



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marco Zuin, University Hospital of Ferrara, Italy

REVIEWED BY Paolo Assabbi. Local Health Authority of Imola, Italy Jacopo Farina, University of Ferrara, Italy Stefano Clo. University Hospital of Ferrara, Italy

Niva Mileva

□ nmileva91@gmail.com

RECEIVED 30 April 2025 ACCEPTED 18 August 2025 PUBLISHED 18 September 2025

Vassilev D, Mileva N, Stoev G, Pazardzhykly I, Panavotov P. Rigatelli G and Gelev V (2025) Arrhythmia prevention protocol during papaverine administration for invasive coronary functional assessment. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 12:1621053. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2025.1621053

© 2025 Vassilev, Mileva, Stoev, Pazardzhykly, Panayotov, Rigatelli and Gelev. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted. provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Arrhythmia prevention protocol during papaverine administration for invasive coronary functional assessment

Dobrin Vassilev^{1,2}, Niya Mileva^{1,3}*, Gavril Stoev¹, Ilter Pazardzhykly¹, Panayot Panayotov^{1,2}, Gianluca Rigatelli⁴ and Valery Gelev⁵

¹SHATC Medica Cor, Ruse, Bulgaria, ²Ruse University "Angel Kanchev", Ruse, Bulgaria, ³Medical University of Pleven, Pleven, Bulgaria, ⁴Ospedali Riuniti Padova Sud, Padova, Italy, ⁵Cardiology Clinic, Acibadem City Clinic Tokuda Hospital, Sofia, Bulgaria

Background: Accurate assessment of coronary physiology through invasive functional testing is critical for the effective management of chronic coronary syndromes. The induction of maximal and sustained coronary hyperemia is essential for obtaining reliable measurements of fractional flow reserve (FFR), coronary flow reserve (CFR), and the index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR). Intracoronary papaverine is a potent vasodilator for inducing hyperemia but has been associated with ventricular arrhythmias, limiting its clinical use.

Methods: This single-center prospective study investigates the feasibility and safety of a novel hyperemic protocol involving intravenous (i.v.) lidocaine administration followed by intracoronary papaverine in patients undergoing invasive coronary functional assessment. All patients underwent FFR, CFR, and IMR measurements after receiving an initial dose of 100 mg of i.v. lidocaine followed by papaverine (20 mg for the left coronary artery and 5–10 mg for the right coronary artery).

Results: A total of 389 patients were enrolled. Functionally significant stenosis (FFR \leq 0.80) was identified in 36% of patients and microvascular dysfunction in 48%. Ventricular arrhythmias occurred in 1.5% of patients, including four episodes of ventricular fibrillation and two of ventricular tachycardia; all resolved with prompt defibrillation and without hemodynamic compromise.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that pre-treatment with lidocaine may enhance the safety of papaverine-induced hyperemia during invasive coronary testing.

KEYWORDS

arrhythmia, functional assessment, fractional flow reserve, chronic coronary syndrome, hyperemia

Introduction

Comprehensive invasive functional assessment in the catheterization laboratory is an important part of the guideline-directed management of patients with chronic coronary syndromes (1). The induction of both maximal and steady-state coronary hyperemia is a mandatory step during the measurement of hyperemic indexes such as fractional flow reserve (FFR), coronary flow reserve (CFR), and index of microcirculatory reserve (IMR). Ideally, an adequate vasodilator should have the following characteristics:

Vassilev et al. 10.3389/fcvm.2025.1621053

(i) the coronary vasodilation should be maximal; (ii) the agent should have a rapid-onset and steady-state effect and a short duration of action, to regulate the duration of maximal hyperemia; and (iii) the agent should have no significant side effects. Failure to meet any of these conditions could lead to incorrect clinical decisions. Previous studies have shown that intracoronary papaverine is the most potent pharmacological vasodilator, producing the greatest increase in coronary blood flow, enabling stable and prolonged hyperemic induction (2-4). However, this drug has been reported to increase the risk of ventricular arrhythmias. Previous studies reported rates ranging from 1.7% to 4.5%, while larger series reported lower rates, between 0.7% and 2.7%, depending on population and methodology. Torsades de pointes (TdP) was the most frequently occurring rhythm disturbance, more common in women than in men (4.4% vs. 0.3%), but severe sinus bradycardia has also been described (5).

Methods

We conducted a single-center prospective study to evaluate the feasibility and safety of a hyperemic protocol using initial intravenous (i.v.) administration of lidocaine followed by intracoronary papaverine for hyperemic induction in patients invasive coronary functional undergoing Consecutive patients with chronic coronary syndrome referred for invasive coronary angiography were included. All patients underwent a comprehensive functional assessment with measurements of FFR, CFR, and IMR. The following cutoff values were accepted for abnormal results, i.e., FFR \leq 0.80, IMR \geq 25, according to the CFR < 2.5, and recommendations (1). All patients received an i.v. bolus of 100 mg lidocaine, administered immediately (<2 min) before intracoronary papaverine administration (20 mg in the left coronary artery and 5-10 mg in the right coronary artery). The rate of arrhythmic events was recorded. All patients were managed following the Declaration of Helsinki and provided informed consent for anonymous publication of scientific data. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (6/15.01.2024).

Results

A total of 389 patients with chronic coronary syndrome were included in the study. The mean age was 67 ± 9 years, and 69% of the patients were male (Table 1). Dyslipidemia was present in 96% (n = 268), 64% (n = 249) were smokers, and 29% (n = 113) had diabetes mellitus. A history of myocardial infarction was reported in 18% (n = 70) and prior percutaneous coronary intervention in 31% (n = 70). Peripheral artery disease was present in 6% (n = 23), prior stroke in 12% (n = 47), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in 6% (n = 23). The mean left ventricular ejection fraction was 55 ± 9 . Functionally significant stenosis with FFR ≤ 0.80 was found in 36% of patients (n = 140); all of these patients with obstructive coronary artery disease underwent PCI. In 48% of the patients (n = 187), microvascular dysfunction was detected with an abnormal CFR and/or IMR. No abnormality was found in the remaining 16% of patients. A total of six (1.5%) ventricular arrhythmic events were recorded after papaverine administration (Table 2). Two patients had an episode of ventricular tachycardia, and four patients had an episode of ventricular fibrillation (VF); these episodes required 200 J biphasic defibrillation. In addition, one episode of atrial fibrillation was recorded and self-terminated. The target lesion in two of the patients with VF was the right coronary artery (RCA), and for the rest, the examined vessel was left anterior descending artery (LAD). All patients recovered sinus rhythm and were hemodynamically stable by the end of the procedure. There were no significant differences in the clinical characteristics of patients with and without arrhythmic events, except that those with arrhythmia had a

TABLE 1 Patient's demographic and clinical characteristics.

Demographic characteristics	All	No arrhythmia	Arrhythmic event	<i>p</i> -value
	N = 389	N = 383	N = 6	
Age, years (mean ± SD)	67 ± 9	67 ± 9	66 ± 9	0.512
Male sex, n (%)	268 (69)	262 (69)	4 (70)	0.119
Medical history				
Hypertension, n (%)	386 (99)	381 (98)	5 (99)	0.704
Diabetes, n (%)	113 (29)	111 (28)	2 (33)	0.098
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	373 (96)	368 (96)	5 (83)	0.073
Prior myocardial infarction (MI), n (%)	70 (18)	69 (18)	1 (17)	0.236
Prior stroke, n (%)	47 (12)	47 (12)	0 (0)	0.001
Peripheral arterial disease, n (%)	23 (6)	22 (5.7)	1 (16)	0.031
Smokers, n (%)	249 (64)	246 (65)	3 (50)	0.102
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	70 (18)	69 (18)	1 (18)	0.811
COPD, n (%)	23 (6)	23 (6)	0 (0)	0.001

Parameters with significant statistical difference between groups are marked in bold.

Vassilev et al. 10.3389/fcvm.2025.1621053

TABLE 2 Patient's procedural characteristics and arrhythmic events.

Parameter	Value			
Procedural characteristics				
Procedural time (mean ± SD)	59 ± 12			
Contrast (mean ± SD)	165 ± 19			
Mean FFR (mean ± SD)	0.81 ± 0.03			
Mean CFR (mean ± SD)	2.4 ± 0.5			
Mean IMR (mean ± SD)	21 ± 2			
Patients with FFR \leq 0.80, n (%)	140 (36)			
Patients with CFR < 2.5, n (%)	131 (34)			
Patients with IMR > 25, n (%)	102 (26)			
Coronary microvascular dysfunction, n (%)	187 (48)			
Arrhythmic events				
Ventricular tachycardia, n (%)	2 (0.5)			
Ventricular fibrillation, n (%)	4 (1.03)			
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	1 (0.3)			

higher rate of peripheral vascular disease (16% vs. 6%, p = 0.032) and lower rates of stroke (0% vs 12%) and COPD (0% vs. 6%, p < 0.001). QTc prolongation (>480 ms) was observed in three of the cases with arrhythmia. No lidocaine-related adverse events were observed.

Discussion

Previous studies and registries have consistently shown that although intracoronary papaverine is one of the most potent agents for inducing maximal and sustained coronary hyperemia, its use has been limited by concerns regarding its potential for proarrhythmia. Early observational studies highlighted the superior vasodilatory effect of papaverine compared with adenosine and other agents, particularly in achieving stable hyperemic conditions essential for IMR and CFR assessment (6, 7). However, these benefits were tempered by a reported incidence of ventricular arrhythmias ranging from 1.9% to 4.5%, with TdP and VF being the most serious complications, especially in women and in patients with prolonged QT intervals (8). More recent analyses, including data from large registries and multicenter cohorts, have confirmed this risk profile, prompting caution in certain patient populations (9). An additional procedural consideration relates to the potential proarrhythmic effect of inadvertent contrast-papaverine interaction within the infusion system. Previous reports have suggested that residual contrast medium within guiding catheters or extension lines may potentiate the arrhythmogenic risk of papaverine (10). Although this phenomenon was not directly evaluated in our study, all procedures incorporated a standardized protocol involving thorough saline flushing of the catheter system prior to papaverine administration to minimize this risk. Our findings underscore the importance of meticulous catheter preparation and drug delivery technique during invasive coronary functional testing. Our findings support the hemodynamic effectiveness of papaverine while introducing a

potential mitigation strategy through pre-treatment with intravenous lidocaine. The arrhythmia rate observed in our study (1.5%) is lower than the one previously reported, suggesting that lidocaine may confer a protective electrophysiological effect, possibly by stabilizing myocardial excitability and reducing dispersion of repolarization. While our findings suggest the potential protective role of lidocaine, the lack of a comparator arm precludes firm conclusions. Therefore, our findings should be interpreted as hypothesis-generating. Further studies are warranted to validate this approach across broader populations and to optimize safety protocols during invasive coronary functional testing.

Conclusion

The use of a potent and safe hyperemic agent during invasive coronary functional testing represents an unmet clinical need. A protocol of initial lidocaine administration prior to intracoronary papaverine injection may reduce arrhythmic events during invasive coronary functional testing.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the local ethics committee of Medica Hospital, Ruse, Bulgaria. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

DV: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. NM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. GS: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. IP: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. PP: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. GR: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. VG: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study is financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU through Vassilev et al. 10.3389/fcvm.2025.1621053

the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project No. BG-RRP-2.013-0001-C01.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence, and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Vrints C, Andreotti F, Koskinas KC, Rossello X, Adamo M, Ainslie J, et al. 2024 ESC Guidelines for the management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* (2024) 45(36):3415–537. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehae177
- 2. Wilson RF, White CW. Intracoronary papaverine: an ideal coronary vasodilator for studies of the coronary circulation in conscious humans. *Circulation*. (1986) 73(3):444–51. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.73.3.444
- 3. Kodeboina M, Nagumo S, Munhoz D, Sonck J, Mileva N, Gallinoro E, et al. Simplified assessment of the index of microvascular resistance. *J Interv Cardiol.* (2021) 2021(1):9971874. doi: 10.1155/2021/9971874
- 4. De Bruyne B, Pijls NH, Barbato E, Bartunek J, Bech JW, Wijns W, et al. Intracoronary and intravenous adenosine 5'-triphosphate, adenosine, papaverine, and contrast medium to assess fractional flow reserve in humans. *Circulation*. (2003) 107(14):1877–83. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000061950. 24940.88
- 5. Talman CL, Winniford MD, Rossen JD, Simonetti I, Kienzle MG, Marcus ML. Polymorphous ventricular tachycardia: a side effect of intracoronary papaverine. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (1990) 15(2):275–8. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(10) 80048-8

- 6. Wilson RF, Wyche K, Christensen BV, Zimmer S, Laxson DD. Effects of adenosine on human coronary arterial circulation. *Circulation*. (1990) 82(5):1595–606. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.82.5.1595
- 7. Meuwissen M, Siebes M, Chamuleau SA, van Eck-Smit BL, Koch KT, de Winter RJ, et al. Hyperemic stenosis resistance index for evaluation of functional coronary lesion severity. *Circulation*. (2002) 106(4):441–6. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000023041.26199.29
- 8. Nakayama M, Tanaka N, Sakoda K, Hokama Y, Hoshino K, Kimura Y, et al. Papaverine-induced polymorphic ventricular tachycardia during coronary flow reserve study of patients with moderate coronary artery disease—analysis of ECG data. *Circ J.* (2015) 79(3):530–6. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-14-1118
- 9. Okabe Y, Otowa K, Mitamura Y, Murai H, Usui S, Kaneko S, et al. Evaluation of the risk factors for ventricular arrhythmias secondary to QT prolongation induced by papaverine injection during coronary flow reserve studies using a 4 Fr angio-catheter. *Heart Vessels.* (2018) 33:1358–64. doi: 10.1007/s00380-018-1175-8
- 10. Kern MJ, Deligonul U, Serota H, Gudipati C, Buckingham TJC. Ventricular arrhythmia due to intracoronary papaverine: analysis of QT intervals and coronary vasodilatory reserve. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. (1990) 19(4):229–36. doi: 10.1002/ccd.1810190402