Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Luigi Tarantini, IRCCS Local Health Authority of Reggio Emilia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Teodora Donisan, Mayo Clinic, United States Andrea Visentin, University of Padua, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE

Ting Wang I tingwang2000@126.com Zou-Fang Huang I nfyyjsjj@126.com

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$  authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 29 March 2024 ACCEPTED 12 July 2024 PUBLISHED 26 July 2024

#### CITATION

Du J, Chen Z-Y, Gu X-R, Wang T and Huang Z-F (2024) Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor-related atrial fibrillation and its implications in the treatment of B-cell lymphoma.

Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1408983. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1408983

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Du, Chen, Gu, Wang and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor-related atrial fibrillation and its implications in the treatment of B-cell lymphoma

Jun Du<sup>1†</sup>, Ze-Yu Chen<sup>2†</sup>, Xiao-Ran Gu<sup>2</sup>, Ting Wang<sup>1\*</sup> and Zou-Fang Huang<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup>Ganzhou Key Laboratory of Hematology, Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China

Adverse events of atrial fibrillation (AF) have been commonly reported in lymphoma patients in treating Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors (BTKi). The incidence rate of AF can vary depending on the specific types of BTKi and the patient population. Totally 45 published studies have revealed that the overall incidence rate of AF is 5% (95% CI 4%–7%). By performing a subtype single-rate analysis, the second-generation BTKi shows a lower AF incidence rate and lower cardiovascular toxicity. In the subtype single-rate analysis, we conclude the different AF incidence rates of Ibrutinib (10%, 95% CI 7%–13%), Acalabrutinib (4%, 95% CI 1%–6%), Orelabrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%–1%), and Zanubrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%–1%). The comprehensive analysis of AF inspires us to better predict and manage AF and other cardiovascular events in treating lymphoma. Meticulous evaluation, collaboration between cardiologists and hematologists, and discovery of new biomarkers are essential for its management.

#### KEYWORDS

atrial fibrillation, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors, cardiovascular toxicity, lymphoma, Ibrutinib

## Introduction

## The applications of BTKi in the treatment of lymphoma

BTK inhibitors are a kind of small molecule targeting the critical component BTK on the signal pathway of B Cells, related to B cell proliferation and survival, making it a significant therapeutic target for B-cell lymphoma (1, 2). BTK inhibitors have gained considerable attention in recent years due to their demonstrated efficacy in treating B-cell lymphoma (3) (Table 1).

#### Abbreviations

BTKi, Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor; XLA, x-linked agammaglobulinemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; WM, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; DLBCL, large B cell lymphoma; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; PI3 K, phosphoinositol 3-kinase; FGF, fibroblast factor; SG, sequential group; MC, multiple-center; PC, placebo-controlled; R/R, relapsed/ refractory disease; N/A, not acquired; AF, atrial fibrillation; BCR, B-cell receptor; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle-cell lymphoma; CNSL, central nervous system leukemia; FL, follicular lymphoma; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; AE, adverse events; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; RCT, randomized clinical trial; SC, single-center; SA, single-arm; DB, double-blind; TN, treatment naive.

	First-generation		Second-generation		Third-generation
	Ibrutinib	Acalabrutinib	Zanubrutinib	Orelabrutinib	Pirtobrunib
Company	AbbVie/Johnson	AstraZeneca	BeiGene	InnoCare Pharma	Eli Lilly
First approved	2013.11 (NDA 205552)	2017.10 (NDA 210259)	2019.11 (NDA 213217)	2020.12 (H20200016)	2023.1 (NDA 216059)

#### TABLE 1 The overall introduction of different BTK inhibitors.

## Indications and adverse events of BTK inhibitors

Since the emergence of the first-generation BTKi, Ibutinib, it has become a critical targeted drug for treating lymphoma, showing a good prognosis and relatively few side effects. The BTKi have been approved for marketing in many countries, and have been listed in chronic lymphocytic leukemia or small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL) (4), mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) (5), Waldenstrom's Macroglobulinemia (WM) (6) and marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) (7), etc. Also, the different doses of BTKi are being tested, and indications' ranges are expanding to other B cell lymphoma or immune diseases. The different combinations of BTKi and other medications are being explored, like rituximab, Obinutuzumab, and venetoclax, for better outcomes and fewer side effects.

We may discover that the indications of BTKi are gradually expanding. Some of them have been proven while more indications are still in clinical trials. More than relatively common types of lymphoma, BTKi are in trials with central nervous system lymphoma (CNSL, NCT04438044) (8), large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL, NCT01855750) (9), and follicular lymphoma (FL, NCT02343120) (10). Furthermore, BTKi also plays a more curial role in autoimmune diseases, including multiple sclerosis (MS, NCT02975349) (11), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE, NCT02975336) (3), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA, NCT03233230) (12).

In conclusion, we may find the importance and high risk of the incidence of AF in treating B-cell lymphoma. In contrast, the reports of AF and other cardiovascular events vary from each other. Thus, we may conclude different reports and have a more detailed description of AF in the different types of BTKi. Furthermore, we may find a better way to manage BTKi-related AF, improving the prognosis of lymphoma patients.

### **Methods**

Due to the wide use of the BTKi in treating lymphoma and the noteworthy AEs of cardiotoxicity like AF in the clinical trial or normal therapeutic use, we collected related reports and paid special attention to AF events. Through extensive reading, different previous clinical trials and research suggest that diverse BTKi may act differently in the safety of AF. To further compare the safety of different generations of BTKi and have more reassuring guidance on clinical treatment, we systematically collected the clinical trials paper with NCT number (except Orelabrutinib) mentioned AF incidence rate. The data are collected from the most commonly used databases like Pubmed and Web of Science with keywords like BTKi and AF. All the clinical trials are collected from the published papers from 2013 to 2022, among a total of 657 papers from Web of Science and 225 papers from PubMed using the same strategy. After type filtering, eliminating duplicates, and screening contents, we finally reduced the scope to 45 papers Figure 1.

After overall criteria and careful reading, we finally collected papers on Ibrutinib (N = 22), Zanubrutinib (N = 12), Acalabrutinib (N = 7), and Orelabrutinib (N = 4). We mainly collect different AF incidence rates, related AE incidence rates, sample size, median age, severity, remark, etc.

## Results

### The AF incidence with BTK inhibitors

Through a comprehensive single-rate analysis encompassing data extracted from forty-two clinical trials conducted within the period spanning 2013-2022, we have concluded that the overall incidence rate of AF is relatively accurate at 5% (95% CI 4%-7% in the random effects model). It has been observed that secondgeneration BTKi exhibits fewer serious adverse events. Notably, the occurrence rate of AF displays significant variability, spanning from 0% to 35%. Having established an overall incidence rate of 5%, comparing the different BTKi therapies could provide valuable guidance for clinical treatment, particularly for patients with high cardiovascular risks. The heterogeneity observed between the four types of BTKi is evident  $(I^2 = 85\% > 50\%, p < 0.01)$ , indicating the need for subtype analysis to uncover differences and explore the underlying causes of heterogeneity. Through subtype analysis, we found the AF incidence rates for Ibrutinib (10%, 95% CI 7%-13% in random effects model) (Table 2), Acalabrutinib (4%, 95% CI 1%-6% in random effects model) (Table 3), Orelabrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%-1% in common effects model) (Table 4), and Zanubrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%-1% in common effects model) (Table 5).

## Discussion

Based on comprehensive analysis results, the critical result of AF incidence is 6.0%. Nevertheless, our findings regarding the incidence rate of AF align with those of other systematic reviews and adhere to similar methodological approaches employed in meta-analyses of bleeding events (53). Meanwhile, through weighted mean, we can also approximately estimate



incidence rates of the cardiac-related events: hypertension (14.8%) and heart failure (4.6%). The uncertainty of AEs will affect the prognosis of patients with lymphoma because of the discontinuation of treatment and the high risks for cardiovascular diseases like heart failure and stroke. Previous studies on Ibrutinib have reported common adverse events (AEs) including diarrhea (49%), upper respiratory tract infection (33%), fatigue (32%), cough (31%), and rash (27%) (4). Among these AEs, AF is a type of abnormal heart rhythm that affects the atria. When the atria are not beating coordinatedly, blood may form clots, travel to the brain vessels, and then lead to a stroke (54). Furthermore, it is imperative to underscore the strong correlation between AF and an elevated risk of heart failure, given that irregular atrial contractions can impede the heart's ability to efficiently pump blood. In summation, AF emerges as a salient and pivotal adverse event, particularly within the domain of BTKi treatment for lymphoma for its wide applications and potential risks.

The elevated risks and unique off-target toxicities associated with AF have garnered significant attention, leading to the emergence of a sub-discipline known as Cardio-oncology. This discipline emphasizes the collaboration between hematologists and cardiologists, aiming to enhance the management and prevention of adverse events associated with BTKi. The BTKi-related AF has not been fully discovered. According to certain studies, BTKi-related AF may related to relatively broad selectivity and targets, including BTK, TEC (55), EGFR, and CSK (56). In comparing Ibrutinib and other second-generation BTKi, differences in the target of CSK may lead to the difference in the incidence of AF.

### The first generation BTKi: Ibrutinib

As the original and first-generation BTKi used in the treatment of lymphoma, Ibrutinib has emerged as a successful paradigm and

#### TABLE 2 Incidence of atrial fibrillation or flutter in ibrutinib.

Author, year of publication	Study design	Population	Sample size	Median age (y)	Median follow-up months	Atrial fibrillation or flutter	Severity	Cardiac-related AEs	Remark	NCT Number
Byrd (13)	RCT Phase III	R/R CCL/SSL	195	67y (range, 30y-86y)	9.4 months (range, 0.1-16.6)	10/195 (5.1%)	N/A	N/A	AF in the Ibrutinib group of 10 patients is higher than the one patient in the ofatumumab group.	NCT 01578707
Burger (14)	Phase III	Frontline CCL/SSL	136	73y	18.4 months	8/136 (5.9%)	6/8 (75.0%) Grade 2 2/8 (25.0%) Grade 3	6/135 (4.4%) Hypertension	Most AF patients have a history of cardiac events. There is a significantly higher ibrutinib than chlorambucil.	NCT 01722487
Farooqui (15)	Phase II	Frontline R/ R CLL/SLL	86	(35/86) > 65y (51/86) > 18y	28 months	14/86 (5.6%)	11/14 (78.6%) Grade 2 3/14 (21.4%) Grade 3	N/A	The research with a higher AF incidence rate may owe it to longer follow-up time.	NCT 01500733
Teron (6)	Prospective study	WM	63	63y (range, 44y–86y)	N/A	3/63 (4.8%)	2/3 (66.7%) Grade 2 1/3 (33.3%) Grade 3	1/63 (1.6%) Sinus tachycardia Grade 2	The AF patients with <i>MYD88<sup>L265P</sup></i> or <i>CXCR4<sup>WT</sup></i> are all with AF history, and their overall toxic effects are moderate.	NCT 01614821
Chanan-Khan (16)	PC DB Phase III	CLL/SLL	289	64y (range, 31y-86y)	17 months (range, 13.7-20.7)	21/289 (7.3%)	N/A	89/289 (31%) Bleeding	Overall, a low incidence of AF was seen in BTKi therapy and chemoimmunotherapy.	NCT 01611090
Wang (17)	SC Phase II	R/R MCL	50	67y (range, 45y-86y)	16.5 months (range, 12.1–19.3)	7/50 (14.0%)	1/7 (14.3%) Grade 1–2 6/7 (85.7%) Grade 3	14/50 (28.0%) Hypertension	The occurrence of AF mainly owes to age and exposure to cardiac toxicity.	NCT 01880567
Ahn (18)	Phase II	CLL	51	66y (range, 33y–85y)	57.6 months	18/86 (20.9%)	13/18 (72.2%) Grade1-2 5/18 (27.8%) Grade 3	N/A	The therapy with Ibrutinib for more than five years is similar to earlier reports. Patients with TP53 aberration also reach durable responses.	NCT 01500733
Dimopoulos (19)	Phase III	WM	75	70y (range, 36y–89y)	26.5 months	11/75 (14.7%)	2/11 (18.2%) Grade1-2 9/11 (81.8%) Grade ≥3	10/75 (13.3%) Grade ≥3 Hypertension 38/75 (50.7%) Bleeding	A higher incidence of AF is observed in the Ibrutinib-Rituximab group compared to placebo- rituximab after 26 months.	NCT 02165397
Treon (20)	N/R	TN WM	30	67y (range, 43y-83y)	14.6 months	3/30 (10.0%)	3/3 (100.0%) Grade 2	4/30 (13.3%) Hypertension	Ibrutinib is well tolerated overall in TN patients with WM and has no unexpected toxicities. Patients with AF can usually be managed with medication without dose reduction.	NCT 02604511
Davids (21)	MC SA Phase II	TN CLL	85	55y (range, 50y–58y)	16.5months (range, 10.6–34.1)	5/85 (5.9%)	2/5 (40.0%) Grade1-2 3/5 (60.0%) Grade 3	6/85 (7.1%) Hypertension	The ibrutinib plus FCR (Fludarabine, Cyclophosphamide, and Rituximab) regimen has relatively few grades ≥ 3 AEs and few lead to discontinuations.	NCT 02251548
Jain (22)	Phase II	TN CLL	80	65y (range, 26y–83y)	14.8 months	12/80 (15.0%)	4/12 (33.3%) Grade 1–2 8/12 (66.7%) Grade 3–4	11/80 (13.8%) Hypertension	The combination therapy of Ibrutinib and venetoclax is effective and tolerable. The safety profile is similar to the monotherapy of Ibrutinib or venetoclax.	NCT 02756897
Munir (23)	MC Phase III	R/R CLL/SLL	195	N/A	41 months (range, 0.2-71.1)	24/195 (12.0%)	N/A	41/195 (21%) Hypertension 19/195 (10%) Bleeding $\geq$ Grade 3 2/195 (1.0%) Ventricular tachyarrhythmia 9/195 (4.6%) Heart failure	The overall prevalence of AF is similar to the earlier report. Most AEs, except hypertension, gradually decrease with treatment.	NCT 01578707

10.3389/fcvm.2024.1408983

#### TABLE 2 Continued

Author, year of publication	Study design	Population	Sample size	Median age (y)	Median follow-up months	Atrial fibrillation or flutter	Severity	Cardiac-related AEs	Remark	NCT Number
Nastoupil (24)	MC Phase I	CLL/SLL/ B-NHL	46	62y (range, 56y–67y)	15 months (range, 6.8–24.5)	2/46 (4.3%)	N/A	6/46 (13.0%) Hypertension	The study shows the tolerable safety profile of a triplet regimen (Ibrutinib, ublituximab, and umbralisib) with only one patient leading to discontinuation.	NCT 02006485
Tam (25)	Phase III	WM	98	(29/99) ≤ 65y (70/99) > 65y	19.4 months	15/98 (15.3%)	11/15 (73.4%) Grade 1-2 4/15 (26.6%) Grade ≥3	16/98 (16.3%) Hypertension	AF and hypertension are reported in higher frequency in the Ibrutinib group compared with Zanubrutinib.	NCT 03053440
Byrd (26)	Phase III	CLL	263	65y (range, 28y-88y)	40.9 months (range, 0.0–59.1)	41/263 (15.6%)	32/41 (78.0%) Grade 1-2 9/41 (22.0%) Grade ≥3	60/263 (22.8%) Hypertension 5/263 (1.9%) Ventricular arrhythmia or cardiac arrest	Compared with Acalabrutinib, more AEs like AF or hypertension are observed, and a five times higher rate of discontinuation due to cardiac events in the Ibrutinib group.	NCT 02477696
Sharman (27)	MC	R/R CLL	126	66y/67y (range, 62– 74)	41.6 months (range, 36.7-47.3)	5/126 (4.0%)	N/A	N/A	The rate of incidence of AF in the Ublituximab plus ibrutinib group (four, 7%) is higher than Ibrutinib alone group (one, 2%).	NCT 02301156
Treon (28)	N/R	R/R WM	63	63y (range, 44y–86y)	59 months	6/63 (9.5%)	5/6 (83.3%) Grade 2 1/6 (16.7%) Grade 3	4/63 (6.3%) Hypertension 1/63 (1.5%) Hypotension	Ibrutinib responses are affected by <i>MYD88</i> and <i>CXCR4</i> mutation but with no unexpected AEs for its tolerable profile.	NCT 01614821
Trotman (29)	Phase III	WM	31	67y (range, 47–90)	58 months (range, 9–61)	0/31 (0.0%)	N/A	3/31 (9.7%) Grade ≥ 3 Hypertension	Ibrutinib is tolerated with no AF events, primarily low-grade AEs, and without the case of discontinuation of the treatment.	NCT 02165397
Castillo (30)	SG Phase II	TN WM	90	67y (range, 43y-83y)	50 months	6/30 (20.0%)	6/6 (100.0%) Grade 2	5/30 (16.7%) Hypertension 1/30 (3.3%) Cardiac arrest	All patients with AF can be managed medically and continue treatment, and not seen as a contraindication for Ibrutinib.	NCT 02604511
Jain (31)	SA Phase II	MCL	50	71y (range, 69y-76y years	45 months (range, 24–56)	17/50 (34.0%)	1/17 (5.9%) Grade 1 5/17 (29.4%) Grade 2 11/17 (64.7%) Grade ≥ 3	9/50 (18.0%) Bleeding 12/50 (24.0%) Hypertension	Patients who develop AF have higher baseline cardiac risk factors and ECG abnormalities than non-AF patients.	NCT 01880567
Langerbeins (32)	Phase III	TN CCL	158	64y (range, 38y–85y)	31 months	19/158 (12.0%)	9/19 (47.4%) Grade 1-2 10/19 (52.6%) Grade ≥3	16/158 (10.1%) Hypertension	Compared with the placebo, the occurrence of AE is similar, while Ibrutinib is associated with more cardiovascular and bleeding events.	NCT 02863718
Wang (33)	SC, SA Phase II	Frontline MCL	131	56y (range, 49y-60y)	42 months (range, 30–54)	5/131 (3.8%)	3/5 (60.0%) Grade 1 1/5 (20.0%) Grade 2 1/5 (20.0%) Grade 3	20/131 (15.2%) Hypertension	There are few significant AEs in the Ibrutinib- rituximab group.	NCT 02427620

RCT, randomized clinical trial; SG, sequential group; SC, single-center; MC, multiple-center; SA, single-arm; PC, placebo-controlled; DB, double-blind; CLL, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle-cell Lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; WM, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia; CNSL, central nervous system lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; R/R, Relapsed/refractory disease; TN, treatment naive; N/A, not acquired; AF, artificial fibrillation; AEs, adverse events; BTKi, Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

#### TABLE 3 Incidence of atrial fibrillation or flutter in acalabrutinib.

Author, year of publication	Study design	Population	Sample size	Median age (y)	Median follow-up months	Atrial fibrillation or flutter	Severity	Cardiac- related AEs	Remark	NCT Number
Awan (34)	Phase 1/2	CLL/SLL	33	64y (range, 50y–82y)	9.5 months (range, 0.5 to 20.6)	2/33 (6.0%)	1/2 (50.0%) Grade 2 1/2 (50.0%) Grade 3	N/A	Acalabrutinib is usually tolerable and effective for patients with ibrutinib intolerance.	NCT 02029443
Wang (35)	MC, SA Phase II	R/R MCL	124	68y (range, 61y–75y)	15.2 months	0/124 (0.0%)	N/A	N/A	AF was not observed, while longer follow-up and other randomized studies are needed to further explore.	NCT 02213926
Byrd (36)	Phase 1b/2	R/R CLL/SSL	134	66y (range, 42y-85y)	41.0 months	10/134 (7.5%)	6/10 (60.0%) Grade1-2 4/10 (40.0%) Grade ≥3	N/A	The report supports the previous findings and provides added proof of endurance and tolerability, and the 100 mg twice-daily dose may be more effective.	NCT 02029443
Sharman (37)	Phase III	TN CLL	179	70y(range, 66y–75y)	28.3 months	7/179 (3.9%)	N/A	4/179 (2.2%) Hypertension Grade ≥3	Compared with chemoimmunotherapy, Acalabrutinib with Obinutuzumab or not has a more effective outcome and higher incidence rate of AF (7/179 vs 1/169).	NCT 02475681
Ghia (38)	MC Phase III	R/R CLL	154	68y(range, 32y-89y)	16.1 months (range, 0.03– 22.4)	3/154 (1.9%)	N/A	2/195 (1.0%) Ventricular tachyarrhythmia 5/154 (3.2%) Hypertension 9/195 (4.6%) Heart failure	Acalabrutinib-related AEs are similar to the previous studies. Few serious AEs and few AEs leading to discontinuation.	NCT 02970318
Byrd (26)	MC Phase III	CLL	266	65y(range, 28y–88y)	40.9 months (range, 0.0–59.1)	24/266 (9.0%)	12/24 (50.0%) Grade ≥3	23/266 (8.6%) Hypertension	The first randomized phase III Acalabrutinib versus Ibrutinib trail shows a five-fold higher discontinuation rate because of cardiac events, 2.4 times higher AF rate, and shorter median onset time in ibrutinib.	NCT 02477696
Strati (39)	MC Phase II	R/R sMZL	43	69y (range, 42y-84y)	13.3 months (range 0.5-45.5)	0/43 (0.0%)	N/A	2/43 (4.7%) Hypertension	The lower incidence of AF with Acalabrutinib might be interpreted with caution due to the shorter follow-up.	NCT 02180711

MC, multiple-center; SA, single-arm; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; R/R, relapsed/refractory disease; TN, treatment naive; N/A, not acquired; AF, artificial fibrillation; AEs, adverse events; BTKi, Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

lumbe

Remark

elated AEs Cardiac-

Severity

Atrial fibrillation

or flutter

follow-up Median

Median age

Sample

Study Population

year of

Author,

ication

publi

TABLE 4 Incidence of atrial or flutter in orelabrutinib

Xu (40)	MC	R/R	80	N/A	6.3 months	0/80 (0%)	N/A	No significant	No significant The improvement in selectivity allows Orelabrutinib to have	N/A
	Phase II	CLL/SLL			(range, 0.4–13.7)			AEs	an improvement in selectivity and no report of significant AEs.	
Song (41)	MC Phase II	R/R MCL	106	N/A	15.0 months	0/106 (0%) ≥Grade 3	N/A	N/A	Orelabrutinib is safe and well tolerated, with a better selection for BTKi therapy.	N/A
Zhou (42)	SA MC Phase II	R/R WM	47	63y (range, 56y–68y)	10.5 months	0/47 (0%) ≥Grade 3	N/A	N/A	Orelabrutinib shows favorable safety and tolerability with fewer AEs and promising treatment efficacy in R/R W/M patients.	N/A
Wu (8)	SG	CNSL	23	55.0y (range,41.2y -68.8y)	4.5 months (range, 2.9–5.8)	0/23 (0%)	N/A	N/A	It is the first study for patients with CNSL and shows a favorable outcome and mild, tolerable, and controllable AEs.	N/A
SG, sequential grou	p; MC, multip	ile-center; SA, 5	single-arm;	SG, sequential group; MC, multiple-center; SA, single-arm; cll, chronic lymphocytic	tic leukemia; SLL, small t	lymphocytic lymphorr	ia; MCL, man	itle-cell lymphom	leukemia; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle-cell lymphoma; WM, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia; CNSL, Central nervous system	vous system

not acquired: AF, artificial fibrillation; AEs, adverse events; BTKI, Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor. lymphoma; R/R, relapsed/refractory disease; N/A, a catalyst for related research. With extensive experimental support and clinical trial data, the reliability of its efficacy in terms of progression-free survival (PFS) and incidence rates of serious adverse events (AEs) has increased. However, notable heterogeneity remains within the Ibrutinib-treated group due to lymphoma types, study designs, and baseline data variations. To conduct further analysis in detail, we categorized the data into more specific subtypes. Nevertheless, the currently available clinical trials have limitations in providing a more nuanced analysis of these subtypes. The reported incidence rate of AF at 10% in Ibrutinib-treated patients can be considered relatively accurate and a reliable clinical reference. Notably, a meta-analysis focusing on AF incidence rate in CLL patients treated with Ibrutinib reported an AF incidence rate of 5% (57). This discrepancy could be attributed to the extensive application of Ibrutinib in lymphoma cases, as CLL was among the earliest approved indications for Ibrutinib, with a relatively low incidence rate of AF. Furthermore, more recent clinical trials have reported rates higher than 10% (25-27). The 10% incidence rate is understandable given the inherent heterogeneity associated with a single-rate analysis.

### The second generation BTKi: zanubrutinib, orelabrutinib, and acalabrutinib

The progress in BTKi therapy has notably lessened treatment complications and bolstered treatment endurance. Zanubrutinib was brought into sharp focus due to its PFS efficiency results or relatively fewer side effects. Safety has become a key factor in the recommendation of lymphoma treatment. Also, based on the NCCN guideline (Version 1.2023) of CLL/SLL, Zanubrutinib is taken as the highest level of clinical recommendation. In contrast, Ibrutinib is taken as another recommendation for its potential cardiovascular risks. According to the result, we may observe that the AF incidence rate is approximately 0% due to the high risks of bias in certain studies. In the head-to-head trials, the AF incidence rate is 2.5% (58). The difference may originate from the limitations of different independent research reports and the methodology of single-group rate analysis. Larger studies, more compact study designs, and real-world data are necessary for further evidence to support the encouraging results of Zanubrutinib.

Orelabrutinib is still at the stage of various clinical trials. Only a few studies disclosed the results, while it is still considered a promising drug as BTKi for more effective and fewer AEs treatment. Although the current results from the single-rate analysis suggest an incidence rate of 0% for AF and no reported cases of AF, it is vital to acknowledge such analyses' limitations and potential biases.

Based on the subtype meta-analysis, the incidence rate of AF with Acalabrutinib in the random effect model is about 4%. Though the induced studies are limited, there is no significant difference between trials or other previous reports. The incidence of AF in the first randomized phase III trial of Ibrutinib (41/263, 15.6%) vs. Acalabrutinib (24/266, 9.0%) may offer us newly updated supportive data.

Author, year of publication	Study design	Population	Sample size	Median age (y)	Median follow-up months	Atrial fibrillation or flutter	Severity	Cardiac- related AEs	Remark	NCT Number
Tam (43)	MC Phase I	R/R CLL/SLL	144	Part 2 69y (range, 24y–87y)	Part 2 13.7 months (range, 0.4–30.5)	1/144 (0.7%)	1/1 (100%) Grade 2	N/A	The limited incidence rate of AF in Zanubrutinib may not increase risks though the mechanism of toxicity is not yet precise.	NCT 02343120
Dimopoulos (44)	Phase III	WM	28	72y (range, 39y-87y)	17.9 months	1/28 (3.6%)	1/1 (100%) Grade 1	3/28 (10.7%) Hypertension	Zanubrutinib generally has low cardiotoxicity in AF and other related side effects.	NCT 03053440
Song (45)	SA Phase II	R/R MCL	86	60.5y	18.4 months	0/86 (0.0%)	N/A	13/86 (15.1%) Hypertension	The safety of Zanubtutinib is more effective in prolonging treatment or reaching better outcomes due to higher selectivity.	NCT 03206970
Tam (46)	MC Phase 1b	FL CLL/SLL	81	N/A	N/A	0/81 (0.0%)	N/A	7/81 (8.6%) Hypertension	Compared with other BTKi, there is no AF case and a lower incidence rate of severe AEs in Zanubrutinib.	NCT 02569476
Tam (25)	Phase III	WM	101	$(41/102) \le 65y (64/102) > 65y$	19.4 months	2/101 (2.0%)	2/2 (100%) Grade 1–2	11/101 (10.9%) Hypertension	Though AF is a common complication in BTKi therapies, Zanubrutinib shows a lower AF incidence and a tolerable profile due to higher selectivity.	NCT 03053440
Trotman (47)	MC Phase 1/ 2	WM	77	67y (range, 40y–87y)	R/R 36.0 months TN 23.5 months	4/77 (5.2%)	1/4 (25.0%) Grade 1 2/4 (50.0%) Grade 2 1/4 (25.0%) Grade 3	12/77 (15.6%) Hypertension	No patients require dose reductions or treatment discontinuation for the long-term tolerable treatment.	NCT 02343120
Xu (48)	SG Phase II	R/R CLL/SLL	91	61y (range, 35y-87y)	15.1 months (range, 0.8–21.2)	0/91 (0.0%)	N/A	9/91 (9.9%) Hypertension	There is no report of Atrial Fibrillation or Flutter and risk factors are relatively rare in patients other than hypertension and diabetes.	NCT 03206918
An (49)	SA, MC Phase II	R/R WM	44	65y (range, 41y-83y)	33.0 months (range, 2.0–36.5)	0/44 (0.0%)	N/A	8/44 (18.2%) Hypertension	No AF incidence occurred, which indicates its tolerable and manageable therapy safety.	NCT 03332173
Opat (50)	Phase II	R/R MZL	68	70y (range, 37y–95y)	15.7 months (range, 1.6–21.9)	2/68 (2.9%)	1/2 (50.0%) Grade ≥3	2/68 (2.9%) Hypertension	Treatment with Zanubrutinib was associated with fewer dose reductions because of its clinical safety and tolerability.	NCT 03846427
Phillips (10)	SA, MC Phase 1/ 2	R/R FL MZL	52	MZL 69.5y (range, 52y–85y) FL 63y (range, 38y–79y)	33.8 months	0/52 (0.0%)	N/A	3/52 (5.8%) Hypertension	AEs of AF are unusual in patients with FL or MZL.	NCT 02343120
Song (51)	SA, MC Phase I	CLL/SLL MCL WM FL MZL	44	N/A	31.5 months	0/44 (0.0%)	N/A	1/44 (2.3%) Hypertension	There are no severe side effects like AF or second primary malignancies.	NCT 03189524
Song (52)	SA Phase II	R/R MCL	86	61y (range, 34y-75y)	35.3 months	0/86 (0.0%)	N/A	No Grade≥3 cardiac AEs	There is no evident advance in the incidence rate or severity of AF, and cardiovascular-related AEs are manageable and tolerated.	NCT 03206970

SG, sequential group; MC, multiple-center; SA, single-arm; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; MCL, mantle-cell Lymphoma; FL, Follicular lymphoma; WM, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; R/R, relapsed/refractory disease; N/A, not acquired; AF, artificial fibrillation; AEs, adverse events; BTKi, Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

Study	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Type = Ibrutinib			: :				
Byrd 2014	10	105	!	0.05	10 02: 0 001	1 70/	2.5%
	10	195		0.05	[0.02; 0.09]	1.7%	
Burger 2015	8	136	i - :	0.06	[0.03; 0.11]	1.0%	2.4%
Farooqui 2015	14	86		0.16	[0.09; 0.26]	0.3%	1.7%
Teron 2015	3	63	<del> </del>	0.05	[0.01; 0.13]	0.6%	2.2%
Chanan-Khan 2016	21	289		0.07	[0.05; 0.11]	1.8%	2.5%
Wang 2016	7	50	1	0.14	[0.06; 0.27]	0.2%	1.5%
Ahn 2018	18	51	i :	- 0.35	[0.22; 0.50]	0.1%	1.0%
Dimopoulos 2018	11	75		0.15	[0.08; 0.25]	0.3%	1.7%
Treon 2018	3	30	l	0.10	[0.02; 0.27]	0.1%	1.3%
Davids 2019	5	85					2.2%
				0.06	[0.02; 0.13]	0.6%	
Jain 2019	12	80		0.15	[0.08; 0.25]	0.3%	1.7%
Munir 2019	24	195		0.12	[0.08; 0.18]	0.8%	2.3%
Nastoupil 2019	2	46		0.04	[0.01; 0.15]	0.5%	2.1%
Tam 2020	15	98		0.15	[0.09; 0.24]	0.3%	1.8%
Byrd 2021	41	263		0.16	[0.11; 0.21]	0.8%	2.3%
Sharman 2021	5	126		0.04	[0.01; 0.09]	1.4%	2.4%
Treon 2021	6	63		0.10	[0.04; 0.20]	0.3%	1.8%
Tortman 2021	0 0	31		0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	0.9%	2.3%
Castillo 2022	6	90	! <u> </u>	0.07	[0.02; 0.14]	0.6%	2.2%
Jain 2022	17		I				
		50	i i	0.34	[0.21; 0.49]	0.1%	1.0%
Langerbeins 2022	19	158		0.12	[0.07; 0.18]	0.6%	2.2%
Wang 2022	5	131	· · · · ·	0.04	[0.01; 0.09]	1.5%	2.5%
Common effect model		2391	*	0.08	[0.07; 0.09]	14.8%	
Random effects model			i : 🔶	0.10	[0.07; 0.13]		43.6%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 79\%, \tau^2 = 0$	0.0036, <i>p</i> < 0.0	01					
Type = Acalabrutinib			11				
Awan 2016	2	33		0.06	[0.01; 0.20]	0.2%	1.7%
Wang 2018	0	124	<b>*</b>	0.00	[0.00; 0.03]	13.3%	2.7%
Byrd 2020	10	134	i 🛶	0.07	[0.04; 0.13]	0.8%	2.3%
Sharman 2020	7	179		0.04		2.0%	2.5%
					[0.02; 0.08]		
Ghia 2020	3	154	<u> </u>	0.02	[0.00; 0.06]	3.4%	2.6%
Byrd 2021	24	266		0.09	[0.06; 0.13]	1.4%	2.4%
Strati 2022	0	43		0.00	[0.00; 0.08]	1.7%	2.5%
Common effect model		933	*	0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	22.8%	
Random effects model			- <del>**</del>	0.04	[0.01; 0.06]		16.7%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 84\%, \tau^2 = 0$	0.0011, p < 0.0	01					
Type = Orelabrutinib							
Xu 2019	0	80	• <del>•••</del> •	0.00	[0.00; 0.05]	5.6%	2.6%
Song 2020	0	106	<b>*</b>	0.00	[0.00; 0.03]	9.7%	2.7%
Zhou 2021	0	47		0.00	[0.00; 0.08]	2.0%	2.5%
Wu 2022	0	23	<u></u>	0.00	[0.00; 0.08]	0.5%	2.5%
	U		<b>1</b>		•		
Common effect model		256	• •	0.00	[0.00; 0.01]	17.8%	
Random effects model				0.00	[0.00; 0.01]		9.9%
	, p = 1.00						
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib	), <i>p</i> = 1.00						
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib	), p = 1.00 <b>1</b>	144	*	0.01	[0.00; 0.04]	8.8%	2.7%
Heterogeneity: /² = 0%, τ² = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019				0.01 0.04			
Heterogeneity: /² = 0%, τ² = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020	1 1	28		0.04	[0.00; 0.18]	0.3%	1.9%
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020	1 1 0	28 86		0.04 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4%	1.9% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: / <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020	1 1 0 0	28 86 81		0.04 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020	1 1 0 2	28 86 81 101		0.04 0.00 0.00 0.02	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2%	1.9% 2.6% 2.6% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020	1 1 0 2 4	28 86 81 101 77		0.04 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.05	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020	1 0 0 2 4 0	28 86 81 101 77 91		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.2%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Ku 2020 An 2021	1 0 2 4 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Ku 2020 An 2021	1 0 0 2 4 0	28 86 81 101 77 91		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.2%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021	1 0 2 4 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.10] [0.00; 0.07]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.10] [0.00; 0.07] [0.00; 0.08]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4% 1.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.4% 2.5% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: J <sup>2</sup> = 0%, τ <sup>2</sup> = 0, Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.10] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.4% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model	1 0 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.01] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4% 44.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model Random effects model	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86 <b>902</b>	+	0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.10] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.4% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = <$	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86 <b>902</b>	+	0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.07] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.01]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4% 44.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = <$	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86 <b>902</b>	+	0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.07] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.01] [0.00; 0.01]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 2.2% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 1.0% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4% 44.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.5% 2.6% 2.5% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = <$	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86 <b>902</b>		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.07] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.01]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4% 44.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.4% 2.5% 2.5% 2.6% 2.6% 2.6%
Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$ , Type = Zanubrutinib Tam 2019 Dimopoulos 2020 Song 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tam 2020 Tortman 2020 Xu 2020 An 2021 Opat 2021 Opat 2021 Phillips 2022 Song 2022 Song 2022 Common effect model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = <$	1 1 0 2 4 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 86 81 101 77 91 44 68 52 44 86 <b>902</b>		0.04 0.00 0.02 0.05 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00	[0.00; 0.18] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.07] [0.01; 0.13] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.07] [0.00; 0.08] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.04] [0.00; 0.01] [0.00; 0.01]	0.3% 6.4% 5.7% 0.7% 7.2% 1.7% 2.4% 1.7% 6.4% 44.7%	1.9% 2.6% 2.5% 2.2% 2.7% 2.5% 2.5% 2.6% 2.5% 2.6%

#### FIGURE 2

Forest plots of the included studies of atrial fibrillation or flutter occurrence rate and sub-type analysis. Through the single-rate analysis of AF incidence, we determined the AF incidence rates for Ibrutinib (10%, 95% CI 7%–13% in random effects model), Acalabrutinib (4%, 95% CI 1%–6% in random effects model), Orelabrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%–1% in common effects model), Zanubrutinib (0%, 95% CI 0%–1% in common effects model), and overall AF incidence rate (5%, 95% CI 4%–7% in random effects model).

## New generation BTKi: pirtobrunib

The approval of Pirtobrutinib in January 2023 as a noncovalent BTK inhibitor for MCL patients resistant to covalent ones marks a significant advancement in the third-generation BTKi (59). Also, we may expect the emergence of more third-generation BTKi, including pirtobrutinib (60) and Fenebrutinib (61). These developments highlight the ongoing evolution of BTK inhibitor therapy and the potential for better outcomes in diverse disease contexts. The field of BTKi has evolved from the first generation of exploratory and innovative compounds. Subsequently, the focus shifted towards the second generation of inhibitors, aiming to improve efficacy while minimizing side effects. Presently, the introduction of third-generation non-covalent binding inhibitors represents a significant advancement, expanding the therapeutic indications, and narrowing adverse events.

# Comparative analysis between ibrutinib and zanubrutinib

The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) warn against the utilization of Ibrutinib for its cardiovascular risks. In the age of targeted therapy, survival time of CLL/SLL patients has been largely prolonged. Thus, monitoring and



cardiovascular adverse events including AF (5%), hypertension (14.8%), and heart failure (4.6%). It is related to different receptors like BTK, EGFR, and TEC expressed by myocardial cells and vascular endothelial cells. Risk factors and evaluation methods like CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED are taken to evaluate its interventions. Dosage adjustment or anticoagulants are common clinical measures, and a healthy lifestyle and continuous monitoring are advised to manage risk factors, hypertension, and diabetes. Patients with an AF history are highly risky for BTKi-related AF and require special monitoring and decisions.

prevention of cardiovascular events is vital for clinical treatment choices based on comprehensive physical conditions and drug toxicity. Recently, based on a head-to-head experiment (Zanubrutinib vs. Ibrutinib), the incidence rate of AF significantly decreased (2.5% vs. 10.19%, P = 0.001). In the study of ALPINE, 6 cases of 652 patients died of cardiac events with Ibrutinib. Also, the PFS in the Zanubrutinib group was better than that in the Ibrutinib group (94.9% vs. 84.0%) (58).

Through the comparison of Ibrutinib and Zanubrutinib, we may observe a significant difference in that Zanubrutinib performs better in the cardiac safety profiles in Figure 2. Theoretically, we may agree with the higher inhibition effects of Ibrutinib in HER2, HER4, TEC (mainly related to AF) (62) and fewer off-target effects of Zanubrutinib with lower IC50 against BTK (mainly related to the treatment of lymphoma) and higher IC50 for other kinases like EGFR(21nM), TEC(44nM), ITK (50nM) (63). More Head-to-head clinical trials or more detailed disclosure of procedures and results may help us identify their PFS and cardiotoxicity. The different baselines of cardiac risk factors, the ignorant monitoring of AEs, and the median follow-up time may contribute to the difference between the BTKi.

Generally, the incidence rate of AF would increase with longer follow-up time due to its stable long-term risks. However, some studies suggest that AF primarily occurs during a specific period and does not increase over time (62, 64). Comparing the median age and median follow-up time between the two groups, the mean age of both groups is approximately 65.2 months. However, the mean follow-up times differ, with 31.5 months in the Ibrutinib group and 23.38 months in the Zanubrutinib group. Furthermore, future clinical designs and systematic reviews should pay attention to baseline differences and the AF occurrence time.

# Guidance for the management of AF in the treatment of BTKi

The advancements in BTKi have primarily focused on three key areas: developing new generations of BTKi, exploring different dosage regimens, and expanding medication options.

However, managing AF as a confirmed AE requires careful consideration before treatment initiation. A thorough assessment of high-risk factors, such as AF history, hypertension, bleeding history, age  $\geq 65y$ , male sex, and underlying diseases, is necessary. Based on a recent study, reported Ibrutinib,  $age \ge 65y$ , blood culture positive, hypertension, diabetes, and sex as risk factors for developed AF in CLL patients (65). Specifically, some studies may focus on AF-risk scores used in patients with CLL, if patients with a score  $\geq 5$  should be carefully monitored or changed to secondgeneration BTKi (66-68). Commonly used clinical tools like the CHA2DS2-VASc and the HAS-BLED aid in evaluating the riskbenefit balance of AF patients in the area of BTKi treatment. For instance, if the CHA2DS2-VASc score exceeds 2, oral anticoagulants such as aspirin are recommended (69). Also, newly developed AF prediction models like HARMS2-AF risk scores can be tested in future trials (70). The personalized treatment plans should be formulated based on patient-specific factors such as scores, electrocardiogram (ECG) results, and blood pressure, including tailored doses and durations of BTKi, anticoagulants, and other supportive treatments (71) (Figure 3).

Moreover, based on clinical needs, early detection methods and specific biomarkers are essential for the management of lymphoma treatment. There are already biomarkers, including Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP), ST2, Galectin-3 (72), FGF-23 (73), and microRNAs (74). While we may expect further exploration of the specific biomarker for BTKi-related AF, working as a convenient and inexpensive method. In cases where medically managing BTK inhibitor-related AF proves challenging, discontinuation of BTK inhibitor treatment may be considered. However, this decision must be weighed against its potential impact on treatment efficacy. Thus, striking a balance between side effects and the continuity of treatment is imperative.

## Conclusion

Through a relatively comprehensive collection, we conclude different types of AEs, mainly focusing on the incidence of AF. The incidence rate of AF varies from 0% to 35%, and through a single rate analysis, the overall AF incidence rate is considered as 5% (95% CI 4%-7%). The profiles of BTKi are tolerable and controllable, showing a great advancement in the second-generation BTK with less serious AEs. Also, the cardiac-related AEs indicate us to manage patients regularly and prevent the occurrence of AF during the treatment of lymphoma. In the future, we may further explore the mechanism of BTKi-related AF and find more measures to monitor cardiac-related AEs.

## Author contributions

JD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Z-YC: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. X-RG: Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. TW: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. Z-FH: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Poyyamoli S, Mehta P, Cherian M, Anand R, Patil S, Kalva S, et al. Role of bruton's tyrosine kinase in B cells and malignancies. *Mol Cancer*. (2018) 17(1):57. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0779-z

2. Cardenas-Morales M, Hernandez-Trujillo VP. Agammaglobulinemia: from X-linked to autosomal forms of disease. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* (2022) 63 (1):22–35. doi: 10.1007/s12016-021-08870-5

3. Burger JA. Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors: present and future. *Cancer J.* (2019) 25(6):386–93. doi: 10.1097/PPO.00000000000412

4. Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre SE, Flinn IW, Burger JA, Blum KA, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 369(1):32–42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1215637

5. Wang ML, Rule S, Martin P, Goy A, Auer R, Kahl BS, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 369 (6):507–16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1306220

6. Treon SP, Tripsas CK, Meid K, Warren D, Varma G, Green R, et al. Ibrutinib in previously treated Waldenström's macroglobulinemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372 (15):1430–40. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501548

7. Noy A, de Vos S, Thieblemont C, Martin P, Flowers CR, Morschhauser F, et al. Targeting Bruton tyrosine kinase with ibrutinib in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma. *Blood.* (2017) 129(16):2224–32. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-10-747345

8. Wu JJ, Wang WH, Dong M, Ma SS, Zhang XD, Zhu LN, et al. Orelabrutinibbruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor-based regimens in the treatment of central nervous system lymphoma: a retrospective study. *Invest New Drugs*. (2022) 40(3):650–9. doi: 10.1007/s10637-022-01219-5

9. Wilson WH, Wright GW, Huang DW, Hodkinson B, Balasubramanian S, Fan Y, et al. Effect of ibrutinib with R-CHOP chemotherapy in genetic subtypes of DLBCL. *Cancer Cell.* (2021) 39(12):1643–1653.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2021.10.006

10. Phillips T, Chan H, Tam CS, Tedeschi A, Johnston P, Oh SY, et al. Zanubrutinib monotherapy in relapsed/refractory indolent non-hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood Adv.* (2022) 6(11):3472–9. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006083

11. Montalban X, Arnold DI, Weber MS, Staikov I, Piasecka-Stryczynska K, Willmer J, et al. Placebo-controlled trial of an oral BTK inhibitor in multiple sclerosis. N Engl J Med. (2019) 380(25):2406–17. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a1901981

12. Montalban X, Wallace D, Genovese MC, Tomic D, Parsons-Rich D, Le Bolay C, et al. Characterisation of the safety profile of evobrutinib in over 1000 patients from phase II clinical trials in multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus: an integrated safety analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2023) 94(1):1–9. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2022-328799

13. Byrd JC, Brown JR, O'Brien S, Barrientos JC, Kay NE, Reddy NM, et al. Ibrutinib versus ofatumumab in previously treated chronic lymphoid leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2014) 371(3):213–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1400376

14. Burger JA, Tedeschi A, Barr PM, Robak T, Owen C, Ghia P, et al. Ibrutinib as initial therapy for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 373(25):2425–37. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1509388

15. Farooqui M, Valdez J, Soto S, Bray A, Tian X, Wiestner A. Atrial fibrillation in CLL/SLL patients on ibrutinib. *Blood.* (2015) 126(23):2933–2933. doi: 10.1182/blood. V126.23.2933.2933

16. Chanan-Khan A, Cramer P, Demirkan F, Fraser G, Silva RS, Grosicki S, et al. Ibrutinib combined with bendamustine and rituximab compared with placebo, bendamustine, and rituximab for previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukaemia or small lymphocytic lymphoma (HELIOS): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17(2):200–11. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00465-9

17. Wang ML, Lee H, Chuang H, Wagner-Bartak N, Hagemeister F, Westin J, et al. Ibrutinib in combination with rituximab in relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma: a single-centre, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17 (1):48–56. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00438-6

18. Ahn IE, Farooqui MZH, Tian X, Valdez J, Sun C, Soto S, et al. Depth and durability of response to ibrutinib in CLL: 5-year follow-up of a phase 2 study. *Blood.* (2018) 131(21):2357-66. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-12-820910

19. Dimopoulos MA, Tedeschi A, Trotman J, García-Sanz R, Macdonald D, Leblond V, et al. Phase 3 trial of ibrutinib plus rituximab in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378(25):2399–410. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1802917 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

20. Treon SP, Gustine J, Meid K, Yang G, Xu L, Liu X, et al. Ibrutinib monotherapy in symptomatic, treatment-naïve patients with waldenström macroglobulinemia. J Clin Oncol. (2018) 36(27):2755–61. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.78.6426

21. Davids MS, Brander DM, Kim HT, Tyekucheva S, Bsat J, Savell A, et al. Ibrutinib plus fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab as initial treatment for younger patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Haematol.* (2019) 6(8):e419–28. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(19)30104-8

22. Jain N, Keating M, Thompson P, Ferrajoli A, Burger J, Borthakur G, et al. Ibrutinib and venetoclax for first-line treatment of CLL. *N Engl J Med.* (2019) 380 (22):2095–103. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1900574

23. Munir T, Brown JR, O'Brien S, Barrientos JC, Barr PM, Reddy NM, et al. Final analysis from RESONATE: up to six years of follow-up on ibrutinib in patients with previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia or small lymphocytic lymphoma. *Am J Hematol.* (2019) 94(12):1353–63. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25638

24. Nastoupil LJ, Lunning MA, Vose JM, Schreeder MT, Siddiqi T, Flowers CR, et al. Tolerability and activity of ublituximab, umbralisib, and ibrutinib in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukaemia and non-hodgkin lymphoma: a phase 1 dose escalation and expansion trial. *Lancet Haematol.* (2019) 6(2):e100–9. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(18)30216-3

25. Tam CS, Opat S, D'Sa S, Jurczak W, Lee HP, Cull G, et al. A randomized phase 3 trial of zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib in symptomatic Waldenström macroglobulinemia: the ASPEN study. *Blood.* (2020) 136(18):2038–50. doi: 10.1182/ blood.2020006844

26. Byrd JC, Hillmen P, Ghia P, Kater AP, Chanan-Khan A, Furman RR, et al. Acalabrutinib versus ibrutinib in previously treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia: results of the first randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39(31):3441–52. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.01210

27. Sharman JP, Brander DM, Mato AR, Ghosh N, Schuster SJ, Kambhampati S, et al. Ublituximab plus ibrutinib versus ibrutinib alone for patients with relapsed or refractory high-risk chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (GENUINE): a phase 3, multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet Haematol.* (2021) 8(4):e254–66. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(20)30433-6

28. Treon SP, Meid K, Gustine J, Yang G, Xu L, Liu X, et al. Long-term follow-up of ibrutinib monotherapy in symptomatic, previously treated patients with waldenström macroglobulinemia. *J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39(6):565–75. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.00555

29. Trotman J, Buske C, Tedeschi A, Matous JV, MacDonald D, Tam CS, et al. Single-agent ibrutinib for rituximab-refractory waldenström macroglobulinemia: final analysis of the substudy of the phase III innovate(TM) trial. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27(21):5793-800. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1497

30. Castillo JJ, Meid K, Gustine JN, Leventoff C, White T, Flynn CA, et al. Long-term follow-up of ibrutinib monotherapy in treatment-naive patients with waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. *Leukemia*. (2022) 36(2):532–9. doi: 10.1038/s41375-021-01417-9

31. Jain P, Zhao S, Lee HJ, Hill HA, Ok CY, Kanagal-Shamanna R, et al. Ibrutinib with rituximab in first-line treatment of older patients with mantle cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2022) 40(2):202–12. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.01797

32. Langerbeins P, Zhang C, Robrecht S, Cramer P, Fürstenau M, Al-Sawaf O, et al. The CLL12 trial: ibrutinib vs placebo in treatment-naïve, early-stage chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* (2022) 139(2):177–87. doi: 10.1182/blood.2021010845

33. Wang ML, Jain P, Zhao S, Lee HJ, Nastoupil L, Fayad L, et al. Ibrutinibrituximab followed by R-HCVAD as frontline treatment for young patients (S65 years) with mantle cell lymphoma (WINDOW-1): a single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23(3):406–15. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00638-0

34. Awan FT, Schuh A, Brown JR, Furman RR, Pagel JM, Hillmen P, et al. Acalabrutinib monotherapy in patients with ibrutinib intolerance: results from the phase 1/2 ACE-CL-001 clinical study. *Blood.* (2016) 128(22):638. doi: 10.1182/blood.V128.22.638.638

35. Wang M, Rule S, Zinzani PL, Goy A, Casasnovas O, Smith SD, et al. Acalabrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma (ACE-LY-004): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* (2018) 391(10121):659–67. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33108-2

 Byrd JC, Wierda WG, Schuh A, Devereux S, Chaves JM, Brown JR, et al. Acalabrutinib monotherapy in patients with relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: updated phase 2 results. *Blood.* (2020) 135(15):1204–13. doi: 10.1182/blood.2018884940

37. Sharman JP, Egyed M, Jurczak W, Skarbnik A, Pagel JM, Flinn IW, et al. Acalabrutinib with or without obinutuzumab versus chlorambucil and obinutuzumab for treatment-naive

chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ELEVATE-TN): a randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. (2020) 395(10232):1278–91. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30262-2

38. Ghia P, Pluta A, Wach M, Lysak D, Kozak T, Simkovic M, et al. ASCEND: phase III, randomized trial of acalabrutinib versus idelalisib plus rituximab or bendamustine plus rituximab in relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* (2020) 38(25):2849–61. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.03355

39. Strati P, Coleman M, Champion R, Ma S, Patti C, Levy MY, et al. A phase 2, multicentre, open-label trial (ACE-LY-003) of acalabrutinib in patients with relapsed or refractory marginal zone lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* (2022) 199 (1):76–85. doi: 10.1111/bjh.18368

40. Xu W, Song Y, Li Z, Yang S, Liu L, Hu Y, et al. Safety, tolerability and efficacy of orelabrutinib, once a day, to treat Chinese patients with relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small cell leukemia. *Blood.* (2019) 134:4319. doi: 10.1182/blood-2019-123331

41. Song Y, Song Y, Liu L, Zhang M, Li Z, Ji C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of orelabrutinib monotherapy in Chinese patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma: a multicenter, open-label, phase II study. *Blood.* (2020) 136:1. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2020-141781

42. Zhou D, Jin J, Fu ZZ, Yi S, Zhao WL, Sun Z, et al. Efficacy and safety of orelabrutinib in relapsed/refractory waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia patients. *Blood.* (2021) 138:46. doi: 10.1182/blood-2021-149350

43. Tam CS, Trotman J, Opat S, Burger JA, Cull G, Gottlieb D, et al. Phase 1 study of the selective BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib in B-cell malignancies and safety and efficacy evaluation in CLL. *Blood*. (2019) 134(11):851–9. doi: 10.1182/blood.2019001160

44. Dimopoulos M, Sanz RG, Lee HP, Trneny M, Varettoni M, Opat S, et al. Zanubrutinib for the treatment of MYD88 wild-type waldenström macroglobulinemia: a substudy of the phase 3 ASPEN trial. *Blood Adv.* (2020) 4 (23):6009–18. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003010

45. Song Y, Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, et al. Treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma with zanubrutinib, a selective inhibitor of bruton's tyrosine kinase. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2020) 26(16):4216–24. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3703

46. Tam CS, Quach H, Nicol A, Badoux X, Rose H, Prince HM, et al. Zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) plus obinutuzumab in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia and follicular lymphoma. *Blood Advances*. (2020) 4(19):4802–11. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002183

47. Trotman J, Opat S, Gottlieb D, Simpson D, Marlton P, Cull G, et al. Zanubrutinib for the treatment of patients with waldenström macroglobulinemia: 3 years of follow-up. *Blood*. (2020) 136(18):2027–37. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020006449

48. Xu W, Yang S, Zhou K, Pan L, Li Z, Zhou J, et al. Treatment of relapsed/ refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma with the BTK inhibitor zanubrutinib: phase 2, single-arm, multicenter study. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2020) 13(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00884-4

49. An G, Zhou D, Cheng S, Zhou K, Li J, Zhou J, et al. A phase II trial of the Bruton tyrosine-kinase inhibitor zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) in patients with relapsed/ refractory Waldenström macroglobulinemia. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27 (20):5492-501. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-0539

50. Opat S, Tedeschi A, Linton K, McKay P, Hu B, Chan H, et al. The MAGNOLIA trial: zanubrutinib, a next-generation bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, demonstrates safety and efficacy in relapsed/refractory marginal zone lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27(23):6323–32. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1704

51. Song Y, Sun M, Qi J, Xu W, Zhou J, Li D, et al. A two-part, single-arm, multicentre, phase I study of zanubrutinib, a selective Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in Chinese patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies. *Br J Haematol.* (2022) 198(1):62–72. doi: 10.1111/bjh.18162

52. Song Y, Zhou K, Zou D, Zhou J, Hu J, Yang H, et al. Zanubrutinib in relapsed/ refractory mantle cell lymphoma: long-term efficacy and safety results from a phase 2 study. *Blood.* (2022) 139(21):3148–58. doi: 10.1182/blood.2021014162

53. Sestier M, Hillis C, Fraser G, Leong D. Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitors and cardiotoxicity: more than just atrial fibrillation. *Curr Oncol Rep.* (2021) 23(10):113. doi: 10.1007/s11912-021-01102-1

54. Zimetbaum P. Atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med. (2017) 166(5):Itc33-itc48. doi: 10.7326/AITC201703070

55. McMullen JR, Boey EJ, Ooi JY, Seymour JF, Keating MJ, Tam CS. Ibrutinib increases the risk of atrial fibrillation, potentially through inhibition of cardiac

PI3K-Akt signaling. Blood. (2014) 124(25):3829-30. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-10-604272

56. Christensen BW, Zaha VG, Awan FT. Cardiotoxicity of BTK inhibitors: ibrutinib and beyond. *Expert Rev Hematol.* (2022) 15(4):321–31. doi: 10.1080/17474086.2022. 2067526

57. Caldeira D, Alves D, Costa J, Ferreira JJ, Pinto FJ. Ibrutinib increases the risk of hypertension and atrial fibrillation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14(2):e0211228. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0211228

58. Brown JR, Eichhorst B, Hillmen P, Jurczak W, Kazmierczak M, Lamanna N, et al. Zanubrutinib or ibrutinib in relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. (2023) 388(4):319–32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2211582

59. Keam SJ. Pirtobrutinib: first approval. Drugs. (2023) 83(6):547–53. doi: 10.1007/ s40265-023-01860-1

60. Aslan B, Kismali G, Iles LR, Manyam GC, Ayres ML, Chen LS, et al. Pirtobrutinib inhibits wild-type and mutant Bruton's tyrosine kinase-mediated signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood Cancer J.* (2022) 12(5):80. doi: 10. 1038/s11408-022-00675-9

61. Elamin G, Aljoundi A, Alahmdi MI, Abo-Dya NE, Soliman MES. Battling BTK mutants with noncovalent inhibitors that overcome Cys481 and Thr474 mutations in Waldenström macroglobulinemia therapy: structural mechanistic insights on the role of fenebrutinib. *J Mol Model*. (2022) 28(11):355. doi: 10.1007/s00894-022-05345-y

62. Estupiñán HY, Berglöf A, Zain R, Smith CIE. Comparative analysis of BTK inhibitors and mechanisms underlying adverse effects. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2021) 9:630942. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.630942

63. Kaptein A, de Bruin G, Emmelot-van Hoek M, van de Kar B, de Jong A, Gulrajani M, et al. Potency and selectivity of BTK inhibitors in clinical development for B-cell malignancies. *Blood.* (2018) 132(Suppl 1):1871–1871. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-99-109973

64. Furman RR, Byrd JC, Owen RG, O'Brien SM, Brown JR, Hillmen P, et al. Pooled analysis of safety data from clinical trials evaluating acalabrutinib monotherapy in mature B-cell malignancies. *Leukemia.* (2021) 35(11):3201–11. doi: 10.1038/s41375-021-01252-y

65. Parviz M, Agius R, Rotbain EC, Vainer N, Aarup K, Niemann CU. Identifying CLL patients at high risk of atrial fibrillation on treatment using machine learning. *Leuk Lymphoma*. (2024) 65(4):449–59. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2023.2299737

66. Archibald WJ, Rabe KG, Kabat BF, Herrmann J, Ding W, Kay NE, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) treated with ibrutinib: risk prediction, management, and clinical outcomes. *Ann Hematol.* (2021) 100(1):143–55. doi: 10.1007/s00277-020-04094-3

67. Shanafelt TD, Parikh SA, Noseworthy PA, Goede V, Chaffee KG, Bahlo J, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). *Leuk Lymphoma*. (2017) 58(7):1630–9. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2016.1257795

68. Visentin A, Deodato M, Mauro FR, Autore F, Reda G, Vitale C, et al. A scoring system to predict the risk of atrial fibrillation in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Hematol Oncol.* (2019) 37(4):508–12. doi: 10.1002/hon.2655

69. Vrontikis A, Carey J, Gilreath JA, Halwani A, Stephens DM, Sweetenham JW. Proposed algorithm for managing ibrutinib-related atrial fibrillation. *Oncology* (*Williston Park*). (2016) 30(11):970–4. 980-1, c3. PMID: 27848243

70. Segan L, Canovas R, Nanayakkara S, Chieng D, Prabhu S, Voskoboinik A, et al. New-onset atrial fibrillation prediction: the HARMS2-AF risk score. *Eur Heart J.* (2023) 44(36):3443–52. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad375

71. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37(38):2893–962. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw210

72. Oikonomou E, Zografos T, Papamikroulis GA, Siasos G, Vogiatzi G, Theofilis P, et al. Biomarkers in atrial fibrillation and heart failure. *Curr Med Chem.* (2019) 26 (5):873–87. doi: 10.2174/0929867324666170830100424

73. Chua W, Purmah Y, Cardoso VR, Gkoutos GV, Tull SP, Neculau G, et al. Datadriven discovery and validation of circulating blood-based biomarkers associated with prevalent atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40(16):1268–76. doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehy815

74. Komal S, Yin JJ, Wang SH, Huang CZ, Tao HL, Dong JZ, et al. MicroRNAs: emerging biomarkers for atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiol.* (2019) 74(6):475–82. doi: 10. 1016/j.jjcc.2019.05.018