



Polycomb Requires *Chaperonin Containing TCP-1 Subunit 7* for Maintaining Gene Silencing in *Drosophila*

Najma Shaheen¹, Jawad Akhtar^{1†}, Zain Umer¹, Muhammad Haider Farooq Khan¹, Mahnoor Hussain Bakhtiari¹, Murtaza Saleem², Amir Faisal³ and Muhammad Tariq^{1*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Satoshi H. Namekawa, University of California, Davis, United States

Reviewed by:

Jelena Erceg, University of Connecticut, United States Rakesh Kumar Mishra, Centre for Cellular Molecular Biology (CCMB), India

*Correspondence:

Muhammad Tariq m.tariq@lums.edu.pk

[†]Present address:

Jawad Akhtar, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, United States

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Developmental Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 20 June 2021 Accepted: 30 August 2021 Published: 01 October 2021

Citation:

Shaheen N, Akhtar J, Umer Z, Khan MHF, Bakhtiari MH, Saleem M, Faisal A and Tariq M (2021) Polycomb Requires Chaperonin Containing TCP-1 Subunit 7 for Maintaining Gene Silencing in Drosophila. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9:727972. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.727972 ¹ Epigenetics and Gene Regulation Laboratory, Department of Biology, Syed Babar Ali School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, ² Department of Physics, Syed Babar Ali School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, ³ Cancer Therapeutics Laboratory, Department of Biology, Syed Babar Ali School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

In metazoans, heritable states of cell type-specific gene expression patterns linked with specialization of various cell types constitute transcriptional cellular memory. Evolutionarily conserved Polycomb group (PcG) and trithorax group (trxG) proteins contribute to the transcriptional cellular memory by maintaining heritable patterns of repressed and active expression states, respectively. Although chromatin structure and modifications appear to play a fundamental role in maintenance of repression by PcG, the precise targeting mechanism and the specificity factors that bind PcG complexes to defined regions in chromosomes remain elusive. Here, we report a serendipitous discovery that uncovers an interplay between Polycomb (Pc) and chaperonin containing T-complex protein 1 (TCP-1) subunit 7 (CCT7) of TCP-1 ring complex (TRiC) chaperonin in Drosophila. CCT7 interacts with Pc at chromatin to maintain repressed states of homeotic and non-homeotic targets of PcG, which supports a strong genetic interaction observed between Pc and CCT7 mutants. Depletion of CCT7 results in dissociation of Pc from chromatin and redistribution of an abundant amount of Pc in cytoplasm. We propose that CCT7 is an important modulator of Pc, which helps Pc recruitment at chromatin, and compromising CCT7 can directly influence an evolutionary conserved epigenetic network that supervises the appropriate cellular identities during development and homeostasis of an organism.

Keywords: Polycomb, trithorax, TRiC, CCT7, chaperonin, cell fates, epigenetic cellular memory

INTRODUCTION

In multicellular eukaryotes, epigenetic factors play a pivotal role in cell fate determination visualized by the differential gene expression profiles established during the patterning process in early development. In particular, maintenance of transcriptional states of important regulators like the Hox genes ensures faithful propagation of determined states during cell proliferation

1

(Cavalli and Paro, 1999; Beuchle et al., 2001). The Polycomb group (PcG) and trithorax group (trxG) proteins maintain the heritable patterns of repressed and active gene expression states, respectively. An evolutionarily conserved hallmark of PcG and trxG regulation is the establishment and maintenance of longterm developmental decisions. Both groups exert their functions by interacting with the histones and the transcription machinery and by generating heritable epigenetic marks on chromatin (Kassis et al., 2017; Brand et al., 2019).

Molecular and biochemical analyses in Drosophila have unraveled the composition of at least two different PcG complexes, i.e., PRC1 and PRC2, and many accessory factors. Silencing by the PcG complexes correlates with histone H3 lysine 27 tri-methylation (H3K27me3) (Cao et al., 2002; Czermin et al., 2002; Müller et al., 2002) and histone H2A lysine 118 ubiquitination (H2AK118ub) (Wang et al., 2004). On the other hand, trxG proteins, discovered as suppressors of PcG, act as anti-silencing factors (Klymenko and Jürg, 2004), and several of them are known to act by modifying local properties of chromatin. For example, TRX and ASH1 directly counteract repression by catalyzing tri-methylation of histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4me3) and histone H3 lysine 36 (H3K36me3), respectively. Moreover, trxG is much more heterogeneous with respect to protein complexes that covalently modify chromatin, remodel the chromatin, and interact with general transcription machinery (Kassis et al., 2017). Molecular chaperones such as heat shock cognate 4 (Hsc4) (Mollaaghababa et al., 2001), heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) (Tariq et al., 2009; Sawarkar et al., 2012), and immunophilins (Anderson et al., 2002; Nelson et al., 2006; Chen, 2011) are proposed to serve as additional factors for PcG/trxG to maintain heritable patterns of gene expression. For example, the Hsc4 mutant flies exhibit Pc like phenotype, and Hsc4 was found to be part of the PcG multi-protein complex (Mollaaghababa et al., 2001). Besides Hsc4, a novel Drosophila J class chaperone (Droj2) was also found stably associated with Polyhomeotic, a PcG protein, in Drosophila (Wang and Brock, 2003). Additionally, mutations in Hsp90 mimic trxG-like behavior, and TRX requires Hsp90 for maintenance of gene activation in Drosophila (Tariq et al., 2009). Genome-wide binding profile of Hsp90 shows a massive overlap with TRX at chromatin. Notably, Hsp90 also contributes to paused RNA polymerase and facilitates transcriptional block by PcG (Sawarkar et al., 2012). The presence of RNA polymerase and general transcription factors at silent promoters (Breiling et al., 2001; Dellino et al., 2004; Klymenko and Jürg, 2004; Enderle et al., 2011) and the competition observed between PcG and trxG to regulate the gene expression suggest that PcG and trxG proteins associate with their target genes as dynamic complexes that are in balance with one another (Kuroda et al., 2020). However, the mechanisms and the factors responsible to shift the balance in favor of either PcG or trxG during their dynamic association with chromatin remain elusive.

Here, we report a serendipitous discovery that describes direct molecular interactions of *Drosophila* Pc with chaperonin containing T-complex protein 1 (TCP-1) subunit 7 (CCT7) of TCP-1 ring complex (TRiC), a class of chaperones also

called chaperonins. The TRiC chaperonins are protein-folding machines composed of hetero-oligomeric, double-ringed, highmolecular weight, ATP-dependent chaperones involved in the folding and assembly of multi-protein complexes (Gestaut et al., 2019). The complex architecture and mechanism of action of TRiC allow it to chaperone essential proteins involved in diverse cellular processes such as cell cycle regulation (Won et al., 1998; Camasses et al., 2003; Kaisari et al., 2017), cytoskeletal organization (Sternlicht et al., 1993), organ size (Kim and Choi, 2019), and signal transduction pathways (Guenther et al., 2002; Wells et al., 2006; Roobol et al., 2014; Antonova et al., 2018; Banks et al., 2018). In our quest for novel regulators of trxG, we had discovered Drosophila CCT7 and CCT5 subunits of TRiC as top trxG candidates influencing luciferase reporter in an ex vivo genome-wide RNA interference (RNAi) screen (Umer et al., 2019). However, contrary to our earlier discovery of CCT7 and CCT5 as trxG candidates in the RNAi screen, further analysis revealed that both CCT7 and CCT5 mutants genetically interact with Pc and strongly enhance extra sex comb phenotype. Moreover, suppression of trx mutant phenotype by CCT7 mutation and a strong reactivation of homeotic genes in homozygous CCT7 mutants corroborate the PcG-like behavior of these TRiC subunits. Importantly, the presence of CCT7 together with Pc on polytene chromosomes and its association at PcG targets, as characterized by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), support our hypothesis that CCT7 indeed contributes to repression by PcG. Our results further demonstrate that depletion of CCT7 results in dissociation of Pc from the chromatin, which explains the reactivation of PcG targets upon CCT7 knockdown. Notably, CCT7 was found to interact with Pc at the molecular level in Drosophila cells, and a substantial amount of Pc was found in the cytoplasm when CCT7 was depleted. Together, our data provide an affirmative evidence of the role of CCT7 in regulating PcG-mediated gene expression patterns.

RESULTS

TCP-1 Ring Complex Genetically Interacts With Polycomb Group and Trithorax Group System

Since *CCT7* and *CCT5* subunits of TRiC chaperonin complex emerged as candidate trxG genes in a luciferase reporter-based genome-wide RNAi screen in *Drosophila* (Umer et al., 2019), we started validation of *CCT7* and *CCT5* as potential trxG genes. To investigate if TRiC subunits genetically interact with the PcG/trxG system, mutants for *CCT7* (*CCT7^{KG01477}* and *CCT7^{KG09501}*) and *CCT5* (*CCT5⁰⁶⁴⁴⁴* and *CCT5^{K06005}*) were crossed with two different *Pc* (*Pc¹* and *Pc^{XL5}*) alleles, and males from F1 progeny (*CCT7/Pc* and *CCT5/+;Pc/+*) were analyzed for extra sex comb phenotype. Heterozygous *Pc* (+/*Pc¹* and +/*Pc^{XL5}*) male flies, obtained from a cross of *Pc* (*Pc¹* and *Pc^{XL5}*) mutants with *w*¹¹¹⁸ mutant flies, exhibit strong extra sex comb phenotype. As compared to heterozygous *Pc* mutant males used as control, each mutant allele of *CCT7* and *CCT5* significantly enhanced extra sex comb phenotype of *Pc¹* and *Pc^{XL5}* (**Figures 1A–D** and



FIGURE 1 | Mutants of TCP-1 ring complex (TRIC) exhibit Polycomb group (PcG)-like behavior. (A–D) Heterozygous male flies for Pc (+/Pc¹ and +/Pc^{XL5}) obtained by crossing Pc (Pc1 and PcXL5) alleles with w1118 exhibit strong extra sex comb phenotype, and these heterozygous males were used as control. CCT7 (CCT7^{KG01477}) and CCT5 (CCT5⁰⁶⁴⁴⁴) mutants crossed to two different alleles of Pc (Pc¹ and Pc^{XL5}) significantly enhanced extra sex comb phenotype in CCT7^{KG01477}/Pc (A,B) and CCT5⁰⁶⁴⁴⁴; Pc (C,D) mutants, respectively, when compared with control (+/Pc¹ and +/Pc^{XL5}). Here, 200 male flies with desired genotype from the progeny of each cross were scored for extra sex combs. Flies were categorized based on the number of extra sex comb bristles on the second and third pairs of legs (Tariq et al., 2009) as follows: -, no extra sex combs on second or third pair of legs; +, 1-2 bristles on the second leg; ++, 3 or more bristles on the second leg; +++, 3 or more bristles on the second leg and 1-2 bristles on the third leg; ++++, strong sex combs on both second and third pairs of legs. Percentages of flies for each category of phenotype, heterozygous $Pc^{1/+}$ and $Pc^{XL5/+}$ males and $CCT7^{KG01477}/Pc^{1}$ and $CCT7^{KG01477}/Pc^{XL5}$ double mutant male flies, are provided in Supplementary Table 1. The percentage of flies for each category was plotted as bar graphs. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM) from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using t-test (* $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$, *** $p \le 0.001$, **** $p \le 0.0001$). (E,F) CCT7/trx¹ double mutant males from progeny of CCT7 mutants crossed to trx¹ were scored for suppression of A5 to A4 transformation. As compared to wild-type flies (E, left panel), heterozygous trx mutant (+/trx¹) males obtained from the cross of w¹¹¹⁸ with trx¹ mutant exhibit loss of abdominal pigmentation on A5 segment (**E**, middle panel), i.e., phenotype referred to as A5 to A4 transformation, and appearance of bristle on sternite 6 (s6, marked with black arrow). As compared to trx heterozygous (+/trx¹) male flies, CCT7 mutations suppressed A5 to A4 transformation phenotypes in CCT7/trx¹ double mutant male (E, right panel) flies. Flies were categorized into wild-type (E, left panel) and A5 to A4 transformation (E, middle panel) based on abdominal pigmentation phenotype. Percentage of flies obtained for each category of phenotype was plotted as bar graphs (F). Error bars represent SEM from two independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using two-way ANOVA (*** $p \le 0.001$).

Supplementary Figures 1A-D). This strong genetic interaction of two different alleles of CCT7 as well as CCT5 with both the alleles of Pc indicates that CCT7 and CCT5 mutants behave like *PcG* genes. However, these results were contrary to the discovery of CCT7 and CCT5 as candidate trxG genes in the genome-wide RNAi screen (Umer et al., 2019). To further validate PcG-like behavior of TRiC subunits, it was investigated if CCT7 mutants antagonize trx mutant phenotype, which is a hallmark of PcG genes. Both the mutant alleles of CCT7 were crossed with trx (trx^{1}) mutant to investigate if CCT7 genetically interacts with trxand suppresses A5 to A4 homeotic phenotype of trx mutants. Heterozygous trx (trx/+) mutant males from the cross of w^{1118} with trx exhibit loss of abdominal pigmentation (Figure 1E, middle), classified as A5 to A4 transformation (Ingham and Whittle, 1980). Both the alleles of CCT7 strongly suppressed A5 to A4 transformation (Figure 1E, left) in CCT7/trx double mutants and resulted in a higher percentage of male flies with wild-type abdominal pigment (Figure 1F). A strong suppression of *trx* phenotype and enhancement of extra sex comb phenotype of *Pc* by both alleles of *CCT7* support the notion that *CCT7* acts as a potential PcG gene. Since luciferase is a known client of TRiC chaperonin (Dunn et al., 2001), it explains the presence of CCT7 and CCT5 subunits as trxG candidates in luciferase-based genome-wide RNAi screen.

Chaperonin Containing TCP-1 Subunit 7 Is Required for Polycomb Group-Mediated Gene Silencing

Since a strong genetic interaction of CCT7 with the PcG system was observed, we aimed to investigate if CCT7 contributes to maintenance of repression by PcG. To this end, 12-h-old homozygous CCT7 mutant embryos were stained with Abd-B antibody and compared with w^{1118} embryos of the same age, which were used as control. In w^{1118} embryos at this stage, Abd-B is expressed at progressively increasing level from parasegment 10 (PS10) to PS14, exhibiting strongest expression in PS14 (Celniker et al., 1989; Delorenzi and Bienz, 1990) (Figure 2A). As compared to w¹¹¹⁸ control, CCT7 mutant embryos showed an increase in Abd-B expression in the anterior parasegments (Figures 2B,C). This increased Abd-B expression is a hallmark of PcG mutants (Simon et al., 1992). Additionally, CCT7 was knocked down in D.Mel-2 cells using dsRNA (Figure 2D), and expression of PcG target genes was analyzed using quantitative real-time PCR. As compared to LacZ dsRNA-treated cells used as control, depletion of CCT7 resulted in a significant upregulation of psq (pipsqueak), Antp (Antennapedia), Abd-B (Abdominal B), Dfd (Deformed), and Ubx (Ultrabithorax) (Figure 2E), which are known PcG targets (Schuettengruber et al., 2009; Schwartz et al., 2010; Enderle et al., 2011). Next, CCT7 was knocked down in flies using eye-specific GAL4 driver line (Figures 2F-H). Depletion of CCT7 resulted in transformation of eye to duplicated antenna (Figure 2G) and appearance of a leg-like appendage besides reduced eye size (Figure 2H). The adult flies obtained after eye-specific knockdown of CCT7 were categorized as showing normal eye, reduced eye, loss of eye, eye to antennal transformation, and leg phenotype in the

eye. The majority of flies, i.e., 70.91%, exhibited reduced eye size, 5.45% flies showed loss of eye phenotype, 6.36% flies presented eve to antennal transformation, 3.64% flies developed a rudimentary leg in the eye, and only 13.64% developed normal eye phenotype (Figure 2I). Additionally, depletion of CCT7 in the eye using a different RNAi line of CCT7 resulted in 100% pupal lethal phenotypes (Figure 2J). Interestingly, after eyespecific knockdown of CCT7, the F1 progeny never hatched and the late-stage pupae were dissected to observe the headless phenotype (Figure 2J, right) as compared to w^{1118} control pupae (Figure 2J, left). The aberrant eye phenotypes and the headless pupal lethal pharate adults observed upon depletion of CCT7 may be attributed to the ectopic expression of Antp in eyeantennal imaginal discs (Gibson and Gehring, 1988; Plaza et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2018). Similar pupal lethal phenotypes have been reported in eye-specific knockdown of all eight subunits of TRiC chaperonin complex (Kim and Choi, 2019). Together, these results suggest that PcG requires CCT7 to maintain repression.

Chaperonin Containing TCP-1 Subunit 7 Binds to Chromatin at Polycomb Group Targets

Since homozygous mutation of CCT7 in vivo and its depletion in cells result in de-repression of PcG targets, it was questioned if CCT7 associates with chromatin at PcG targets and contributes to maintenance of repression. To address this question, transgenic flies expressing *Myc-CCT7* (Supplementary Figure 2A) under GAL4-inducible promoter were generated. Polytene chromosomes were prepared from third instar larvae expressing Myc-CCT7 in salivary glands and stained with anti-Myc and anti-Pc antibodies (Figures 3A-D). It was observed that CCT7 co-localizes with Pc at multiple sites (Zink and Paro, 1989; Decamillis et al., 1992; Rastelli et al., 1993) on polytene chromosomes (Figure 3D). Next, ChIP was performed from Drosophila S2 stable cells expressing FLAG-CCT7 using anti-FLAG antibody (Supplementary Figure 2B). ChIP from EV control cells expressing FLAG-epitope under copper-inducible promoter was used as a control. ChIP DNA was quantified by real-time PCR analysis using primers specific for known PcG-binding sites in psq, Dfd, and bxd loci (Schuettengruber et al., 2009; Schwartz et al., 2010; Enderle et al., 2011) (Supplementary Table 2). As compared to ChIP from EV control cells, FLAG-CCT7 was found to be enriched at psq, *Dfd*, and *bxd* (Figure 3E, upper panel). Importantly, CCT7 was not present at an intergenic region (IR) used as control where Pc does not bind (Figure 3E). Moreover, ChIP, using CCT7specific antibody, performed from Drosophila embryos showed that CCT7 binds to known PcG-binding sites at bxd and Dfd (Figure 3E, lower panel). Based on the chromatin association of CCT7 at PcG target genes, it was assumed that CCT7 may biochemically interact with Pc and facilitate maintenance of silencing by PcG. To investigate molecular interaction between CCT7 and Pc, Co-IP was performed on stable cells containing FLAG-CCT7 transgene. Total cell lysates from EV control and FLAG-CCT7 stable cells were subjected to pulldown with anti-Pc antibody and subsequently analyzed on a Western blot that





FIGURE 2 | (Continued)

experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using two-way ANOVA (* $p \le 0.05$, **** $p \le 0.0001$). (**F–H**) Eye-specific knockdown of *CCT7* shows a range of homeotic phenotypes, i.e., transformation of eye to duplicated antenna (marked with asterisks, **G**) and appearance of a leg-like appendage in addition to reduction in eye size (marked with arrow, **H**). (**J**) Pie chart representing the frequency of adult phenotypes resulting from eye-specific knockdown of *CCT7*. A total of 110 adult flies were observed under a stereo microscope (Nikon, C-DSS230) using white light and scored for aberrant eye phenotypes. Phenotypes of adult flies obtained as a result of knockdown of *CCT7* were categorized as follows: normal eye (13.64%), reduced eye (70.91%), loss of eye (5.45%), eye to antennal transformation (6.36%), and appearance of rudimentary leg in eye (3.64%). (**J**) Eye-specific knockdown of *CCT7* using a different and stronger RNAi line resulted in 100% lethality of the progeny at the pupal stage (right) as compared to w^{1118} control (left). Headless pupal lethal pharate adult observed upon depletion of *CCT7* in the eye dissected out from the pupal case (right) as compared to w^{1118} control (left).

was probed with anti-FLAG and anti-Pc antibodies. As compared to Co-IP from *EV* control, immunoprecipitation with anti-Pc specifically resulted in enrichment of FLAG-CCT7 from stable cells expressing FLAG-CCT7 (**Figure 3F**), indicating that CCT7 interacts with Pc in *Drosophila* cells. Since CCT7 is found to associate with chromatin at PcG target genes (Schuettengruber et al., 2009; Schwartz et al., 2010; Enderle et al., 2011), as evidenced by ChIP and co-localization of CCT7 with Pc on polytene chromosomes, it suggests that this nuclear interaction between CCT7 and Pc maintains gene silencing.

Chaperonin Containing TCP-1 Subunit 7 Facilitates Nuclear Localization and Chromatin Association of Polycomb

The genetic and molecular interaction of CCT7 with Pc intrigued us to ask if depletion of CCT7 has an impact on association of Pc at the chromatin. To address this question, ChIP was performed using anti-Pc antibody from cells treated with dsRNA against CCT7 followed by real-time PCR analysis. As compared to control cells treated with LacZ dsRNA, ChIP from CCT7depleted cells revealed reduced association of Pc at bxd and Dfd chromatin-binding sites (Figure 4A). As compared to control cells, Pc binding at psq, a PcG target gene that is actively transcribed, remained unchanged in CCT7-depleted cells (Figure 4A), and it served as control supporting the notion that knockdown of CCT7 results in diminishing Pc from silent PcG target genes. Moreover, ChIP analysis of RNA pol-II binding in CCT7 knockdown cells revealed that RNA pol-II was enriched along the gene body of Dfd (Figure 4B) that correlates with increased expression of Dfd in these cells (Figure 2E). For an in vivo validation of decreased association of Pc at chromatin after CCT7 depletion in cells, CCT7 was knocked down specifically in salivary glands of third instar larvae by crossing CCT7 RNAi flies with salivary gland-specific GAL4 (Sgs-GAL4) driver line. Polytene chromosomes were prepared from third instar larvae, and immunostaining was performed with Pc and RNA pol-II antibodies (Figures 4C-J). Polytene chromosomes from w^{1118} larvae of the same age stained with Pc and RNA pol-II antibodies were used as control (Figures 4C-F). As compared to control (Figure 4E), Pc staining was drastically reduced on polytene chromosomes from salivary glands where CCT7 was depleted (Figure 4I). However, no such effect on RNA pol-II association with polytene chromosomes was observed in CCT7depleted salivary glands when compared with polytenes from w^{1118} control (Figures 4D,H). This Pc-specific effect of CCT7 depletion led us to investigate possible underlying effects on

the expression of Pc. To this end, we knocked down CCT7 using dsRNA and analyzed the expression of Pc. Interestingly, quantitative real-time PCR analysis revealed that expression of Pc was significantly increased in CCT7-depleted cells as compared to LacZ dsRNA-treated cells used as control (Figure 4K). This increase in the mRNA levels of Pc also correlates with increased Pc protein in CCT7 knockdown cells as compared to control cells (Figure 4L). The increased expression of Pc in CCT7depleted cells together with loss of Pc association with chromatin in the absence of CCT7 illustrates the importance of CCT7 in regulating Pc at both transcriptional and posttranslational levels. However, the increased expression of Pc in cells is contrary to the decreased association of Pc on chromatin when CCT7 was depleted (Figures 4A,I), which led us to investigate if CCT7 is required for proper localization of Pc within the cells. To address this question, we isolated nuclear and cytosolic fractions of CCT7-depleted cells and analyzed the fractions on a Western blot with anti-Pc antibody. Intriguingly, an increased amount of Pc was observed in the cytosolic fraction of CCT7 knockdown cells when compared with control cells. However, amount of Pc in the nuclear fractions of CCT7-depleted and control cells remained the same. Absence of Lamin in the cytosolic fraction served as control and illustrates that there was no nuclear contamination in the cytosolic fraction. Therefore, it validates that the Pc observed in the cytosolic fraction is a specific consequence of CCT7 depletion (Figure 4M). Altogether, our data demonstrate that CCT7 facilitates gene silencing by regulating Pc at multiple levels. In particular, CCT7 helps in nuclear localization and chromatin association of Pc besides contributing to maintenance of gene expression of Pc gene. The increased expression of Pc in CCT7depleted cells does not get associated with chromatin, suggesting that CCT7 is required for recruitment of Pc at chromatin. Importantly, the presence of an ample amount of Pc in cytoplasm in the absence of CCT7 suggests that CCT7 is more likely playing a role in chaperoning Pc to its chromatin-binding sites. Taken together, these results highlight a critical role for CCT7 in the maintenance of gene repression by Pc in the process of cell fate maintenance and epigenetic cell memory in Drosophila.

DISCUSSION

In order to understand better the complex relationship between genotype and phenotype, it is necessary to study the link between epigenetic pathways, cellular signaling, and environmental factors during development. Molecular chaperones represent a class of proteins, which rapidly respond to intracellular



FIGURE 3 | Chaperonin containing TCP-1 subunit 7 (CCT7) co-localizes and interacts with Polycomb (Pc). (A-D) Polytene chromosomes prepared from third instar larvae expressing Myc-CCT7 were stained with anti-Myc (B) and anti-Pc (C) antibodies. Myc-CCT7 was observed to co-localize with Pc at numerous loci, seen as yellow bands in merge (D). In particular, co-localization of CCT7 with Pc at well-known Pc-binding sites in chromosome arms 2L, 3L, and 3R, on polytene chromosomes reported previously (Zink and Paro, 1989; Decamillis et al., 1992; Rastelli et al., 1993), is marked with white arrows. (E) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) performed from FLAG-CCT7-expressing cells using anti-FLAG antibody showed CCT7 enriched at known Polycomb group (PcG) target genes, i.e., psq, Dfd, and bxd as compared to empty vector (EV) control cells (upper panel). Moreover, ChIP performed on 2-4-h-old Drosophila embryos using CCT7 antibody showed association of CCT7 at known Pc-binding sites in bxd and Dfd regions (lower panel). Intergenic region (IR) represents the region corresponding to chromosome arm 2R where Pc is normally not bound (Papp and Müller, 2006). ChIP DNA was quantified using real-time PCR, and data were normalized using fold enrichment over IR. Error bars represent SEM for three independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using t test (* p ≤ 0.05, ** p ≤ 0.01, # p = 0.056 trend toward significance). Schematic of the primers used in real-time PCR analysis and their locations are represented as blue lines in Supplementary Figure 2C. (F) Pc biochemically interacts with CCT7. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) was performed using anti-Pc antibody from

(Continued)

FIGURE 3 | (Continued)

FLAG-CCT7-expressing stable cells and EV control cells. Western blot with anti-FLAG (WB: anti-FLAG, upper) showed specific enrichment of FLAG-CCT7 in the IP sample (lane 2) of FLAG-CCT7-expressing cells as compared to control IP from EV cells (lane 4). Western blot with anti-Pc (WB: anti-Pc, lower) showed specific and equal enrichment of Pc in IP from both FLAG-CCT7-expressing cells and EV cells. Input represents 1% of total cell lysates from FLAG-CCT7 (lane 1) and EV (lane 3) cells. The Ponceau S staining corresponding to the Western blot is shown in **Supplementary Figure 2D**.

or extracellular environmental cues to initiate epigenetically heritable changes (Sawarkar and Paro, 2013; Condelli et al., 2019). Our results demonstrate a previously unknown genetic and molecular link between CCT7 and Pc, which is essential for maintaining heritable gene repression. We provide evidence that depletion of CCT7 results in reactivation of PcG target genes and leads to severe morphological defects. Since Pc dissociates from chromatin and is retained in cytoplasm as a consequence of diminished CCT7, we propose that CCT7 is required for chaperoning Pc to its targets on chromatin and maintenance of repression. Involvement of CCT7 in maintenance of silencing by Pc has revealed a novel epigenetic modulator of Pc that ensures robustness of gene expression during development. Such an interaction of molecular chaperone Hsp90 with epigenetic factors like TRX has previously been reported in Drosophila (Sollars et al., 2003; Tariq et al., 2009; Sawarkar et al., 2012). In contrast to the role of Hsp90 in gene activation by trxG, little is known about the role of molecular chaperones in PcG-mediated gene regulation and the effects that they may have on epigenetic cell memory.

Genetic evidence presented here demonstrates that TRiC members, CCT7 and CCT5, exhibit PcG-like behavior by enhancing extra sex comb phenotype of Pc. Appearance of extra sex combs on the second and third pairs of legs in Drosophila males is a classical mutant phenotype in Pc heterozygotes (Riley et al., 1987; Pattatucci and Kaufman, 1991). Strong enhancement of extra sex comb phenotype by two different alleles of CCT7 and CCT5 suggests that TRiC subunits are possibly required for the proper function of one or more PcG proteins. Such an enhancement of extra sex comb phenotype has also been described for molecular chaperone Hsc4 mutant in Drosophila (Mollaaghababa et al., 2001; Wang and Brock, 2003). The PcG-like behavior of CCT7 mutants was supported by the antagonistic effect of CCT7 in $CCT7/trx^1$ double mutants where it suppresses the trx^{1} mutant (Ingham and Whittle, 1980) phenotype. These genetic data are substantiated by an increased expression of homeotic and non-homeotic targets of PcG, which is explained by dissociation of Pc from chromatin when CCT7 was depleted, thus reinforcing the Pc-specific effect of CCT7 in transcriptional cellular memory.

Based on the genetic and molecular evidence presented here, it is plausible to assume that CCT7 may facilitate the recruitment of Pc on chromatin. This hypothesis explains the strong enhancement of extra sex comb phenotype and reactivation of PcG targets, since mutations in *CCT7* chaperonin might have reduced the chromatin-associated Pc protein to maintain gene repression. The mechanistic link between Pc and CCT7 may be similar to the role played by TRiC in priming histone deacetylase (HDAC)3 for its nuclear localization and potential interaction with SMRT to form active SMRT-HDAC3 repression complex (Guenther et al., 2002). Moreover, TRiC interacts with HDAC1/HDAC2 (Banks et al., 2018) and SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes (Banks et al., 2018) and acts as a checkpoint in the assembly of basal transcription factor TFIID (Antonova et al., 2018), highlighting the importance of TRiC at different nodes in regulating gene expression states. Molecular interactions of TRiC with HDACs and SWI/SNF complexes and its role in holo TFIID assembly indicate that TRiC chaperonins may have a dual role in silencing and activation of gene expression. Such a dual role of molecular chaperones in transcriptional activation (Sollars et al., 2003; Tariq et al., 2009; Sawarkar et al., 2012) and repression (Laskar et al., 2011; Okazaki et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2020) has also been reported for Hsp90.

Despite an increased amount of Pc in CCT7-depleted cells, there was decreased association of Pc at chromatin. This may be due to a large amount of Pc retained in the cytosol in the absence of CCT7. It would be interesting to determine the composition of Pc-interacting proteins in wild-type cells and in cells deficient for CCT7. If CCT7 is required for assembly of Pc-containing protein complexes, different Pc-interacting proteins will be purified in the absence of CCT7. Since it is possible to assemble functional PRC1 core complex in vitro (Francis et al., 2001) in the absence of CCT7, it suggests that CCT7 may have a specific effect on association of Pc at chromatin. The depletion of Pc, but not RNA pol-II from chromatin, in the absence of CCT7 further suggests that CCT7 has a specific role in Pc-mediated repression of key developmental genes. The increased expression of PcG targets in CCT7-depleted cells as a result of decreased association of Pc and subsequent release of RNA pol-II from the paused state is in accordance with the previously reported function of Pc in holding RNA pol-II in its paused state (Lis, 1998; Breiling et al., 2001; Dellino et al., 2004) on silent genes. While we provide evidence that Pc requires CCT7 to maintain repression, an indirect effect of CCT7 on association of Pc at chromatin through one of its client proteins cannot be ruled out.

The genetic and molecular interplay of TRiC at a multitude of cellular signaling pathways together with evolutionarily conserved PcG suggests that it may serve as a major hub similar to Hsp90 to maintain cellular homeostasis. The molecular interaction between CCT7 and Pc illustrates how gene expression states can rapidly be modulated that eventually may lead to accumulation of epigenetic variation and possible phenotypic variation. Further molecular and biochemical characterization of CCT7–Pc nexus is required to reveal the mechanistic details about how this intricate relationship contributes to the complex interplay between genotype and phenotype.



Error bars represent SEM for two independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using two-way ANOVA ($^{m} p \le 0.001$). (\sim) Polytene chromosomes prepared from w^{1118} and CCT7-depleted salivary glands and stained with anti-RNA pol-II and anti-Pc antibodies. As compared to RNA pol-II staining (*Continued*)

FIGURE 4 | (Continued)

used as a positive control (**D**,**H**), a strongly diminished binding of Pc was observed after depletion of CCT7 (**I**) when compared with w^{1118} control (**E**). (**K**,**L**) Drastic increase in expression of *Pc* in CCT7-depleted cells. CCT7-depleted cells displayed significantly higher expression of *Pc* in real-time PCR analysis (**K**) as well as on Western blot (**L**) when compared to control cells. Error bars represent SEM for two independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated using *t*-test (**** $p \le 0.0001$). (**M**) Western blot probed with anti-Pc and anti-Lamin antibodies showing nuclear and cytosolic fractions isolated from CCT7-depleted and control cells. Besides its presence in the nucleus, Pc is visible as enriched in the cytosolic fraction of CCT7-depleted cells as compared to the cytosolic fraction of *LacZ* dsRNA-treated control. The same blot probed with anti-Lamin served as a control for purity of nuclear and cytosolic fractions. Western blots were quantified using ImageJ software, and data were normalized using *LacZ* dsRNA-treated cells as control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly Strains

The following fly strains were obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC): CCT7^{KG01477} (BDSC: 13446), *CCT7^{KG09501}* (BDSC: 15191), *Pc¹* (*Pc¹/TM3Ser*) (gift from Renato Paro), Pc^{XL5} (Pc^{XL5}/TM3Ser, Sb) (gift from Renato Paro), trx1 (trx1/TM1) (BDSC: 2114), CCT506444 (BDSC: 12315), and CCT5^{K06005} (BDSC: 10393). The fly strains obtained from Vienna Drosophila Resource Center include CCT7KK101540 (VDRC: v108585) and CCT7GD13899 (VDRC: v28895). Moreover, fly strains used for polytene staining of transgenic flies include $P\{w^{+mC}UASp-CCT7-MYC\}$ [generated in-house by injecting] w^{1118} (BDSC: 5905) embryos with $P\{w^{+mC}UASp-CCT7-MYC\}$ construct, and transgenic flies were selected using mini-white gene as selection marker] and pTub-GAL4/Tb [BDSC: 5138 (*{tubP-GAL4}LL7/TM3*, *Sb*[1] *Ser*[1]) balanced with *Tb* balancer]. Additionally, fly strains used for immunostaining of polytene chromosomes after RNAi include CCT7GD13899 (VDRC: v28895) and *P{Sgs3-GAL4.PD}* (BDSC: 6870).

The fly strains used to perform eye-specific knockdown were $CCT7^{KK101540}$ (VDRC: v108585), $CCT7^{GD13899}$ (VDRC: v28895), and *ey-GAL4* (gift from Renato Paro). For immunostaining of homozygous mutant embryos, $CCT7^{KG01477}$ and $CCT7^{KG09501}$ were balanced with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) balancer chromosome.

Antibodies

The antibodies and their dilutions used in this study are follows: mouse anti-Abd-B [Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), 1A2E9] [immunofluorescence (IF) 1:40], rabbit anti-Pc [IF 1:20, Western blotting (WB) 1:4,000] (gift from S. Hirose), mouse anti-Myc (Santa Cruz, 9E10) (IF 1:50, WB 1:1,000), mouse anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma Aldrich, F1804) (ChIP 5 µl, WB 1:1,000), mouse anti-Tubulin (Abcam, ab7291) (WB 1:2,000), mouse anti-RNA pol-II (Abcam, ab5408) (ChIP 2 µl, IF 1:100), mouse anti-Lamin (DSHB, ADL67.10-s) (WB 1:1,000), rabbit anti-CCT7 (Atlas antibodies, HPA008425) (ChIP 8 µl). Secondary antibodies used for WB were goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) H&L [horseradish peroxidase (HRP)] (Abcam, ab6721) and goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (HRP) (Abcam, ab6789) at 1:5,000 dilution. Secondary antibodies for IF were Cy3conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A10520) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A28175) at 1:100 dilution.

Genetic Analysis

Two different alleles each of *CCT7* and *CCT5* were independently crossed with two different *Pc* alleles (Pc^1 and Pc^{XL5}) at 25°C, while w^{1118} flies crossed to *Pc* alleles were used as control. Males in the progeny of these crosses were scored for extra sex comb phenotype as described previously (Tariq et al., 2009). Similarly, both mutants of *CCT7* and w^{1118} were crossed to *trx* mutant allele (trx^1), and males in the progeny of these crosses were analyzed and scored for abdominal pigmentation phenotype as described previously (Ingham and Whittle, 1980; Umer et al., 2019).

Immunohistochemistry

Transgenic flies carrying $P\{w^{+mC}UASp$ -CCT7-Myc} were generated using FemtoJet Microinjector (Eppendorf) following standard protocol (Bienz et al., 1988). These transgenic flies were crossed with pTub-GAL4/Tb driver line to induce the expression of CCT7-Myc. Salivary glands from non-Tb third instar larvae expressing *CCT7-Myc* were isolated, and polytene chromosomes were stained with anti-Myc and anti-Pc antibodies as described previously (Zink and Paro, 1989). Briefly, third instar larvae were dissected to isolate salivary glands. Two pairs of salivary glands were incubated in a drop of 3.7% paraformaldehyde fixation solution for 15 min on a poly-L-lysine-coated slide. After 15 min, the salivary glands were squashed by placing a coverslip on top, and nuclei were broken apart with the help of a sharpened pencil. The slides were splash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and coverslip was snapped off in a single attempt with a razor blade. The slides were then washed twice in $1 \times phosphate$ buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄ at pH 7.4) and once in $1 \times PBS$ + 1% Triton X-100 (PBST) for 10 min each. These polytene chromosomes were incubated in blocking buffer (5% non-fat milk in $1 \times PBS$) in a slide jar for 1 h at room temperature with vigorous shaking. After blocking, slides were rinsed in $1 \times PBS$ solution and incubated with 30 µl of desired primary antibodies in a humid chamber overnight at 4°C. Next day, coverslips were removed by holding the slides in $1 \times PBS$, and slides containing polytenes were washed in blocking solution for 30-40 min at room temperature. These slides were incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies in the dark at room temperature. After 2 h of incubation with secondary antibodies, the slides were washed sequentially with wash buffer 1 (300 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 0.2% Tween20 in 1 \times PBS) first and then with wash buffer 2 (400 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 0.2% Tween20 in 1 × PBS) for 10 min each in aluminum foil-covered slide jar with vigorous shaking. The slides were incubated with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (250 ng/slide) (Sigma Aldrich, D9542) for 20-30 min in

the dark. The coverslips were removed to mount the slides in Fluoromount-G Mounting Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 00-4958). All images for polytene chromosomes were observed at $\times 60$ optical zoom, and images were acquired using Nikon C2 Confocal Microscope. Images were acquired using the same laser settings for the corresponding experimental and control samples in a sequential manner.

For embryonic staining, stage 15 embryos were dechorionated in 3% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 min, and GFP-negative embryos (homozygous for the mutations) were separated under an epifluorescent stereo microscope (Nikon, C-DSS230) followed by immunostaining with Abd-B antibodies following previously described protocol (Mitchison and Sedat, 1983). Briefly, the dechorionated embryos were transferred to 5-ml heptane solution in a vial, and an equal volume of 3.7% formaldehyde solution [in PEM (0.1 M PIPES, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl₂) buffer, pH 6.9 adjusted with KOH] was added, followed by vigorous shaking and incubation at room temperature. After 20 min, the lower formaldehyde layer was removed with the help of a pipette, and 5 ml methanol was added. After shaking vigorously for 15 s, the upper heptane layer was removed along with the embryos that were suspended at the interphase of the two layers. The two-third volume of vial was filled up with methanol and stored overnight at 4°C. On the following day, the embryos were transferred to a 1.5-ml tube and washed twice on an orbital rotor with $1 \times PBS$ to remove methanol. Embryos were permeabilized and incubated in blocking buffer [0.3% Triton X-100 and 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in $1 \times PBS$ for 1 h on an orbital rotor at room temperature. The embryos were then incubated with appropriate primary antibodies overnight at 4°C on an orbital shaker. Next day, primary antibodies were removed with the help of pipette, and embryos were washed for 5 min with 1 \times PBS thrice on a rotor followed by incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, embryos were washed three times with 1 \times PBS and incubated with DAPI solution for 30 min, followed by two washings with $1 \times PBS$ and mounting of embryos on a microscope slide in Fluoromount-G Mounting Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 00-4958). All incubations on the orbital shaker were performed at 30 rpm. All images were acquired using the Nikon C2 Confocal Microscope. All embryos, w^{1118} control and homozygous CCT7 mutants, were exposed to the same laser settings in a sequential manner.

Microscopy

For analyzing loss of abdominal pigmentation, male flies of the desired genotypes were transferred to 70% ethanol to dehydrate. The dehydrated flies were then dissected under Olympus SZ51 stereomicroscope on a dissection slide with the help of fine needle. The abdominal portion of the flies were isolated and rehydrated in water for 5 min. After rehydration, abdomens were dissected for cuticle preparation. A coverslip was placed over the cuticle and observed under Nikon C-DSS230 epifluorescent stereomicroscope at \times 3.5 magnification using white light for imaging. For analyzing the immunostaining data, embryos were observed at \times 20 using Nikon C2 Confocal Microscope. Images were acquired by exposure of embryos to lasers, i.e., DAPI

(excitation 405 nm and emission 447 nm) and Cy3 (excitation 561 nm and emission 785 nm) in sequential manner. All polytene chromosomes were visualized at $\times 60$ magnification using Nikon C2 Confocal Microscope. Images were acquired by exposing to DAPI (excitation 405 nm and emission 447 nm), Alexa 488 (excitation 488 nm and emission 525 nm), and Cy3 (excitation 561 nm and emission 785 nm) in a sequential manner. Nikon NIS Elements image acquisition software (Version: 5.21.00) was utilized for imaging and analysis.

dsRNA Synthesis

Specific regions used for *CCT7* knockdown in cells were selected from *Drosophila* RNAi Screening Center (DRSC), and their primers are detailed in **Supplementary Table 2**. Templates for the preparation of dsRNA were amplified by PCR from cDNA using T7-tailed oligonucleotides as primers. These templates were then used for *in vitro* transcription to synthesize dsRNA by using T7 Megascript kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific, AM1333).

Drosophila Cell Culture

Drosophila S2 cells were cultured in Schneider's *Drosophila* medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11720-034), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10082147) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15140122) at 25°C. *Drosophila* S2 cells adjusted to serum-free growth medium were cultured in Express Five SFM (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10486025) supplemented with 20 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 35050061) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15140122).

Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis

The expression of PcG target genes was analyzed by performing real-time PCR. Briefly, cells were harvested, and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent following the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 15596026). Total RNA was subjected to DNase (TURBO DNA-free Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, AM1907) treatment to get rid of any contaminating genomic DNA. The DNase-treated RNA was then used to make cDNA using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System following the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 18080051). Gene expression was quantified by realtime PCR (Applied Biosystems 7500) using 50 ng of cDNA for each reaction employing Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 4367659). The relative gene expression was calculated as fold enrichment using $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Green and Sambrook, 2018).

Western Blotting

Cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 0.05 M Tris, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with the following protease inhibitors: pepstatin (0.5 μ g/ml), leupeptin (0.5 μ g/ml), aprotinin (0.5 μ g/ml), and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (1 mM). After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm, supernatant

was collected in fresh tubes, mixed with $2 \times$ reducing sample buffer, and boiled at 95°C for 5 min. The proteins were resolved on 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes that were blocked with 5% milk for 30 min before probing with the appropriate antibodies overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies, HRP-conjugated, were used at 1:10,000 dilution, and blots were developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents (GE Healthcare, RPN 2108). The experiments were reproduced thrice.

Generation of Stable Cell Line and Transgenic Flies

To generate vectors expressing tagged proteins, RNA extracted from *Drosophila* S2 cells was used to synthesize cDNA. Primers designed for Gateway Cloning were used to amplify *CCT7* CDS from cDNA that was then cloned into *pENTR/D-TOPO* vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, K240020). LR Clonase reactions (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11791020) were set up with *Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center (DGRC) vectors for both cell culture and fly transformation containing either Myc or FLAG tags to prepare epitope-tagged CCT7. Primer details can be found in **Supplementary Table 2**.

For the generation of stable cell lines, *pMT-FLAG-CCT7* plasmid was transfected into *Drosophila* S2 cells using Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen, 301425). Transfected cells were selected with Hygromycin B (Roche, 10843555001) to a final concentration of 250 μ g/ml. Finally, cells were induced with 500 μ M CuSO₄ for 72 h, and stable cells were confirmed for the expression of FLAG-CCT7 by WB with anti-FLAG antibody.

Fly transformation vector expressing Myc-CCT7, under the control of *UASp*, was used to generate transgenic fly lines by injecting w^{1118} embryos using standard protocol (Bienz et al., 1988). Transgenic flies were confirmed by WB with anti-Myc antibody.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

ChIP was performed as described previously (Tariq et al., 2009) with slight modifications. Briefly, 3×10^7 cells were fixed at room temperature with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. Cross-linking was stopped by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M. Cells were washed with 1 \times PBS and lysed with Buffer A (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.25% Triton X-100, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA) followed by two washes with Buffer B (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA). Cells were sonicated in 300 μ l of sonication buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA) using Bioruptor (Diagenode) at high setting for 15-25 min (30 s ON, 30 s OFF) such that chromatin fragment sizes were between 100 and 500 bp. Sonicated chromatin was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4° C, and the cleared chromatin was stored at -80° C. Chromatin was diluted with 2 × radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 280 mM NaCl, 2%Triton X-100, 0.2% SDS, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate) and precleared by incubating with DYNA beads (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 4°C with 20 rpm rotation. Precleared chromatin was

incubated with the appropriate antibody overnight at 4°C on an orbital shaker with 20 rpm. Immunocomplexes were pulled down with DYNA beads. The beads were washed five times with 1 × RIPA, once with LiCl buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) and twice with $1 \times \text{TE}$ (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Chromatin was eluted by incubating beads at 65°C with 500 µl of freshly made elution buffer (0.1 M sodium bicarbonate, 1% SDS) for 15 min. Reverse cross-linking of chromatin was carried out overnight with NaCl at 65°C followed by Proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 25530049) treatment for 2 h. Reverse cross-linked chromatin was extracted using phenolchloroform followed by ethanol precipitation. All buffers were supplemented with PMSF (Sigma Aldrich, P7626), aprotinin (Sigma Aldrich, 9087-70-1), leupeptin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, AAJ18413LB0), and pepstatin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20037) protease inhibitors.

ChIP was performed from stable cell lines expressing FLAG-CCT7 or empty vector (EV) induced with 500 µM CuSO₄ for 72 h. Purified ChIP DNA from each reaction was quantified using quantitative real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems Inc.). Chromatin enrichment was calculated as fold enrichment over input using $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Tariq et al., 2003). For ChIP after knockdown of CCT7, cells were treated with 10 µg/ml of dsRNA for 5 days. Knockdown was confirmed using real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems Inc.). ChIP was then performed with 1×10^7 cells with appropriate antibodies, and ChIP DNA was quantified using real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems Inc.). Chromatin enrichment, for ChIP after knockdown of CCT7, was calculated as fold enrichment over input using $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method as described previously (Tariq et al., 2003). All the ChIP experiments were performed in duplicate. Primers used in ChIP analysis can be found in Supplementary Table 2. Primer locations are depicted in Supplementary Figure 2C.

For ChIP from embryos, 2-4-h-old embryos were sonicated as described previously (Bonnet et al., 2019). Briefly, embryos were washed and dechorionated using sodium hypochlorite for 40-60 s. The dechorionated embryos were washed extensively with water and 1 \times PBST (0.1% Triton X-100 in 1 \times PBS) and cross-linked for 15 min with 1% formaldehyde solution (made in heptane). Next, 0.125 M glycine was used to stop the cross-linking. Fixed embryos were washed twice with PBST for 10 min each. After washing, the embryos 1 × were transferred to a 1.5-ml tube and flash-frozen in liquid N2 followed by storage at -80° C. Next day, the frozen embryos were placed in ice to thaw and resuspended in 1 ml of ice-cold 1 × PBST supplemented with protease inhibitors, i.e., pepstatin (0.5 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20037), leupeptin (0.5 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, AAJ18413LB0), aprotinin (0.5 µg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, 9087-70-1), and PMSF (1 mM) (Sigma Aldrich, P7626). Embryos were homogenized using Dounce homogenizer (KIMBLE KONTES Dounce Tissue Grinder, 885300-0015) and transferred into 15-ml tube. After centrifugation at 400 g for 1 min at 4°C, the debris was removed. The supernatant was transferred into a fresh 15-ml tube and centrifuged at 1,100g for 10 min to pellet down the nuclei. The supernatant was discarded, and pellet was resuspended

in ice-cold lysis buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors described above. The cells were homogenized using Dounce homogenizer on ice by applying 20 strokes. The homogenate was centrifuged at 2,000g for 4 min at 4°C, and nuclear pellet was resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold nuclear lysis buffer (10 mM EDTA, 0.5% N-lauroylsarcosine, 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.0) containing protease inhibitors and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. After incubation, 1 ml of ice-cold nuclear lysis buffer was added and aliquoted into 1.5-ml microfuge tubes. The chromatin was subjected to sonication for 22 cycles (30 s ON/ 30 s OFF) using Bioruptor (Diagenode). The sonicated chromatin was collected for performing ChIP as described above with appropriate antibodies.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) was performed on FLAG-CCT7-expressing stable cells, and EV cells induced for 72 h were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM Na₃VO₄, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with the following protease inhibitors: pepstatin (0.5 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 20037), leupeptin (0.5 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, AAJ18413LB0), aprotinin (0.5 µg/ml) (Sigma Aldrich, 9087-70-1), and PMSF (1 mM) (Sigma Aldrich, P7626). Lysis was carried out on ice for 10 min followed by sonication (three cycles each of 5 s ON and 10 s OFF) to shear the DNA. The lysate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min, and supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube. The samples were quantified by Bradford reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and equal proteins were taken as immunoprecipitated (IP) samples from both FLAG-CCT7 and EV cells. Here, 1% of the total lysates were used as input samples. The lysates were then incubated with anti-Pc antibody at 4°C with 20 rpm rotation on an orbital shaker. After 2 h of incubation, the immune complexes were incubated with DYNA beads (Invitrogen) for 4 h at 4°C with 20 rpm rotation. The beads were then washed thrice with lysis buffer for 5 min each to remove the unbound proteins. Finally, the IP samples together with their respective input samples were resuspended in $2 \times SDS$ loading dye, boiled at 95°C for 5 min, and analyzed on a Western blot with respective antibodies. The experiment was performed thrice, and the results were consistent.

Analysis of Nuclear and Cytosolic Fractions

Cells were harvested and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C followed by lysis in Buffer A (pH 7.9, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% NP-40 supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors) for 15 min on ice. After mixing gently on a vortex for 30 s, it was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected in a fresh tube as cytosolic fraction, and the pellet was resuspended in $2\times$ SDS loading buffer and labeled as nuclear fraction. Nuclear and cytosolic fractions isolated from both CCT7-depleted and control cells were analyzed on Western blot with appropriate antibodies, and the experiment was performed thrice.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NS, JA, ZU, and MT designed the research and wrote the manuscript. NS, JA, MK, MB, and MS performed the research. NS, JA, AF, and MT analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan [5908/Punjab/NRPU/HEC] and Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Faculty Initiative Fund (FIF) [LUMS FIF 165, FIF 530].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Susumu Hirose at National Institute of Genetics (NIG) Japan for sharing anti-Pc antibody. We also thank Renato Paro for the fly strains used in this study. We also thank Muhammad Sabieh Anwar for providing access to and guidance with imaging of eye phenotypes using Scanning Electron Microscope facility at Syed Babar Ali School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2021. 727972/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | *CCT7* and *CCT5* mutants enhance extra sex comb phenotype of *Pc*. (**A–D**) *CCT7^{KG09501}* and *CCT5^{K06005}* mutants were crossed to two different *Pc* (*Pc*¹ and *Pc*^{XL5}) alleles and double mutant (*CCT7/Pc and CCT5;Pc*) male flies in the progeny were scored for extra sex comb phenotype. Heterozygous male flies for *Pc* (+/*Pc*) from the cross of *w*¹¹¹⁸ with *Pc* alleles were used as control. *CCT7^{KG09501}* mutation enhanced the extra sex comb phenotype of both *Pc*¹ and *Pc*^{XL5} in double mutant *CCT7^{KG09501}/Pc*¹ (**A**) and *CCT7^{KG09501}/Pc*^{XL5} (**B**) as compared to control. Similarly, *CCT5^{K06005}* mutant showed increase in the extra sex comb phenotype in double mutant *CCT5^{K06005}; Pc*^{XL5} (**D**) progeny as compared to control. Severity of phenotype and statistical analysis was performed as described in **Figure 1**.

Supplementary Figure 2 Western blot confirms the expression of epitope-tagged *CCT7* transgene. **(A)** Western blot showed Myc-tagged-CCT7 specifically detected in larval extracts where the expression of *UAS-Myc-CCT7* was induced by crossing with *pTub-GAL4* (+) driver line as compared to control (–). **(B)** Western blot showed the expression of FLAG-CCT7 in stable cells induced with 500μ M CuSO₄ (+) as compared to un-induced control (–).

Tubulin was used as loading control. (C) Schematic of the DNA regions amplified using specific primers, represented as blue lines, for real time PCR analysis of ChIP DNA. (D) Ponceau stained blot corresponding to the Co-IP performed from *Drosophila* cells (Figure 3F).

REFERENCES

- Anderson, M., Fair, K., Amero, S., Nelson, S., Harte, P. J., and Diaz, M. O. (2002). A new family of cyclophilins with an RNA recognition motif that interact with members of the trx/MLL protein family in *Drosophila* and human cells. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 212, 107–113. doi: 10.1007/s00427-002-0213-8
- Antonova, S. V., Haffke, M., Corradini, E., Mikuciunas, M., Low, T. Y., Signor, L., et al. (2018). Chaperonin CCT checkpoint function in basal transcription factor TFIID assembly. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 25, 1119–1127. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0156-z
- Banks, C. A. S., Miah, S., Adams, M. K., Eubanks, C. G., Thornton, J. L., Florens, L., and Washburn, M. P. (2018). Differential HDAC1/2 network analysis reveals a role for prefoldin/CCT in HDAC1/2 complex assembly. *Sci. Rep.* 8:13712. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-32009-w
- Beuchle, D., Struhl, G., and Müller, J. (2001). Polycomb group proteins and heritable silencing of *Drosophila* Hox genes. *Development* 128, 993–1004. doi: 10.1242/dev.128.6.993
- Bienz, M., Saari, G., Tremml, G., Müller, J., Züst, B., and Lawrence, P. A. (1988). Differential regulation of Ultrabithorax in two germ layers of *Drosophila. Cell* 53, 567–576. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(88)90573-9
- Bonnet, J., Lindeboom, R. G. H., Pokrovsky, D., Stricker, G., Çelik, M. H., Rupp, R. A. W., et al. (2019). Quantification of proteins and histone marks in drosophila embryos reveals stoichiometric relationships impacting chromatin regulation. *Dev. Cell* 51, 632–644.e6.
- Brand, M., Nakka, K., Zhu, J., and Dilworth, F. J. (2019). Polycomb/Trithorax antagonism: cellular memory in stem cell fate and function. *Cell Stem Cell* 24, 518–533. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2019.03.005
- Breiling, A., Turner, B. M., Bianchi, M. E., and Orlando, V. (2001). General transcription factors bind promoters repressed by Polycomb group proteins. *Nature* 412, 651–655. doi: 10.1038/35088090
- Camasses, A., Bogdanova, A., Shevchenko, A., and Zachariae, W. (2003). The CCT chaperonin promotes activation of the anaphase-promoting complex through the generation of functional Cdc20. *Mol. Cell* 12, 87–100. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00244-2
- Cao, R., Wang, L., Wang, H., Xia, L., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., et al. (2002). Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in Polycomb-group silencing. *Science* 298, 1039–1043. doi: 10.1126/science.1076997
- Cavalli, G., and Paro, R. (1999). Epigenetic inheritance of active chromatin after removal of the main transactivator. *Science* 286, 955–958. doi: 10.1126/science. 286.5441.955
- Celniker, S. E., Keelan, D. J., and Lewis, E. B. (1989). The molecular genetics of the bithorax complex of *Drosophila*: characterization of the products of the abdominal-B domain. *Genes Dev.* 3, 1424–1436. doi: 10.1101/gad.3.9.1424
- Chen, Y. (2011). The Immunophilin FKBP39 Regulates Polycomb Group Mediated Epigenetic Control in Drosophila melanogaster. Ph.D. thesis. Zürich: ETH Zurich. doi: 10.3929/ethz-a-006875876
- Condelli, V., Crispo, F., Pietrafesa, M., Lettini, G., Matassa, D. S., Esposito, F., et al. (2019). HSP90 molecular chaperones, metabolic rewiring, and epigenetics: impact on tumor progression and perspective for anticancer therapy. *Cells* 8:532. doi: 10.3390/cells8060532
- Czermin, B., Melfi, R., McCabe, D., Seitz, V., Imhof, A., and Pirrotta, V. (2002). *Drosophila* enhancer of Zeste/ESC complexes have a histone H3 methyltransferase activity that marks chromosomal Polycomb sites. *Cell* 111, 185–196. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00975-3
- Decamillis, M., Cheng, N., Pierre, D., and Brock, H. W. (1992). The polyhomeotic gene of *Drosophila* encodes a chromatin protein that shares polytene chromosome-binding sites with Polycomb. *Genes Dev.* 6, 223–232. doi: 10. 1101/gad.6.2.223
- Dellino, G. I., Schwartz, Y. B., Farkas, G., McCabe, D., Elgin, S. C., and Pirrotta, V. (2004). Polycomb silencing blocks transcription initiation. *Mol. Cell* 13, 887–893. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(04)00128-5

Supplementary Table 1 | Percentages of extra sex comb flies scored in different categories corresponding to Figures 1A–D and Supplementary Figures 1A–D.

Supplementary Table 2 | List of primers used in this study.

- Delorenzi, M., and Bienz, M. (1990). Expression of abdominal-B homeoproteins in Drosophila embryos. Development 108, 323–329. doi: 10.1242/dev.108.2.323
- Dunn, A. Y., Melville, M. W., and Frydman, J. (2001). Review: cellular substrates of the eukaryotic chaperonin TRiC/CCT. J. Struct. Biol. 135, 176–184. doi: 10.1006/jsbi.2001.4380
- Enderle, D., Beisel, C., Stadler, M. B., Gerstung, M., Athri, P., and Paro, R. (2011). Polycomb preferentially targets stalled promoters of coding and noncoding transcripts. *Genome Res.* 21, 216–226. doi: 10.1101/gr.114348.110
- Francis, N. J., Saurin, A. J., Shao, Z., and Kingston, R. E. (2001). Reconstitution of a functional core Polycomb repressive complex. *Mol. Cell* 8, 545–556. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(01)00316-1
- Gestaut, D., Limatola, A., Joachimiak, L., and Frydman, J. (2019). The ATPpowered gymnastics of TRiC/CCT: an asymmetric protein folding machine with a symmetric origin story. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 55, 50–58. doi: 10.1016/ j.sbi.2019.03.002
- Gibson, G., and Gehring, W. J. (1988). Head and thoracic transformations caused by ectopic expression of *Antennapedia* during *Drosophila* development. *Development* 102, 657–675. doi: 10.1242/dev.102.4.657
- Green, M. R., and Sambrook, J. (2018). Analysis and normalization of real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) experimental data. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2018, 769–777.
- Guenther, M. G., Yu, J., Kao, G. D., Yen, T. J., and Lazar, M. A. (2002). Assembly of the SMRT-histone deacetylase 3 repression complex requires the TCP-1 ring complex. *Genes Dev.* 16, 3130–3135. doi: 10.1101/gad.1037502
- Ingham, P., and Whittle, R. (1980). Trithorax: a new homoeotic mutation of Drosophila melanogaster causing transformations of abdominal and thoracic imaginal segments - I. Putative role during embryogenesis. MGG Mol. Gen. Genet. 179, 607–614. doi: 10.1007/BF00271751
- Kaisari, S., Sitry-Shevah, D., Miniowitz-Shemtov, S., Teichner, A., and Hershko, A. (2017). Role of CCT chaperonin in the disassembly of mitotic checkpoint complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 956–961. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1620451114
- Kassis, J. A., Kennison, J. A., and Tamkun, J. W. (2017). Polycomb and trithorax group genes in *Drosophila. Genetics* 206, 1699–1725. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115. 185116
- Kim, A. R., and Choi, K. W. (2019). TRiC/CCT chaperonins are essential for organ growth by interacting with insulin/TOR signaling in *Drosophila*. Oncogene 38, 4739–4754. doi: 10.1038/s41388-019-0754-1
- Klymenko, T., and Jürg, M. (2004). The histone methyltransferases trithorax and ash1 prevent transcriptional silencing by Polycomb group proteins. *EMBO Rep.* 5, 373–377. doi: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400111
- Kuroda, M. I., Kang, H., De, S., and Kassis, J. A. (2020). Dynamic competition of Polycomb and trithorax in transcriptional programming. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 89, 235–253. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-120219-103641
- Laskar, S., Bhattacharyya, M. K., Shankar, R., and Bhattacharyya, S. (2011). HSP90 controls SIR2 mediated gene silencing. *PLoS One* 6:e23406. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0023406
- Lis, J. (1998). Promoter-associated pausing in promoter architecture and postinitiation transcriptional regulation. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 63, 347–356. doi: 10.1101/sqb.1998.63.347
- Mitchison, T. J., and Sedat, J. (1983). Localization of antigenic determinants in whole *Drosophila* embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 99, 261–264. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(83) 90275-0
- Mollaaghababa, R., Sipos, L., Tiong, S. Y., Papoulas, O., Armstrong, J. A., Tamkun, J. W., et al. (2001). Mutations in *Drosophila* heat shock cognate 4 are enhancers of Polycomb. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 3958–3963. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 061497798
- Müller, J., Hart, C. M., Francis, N. J., Vargas, M. L., Sengupta, A., Wild, B., et al. (2002). Histone methyltransferase activity of a *Drosophila* Polycomb group repressor complex. *Cell* 111, 197–208. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00976-5

- Nelson, C. J., Santos-Rosa, H., and Kouzarides, T. (2006). Proline isomerization of histone H3 regulates lysine methylation and gene expression. *Cell* 126, 905–916. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.026
- Okazaki, K., Kato, H., Iida, T., Shinmyozu, K., Nakayama, J. I., Murakami, Y., et al. (2018). RNAi-dependent heterochromatin assembly in fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* requires heat-shock molecular chaperones Hsp90 and Mas5. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 11:26. doi: 10.1186/s13072-018-0199-8
- Papp, B., and Müller, J. (2006). Histone trimethylation and the maintenance of transcriptional ON and OFF states by trxG and PcG proteins. *Genes Dev.* 20, 2041–2054. doi: 10.1101/gad.388706
- Pattatucci, A. M., and Kaufman, T. C. (1991). The homeotic gene sex combs reduced of *Drosophila melanogaster* is differentially regulated in the embryonic and imaginal stages of development. *Genetics* 129, 443–461. doi: 10.1093/ genetics/129.2.443
- Plaza, S., Prince, F., Jaeger, J., Kloter, U., Flister, S., Benassayag, C., et al. (2001). Molecular basis for the inhibition of *Drosophila* eye development by *Antennapedia*. *EMBO J.* 20, 802–811. doi: 10.1093/emboj/20.4.802
- Rastelli, L., Chan, C. S., and Pirrotta, V. (1993). Related chromosome binding sites for zeste, suppressors of zeste and Polycomb group proteins in *Drosophila* and their dependence on enhancer of zeste function. *EMBO J.* 12, 1513–1522. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1993.tb05795.x
- Riley, P. D., Carroll, S. B., and Scott, M. P. (1987). The expression and regulation of Sex combs reduced protein in *Drosophila* embryos. *Genes Dev.* 1, 716–730. doi: 10.1101/gad.1.7.716
- Roobol, A., Roobol, J., Carden, M. J., Smith, M. E., Hershey, J. W., Bastide, A., et al. (2014). The chaperonin CCT interacts with and mediates the correct folding and activity of three subunits of translation initiation factor eIF3: b, i and h. *Biochem. J.* 458, 213–224. doi: 10.1042/bj20130979
- Sawarkar, R., and Paro, R. (2013). Hsp90@chromatin.nucleus: an emerging hub of a networker. Trends Cell Biol. 23, 193–201. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2012.11.007
- Sawarkar, R., Sievers, C., and Paro, R. (2012). Hsp90 globally targets paused RNA polymerase to regulate gene expression in response to environmental stimuli. *Cell* 49, 807–818. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.061
- Schuettengruber, B., Ganapathi, M., Leblanc, B., Portoso, M., Jaschek, R., Tolhuis, B., et al. (2009). Functional anatomy of Polycomb and trithorax chromatin landscapes in *Drosophila* embryos. *PLoS Biol.* 7:e13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio. 1000013
- Schwartz, Y. B., Kahn, T. G., Stenberg, P., Ohno, K., Bourgon, R., and Pirrotta, V. (2010). Alternative epigenetic chromatin states of Polycomb target genes. *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1000805. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.10 00805
- Simon, J., Chiang, A., and Bender, W. (1992). Ten different Polycomb group genes are required for spatial control of the abdA and AbdB homeotic products. *Development* 114, 493–505. doi: 10.1242/dev.114.2.493
- Sollars, V., Lu, X., Xiao, L., Wang, X., Garfinkel, M. D., and Ruden, D. M. (2003). Evidence for an epigenetic mechanism by which Hsp90 acts as a capacitor for morphological evolution. *Nat. Genet.* 33, 70–74. doi: 10.1038/ng1067
- Sternlicht, H., Farr, G. W., Sternlicht, M. L., Driscoll, J. K., Willison, K., and Yaffe, M. B. (1993). The t-complex polypeptide 1 complex is a chaperonin for tubulin and actin in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 90, 9422–9426. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.20.9422

- Sun, L., Liu, X. M., Li, W. Z., Yi, Y. Y., He, X., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). The molecular chaperone Hsp90 regulates heterochromatin assembly through stabilizing multiple complexes in fission yeast. J. Cell Sci. 133:jcs244863. doi: 10.1242/ics.244863
- Tariq, M., Nussbaumer, U., Chen, Y., Beisel, C., and Paro, R. (2009). Trithorax requires Hsp90 for maintenance of active chromatin at sites of gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 1157–1162. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0809669106
- Tariq, M., Saze, H., Probst, A. V., Lichota, J., Habu, Y., and Paszkowski, J. (2003). Erasure of CpG methylation in *Arabidopsis* alters patterns of histone H3 methylation in heterochromatin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 8823–8827.
- Umer, Z., Akhtar, J., Khan, M. H. F., Shaheen, N., Haseeb, M. A., Mazhar, K., et al. (2019). Genome-wide RNAi screen in *Drosophila* reveals Enok as a novel trithorax group regulator. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 12:55. doi: 10.1186/s13072-019-0301-x
- Wang, H., Wang, L., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Vidal, M., Tempst, P., Jones, R. S., et al. (2004). Role of histone H2A ubiquitination in Polycomb silencing. *Nature* 431, 873–878. doi: 10.1038/nature02985
- Wang, Y. J., and Brock, H. W. (2003). Polyhomeotic stably associates with molecular chaperones Hsc4 and Droj2 in *Drosophila* Kc1 cells. *Dev. Biol.* 262, 350–360. doi: 10.1016/S0012-1606(03)00396-8
- Wells, C. A., Dingus, J., and Hildebrandt, J. D. (2006). Role of the chaperonin CCT/TRiC complex in G protein $\beta\gamma$ -dimer assembly. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 20221–20232. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M602409200
- Won, K.-A., Schumacher, R. J., Farr, G. W., Horwich, A. L., and Reed, S. I. (1998). Maturation of human cyclin E requires the function of eukaryotic chaperonin CCT. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 7584–7589. doi: 10.1128/mcb.18.12. 7584
- Zhu, J., Ordway, A. J., Weber, L., Buddika, K., and Kumar, J. P. (2018). Polycomb group (PcG) proteins and Pax6 cooperate to inhibit in vivo reprogramming of the developing *Drosophila* eye. *Development* 145:dev160754. doi: 10.1242/dev. 160754
- Zink, B., and Paro, R. (1989). In vivo binding pattern of a trans-regulator of homoeotic genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature* 337, 468–471.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Shaheen, Akhtar, Umer, Khan, Bakhtiari, Saleem, Faisal and Tariq. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.