Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Christoph Gräni, Universitätsklinik für Kardiologie, Schweizer Herz- und Gefässzentrum Bern Inselspital, Universitätsspital Bern, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY

Ronak Soni, Jack Stephens Heart Institute, United States Jiang Ming Fam, National Heart Centre Singapore, Singapore Masaaki Okutsu, New Tokyo Hospital, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Kosta S. Krupnikovic krupnikovick@gmail.com

RECEIVED 26 July 2024 ACCEPTED 30 April 2025 PUBLISHED 21 May 2025

CITATION

Krupnikovic KS, Obradovic D, Ilic I and Dobric M (2025) Multimodality imaging and advanced calcium treatment to facilitate PCI in a rare coronary artery anomaly—case report. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 12:1471211. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2025.1471211

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Krupnikovic, Obradovic, Ilic and Dobric. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Multimodality imaging and advanced calcium treatment to facilitate PCI in a rare coronary artery anomaly—case report

Kosta S. Krupnikovic^{1*}, Danilo Obradovic², Ivan Ilic^{1,3} and Milan Dobric^{1,3}

¹Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases 'Dedinje', University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, ²Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Herzzentrum Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany, ³Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Background: Coronary artery anomalies (CAAs) are a rare congenital condition and represent additional challenges in interventional treatment of coronary artery disease.

Case summary: A 76-year-old male, was admitted for elective coronary angiography due to symptoms of typical angina. CT coronary angiography (CTCA) revealed all three coronary arteries arising from the right sinus of Valsalva, where right coronary artery (RCA) and left anterior descending artery (LAD) had common ostium with significant stenosis of ostio-proximal RCA and circumflex artery (CX) coming from a separate one. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of ostial RCA was planned and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) in both RCA and LAD was done. Due to extensive calcification, prior to intended PCI, intravascular lithotripsy (IVL) was done. Following IVL and extensive predilatation drug eluting stent (DES) was implanted. Final IVUS was used to confirm optimal stent deployment in proximal RCA and to verify that LAD ostium was not compromised with RCA stent. Six months later, due to angina and positive stress test, repeated coronary angiography revealed a restenosis of the ostial RCA so the lesion was again treated with drug-coated balloon with optimal procedural results.

Conclusion: Although rare, CAAs could be associated with coronary artery disease and usually present additional challenge for interventional treatment. Advanced imaging modalities, including CTCA and IVUS, provide good procedural guidance during complex PCI procedures in patients with CAAs.

KEYWORDS

coronary artery anomaly, intravascular ultrasound, intravascular lithotripsy, PCI, CTCA

Introduction

Coronary artery anomalies (CCAs) are a rare congenital condition and represent additional challenge in interventional treatment of the coronary artery disease (1). In the systematic review of 12.457 consecutive adult patients who underwent coronary angiography, origin of all three major coronary arteries at right coronary sinus was found to have a prevalence of 0.008% and comprised 0.89% of all congenital anomalies found in the study population (2). The intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) has already been proven to improve outcomes in complex coronary interventions (3). Compared to coronary angiography guided PCI, the use of IVUS imaging guidance to optimize stent implantation was associated with a lower risk for target vessel failure (TVF) during long

10.3389/fcvm.2025.1471211

term follow-up (4). The benefits of intravascular imaging have been especially pronounced in treatment of calcified lesions which comprise substantial part of contemporary PCI (5). IVUS definitions of calcium burden allowed evaluation of new techniques to treat calcified lesions like atherectomy or intravascular lithotripsy (IVL) (6). In the Disrupt CAD III trial, the largest prospective single-arm multicenter study to date, IVL treatment prior to coronary stent implantation in severely calcified lesions was associated with lower rates of major adverse cardiac events, ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization and stent thrombosis at one-year follow-up (7).

Case report

A 76-year-old male patient, with no relevant medical history, was admitted for coronary angiography due to symptoms of typical angina. Patient underwent coronary angiography which was unable to provide enough information regarding coronary anatomy due to inability to selectively cannulate all coronary ostia. Afterwards, CT coronary angiography (CTCA) was done, which revealed the origin of all three coronary arteries from the right sinus of Valsalva. Right coronary artery (RCA) and left anterior descending artery (LAD) had common ostium and circumflex artery (CX) had separate ostium of its own and retro-aortic course. It also showed a high level of calcification in the ostioproximal segment of the RCA, which was later confirmed using intravascular imaging which demonstrated a high level of calcification occupying more than 270° of vessel circumference (Figure 1).

At repeated coronary angiography, two high grade stenoses were found in RCA, in ostioproximal and distal segment (Supplementary Videos 1, 2). The procedure was continued with PCI of the distal lesion first via radial access. The cannulation of RCA/LAD ostia was obtained using right Amplatz 2.0 7Fr catheter, which provided good support. Afterwards, two workhorse wires, *Whisper MS and BMW Universal* (Abbott Vascular International, Diegem, Belgium) were placed in distal segments of RCA and LAD, respectively. The predilatation of the distal RCA lesion was done with semi-compliant balloon 2.0 mm \times 15 mm. After that, an everolimus eluting stent 2.5 mm \times 20 mm was implanted in distal segment of the RCA.

Due to proximity of LAD to diseased RCA ostia, IVUS of RCA and LAD was done using Eagle Eye Platinum IVUS catheter (Philips Healthcare Andover, MA, US) (Supplementary Videos 4 and 5). At RCA ostium, stenosis was documented [minimal lumen diameter: 2.1 mm; maximal lumen diameter: 2.8 mm; lumen area (LA): 5.1 mm²; external elastic lamina (EEL) area: 15.2 mm², plaque burden 66.5%] with extensive calcified plaque occupying more than 270° of vessel circumference. In the proximal segment predominantly fibro-muscular lesion (minimal lumen diameter: 3.5 mm; maximal lumen diameter: 4.1 mm; LA: 10.8 mm²; EEL area: 28.6 mm², plaque burden 62.0%) was identified (Figure 2). No significant narrowing of LAD was observed. Due to extensive calcification of RCA ostium, we considered rotational atherectomy and IVL for lesion preparation. We opted for the latter one, owing to the challenges and higher risk of complications with rotational atherectomy given the proximity of the lesion to the ostium. After predilatation with non-compliant balloon 3.0 mm × 8 mm, IVLballoon catheter $4.0 \text{ mm} \times 12 \text{ mm}$ was positioned in the target lesion and inflated to 4 Atm (Supplementary Video 6). In total 9 cycles of IVL pulses were applied (Shockwave Medical, Inc, Santa Clara, CA). Following that, everolimus eluting stent Resolute Onyx 4.0 mm × 22 mm (Medtronic Inc, Galway, Ireland), was implanted in the proximal segment of RCA. Final IVUS runs were done from RCA and LAD and confirmed optimal stent deployment in RCA (minimal stent area at ostium: 8.5 mm²; minimal stent area at proximal segment: 11.3 mm²). There were no signs neither of dissection nor compromise of LAD ostium (Figures 3, 4, Supplementary Videos 7-10).



FIGURE 1

Multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) of the coronary arteries. All three coronary arteries emerge from right sinus of Valsalva. RCA and LAD emerge from same ostium and lay in close proximity. LCX is positioned laterally and emerges from isolated ostia.



The patient was discharged on the following day on dual antiplatelet therapy including aspirin and clopidogrel. At one month follow-up visit, the patient reported doing well without angina.

However, at the next follow-up visit, six months after the initial procedure the patient reported having similar angina-like symptoms as prior to the PCI. Exercise stress test was done which showed signs of myocardial ischemia at levels of effort of 7.0 METS, with Duke treadmill score of -22 so repeated coronary angiography was done.

It revealed a significant restenosis of the ostial RCA, so the procedure was continued with repeated PCI (Supplementary Video 11). This time a Multi-Purpose 6F catheter was used, with two workhorse guidewires placed into the distal parts of the RCA and LAD. After predilatation with non-compliant and cutting ballon, the lesion was treated with a paclitaxel-coated 4.0 mm × 15 mm balloon for 60 s (Supplementary Video 12). The result was optimal and the patient was discharged from the hospital on the next day, with continuation of previously used dual-antiplatelet therapy (Supplementary Video 13).

Discussion

The widespread use of invasive and noninvasive coronary artery imaging has led to increased recognition of CAAs among adults (8). Although the data concerning impact of CCAs on clinical outcome of patients are limited, there is evidence that specific morphologies of CCAs are associated with unfavorable clinical outcomes (9, 10).

CTCA offers a detailed characterization of the anatomic features associated with high-risk CAAs. Furthermore it allows visualization of the surrounding cardiac and non-cardiac structures and their three dimensional relationships, thus representing the gold standard for CAAs (11). In our case CTCA proved to be useful in providing detailed information regarding coronary artery morphology, their relationships, and the degree of coronary atherosclerosis (12).

Due to the complex anatomy present, the myocardial territory supplied by RCA and LAD is similar to the territory of the left main trunk. Therefore, the planned PCI should be performed with utmost caution, in order to minimize the risk of complications that would jeopardize large myocardial area and ensure better clinical outcome. Due to the same reason we have considered RCA ostial lesion as significant based on IVUS findings and did not pursue functional evaluation of the lesion.

Early IVUS studies showed that an angiographically good stent result frequently conceals poorly expanded struts with edge dissections that inevitably increases the risk of acute complications. Consecutive randomized trials proved, that IVUS-



Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) of the RCA after PCI. The optimal stent apposition without signs of dissection on proximal and distal stent edge was observed.

guided PCI provides better results than angiography guided PCI (13). Comprehensive information about the nature of the coronary plaque (calcified, fibromuscular, etc.), provided by IVUS, enables appropriate procedural decision making regarding lesion morphology and interventional strategies (14).Additionally, application of IVUS defined criteria for optimal stent implantation (plaque burden at 5 mm proximal or distal to stent edge <50%, minimal in-stent lumen area >90% of the distal reference lumen and absence of large edge dissection) provided in landmark clinical trials were associated with lower rates of MACE at one year follow-up (15, 16). Notwithstanding, optical coherence tomography (OCT) could be an alternative for plaque and lesion evaluation especially in calcified coronary arteries. OCT provides better spatial resolution and more detailed visualization of calcified lesion and can give more comprehensive information about plaque characteristics including calcium distribution, depth and volume which can be an essential information for procedural planning (17). We've opted for IVUS in this case due to ostial lesion location in both arteries of interest, RCA and LAD, due to possibility of suboptimal image quality if OCT was used due to insufficient blood clearing from the vessel.

In our case, use of combined imaging modalities of CTCA and IVUS provided important information that allowed identification

of coronary anomaly type, determination of the extent and distribution of atherosclerotic plaques, as well as optimization of PCI strategy. We considered the result was good after initial PCI procedure. Although we didn't achieve 90% of stent expansion relative to the lumen diameter, we think that further attempts to optimize RCA ostium could increase the risks of RCA ostial dissection and LAD compromise.

PCI of substantially calcified lesions is associated with poor procedural success, greater complication rates, and inferior longterm clinical outcomes (18). The possible options for treatment of very calcified lesions could be rotational or orbital atherectomy, non-compliant and cutting balloons together with IVL. Rotational atherectomy can be challenging in ostial RCA lesions due to several reasons: difficulty of coaxial alignment of guiding catheter against RCA ostium, risk of laceration of the ostium and potential for embolization of rotablation debris (19). We decided to use IVL with the intention to treat calcified lesion in a more focal manner by causing localized fractures of calcified segments of ostial RCA. IVL causes fractures and local dissection even in thicker calcified segments or calcified nodules which allows better lesion preparation and stent expansion (20). Use of IVL prior to stent implantation was also associated with lower rates of major adverse cardiac events (MACE) and stent thrombosis (21). We have reported use of IVL in ostial RCA



Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) of the LAD after PCI. No signs of the narrowing of the ostial and proximal LAD after PCI of proximal RCA was documented.

lesion in a rare coronary anomaly where, in our opinion, use of IVL facilitated the lesion preparation while preventing major ostial dissection that can extend into aorta and/or ostium of LAD. IVUS guidance enabled optimal IVL balloon sizing which led to optimal lithotripsy effect and contributed to procedural success (21). Although, as previously mentioned, IVL is considered safe and potent method of lesion preparation, target-vessel revascularization still occurs in approximately 10% of patients treated with IVL (22). In our case it might be that the deep, concentrated calcium resembling calcified nodule could influence the occurrence of restenosis, despite optimal PC procedure (23). Studies have found that in-stent restenosis (ISR) can be linked to factors like patient characteristics, genetics, stent features, lesion shape, and procedural methods (24). The anatomy of the blood vessels also contributes to restenosis, particularly during PCI procedures involving bifurcation lesions (25). However, there is insufficient data regarding the impact of coronary anomalies, such as the one presented in this case. Using drug-coated balloons (DCB) for the management of in-stent restenosis was linked to lower MACE than treatment with regular, non-coated balloons, although our patient does not resemble study patients treated with DCBs in the studies that evaluated their effectiveness. However, the principles of construction of new

generation DCBs seem promising even for complex patients, like ours was (26).

Conclusion

Although rare, CAAs could be associated with coronary artery disease and usually present additional challenge for interventional treatment. Advanced imaging modalities, including CTCA and IVUS, provide good procedural guidance during complex PCI procedures in patients with CAAs, while IVL provides solutions for calcified lesions, not affected by the variations in coronary anatomy. Lesion restenosis after PCI represents a challenge in everyday clinical practice, and using DCBs for treatment might be a valid option. Whether the unique coronary anatomy in this case played a part in the need for repeated revascularization remains uncertain.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

KK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DO: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. II: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. MD: Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This article was

References

1. Angelini P, Uribe C. Critical update and discussion of the prevalence, nature, mechanisms of action, and treatment options in potentially serious coronary anomalies. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2023) 33(8):518–28. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2022. 05.007

2. Yildiz A, Okcun B, Peker T, Arslan C, Olcay A, Bulent Vatan M. Prevalence of coronary artery anomalies in 12,457 adult patients who underwent coronary angiography. *Clin Cardiol.* (2010) 33(12):E60–4. doi: 10.1002/clc.20588

3. Räber L, Mintz GS, Koskinas KC, Johnson TW, Holm NR, Onuma Y, et al. Clinical use of intracoronary imaging. Part 1: guidance and optimization of coronary interventions. An expert consensus document of the European association of percutaneous cardiovascular interventions. *Eur Heart J.* (2018) 39(35):3281–300. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy285

4. Gao XF, Ge Z, Kong XQ, Kan J, Han L, Lu S, et al. 3-year outcomes of the ULTIMATE trial comparing intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2021) 14(3):247–57. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2020.10.001

5. Kobayashi N, Ito Y, Yamawaki M, Araki M, Obokata M, Sakamoto Y, et al. Optical coherence tomography-guided versus intravascular ultrasound-guided rotational atherectomy in patients with calcified coronary lesions. *EuroIntervention*. (2020) 16(4):e313–21. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-19-00725

6. Mintz GS, Matsumura M, Ali Z, Maehara A. Clinical utility of intravascular imaging: past, present, and future. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2022) 15(10):1799–820. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2022.04.026

7. Kereiakes DJ, Hill JM, Shlofmitz RA, Klein AJ, Riley RF, Price MJ, et al. Intravascular lithotripsy for treatment of severely calcified coronary arteries: 2-year results-disrupt CAD III study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2023) 16(19):2472–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2023.07.010

8. Gentile F, Castiglione V, De Caterina R. Coronary artery anomalies. *Circulation*. (2021) 144(12):983–96. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.121.055347

9. Maron BJ, Doerer JJ, Haas TS, Tierney DM, Mueller FO. Sudden deaths in young competitive athletes: analysis of 1866 deaths in the United States, 1980–2006. *Circulation*. (2009) 119(8):1085–92. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.804617

10. Gräni C, Benz DC, Steffen DA, Clerc OF, Schmied C, Possner M, et al. Outcome in middle-aged individuals with anomalous origin of the coronary artery from the opposite sinus: a matched cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* (2017) 38(25):2009–16. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx046

11. Bluemke DA, Achenbach S, Budoff M, Gerber TC, Gersh B, Hillis LD, et al. Noninvasive coronary artery imaging: magnetic resonance angiography and

funded by the Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases "Dedinje", Belgrade, Serbia.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2025. 1471211/full#supplementary-material

multidetector computed tomography angiography: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association committee on cardiovascular imaging and intervention of the council on cardiovascular radiology and intervention, and the councils on clinical cardiology and cardiovascular disease in the young. *Circulation*. (2008) 118:586–606. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.189695

12. Nakanishi R, Motoyama S, Leipsic J, Budoff MJ. How accurate is atherosclerosis imaging by coronary computed tomography angiography? *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr.* (2019) 13(5):254–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2019.06.005

13. Darmoch F, Alraies MC, Al-Khadra Y, Pacha M, Pinto H, Osborn DS, et al. Intravascular ultrasound imaging-guided versus coronary angiography-guided percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9(5):e013678. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.013678

14. Malaiapan Y, Leung M, White AJ. The role of intravascular ultrasound in percutaneous coronary intervention of complex coronary lesions. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* (2020) 10(5):1371-88. doi: 10.21037/cdt-20-189

15. Zhang J, Gao X, Kan J, Ge Z, Han L, Lu S, et al. Intravascular ultrasound versus angiography-guided drug-eluting stent implantation: the ULTIMATE trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2018) 72(24):3126–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.013

16. Katagiri Y, De Maria GL, Kogame N, Chichareon P, Takahashi K, Chang CC, et al. Impact of post-procedural minimal stent area on 2-year clinical outcomes in the SYNTAX II trial. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* (2019) 93(4):E225–34. doi: 10. 1002/ccd.28105

17. Combaret N, Amabile N, Duband B, Motreff P, Souteyrand G. Contribution of the optical coherence tomography in calcified lesions. *Rev Cardiovasc Med.* (2023) 24(3):93. doi: 10.31083/j.rcm2403093

18. Bourantas CV, Zhang YJ, Garg S, Iqbal J, Valgimigli M, Windecker S, et al. Prognostic implications of coronary calcification in patients with obstructive coronary artery disease treated by percutaneous coronary intervention: a patient-level pooled analysis of 7 contemporary stent trials. *Heart.* (2014) 100(15):1158–64. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2013-305180

19. Sakakura K, Ito Y, Shibata Y, Okamura A, Kashima Y, Nakamura S, et al. Clinical expert consensus document on rotational atherectomy from the Japanese association of cardiovascular intervention and therapeutics: update 2023. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* (2023) 38(2):141–62. doi: 10.1007/s12928-022-00906-7

20. Kereiakes DJ, Virmani R, Hokama JY, Illindala U, Mena-Hurtado C, Holden A, et al. Principles of intravascular lithotripsy for calcific plaque modification. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2021) 14(12):1275–92. doi: 10.1016/j. jcin.2021.03.036

21. Hill JM, Kereiakes DJ, Shlofmitz RA, Klein AJ, Riley RF, Price MJ, et al. Intravascular lithotripsy for treatment of severely calcified coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2020) 76(22):2635–46. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.09.603

22. Saito S, Yamazaki S, Takahashi A, Namiki A, Kawasaki T, Otsuji S, et al. Intravascular lithotripsy for vessel preparation in calcified coronary arteries prior to stent placement-Japanese disrupt CAD IV study 2-year results. *Circulation Reports.* (2023) 5(12):437–41. doi: 10.1253/circrep.CR-23-0082

23. Sakakura K, Jinnouchi H, Taniguchi Y, Yamamoto K, Fujita H. Lifetime management of severely calcified coronary lesions: the treatment algorithm focused on the shape of calcification. *Cardiovasc Interv Ther.* (2023) 38(4):375–80. doi: 10. 1007/s12928-023-00950-x

24. Giustino G, Colombo A, Camaj A, Yasumura K, Mehran R, Stone GW, et al. Coronary in-stent restenosis. JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2022) 80(4):348–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.05.017

25. Pelliccia F, Zimarino M, Niccoli G, Morrone D, De Luca G, Miraldi F, et al. Instent restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention: emerging knowledge on biological pathways. *Eur Heart J Open.* (2023) 3(5):oead083. doi: 10.1093/ehjopen/ oead083

26. Scheller B, Clever YP, Kelsch B, Hehrlein C, Bocksch W, Rutsch W, et al. Longterm follow-up after treatment of coronary in-stent restenosis with a paclitaxel-coated balloon catheter. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2012) 5(3):323–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2012. 01.008