



The Transcriptome of Human Endometrial Mesenchymal Stem Cells Under TGFβR Inhibition Reveals Improved Potential for Cell-Based Therapies

Shanti Gurung^{1,2}, Sarah Williams³, James A. Deane^{1,2}, Jerome A. Werkmeister^{1,2} and Caroline E. Gargett^{1,2*}

¹ The Ritchie Centre, Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ² Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ³ Monash Bioinformatics Platform, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Sveva Bollini, Università di Genova, Italy

Reviewed by:

Antonietta Rosa Silini, Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero, Italy Luca Urbani, Foundation for Liver Research, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Caroline E. Gargett caroline.gargett@hudson.org.au

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Stem Cell Research, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

Received: 02 October 2018 Accepted: 15 November 2018 Published: 04 December 2018

Citation:

Gurung S, Williams S, Deane JA, Werkmeister JA and Gargett CE (2018) The Transcriptome of Human Endometrial Mesenchymal Stem Cells Under TGFβR Inhibition Reveals Improved Potential for Cell-Based Therapies. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 6:164. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2018.00164 Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells with favorable properties for cell therapies and regenerative medicine. Human endometrium harbors a small population of perivascular, clonogenic MSCs (eMSCs) identified by the SUSD2 marker. As for other MSCs, eMSCs require extensive in vitro expansion to generate clinically relevant numbers of cells, resulting in spontaneous differentiation, replicative senescence and cell death, decreasing therapeutic potency. We previously demonstrated that A83-01, a TGF-β receptor inhibitor, maintained eMSC clonogenicity, promoted proliferation, prevented apoptosis and maintained MSC function in vitro. Here we compare the transcriptome of passaged eMSCs from six women cultured with and without A83-01 for 7 days. We identified 1206 differentially expressed genes (DEG) using a false discovery rate cut-off at 0.01 and fold change >2. Significant enrichment of genes involved in anti-inflammatory responses, angiogenesis, cell migration and proliferation, and collagen fibril and extracellular matrix organization were revealed. TGF-β, Wnt and Akt signaling pathways were decreased. Anti-fibrotic and anti-apoptotic genes were induced, and fibroblast proliferation and myofibroblast related genes were downregulated. We found increased MSC potency genes (TWIST1, TWIST2, JAG1, LIFR, and SLIT2) validating the enhanced potency of A83-01-treated eMSCs, and importantly no pluripotency gene expression. We also identified eMSCs' potential for secreting exosomes, possibly explaining their paracrine properties. Angiogenic and cytokine protein arrays confirmed the angiogenic, anti-fibrotic and immunomodulatory phenotype of A83-01-treated eMSCs, and increased angiogenic activity was functionally demonstrated in vitro. eMSCs culture expanded with A83-01 have enhanced clinically relevant properties, suggesting their potential for cell-therapies and regenerative medicine applications.

Keywords: human endometrial MSC, RNA-sequencing, TGF- β R, culture expansion, angiogenesis, anti-fibrosis

INTRODUCTION

Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (MSCs) have been isolated from most postnatal adult tissues (Crisan et al., 2008), including perivascular MSCs in human endometrium (Schwab and Gargett, 2007; Masuda et al., 2012; Lv et al., 2014). MSCs from various sources have undergone clinical trials for treating many diseases, exploiting their regenerative and immunomodulatory functions. Systemic delivery and/or repeated administration requires large cell doses and most clinical trials use culture-expanded MSCs (von Bahr et al., 2012). During the culture process, MSCs undergo replicative senescence and spontaneous differentiation reducing the population of potent cells and diminishing efficacy *in vivo* (Gurung et al., 2015b; Samsonraj et al., 2015; Barragan et al., 2016).

Human endometrium (uterine lining) regenerates 4–10 mm mucosal tissue each month without scar formation for 400–500 cycles during a woman's reproductive life (Jabbour et al., 2006), likely mediated by endometrial MSCs (eMSCs) and epithelial progenitor cells. eMSCs are enriched using CD140b/CD146 or SUSD2 surface markers (Schwab and Gargett, 2007; Masuda et al., 2012). Like other MSCs, eMSCs require culture expansion to generate sufficient numbers for clinical use. Transforming growth factor-b (TGF- β) is a pleiotropic cytokine signaling through the SMAD canonical pathway and crosstalks with other intracellular signaling pathways; Wnt, MAPK, Notch and JNK, independently of SMADs. TGF- β influences many biological functions including differentiation, angiogenesis, extracellular matrix (ECM) generation, fibrosis, immune surveillance, apoptosis, tissue homeostasis and repair (Massague, 2012).

To address issues associated with culture-expanded MSCs, we showed that long-term cultured eMSCs treated with a small molecule, A83-01 (Activin-like Kinase 4/5/7 inhibitor), targeting the TGF- β receptor (TGF- β R), retain their MSC properties; clonogenicity, multipotency, surface marker phenotype and proliferation with minimal senescence and apoptosis, and enhanced survival *in vivo* (Gurung et al., 2015b, Gurung et al., 2018). Here, we characterized the transcriptome of passage 6 (P6) human SUSD2⁺ eMSCs cultured with and without A83-01 for 7 days. The differential gene expression profile confirmed that A83-01 targets the TGF- β R and several non-canonical pathways. A83-01-treated eMSCs have angiogenic, anti-fibrotic and immunomodulatory properties, and maintain MSC potency genes without pluripotency gene expression, desirable properties of MSCs for treating many clinical conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Endometrial Tissue Samples, SUSD2⁺ eMSC Isolation and Culture

This study was carried out in accordance with the ethical guidelines according to the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia's National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research. Human ethics approval was obtained from the Monash Health and Monash University Human Research Ethics committees (09270B). All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

SUSD2⁺ eMSCs were obtained from eight healthy pre-menopausal women undergoing endometrial biopsy for non-endometrial pathologies who were not taking exogenous hormones for 3 months prior to surgery, using our published protocols for isolation and culture (Masuda et al., 2012; Gurung et al., 2015b). The cells were cultured in serum free medium [with bFGF and EGF (SFM)] and at passage 6 (P6) they were treated with 0.01% DMSO (vehicle control) or 1 μ M A83-01 for 7 days with media changed every 48 h (**Figure 1A**). At the end of 7 days, cells were collected and half were pelleted for RNA extraction and the other half were lysed for protein array from both treated and control groups. Similarly, the condition media of the last 48 h were collected for functional assays and protein array studies.

RNA Sequencing and Data Analysis

RNA was extracted using PureLink RNA mini Kit (Ambion, Invitrogen) including treatment with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen); RIN > 9.1 μ g of ribosomal depleted RNA was processed using the Illumina TruSeq Poly-A mRNA Library Pro Kit protocol 15031047 RevD (Illumina, Hayward, CA, United States) to generate indexed cDNA libraries for each sample. Libraries were verified by Bioanalyzer. A single equimolar pool was prepared and sequenced with 50 base pair single-end reads (~50–70 million reads/sample) with Illumina HiSeq3000. Image analysis, raw nucleotide base calling, conversion from bcl to fastq format, and quality filtering used Illumina CASAVA v1.8.2 software (Illumina, Hayward, CA, United States) with >97% of reads having quality >Q30.

RNA-sequenced reads were mapped against the Human Reference Genome GRCh38 and Ensembl 87 gene annotation using STAR (v2.5.2) (Dobin et al., 2013) with the RNAsik pipeline and manipulated with samtools (v1.3.1) (Li et al., 2009) and picard tools (v2.8.2) (Anders et al., 2015). From mapped reads, raw read counts/gene were quantified using htseq-count (v0.6.1p1), filtered to \geq 10 reads in at least one sample for subsequent analysis and differential expression calculated across paired A83-01-treated and control samples using voom (Law et al., 2014) and limma (v3.26.9) (Ritchie et al., 2015) via the degust interface. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined as FDR significance threshold of p < 0.01 and absolute log change of 1 cutoff (>2-fold change). Functional annotation of the DEGs was performed by KEGG and gene ontology (GO) analysis using DAVID 6.8 (Huang da et al., 2009).

Transcriptional Validation Using Fluidigm PCR

Ninety-five candidate DEGs were selected for validation based on GO and KEGG analysis and the literature. cDNA was prepared (50 ng/ μ l) and loaded to pre-primed 96.96 Dynamic Array Integrated Fluidic Circuit (Fluidigm), amplified and detected using TaqMan assays. Target gene expression was normalized to β -actin and relative gene



validation and functional assays. (B) Principle Component Analysis demonstrating clear separation of gene transcripts between A83-01-treated and control eMSCs. Each dot represents an experimental replicate. (C) Heat map showing the top 200 up- and down-regulated DEGs with FDR <0.01 and >2 fold change (n = 6). (D) GO analysis of biological processes of all DEGs using DAVID 6.8. A83-01 altered the expression of genes involved in the inflammatory response, angiogenesis, and ECM and collagen fibril organization. (E,F) GO and KEGG analysis of functional annotations and pathways of upregulated (E) and downregulated (F) genes. Red bars (GO) and black bars (KEGG). Fold enrichment change were analyzed by Benjamini–Hochberg (p < 0.05).

expression and fold change was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Angiogenesis Assays

Scratch Assay

P6 eMSCs treated with and without A83-01 were grown to confluency on fibronectin-coated 6-well plates in SFM in 5%

 O_2 , scratched with a 200 μ l pipette tip and the same media was replaced. The covered area was analyzed at 0, 24, and 48 h using ImageJ software.

Matrigel Tube Forming Assay

 $\mu\text{-slides}$ (Ibidi) were coated with 10 μl growth factor-reduced Matrigel at 37°C for 1 h. P6 control and A83-01-pre-treated

eMSCs (16,000 cells/well) were seeded on the Matrigel in their respective media. Images were captured at 3, 16, and 24 h at X 4 magnification using Olympus BX41 microscope (Olympus).

Human Cytokines and Angiogenesis-Related Antibody Array

The secretion of cytokines in the condition medium (CM) was measured using Human XL cytokine Array Kit (R&D Systems) while the angiogenic-related proteins were measured in the cell lysate using Human Angiogenesis Array Kit (R&D Systems) following the manufacturer's instructions. Arrays were imaged by Quantity One software with the ChemiDocTM XRS+ system. Protein signals were quantified using ImageJ software.

Statistical Analyses

Biological pathways from GO-TERM/Biological Processes and KEGG analysis were considered significant with Benjamini–Hochberg test p < 0.05 in DAVID 6.8. Differences between two groups were analyzed with Wilcoxon matched-pair signed rank test, groups over time were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test and more than two groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism 7 and considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

A83-01 Markedly Alters the Transcriptome of Cultured eMSCs

To determine the gene profile of A83-01-treated eMSCs, we undertook global transcriptome profiling of SUSD2⁺ eMSCs isolated from six donors expanded with or without A83-01 at P6 for 7 days using RNA sequencing (Figure 1A). We chose P6 to represent substantial culture of eMSCs, which comprise a mixture of differentiated SUSD2⁻ fibroblasts and diminished numbers of SUSD2⁺ eMSCs (Gurung et al., 2015b). Fastq, metadata spreadsheet and Table of Counts have been deposited in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus/Sequence Read Archive with GEO accession no. GSE115137. Principal Component Analysis and heat map of the top 200 upand downregulated genes clustered the samples into two distinct groups based on treatment with or without A83-01 (Figures 1B,C). A total of 21,830 transcripts were detected in the P6 eMSCs (NCBI account). There were 1206 DEGs (609 upregulated/Supplementary Table S1 and 597 downregulated/Supplementary Table S2) in eMSCs treated with A83-01 with >2-fold change and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.01, indicating marked changes in the transcriptome of A83-01-treated eMSCs.

To explore the biological relevance of the DEGs, we performed gene set enrichment analysis to functionally classify the genes on biological processes. GO analysis revealed enrichment of inflammatory response, endothelial cell proliferation, angiogenesis, wound healing, cell adhesion, collagen fibril and ECM organization, cell migration and proliferation pathways (**Figure 1D** and **Supplementary Table S3**). GO and KEGG functional enrichment analysis of upregulated DEGs revealed additional pathways enriched for ECM organization, response to IFN- γ , TNF signaling and complement and coagulation cascades (**Figure 1E** and **Supplementary Table S4**). Analysis of downregulated genes showed enrichment for fibroblast proliferation, collagen catabolic process, and TGF- β , Wnt and Akt signaling pathway genes in A83-01-treated eMSCs (**Figure 1F** and **Supplementary Table S4**), suggesting that A83-01 induced anti-inflammatory, growth, proliferation and angiogenesis genes and prevented spontaneous differentiation of eMSCs into ECM-secreting fibroblasts.

A83-01 Enhances the Angiogenic Properties of eMSCs

A83-01 Promotes Angiogenic Signaling in eMSCs

Angiogenesis is important in regenerative medicine. A83-01 altered angiogenesis genes in eMSCs, upregulating 18 proangiogenesis and downregulating 17 angiogenesis inhibitor genes (Figures 1D-F), conferring an angiogenic phenotype. SFRP1, an inhibitor/modulator of Wnt/Frizzled pathway, was highly expressed and the most significantly upregulated gene in A83-01-treated eMSCs (Supplementary Table S1). SFRP1 plays a vital role in vessel formation and maturation, enhancing MSC contribution to vascular cells during neovascularization and vessel maturation (Dufourcq et al., 2008). Many other pro-angiogenic genes were also upregulated including HGF, VCAM1, PGF, HPSE (Supplementary Table S4). In contrast, angiogenesis inhibitory genes were downregulated including THBS1, CTGF, COL4A1, and COL4A2 (Supplementary Table S4). Using Fluidigm qPCR in the original and two extra samples (n = 8) for several pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic genes we confirmed upregulation of HGF, VCAM1, SLIT2, HPSE, NDP, JUNB, TMEM100 (Figure 2A) and SFRP1 (Figure 3B) and downregulation of COL1A1 and CTGF (Figure 2B) suggesting that A83-01 promotes angiogenic signaling in human eMSCs.

A83-01-Treated eMSCs Secrete Increased Pro-angiogenic and Reduced Anti-angiogenic Factors

Having demonstrated that A83-01 modulated numerous angiogenesis genes we then sought to identify pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic proteins produced by A83-01-treated and control eMSCs. Using a human angiogenesis antibody array (55 proteins) we detected 15 proteins in eMSC lysates. Pro-angiogenic proteins HGF, PTX3 and uPA were increased in A83-01-treated eMSCs and the anti-angiogenic protein THBS1 was decreased (**Figure 2C**). Both HGF and PTX3 were also secreted in greater amount into CM of A83-01-treated compared to control eMSCs, while THBS1 secretion decreased (**Figure 2D**). This suggests A83-01 promotes pro-angiogenic and inhibits anti-angiogenic protein production and secretion by eMSCs.



FIGURE 2 [A83-01 treated-eMSCs secrete angiogenic factors and enhance angiogenesis. (**A**,**B**) Validation of angiogenesis-related genes in A83-01-treated versus control eMSCs using Fluidigm qPCR. Data points from each individual donor (n = 6-8) are presented; black circles, A83-01-treated; white circles, vehicle controls, bars are medians. The corresponding heat map of RNA-seq is from six independent donor eMSCs (original set). Angiogenesis-related proteins in (**C**) cell-lysates and (**D**) CM in A83-01-treated and control eMSCs. (n = 6, graphs are symbols and line to demonstrate corresponding pairs of A83-01 treated (black circles) and control (white circles) eMSCs. (**E**) Representative images of A83-01-treated and control eMSCs using *in vitro* scratch test at 0, 24, and 48 h assessing cell migration and proliferation and (**F**) graph of wound closure. Data are presented as means \pm SEM, (n = 6). (**G**) Representative images showing enhanced tube formation by HUVECs incubated with HM, CM from A83-01-treated or control eMSCs (scale bar: 500 μ m) 3 h after cell seeding. (**H**) Graphs show quantification of number of nodes, tubes and total tube length. Data are medians with interquartile range, n = 6. *p < 0.03, **P < 0.0002. #**P < 0.0002. HHM: HUVECs with HUVEC media (HM), HCCM: HUVECs with control eMSC conditioned media, HACM, HUVECs with A83-01 treated-eMSC CM.



A83-01 Treated-eMSCs Have Increased Migratory Capacity

A key property of angiogenic cells is to migrate through tissues to initiate new blood vessels. To determine whether the increased angiogenic gene and protein profile of A83-01-treated eMSCs influenced eMSC migratory function, we assessed wound closure *in vitro*. Confluent eMSC cultures showed greater wound closure in the presence of A83-01 compared to control at 24 and 48 h (**Figures 2E,F**) indicating that A83-01-treated eMSCs have increased migratory and proliferative capacity.

Pro-angiogenic Factors Secreted by A83-01-Treated eMSCs Promote Endothelial Cell Tube Formation

To further investigate functional angiogenic effects of A83-01 on eMSCs, we assessed the effect of CM from A83-01-treated and control eMSCs on human umbilical vessel endothelial cells (HUVECs) in a 3D tube-forming angiogenesis assay. HUVECs cultured in endothelial growth medium served as positive control. HUVECs formed tubes within 3 h (**Figure 2G**). Tube formation was more extensive in CM from A83-01-treated eMSCs showing increased number of nodes, tubes and tube lengths (Figure 2H) than untreated control eMSCs, suggesting the identified secreted pro-angiogenic factors (Figure 2D) have functional effects. In addition, the tube formation ability of CM from A83-01-treated eMSCs was comparable to that of HUVEC media (Figure 2H). Next, to determine if eMSCs themselves formed vessels as previously reported (Masuda et al., 2012), control and A83-01-treated eMSCs were assessed in the 3D tube-forming assay (Figure 2I). A83-01-treated eMSCs formed vessels at 3 h, with increased numbers of nodes, total tubes and tube length compared to the control by 16 h (Figures 2I,J). This shows that A83-01-treated eMSCs not only secreted factors promoting angiogenesis but also self-contribute to angiogenesis. Overall, our findings from RNA-seq, Fluidigm qPCR, protein and in vitro angiogenesis assays reinforce that A83-01-treated eMSCs may have pro-angiogenic effects when applied in vivo for regenerative therapy.

A83-01 Treated-eMSCs Have an Anti-fibrotic Gene Profile

Another target of MSC-therapy is fibrosis to promote scarless wound healing. Upon tissue injury, autocrine production of TGF- β directs MSC differentiation into myofibroblasts, cells primarily responsible for fibrosis. We mined the RNA-seq

Potential Properties of A83-01-Treated eMSCs

data for fibrosis-related genes. DEGs between A83-01 treated and control eMSCs involved pathways regulating ECM organization, collagen catabolism, and fibril organization processes (Figures 1D-F). Table 1 shows downregulation of pro-fibrotic and myofibroblast genes including ELN, CTGF, COL1A1, FN1, MMP9, and MMP2, and an upregulation of anti-fibrotic-related genes including HGF, CCL2, FBLN1, VWA1, TGFB3, and MIR155HG in A83-01-treated eMSCs. Transcripts for the proteasome (cathepsins, calpains, thrombospondin, metalloproteinases, and integrins) required for activating TGF-B by cleaving latency-related peptide were also reduced (Table 1). We validated several key fibrosis-related genes in A83-01-treated eMSCs confirming an increase in anti-fibrotic HGF and a reduction in pro-fibrotic COL1A1 and CTGF by Fluidigm qPCR (Figures 2A,B). A83-01-treated-eMSC CM also contained increased anti-fibrotic proteins HGF, PTX3, CCL2, and IGFBP-2 (Figures 2C,D) and decreased pro-fibrotic proteins EMMPRIN and OPN (Figure 2D). The pro-angiogenic and anti-fibrotic gene and protein profile of A83-01-treated eMSCs suggests their potential in promoting scarless healing could be harnessed for regenerative medicine purposes.

A83-01 Treatment of eMSCs Enhances Immunomodulatory Properties

The pleiotropism of TGF-β signaling in eMSCs was demonstrated by >1200 DEGs and pathway analysis in control versus A83-01-treated eMSCs, and by validating DEGs in TGF-B and Wnt signaling pathways (Figures 3A,B). As expected, TGF- β signaling pathway genes were down regulated in A83-01-treated eMSCs. To explore further, the inflammatory and immune response phenotype of A83-01-treated and control eMSCs was investigated by gene profiling. SOD3 (superoxide dismutase protein 3), an antioxidant enzyme coding transcript was the most significantly upregulated gene in A83-01-treated eMSCs compared to controls (Supplementary Table S1) and validated with Fluidigm qPCR (Figure 3C). SOD2 was similarly upregulated in A83-01-treated cells (Supplementary Table S1), while SOD1 was highly expressed although not differentially regulated. Several cytokines or chemokines and their receptors were among the differentially upregulated genes in the A83-01-treated eMSCs compared to controls, including the chemokine transcripts CXCL12, CXCL16, and CCL8 (Supplementary Table S1). Many inflammatory and immune response genes were upregulated in eMSCs after A83-01 treatment: interleukin and interleukin-related genes IL-15, IL-33, and IL-6ST and their corresponding receptors IL-15RA, IL-1R1, and IL-6R (Supplementary Tables S3, S4); TNF and the TNF-related genes PTGS2, JUNB, SOCS3, and TNFAIP3; and interferon-gamma related genes IFI6, IFI44L, IFITM3, and OAS1 (Supplementary Table S3). Other highly expressed and significantly upregulated genes were PLA2G4A, PTGS2/COX-2, and PTGES, all required for prostaglandin (PG) E2 synthesis (Supplementary Tables S1, S3, S4). Likewise, genes for innate immune system proteins, Toll-like receptors (TLR), were expressed by eMSCs; TLR 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, and TLR2 and TLR3 were significantly

TABLE 1 Differentially regulated fibrosis-related genes in A83-01-treated versu	JS
control eMSCs.	

Control A83-01 ELN 0 -5.00 2.16E-05 2.35 GREM1 0 -2.13 3.73E-05 7.07 CXCR4 0 -4.99 4.62E-05 -0.79 IGF2 0 -2.30 8.00E-05 2.77 EDN1 0 -2.84 8.15E-05 0.05 IARC17 0 -2.83 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 11.21 COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 10.16 COL1A1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.57 6.77E-04 -0.94 NDX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.56E-03 2.15 CDH2 0 -2.40 2.34E-03 1.65	Pro-Fibrotic genes	Fold change log ₂		FDR (<0.01)	Ave Expr	
EIN 0 -5.00 2.16E-05 2.35 GREM1 0 -2.13 3.73E-05 7.07 CXCR4 0 -4.99 4.62E-05 -0.79 IGF2 0 -2.18 7.29E-05 4.92 TGFB2 0 -2.84 8.15E-05 0.05 LRRC17 0 -2.85 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 12.03 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 14.07 MIR199A2 0 -1.57 3.7E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.7 6.77E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.6		Control	A83-01			
GREM1 0 -2.13 3.73E-05 7.07 CXCR4 0 -4.99 4.62E-05 -0.79 IGF2 0 -2.18 7.29E-05 4.92 TGFB2 0 -2.30 8.00E-05 2.77 LRC17 0 -2.85 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 11.01 COL1A1 0 -1.93 4.83E-04 14.07 MIR199A2 0 -1.88 5.73E-04 -1.98 TVC 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.57 6.77E-04 -0.94 NDX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.56E-03 2.19 NDX4 0 -2.50 1.48E-02 1.81 SERPINE1 0 <t< td=""><td>ELN</td><td>0</td><td>-5.00</td><td>2.16E-05</td><td>2.35</td></t<>	ELN	0	-5.00	2.16E-05	2.35	
CXCR4 0 -4.99 4.82E-05 -0.79 IGF2 0 -2.18 7.29E-05 4.92 TGFB2 0 -2.84 8.15E-05 0.05 LRPC17 0 -2.85 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 12.03 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 -1.98 TNC 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.57 6.77E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.66E-03 1.55 CDH2 0 -2.40 2.34E-03 4.43 CTGF 0 -3.36 3.99E-03 7.56 SPD1 0 -2.50<	GREM1	0	-2.13	3.73E-05	7.07	
GF20-2.187.29E-054.92TGFB20-2.308.00E-052.77EDN10-2.851.32E-046.47MGP0-4.101.34E-043.42PDGFC0-1.831.37E-046.29FN10-2.381.46E-0412.03MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MR199A20-1.753.61E-0411.21COLM0-2.447.23E-049.13TMC0-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.501.48E-021.55CDH20-2.402.34E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.53COL4A10-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.327.36E-02-2.35COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL11A10-2.702.16E-052.35COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CAT201.671.68E-037.86COL11A10-2.702.16E-052.35CAT20 <t< td=""><td>CXCR4</td><td>0</td><td>-4.99</td><td>4.62E-05</td><td>-0.79</td></t<>	CXCR4	0	-4.99	4.62E-05	-0.79	
TGFB2 0 -2.30 8.00E-05 2.77 EDN1 0 -2.85 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 12.03 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 14.07 MIR199A2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -2.55 6.77E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.56E-03 2.19 NOX4 0 -2.50 1.48E-02 1.28 ACTA2 0 -1.41 2.62E-02 7.55 CDH2 0 -1.33 4.35E-02 7.55 OCH2 0 -1.33 4.35E-02 7.55 CDH2 0 -1.81 1.73E-	IGF2	0	-2.18	7.29E-05	4.92	
EDN110-2.848.15E-050.05LRRC170-2.851.32E-046.47MGP0-4.101.34E-043.42PDGFC0-1.831.37E-046.29FN10-2.381.46E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MR199A20-1.885.73E-04-1.98TNC0-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-0211.23COL4A20-1.826.54E-0410.89COL4A20-1.334.35E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A20-1.826.54E-0410.89COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.611.73E-027.85CACL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VMA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymeC1.741.40E-023.48 <t< td=""><td>TGFB2</td><td>0</td><td>-2.30</td><td>8.00E-05</td><td>2.77</td></t<>	TGFB2	0	-2.30	8.00E-05	2.77	
LRRC17 0 -2.85 1.32E-04 6.47 MGP 0 -4.10 1.34E-04 3.42 PDGFC 0 -1.83 1.37E-04 6.29 FN1 0 -2.38 1.46E-04 12.03 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 9.13 TNC 0 -2.44 7.23E-04 9.13 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.57 6.77E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.56E-03 2.19 NOX4 0 -2.50 1.48E-02 1.28 ACTA2 0 -1.41 2.62E-02 8.15 SERPINE1 0 -1.32 7.96E-04 11.58 COL42 0 -1.32 7.96E-04 11.53 COL411 0 -4.02 7.33E-05 1.91 COL10A1 0 -2.70	EDN1	0	-2.84	8.15E-05	0.05	
MGP0-4.101.34E-043.42PDGFC0-1.831.37E-046.29FN10-2.381.46E-0412.03MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MIR199A20-1.885.73E-049.13TNC0-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SEP110-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.134.35E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74HGF02.225.55E-045.07LB01.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.257.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzy	LRRC17	0	-2.85	1.32E-04	6.47	
PDGFC0-1.831.3TE-046.29FN10-2.381.46E-0412.03MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MIR199A20-1.885.73E-04-1.98TNC0-2.447.23E-049.13THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-1.334.35E-027.55SERPINE10-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07L601.811.20E-02-3.86CAL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.25 <td>MGP</td> <td>0</td> <td>-4.10</td> <td>1.34E-04</td> <td>3.42</td>	MGP	0	-4.10	1.34E-04	3.42	
FN10-2.381.46E-0412.03MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MIR199A20-1.885.73E-04-1.98TNC0-2.447.23E-049.13THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-028.15SERPINET0-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL11A10-2.702.16E-052.35CCL1201.611.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.56E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42WIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19CASH01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2	PDGFC	0	-1.83	1.37E-04	6.29	
MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21COL1A10-1.394.83E-0414.07MIR199A20-1.885.73E-04-1.98TNC0-2.447.23E-049.13THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.501.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35COL4A101.664.52E-045.74HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-041.42WM101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.25 <t< td=""><td>FN1</td><td>0</td><td>-2.38</td><td>1.46E-04</td><td>12.03</td></t<>	FN1	0	-2.38	1.46E-04	12.03	
COL1A1 0 -1.39 4.83E-04 14.07 MIR199A2 0 -1.88 5.73E-04 -1.98 TNC 0 -2.44 7.23E-04 9.13 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 COMP 0 -5.57 6.77E-04 -0.94 LOX 0 -1.89 1.04E-03 8.46 PDGFB 0 -1.66 1.56E-03 2.19 NOX4 0 -2.56 1.60E-03 1.55 CDF2 0 -2.40 2.34E-03 7.56 SPP1 0 -2.50 1.48E-02 1.28 ACTA2 0 -1.13 4.35E-02 7.55 MMP9 0 -1.27 7.55E-02 -2.35 COL4A2 0 -1.82 6.54E-04 10.89 COL1A1 0 -4.02 7.33E-05 1.91 COL10A1 0 -2.70 2.16E-05 2.35 CXCL12 0	MMP2	0	-1.75	3.61E-04	11.21	
MIR199A20-1.885.73E-04-1.98TNC0-2.447.23E-049.13THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-1.334.35E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.611.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.07L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes1.281.31E-01-4.64CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.731.99E-038.36MMP100-2.459.42E-0410.16MM	COL1A1	0	-1.39	4.83E-04	14.07	
TNC0-2.447.23E-049.13THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A20-1.826.54E-0410.89COL11A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.82CTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSL01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN520-3.98 <t< td=""><td>MIR199A2</td><td>0</td><td>-1.88</td><td>5.73E-04</td><td>-1.98</td></t<>	MIR199A2	0	-1.88	5.73E-04	-1.98	
THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL11A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.255.5E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymesCTSU3.88CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.269.84E-0410.16CAPN90	TNC	0	-2.44	7.23E-04	9.13	
COMP0-5.576.77E-04-0.94LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes	THBS1	0	-2.45	9.42E-04	10.16	
LOX0-1.891.04E-038.46PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.667.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-051.51CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN90-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.75	COMP	0	-5.57	6.77E-04	-0.94	
PDGFB0-1.661.56E-032.19NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes1.51-4.64CTSV01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN520-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20 <t< td=""><td>LOX</td><td>0</td><td>-1.89</td><td>1.04E-03</td><td>8.46</td></t<>	LOX	0	-1.89	1.04E-03	8.46	
NOX40-2.561.60E-031.55CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSW01.707.26E-02CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.731.99E-038.35MMP30-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52 <td>PDGFB</td> <td>0</td> <td>-1.66</td> <td>1.56E-03</td> <td>2.19</td>	PDGFB	0	-1.66	1.56E-03	2.19	
CDH20-2.402.34E-034.43CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes3.98CTSU01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21	NOX4	0	-2.56	1.60E-03	1.55	
CTGF0-3.363.99E-037.56SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSU01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.512.4PN64.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.75<	CDH2	0	-2.40	2.34E-03	4.43	
SPP10-2.501.48E-021.28ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes01.741.40E-023.42CTSL01.124.40E-038.98CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPNS20-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21	CTGF	0	-3.36	3.99E-03	7.56	
ACTA20-1.412.62E-028.15SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSU01.707.26E-02CTSL01.124.40E-038.98CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	SPP1	0	-2.50	1.48E-02	1.28	
SERPINE10-1.334.35E-027.55MMP90-1.277.55E-02-2.35COL4A20-1.327.96E-0411.53COL4A10-1.826.54E-0410.89COL1A10-4.027.33E-051.91COL10A10-2.702.16E-052.35CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSW01.707.26E-02CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN520-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21	ACTA2	0	-1.41	2.62E-02	8.15	
MMP90 -1.27 $7.55E-02$ -2.35 COL4A20 -1.32 $7.96E-04$ 11.53 COL4A10 -1.82 $6.54E-04$ 10.89 COL11A10 -4.02 $7.33E-05$ 1.91 COL10A10 -2.70 $2.16E-05$ 2.35 CXCL120 1.81 $1.73E-02$ 7.78 HGF0 1.21 $9.64E-03$ 7.86 CCL20 1.67 $1.63E-02$ 6.49 FGF70 1.66 $4.52E-04$ 5.74 FBLN10 1.66 $7.06E-04$ 10.42 WWA10 1.60 $2.42E-04$ 3.46 CRISPLD20 2.22 $5.55E-04$ 5.07 L60 1.81 $1.20E-02$ 4.45 TGFB30 1.74 $1.40E-02$ 3.42 MIR155HG0 2.05 $7.52E-05$ 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL0 1.12 $4.40E-03$ 8.98 CTSW0 1.70 $7.26E-02$ -3.86 CAPN60 -2.00 $4.62E-05$ 1.51 CAPN90 -1.28 $1.31E-01$ -4.64 THBS10 -2.45 $9.42E-04$ 10.16 MMP100 -4.80 $7.04E-05$ 5.73 MMP20 -1.75 $3.61E-04$ 11.21	SERPINE1	0	-1.33	4.35E-02	7.55	
COL4A20 -1.32 $7.96E-04$ 11.53 COL4A10 -1.82 $6.54E-04$ 10.89 COL11A10 -4.02 $7.33E-05$ 1.91 COL10A10 -2.70 $2.16E-05$ 2.35 CXCL120 1.81 $1.73E-02$ 7.78 HGF0 1.21 $9.64E-03$ 7.86 CCL20 1.67 $1.63E-02$ 6.49 FGF70 1.66 $4.52E-04$ 5.74 FBLN10 1.66 $7.06E-04$ 10.42 WWA10 1.60 $2.42E-04$ 3.46 CRISPLD20 2.22 $5.55E-04$ 5.07 IL60 1.81 $1.20E-02$ 4.45 TGFB30 1.74 $1.40E-02$ 3.42 MIR155HG0 2.05 $7.52E-05$ 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymesCTSW 0 1.70 $7.26E-02$ CTSU0 1.12 $4.40E-03$ 8.98 CTSW0 -1.28 $1.31E-01$ -4.64 THBS10 -2.45 $9.42E-04$ 10.16 MMP30 -1.73 $1.99E-03$ 8.35 MMP100 -4.80 $7.04E-05$ 5.73 MMP20 -1.75 $3.61E-04$ 11.21	MMP9	0	-1.27	7.55E-02	-2.35	
COL4A10 -1.82 $6.54E-04$ 10.89 COL11A10 -4.02 $7.33E-05$ 1.91 COL10A10 -2.70 $2.16E-05$ 2.35 CXCL120 1.81 $1.73E-02$ 7.78 HGF0 1.21 $9.64E-03$ 7.86 CCL20 1.67 $1.63E-02$ 6.49 FGF70 1.66 $4.52E-04$ 5.74 FBLN10 1.56 $7.06E-04$ 10.42 VWA10 1.60 $2.42E-04$ 3.46 CRISPLD20 2.22 $5.55E-04$ 5.07 IL60 1.81 $1.20E-02$ 4.45 TGFB30 1.74 $1.40E-02$ 3.42 MIR155HG0 2.05 $7.52E-05$ 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymesCTSW 0 1.70 $7.26E-02$ -3.86 CAPN60 -2.00 $4.62E-05$ 1.51 $CAPNS2$ 0 -1.28 $1.31E-01$ -4.64 THBS10 -2.45 $9.42E-04$ 10.16 $MMP3$ 0 -1.73 $1.99E-03$ 8.35 MMP100 -4.80 $7.04E-05$ 5.73 $MMP2$ 0 -1.75 $3.61E-04$ 11.21	COL4A2	0	-1.32	7.96E-04	11.53	
COL11A10 -4.02 $7.33E-05$ 1.91 COL10A10 -2.70 $2.16E-05$ 2.35 CXCL120 1.81 $1.73E-02$ 7.78 HGF0 1.21 $9.64E-03$ 7.86 CCL20 1.67 $1.63E-02$ 6.49 FGF70 1.66 $4.52E-04$ 5.74 FBLN10 1.56 $7.06E-04$ 10.42 VWA10 1.60 $2.42E-04$ 3.46 CRISPLD20 2.22 $5.55E-04$ 5.07 IL60 1.81 $1.20E-02$ 4.45 TGFB30 1.74 $1.40E-02$ 3.42 MIR155HG0 2.05 $7.52E-05$ 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymesCTSL0 1.12 $4.40E-03$ 8.98 CAPN60 -2.00 $4.62E-05$ 1.51 CAPN90 -1.73 $1.99E-03$ 8.35 MMP100 -4.80 $7.04E-05$ 5.73 MMP20 -1.75 $3.61E-04$ 11.21 MMP150 -1.84 $5.77E-04$ 1.52	COL4A1	0	-1.82	6.54E-04	10.89	
COL10A10 -2.70 $2.16E-05$ 2.35 CXCL120 1.81 $1.73E-02$ 7.78 HGF0 1.21 $9.64E-03$ 7.86 CCL20 1.67 $1.63E-02$ 6.49 FGF70 1.66 $4.52E-04$ 5.74 FBLN10 1.56 $7.06E-04$ 10.42 VWA10 1.60 $2.42E-04$ 3.46 CRISPLD20 2.22 $5.55E-04$ 5.07 IL60 1.81 $1.20E-02$ 4.45 TGFB30 1.74 $1.40E-02$ 3.42 MIR155HG0 2.05 $7.52E-05$ 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymes $CTSL$ 0 1.12 $4.40E-03$ 8.98 CTSU0 1.12 $4.40E-03$ 8.98 $CTSW$ 0 -2.00 $4.62E-05$ 1.51 CAPN60 -2.00 $4.62E-05$ 1.51 -4.64 THBS10 -2.45 $9.42E-04$ 10.16 MMP30 -1.73 $1.99E-03$ 8.35 MMP100 -4.80 $7.04E-05$ 5.73 MMP20 -1.75 $3.61E-04$ 11.21	COL11A1	0	-4.02	7.33E-05	1.91	
CXCL1201.811.73E-027.78HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF- β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSV01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	COL10A1	0	-2.70	2.16E-05	2.35	
HGF01.219.64E-037.86CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42VWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN520-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	CXCL12	0	1.81	1.73E-02	7.78	
CCL201.671.63E-026.49FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	HGF	0	1.21	9.64E-03	7.86	
FGF701.664.52E-045.74FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	CCL2	0	1.67	1.63E-02	6.49	
FBLN101.567.06E-0410.42WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP100-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	FGF7	0	1.66	4.52E-04	5.74	
WWA101.602.42E-043.46CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	FBLN1	0	1.56	7.06E-04	10.42	
CRISPLD202.225.55E-045.07IL601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	VWA1	0	1.60	2.42E-04	3.46	
L601.811.20E-024.45TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	CRISPLD2	0	2.22	5.55E-04	5.07	
TGFB301.741.40E-023.42MIR155HG02.057.52E-052.19Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	IL6	0	1.81	1.20E-02	4.45	
MIR155HG 0 2.05 7.52E-05 2.19 Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymes	TGFB3	0	1.74	1.40E-02	3.42	
Genes for Latent TGF-β activating enzymesCTSL01.124.40E-038.98CTSW01.707.26E-02-3.86CAPN60-2.004.62E-051.51CAPNS20-3.986.04E-04-4.41CAPN90-1.281.31E-01-4.64THBS10-2.459.42E-0410.16MMP30-1.731.99E-038.35MMP100-4.807.04E-055.73MMP20-1.753.61E-0411.21MMP150-1.845.77E-041.52	MIR155HG	0	2.05	7.52E-05	2.19	
CTSL 0 1.12 4.40E-03 8.98 CTSW 0 1.70 7.26E-02 -3.86 CAPN6 0 -2.00 4.62E-05 1.51 CAPNS2 0 -3.98 6.04E-04 -4.41 CAPN9 0 -1.28 1.31E-01 -4.64 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	Genes for Latent TGI	-β activating	g enzymes			
CTSW 0 1.70 7.26E-02 -3.86 CAPN6 0 -2.00 4.62E-05 1.51 CAPNS2 0 -3.98 6.04E-04 -4.41 CAPN9 0 -1.28 1.31E-01 -4.64 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	CTSL	0	1.12	4.40E-03	8.98	
CAPN6 0 -2.00 4.62E-05 1.51 CAPNS2 0 -3.98 6.04E-04 -4.41 CAPN9 0 -1.28 1.31E-01 -4.64 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	CTSW	0	1.70	7.26E-02	-3.86	
CAPNS2 0 -3.98 6.04E-04 -4.41 CAPN9 0 -1.28 1.31E-01 -4.64 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	CAPN6	0	-2.00	4.62E-05	1.51	
CAPN9 0 -1.28 1.31E-01 -4.64 THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	CAPNS2	0	-3.98	6.04E-04	-4.41	
THBS1 0 -2.45 9.42E-04 10.16 MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	CAPN9	0	-1.28	1.31E-01	-4.64	
MMP3 0 -1.73 1.99E-03 8.35 MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	THBS1	0	-2.45	9.42E-04	10.16	
MMP10 0 -4.80 7.04E-05 5.73 MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	MMP3	0	-1.73	1.99E-03	8.35	
MMP2 0 -1.75 3.61E-04 11.21 MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	MMP10	0	-4.80	7.04E-05	5.73	
MMP15 0 -1.84 5.77E-04 1.52	MMP2	0	-1.75	3.61E-04	11.21	
	MMP15	0	-1.84	5.77E-04	1.52	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Pro-Fibrotic genes	Fold change log ₂		FDR (<0.01)	Ave Expr	
	Control	A83-01			
ITGB3	0	-1.46	1.73E-03	4.06	
ITGB5	0	-1.59	5.68E-06	8.80	
ITGA1	0	-1.92	4.93E-04	7.09	
ITGA4	0	-1.62	5.68E-04	6.32	

upregulated following A83-01 treatment (NCBI account, **Figure 3D**). Together, these data suggest A83-01-treated eMSCs have a major role in modulating inflammatory responses.

The complement cascade interacts with the immune system (Kolev et al., 2014). We found 11 upregulated transcripts for complement cascade genes, including C2, CFB, CFD, CFI, and C3 (Supplementary Table S4). Transcripts of plasma fluid-based major complement-regulatory proteins I and clusterin were also upregulated. Highly expressed, although not differentially regulated, were fluid-based regulators CFH, FHL1, SERPING1 (C1 inhibitor), CLU (Clusterin), S protein and membrane-based complement regulators, CD46, CD55, and CD59 (NCBI account). Factors significantly downregulated were tissue factor, coagulation factor 13A1 and platelet agonist, COL1A1 responsible for thrombus formation. In addition to decreased expression of prothrombotic factors, the haemostatic regulators, tissue factor pathway-inhibitor (TFPI) and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) were upregulated. Collectively, this gene profile suggests that A83-01-treated eMSCs will contribute to complement-mediated tissue homeostasis and tissue repair. We also validated some of the above mentioned immune, inflammatory, chemotaxis and complement cascade-response genes using Fluidigm qPCR including C3, SCOS3, TLR2/3, TNFAIP3, SDF-1, IL-15, IL-33, and CXCL16 (Figures 3C,D).

Next, we investigated the protein profiles using Human XL Cytokine Array (105 cytokines) in the CM collected from control and A83-01-treated eMSC cultures. CCL2, GM-CSF, IGFBP-2 (IGF binding protein 2), DKK-1 and EGF increased following A83-01 treatment (**Figure 3E**), supporting the pleiotropic effects of blocking TGF- β signaling in eMSCs by A83-01 and confirming these eMSCs have a broad immunomodulatory phenotype.

Differential Expression of Exosome Biogenesis and Cargo Transcripts in A83-01-Treated eMSCs

The MSC therapies exert their therapeutic effect by a paracrine mechanism, secreting biological factors (Tolar et al., 2010; Le Blanc and Davies, 2015) as well as differentiating *in vivo* (Kunter et al., 2006; Aslam et al., 2009; Pierro et al., 2013; Park et al., 2018). MSC-derived exosomes as the paracrine effector of MSCs has recently gained momentum in regenerative medicine (Bian et al., 2014; Phinney and Pittenger, 2017). Membrane bound exosomes carrying protein, lipid and microRNA cargo released by MSCs promote wound healing

(Bian et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2015; Phinney and Pittenger, 2017). We investigated the expression of exosome-related genes in culture-expanded eMSCs. Supplementary Table S5 shows >100 of the topmost exosomal-marker genes listed in the ExoCarta database were identified in our P6 eMSCs. Supplementary Table S6 shows 81 microRNAs (exosomal cargo) expressed in control and A83-01-treated eMSCs. Of these, 11 miRNAs were significantly enhanced and 4 decreased in A83-01-treated-eMSCs. To confirm the synthesis of exosomes in eMSCs as opposed to plasma membrane releasing extracellular vesicles, we looked for endosome-associated protein markers and identified expression of 11 Annexins, 19 Rab GTPases, 4 SNAREs and flotillin (Supplementary Table S5). We also identified high expression of multivesicular endosome biogenesis protein transcripts, including the endosomal-sorting complex required for transport machinery; VPS35, VPS26A, VPS28, ALIX/PDCD6IP, and TSG101 (tetraspanin) (Supplementary Table S5). Similarly, transcripts of proteins required for exosome sorting (COPA, CLTC), trafficking (KIF23/14), release (NSF, VAT1), and recognizing and uptake (CD44, ALCAM) by target cells were highly expressed. Most importantly we found high expression for classic-exosome markers, CD63, CD9, CD81, and CD82. eMSCs in both groups expressed high levels of major histocompatibility complex I (A, B, C, E, F, and G) transcripts (NCBI account, Supplementary Table S5), exosomal cargo that protects against natural killer cell spontaneous cytolytic activity. Although not differentially expressed between control and A83-01-treated eMSCs, exosome marker expression levels were very high (Supplementary Table S5) indicating eMSCs may secrete exosomes and A83-01 treatment may not adversely affect their potential biogenesis, trafficking and release.

MSC Potency and Proliferation Genes Are Enriched in A83-01-Treated eMSCs

Previously we demonstrated A83-01-treated eMSCs had enhanced MSC properties (Gurung et al., 2015b). We mined the RNA-seq data for surface marker transcripts associated with high potency MSCs. A83-01-treated eMSCs have four–eightfold more transcripts than controls for 6 of 8 reported MSC potency-related genes; *TWIST1*, *TWIST2*, *JAG1*, *SLIT2*, *EFEMP1*, and *CDH13* confirming improved potency at the transcriptional level (**Figure 4A** and **Table 2**) as shown previously by increased colony forming efficiency (Gurung et al., 2015b).

Chromosomal abnormalities and malignant transformation of cultured MSCs have been reported. To address this critical safety issue, we searched for transcripts involved in telomerase function. We found high transcript levels of important telomere stability genes *TERC* (Telomerase RNA Component), *TERF1* and 2 (Telomeric Repeat Binding Factor 1 and 2), *TINF2* (TERF1 Interacting Nuclear Factor 2), *TERF2IP* (TERF2 Interacting Protein), *TNKS* (Tankyrase) and *POT1* (Protection of Telomere 1) which were not inhibited upon A83-01 treatment (NCBI account). These transcripts encode for proteins regulating telomere length and protect cells from chromosomal damage, indicating the stability of our cultured eMSCs. *hTERT* telomerase was very lowly or not expressed, suggesting low tumourigenic



potential. We also considered expression of the pluripotency factors *OCT4B*, *NANOG*, and *SOX2* after A83-01 treatment (**Table 2**). These transcripts were not detected or were at extremely low levels in both control and A83-01-treated eMSCs. Mesodermal markers (*SNAI1*, *SOX17*) or the endodermal marker (E-cadherin) involved in mesenchymal epithelial transition were not upregulated. Together these data infer genetic stability and little likelihood of transformation into an immortal state, providing confidence in the safety of cultured-expanded A83-01-treated eMSCs for future clinical use.

Cell proliferation genes including, *ISG20*, *PGF*, and *ACSL5* were upregulated in A83-01-treated eMSCs (**Figure 4B**) while the anti-proliferative genes *GLI*, *EDNRB*, and *GREM1* were inhibited (**Figure 4C**), confirming differences in the proliferative phenotype between A83-01-treated and control eMSCs reported previously (Gurung et al., 2015b). We also showed downregulation of several fibroblast-related genes; *PRICKLE1*, *SNCAIP*, and *PDGFC* (**Figure 4D**), and pro-apoptotic

genes including *ROS1* and *TP53I3* and several increased antiapoptotic genes; *FAIM2*, *NFKBIA*, *ENPP2*, and *SEPTA* in A83-01 treated-eMSCs compared to control (**Figure 4E**). Taken together, this gene profile suggests that A83-01 confers a proliferative and anti-apoptotic phenotype during culture expansion of eMSCs, ensuring substantial capacity for scale up necessary for clinical translation.

A83-01 Has no Effect on ISCT MSC Surface Marker Genes

While eMSCs have the standard MSC surface marker phenotype recommended by the International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT), we previously found they were also present on cultured endometrial fibroblasts and were not sensitive to changes in culture conditions (Rajaraman et al., 2013). To determine if A83-01 modulated MSC phenotypic markers, we compared expression levels of *CD90*, *CD73*, and *CD105* and found transcripts highly and similarly expressed in both groups

TABLE 2	Gene profile	of MSC potency	in A83-01-treated	versus control eMSCs

MSC potency markers	Fold change log ₂ (A83-01)	FDR (<0.01)	Ave Expr
TWIST1	1.648	1.39E-03	5.15
TWIST2	1.298	1.01E-03	6.77
JAG1	1.758	3.81E-04	4.82
SLIT2	2.055	1.89E-05	4.33
EFEMP1	3.763	4.62E-05	1.06
TNFRSF21	1.235	7.36E-03	8.42
CDH13	1.373	1.28E-05	7.35
ARHGAP20	1.856	1.29E-04	2.66
MSC positive markers			
THY1/CD90	0.05	7.59E-01	9.38
NT5E/CD73	0.65	1.61E-02	9.01
ENG/CD105	0.22	1.37E-01	7.85
ITGA6/CD49f	0.67	5.66E-02	7.38
ALCAM/CD166	-0.60	1.49E-02	7.71
CD44	-0.11	4.71E-01	10.57
NES	-0.44	5.36E-02	8.59
FZD9	-0.70	2.00E-01	-1.10
CD271			nd
SSEA-3/4			nd
Pluripotency-related genes			
POU5F1B/OCT4B	0.06	9.72E-01	-4.84
NANOG			nd
SOX2			nd
MSC negative markers			
CD34	-0.99	1.58E-01	2.78
PTPRC/CD45	0.00	9.98E-01	-1.57
CD14	1.74	8.31E-03	0.62
CD79A	-0.53	4.59E-01	-2.58
HLA-DRA	0.79	4.62E-01	-5.55
HLA-DRB1	0.29	7.52E-01	-4.25
HLA-DRB5	0.87	3.56E-01	-4.69
HLA-DRB6	1.25	2.92E-01	-5.23

nd, none detected.

(Table 2). As expected the negative ISCT markers CD34, CD45, CD14, CD79a, HLA-DRA, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DRB5, and HLA-DRB6 had low transcript levels in both A83-01-treated and control eMSCs (Table 2). We also searched for MSC-defining marker transcripts previously suggested for purifying MSCs from bone marrow and other sources (Lv et al., 2014). CD49f, CD166, CD44, and NES transcripts were highly and similarly expressed in A83-01-treated and control eMSCs (Table 2). CD271, SSEA3/4, and FZD9 transcripts were either not detected or expressed at very low levels. Our data further confirms that the standard MSC markers, also expressed by stromal fibroblasts (Bianco et al., 2013), are poor defining markers of the tissue MSC phenotype. Mesodermal differentiation markers for osteogenesis (RUNX1) and chondrogenesis (COL10A1) were downregulated by A83-01 treatment but there was no difference in the adipogenic marker, FABP4. Downregulation of mesodermal lineage markers suggest that A83-01-treated eMSCs are higher up in the hierarchical model of MSC differentiation.

Comparative Analysis of Fibroblast-Related Genes in A83-01-Treated eMSCs With the Published Literature

A recent microarray study comparing lineage-associated genes between freshly isolated and FAC-sorted CD140b+CD146+ eMSCs and CD140b+CD146- endometrial fibroblasts and subsequent long-term cultures highlighted the spontaneous differentiation of eMSCs to fibroblasts during prolonged culture (Barragan et al., 2016; Gargett and Gurung, 2016). Extensive culture of enriched eMSCs led to downregulation of 81% of eMSC lineage genes and upregulation of 55% of fibroblasts-related genes showing gene profile similarity for eMSCs and fibroblast cultures (Barragan et al., 2016). Comparison of this list of endometrial fibroblast-associated genes in eMSCs with our SUSD2⁺ eMSCs cultured in A83-01 medium showed significant downregulation of 19 fibroblast-related genes and presence of many genes common to freshly isolated CD140b⁺CD146⁺ eMSCs, (Table 3), indicating that A83-01-treated eMSCs are similar to their primary tissue counterparts. This comparison further supports the hypothesis that eMSCs differentiate into stromal fibroblasts during culture, losing their potency and that A83-01 prevents this process and maintains an undifferentiated MSC-state.

DISCUSSION

Our RNA-sequencing analysis of late passage eMSCs cultured with and without A83-01, a TGF-β receptor inhibitor identified numerous DEGs, generating a transcriptome profile that serves as a reference for culture-expanded MSCs. Our study revealed a unique profile of DEGs in A83-01-treated eMSCs associated with MSC potency, angiogenesis, anti-fibrosis, chemotaxis and immune-regulation, supporting our previous findings that A83-01 maintains functionally undifferentiated eMSCs by inhibiting TGF-βR signaling (Gurung et al., 2015b). Using multiple approaches we provide further molecular and functional evidence that A83-01-treated eMSCs have greater angiogenic potential, improved anti-fibrotic and immunoregulatory properties than control cultures. Our RNA-seq analysis also generated important evidence that eMSCs may be capable of producing exosomes and their unique cargo, suggesting their potential exploitation in generating an "off the shelf" product for regenerative medicine. Finally, we demonstrated a distinct difference at the transcriptional level between eMSCs and fibroblasts, suggesting that the simple use of a small molecule during culture expansion generates MSCs with a transcriptome and some properties vital for their potential use in cell-based therapies. It also provides molecular knowledge increasing understanding of possible MSC functions in vivo and their potential therapeutic mechanisms.

Tissue repair without fibrosis is an important goal of regenerative medicine, for which neo-vascularisation and deposition of appropriate ECM are key components. MSCs secrete a wide range of factors favoring healing without fibrosis (Tolar et al., 2010). Our data on A83-01-treated eMSC supports

TABLE 3 | Comparison of fibroblast-related genes in our study to Barragan et al. (2016).

	Gene	Fold change log ₂ (Control vs. A83-01)		Barragan et al., 2016,	
Gene description				FACS sorted	Late culture
Adenylate cyclas+A3:F39e 2 (brain)	ADCY2	-1.09	Down	Down	NS
Calsyntenin 2	CLSTN2	-3.05	Down	Down	NS
CD24	CD24	-3.87	Down	Down	Down
Deiodinase, iodothyronine, type II	DIO2	-2.47	Down	Down	NS
Fibroblast growth factor 10	FGF10	-1.57	Down	Down	Down
Hedgehog interacting protein	HHIP	-2.34	Down	Down	Down
Insulin-like growth factor 1 (somatomedin C)	IGF1	-1.53	Down	Down	Down
Insulin-like growth factor 2 (somatomedin A)	IGF2	-2.18	Down	Down	NS
Lysyl oxidase	LOX	-1.89	Down	Down	Up
Leucine rich repeat containing 17	LRRC17	-2.85	Down	Down	NS
Matrix metallopeptidase 10 (stromelysin 2)	MMP10	-4.8	Down	Down	Up
Wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 2	WNT2	-2.32	Down	Down	NS
Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 5A	WNT5A	-1.96	Down	Down	Up
Roundabout, axon guidance receptor, homolog 2 (Drosophila)	ROBO2	-1.19	Down	Down	NS
Secreted frizzled-related protein 4	SFRP4	-1.69	Down	Down	Down
Synuclein, alpha interacting protein	SNCAIP	-1.95	Down	Down	NS
Solute carrier family 27 member 6	SLC27A6	-1.48	Down	Down	Down
Podoplanin	PDPN	-1.41	Down	Down	NS
Platelet derived growth factor C	PDGFC	-1.83	Down	Down	Up
Calbindin 2	CALB2	0.02	NS	Down	NS
Fibroblast growth factor 9	FGF9	-0.5	NS	Down	NS
Fanconi anemia, complementation group L	FANCL	0.41	NS	Down	NS
Gap junction protein, alpha 1, 43 kDa	GJA1	-0.04	NS	Down	NS
Integrin, beta-like 1 (with EGF-like repeat domains)	ITGBL1	0.46	NS	Down	NS
Phospholipase A2 receptor 1, 180 kDa	JAZF1	0.17	NS	Down	NS
Lymphocyte cytosolic protein 1 (L-plastin)	LCP1	0.99	NS	Down	NS
Leucine carboxyl methyltransferase 1	LCMT1	0.01	NS	Down	NS
Melanoma associated antigen (mutated) 1-like 1	MUM1L1	-0.85	NS	Down	NS
5'-nucleotidase, ecto (CD73)	NT5E	0.65	NS	Down	NS
Pregnancy-associated plasma protein A	PAPPA	-0.75	NS	Down	NS
Platelet derived growth factor D	PDGFD	-0.09	NS	Down	NS
Transmembrane protein 45A	TMEM45A	-0.08	NS	Down	NS
Microfibrillar-associated protein 4	MFAP4	0.33	NS	Down	Up
DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 60-like	DDX60L	0.32	NS	Down	NS
Carboxymethylenebutenolidase homolog	CMBL	1.01	UP	Down	NS
Membrane metalloendopeptidase	MME	1.01	UP	Down	Up
Fibroblast growth factor 7	FGF7	1.66	UP	Down	NS

Down, downregualted; Up, upregulated; NS, not significant.

their potential capacity for tissue regeneration by promoting appropriate deposition and organization of ECM and collagen fibrils. A high collagen I/III ratio is a hallmark of fibrosis. Herein, we showed that A83-01 downregulated *COL1A1* (also COL1A1) maintaining a low collagen I/III ratio favoring scarless healing. Although TGF- β is the primary driver of fibrosis, scarless healing depends on the relative expression of its isomers; predominant TGF- β 3 with decreasing TGF- β 1/2 for minimizing scars (Jones et al., 2006). No change in *TGF*- β 1 expression but downregulated *TGF*- β 2 and upregulated *TGF*- β 3 suggest that A83-01-treated eMSCs have a TGF- β profile supporting scarless healing. Other factors associated with minimal fibrosis are CXC ligands *CXCL12*, *SDF1*, *CXCL2/3/16* and *CCL8* and, fibrosis regulators *HGF*, *MMPs*, *COL1A1*, *FN1*, and *CTGF*. These factors are favorably regulated in A83-01-treated eMSCs compared to control. Similarly, all components of PGE2 synthesis, which prevents myofibroblast differentiation and exerts anti-fibrotic effects were upregulated following A83-01 treatment. Deficiency of PGE2 promotes fibrogenesis in various *in vitro* models and in pulmonary, renal and cardiovascular fibrosis (Dackor et al., 2011; Schippers et al., 2017). MSCs isolated from chronic allergen-induced asthma in mice, and in obliterative bronchiolitis of post-lung transplantation patients with classic tissue fibrosis, lack PGE2 synthesis (Stumm et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2012). Taken together, our data provide further evidence that A83-01-treated eMSCs have the hallmark transcriptome that

may promote scarless tissue repair, reminiscent of their *in vivo* function.

Gene profiling revealed that eMSCs have an angiogenic phenotype. HGF is a potent mitogen for hepatocytes and endothelial cells, promoting angiogenesis, in addition to its anti-fibrotic properties (Bussolino et al., 1992). VCAM1, a cell adhesion molecule facilitating vessel growth and HPSE (heparanase) improved ischemic parameters in rats and mice (Hu et al., 2015) were additional angiogenic factors upregulated by A83-01. Our gene profiling combined with multiplex protein and in vitro angiogenesis assays, demonstrated that A83-01-treated eMSCs had an angiogenic phenotype, secreting increased pro-angiogenic and decreased anti-angiogenic proteins. Not only did A83-01-treated eMSC CM increased HUVEC tube formation, but A83-01-treated eMSCs themselves formed tubes. Taken together, the pro-angiogenic phenotype and properties of A83-01-treated eMSCs highlight their potential beneficial use in regenerative medicine.

A wide variety of cytokines were induced in A83-01-treated eMSCs. Cytokines regulate cell rolling, trafficking and leucocyte recruitment, activation and differentiation (Bachmann et al., 2006). Although cytokines have been classified into pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory categories, they have additional functions depending upon the target cells, cytokine concentration and microenvironment (Cavaillon, 2001). For example, IL-33 (alarmin), one of the most upregulated genes in A83-01-treated eMSCs, alerts the immune system to tissue damage but also activates endothelial cells and induces angiogenesis (Choi et al., 2009). Similarly, genes involved in the TNF signaling pathway are vital for recruiting immune cells and in anti-inflammatory signaling. TNFAIP3, upregulated in A83-01-treated eMSCs, is a potent anti-inflammatory signaling molecule that suppresses inflammatory signals through inhibition of NF-kB. IFN-y signaling provokes synthesis of chemokines and inducible nitric oxide synthase in MSCs (Ren et al., 2008). This dual action promotes T-cell chemotaxis toward MSCs, while MSC-secreted nitric oxide suppresses their responses leading to immunosuppression. Several Toll-like receptors expressed by MSCs (Delarosa et al., 2012) are surface proteins of innate immune cells that recognize pathogens. A83-01 enhanced eMSC TLR2 and TLR3 but not TLR4 expressions. Depending on which TLR is activated, MSCs can change into a pro- or anti-inflammatory phenotype. TLR2/4 primed MSCs mediate pro-inflammatory processes, inducing secretion of GM-CSF which maintains macrophages in an M1 pro-inflammatory phenotype while activation of MSCs through TLR3 increases PGE2 and IDO synthesis, priming them to an anti-inflammatory phenotype (Wang et al., 2014; Le Blanc and Davies, 2015). In A83-01-treated eMSCs, in addition to the favorable differential expression of TLRs, GM-CSF secretion was inhibited and transcriptome data shows an increase in transcripts involved in PGE2 synthesis compared to the controls thus indicating an environment favorable for conversion of M1 pro-inflammatory to M2 anti-inflammatory macrophages. TLR3 signaling in MSCs enhances potency through induction of immunosuppressive T regulatory (Treg) cells by direct cell contact with naïve CD4

cells (Rashedi et al., 2017). TGF-ß signaling induces naïve CD4 cell differentiation into CD4⁺Foxp3⁺Tregs, conducive in maintaining immune tolerance (Chen and Konkel, 2010). TGF- β 1 is the most important TGF- β isoform in maintaining and regulating homeostasis of Tregs (Marie et al., 2005). A83-01 did not inhibit eMSC's autocrine secretion of TGF-B1, therefore their immunosuppressive effect in regulating Tregs via TGF-β1 likely remains intact. A83-01-treated eMSCs also upregulated transcripts of immune regulators, SOCS3 and SOD3. SOCS3 inhibits Th17 cell differentiation into Tregs while SOD3, a potent antioxidant, protects cells from free radicals and activated oxygen species (Nightingale et al., 2012). SOD3 scavenges reactive oxygen species, protecting tissues from damage and dampens the inflammatory cascade (Nightingale et al., 2012). Overall our findings provide important insight into how A83-01 modulates eMSCs to promote intrinsic anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties, highly desirable for their use in clinical translation.

The complement system has been recently linked to the rapid clearance of infused MSCs due to Instant Blood Mediated Inflammatory Reaction (IBMIR) (Moll et al., 2012). Genes involved in activation of complement and coagulation regulators were upregulated in A83-01-treated eMSCs compared to control. Plasma- and membrane-bound regulators of complement genes were also differentially expressed. The gene profiles of the complement and coagulation pathways suggest that with the overall balance of the activators, inhibitors and regulators, A83-01-treated eMSCs would likely escape IBMIR if used intravenously in an allogeneic or autologous setting. Thereby, intravenously administered A83-01-treated eMSCs are potentially self-protected and may survive and engraft thus making them therapeutically useful.

Maintenance of MSC potency and self-renewal during culture expansion is vital to maintain the MSC phenotype, potency and prolong their efficacy in vivo for regenerative medicine purposes (Chen et al., 2014). Similar to previous studies based on functional assays and hierarchical clustering of fresh eMSCs and endometrial fibroblast, our transcriptome and pathway analyses further demonstrated high expression of the classic MSC genes; CD90, CD73, and CD105 (Gurung et al., 2015a; Barragan et al., 2016) and herein we provided further evidence that eMSCs undergo culture-induced differentiation into fibroblasts thereby losing potency, similar to other sources of MSCs (Chen et al., 2014; Turinetto et al., 2016). An exciting finding in our study was upregulation of MSC potency-related genes (Samsonraj et al., 2015), an important advance for bringing eMSCs into the clinic. The downregulation of fibroblast-related genes in A83-01-treated eMSCs and the absence of pluripotency-related transcripts, as well as our previous study where no macroscopic masses were generated when these cells were transplanted sub-renally in immunocompromised mice (Gurung et al., 2018) indicates their safety, important as there are reports describing MSC instability and spontaneous transformation during culture expansion (Rosland et al., 2009).

Accumulating evidence in the MSC field suggests that membrane-enclosed extracellular-vesicles may exert the MSC paracrine effect. EVs carry important cargo containing proteins,

microRNAs, bioactive lipids and other regulatory molecules (Wang et al., 2017; Blazquez et al., 2018). Of interest are the 40-100 nm exosomes (Colombo et al., 2014), which have emerged as important intercellular communicators. Our comprehensive RNA-seq analysis of eMSCs identified transcripts/markers of the top 100 exosome genes listed in Exocarta (Simpson et al., 2012). Furthermore, we identified typical exosome cargo transcripts including microRNAs. Of importance was the expression of HLA-G exosomal cargo, a non-classical HLA transcript, whose gene product has strong immunosuppressive properties (Ding et al., 2016). Although HLA-G expression is restricted to the maternal-fetal interface, preventing embryo rejection during implantation, its expression has been identified in umbilical cord stroma-derived MSCs but not bone marrow or placental-derived MSCs (Ding et al., 2016). The strong immunosuppressive activity of HLA-G indicates the potential utility of eMSC in allogeneic therapy. Our data on exosomes from A83-01-treated eMSCs opens the way to examine their potential use in regenerative medicine as an "off the shelf" cell-derived therapy.

CONCLUSION

Our data comprehensively describes the gene expression profiles of human eMSCs cultured with and without a small molecule TGF- β R inhibitor, A83-01. We showed A83-01 enhanced angiogenic properties of eMSCs as well as potentially maintaining their potency. The transcriptional and protein profiles of A83-01treated eMSCs also suggest they will have enhanced anti-fibrotic, anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory properties over and above those we have previously shown *in vivo* and *in vitro* for untreated eMSCs (Ulrich et al., 2014; Darzi et al., 2018). For the first time, we showed eMSCs may be a source of exosomes for cell communication. These studies suggest the transcriptome and enhanced properties of A83-01-treated eMSCs increase their utility for future cell and regenerative therapies.

REFERENCES

- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31, 166–169. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- Aslam, M., Baveja, R., Liang, O. D., Fernandez-Gonzalez, A., Lee, C., Mitsialis, S. A., et al. (2009). Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate lung injury in a murine model of neonatal chronic lung disease. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 180, 1122–1130. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200902-0242OC
- Bachmann, M. F., Kopf, M., and Marsland, B. J. (2006). Chemokines: more than just road signs. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 6, 159–164. doi: 10.1038/nri1776
- Barragan, F., Irwin, J. C., Balayan, S., Erikson, D. W., Chen, J. C., Houshdaran, S., et al. (2016). Human endometrial fibroblasts derived from mesenchymal progenitors inherit progesterone resistance and acquire an inflammatory phenotype in the endometrial niche in endometriosis. *Biol. Reprod.* 94:118. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.115.136010
- Bian, S., Zhang, L., Duan, L., Wang, X., Min, Y., and Yu, H. (2014). Extracellular vesicles derived from human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells promote angiogenesis in a rat myocardial infarction model. *J. Mol. Med.* 92, 387–397. doi: 10.1007/s00109-013-1110-5
- Bianco, P., Cao, X., Frenette, P. S., Mao, J. J., Robey, P. G., Simmons, P. J., et al. (2013). The meaning, the sense and the significance: translating the science of

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SG: experimental design, collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of manuscript. SW: assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, and final approval of manuscript. JD: assisted with data collection, manuscript editing, and final approval of manuscript. JW: study design, manuscript editing, and final approval of manuscript. CG: conception and design, financial support, manuscript editing, and final approval of manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia project grant (1081944, CG and JW), an NHMRC fellowship (1042298, CG), a Monash Graduate Scholarship (SG), and the Victorian government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Pamela Mamers, Germana Ryan, and Kellie Woodclarke for collection of tissues, Trevor Wilson and Selva Ramasubramanian from Monash Health Translation Precinct Genomics Facility for helping with running RNA-seq and Fluidigm qPCR, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2018.00164/ full#supplementary-material

mesenchymal stem cells into medicine. *Nat. Med.* 19, 35–42. doi: 10.1038/nm. 3028

- Blazquez, R., Sanchez-Margallo, F. M., Alvarez, V., Matilla, E., Hernandez, N., Marinaro, F., et al. (2018). Murine embryos exposed to human endometrial MSCs-derived extracellular vesicles exhibit higher VEGF/PDGF AA release, increased blastomere count and hatching rates. *PLoS One* 13:e0196080. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0196080
- Bussolino, F., Di Renzo, M. F., Ziche, M., Bocchietto, E., Olivero, M., Naldini, L., et al. (1992). Hepatocyte growth factor is a potent angiogenic factor which stimulates endothelial cell motility and growth. J. Cell Biol. 119, 629–641.
- Cavaillon, J. M. (2001). Pro- versus anti-inflammatory cytokines: myth or reality. *Cell Mol. Biol.* 47, 695–702.
- Chen, G., Yue, A., Ruan, Z., Yin, Y., Wang, R., Ren, Y., et al. (2014). Monitoring the biology stability of human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells during long-term culture in serum-free medium. *Cell Tissue Bank* 15, 513–521. doi: 10.1007/s10561-014-9420-6
- Chen, W., and Konkel, J. E. (2010). TGF-beta and 'adaptive'. Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 2, 30–36. doi: 10.1093/jmcb/mjp004
- Choi, Y. S., Choi, H. J., Min, J. K., Pyun, B. J., Maeng, Y. S., Park, H., et al. (2009). Interleukin-33 induces angiogenesis and vascular permeability through ST2/TRAF6-mediated endothelial nitric oxide production. *Blood* 114, 3117–3126. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-02-203372

- Colombo, M., Raposo, G., and Thery, C. (2014). Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 30, 255–289. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122326
- Crisan, M., Yap, S., Casteilla, L., Chen, C. W., Corselli, M., Park, T. S., et al. (2008). A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* 3, 301–313. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.003
- Dackor, R. T., Cheng, J., Voltz, J. W., Card, J. W., Ferguson, C. D., Garrett, R. C., et al. (2011). Prostaglandin E(2) protects murine lungs from bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis and lung dysfunction. Am. J. Physiol. Lung. Cell Mol. Physiol. 301, L645–L655. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00176.2011
- Darzi, S., Deane, J. A., Nold, C. A., Edwards, S. E., Gough, D. J., Mukherjee, S., et al. (2018). Endometrial mesenchymal stem/stromal cells modulate the macrophage response to implanted polyamide/gelatin composite mesh in immunocompromised and immunocompetent mice. *Sci. Rep.* 8:6554. doi: 10. 1038/s41598-018-24919-6
- Delarosa, O., Dalemans, W., and Lombardo, E. (2012). Toll-like receptors as modulators of mesenchymal stem cells. *Front. Immunol.* 3:182. doi: 10.3389/ fmmu.2012.00182
- Ding, D. C., Chou, H. L., Chang, Y. H., Hung, W. T., Liu, H. W., and Chu, T. Y. (2016). Characterization of HLA-G and related immunosuppressive effects in human umbilical cord stroma-derived stem cells. *Cell Transplant*. 25, 217–228. doi: 10.3727/096368915x688182
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., et al. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15–21. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Dufourcq, P., Descamps, B., Tojais, N. F., Leroux, L., Oses, P., Daret, D., et al. (2008). Secreted frizzled-related protein-1 enhances mesenchymal stem cell function in angiogenesis and contributes to neovessel maturation. *Stem Cells* 26, 2991–3001. doi: 10.1634/stemcells.2008-0372
- Gargett, C. E., and Gurung, S. (2016). Endometrial mesenchymal stem/stromal cells. their fibroblast progeny in endometriosis, and more. *Biol. Reprod.* 94:129. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.116.141325
- Gurung, S., Deane, J. A., Darzi, S., Werkmeister, J. A., and Gargett, C. E. (2018). In Vivo survival of human endometrial mesenchymal stem cells transplanted under the kidney capsule of immunocompromised mice. *Stem Cells Dev.* 27, 35–43. doi: 10.1089/scd.2017.0177
- Gurung, S., Deane, J. A., Masuda, H., Maruyama, T., and Gargett, C. E. (2015a). Stem cells in endometrial physiology. Semin. Reprod. Med. 33, 326–332. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1558405
- Gurung, S., Werkmeister, J. A., and Gargett, C. E. (2015b). Inhibition of transforming growth factor-beta receptor signaling promotes culture expansion of undifferentiated human endometrial mesenchymal stem/stromal Cells. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15042. doi: 10.1038/srep15042
- Hu, X., Zhang, L., Jin, J., Zhu, W., Xu, Y., Wu, Y., et al. (2015). Heparanase released from mesenchymal stem cells activates integrin beta1/HIF-2alpha/Flk-1 signaling and promotes endothelial cell migration and angiogenesis. *Stem Cells* 33, 1850–1862. doi: 10.1002/stem.1995
- Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T., and Lempicki, R. A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 44–57. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211
- Jabbour, H. N., Kelly, R. W., Fraser, H. M., and Critchley, H. O. (2006). Endocrine regulation of menstruation. *Endocr. Rev.* 27, 17–46. doi: 10.1210/er.2004-0021
- Jones, R. L., Stoikos, C., Findlay, J. K., and Salamonsen, L. A. (2006). TGFbeta superfamily expression and actions in the endometrium and placenta. *Reproduction* 132, 217–232. doi: 10.1530/rep.1.01076
- Kolev, M., Le Friec, G., and Kemper, C. (2014). Complement-tapping into new sites and effector systems. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 811–820. doi: 10.1038/nri3761
- Kunter, U., Rong, S., Djuric, Z., Boor, P., Muller-Newen, G., Yu, D., et al. (2006). Transplanted mesenchymal stem cells accelerate glomerular healing in experimental glomerulonephritis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 17, 2202–2212. doi: 10.1681/asn.2005080815
- Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W., and Smyth, G. K. (2014). voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol.* 15:R29. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29
- Le Blanc, K., and Davies, L. C. (2015). Mesenchymal stromal cells and the innate immune response. *Immunol. Lett.* 168, 140–146. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2015. 05.004

- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The sequence alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Lv, F. J., Tuan, R. S., Cheung, K. M., and Leung, V. Y. (2014). The surface markers and identity of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 32, 1408–1419. doi: 10.1002/stem.1681
- Marie, J. C., Letterio, J. J., Gavin, M., and Rudensky, A. Y. (2005). TGFbeta1 maintains suppressor function and Foxp3 expression in CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. J. Exp. Med. 201, 1061–1067. doi: 10.1084/jem.20042276
- Massague, J. (2012). TGFbeta signalling in context. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 13, 616–630. doi: 10.1038/nrm3434
- Masuda, H., Anwar, S. S., Buhring, H. J., Rao, J. R., and Gargett, C. E. (2012). A novel marker of human endometrial mesenchymal stem-like cells. *Cell Transplant*. 21, 2201–2214. doi: 10.3727/096368911x637362
- Moll, G., Rasmusson-Duprez, I., von Bahr, L., Connolly-Andersen, A. M., Elgue, G., Funke, L., et al. (2012). Are therapeutic human mesenchymal stromal cells compatible with human blood? *Stem Cells* 30, 1565–1574. doi: 10.1002/stem. 1111
- Nightingale, H., Kemp, K., Gray, E., Hares, K., Mallam, E., Scolding, N., et al. (2012). Changes in expression of the antioxidant enzyme SOD3 occur upon differentiation of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in vitro. *Stem Cells Dev.* 21, 2026–2035. doi: 10.1089/scd.2011.0516
- Park, W. S., Ahn, S. Y., Sung, S. I., Ahn, J. Y., and Chang, Y. S. (2018). Strategies to enhance paracrine potency of transplanted mesenchymal stem cells in intractable neonatal disorders. *Pediatr. Res.* 83, 214–222. doi: 10.1038/pr. 2017.249
- Phinney, D. G., and Pittenger, M. F. (2017). Concise review: MSC-derived exosomes for cell-free therapy. *Stem Cells* 35, 851–858. doi: 10.1002/stem. 2575
- Pierro, M., Ionescu, L., Montemurro, T., Vadivel, A., Weissmann, G., Oudit, G., et al. (2013). Short-term, long-term and paracrine effect of human umbilical cord-derived stem cells in lung injury prevention and repair in experimental bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Thorax* 68, 475–484. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2012-202323
- Rajaraman, G., White, J., Tan, K. S., Ulrich, D., Rosamilia, A., Werkmeister, J., et al. (2013). Optimization and scale-up culture of human endometrial multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells: potential for clinical application. *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods* 19, 80–92. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEC.2011.0718
- Rashedi, I., Gomez-Aristizabal, A., Wang, X. H., Viswanathan, S., and Keating, A. (2017). TLR3 or TLR4 activation enhances mesenchymal stromal cell-mediated treg induction via notch signaling. *Stem Cells* 35, 265–275. doi: 10.1002/stem. 2485
- Ren, G., Zhang, L., Zhao, X., Xu, G., Zhang, Y., Roberts, A. I., et al. (2008). Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated immunosuppression occurs via concerted action of chemokines and nitric oxide. *Cell Stem Cell* 2, 141–150. doi: 10.1016/ j.stem.2007.11.014
- Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43:e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007
- Rosland, G. V., Svendsen, A., Torsvik, A., Sobala, E., McCormack, E., Immervoll, H., et al. (2009). Long-term cultures of bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells frequently undergo spontaneous malignant transformation. *Cancer Res.* 69, 5331–5339. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-08-4630
- Samsonraj, R. M., Rai, B., Sathiyanathan, P., Puan, K. J., Rotzschke, O., Hui, J. H., et al. (2015). Establishing criteria for human mesenchymal stem cell potency. *Stem Cells* 33, 1878–1891. doi: 10.1002/stem.1982
- Schippers, M., Beljaars, L., Post, E., Lotersztajn, S., Reker-Smit, C., Han, B., et al. (2017). Upregulation of Epac-1 in hepatic stellate cells by prostaglandin E2 in liver fibrosis is associated with reduced fibrogenesis. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 363, 126–135. doi: 10.1124/jpet.117.241646
- Schwab, K. E., and Gargett, C. E. (2007). Co-expression of two perivascular cell markers isolates mesenchymal stem-like cells from human endometrium. *Hum. Reprod.* 22, 2903–2911. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dem265
- Simpson, R. J., Kalra, H., and Mathivanan, S. (2012). ExoCarta as a resource for exosomal research. J. Extracell Vesicles 1:1. doi: 10.3402/jev.v1i0.18374
- Stumm, C. L., Wettlaufer, S. H., Jancar, S., and Peters-Golden, M. (2011). Airway remodeling in murine asthma correlates with a defect in PGE2 synthesis

by lung fibroblasts. Am. J. Physiol. Lung. Cell Mol. Physiol. 301, L636–L644. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00158.2011

- Teng, X., Chen, L., Chen, W., Yang, J., Yang, Z., and Shen, Z. (2015). Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes improve the microenvironment of infarcted myocardium contributing to angiogenesis and anti-inflammation. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* 37, 2415–2424. doi: 10.1159/000438594
- Tolar, J., Le Blanc, K., Keating, A., and Blazar, B. R. (2010). Concise review: hitting the right spot with mesenchymal stromal cells. *Stem Cells* 28, 1446–1455. doi: 10.1002/stem.459
- Turinetto, V., Vitale, E., and Giachino, C. (2016). Senescence in human mesenchymal stem cells: functional changes and implications in stem cell-based therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17:E1164. doi: 10.3390/ijms17071164
- Ulrich, D., Edwards, S. L., Su, K., Tan, K. S., White, J. F., Ramshaw, J. A., et al. (2014). Human endometrial mesenchymal stem cells modulate the tissue response and mechanical behavior of polyamide mesh implants for pelvic organ prolapse repair. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 20, 785–798. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2013.0170
- von Bahr, L., Sundberg, B., Lonnies, L., Sander, B., Karbach, H., Hagglund, H., et al. (2012). Long-term complications, immunologic effects, and role of passage for outcome in mesenchymal stromal cell therapy. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant*. 18, 557–564. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2011.07.023
- Walker, N. M., Badri, L. N., Wadhwa, A., Wettlaufer, S., Peters-Golden, M., and Lama, V. N. (2012). Prostaglandin E2 as an inhibitory modulator of fibrogenesis

in human lung allografts. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 185, 77–84. doi: 10. 1164/rccm.201105-0834OC

- Wang, K., Jiang, Z., Webster, K. A., Chen, J., Hu, H., Zhou, Y., et al. (2017). Enhanced cardioprotection by human endometrium mesenchymal stem cells driven by exosomal MicroRNA-21. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 6, 209–222. doi: 10.5966/sctm.2015-0386
- Wang, Y., Chen, X., Cao, W., and Shi, Y. (2014). Plasticity of mesenchymal stem cells in immunomodulation: pathological and therapeutic implications. *Nat. Immunol.* 15, 1009–1016. doi: 10.1038/ni. 3002

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Gurung, Williams, Deane, Werkmeister and Gargett. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.