Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giuseppe Gatti, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Giuliano Isontina, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Ilaria Franzese, Cattinara Hospital, Italy Jayant Shyam Jainandunsing, University Medical Center Groningen, Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE Rodrigo Estévez-Loureiro roiestevez@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 12 May 2024 ACCEPTED 05 August 2024 PUBLISHED 21 August 2024

CITATION

Caneiro-Queija B, Guerreiro CE, Echarte-Morales J, Estévez-Loureiro R, Barreiro-Pérez M, González-Ferreiro R, Estévez-Cid F, Legarra JJ, Baz JA and Íñiguez-Romo A (2024) Left ventricular outflow tract obstruction after transcatheter mitral valve replacement: a case report with a multifaceted approach. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1431639. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1431639

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Caneiro-Queija, Guerreiro, Echarte-Morales, Estévez-Loureiro, Barreiro-Pérez, González-Ferreiro, Estévez-Cid, Legarra, Baz and Íñiguez-Romo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the

original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Left ventricular outflow tract obstruction after transcatheter mitral valve replacement: a case report with a multifaceted approach

Berenice Caneiro-Queija^{1,2}, Claudio E. Guerreiro^{1,2}, Julio Echarte-Morales^{1,2}, Rodrigo Estévez-Loureiro^{1,2,*}, Manuel Barreiro-Pérez^{1,2}, Rocío González-Ferreiro^{1,2}, Francisco Estévez-Cid³, Juan José Legarra³, Jose Antonio Baz^{1,2} and Andrés Íñiguez-Romo^{1,2}

¹Department of Cardiology, Álvaro Cunqueiro University Hospital, Vigo, Spain, ²Cardiovascular Research Group, Department of Cardiology, Álvaro Cunqueiro University Hospital, Fundación Biomédica Galicia Sur, Servizo Galego de Saude, University of Vigo, Vigo, Spain, ³Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Álvaro Cunqueiro University Hospital, Vigo, Spain

An 83-year-old woman was admitted to our center because of heart failure. Transthoracic echocardiography revealed severe mitral annular calcification resulting in a double mitral valve lesion. After discussion by the heart team, transcatheter mitral valve replacement with Tendyne (Abbott Structural, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was performed. Despite having a predicted neo-left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) above the cut-off value, the patient developed clinically significant LVOT obstruction (LVOTO) refractory to medical treatment. This situation is often treated before the intervention, and dealing with LVOTO afterward can be challenging. After taking the patient's anatomy into consideration, we decided to perform alcohol septal ablation. Applying a combined strategy of medical treatment and intervention led to success. In this case report, we discuss this event and the strategies available for preventing and managing the condition.

KEYWORDS

Tendyne, left ventricular outflow tract obstruction, transcatheter mitral valve replacement, mitral annular calcification, alcohol septal ablation (ASA)

Introduction

According to recent data from the Euro Heart Survey, degenerative valvular heart disease is highly prevalent (1). Mitral annular calcification (MAC) is a degenerative age-dependent process leading to mitral regurgitation (MR) or mitral stenosis (MS). MAC is linked to cardiovascular risk factors, the female gender, and chronic kidney disease (2). Although surgery has been the gold standard treatment for mitral valve disease, patients with MAC have been associated with an increased risk of cardiac rupture at the atrioventricular junction, perivalvular leaks, or circumflex artery injury (3). Transcatheter mitral valve replacement (TMVR) techniques have emerged in recent years to overcome the challenges of MAC treatment. In this regard, the Tendyne valve (Abbott Structural, Santa Clara, CA, USA) has proved to be a feasible option in MAC

patients (4). Nonetheless, several procedural concerns need to be taken into consideration, such as left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (LVOTO) or paravalvular leak (PVL) (5).

Case description

An 83-year-old woman was admitted to our center because of overt heart failure (HF). Her medical background revealed arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, and obesity as cardiovascular risk factors. The patient also had a history of atrial fibrillation (AF). In 2012, she underwent cardiac surgery due to degenerative aortic stenosis, and a 21-mm aortic bioprosthesis (St. Jude Medical, Minnesota, USA) was implanted.

During the diagnostic work-up, echocardiography showed mild aortic bioprosthetic dysfunction, severe MAC resulting in a double mitral lesion (moderate MS and severe MR), and severe tricuspid regurgitation. The left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was preserved with significant concentric hypertrophy (LV mass of 172 g/m² and septal thickness of 15 mm) (Supplementary Figure 1A). Coronary angiography evidenced chronic total occlusion of the mid-left anterior descending artery. Right heart catheterization showed a decreased cardiac output (2.2 L/min) with significant combined pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure 43 mmHg, with pulmonary capillary wedge pressure 21 mmHg). Patient symptoms were mainly due to mitral valve disease. Due to the recording of high-risk scores (EuroSCORE II 15%, with society of thoracic surgeons (STS) score of 10.8% for mortality and 30% for morbidity), the heart team considered the patient to be at extreme risk for conventional intervention and decided to evaluate her for TMVR. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) revealed a degenerated aortic bioprosthesis with mild-to-moderate regurgitation and a degenerative MR with evidence of chordal rupture, severe MR, severe MAC, and an aortic-mitral angle (AMA) of 135° (Supplementary Figure 1B). A computed tomography (CT) scan was also performed, with an MAC score (6) of 7 points and a predicted systolic NeoLVOT of 330 mm² (Supplementary Figure 2). A 29S LP Tendyne was thus deemed appropriate for the anatomy of the patient (Supplementary Video 1).

The procedure was performed under general anesthesia through a left mini-thoracotomy using a transapical approach with three-dimensional (3D) TEE imaging guidance. A standard 0.035-inch wire was inserted into the left atrium, and a balloon tip catheter was advanced to the left atrium to ensure a free chord trajectory. A 14-Fr sheath was inserted in the apex and the valve was pre-dilated with a 22 mm balloon to ensure calcium expansion (Supplementary Video 1). Then, a 34-Fr sheath was placed over the wire into the left atrium and the 29S LP Tendyne was delivered through the sheath and partially deployed in the left atrium, until the outer valve expanded to approximately 85% of the final size (Supplementary Video 2). The outer stent was aligned with the straight edge oriented anteriorly against the aorticmitral continuum through device rotation under TEE guidance. The delivery sheath was retracted to deploy the remainder of the prosthesis in an intra-annular position. Finally, the length and tension of the tether were adjusted to optimize MR reduction and minimize the risk of device displacement. After the procedure, a mild posterior PVL was identified (Supplementary Video 3), without significant LVOTO (Supplementary Figure 2).

Days after the procedure, the patient exhibited poor AF rate control and HF. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) showed



10.3389/fcvm.2024.1431639

LVOTO due to contact between the Tendyne medial frame and the septal wall (Supplementary Video 4), with an initial pressure gradient of 45 mmHg. Medical treatment for the LVOTO was initially established. Beta-blockers were up-titrated according to the clinical symptoms and tolerance. The patient improved, and TTE was performed, with an LVOT gradient of 35 mmHg before discharge. However, the patient was re-admitted with HF symptoms 18 days after discharge and repeated severe LVOTO (45 mmHg was noted on TTE). After carefully reviewing the patient's history, the heart team agreed to first implant a pacemaker, and then perform alcohol septal ablation (ASA) with a 1.25 mm over-the-wire balloon in the second septal perforation artery (Supplementary Video 5). Control TTE performed 7 weeks after ASA showed a LVOT gradient of 12 mmHg (Supplementary Figure 3). At present, 6 months after the procedure, the patient presents NYHA functional class II, without signs of HF and with no further hospital admissions (Figure 1).

Discussion

The present case report highlights the challenges associated with the transcatheter treatment of complex valvular heart disease and the broad range of interventional options we currently have to deal with them. Severe MAC is an uncommon condition, although it significantly increases the risk of mitral valve dysfunction with regurgitation and/or stenosis. Not only the increased patient age and related comorbidities, but also the associated anatomical features highlight the technical complexity of addressing this disease, which is linked to a greater risk of complications such as PVL, circumflex artery injury, disruption of the atrioventricular groove (7), conduction disturbances, and patient–prosthesis mismatch (8).

The current reported case corresponded to a high surgical risk patient of advanced age, with previous open-heart surgery and with isolated post-capillary pulmonary hypertension. To minimize the procedural risk, a stepwise approach should be taken into consideration. First, these cases require thorough discussion within a multidisciplinary heart team specialized in valve management, to properly individualize care, balancing the risk and benefit of an invasive strategy. Second, pre-procedural planning with multimodality imaging is pivotal, involving not only echocardiography but particularly also CT to assess the valvular anatomy and the extent and distribution of MACincluding the involvement of other adjacent structures-and to predict device implantation and its potential complications such as LVOTO. Initially, we estimated the LVOT-box area as described previously (9) to predict the risk of LVOTO, obtaining an area of 430 mm², thus we continued the Tendyne screening process. Taking into account the CT features of mitral annulus calcium thickness, distribution, and trigone and leaflet involvement, the MAC score was seen to be 7. According to Guerrero et al., this score is associated with a 5.86-fold increase in the odds of valve embolization/migration when using a balloon-expandable valve for valve-in-mitral annular calcification (ViMAC) transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) (6). Also, mitral valve area (MVA) was close to 750 mm², which fits a dedicated mitral prosthesis better rather a TAVR in mitral position. In addition, the measured NeoLVOT was 330 mm², which was clearly in the theoretical safety zone, above the cut-off value of 189.4 mm². This threshold demonstrated a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of approximately 97% in predicting a post-TMVR increase in LVOT gradient of 10 mmHg or more, which according to the Mitral Valve Academic Research Consortium criteria defines iatrogenic LVOTO (10). In this context, when measuring for the Tendyne (Abbott Structural, Santa Clara, CA, USA) or Intrepid (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) system, the minimized stent frame projection to the outflow tract would result in a slightly larger size NeoLVOT.

Surgical options for MAC-related mitral valve dysfunction are associated with operative mortality rates of 14% or higher (8). Although isolated MR could be treated with repair only, which is associated with a better prognosis and a lower rate of complications, these patients more commonly present mixed disease requiring a replacement approach (11). A range of resect and respect surgical options are available for mitral valve repair or replacement, with the former involving extensive *en bloc* resection of annular calcium, and the latter preferentially working around the calcium to avoid the complications associated with annular debridement (12). Alternative approaches like atrial-to-left ventricular valved conduits from the left atrium to the left ventricle for bypassing the mitral valve have also been described (13).

Our patient did not have an acceptable surgical risk, and the pre-procedural CT study moreover showed complete MAC, deeming her not eligible for conventional mitral valve surgery because of the increased risk for AV groove disruption. The heart team therefore opted for a transcatheter approach, choosing a Tendyne prosthesis.

In fact, percutaneous or hybrid approaches have been evaluated to try to minimize the operative risk. ViMAC approaches using a hybrid (transatrial or transapical) or a fully percutaneous strategy (transseptal ViMAC) are of interest in this scenario, but are not without their own challenges. As the anterior mitral leaflet (AML) is left in situ, both the transseptal and the transapical approaches are associated with a risk of LVOTO, particularly in patients with a smaller left ventricle, septal hypertrophy (14), longer or redundant anterior mitral valve leaflets, and a smaller AMA angle. In the TMVR registry, patients submitted to ViMAC TMVR had a lower overall technical success rate (62.1%) compared with valve-in-valve (94.4%) or valve-in-ring patients (80.9%), mainly because of LVOTO, which occurred in up to 39.7% of the patients. All-cause mortality was high at 30 days (34.5%) and 1 year (62.8%) (15). A meta-analysis of 13 studies involving ViMAC TMVR with balloon-expandable heart valves reported a median rate of LVOTO of 11.2%, a 3.7% incidence of transcatheter heart valve embolization, and a 4.1% incidence of moderate-to-severe PVL (16). Dedicated systems have been developed for TMVR, such as the transapical dual-stent frame Tendyne prosthesis (Abbott Structural, Santa Clara, CA, USA), which has been used in our report, with promising results according to a recent study (all-cause mortality rate of 5% and

40% at 30 days and 1 year, respectively, PVL and embolization rates of 0%, and an LVOTO rate of 5%) (17); moreover, LVOTO together with annular size are the mains reasons for screen failure with this device.

While not fully expected, in our clinical case TMVR resulted in LVOTO days after the procedure; the condition proved refractory to medical treatment and was clinically significant, resulting in decompensated HF. The position and angulation of the Tendyne toward LVOT is not always the same as it was predicted on the simulation, because the entry point can be more anterior than expected. Likewise, LV size can decrease after MR elimination and this fact can modify the relationship between the frame and the LVOT (18), and probably a combination of these two factors could influence the late development of LVOTO.

In patients with an increased risk of LVOTO, several techniques can be adopted preemptively or after its diagnosis to tackle both of the main underlying mechanisms: AML displacement and/or basal septal hypertrophy. For the former, intentional laceration of the AML to prevent LVOTO (the LAMPOON procedure) with an electrified guide wire can be an option (19). Another possibility would be balloon-assisted translocation of the mitral anterior leaflet (BATMAN). For basal hypertrophy, effective measures may septal comprise transcoronary ablation of septal hypertrophy (TASH) or the Septal Scoring Along the Midline Endocardium (SESAME) technique to perform an electrosurgical myotomy (20). In this case, ASA was successfully performed, achieving a reduction of the LVOT gradient of up to 16 mmHg. Different series report immediate improvements in gradient measurements following the procedure (21). There is a current shift in paradigm toward a more prophylactic approach to mitigate TMVR-induced LVOTO in high-risk patients (22), although this is not without pitfalls, because it increases the risk of permanent pacemaker implantation (from 16.7% to 35%) (23).

Mention should also be made of the possibility of using other TMVR devices with specific designs to prevent anterior leaflet displacement. Examples of this kind are supra-annular devices, such as the AltaValve System (4C Medical Technologies, Minneapolis, MN, USA), which could help mitigate the drawback of LVOTO, as it has a spherical nitinol frame sized to fit the left atrium, where it fixates, avoiding interaction with it. The first cases have been reported using a transapical approach, showing that it is a safe and effective device (24), and recently a small series of transseptal cases have been described, with excellent results (25).

Mitral annular calcification-related valve dysfunction is a high-risk condition associated with a poor prognosis and few simultaneously effective and safe therapeutic options. Appropriate pre-procedural risk evaluation by an interdisciplinary heart team and including multimodality imaging is mandatory to tailor therapeutic allocation to surgery, transcatheter techniques, or medical treatment only. Predicting potential hazards associated with each technique, such as LVOTO, allows the definition of preventive or bailout approaches such as TASH, LAMPOON, or SESAME. Other dedicated devices with intra-atrial fixation have been developed, limiting the occurrence of this dreaded complication. A larger body of data is needed to define the best strategy in each case for this particularly challenging patient population.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

BC-Q: Investigation, Resources, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. CG: Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft. JE-M: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. RE-L: Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. MB-P: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing _ review & editing. RG-F: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. FE-C: Supervision, Writing - review & editing. JL: Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. JB: Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. AÍ-R: Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1431639/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Section A: TTE four-chamber view showing a double mitral lesion with MAC. Section B: TEE color comparison over mitral valve in mid esophageal view. Section C: 3D mitral *en face* view with and without color.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

CT analysis through 3Mensio software. (A) Annular segmentation in systole. (B) Smallest estimated LVOT. (C) MAC analysis and AMA angle. (D) Anterior mitral leaflet length.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

LVOT gradient evolution. Section A: TTE three-chamber LVOT gradient days after Tendyne implantation. Section B: TTE three-chamber LVOT gradient

References

1. Iung B, Delgado V, Rosenhek R, Price S, Prendergast B, Wendler O, et al. Contemporary presentation and management of valvular heart disease: the EURObservational research programme valvular heart disease II survey. *Circulation*. (2019) 140(14):1156–69. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.041080

2. Van Hemelrijck M, Taramasso M, Gülmez G, Maisano F, Mestres CA. Mitral annular calcification: challenges and future perspectives. *Indian J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2020) 36(4):397–403. doi: 10.1007/s12055-019-00910-2

3. Abramowitz Y, Jilaihawi H, Chakravarty T, Mack MJ, Makkar RR. Mitral annulus calcification. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2015) 66(17):1934–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.08.872

4. Sorajja P, Gössl M, Babaliaros V, Rizik D, Conradi L, Bae R, et al. Novel transcatheter mitral valve prosthesis for patients with severe mitral annular calcification. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2019) 74(11):1431–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.07.069

5. Agrawal A, Reardon MJ, Goel SS. Transcatheter mitral valve replacement in patients with mitral annular calcification: a review. *Heart Int.* (2023) 17(1):19–26. doi: 10.17925/HI.2023.17.1.19

6. Guerrero M, Wang DD, Pursnani A, Eleid M, Khalique O, Urena M, et al. A cardiac computed tomography-based score to categorize mitral annular calcification severity and predict valve embolization. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2020) 13 (9):1945–57. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.03.013

7. Demal TJ, Conradi L. Management of valve dysfunction in patients with mitral annular calcification. *Heart.* (2023) 109(21):1639-46. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2022-321572

8. Chehab O, Roberts-Thomson R, Bivona A, Gill H, Patterson T, Pursnani A, et al. Management of patients with severe mitral annular calcification: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2022) 80(7):722–38. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.06.009

9. Besola L, Falcetta G, Ceravolo G, Fiocco A, Colli A. New echocardiographic screening tool for left ventricular tract obstruction risk assessment in TMVR. *Int J Cardiol.* (2024) 408:132112. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2024.132112

10. Reid A, Ben Zekry S, Turaga M, Tarazi S, Bax JJ, Wang DD, et al. Neo-LVOT and transcatheter mitral valve replacement: expert recommendations. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 14(4):854–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.09.027

11. El-Eshmawi A, Alexis SL, Sengupta A, Pandis D, Rimsukcharoenchai C, Adams DH, et al. Surgical management of mitral annular calcification. *Curr Opin Cardiol.* (2020) 35(2):107–15. doi: 10.1097/HCO.000000000000718

12. Said SM, Schaff HV. An alternate approach to valve replacement in patients with mitral stenosis and severely calcified annulus. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2014) 147(6): e76–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2014.02.039

13. Wright JS, Thomson DS, Warner G. Mitral valve bypass by valved conduit. Ann Thorac Surg. (1981) 32(3):294–6. doi: 10.1016/S0003-4975(10)61055-7

14. Elmariah S, Delaney JA, Bluemke DA, Budoff MJ, O'Brien KD, Fuster V, et al. Associations of LV hypertrophy with prevalent and incident valve calcification: multiethnic study of atherosclerosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2012) 5(8):781–8. doi: 10. 1016/j.jcmg.2011.12.025 after medical treatment before discharge. Section C: TTE three-chamber LVOT gradient 8 weeks after alcohol septal ablation.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 1

3Mensio Tendyne 29S LP simulation.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 2 Mitral valvuloplasty with Inoue balloon.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 3

29S LP Tendyne deployment, TEE commissural A2/P2 X plane view.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 4

LVOT and paravalvular leak evaluation after Tendyne deployment through TEE three-chamber view.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 5

TTE five-chamber view with 29SP LP Tendyne medial frame touching the septal bulge.

SUPPLEMENTARY VIDEO 6

Angiogram of the left anterior descending artery, showing second septal perforation artery.

15. Yoon SH, Whisenant BK, Bleiziffer S, Delgado V, Dhoble A, Schofer N, et al. Outcomes of transcatheter mitral valve replacement for degenerated bioprostheses, failed annuloplasty rings, and mitral annular calcification. *Eur Heart J.* (2019) 40 (5):441–51. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy590

16. Alexis SL, Malik AH, El-Eshmawi A, George I, Sengupta A, Kodali SK, et al. Surgical and transcatheter mitral valve replacement in mitral annular calcification: a systematic review. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2021) 10(7):e018514. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120. 018514

17. Gössl M, Thourani V, Babaliaros V, Conradi L, Chehab B, Dumonteil N, et al. Early outcomes of transcatheter mitral valve replacement with the Tendyne system in severe mitral annular calcification. *EuroIntervention*. (2022) 17(18):1523–31. doi: 10. 4244/EIJ-D-21-00745

18. Guerrero ME, Grayburn P, Smith RL 2nd, Sorajja P, Wang DD, Ahmad Y, et al. Diagnosis, classification, and management strategies for mitral annular calcification: a heart valve collaboratory position statement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2023) 16 (18):2195–210. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2023.06.044

19. Babaliaros VC, Greenbaum AB, Khan JM, Rogers T, Wang DD, Eng MH, et al. Intentional percutaneous laceration of the anterior mitral leaflet to prevent outflow obstruction during transcatheter mitral valve replacement: first-in-human experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2017) 10(8):798–809. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2017. 01.035

20. Khan JM, Bruce CG, Greenbaum AB, Babaliaros VC, Jaimes AE, Schenke WH, et al. Transcatheter myotomy to relieve left ventricular outflow tract obstruction: the septal scoring along the midline endocardium procedure in animals. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2022) 15(6):e011686. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.121.011686

21. Guerrero M, Wang DD, Himbert D, Urena M, Pursnani A, Kaddissi G, et al. Short-term results of alcohol septal ablation as a bail-out strategy to treat severe left ventricular outflow tract obstruction after transcatheter mitral valve replacement in patients with severe mitral annular calcification. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2017) 90(7):1220–6. doi: 10.1002/ccd.26975

22. Wang DD, Guerrero M, Eng MH, Eleid MF, Meduri CU, Rajagopal V, et al. Alcohol septal ablation to prevent left ventricular outflow tract obstruction during transcatheter mitral valve replacement: first-in-man study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2019) 12(13):1268–79. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2019.02.034

23. Elhadi M, Guerrero M, Collins JD, Rihal CS, Eleid MF. Safety and outcomes of alcohol septal ablation prior to transcatheter mitral valve replacement. J Soc Cardiovasc Angio Interv. (2022) 1(5):100396. doi: 10.1016/j.jscai.2022.100396

24. Goel SS, Zuck V, Christy J, Nallamothu N, Jagtap P, Gao J, et al. Transcatheter mitral valve therapy with novel supra-annular AltaValve: first experience in the United States. *JACC Case Rep.* (2019) 1(5):761–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaccas.2019.10.034

25. Ninios V, Ninios I, Ranard LS, Vahl TP, Wróbel K. Transcatheter transseptal treatment of patients with severe mitral regurgitation using an atrial fixation mitral valve replacement technology. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* (2024) 58:25–30. doi: 10. 1016/j.carrev.2023.07.006