



gRASping Depolarization: Contribution of RAS GTPases to Mitotic Polarity Clusters Resolution

Roberto Quadri*, Sarah Sertic and Marco Muzi-Falconi*

Dipartimento di Bioscienze, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

Keywords: depolarization, Ras, mitosis, GTPase, phosphorylation, Saccharomyces cerevisiae

INTRODUCTION

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Benjamin Lin, New York University, United States

Reviewed by:

Eurico Morais-de-Sá, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

*Correspondence:

Roberto Quadri roberto.quadri@unimi.it Marco Muzi-Falconi marco.muzifalconi@unimi.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Signaling, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 31 July 2020 Accepted: 31 August 2020 Published: 15 October 2020

Citation:

Quadri R, Sertic S and Muzi-Falconi M (2020) gRASping Depolarization: Contribution of RAS GTPases to Mitotic Polarity Clusters Resolution. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 8:589993. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.589993 During their growth, all living cells undergo a process of polarization, defined as an asymmetric deposition and confinement of molecules and cellular functions. Much effort has been put into understanding how polarization is achieved and maintained and how it can be artificially induced, a field that has also been fueled by the fact that loss of polarity is a prerequisite for tumor development (Royer and Lu, 2011). This led to a vast comprehension of the mechanisms underlying polarity establishment and of the molecular components involved. On the other hand, we have limited knowledge on how polarity clusters are resolved when they are no longer necessary and what happens when this process fails. Here, we integrate our findings on polarity dispersion in budding yeast with literature evidence for a mitotic role of Ras proteins. We then propose a unifying view of how this GTPase might drive depolarization by direct recruitment of polarity factors.

DEALING WITH CELLULAR POLARIZATION

Polarization is a key event in cell life, as it allows the cell to compartmentalize the different features that are required for its growth, differentiation, and for the development of the whole organism. In all eukaryotes, polarity is controlled by the essential small GTPase Cdc42 (Etienne-Manneville, 2004), and cells direct polarized growth by spatial modulation of Cdc42 activity. A versatile tool to regulate the distribution of Cdc42-GTP in budding yeast is represented by the relocalization of its main GEF Cdc24 (Zheng et al., 1994; Caviston et al., 2002). In late G1, Cdc24 is found at the presumptive bud site, thus contributing to bud emergence; whereas in S and M phases, it accumulates at polarity clusters accounting for the growth of the daughter cell, before being sequestered in the nucleus in late mitosis (Nern and Arkowitz, 1999, 2000). Until now, most of the scientific efforts have focused on the way Cdc24 accumulation at the presumptive bud site drives bud emergence and growth. However, besides the relevance of polarity establishment, the polarization machinery must eventually be dispersed throughout mitosis to allow relocation of cellular factors and functions. We have reported a role for Haspin kinase in promoting depolarization in budding yeast. Exploiting haspin mutants, we have identified the consequences of failures in such process, which dooms the cells to death upon mitotic delays (Panigada et al., 2013). We recently built up on these data to identify the underlying pathway, unveiling the pivotal contribution played by Ras to the dispersion of polarity clusters (Quadri et al., 2020).

1

SHAPING THE CELL THROUGH GTP-Ras: EVIDENCE FROM Cdc24

Ras is a eukaryotic small GTPase with a prominent role in cell-cycle commitment. In particular, it integrates intracellular and extracellular signals (e.g., nutrient availability or growth factors) to trigger cellular proliferation (Stacey and Kazlauskas, 2002). Accordingly, hyperactivation of Ras pathway is frequently observed in tumors (Fernández-Medarde and Santos, 2011), where it drives the growth of the malignant mass and resistance to apoptosis (Cox and Der, 2003). As a consequence, this GTPase has long been studied with regard to its high relevance in cell proliferation and carcinogenesis (Murugan et al., 2019).

We have recently reported a novel contribution of Ras to mitotic depolarization in budding yeast cells (Quadri et al., 2020), where it acts as a part of a bipartite pathway differentially regulating localization of Cdc24 during the cell cycle. In early stages of the cell cycle, Cdc24 binds to Bem1 and Rsr1 at the presumptive bud site (Butty et al., 2002; Park et al., 2002), where it promotes clustered Cdc42 activity leading to bud emergence and growth (Woods et al., 2015). Highlighting the exclusive role played by Bem1 and Rsr1 in the budding process, $bem1\Delta rsr1\Delta$ mutants are virtually unviable (Irazoqui et al., 2003) (with few exceptions that possibly reflect a minor contribution by other proteins; Smith et al., 2013; Woods et al., 2015). Later in mitosis, polarized Cdc24 has to be dispersed (Gulli et al., 2000; Quadri et al., 2020), causing the redistribution of Cdc42-GTP to the whole daughter PM. Failure of this process leads to a persistence of polarity clusters (Quadri et al., 2020), potential nuclear missegregation, and cell death (Panigada et al., 2013). Thus, a system that couples the formation and resolution of polarity clusters to cell-cycle progression must be present. A convenient mechanism would be a switch in binding partners of Cdc24 upon reversible cell-cycle-dependent posttranslational modifications. The idea of a dependence of Cdc24 localization on its PTMs was first proposed by Gulli et al. (2000). The article reports a strong preferential binding of Bem1 to hypophosphorylated Cdc24 and a Bem1-dependent bud tip hyperaccumulation of the GEF upon failures in its phosphorylation (Gulli et al., 2000). Consistently, Cdc24 phosphorylation peaks after bud emergence, and Cdc28-Cln and the PAK Cla4 were identified as the kinases responsible for such PTMs (Gulli et al., 2000; Bose et al., 2001; Wai et al., 2009; Rapali et al., 2017). However, until now, the change in localization of the GEF was seen as a mere dissociation from the bud tip in late stages of the cell cycle, and no roles for this process were described. We have recently shown that mitotic Cdc24 is actively redistributed from the bud tip to the whole daughter PM in a phosphorylation-dependent manner. Bem1 and Rsr1 are completely dispensable to this process, which rather relies on a direct physical interaction of Cdc24 with GTPloaded Ras (Quadri et al., 2020), which is evenly distributed by vesicles to the PM in mitosis (Quadri et al., 2020). By relocalizing Cdc24, this pathway redistributes Cdc42 activity from the bud tip to the whole PM, ultimately promoting depolarization. When this mechanism is impaired, cells accumulate polarity factors at the bud tip that, in case of mitotic delays, leads to unbalanced nuclear segregation and cell death (Quadri et al., 2020). Following polarity clusters removal, at the time of cytokinesis, Cdc24 is dephosphorylated (Bose et al., 2001), likely disrupting Ras interaction and making it available for the next cell cycle.

Our findings integrate Gulli's hypothesis that mitotic phosphorylation of Cdc24 by Cla4 acts as a molecular switch to modulate its physical interactions. Accordingly, mitotic cells lacking Ras are characterized by a diffused, cytoplasmic Cdc24 with only a residual accumulation of the GEF at the bud tip (Quadri et al., 2020). This excludes a competition between Ras and Bem1/Rsr1 in favor of a change in the GEF interactors upon its phosphorylation. Noteworthy, Cdc24, Cdc42-GTP, Bem1, and Cla4 have been reported to constitute a positive feedback loop to build robust polarity clusters promoting symmetry breaking and bud emergence in G1 (Howell and Lew, 2012; Witte et al., 2017). However, our results (Quadri et al., 2020), along with previous findings (Gulli et al., 2000; Rapali et al., 2017), support a bipartite role of this complex, with a second, negative feedback loop promoting polarisome dispersal later in the cell cycle. The molecular switch that triggers Cla4 activity toward Cdc24 is still to be elucidated, but likely resides in a priming phosphorylation event on the GEF by a G2/M-specific kinase. An ideal candidate might be Clb-coupled Cdc28, as it promotes the switch from apical to isotropic growth (Lew and Reed, 1993), and mutants that fail to activate Cdc28-Clb kinase accumulate Bem1-bound Cdc24 at the bud tip (Gulli et al., 2000).

EXTENDING THE MODEL: A MITOTIC SIGNATURE FOR Ras-GTP BINDING AND CELLULAR DEPOLARIZATION IN YEAST

A similar system has been described to regulate the localization of Lte1, a putative GEF that takes a non-essential part in the mitotic exit network (Falk et al., 2011) and shows an impact on polarity in budding yeast (Geymonat et al., 2009, 2010). The pattern and mechanism regulating Lte1 distribution along the cell cycle exhibit remarkable analogies with those of Cdc24, possibly highlighting a common mean to drive mitotic relocalization of polarized proteins to the PM. Recruitment of Lte1 to the bud tip in early cell-cycle stages depends on a physical interaction with a polarisome component, Kel1 (Seshan et al., 2002; Gould et al., 2014). Similarly to Cdc24, Lte1 is phosphorylated by Cla4 and Clb-Cdc28 (Seshan and Amon, 2005; Geymonat et al., 2010), and overexpression of CLA4 is sufficient to promote recruitment of Lte1 to the bud cortex in the absence of Kel1 (Seshan et al., 2002). This suggests that the phosphorylation of Lte1 acts as a molecular switch to promote binding to different cortex scaffolds. Accordingly, later works have shown that phosphorylation by Cdc28 and Cla4 primes Lte1 for direct physical interaction with GTP-Ras (Yoshida et al., 2003; Seshan and Amon, 2005; Geymonat et al., 2009, 2010), leading to its accumulation along the bud cortex. Similarly to Cdc24, at the end of mitosis dephosphorylation of Lte1 leads to its dispersion in the cytoplasm (Jensen et al., 2002; Seshan et al., 2002). Although the exact contribution of Lte1 to depolarization has not been unveiled, the observation that Lte1 mutants defective for Rasbinding experience hyperpolarized growth (Geymonat et al.,



2010) highlights the role of the GTPase in promoting polarity cluster dissolution.

A common scheme thus emerges from these observations (Figure 1). Proteins (possibly bearing a GEF-like domain) that take part in polarization first accumulate at the presumptive bud site by physical interaction with components of the polarisome. By the time of mitosis, however, the polarity clusters have to be redistributed to promote an isotropic growth and prevent detrimental hyperpolarization. To this end, a convenient docking site is provided by GTP-loaded Ras, which is at this stage evenly distributed to the whole PM (Quadri et al., 2020). We propose that the molecular switch that regulates this change in interactions is represented by phosphorylation events performed by Clb-Cdc28 and the kinase Cla4, whose activity is coupled to late stages of the cell cycle, thus preventing unscheduled depolarization. At the end of the cell cycle, mitotic phosphorylation is removed by Cdc14, detaching polarisome components from GTP-Ras and making them available for a new cell cycle.

Ras CONTRIBUTION TO CELL SHAPE IN OTHER ORGANISMS

All the components of the pathway described in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, namely, Ras, Cdc42, and its GEFs and PAK, are conserved throughout the eukaryotic lineage. Several lines of evidence suggest that similar mechanisms might promote mitotic depolarization in other eukaryotes.

Links between Ras and polarity have been reported in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Chang et al., 1994; Nichols et al., 2007), where a physical interaction between GTP-Ras and Cdc24 has been observed. However, although hyperpolarization has been observed in Ras mutants (Ballou et al., 2013), the GTPase seems to be mainly related to polarity establishment rather than to the resolution of polarity clusters.

A major difference between interphase and most mitotic animal cells is represented by the loss of cellular protrusions, substrate attachments, and cell–cell interactions observed during mitotic roundup (Théry and Bornens, 2008). In this scenario, Cdc42 is required to regulate the actin cytoskeleton and determine mitotic spindle orientation, which in turn will define the polarity axis of the daughter cells (Jaffe et al., 2008). Although the underlying mechanisms are still to be elucidated, alterations in Ras pathway impact on spindle orientation (Tang et al., 2011). Moreover, multiple high-throughput screenings identified physical interactions between RAS and CDC42 regulators and effectors (Adhikari and Counter, 2018; Steklov et al., 2018; Kovalski et al., 2019), including several RHO GEFs with putative activity for CDC42, although none of these have been validated yet.

On the other hand, the idea that mitotic redistribution of Cdc42 activity drives cellular depolarization is backed by multiple observations. Indeed, several studies highlight a loss of cellular polarity upon increased Cdc42 activity in multiple systems (Florian et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2019). Although it is not clear whether the observed phenotype is induced by an active depolarization mechanism or a deficient polarization machinery, this clearly demonstrates that a diffuse Cdc42 activity might be a mean to counteract cellular polarization. Moreover, a previous work in Drosophila melanogaster reported a redistribution Cdc42 to achieve a homogenous PM localization in mitosis (Rosa et al., 2015). The authors also reported that overexpression of the Pbl Cdc42 GEF leads to a diffuse relocalization of a Cdc42-containing polarity complex in non-mitotic cells, suggestive of a GEF-based mechanism to induce cellular depolarization in this stage of the cell cycle.

Although such evidence does not directly infer the existence of a mechanism for depolarization based on Ras-dependent mitotic redistribution of Cdc42 activity, it suggests that a similar network might be present also in other eukaryotes.

Mitotic cellular depolarization results from the integration of multiple pathways that ensure proper cell division and that share some remarkable features with the proposed Ras-based mechanism. The planar cell polarity (PCP) is a network active

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, H., and Counter, C. M. (2018). Interrogating the protein interactomes of RAS isoforms identifies PIP5K1A as a KRAS-specific vulnerability. *Nat. Commun.* 9:3646. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05692-6
- Ballou, E. R., Kozubowski, L., Nichols, C. B., and Alspaugh, J. A. (2013). Ras1 Acts through duplicated Cdc42 and Rac proteins to regulate morphogenesis and pathogenesis in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003687. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003687
- Bose, I., Irazoqui, J. E., Moskow, J. J., Bardes, E. S. G., Zyla, T. R., and Lew, D. J. (2001). Assembly of scaffold-mediated complexes containing Cdc42p, the exchange factor Cdc24p, and the effector Cla4p required for cell cycle-regulated phosphorylation of Cdc24p. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 7176–7186. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M010546200
- Butler, M. T., and Wallingford, J. B. (2017). Planar cell polarity in development and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 375–388. doi: 10.1038/nrm. 2017.11
- Butty, A. C., Perrinjaquet, N., Petit, A., Jaquenoud, M., Segall, J. E., Hofmann, K., et al. (2002). A positive feedback loop stabilizes the guanine-nucleotide exchange factor Cdc24 at sites of polarization. *EMBO J.* 21, 1565–1576. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.7.1565

in epithelial cells that detects environmental cues and transduces them in a tissue-homogeneous planar polarization (Butler and Wallingford, 2017). The establishment of this polarity axis is granted in interphase by a differential accumulation of PCP components at opposite domains with distinct functions. However, during mitosis, PCP clusters must be resolved to avoid disruption of tissue polarity (Devenport et al., 2011). This process is promoted by the mitotic kinase Plk1, which phosphorylates the PCP subunit Celsr1 (Shrestha et al., 2015), priming it for internalization by endocytosis (Devenport et al., 2011; Heck and Devenport, 2017). Thus, it appears that phosphorylation of polarity factors by mitotic kinases and vesicle-driven mechanisms might be a conserved way to couple cell-cycle progression with resolution of polarity clusters. Additional studies will be required to elucidate this possibility and to dissect the contribution of Ras to mitotic depolarization in higher eukaryotes, eventually lighting a path for further Ras targeting to tackle cancer progression.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RQ and MM-F interpreted the data and wrote the paper. SS contributed to data interpretation and discussions. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

Research in MM-F lab was supported by AIRC (15631 and 21806), Telethon (GGP15227), MIUR. RQ was supported by an AIRC fellowship (17919).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Federico Lazzaro and all the members of the laboratory for critical discussions.

- Caviston, J. P., Tcheperegine, S. E., and Bi, E. (2002). Singularity in budding: a role for the evolutionarily conserved small GTPase Cdc42p. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 99, 12185–12190. doi: 10.1073/pnas.182370299
- Chang, E. C., Barr, M., Wang, Y., Jung, V., Xu, H. P., and Wigler, M. H. (1994). Cooperative interaction of *S. pombe* proteins required for mating and morphogenesis. *Cell* 79, 131–141. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90406-5
- Cox, A. D., and Der, C. J. (2003). The dark side of Ras: regulation of apoptosis. Oncogene 22, 8999–9006. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207111
- Devenport, D., Oristian, D., Heller, E., and Fuchs, E. (2011). Mitotic internalization of planar cell polarity proteins preserves tissue polarity. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 893–902. doi: 10.1038/ncb2284
- Etienne-Manneville, S. (2004). Cdc42-the centre of polarity. J. Cell Sci. 117, 1291-1300. doi: 10.1242/jcs.01115
- Falk, J. E., Chan, L. Y., and Amon, A. (2011). Ltel promotes mitotic exit by controlling the localization of the spindle position checkpoint kinase Kin4. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 12584–12590. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1107784108
- Fernández-Medarde, A., and Santos, E. (2011). Ras in cancer and developmental diseases. Genes Cancer 2, 344–358. doi: 10.1177/1947601911411084
- Florian, M. C., Dörr, K., Niebel, A., Daria, D., Schrezenmeier, H., Rojewski, M., et al. (2012). Cdc42 activity regulates hematopoietic stem cell aging and rejuvenation. *Cell Stem Cell* 10, 520–550. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2012.04.007

- Gao, J., Yu, H., Bai, X., Liu, C., Chen, L., Belguise, K., et al. (2019). Loss of cell polarity regulated by PTEN/Cdc42 enrolled in the process of Hepatopulmonary Syndrome. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 23, 5542–5552. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.14437
- Geymonat, M., Spanos, A., De Bettignies, G., and Sedgwick, S. G. (2009). Lte1 contributes to Bfa1 localization rather than stimulating nucleotide exchange by Tem1. J. Cell Biol. 187, 497–511. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200905114
- Geymonat, M., Spanos, A., Jensen, S., and Sedgwick, S. G. (2010). Phosphorylation of Lte1 by Cdk prevents polarized growth during mitotic arrest in *S. cerevisiae*. *J. Cell Biol*. 191, 1097–1112. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201005070
- Gould, C. J., Chesarone-Cataldo, M., Alioto, S. L., Salin, B., Sagot, I., and Goode, B. L. (2014). Saccharomyces cerevisiae Kelch proteins and Bud14 protein form a stable 520-kDa formin regulatory complex that controls actin cable assembly and cell morphogenesis. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 18290–18301. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.548719
- Gulli, M. P., Jaquenoud, M., Shimada, Y., Niederhäuser, G., Wiget, P., and Peter, M. (2000). Phosphorylation of the Cdc42 exchange factor Cdc24 by the PAKlike kinase Cla4 may regulate polarized growth in yeast. *Mol. Cell* 6, 1155–1167. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00113-1
- Heck, B. W., and Devenport, D. (2017). Trans-endocytosis of planar cell polarity complexes during cell division. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 3725–3733. doi: 10.1016/ j.cub.2017.10.053
- Howell, A. S., and Lew, D. J. (2012). Morphogenesis and the cell cycle. *Genetics* 190, 51–77. doi: 10.1534/genetics.111.128314
- Irazoqui, J. E., Gladfelter, A. S., and Lew, D. J. (2003). Scaffold-mediated symmetry breaking by Cdc42p. Nat. Cell Biol. 5, 1062–1070. doi: 10.1038/ncb1068
- Jaffe, A. B., Kaji, N., Durgan, J., and Hall, A. (2008). Cdc42 controls spindle orientation to position the apical surface during epithelial morphogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* 183, 625–633. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200807121
- Jensen, S., Geymonat, M., Johnson, A. L., Segal, M., and Johnston, L. H. (2002). Spatial regulation of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Lte1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Sci. 115, 4977–4991. doi: 10.1242/jcs.00189
- Kovalski, J. R., Bhaduri, A., Zehnder, A. M., Neela, P. H., Che, Y., Wozniak, G. G., et al. (2019). The functional proximal proteome of oncogenic Ras includes mTORC2. *Mol. Cell* 73, 830–844. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.12.001
- Lew, D. J., and Reed, S. I. (1993). Morphogenesis in the yeast cell cycle: regulation by Cdc28 and cyclins. J. Cell Biol. 120, 1305–1320. doi: 10.1083/jcb.120.6.1305
- Murugan, A. K., Grieco, M., and Tsuchida, N. (2019). RAS mutations in human cancers: roles in precision medicine. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 59, 23–35. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.06.007
- Nern, A., and Arkowitz, R. A. (1999). A Cdc24p-Far1p-G beta gamma protein complex required for yeast orientation during mating. J. Cell Biol. 144, 1187–1202. doi: 10.1083/jcb.144.6.1187
- Nern, A., and Arkowitz, R. A. (2000). Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of the Cdc42p exchange\rFactor Cdc24p. J. Cell Biol. 148, 1115–1122. doi: 10.1083/ jcb.148.6.1115
- Nichols, C. B., Perfect, Z. H., and Alspaugh, J. A. (2007). A Ras1-Cdc24 signal transduction pathway mediates thermotolerance in the fungal pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans. *Mol. Microbiol.* 63, 1118–1130. doi: 10.1111/ j.1365-2958.2006.05566.x
- Panigada, D., Grianti, P., Nespoli, A., Rotondo, G., Gallo Castro, D., Quadri, R., et al. (2013). Yeast haspin kinase regulates polarity cues necessary for mitotic spindle positioning and is required to tolerate mitotic arrest. *Dev. Cell* 26, 1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2013.07.013
- Park, H., Kang, P. J., and Rachfal, A. W. (2002). Localization of the Rsr1/Bud1 GTPase involved in selection of a proper growth site in yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 26721–26724. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C200245200
- Quadri, R., Galli, M., Galati, E., Rotondo, G., Gallo, G. R., Panigada, D., et al. (2020). Haspin regulates Ras localization to promote Cdc24-driven mitotic depolarization. *Cell Discov.* 6:42. doi: 10.1038/s41421-020-0170-2

- Rapali, P., Mitteau, R., Braun, C., Massoni-Laporte, A., Ünlü, C., Bataille, L., et al. (2017). Scaffold-mediated gating of cdc42 signalling flux. *Elife* 6:e25257. doi: 10.7554/eLife.25257
- Rosa, A., Vlassaks, E., Pichaud, F., and Baum, B. (2015). Ect2/Pbl Acts via Rho and polarity proteins to direct the assembly of an isotropic actomyosin cortex upon mitotic entry. *Dev. Cell* 32, 604–616. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2015.01.012
- Royer, C., and Lu, X. (2011). Epithelial cell polarity: a major gatekeeper against cancer? Cell Death Differ. 18, 1470–1477. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2011.60
- Seshan, A., and Amon, A. (2005). Ras and the Rho effector Cla4 collaborate to target and anchor Lte1 at the bud cortex. *Cell Cycle* 4, 940–946. doi: 10.4161/ cc.4.7.1785
- Seshan, A., Bardin, A. J., and Amon, A. (2002). Control of Ltel localization by cell polarity determinants and Cdc14. *Curr. Biol.* 12, 2098–2110. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(02)01388-X
- Shrestha, R., Little, K. A., Tamayo, J. V., Li, W., Perlman, D. H., and Devenport, D. (2015). Mitotic control of planar cell polarity by polo-like kinase 1. *Dev. Cell* 33, 522–534. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2015.03.024
- Smith, S. E., Rubinstein, B., Pinto, I. M., Slaughter, B. D., Unruh, J. R., and Li, R. (2013). Independence of symmetry breaking on Bem1-mediated autocatalytic activation of Cdc42. J. Cell Biol. 202, 1091–1106. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 201304180
- Stacey, D., and Kazlauskas, A. (2002). Regulation of Ras signaling by the cell cycle. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 12, 44–46. doi: 10.1016/S0959-437X(01)00262-3
- Steklov, M., Pandolfi, S., Baietti, M. F., Batiuk, A., Carai, P., Najm, P., et al. (2018). Mutations in LZTR1 drive human disease by dysregulating RAS ubiquitination. *Science* 362, 1177–1182. doi: 10.1126/science.aap7607
- Tang, N., Marshall, W. F., McMahon, M., Metzger, R. J., and Martin, G. R. (2011). Control of mitotic spindle angle by the RAS-regulated ERK1/2 pathway determines lung tube shape. *Science* 333, 342–345. doi: 10.1126/ science.1204831
- Théry, M., and Bornens, M. (2008). Get round and stiff for mitosis. *HFSP J.* 2, 65–71. doi: 10.2976/1.2895661
- Wai, S. C., Gerber, S. A., and Li, R. (2009). Multisite phosphorylation of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Cdc24 during yeast cell polarization. *PLoS ONE* 4:e6563. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006563
- Witte, K., Strickland, D., and Glotzer, M. (2017). Cell cycle entry triggers a switch between two modes of Cdc42 activation during yeast polarization. *Elife* 6:e26722. doi: 10.7554/eLife.26722
- Woods, B., Kuo, C. C., Wu, C. F., Zyla, T. R., and Lew, D. J. (2015). Polarity establishment requires localized activation of Cdc42. J. Cell Biol. 211, 19–26. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201506108
- Yoshida, S., Ichihashi, R., and Tohe, A. (2003). Ras recruits mitotic exit regulator Ltel to the bud cortex in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. 161, 889–897. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200301128
- Zheng, Y., Cerione, R., and Bender, A. (1994). Control of the yeast bud-site assembly GTPase Cdc42. Catalysis of guanine nucleotide exchange by Cdc24 and stimulation of GTPase activity by Bem3. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 2369–2372.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Quadri, Sertic and Muzi-Falconi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.