinephrine weaning may induce diverse arterial pressure responses in patients with different vasomotor tones. Dynamic arterial elastance ( $Ea_{dyn}$ ) has been extensively studied to predict the arterial pressure response to interventions. We conducted this meta-analysis to systematically assess the predictive performance of  $Ea_{dyn}$  for the mean arterial pressure (MAP) response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome.

**Materials and methods:** A systematic literature search was conducted on May 29, 2023 (updated on January 21, 2024), to identify relevant studies from electronic databases. The area under the hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curve (AUHSROC) was estimated as the primary measure of diagnostic accuracy because of the varied thresholds reported. Additionally, we observed the distribution of the cutoff values of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>, while computing the optimal value and its corresponding 95% confidential interval (CI).

**Results:** A total of 5 prospective studies met eligibility, comprising 183 participants, of whom 67 (37%) were MAP responders.  $Ea_{dyn}$  possessed an excellent ability to predict the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning in patients with vasoplegic syndrome, with an AUHSROC of 0.93 (95% CI: 0.91–0.95), a pooled sensitivity of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.85–0.98), a pooled specificity of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.65–0.81), and a pooled diagnostic odds ratio of 32.4 (95% CI: 11.7–89.9). The cutoff values of  $Ea_{dyn}$  presented a nearly conically symmetrical distribution; the mean and median cutoff values were 0.89 (95% CI: 0.80–0.98) and 0.90 (95% CI: not estimable), respectively.

Abbreviations

Ea<sub>dyn</sub>, dynamic arterial elastance; PPV, pulse pressure variation; SVV, stroke volume variation; SV, stroke volume; MAP, mean arterial pressure; DOR, diagnostic odds ratio; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; HSROC, hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic; AUHSROC, the area under the HSROC curve; CI, confidential interval; ICU, intensive care unit.

**Conclusions:** This meta-analysis with limited evidences demonstrates that  $Ea_{dyn}$  may be a reliable predictor of the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome.

Systematic Review Registration: PROSPERO CRD42023430362.

KEYWORDS

dynamic arterial elastance, arterial tone, hypotension, norepinephrine, shock

## Introduction

Currently, norepinephrine is recommended as the first-line vasopressor for the treatment of circulatory shock (1, 2). Norepinephrine can effectively maintain targeted arterial pressure primarily by restoring vasomotor tone through stimulating  $\alpha_1$ adrenergic receptors (3). Clinically, the de-escalation of norepinephrine therapy should be considered early to avoid tissue hypoperfusion associated with excessive vasoconstriction once resolving the cause of circulatory shock and achieving hemodynamic stability. However, decreasing the norepinephrine dosage (norepinephrine weaning) may induce diverse arterial pressure responses in patients with different vasomotor tones due to its potent  $\alpha_1$ -adrenergic properties. For instance, early weaning from norepinephrine infusion may cause unnecessary exposure to arterial hypotension in those patients with persistent depressed vasomotor tone. Inversely, prolonged norepinephrine infusion may induce excessive vasoconstriction in those with restored vascular tone (4). Therefore, the evaluation of vasomotor tone may be helpful to discriminate against those patients who will successfully wean from norepinephrine infusion and those who will fail.

Historically, dynamic arterial elastance (Ea<sub>dyn</sub>), defined by the ratio of pulse pressure variation (PPV) to stroke volume variation (SVV), has been extensively described as a functional measure of arterial tone (5, 6). According to the calculation formula, Ea<sub>dyn</sub> defines the changes in the arterial pulse pressure caused by the changes in left ventricular stroke volume (SV) related to the intrathoracic pressure changes during a respiratory cycle (7, 8). Furthermore, the term "elastance" indicates that Eadyn is related to arterial stiffness, which is partially determined by vasomotor tone (7, 9). Accordingly, Eadyn describes the dynamic interaction between changes in pressure and flow and evaluates the dynamical changes in arterial tone (8). Over the past decade, Ea<sub>dvn</sub> has been demonstrated as a reliable predictor of arterial pressure changes related to fluid challenge (8, 10, 11). However, the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> for arterial pressure response to norepinephrine weaning has not been systematically evaluated, even though the relationship between Eadyn and arterial pressure changes induced by norepinephrine weaning has been widely investigated (12, 13). Therefore, we conducted this metaanalysis to assess the diagnostic accuracy of Eadyn for predicting the mean arterial pressure (MAP) response to norepinephrine weaning.

Materials and methods

This systematic meta-analysis was carried out following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic

Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy (14). The study protocol was registered in advance at the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO, CRD42023430362) before initiating the study.

### Data sources and search strategy

On May 29, 2023, two reviewers (XZ and CX) independently performed a systematic literature search in the PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library to identify studies that investigated the diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$  for predicting the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome, without any restriction on the published date or language. Furthermore, they also reviewed the bibliographies of previous publications to identify relevant studies. The same 2 reviewers (XZ and CX) updated the literature search on January 21, 2024. We presented the search strategies in detail in Supplementary Table S1 (see Additional file 1).

## Eligibility criteria

Candidate studies were deemed eligible if they met all of the following criteria: (1) prospective or retrospective studies on mechanically ventilated adults with vasoplegic syndrome (age >18 years) who received norepinephrine to maintain arterial pressure and whose physicians in charge decided to decrease the norepinephrine dose; (2) the MAP changes after decreasing the norepinephrine dose were considered as the reference gold standard to define MAP responsiveness, regardless of the threshold value; (3) the Eadyn at baseline (before norepinephrine weaning) was measured as the index test; and (4) reporting sufficient information to construct a 2×2 contingency table. Vasoplegic syndrome was predefined as persistent arterial hypotension with normal or high cardiac output and low systemic vascular resistance, despite adequate fluid resuscitation (15). We excluded those studies that met anyone of the following criteria: (1) enrolling patients with spontaneous breathing or patients who did not meet the definition of vasoplegic syndrome; (2) lacking sufficient information on the diagnostic accuracy of Eadyn; or (3) conference abstracts without a full text.

## Study selection and data extraction

Two authors (JP and TP) initially eliminated duplicates from the searched records. After deduplication, they independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of the remaining records. Subsequently, the full texts of the candidate studies were carefully reviewed by the same two independent authors to determine whether they met the eligibility or not. A discussion was required to resolve the disagreements between the two authors; if necessary, a third reviewer (ZX) was involved. Supplementary Table S2 (see Additional file 1) presented the reasons for precluding the ineligible studies.

Regarding the data extraction, the same two authors (JP and TP) independently utilized a pre-customized extraction form to extract the information of interest from the included studies, including the study characteristics, patient characteristics, and the diagnostic accuracy measures of  $Ea_{dyn}$ . To facilitate constructing a 2×2 contingency table, we computed the true positive, false positive, false negative, and true negative events based on the sensitivity, specificity, and sample size in each included study. If the needed information is not reported, we would return to the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to estimate the sensitivity and specificity. If any disagreement exists, they would jointly review the full text to reach a consensus.

#### Quality assessment

Another two authors (BC and CH) assessed the methodological quality of each included study independently using the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS)-2 tool (16). The QUADAS-2 consists of 4 domains that were assessed for the risk of bias: patient selection, index test, reference standard, and flow and timing; the first 3 domains were also assessed for applicability concerns. If there is any disagreement, the third reviewer (ZX) would join the discussion to help conclude.

#### Statistical analysis

In a preliminary analysis, we presented the derived estimates of sensitivity and specificity on the forest plot and the ROC space to evaluate the between-study variations in the diagnostic accuracy of Eadyn. Additionally, the between-study heterogeneities were also assessed by calculating Cochran's Q test and I<sup>2</sup> statistics. Data syntheses were then performed within the random-effect bivariate model to calculate the pooled sensitivity, pooled specificity and pooled diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) (17, 18). The bivariate model allows for the expected trade-off in sensitivity and specificity due to the between-study variations in the thresholds of Eadyn (17). Given the expected threshold effect, which was assessed statistically by calculating the Spearman correlation coefficient between the logit of sensitivity and the logit of 1-specificity (19), we adopted a hierarchical regression model to fit the hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic (HSROC) curve (20). Considering that the summary point of sensitivity and specificity represents an estimate of a notional unspecified average of mixed thresholds that cannot be clinically interpreted, we reported the area under the HSROC curve (AUHSROC) as the main measure of diagnostic accuracy (17). Stata/SE 15.0 software integrating the MIDAS and METANDI modules (Stata-Corp, College Station, TX, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

To facilitate clinical decision-making, we estimated the optimal threshold of  $Ea_{dyn}$  along with its corresponding 95% confidential interval (CI) by computing the mean and median cutoff values and observing the distribution, dispersion, and central tendency of the reported cutoff values. Meanwhile, we constructed a Bayesian nomogram to calculate the post-test probability to facilitate a better interpretation of the findings. In addition, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the results by excluding the study introducing a high risk of bias. If sufficient studies were identified, we would assess the publication bias by using Deeks' funnel plot asymmetry test (21), and we would also conduct subgroup analyses based on the shock type (septic or postoperative) and the technique measuring SVV.

## Results

Figure 1 depicts the flowchart of study selection. We identified a total of 856 records from the database search and retrieved additional 17 records from other publications, and additional 75 citations were added following the updated search. After precluding 174 duplicates and 769 irrelevant records, 5 studies (4, 12, 13, 22, 23) that met the eligibility were finally included in the quantitative analysis.

# Baseline characteristics and quality assessment

All the included studies were prospective designs and conducted in the intensive care unit (ICU). A total of 183 patients were enrolled, with sample sizes ranging from 32 to 42. The infused dose of norepinephrine at baseline ranged from 0.14 to 0.47  $\mu$ g kg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The subjects in 3 studies (4, 13, 22) were patients with septic shock and in the remaining 2 studies (12, 23) were the mixed population (including surgical patients and septic patients). Three studies (12, 13, 23) used the threshold value of 10% to define MAP responsiveness, and 2 studies (4, 22) defined MAP responsiveness using the threshold value of 15%. The SVV was measured by using arterial waveform analysis in 2 studies (12, 13), using the pulse indicator continuous cardiac output technique in 2 studies (4, 22), and using transthoracic echocardiography in the remaining 1 study (23). Table 1 describes the detailed study and patient characteristics, and Supplementary Table S3 (see Additional file 1) records detailed diagnostic accuracies of Eadyn from each included study.

As shown in Table 2, none of the included studies was judged as having high methodological quality; most included studies were judged as unclear risk of bias in the domains of patient selection and index test due to lacking sufficient information to support these judgments. It is noteworthy that the study by Persona et al. (13) introduced a high risk of bias in the domain of reference



standard because the norepinephrine dose for one reduction was lower than that in the other studies (Table 1), which might potentially decrease the proportion of MAP responder.

# Diagnostic accuracy of dynamic arterial elastance

Among the 183 enrolled participants, 67 (37%) were MAP responders who manifested a significant decrease in MAP after reducing the norepinephrine dosage. We observed no heterogeneity in the sensitivity and specificity across the included studies (Figure 2). The pooled results indicated that  $Ea_{dyn}$  was a reliable predictor of the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome, with an AUHSROC of 0.93 (95% CI: 0.91–0.95), a

pooled sensitivity of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.85-0.98), a pooled specificity of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.65-0.81), and a pooled DOR of 32.4 (95% CI: 11.7-89.9) (Figure 3). All of the included studies recorded a cutoff value of  $\mathrm{Ea}_{\mathrm{dyn}}$  , ranging from 0.80 to 0.97. The scatter plot of the cutoff values of  $\mathrm{Ea}_{\mathrm{dyn}}$  presented a nearly conically symmetrical distribution (Figure 4); the mean and median cutoff values were 0.89 (95% CI: 0.80-0.98) and 0.90 (95% CI: not estimable), respectively. Thus, the range of 0.80-0.98 may represent the optimal 95% CI for predicting the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning. Consequently, according to the Bayes nomogram (Figure 5), if an average-risk population has an assumed pretest probability of MAP responder of 40% (as estimated in this meta-analysis), the probability of MAP responder will increase to 70% when the measured Eadyn is greater than 0.98 and decrease to 5% when the measured Ea<sub>dyn</sub> is less than 0.80.

Technique measuring SVV	0	0	al orm is		al srm
Tec	PICCO	PICCO	Arterial waveform analysis	TTE	Arterial waveform analysis
MAP responsiveness	≥15% decrease	≥15% decrease	≥10% dccrease	≥10% dccrease	≥10% decrease
NE dose for one reduction	3.3 μg min <sup>-1</sup>	3.3 μg min <sup>-1</sup>	3.3 µg min <sup>-1</sup>	3.3 µg min <sup>-1</sup>	0.03 µg kg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup>
NE dose at baseline (µg kg <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.33	0.47	0.16	0.2	0.14
No. of MAP responder (n, %)	13 (37)	13 (41)	11 (31)	12 (31)	18 (43)
MAP (mean or median, mm Hg)	78	79	80	79	76
CO (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	6.1	6.1	4.4	4.9	4.4
PEEP (cm H <sub>2</sub> O)	7	6.1	NR	NR	NR
Tide volume (mL kg <sup>-1</sup> )	7.7	7.3	NR	NR	7.5
Age (years)	NR	59	65	67	57
Sample size	35	32	35	39	42
Subjects	Septic shock patients under mechanical ventilation	Septic shock patients under mechanical ventilation	Mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome (cardiac postoperative 40%, septic shock 40%)	Mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome (postoperative 41%, septic shock 41%)	
Design, setting, and location	Prospective study; ICU; France	Prospective study; ICU; China	Prospective study; ICU; France	Prospective study; ICU; France	Prospective study; ICU; Italy
Study Author/ No. year	Guinot/ 2015	Liang/ 2017	Bar/2018	Nguyen/ 2021	Persona/ 2022
Study No.	1	7	n	4	ŝ

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics.

Data on the age, tide volume, PEEP, CO, MAP, and NE dose at baseline are presented as mean or median values. No. number; NL norepinephrine; PELP pos intensive care unit; SW, stroke volume variation; NR, no record; PICCO pulse indicator continuous cardiac output; TTE transthoracic echocardiography.

TABLE 2 Methodological quality of each included study.	TABLE	2	Methodological	quality	of	each	included	study.
--	-------	---	----------------	---------	----	------	----------	--------

Study	Risk of bias				Applicability concerns			
	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	
Guinot/2015	÷	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	Θ	
Liang/2017	Ś	;	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	Θ	
Bar/2018	Θ	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	Θ	
Nguyen/2021	Ś	;	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	Θ	
Persona/ 2022	Θ	?	$\odot$	Θ	Θ	$\odot$	Θ	

🕑 low risk; 😟 high risk;? unclear risk.



### Sensitivity analysis

Since the study by Persona et al. (13) introduced a high risk of bias in the domain of reference standard, it was excluded from the sensitivity analysis. Compared with the primary analysis, the sensitivity analysis indicated a comparable diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$ , with an AUHSROC of 0.95 (95% CI: 0.93–0.97), a

pooled sensitivity of 96% (95% CI: 85%–99%), a pooled specificity of 74% (95% CI: 64%–82%), and a pooled DOR of 42.9 (95% CI: 12.1–152.6), confirming the robustness of our findings. Due to the limited included studies, we abandoned the scheduled plans of evaluating the publication bias and conducting subgroup analyses based on the shock type and the technique measuring SVV.



## Discussion

This systematic meta-analysis of 5 observational studies sought to assess the diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$  in the prediction of arterial pressure response to norepinephrine weaning. Despite providing limited evidences, the principal findings indicate that  $Ea_{dyn}$  may be a reliable predictor of the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning in mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome, and the range of 0.80–0.98 may represent the optimal 95% CI for the prediction, within which the measured  $Ea_{dyn}$  could not reliably predict the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning. The current findings provide an important clinical implication that the measurement of  $Ea_{dyn}$  before decreasing the norepinephrine dosage may be helpful to recognize which one will experience an arterial pressure reduction associated with norepinephrine weaning. Before the index can be applied widely in clinical practice, some physiological rationales should be acknowledged. As arterial pressure is generated by the interaction of the arterial tone with the blood flow, the magnitude of arterial pulse pressure can theoretically reflect the SV if the heart rate and arterial tone keep unchanged. In general situations, the variations in pulse pressure (i.e., PPV) should be synchronized with the changes in SV induced by intrathoracic pressure changes



(i.e., SVV) because the variations in heart rate and arterial tone during a respiratory cycle are typically negligible (24). In the case of circulatory shock, however, vasopressors will exhibit a substantial impact on the arterial tone and, inevitably, affect the interaction between PPV and SVV (25, 26). In this case, the interrelation between PPV and SVV will present a nonlinear relationship, and the ratio of PPV to SVV (i.e., Eadyn) could functionally describe the dynamical changes in arterial tone and dynamically depict the instantaneous arterial pressure-flow relationship, in analogy to defining the cardiac function curve by preload-responsiveness variables (6, 25). Our meta-analysis together with a previous study (8) demonstrated an excellent ability of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> to predict the changes in arterial pressure related treatment adjustment (including fluid expansion and to norepinephrine weaning). Similarly, Eadyn was also recently documented as an adjustable predictor of post-induction hypotension in patients undergoing general anesthesia (27).

Instead of representing a true surrogate of arterial load,  $Ea_{dyn}$  is actually an index that informs about the balance between blood flow and arterial load (i.e., left ventriculo-arterial coupling) in specific clinical situations. In a recent experimental study,  $Ea_{dyn}$ was found to be inversely related to left ventriculo-arterial coupling and directly to left ventricular efficiency (7). Furthermore,  $Ea_{dyn}$  was also correlated with determinants of the vascular waterfall in patients with vasoplegic syndrome (28). Therefore,  $Ea_{dyn}$  may reflect the balance between blood pressure and blood flow at the macro- and micro-circulatory levels and inform about the cardiovascular efficiency in compensating for the hemodynamic changes in response to treatment adjustments. In this regard, Eadyn should have broad clinical application scenarios in critical care medicine. A recent randomized trial established an Eadyn-based hemodynamic algorithm to manage post-cardiac surgery patients with vasoplegic syndrome and showed that the algorithm was associated with a shorter duration of norepinephrine treatment and a shorter length of ICU stay (29). In a post-hoc analysis of the randomized study, the authors also found that the Ea<sub>dyn</sub>-based hemodynamic algorithm reduced the incidence of acute kidney injury (30). These findings are not unexpected because Eadyn may reflect left ventriculo-arterial coupling and is associated with left ventricular efficiency (7). Previous studies had documented that left ventriculo-arterial coupling was associated with oxygen consumption and tissue perfusion (31, 32); a well-matched ventriculo-arterial coupling will result in improved tissue perfusion and finally decrease postoperative complications (30). Therefore,  $Ea_{dyn}$  could also be used clinically to prevent postoperative complications, apart from informing about the excess use of vasopressor.

To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first to systematically assess the predictive performance of  $Ea_{dyn}$  for arterial pressure changes related to norepinephrine weaning. This meta-analysis presents several major methodological strengths. We estimated the AUHSROC as the main measure of diagnostic



bayes nonlogarin for  $L_{adyn}$  to predict the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning. If an average-risk population has an assumed pretest probability of fluid responder of 40%, the probability of MAP responder will increase to 70% when the test is positive and decrease to 5% when the test is negative.  $E_{adyn}$ , dynamic arterial elastance; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

accuracy to avoid the misinterpretation of the findings resulting from the mixed cut-off values among the included studies. In addition, we estimated the 95% CI of the optimal threshold, which may represent the "uncertain zone", to avoid the binary constraint of a "black-or-white" decision of the ROC curve, because a single threshold seems to be inapplicable to a realistic clinical decision-making scenario. Herein, we propose a feasible decision-making algorithm to manage norepinephrine withdrawal during the de-escalation phase: (1) if the measured  $Ea_{dyn}$  is greater than 0.98, the infused dose of norepinephrine should be decreased stepwise until the measured  $Ea_{dyn}$  is within the range of 0.80–0.98; and (2) if the measured  $Ea_{dyn}$  is less than 0.80, the norepinephrine withdrawal process should be discontinued.

Nevertheless, several limitations of our study should be addressed. First, the included studies were limited and the sample sizes were small, which represent the primary limitation in this meta-analysis and hamper us from drawing a firm conclusion. Additionally, the different techniques applied to measure SVV represent a challenge to the reliability of our findings. The varied techniques measuring SVV might lead to diverse thresholds of Eadyn and between-study heterogeneities. Furthermore, the different thresholds (15% or 10%) used to define MAP responsiveness could also result in a potential heterogeneity among the included studies. However, we could not perform subgroup analyses to identify these potential effect modifiers (including the shock type, MAP responsiveness definition, norepinephrine dose, and the technique measuring SVV) due to the limited included studies. Thus, the findings should be interpreted with caution. Second, none of the included studies had a high methodological quality. The intrinsic methodological shortcomings might introduce a potential bias in the results and thereby restrict the validity and applicability of the findings. However, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding the study introducing a high risk of bias, which confirmed the robustness of the findings. Lastly, we could not determine whether Ea<sub>dyn</sub> has also a good diagnostic accuracy in spontaneously breathing patients. However spontaneous breathing might, theoretically, have no or at most a minor effect on the diagnostic accuracy of Eadyn because spontaneous breathing should exert the same degree of impact on the estimation of PPV and SVV.

## Conclusion

In mechanically ventilated patients with vasoplegic syndrome, the measurement of  $Ea_{dyn}$  at baseline may be a useful and reliable approach to predict arterial pressure changes in response to norepinephrine weaning. The range of 0.80–0.98 may represent the "uncertain zone" for the prediction of the MAP response to norepinephrine weaning. Given the limited included studies and participants, larger studies with high quality are warranted to validate the applicability of  $Ea_{dyn}$  in the future.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

XZ: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software, Writing – original draft. CH: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Data curation, Methodology. JP: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Investigation. CX: Investigation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. ZX: Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft. TP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. BC: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This work was supported by the grants from Zhejiang Medicine and Health Science and Technology Project (No. 2023KY1084; 2021KY1027) and the Project of NINGBO Leading Medical & Health Discipline (No. 2022-F16). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

1. Vincent JL, De Backer D. Circulatory shock. N Engl J Med. (2013) 369 (18):1726-34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1208943

2. Evans L, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, Antonelli M, Coopersmith CM, French C, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. *Intensive Care Med.* (2021) 47(11):1181–247. doi: 10.1007/ s00134-021-06506-y

3. Hamzaoui O, Shi R. Early norepinephrine use in septic shock. J Thorac Dis. (2020) 12(Suppl 1):S72-7. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.12.50

4. Guinot PG, Bernard E, Levrard M, Dupont H, Lorne E. Dynamic arterial elastance predicts mean arterial pressure decrease associated with decreasing norepinephrine dosage in septic shock. *Crit Care.* (2015) 19(1):14. doi: 10.1186/ s13054-014-0732-5

5. Pinsky MR. Protocolized cardiovascular management based on ventriculararterial coupling. In: Pinsky MR, Payen D, editors. *Functional Hemodynamic Monitoring*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (2006). p. 381–95.

6. Monge García MI, Pinsky MR, Cecconi M. Predicting vasopressor needs using dynamic parameters. *Intensive Care Med.* (2017) 43(12):1841–3. doi: 10.1007/s00134-017-4752-x

7. Monge García MI, Jian Z, Hatib F, Settels JJ, Cecconi M, Pinsky MR. Dynamic arterial elastance as a ventriculo-arterial coupling index: an experimental animal study. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:284. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00284

8. Zhou X, Pan W, Chen B, Xu Z, Pan J. Predictive performance of dynamic arterial elastance for arterial pressure response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Ann Intensive Care.* (2021) 11(1):119. doi: 10.1186/s13613-021-00909-2

9. Pinsky MR. Defining the boundaries of bedside pulse contour analysis: dynamic arterial elastance. *Crit Care.* (2011) 15(1):120. doi: 10.1186/cc9986

10. Monge García MI, Gil Cano A, Gracia Romero M. Dynamic arterial elastance to predict arterial pressure response to volume loading in preload-dependent patients. *Crit Care.* (2011) 15(1):R15. doi: 10.1186/cc9420

11. Di Tomasso N, Lerose CC, Licheri M, Castro LEA, Tamà S, Vitiello C, et al. Dynamic arterial elastance measured with pressure recording analytical method, and mean arterial pressure responsiveness in hypotensive preload dependent patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* (2021) 38(4):402–10. doi: 10.1097/EJA.000000000001437

12. Bar S, Leviel F, Abou Arab O, Badoux L, Mahjoub Y, Dupont H, et al. Dynamic arterial elastance measured by uncalibrated pulse contour analysis predicts arterial-pressure response to a decrease in norepinephrine. *Br J Anaesth.* (2018) 121 (3):534–40. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2018.01.032

13. Persona P, Tonetti T, Valeri I, Pivetta E, Zarantonello F, Pettenuzzo T, et al. Dynamic arterial elastance to predict mean arterial pressure decrease after reduction of vasopressor in septic shock patients. *Life (Basel).* (2022) 13(1):28. doi: 10.3390/life13010028

14. McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM; the PRISMA-DTA, Clifford T, et al.Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1350847/full#supplementary-material

meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies: the PRISMA-DTA statement. JAMA. (2018) 319(4):388–96. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.19163

15. Fischer GW, Levin MA. Vasoplegia during cardiac surgery: current concepts and management. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2010) 22(2):140–4. doi: 10.1053/j. semtcvs.2010.09.007

16. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med.* (2011) 155(8):529–36. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009

17. Macaskill PGC, Deeks JJ, Harbord RM, Takwoingi Y. Analysing and Presenting Results. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Version 1.0. London: The Cochrane Collaboration (2010).

18. Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AW, Scholten RJ, Bossuyt PM, Zwinderman AH. Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2005) 58(10):982–90. doi: 10. 1016/j.jclinepi.2005.02.022

19. Deeks JJ. Systematic reviews in health care: systematic reviews of evaluations of diagnostic and screening tests. *Br Med J.* (2001) 323(7305):157-62. doi: 10.1136/bmj. 323.7305.157

20. Rutter CM, Gatsonis CA. A hierarchical regression approach to meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy evaluations. *Stat Med.* (2001) 20(19):2865–84. doi: 10.1002/sim.942

21. Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L. The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2005) 58(9):882–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.01.016

22. Liang FM, Yang T, Dong L, Hui JJ, Yan J. The predictive value of dynamic arterial elastance in arterial pressure response after norepinephrine dosage reduction in patients with septic shock. *Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi.* (2017) 56(5):344–8. doi: 10. 3760/cma.j.issn.0578-1426.2017.05.008

23. Nguyen M, Abou-Arab O, Bar S, Dupont H, Bouhemad B, Guinot PG. Echocardiographic measure of dynamic arterial elastance predict pressure response during norepinephrine weaning: an observational study. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11(1):2853. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82408-9

24. Pinsky MR. The dynamic interface between hemodynamic variables and autonomic tone. *Crit Care Med.* (2005) 33(10):2437-8. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm. 0000182899.42273.4e

25. García MIM, González PG, Romero MG, Cano AG, Rhodes A, Grounds RM, et al. Effects of arterial load variations on dynamic arterial elastance: an experimental study. *Br J Anaesth.* (2017) 118(6):938–46. doi: 10.1093/bja/aex070

26. de Courson H, Boyer P, Grobost R, Lanchon R, Sesay M, Nouette-Gaulain K, et al. Changes in dynamic arterial elastance induced by volume expansion and vasopressor in the operating room: a prospective bicentre study. *Ann Intensive Care*. (2019) 9(1):117. doi: 10.1186/s13613-019-0588-6

27. Oh EJ, Min JJ, Kwon E, Choi EA, Lee JH. Evaluation of pre-induction dynamic arterial elastance as an adjustable predictor of post-induction hypotension: a prospective observational study. *J Clin Anesth.* (2023) 87:111092. doi: 10.1016/j. jclinane.2023.111092

28. Bar S, Nguyen M, Abou-Arab O, Dupont H, Bouhemad B, Guinot PG. Dynamic arterial elastance is associated with the vascular waterfall in patients treated with norepinephrine: an observational study. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:583370. doi: 10. 3389/fphys.2021.583370

29. Guinot PG, Abou-Arab O, Guilbart M, Bar S, Zogheib E, Daher M, et al. Monitoring dynamic arterial elastance as a means of decreasing the duration of norepinephrine treatment in vasoplegic syndrome following cardiac surgery: a prospective, randomized trial. *Intensive Care Med.* (2017) 43(5):643–51. doi: 10.1007/s00134-016-4666-z

30. Guinot PG, Huette P, Bouhemad B, Abou-Arab O, Nguyen M. A norepinephrine weaning strategy using dynamic arterial elastance is associated with reduction of acute

kidney injury in patients with vasoplegia after cardiac surgery: a post-hoc analysis of the randomized SNEAD study. *J Clin Anesth.* (2023) 88:111124. doi: 10.1016/j. jclinane.2023.111124

31. Andrei S, Nguyen M, Longrois D, Popescu BA, Bouhemad B, Guinot PG. Ventriculo-arterial coupling is associated with oxygen consumption and tissue perfusion in acute circulatory failure. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:842554. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.842554

32. Chang MC, Martin RS, Scherer LA, Meredith JW. Improving ventricular-arterial coupling during resuscitation from shock: effects on cardiovascular function and systemic perfusion. *J Trauma*. (2002) 53(4):679–85. doi: 10.1097/00005373-200210000-00010