Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Francesco Ferrara, Ospedali Riuniti San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Maria Vincenza Polito, Villa Betania Evangelical Hospital, Italy Thomas Stocker, LMU Munich University Hospital, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Tanja Katharina Rudolph ⊠ trudolph@hdz-nrw.de

[†]PRESENT ADDRESSES

Johannes Kirchner Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Muhammed Gerçek, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Hazem Omran, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Kai Peter Friedrichs, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oevnhausen, Germany Felix Rudolph, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Tobias Rossnagel, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Misagh Piran, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Arseniv Goncharov, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Maria Ivannikova, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Volker Rudolph, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany Tanja Katharina Rudolph, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Bad Oevnhausen, Germany

RECEIVED 12 July 2024 ACCEPTED 13 December 2024 PUBLISHED 14 January 2025

CITATION

Kirchner J, Gerçek M, Omran H, Friedrichs KP, Rudolph F, Rossnagel T, Piran M, Goncharov A, Ivannikova M, Rudolph V and Rudolph TK (2025) Predictive value of CT-based and AI-reconstructed 3D-TAPSE in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve repair.

Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 11:1463978. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1463978

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kirchner, Gerçek, Omran, Friedrichs, Rudolph, Rossnagel, Piran, Goncharov, Ivannikova, Rudolph and Rudolph. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Predictive value of CT-based and AI-reconstructed 3D-TAPSE in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve repair

Johannes Kirchner^{1†}, Muhammed Gerçek^{1†}, Hazem Omran^{1†}, Kai Peter Friedrichs^{1†}, Felix Rudolph^{1†}, Tobias Rossnagel^{2†}, Misagh Piran^{2†}, Arseniy Goncharov^{1†}, Maria Ivannikova^{1†}, Volker Rudolph^{1†} and Tanja Katharina Rudolph^{1*†}

¹Clinic for General and Interventional Cardiology/Angiology, Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, NRW, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Medizinische Fakultät OWL (Universität Bielefeld), Bad Oeynhausen, Germany, ²Herz- und Diabeteszentrum, Medizinische Fakultät OWL Universität Bielefeld, Bad Oeynhausen, Germany

Background: The tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) assessed by echocardiography has failed in predicting outcomes in patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation (TR) undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve intervention (TTVI). Considering the complex shape of the tricuspid annulus and right ventricle, as well as the difficult echocardiographic image acquisition of the right heart, cardiac computed tomography (CT) might be superior for the analysis of the annular excursion. Thus, this study aimed to analyze whether CT-captured TAPSE provides additional value in predicting outcomes after TTVI.

Methods and results: For TTVI procedure planning, 75 patients (mean age, 77 ± 8 years; 61% female) with severe TR underwent full cardiac cycle CT. Septal, lateral, anterior, and posterior TAPSE, as well as TAPSE- volume, were analyzed. Indexed anterior and posterior (iTAPSE) and TAPSE volume were reduced in patients with right ventricular ejection fraction <45%. At 1 year after TTVI (mean follow-up, 193 ± 146 days), the combined endpoint of death and rehospitalization occurred in significantly fewer patients with posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m² (17.2% vs. 63.6%; HR 0.225, CI 0.087–0.581; *P* < 0.001) and in patients with iTAPSE volume >9 ml/m² (16.4% vs. 57.1%; HR: 0.269 CI 0.105–0.686; *P* = 0.003). Echocardiographic TAPSE correlated best with lateral CT-based TAPSE, although both failed in predicting outcomes after TTVI. In multivariate Cox regression, posterior iTAPSE was found to be a significant predictor of outcome 1 year after TTVI.

Conclusions: Posterior iTAPSE is an independent predictor of cardiovascular outcomes among patients undergoing TTVI. Furthermore, CT-measured TAPSE has incremental value and refines risk stratification for clinical outcomes in patients undergoing TTVI.

KEYWORDS

computed tomography, tricuspid regurgitation, transcatheter tricuspis valve repair, TTVI, TAPSE, right ventricular function, transcatheter intervention, tricuspid valve

Introduction

Right ventricular (RV) anatomy and function determine the outcome of several diseases (1). Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) is an easy, fast, and reproducible parameter for quantitative assessment of RV function in routine clinical practice. Despite carrying prognostic information in general populations and over several diseases (2, 3), echocardiographic estimated 2D-TAPSE alone has failed in predicting outcomes in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve intervention (TTVI) (4, 5). Considering the asymmetric structure of the tricuspid annulus, 2D-TAPSE might provide insufficient information about the annulus dynamic during the cardiac cycle. Furthermore, Dreyfus et al. (6) showed that 2D analysis is inferior to 3D analysis regarding tricuspid annulus size in patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation (TR).

Full cycle computed tomography (CT) captures the complex anatomy of the RV and its ejection fraction as well as the tricuspid annular plane throughout the cardiac cycle and has become routine for procedural planning of TTVI (7, 8). To automate cumbersome and time-consuming manual post-processing of CT images, artificial intelligence (AI) augmented software has already found its way into daily cardiac CT and MRI analyses (9–11).

In TR patients, impaired RV function expressed in decreased RV ejection fraction <45% is associated with higher rates of hospitalization and death after TTVI (5). To date, no data exist on whether 3D analysis might be superior to 2D-TAPSE in outcome prediction after TTVI (12, 13).

Thus, we sought to analyze 3D-TAPSE using AI-driven CT reconstruction of the right ventricle and the tricuspid annular plane in patients prior to TTVI and to investigate the predictive value of 3D-TAPSE regarding post-procedure hospitalization and mortality in patients undergoing TTVI.

Methods

Study population

In this monocentric study, we included 75 patients who underwent either direct transcatheter annuloplasty using Cardioband (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, USA), 55 (73%), or transcatheter edge-to-edge repair using TriClip (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, USA) or Pascal (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, USA), 20 (27%), between May 2020 and June 2023. Patients suffered from severe TR and were deemed unsuitable for cardiac surgery by the heart team. The study was approved and overseen by the Local Ethics Committee, and informed consent for retrospective study inclusion was waived (File number: 2022-945).

Computed tomography

All patients underwent an electrocardiographically gated, contrast-enhanced CT with full cardiac cycle acquisition using a

320-detector row system (Canon Medical Systems, Japan). Contrast administration was tailored to optimize homogenous right heart enhancement. Axial thin slice (0.5 mm) images were reconstructed in 10% increments throughout the cardiac cycle. All scans exhibited sufficient right-sided contrast opacification for image analysis.

CT data sets were analyzed using heart.ai (LARALAB GmbH, Munich, Germany). heart.ai was used to quantify the right heart morphology for each reconstruction phase throughout the cardiac cycle.

The end-systolic and end-diastolic phases were identified as the phases with minimum and maximum RV volumes. For the CT-based anterior, posterior, septal, and lateral TAPSE measurements, the distance between the systolic and diastolic positions of the tricuspid annulus was measured at the respective locations of the annulus. For TAPSE volume, the annulus of the right ventricle was extracted in each phase. Using the least squares regression method, the best-fit plane was computed for each annulus. The annulus points were projected onto their respective best-fit planes. The annular areas A1 and A2 were calculated using the shoelace formula. The orthogonal distance *D* between the center of mass of the annulus (An1) and the best-fit plane of the annulus (An2) was evaluated. This distance quantifies the displacement between the two annuli. The TAPSE volume was estimated as D * (A1 + A2)/2.

Echocardiographic assessment

All patients received transthoracic echocardiograms within 24– 48 h prior to the intervention, with assessment following current American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) guidelines (14). Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE)-based TAPSE was measured using M-mode in the apical four-chamber view as the distance between the most apical and basal position of the tricuspid annulus during one cardiac cycle. The severity of TR was graded according to the five-grade scheme proposed by Hahn and Zamorano (15).

Interbeat changes of TAPSE, fractional area change (FAC), RV mid-cavity diameter (RVMID), and left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) were analyzed in transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) using subsequent beats captured in one series. For evaluation of the dependency of TAPSE on body surface area (BSA), TTEs from 30 healthy subjects were analyzed.

Procedure

Patients received either transcatheter edge-to-edge repair or direct transcatheter annuloplasty. The interventions were performed under general anesthesia with interventional guidance by transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and fluoroscopy via femoral vein access. Technical success was defined according to TVARC as successful delivery, deployment, and positioning of the device, absence of procedural mortality, and freedom from emergency surgery related to the device (16).

Follow-up and outcome

Patients were followed up in our outpatient clinic.

The primary endpoint was a composite of death from any cause or rehospitalization for heart failure (HF). Mortality and HF hospitalizations were assessed by reviewing in-hospital data or by contacting treating general physicians after the index procedure.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 27 provided by IBM. Continuous and normally distributed variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was performed to determine optimal cutoff values for TAPSE for outcome analysis. Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed for clinical outcomes using time to first event. Differences in time-to-event distributions were evaluated using the log-rank test. The fazard ratio (HR) was calculated using Cox regression. Univariate analyses were initially used, and all

TABLE 1 Baseline data.

parameters with p < 0.1 were then included in the multivariate analysis. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Study population

Of the 75 enrolled patients, 55 patients (73%) received direct transcatheter annuloplasty, and 20 (27%) received edge-to-edge repair using TriClip (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, USA) or Pascal (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, USA).

The mean patient age was 77 ± 8 years, and 61% were female. The mean EuroScore II was $5.4 \pm 4.5\%$, and most patients were severely symptomatic, presenting in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class ≥ 3 in 88% (66) of cases. A total of 56 patients (75%) had been previously hospitalized for right-sided heart failure and mean N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NTproBNP) levels at baseline were 2,850 \pm 2,393 ng/L. The most common comorbidities included atrial fibrillation (77%) and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (68%) (Table 1).

	All (<i>n</i> = 75)	Posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/ m ² (<i>n</i> = 64)	Posterior iTAPSE < 4.5 mm/m ² (n = 11)	<i>P</i> -value
Age, years, mean ± SD	77 ± 8	78 ± 6	72 ± 12	0.15
Female, n (%)	46 (61)	43 (67)	3 (27)	0.018
Body mass index, kg/m ² , mean \pm SD	27 ± 12	28 ± 13	27 ± 7	0.746
EuroScore II, mean ± SD	5.4 ± 4.5	5.3 ± 4.7	5.4 ± 2.9	0.63
Creatine, mg/dl, mean ± SD	1.6 ± 2.5	1.7 ± 2.7	1.4 ± 0.5	0.759
eGFR, ml/min, mean ± SD	52 ± 23	52 ± 23	52 ± 22	0.968
Kidney impaired, n (%)	40 (53)	34 (53)	6 (55)	0.749
NT-proBNP, pg/ml, mean ± SD	2,850 ± 2,393	2,627 ± 2,228	4,141 ± 3,003	0.064
Type of procedure				
Direct annuloplasty, n (%)	55 (73)	47 (73)	8 (72)	0.61
Edge-to-edge repair, n (%)	20 (27)	17 (27)	3 (27)	
Pacemaker device/ICD implanted, n (%)	11 (15)	9 (14)	2 (18)	0.112
History of cardiac decompensation in the past year, <i>n</i> (%)	56 (75)	47 (73)	9 (82)	0.433
Coronary artery disease, n (%)	31 (41)	25 (39)	6 (55)	0.262
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	14 (19)	12 (19)	2 (18)	0.665
History of stroke, n (%)	13 (17)	13 (20)	0 (0)	0.194
COPD, <i>n</i> (%)	15 (20)	13 (20)	2 (18)	0.99
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	58 (77)	49 (77)	9 (82)	0.515
History of cardiac surgery, n (%)	30 (40)	23 (36)	7 (64)	0.104
HFpEF according to ESC HFAPEFF score, n (%)	51 (68)	44 (69)	7 (64)	0.73
Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, n (%)	35 (47)	29 (45)	6 (55)	0.746
ACE inhibitor or ARB, n (%)	47 (63)	38 (59)	8 (73)	0.513
Betablocker, n (%)	64 (85)	55 (86)	9 (82)	0.66
DOAC, <i>n</i> (%)	47 (63)	40 (63)	7 (64)	0.99
Vitamin-K antagonist, n (%)	18 (24)	16 (25)	2 (18)	0.99
Dosage of loop furosemide or torasemide (1:2), mg, median (IQR)	40 (20; 60)	30 (20; 40)	40 (20; 60)	0.589
Baseline NYHA functional class, n (%)				
II	9 (12)	9 (14)	0 (0)	0.385
III	63 (84)	52 (81)	11 (100)	
IV	3 (4)	3 (5)	0 (0)	

DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association. The bold highlights significant *P*-values.

TTE data

The mean LVEF was $54 \pm 9\%$. The mean TAPSE was 19 ± 6 mm and was reduced (defined as TAPSE as <17 mm) in 27 cases (36%). The mean vena contracta was 13.4 ± 5.2 mm. Tricuspid regurgitation was graded severe in 32 (43%), massive in 19 (25%), and torrential in 24 (32%) patients (Table 2).

TTE data were analyzed for interbeat changes of TAPSE, FAC, RVMID, and LVEF which is displayed in Supplementary Table S1. Compared to the mean change of TTE-based TAPSE or LVEF, the mean changes of FAC and RVMID were significantly larger. There was no significant difference in relative change of LVEF compared to TAPSE.

To analyze whether TAPSE is dependent on BSA, we analyzed 30 TTEs from healthy subjects without clinical or echocardiographic RV dysfunction. We found a moderate correlation between TAPSE and BSA (Pearson's correlation R = 0.535, P = 0.002).

CT-TAPSE

For further analysis of tricuspid annular excursion, septal, anterior, posterior, and lateral TAPSE was analyzed in CT (Figure 1). The mean septal CT-TAPSE was 15 ± 10 mm, and the lateral CT-TAPSE was 15 ± 11 mm. The anterior TAPSE was 13 ± 6 mm, and the posterior TAPSE was 12 ± 6 mm (Table 3, Supplementary Table S2). There were no significant differences between the TAPSE subgroups in the overall study population. The mean volume of TAPSE between systole and diastole was 13.7 ± 5.6 ml.

We found a moderate correlation between TTE-measured TAPSE and CT-TAPSE which was best for lateral TAPSE (*R* for Pearson correlation for lateral CT-TAPSE 0.363, p < 0.001). Since we found a significant correlation between TTE-TAPSE with BSA, the index values for CT-TAPSE (iTAPSE) were used for

further evaluation. Linear regression analysis showed that iTAPSE volume and right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) were more closely associated with anterior and posterior iTAPSE than with septal and lateral iTAPSE (Supplementary Table S3).

Procedural characteristics and outcomes related to CT-TAPSE at 1 year after TTVI

Procedure time and fluoroscopy time were not different in patients with posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m² and <4.5 mm/m² (all P > 0.05; Table 4). Compared to patients receiving TEER, patients receiving direct annuloplasty had significantly longer procedure times (187 ± 62 vs. 114 ± 64 min; P < 0.001) and fluoroscopy times (52 ± 17 vs. 19 ± 10 min; P < 0.01).

The mean follow-up was 193 ± 146 days. During the follow-up time, 18 patients reached the primary endpoint, of whom 11 patients were hospitalized for heart failure and 7 patients died (Table 4).

The receiver operator curve showed the largest area under the curve for the primary outcome for posterior iTAPSE (AUC 0.72) and iTAPSE volume (AUC 0.72, Supplementary Figure 2). For outcome prediction, a posterior iTAPSE of 4.5 mm/m^2 and an iTAPSE volume of 9 ml/m² performed best.

At 1 year, the estimated combined endpoint was observed significantly less frequently in patients with posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m² (17.2% vs. 63.6%; HR 0.225, CI 0.087–0.581; P < 0.001; Figure 2). Furthermore, an iTAPSE volume of >9 ml/m² was also associated with better outcome (16.4% vs. 57.1%; HR: 0.269 CI 0.105–0.686; P = 0.003).

Then we divided the cohort into three subgroups. In the first group, patients had a preserved RVEF and preserved posterior iTAPSE (defined as $>4.5 \text{ mm/m}^2$). In the second group, patients had either a preserved posterior iTAPSE or a preserved RVEF, and in the third group, there were patients with reduced TAPSE

TABLE 2	Transthoracic	echocardiography	data.
---------	---------------	------------------	-------

Posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m² All (n = 75) Posterior iTAPSE <4.5 mm/m² P-value (n = 64)(n = 11)LVEF,%, mean ± SD 54 + 9 55 ± 9 51 ± 8 0.142 LA diameter, mm, mean ± SD 51 ± 9 50 ± 8 52 ± 12 0.494 RA area, mm^2 , mean \pm SD 35 ± 11 35 ± 12 34 ± 5 0.864 RV basal diameter, mm, mean ± SD 48 ± 9 0.232 47 ± 9 51 ± 9 RV FAC,%, mean ± SD 46 ± 12 46 ± 12 44 ± 15 0.543 TV Ring, mm, mean ± SD 43 ± 7 43 ± 7 43 ± 4 0.55 TAPSE, mm, mean ± SD 19 ± 6 19 ± 6 16 ±± 5 0.119 Vena contracta, mm, mean ± SD 13.4 ± 5.2 14 ± 5 12 ± 4 0.314 sPAP, mmHg, mean \pm SD 38 ± 14 39 13 38 ± 16 0.388 TR Vmax, m/s, mean ± SD 2.99 ± 0.8 3.1 ± 0.74 2.6 ± 0.9 0.088 TR EROA, mm², mean ± SD 73 ± 44 77 ± 46 52 ± 17 0.003 TR RVOL, ml, mean ± SD 64 ± 28 67 ± 28 43 ± 14 < 0.001 TR at baseline, n (%) III 32 (43) 29 (45) 3 (27) 0.071 IV 19 (25) 13 (20) 6 (55) V 24 (32) 22 (34) 2 (18)

EROA, effective regurgitation orifice area; FAC, fractional area change; LA, left atrium; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricular; RVOL, regurgitation volume; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TV, tricuspid valve. The bold highlights significant *P*-values.



FIGURE 1

Exemplary illustration of CT-analyzed TAPSE. The illustration depicts the perimeter of the tricuspid valve in diastole and systole and its excursion in anterior, posterior, septal, and lateral locations. The space between the diastolic and systolic position of the perimeter is the "TAPSE volume."

TABLE 3 Computed tomography data	ata.	vhq	tomogra	Computed	3	TABLE
----------------------------------	------	-----	---------	----------	---	-------

	All (<i>n</i> = 75)	Posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m ² (<i>n</i> = 64)	Posterior iTAPSE <4.5 mm/m ² (<i>n</i> = 11)	<i>P</i> -value
TAPSE septal, mm, mean ± SD	15 ± 10	15 ± 9	11 ± 11	0.121
TAPSE lateral, mm, mean ± SD	15 ± 11	16 ± 11	10 ± 12	0.087
TAPSE anterior, mm, mean ± SD	13 ± 6	13 ± 6	7 ± 5	0.001
TAPSE posterior, mm, mean ± SD	12 ± 6	13 ± 5	6 ± 4	<0.001
Index TAPSE septal, mm/m ² , mean ± SD	7.7 ± 4.5	8.1 ± 4.3	5.3 ± 5.2	0.062
Index TAPSE lateral, mm/m ² , mean ± SD	7.8 ± 5.3	8.2 ± 5.1	4.8 ± 5.3	0.044
Index TAPSE anterior, mm/m ² , mean ± SD	6.6 ± 2.5	7.1 ± 1.9	3.6 ± 2.3	<0.001
Index TAPSE posterior, mm/m ² , mean ± SD	6.5 ± 2.4	7.1 ± 1.9	2.8 ± 1.3	<0.001
TAPSE volume, ml, mean ± SD	13.7 ± 5.6	14.4 ± 5.3	9.36 ± 5.38	<0.002
Index TAPSE volume, ml/mm ² , mean ± SD	7.4 ± 3.1	7.8 ± 2.9	4.7 ± 2.4	0.007

LV, left ventricular; RV, right ventricular, TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion. The bold highlights significant *P*-values.

and reduced RVEF. We found that patients with impaired RVEF and iTAPSE and patients who had either preserved RVEF or iTAPSE had comparable outcomes (Supplementary Figure S2). In this subgroup, eight patients had reduced RVEF but preserved iTAPSE, and six patients had reduced iTAPSE but preserved RVEF.

Univariate analysis showed that echocardiographic-measured LVEF and artificial intelligence-measured CT RVEF, posterior iTAPSE, and TAPSE volume were associated with the primary outcome at 1 year. On multivariate Cox regression, posterior iTAPSE remained a significant predictor for outcomes (p = 0.022, Table 5). Both TTE-measured TAPSE (HR 1.013, CI 0.918–1.117; p = 0.803) and CT-measured lateral TAPSE (HR 0.919, CI 0.837–1,009; p = 0.075) failed in predicting outcomes at 1 year.

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation was 77% in our cohort. When analyzing only patients with atrial fibrillation, we found that posterior iTAPSE (HR 0.647 CI 0.475–0.881; p = 0.006) but not RVEF (HR 0.955 CI 0.9–1.012; p = 0.12), both evaluated as continuous variable, were significantly associated with the combined endpoint.

Discussion

Here, we present the first study to evaluate AI-reconstructed tricuspid annular excursion in CT scans for patients undergoing TTVI. The main findings of the study are:

1) Echocardiographic-measured TAPSE showed a moderate correlation with CT-measured lateral TAPSE, although both failed in predicting outcomes after TTVI.

TABLE 4 Procedural characteristics and safety profile.

	All (<i>n</i> = 75)	Posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m ²	Posterior iTAPSE <4.5 mm/m ²	<i>P</i> -value
Combined primary outcome, n (%)	18 (24)	11 (17)	7 (64)	0.003
Rehospitalization for heart failure, n (%)	11 (15)	7 (11)	4 (36)	0.049
Death, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (9)	4 (6)	3 (27)	0.06
Procedural characteristics				
Procedure time, minutes, mean ± SD	162 ± 58	160 ± 69	179 ± 47	0.351
Use of contrast medium, ml, mean ± SD	119 ± 63	117 ± 60	82 ± 31	0.663
Fluoroscopy time, minutes, mean ± SD	47 ± 25.9	47.6 ± 26.2	41 ± 26.1	0.681
Type of procedure				
Direct annuloplasty, n (%)	55 (73)	47 (73)	8 (72)	0.61
Edge-to-edge repair, n (%)	20 (27)	17 (27)	3 (27)	
Technical success, n (%)	74 (99)	63 (98)	11 (100)	0.99
Pericardial tamponade, n (%)	2 (3)	2 (3)	0 (0)	0.99
Coronary stent implantation for RCA perforation, n (%)	1 (1)	0 (0)	1 (9)	0.145
Pericarditis, n (%)	2 (3)	2 (3)	0 (0)	0.99
In-hospital death, n (%)	1 (1)	1 (2)	0 (0)	0.99
Transient AV blockage °III, n (%)	5 (7)	5 (8)	0 (0)	0.99
Periprocedural dialysis, n (%)	1 (1)	(0)	1 (9)	0.145
Access associated bleeding requiring transfusion, n (%)	1 (1)	1 (2)	0 (0)	0.99

Values are displayed as mean \pm SD or frequencies (%). AV, atrioventricular; RCA, right coronary artery. The bold highlights significant *P*-values.



- Longitudinal RV function evaluated as three-dimensional CT-TAPSE depends rather on anterior and posterior annular excursion than on septal or lateral excursion.
- On multivariate Cox regression, posterior iTAPSE remained a significant predictor with incremental value for the risk of hospitalization and death after TTVI.

To address the complex anatomy of the tricuspid annulus, we separately analyzed annular excursion in septal, anterior, posterior, and lateral positions. After stratification for RVEF, we found posterior and anterior iTAPSE significantly reduced in patients with impaired RVEF whereas septal and lateral iTAPSE were not different in patients with preserved or impaired RVEF. In autopsies, the anterior and posterior papillary muscle (PM) was found to be larger than the septal PM whereas the septal PM was morphologically more heterogenous and often completely absent (17). Therefore, the anterior and posterior contraction might be decisive for the preservation of RV function in chronic volume overload, and loss of anterior and posterior contraction might ultimately impair RV heart function more than septal and lateral contraction. As lateral CT-TAPSE correlated best with TTE-measured TAPSE, this might explain why TTE-measured TAPSE was shown to be non-predictive in patients undergoing TTVI (18, 19).

Then, the volume of the annular excursion was measured as cylinder-like shape delimited by the diastolic and systolic position of the tricuspid annulus (Figure 1). Anterior and posterior iTAPSE

TABLE 5	5 Uni-	and	multivariate	Cox	regression.	
---------	--------	-----	--------------	-----	-------------	--

Value	Hazard ratio (95%Cl)	P-value for Cox regression					
Univariate analyses	Univariate analyses						
Age	0.932-1.048	0.69					
Gender	0.659-4.199	0.281					
EuroScore II	0.964-1.176	0.215					
Diabetes mellitus	0.393-4.782	0.622					
NYHA class	0.158-7.611	0.937					
eGFR	0.969-1.016	0.502					
TTE LV ejection fraction	0.909-0.992	0.021					
TTE-TAPSE	0.918-1.117	0.803					
TTE fractional area change	0.956-1.028	0.626					
TR at baseline	0.816-2.35	0.228					
TR post procedure	0.538-1.423	0.59					
CT-RV ejection fraction	0.893-0.998	0.043					
iCT TAPSE volume	0.711-0.988	0.035					
iCT posterior TAPSE	0.632-0.946	0.013					
Multivariate analyses							
Model 1							
TTE LVEF	0.916-1.012	0.139					
CT RVEF	0.904-1.026	0.243					
Model 2							
TTE LVEF	0.919-1.001	0.058					
iCT posterior TAPSE	0.594-0.961	0.022					
Model 3							
TTE LVEF	0.917-1.001	0.056					
iCT TAPSE volume	0.692-1.016	0.072					

CT, computed tomography; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LV, left ventricular; NYHA, New York Heart Association; RV, right ventricular, TAPSE, tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TTE, transthoracic echocardiography. The bold highlights significant *P*-values.

was significantly different whereas septal and lateral iTAPSE was not different in patients with bigger TAPSE volume compared to patients with smaller TAPSE volume. This underlines the hypothesis that anterior and posterior contraction is more decisive for longitudinal RV function than septal and lateral contraction.

Additionally, we analyzed whether CT-TAPSE is associated with better outcomes after TTVI. We found that higher index posterior TAPSE and TAPSE volume were associated with a better outcome at 1 year. Kaplan–Meier analysis revealed significantly better outcomes in patients with posterior iTAPSE >4.5 mm/m² and TAPSE volume >9 ml/². However, the ROC showed that the complex measurement of iTAPSE volume was not superior to the rather straightforward posterior iTAPSE measurement in relation to outcome prediction. Furthermore, TAPSE volume depends on the annulus area and annular excursion. Hence, a relatively small systolic excursion with a large annulus area might create a substantial TAPSE volume whereas a small annulus area with a larger systolic excursion might generate a smaller TAPSE volume. Therefore, posterior TAPSE rather than TAPSE volume might be used in future studies.

RVEF has been shown to be predictive for patients undergoing TTVI (5, 20). In our analysis, combined RVEF and TAPSE analysis

showed that the subgroup, being 18.6% of the study population, with either reduced CT-TAPSE or RVEF had comparable outcomes compared to patients with both reduced TAPSE and RVEF. This would redefine outcome prediction for nearly 10% (6 out of 62) of the patients with preserved RVEF and underline that CT-TAPSE has incremental value in TTVI outcome prediction.

In patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation, the prevalence of atrial fibrillation is high. The irregular heart rhythm leads to constantly changing preload conditions of the ventricles and varying stroke volume over time. In healthy individuals, the Frank-Starling mechanism links the length of myocardial fibers and force of contraction allowing for adjustment to changing preload conditions. In heart failure, the Frank-Starling mechanism is impaired, and the right ventricle often fails to adapt to rapidly changing preload conditions (21-23). Whether these changes in preload also extend to the level of changing R-R intervals, as in atrial fibrillation, is unclear. Since FAC correlates with RVEF, we used TTE to detect changes in FAC in two consecutive cardiac cycles and compared the relative differences with changes in TAPSE (24, 25). We found that compared to relative changes in TAPSE, FAC and RVMID mean changes were significantly higher whereas LVEF was not significantly affected. Because of the fair correlation of FAC and RVEF, this might indicate that the mean difference of RVEF is also affected by changing R-R intervals. Furthermore, changes in radial contraction might be more prone to arrhythmia than longitudinal contraction since the mean differences of RVMID were bigger than the mean changes of TAPSE. This is interesting because in MRI-measured RVEF, increased radial and circumferential strain compensates for the loss of longitudinal strain in patients with preserved RVEF (5). Hence, the contraction pattern that distinguishes patients with preserved or reduced RVEF might be mostly affected by arrhythmia. As in our study, cardiac volumetrics with full cycle cardiac CT is usually undertaken in only one cardiac cycle. Therefore, CT measurements might be more susceptible to interbeat variabilityinduced change of RVEF compared to cardiac MRI. However, to address this question in particular, one might need to analyze interbeat variability of RVEF and RVMID as well as TAPSE with CT over several cardiac cycles which might cause inordinate radiation doses.

Interestingly, in patients with atrial fibrillation, posterior iTAPSE but not RVEF was significantly associated with the primary outcome. This might be in part attributed to a reduced sample size, as only 58 out of 75 patients were included. However, this points out that in patients with arrhythmia mere RVEF might provide insufficient information for RV function.

Limitations

This is a study from a single center focusing on patients who underwent pre-procedural CT prior to TTVI. Compared to other studies, the study population is small which might introduce selection bias. Due to the lack of data on right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) or CT-TAPSE measurements across multiple cardiac cycles, only assumptions can be made regarding the correlation between 2D TTE measurements of fractional area change (FAC) and TTE-TAPSE with 3D changes in CT scans of RVEF and CT-TAPSE. More studies are needed to further evaluate the value of CT-based TAPSE.

Furthermore, it is under debate which cutoff value for RVEF is appropriate in patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation. Often CT RVEF of 50% or more is considered normal, but, as in our study, several studies analyzing patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation defined >45% RVEF as preserved (26, 5, 20).

Conclusion

Anterior and posterior annular excursion is reduced in patients with right ventricular failure. Posterior iTAPSE is an independent predictor of cardiovascular outcomes among patients undergoing TTVI. Considering the prevalence and interbeat variability in patients with atrial fibrillation, the combination of CT-measured TAPSE and RVEF might refine risk stratification for clinical outcomes in patients undergoing TTVI.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethikkommission der Med. Fakultät der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Sitz Ostwestfalen. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The Ethics Committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because the study was approved and overseen by the Local Ethics Committee and informed consent for retrospective study inclusion was waived (File number: 2022-945).

References

1. Modin D, Møgelvang R, Andersen DM, Biering-Sørensen T. Right ventricular function evaluated by tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion predicts cardiovascular death in the general population. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2019) 8(10): e012197. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.012197

2. Bosch L, Lam CSP, Gong L, Chan SP, Sim D, Yeo D, et al. Right ventricular dysfunction in left-sided heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2017) 19(12):1664–71. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.873

Author contributions

JK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HO: Writing – review & editing. KF: Writing – review & editing. FR: Writing – review & editing. TR: Writing – review & editing. MP: Writing – review & editing. AG: Writing – review & editing. MI: Writing – review & editing. VR: Writing – review & editing. TR: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

MG has received funding from the Ruhr University Bochum (Advanced Clinician Scientist) and served as a consultant for Edwards Lifesciences. VR received research grants from Abbott Vascular, Boston Scientific, and Edwards Lifesciences, consulting fees from Abbott Vascular and Edwards Lifesciences. MI received speaker honoraria from Edwards.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2024. 1463978/full#supplementary-material

3. Forfia PR, Fisher MR, Mathai SC, Housten-Harris T, Hemnes AR, Borlaug BA, et al. Tricuspid annular displacement predicts survival in pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2006) 174(9):1034–41. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200604-547OC

^{4.} Brener MI, Lurz P, Hausleiter J, Rodés-Cabau J, Fam N, Kodali SK, et al. Right ventricular-pulmonary arterial coupling and afterload reserve in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve repair. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2022) 79(5):448–61. doi: 10. 1016/j.jacc.2021.11.031

5. Kresoja K-P, Rommel K-P, Lücke C, Unterhuber M, Besler C, von Roeder M, et al. Right ventricular contraction patterns in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve repair for severe tricuspid regurgitation. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2021) 14(14):1551–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2021.05.005

6. Dreyfus J, Durand-Viel G, Raffoul R, Alkhoder S, Hvass U, Radu C, et al. Comparison of 2-dimensional, 3-dimensional, and surgical measurements of the tricuspid Annulus size: clinical implications. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2015) 8(7): e003241. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.114.003241

7. Layoun H, Schoenhagen P, Wang TKM, Puri R, Kapadia SR, Harb SC. Roles of cardiac computed tomography in guiding transcatheter tricuspid valve interventions. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* (2021) 23(9):114. doi: 10.1007/s11886-021-01547-7

8. Nickenig G, Weber M, Schueler R, Hausleiter J, Näbauer M, von Bardeleben RS, et al. 6-month outcomes of tricuspid valve reconstruction for patients with severe tricuspid regurgitation. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2019) 73(15):1905–15. doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2019.01.062

9. Liao J, Huang L, Qu M, Chen B, Wang G. Artificial intelligence in coronary CT angiography: current status and future prospects. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:896366. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.896366

10. Penso M, Moccia S, Scafuri S, Muscogiuri G, Pontone G, Pepi M, et al. Automated left and right ventricular chamber segmentation in cardiac magnetic resonance images using dense fully convolutional neural network. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* (2021) 204:106059. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106059

11. van Assen M, Muscogiuri G, Caruso D, Lee SJ, Laghi A, de Cecco CN. Artificial intelligence in cardiac radiology. *Radiol Med.* (2020) 125(11):1186–99. doi: 10.1007/s11547-020-01277-w

12. Ton-Nu T-T, Levine RA, Handschumacher MD, Dorer DJ, Yosefy C, Fan D, et al. Geometric determinants of functional tricuspid regurgitation: insights from 3-dimensional echocardiography. *Circulation*. (2006) 114(2):143–9. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.611889

13. Fukuda S, Saracino G, Matsumura Y, Daimon M, Tran H, Greenberg NL, et al. Threedimensional geometry of the tricuspid annulus in healthy subjects and in patients with functional tricuspid regurgitation: a real-time, 3-dimensional echocardiographic study. *Circulation*. (2006) 114(1 Suppl):1492–8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.000257

14. Lancellotti P, Pibarot P, Chambers J, La Canna G, Pepi M, Dulgheru R, et al. Multi-modality imaging assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an EACVI and ESC council of valvular heart disease position paper. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2022) 23(5):e171-232. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeab253

15. Hahn RT, Zamorano JL. The need for a new tricuspid regurgitation grading scheme. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. (2017) 18(12):1342-3. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jex139

16. Hahn RT, Lawlor MK, Davidson CJ, Badhwar V, Sannino A, Spitzer E, et al. Tricuspid valve academic research consortium definitions for tricuspid regurgitation and trial endpoints. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2023) 82(17):1711–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc. 2023.08.008

17. Saha A, Roy S. Papillary muscles of right ventricle-morphological variations and its clinical relevance. *Cardiovasc Pathol.* (2018) 34:22–7. doi: 10.1016/j.carpath.2018. 01.007

18. Karam N, Mehr M, Taramasso M, Besler C, Ruf T, Connelly KA, et al. Value of echocardiographic right ventricular and pulmonary pressure assessment in predicting transcatheter tricuspid repair outcome. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2020) 13(10):1251–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2020.02.028

19. Preda A, Melillo F, Liberale L, Montecucco F, Agricola E. Right ventricle dysfunction assessment for transcatheter tricuspid valve repair: a matter of debate. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2021) 51(12):e13653. doi: 10.1111/eci.13653

20. Tanaka T, Sugiura A, Kavsur R, Öztürk C, Vogelhuber J, Wilde N, et al. Right ventricular ejection fraction assessed by computed tomography in patients undergoing transcatheter tricuspid valve repair. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2023) 24(11):1501–8. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jead102

21. Borlaug BA, Reddy YNV. Some laws were not made to be broken: when Frank-Starling reserve is lost in heart failure. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2017) 10(10 Pt B):1250–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.02.023

22. Atherton JJ, Moore TD, Lele SS, Thomson HL, Galbraith AJ, Belenkie I, et al. Diastolic ventricular interaction in chronic heart failure. *Lancet.* (1997) 349(9067):1720–4. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(96)05109-4

23. Andersen MJ, Nishimura RA, Borlaug BA. The hemodynamic basis of exercise intolerance in tricuspid regurgitation. *Circ Heart Fail.* (2014) 7(6):911–7. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.114.001575

24. Hoette S, Creuzé N, Günther S, Montani D, Savale L, Jaïs X, et al. RV fractional area change and TAPSE as predictors of severe right ventricular dysfunction in pulmonary hypertension: a CMR study. *Lung.* (2018) 196(2):157–64. doi: 10.1007/s00408-018-0089-7

25. Focardi M, Cameli M, Carbone SF, Massoni A, de Vito R, Lisi M, et al. Traditional and innovative echocardiographic parameters for the analysis of right ventricular performance in comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2015) 16(1):47–52. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeu156

26. Clemente A, Seitun S, Mantini C, Gentile G, Federici D, Barison A, et al. Cardiac CT angiography: normal and pathological anatomical features-a narrative review. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* (2020) 10(6):1918–45. doi: 10.21037/ cdt-20-530