



Ruvbl2 Suppresses Cardiomyocyte Proliferation During Zebrafish Heart Development and Regeneration

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Massimo Mattia Santoro, University of Padua, Italy

Reviewed by:

Jeroen Den Hertog, Hubrecht Institute (KNAW), Netherlands Dimitris Beis, Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens (BRFAA), Greece

*Correspondence:

C. Geoffrey Burns geoff.burns@childrens.harvard.edu Caroline E. Burns caroline.burns@ childrens.harvard.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cell Growth and Division, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 23 October 2021 Accepted: 06 January 2022 Published: 01 February 2022

Citation:

Sharpe M, González-Rosa JM, Wranitz F, Jeffrey S, Copenhaver K, Burns CG and Burns CE (2022) Ruvbl2 Suppresses Cardiomyocyte Proliferation During Zebrafish Heart Development and Regeneration. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:800594. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.800594 Michka Sharpe^{1,2,3†}, Juan Manuel González-Rosa^{1,2,3†}, Felicia Wranitz^{1,3}, Spencer Jeffrey², Katherine Copenhaver^{1,2}, C. Geoffrey Burns^{1,2,3,4†} and Caroline E. Burns^{1,2,3,4,‡}

¹Division of Basic and Translational Cardiovascular Research, Department of Cardiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States, ²Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA, United States, ³Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ⁴Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge, MA, United States

Cardiomyocyte proliferation is an important source of new myocardium during heart development and regeneration. Consequently, mutations in drivers of cardiomyocyte proliferation cause congenital heart disease, and infarcted human hearts scar because cardiomyocytes exit the cell cycle postnatally. To boost cardiomyocyte proliferation in either setting, critical regulators must be identified. Through an ENU screen in zebrafish, the liebeskummer (lik) mutant was isolated and described as having elevated cardiomyocyte numbers during embryogenesis. The lik mutation results in a three amino acid insertion into Ruvbl2, a highly conserved ATPase. Because both gain- and loss-of-function properties have been described for ruvbl2^{lik}, it remains unclear whether Ruvbl2 positively or negatively regulates cardiomyocyte proliferation. Here, we demonstrate that Ruvbl2 is a suppressor of cardiomyocyte proliferation during zebrafish heart development and regeneration. First, we confirmed speculation that augmented cardiomyocyte numbers in *ruvbl2^{lik/lik}* hearts arise by hyperproliferation. To characterize bona fide ruvbl2 null animals, we created a ruvbl2 locus deletion allele $(ruvbl2^{\Delta})$. Like $ruvbl2^{lik/lik}$ mutants, $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ and compound heterozygote $ruvbl2^{lik/\Delta}$ animals display ventricular hyperplasia, demonstrating that lik is a loss of function allele and that ruvbl2 represses cardiomyocyte proliferation. This activity is autonomous because constitutive myocardial overexpression of Ruvbl2 is sufficient to suppress cardiomyocyte proliferation in control hearts and rescue the hyperproliferation observed in $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutant hearts. Lastly, heat-shock inducible overexpression of Ruvbl2 suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation during heart regeneration and leads to scarring. Together, our data demonstrate that Ruvbl2 functions autonomously as a suppressor of cardiomyocyte proliferation during both zebrafish heart development and adult heart regeneration.

Keywords: cardiomyocyte proliferation, heart development, heart regeneration, reptin/RUVBL2, zebrafish, epigenetics, chromatin remodeling

INTRODUCTION

The mammalian heart forms through sequential waves of cardiomyocyte differentiation from specialized cardiac progenitor cells (Leone et al., 2015). Subsequently, cardiomyocytes proliferate to sustain heart growth until the first postnatal week of life when they exit the cell cycle and enlarge by hypertrophy. This relatively abrupt transition correlates with a loss of regenerative capacity (González-Rosa et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2021). Much like the mammalian heart, the zebrafish heart forms through successive waves of cardiac progenitor cell differentiation that is complete by 48 h postfertilization (hpf) (de Pater et al., 2009; Hami et al., 2011; Lazic and Scott, 2011; Zhou et al., 2011). Subsequently, the heart grows by cardiomyocyte proliferation (Choi et al., 2013). Unlike mammalian cardiomyocytes, zebrafish cardiomyocytes remain proliferative throughout life. In fact, the zebrafish heart completely regenerates lost or damaged myocardium during adulthood through robust cardiomyocyte proliferation (Pronobis and Poss, 2020). As such, the zebrafish serves as an excellent model for genetic dissection of cardiomyocyte proliferation, which could offer new therapeutic inroads for treating congenital heart disease or myocardial infarction.

Through a forward genetic screen, a recessive mutation called *liebeskummer* (*lik*) was recovered that affects heart growth during zebrafish embryogenesis (Rottbauer et al., 2002). Although *lik* mutant hearts appear qualitatively normal at 48 hpf based on whole mount *in situ* hybridization for the myocardial-specific transcript *cardiac myosin light chain 2* (*cmlc2*; also known as *myl7*), they appear enlarged by 72 hpf. Quantitative assessments were performed by counting nuclei in serial histological sections where an increase in cardiomyocyte numbers was observed in 72 hpf *lik* mutant ventricles. Although this increase was attributed to cardiomyocyte hyperplasia based on the timing of phenotype emergence, proliferation was never formally assessed.

The *lik* mutation was cloned and localized to the locus encoding Ruvbl2 (also called Reptin) (Rottbauer et al., 2002), a highly conserved ATPase in the AAA+ superfamily that functions in a wide array of biological processes including transcriptional repression and chromatin remodeling (Osaki et al., 2013). The protein structure of Ruvbl2 contains three domains including an N-terminal ATPase with Walker A and B binding motifs (Domain I), an alpha-helical domain (Domain II), and a winged helix domain predicted to bind DNA (Domain III) (Rottbauer et al., 2002). The *lik* mutation creates a novel splice acceptor site that results in a wildtype protein with 3 additional amino acids inserted into the presumptive DNA binding domain.

The functional consequence of these additional residues remains unclear due to the opposing activities of Ruvbl2^{lik} under different experimental conditions. In support of a gainof-function protein, Ruvbl2^{lik} showed heightened ATPase activity in an *in vitro* biochemical assay, the ability to expand cardiomyocyte clones when overexpressed in mosaic zebrafish hearts, and enhanced transcriptional repression in luciferase reporter assays (Rottbauer et al., 2002). Conceptually, an increase in Ruvbl2^{lik} activity that augments cardiomyocyte proliferation is consistent with the established oncogenic role of Ruvbl2 in a variety of cancers where it is significantly overexpressed (Mao and Houry, 2017).

By contrast, genetic experiments in yeast support a loss-offunction activity that is consistent with the recessive nature of the *lik* allele in zebrafish. Specifically, yeast lacking the Ruvbl2 ortholog, RuvB (Δ yRuvB), fail to grow (Rottbauer et al., 2002). This deficiency can be rescued by expression of wildtype yRuvB, but not by yRuvB containing the analogous *lik* mutation (yRuvB^{lik}). This outcome demonstrates that the *lik* mutation disrupts an essential function of the RuvB protein. Lastly, biochemical purification of tagged versions of Ruvbl2 and Ruvbl2^{lik} from cell extracts revealed that the *lik* mutation disrupts normal protein-protein interactions and results in the formation of aberrant high molecular weight complexes (Rottbauer et al., 2002). Therefore, Ruvbl2^{lik} might form novel protein associations *in vivo* that confer neomorphic properties.

Because of the functional discrepancies that are associated with the *lik* allele, no concrete conclusion has been reached regarding whether endogenous Ruvbl2 promotes or suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation in the context of developmental heart growth. Moreover, studies designed to address potential roles for Ruvbl2 in regulating cardiomyocyte proliferation during adult heart regeneration following cardiac injury have not been performed. Here, we address these gaps in our knowledge using standard proliferation assays, new genetic strains, and the adult cryoinjury regeneration model. Together, our data demonstrate that Ruvbl2 functions autonomously to repress cardiomyocyte proliferation in the context of developmental heart growth and adult heart regeneration.

METHODS

Zebrafish Husbandry

Zebrafish were grown and maintained according to animal protocols approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital and Boston Children's Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). For adult heart regeneration experiments, animals of approximately equal sex ratios aged 4–18 months were used. Adult densities were maintained at 3–4 fish per liter. Water temperatures were maintained at 28°C except during heat shock treatments. Published strains used in this study include $Tg(cmlc2:nls-GFP)^{fb18}$ (González-Rosa et al., 2018), $Tg(fli1a:nEGFP)^{y7}$ (Roman et al., 2002), $ruvbl2^{fw039k}$ liebeskummer (lik) (Rottbauer et al., 2002) and wild type (TuAB). Details regarding the creation of new strains described in this study are below with sequence information available upon request.

Isolation of Genomic DNA From Zebrafish Fins for Genotyping

Fins were clipped from adult zebrafish and DNA extracted through alkaline lysis. Specifically, fins were placed in a 96-well plate containing 50 μ L of lysis solution (2N NaOH, 0.05M EDTA) and boiled for 20 min to 1 h in a Thermocycler at 95°C.

The lysis solution was neutralized with 50 μ L of 1M Tris[·]HCl (pH 5.0). PCR was performed using 2 μ L of the lysis solution containing genomic DNA and allele-specific primers (see below).

Detection of the *ruvbl2^{lik}* Allele

To identify the *ruvbl2^{lik}* allele, primers (Forward primer, 5'-GCC AAACCTCATTATTCAGGCTTTCATGTGCTTAAATTGTTA AATGACCTCATAATGTCATATTTCAG-3'; Reverse primer, 5'-GAAGTTCCCCCTCTGGACACTGCACAAACTGCG-3') were generated that produce an amplicon of 309 bp. No fragment is produced from the wild-type allele. The PCR program used was as follows: 95°C for 5 min (1X), 95°C for 30 s, 65°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min (34X), 72°C for 10 min (1X).

Generation and Detection of the *ruvbl2* Locus Deletion (Δ) Allele

Guide RNAs targeting the sequence 5'-TGAGTTTCCTGCTGA GCCACC-3' upstream of the 5' UTR and 5'-TGACAATCAGTG GCTTGAGGGT-3' downstream of the 3'UTR of the ~12 kilobase rubvl2 locus were generated and co-injected with Cas9 protein into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos as described (Jao et al., 2013). To detect the Δ allele, primers outside of the guide RNA cut sites (forward primer, 5'-TCC AGAACTCATGTAGACGGT-3'; reverse primer, 5'- GTGGCT TGAGGGTCATGAGA-3') were used to yield a mutant amplicon of 266 base pairs. No fragment is produced from the wild-type allele due to the length of the intervening sequence. To detect the wild-type allele, a forward primer spanning the intron4/exon5 junction (forward primer, 5'- ATGTCCAGGTATTGCCCA GTC-3') and a reverse primer in intron 6 (reverse primer, 5'-ACCAGCAGAAGCCTAATAGAGAA-3') were used to generate an amplicon of 601 base pairs. No fragment is produced from the locus deletion allele as the target sequence is missing. The PCR program used was as follows: 94°C for 3 min (1X), 94°C for 30 s, 52°C for 40 s, 72°C for 40 s (34X), 72°C for 5 min (1X). The allele designation is chb8.

Generation of the *Tg(cmlc2:ruvbl2*) Transgenic Line

To generate the Tg (*cmlc2:EGFP-P2A-ruvbl2*) transgenic line, Gibson assembly cloning was used to generate the following construct: 1) 0.9 kb of the *myl7* promoter to drive cardiomyocyte-specific expression of 2) a bicistronic cassette encoding a nuclear localized enhanced green fluorescent protein (*nlsEGFP*) and zebrafish full-length *ruvbl2* 3) separated by a viral P2A sequence (Kim et al., 2011) and followed by 4) a polyadenylation sequence. Tol2 sites flanked the entire construct to facilitate transgenesis. The official name of this line is Tg(*cmlc2:nlsEGFP-P2A-ruvbl2*), and the allele designation is *chb9*.

Generation of Tg(hsp70l:ruvbl2) Line

To generate the Tg(hsp70l:3XHA-ruvbl2) transgenic line, Gibson Assembly was performed to generate the following construct: 1) ~1.5 kb of the *hsp70l* promoter (Kwan et al., 2007) was used to drive expression of 2) three copies of the HA tag fused in-frame to

the 5' end of zebrafish *ruvbl2* (3XHA-*ruvbl2*) followed by a 3) *Xenopus* β -globin 3' UTR, and 4) bGH polyadenylation signal. To fluorescently label transgenic embryos, the EF1 α promoter was cloned upstream of GFP in the opposite orientation of the heat-shock cassette. Tol2 sites flanked the entire construct. The official name of this line *is Tg* (*hsp70l:3xHA-ruvbl2, eef1a1l1:EGFP*), and the allele designation is *chb10*.

Imaging

Live zebrafish embryos were imaged on a Nikon 80i Compound Microscope with Retiga 2000R high-speed charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (QImaging) and NIS-Elements advanced research image acquisition and analysis system (Nikon Instruments). The resulting images were focused stacked with Zerene Stacker Software (Build T201412212230). Following immunostaining, fixed zebrafish embryos were embedded in 0.9% low-melt agarose (Lonza, USA) in glass bottom dishes (MatTek) and covered with PBSTw (PBS with 0.1% Tween) prior to imaging on a Nikon Eclipse A1 confocal microscope with a 40x Nikon Plan Apo water objective. Images were analyzed with Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012).

BrdU Proliferation Assay

BrdU labelling and immunostaining was performed as previously described (Jahangiri et al., 2016). Specifically, embryos were incubated in 5 mg/ml 5-Bromo-2'deoxyuridine (BrdU), 1% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in E3 from 48 to 72 hpf at 28°C, rinsed three times in PBST and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed embryos were processed as described (Jahangiri et al., 2016) and immunostained with anti-MF20 and anti-BrdU (see below). Embryos were mounted and imaged by confocal microscopy. A myocardial proliferation index (MF20+; BrdU+ cell number divided by the total MF20+ cell number) was calculated for each embryo.

RNAscope Expression Analysis

For whole mount *in situ* hybridization, 72 hpf embryos were fixed for 4 h in 4% PFA, washed with PBSTw and dehydrated in methanol at 20°C overnight. Whole mount fluorescent *in situ* hybridization was performed utilizing RNAscope (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) as previously described (Guner-Ataman et al., 2018). RNAscope riboprobes to *ruvbl2* were hybridized overnight at 40°C. Following the final labeling reaction, embryos were incubated with DAPI solution overnight at 4°C to visualize nuclei. Embryos were imaged on a Nikon Eclipse A1 confocal microscope.

For adult cardiac sections, uninjured or 7 dpi adult zebrafish hearts were dissected as described (González-Rosa et al., 2011) and fixed for 24 h in 10% neutral buffered formalin at room temperature. Fixed hearts were washed, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. 7 μ m sections were obtained using a Leica Microtome. *In situ* hybridization of *ruvbl2* transcripts in sections was performed using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent V2 kit, following the manufacturer's instructions. The mRNA signal was detected using the Opal 570 reagent (Akoya Biosciences, 1:1,000). Following *ruvbl2* detection, sections were incubated overnight with anti-GFP (clone B-2, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:200) antibodies, and nuclei were counterstained using DAPI. Slides were mounted in FluorSafe and imaged using a Zeiss LSM900 confocal microscope. To quantify *ruvbl2* expression, 2-3 ventricular sections containing the largest injury areas were imaged. *ruvbl2*+ punctate dots were counted manually using ImageJ software in defined regions (50 μ m × 50 μ m) located at the border of the injured area (border zone, BZ) and the remote myocardium (RM). The average number of *ruvbl2*+ dots in the BZ and RM was calculated for each animal. For statistical comparisons, all values were normalized to the average count in the remote myocardium.

Whole Mount Immunofluorescence

Whole-mount immunostaining was performed as previously described (Abrial et al., 2017). Primary antibodies used were mouse monoclonal anti-GFP (1:50, Santa Cruz, USA), rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:200, Abcam, USA), mouse monoclonal anti-myosin heavy chain (MF20; 1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, USA), and mouse monoclonal anti-BrdU (1:100; clone BMC9318, Roche, Sigma Aldrich, USA). Alexa conjugated antibodies (Invitrogen, USA) were used at 1:500 dilutions to reveal primary antibody signal. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (1:1,000, Life Technologies, USA).

Zebrafish Cardiac Cryoinjury

Regeneration experiments were conducted using adult zebrafish as described (González-Rosa et al., 2011). Briefly, fish were anesthetized in tricaine, placed with their ventral side up on a slotted sponge and a small incision made to expose the ventricle. A platinum wire probe cooled in liquid nitrogen was touched to the ventricular surface until thawing was observed. After surgery, animals were returned to a tank of fresh water and revived by gently pulsing water over their gills using a plastic Pasteur pipette.

Heat Shock Treatments

Adult heat shock treatments were performed as previously described (Zhao et al., 2014). Following ventricular cryoinjury, adult zebrafish with (experimental) or without (control) the Tg(hsp70l:3XHA-ruvbl2) transgene were allowed to recover overnight in the aquatics facility. On 1 dpi, animals were moved to an automated heat-shock rack where they were exposed to daily increases in temperature from 28°C to 39°C for 1 h followed by gradual decreases in temperature to 28°C. At 7 and 60 dpi animals were euthanized and their hearts dissected for histological analysis.

Metanalysis of ruvbl2 Expression Dynamics During Heart Regeneration Using the Zebrafish Regeneration Database Online Resource

The zfRegeneration.org database (Nieto-Arellano and Sánchez-Iranzo, 2019) was used to survey *ruvbl2* expression levels in publicly available heart regeneration datasets. The "Plot fpkm values" function was selected, and *ruvbl2* levels were retrieved using the "All zebrafish datasets" option. The resulting plots were downloaded as a single .png file. Only the plots depicting heart regeneration were selected for evaluation.

Histological Staining

Dissected hearts were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4°C, included in paraffin, and sectioned. Immunofluorescence on paraffin sections was performed as described (González-Rosa et al., 2011) using the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-Mef2 (Cat#sc-313; RRID: AB_631920; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:100) and anti-PCNA mouse monoclonal (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#WH0005111M2; RRID: AB_1842895, 1:200) and Alexa conjugated secondary antibodies (Life Technologies, 1:500) were used to detect primary antibody signals. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (1:1,000, Life Technologies, USA) and slides were mounted in FluorSafe prior to imaging on a Nikon A1 confocal microscope. Acid fuchsin-orange G (AFOG) stain was used to detect the fibrotic tissue. Muscle, fibrin, and collagen were stained brownish orange, red, and blue, respectively.

Quantification of Myocardial Proliferation and Scar Retention Analysis in Regenerating Hearts

Myocardial proliferation indices were quantified as previously reported (Zhao et al., 2019). Briefly, ventricular sections were immunstained with anti-Mef2 and anti-PCNA (see above). Three sections per heart containing the largest injury area were imaged by confocal microscopy. The number of Mef2+ and Mef2+; PCNA+ cells were counted manually using Fiji software in a defined region ($200 \,\mu\text{m} \times 424.55 \,\mu\text{m}$) that included the injury area and border zone. Percentages of proliferative cardiomyocytes (Mef2+; PCNA+/Mef2+) were calculated from individual sections and averaged to establish a myocardial proliferative index for each animal. To assess fibrosis, images of AFOG-stained serial sections of whole hearts were captured as described in (González-Rosa et al., 2018). On average, ~15 sections were analyzed per heart.

Statistical Analysis

Samples sizes were chosen based on previous publications and indicated in each figure legend. No animal or sample that was evaluated was excluded from the analysis. The investigators were blinded to genotype when assessing cardiomyocyte proliferation and scar formation for heart regeneration experiments. All statistical values are displayed as mean ± 1 standard deviation (SD). Sample sizes and p values are indicated in the figures or figure legends. Student's t test was used to compare the means from two groups and the one way analysis of variants (ANOVA) was used to compare more than two groups. Statistical significance was assigned at p < 0.05. All statistical tests were performed using Prism8 software.



left, lateral view. (**C**,**D**) Confocal projections of fluorescent cardiomyocyte nuclei in hearts of 48 hpf control (CTRL) sibling (C; n = 6) and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (D, n = 6) *Tg* (*cmlc2: nucGFP*) embryos V = ventricle; A = atrium. (**E**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte nuclei in hearts of 72 hpf CTRL and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (B; n = 6) *Tg* (*cmlc2: nucGFP*) embryos V = ventricle; A = atrium. (**E**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte nuclei in hearts of 72 hpf CTRL (F; n = 6) and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (G; n = 6) *Tg* (*cmlc2:nucGFP*) embryos. (**H**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte nuclei in hearts of 72 hpf CTRL (F; n = 6) and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (G; n = 6) *Tg* (*cmlc2:nucGFP*) embryos. (**H**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte number in 72 hpf CTRL and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (G; n = 6) *Tg* (*cmlc2:nucGFP*) embryos. (**H**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte number in 72 hpf CTRL and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (G; n = 6) *Tg* (*fil1a:nucGFP*) embryos. (**K**) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte number in 72 hpf CTRL (I; n = 4) and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* (J; n = 4) *Tg* (*fil1a:nucGFP*) embryos. (**K**) Quantification of fold cell number in 72 hpf CTRL and *ruvbl2^{WVMK}* hearts. Means ± s.d are shown. Not significant (ns); p > 0.05. (**L**) Schematic representing the myocardial proliferation assay by BrdU pulse between 48 and 72 hpf. (**M**–**N'**) Single plane confocal images of CTRL (M; n = 5) hearts double immunostained to detect cycling (BrdU+) cardiomyocytes (MF20+) at 72 hpf. Boxed regions in (**M**,**N**) are magnified in (**M'**,**N'**) with white arrows highlighting double positive cells. (**O**) Quantification of cardiomyocyte proliferation indices in CTRL and *ruvbl2^{W/WK}* ventricles. Means ± s.d are shown. *p < 0.05. Scale bars: 25 µm.

RESULTS

A previous report claimed that zebrafish embryos homozygous for the $ruvbl2^{lik}$ mutation display cardiomyocyte hyperplasia based on myocardial nuclear counts in serial paraffin sections after histological staining (Rottbauer et al., 2002). We sought to confirm and extend these findings using newer fluorescent reporter strains, BrdU labelling of proliferative cardiomyocytes, and confocal imaging techniques that were not readily available at the time of the prior study. 25% of the embryos generated from $ruvbl2^{lik/+}$ heterozygous incrosses displayed curved body morphologies characteristic of $ruvbl2^{lik/lik}$ mutants that we verified by genotyping (**Figures 1A,B**).

During zebrafish heart development, cardiomyocyte numbers increase within the forming ventricular chamber through progenitor cell differentiation prior to 48 hpf and by cardiomyocyte proliferation thereafter (Choi et al., 2013). To learn during which phase Ruvbl2 regulates cardiac growth, we quantified ventricular cardiomyocyte numbers at 48 and 72 hpf in control and ruvbl2^{lik/lik} animals carrying the myocardial nuclear reporter Tg(cmlc2:nucGFP). While no significant difference was observed between cohorts at 48 hpf (Figures 1C-E), a 1.36-fold increase in ventricular cardiomyocyte numbers was detected at 72 hpf (Figures 1F-H), demonstrating that Ruvbl2 functions during the proliferative phase of chamber morphogenesis. This expansion is specific to the myocardium as no significant difference in endocardial cell numbers was observed between control and *ruvbl2*^{lik/lik} animals carrying the endothelial nuclear reporter Tg(fli1a:nucGFP) at similar developmental stages (Figures 1I-K). To formally assess cardiomyocyte proliferation, we treated control and ruvbl2^{lik/lik} embryos with BrdU at 48 hpf and performed immunofluorescence with anti-BrdU and the muscle-specific MF20 antibody followed by DAPI staining at 72 hpf (Figure 1L). After confocal imaging, we quantified the number of BrdU+ cells within the MF20+; DAPI+



Contocal projections of fluorescent cardiomyocyte nuclei in hearts of 72 hpt C1RL (F; n = 6 and M; n = 6), $ruvbl2^{M/a}$ (G; n = 6), and $ruvbl2^{M/a}$ (N; n = 6) 1g (cm/c2:nucGFP) embryos. (G,O) Quantification of fold change in ventricular cardiomyocyte number in 72 hpf CTRL and $ruvbl2^{M/a}$ (G) or CTRL and $ruvbl2^{M/a}$ (O) hearts. Means \pm s.d are shown. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.001; **

ventricular myocardium to generate a cardiomyocyte proliferation index. *Ruvbl2*^{lik/lik} mutants show a 1.63-fold higher rate of proliferation compared to controls (**Figures 1M-O**). Together, these data confirm and extend previous observations demonstrating that Ruvbl2 functions to regulate myocardial proliferation during zebrafish ventricular chamber growth without affecting progenitor cell differentiation.

The functional consequence of the *lik* mutation has been unclear due to the opposing activities of Ruvbl2^{lik} under different experimental conditions (see *Introduction*). As such, it has remained unknown whether Ruvbl2 promotes or suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation during developmental

heart growth. To resolve this issue, we generated a bona fide loss-of-function allele using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing by deleting the entire endogenous locus. Specifically, guide RNAs targeting the genomic regions upstream and downstream of the *ruvbl2* 5' and 3' UTRs, respectively were co-injected with Cas9 protein into one-cell zebrafish embryos with the intent of releasing the intervening sequence (**Figure 2A**). A whole locus deletion allele (designated Δ) was isolated, confirmed by PCR genotyping (**Figure 2B**) and Sanger sequencing, and propagated in the F1 generation.

Breeding of $ruvbl^{\Delta/+}$ heterozygotes resulted in roughly 25% of their progeny showing curved body morphologies similar



immunohistochemistry for GFP in *Tg* (*cmlc2:ruvbl2*) *Tg* (*cmlc2:nucGFP*) double transgenic embryos (n = 4) with DAPI nuclei counterstaining (blue signal). Boxed region in D is shown at higher magnifications in D'. Black asterices in (**D**') denote myocardial *ruvbl2* expression, which when compared to wildtype (**A**,**A**') is substantially higher. (**E**-**H**') Single plane confocal images of wildtype control (**E**,**E**'), OEmyo (**F**,**F**'), *ruvbl2*^{Δ/Δ} (**G**,**G**'), *ruvbl2*^{Δ/Δ} with OEmyo (**H**,**H**') hearts double immunostained to detect cycling (BrdU+) cardiomyocytes (MF20+) at 72 hpf. Boxed regions in (**E**-**H**) are magnified in (**E**'-**H**') with white arrows highlighting double positive cells. Sample sizes are n = 6 for all groups. (I) Quantification of fold change in cardiomyocyte proliferation indices between cohorts. Means ± s.d are shown. ns; not significant; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Scale bars: 25 µm.

to that of $ruvbl2^{lik/lik}$ mutants (**Figures 2C,D**). Next, we imaged hearts from control and $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutants carrying the Tg(cmlc2:nucGFP) reporter. In comparison to controls, the ventricle of $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutants appeared significantly larger (**Figures 2E,F**). Quantification of myocardial nuclei confirmed a 1.52-fold increase in ventricular cardiomyocyte numbers (**Figures 2E-G**) that is associated with a 1.45-fold increase in the proliferation index (**Figures 2H-J**). Together, these data demonstrate that $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutants phenocopy the

ventricular hyperplasia documented in *ruvbl2*^{lik/lik} mutants (**Figure 1**), suggesting that both alleles create loss-of-function proteins.

To test this hypothesis directly, we bred $ruvbl2^{+/lik}$ to $ruvbl2^{+/\Delta}$ adults to generate compound heterozygous $ruvbl2^{lik/\Delta}$ progeny that we analyzed for the previously described phenotypes. We found that $ruvbl2^{lik/\Delta}$ embryos display curved body morphologies (**Figures 2K,L**) and have hearts with 1.38-fold more ventricular cardiomyocytes



FIGURE 4 *[ruvbl2* suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation during zebrafish cardiac regeneration and leads to scar retention. (**A–H**) RNAScope *in situ* hybridization of *ruvbl2* transcripts in representative cardiac sections from uninjured or 7 dpi hearts immunostained for GFP and counterstained with DAPI. *Ruvbl2* fluorescent signals in (**A,E**) are pseudocolored to black and white in (**B,F**). Boxed regions in A and E are shown in magnified views in (**C,D,G,H**) as indicated. (**C,G**) Purple arrowhead, epicardial cells; white arrowhead, myocardial cells; yellow arrowhead, endocardial cells. (**D**) *Ruvbl2* expression in circulating cells. (**E,F**) Dotted line shows the border between the wound (marked with an asterisk) and the spared cardiac tissue. (**I**) Quantification of relative *ruvbl2* expression between the remote myocardium (RM) and border zone (BZ). (**J**) Schematic of experimental design for regeneration experiments. Adult zebrafish carrying the *Tg* (*hsp70*)*:ruvbl2*) overexpression transgene were given a single heat shock per day following cryoinjury to the ventricle. Experimental endpoints were at 7 dpi and 60 dpi to assay cardiomyocyte proliferation and regeneration index at 7 dpi. (**N,O**) Representative sections of WT and *Tg* (*hsp70*)*:ruvbl2*) hearts stained with acid fuchsin orange G (AFOG) at 60 dpi. Black arrow highlights fibortic scar tissue with open myocardial wall. 4 of 4 WT hearts regenerated well and 3 of 4 OE^{hs} hearts retained substantial scar tissue as shown. Mean \pm SD are shown. **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01. Scale bars: 25 µm.

(Figures 2M–O) that are 1.66-fold more proliferative (Figures 2P–R) than controls. From these analyses, we conclude that $Ruvbl2^{lik}$ encodes a loss-of-function protein with respect to cardiomyocyte proliferation.

To spatially resolve the cardiac cell types expressing *ruvbl2*, we used RNAscope *in situ* hybridization to detect *ruvbl2* transcripts in 72 hpf zebrafish embryos carrying the Tg(cmlc2:nucGFP) myocardial reporter. In single confocal sections, *ruvbl2* transcripts co-localize with GFP in ventricular cardiomyocytes and in presumptive endocardial cells medial to the GFP signal (**Figures 3A,A**'). As anticipated, we failed to detect *ruvbl2* transcripts in *ruvbl2*^{Δ/Δ} mutants (**Figures 3B,B**'), demonstrating specificity of the signal in wildtype animals.

Because *ruvbl2* is endogenously expressed in cardiomyocytes, we tested whether myocardial-specific Ruvbl2 overexpression is sufficient to inhibit cardiomyocyte proliferation in wildtype embryos and capable of rescuing the cardiomyocyte hyperplasia observed in $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutants. To this end, we generated a stable transgenic strain that constitutively expresses a nuclear-localized GFP followed by a viral P2A sequence and a 3XHA tagged-Ruvbl2 in cardiomyocytes that we termed overexpression in the myocardium (OE^{myo}; Figure 3C). To validate the strain, we performed RNAscope as described above and found substantially more ruvbl2 transcripts in OE^{myo} hearts than in control (compare Figures 3D,D' to Figures 3A,A'). As anticipated, the increase in transcripts is restricted to the myocardium and not observed in the Next. compared endocardium. we the ventricular cardiomyocyte proliferation index between control and OE^{myo} hearts using the same BrdU pulse-chase assay as described previously. We found that cardiomyocytes overexpressing Ruvbl2 are 42% less proliferative than controls (Figures 3E-F' and Figure 3I), demonstrating that Ruvbl2 is sufficient to suppress cardiomyocyte proliferation during developmental heart growth. Consistent with our prior analysis (Figures **2H–J**), $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutant hearts are 1.57-fold more proliferative than controls (Figures 3E,E',G,G',I). However, in the presence of the OE^{myo} transgene, the hyperplasia observed in $ruvbl2^{\Delta/\Delta}$ mutant hearts is rescued back to control levels (Figures 3E,E',G-I), demonstrating an autonomous function for Ruvbl2 in the myocardium for suppressing proliferation. This claim is further supported by prior studies where mosaic zebrafish ventricles showed clonal expansion of both transplanted ruvbl2lik mutant cells and cardiomyocytes constitutively overexpressing Ruvbl2^{lik} (Rottbauer et al., 2002).

In addition to developmental heart growth, zebrafish heart regeneration during adulthood also relies on cardiomyocyte proliferation (Jopling et al., 2010; Kikuchi et al., 2010). To examine the spatiotemporal expression of ruvbl2 in adult hearts before and after insult, we performed cryoinjury on their ventricles as previously described (González-Rosa et al., 2011; González-Rosa and Mercader, 2012). Hearts were harvested from uninjured or 7 days post-injury (dpi) animals carrying the myocardial reporter Tg(cmlc2:nucGFP), sectioned, and processed by RNAscope in situ hybridization for ruvbl2 transcripts followed by antibody staining for GFP. During homeostasis, adult hearts express relatively low but detectable levels of ruvbl2 in cardiomyocytes, endocardial cells, epicardial cells (Figures 4A-C), and circulating cells (Figures 4A,B,D). On 7 dpi, we observed a qualitative increase in *ruvbl2* expression that appeared largely restricted to the wound in presumptive endocardial and immune cells (Figures 4E-H). In support of these data, we searched the Zebrafish Regeneration Database that contains published transcriptome datasets of heart regeneration (http:// zfregeneration.org) (Nieto-Arellano and Sánchez-Iranzo, 2019) and found a similar pattern of expression with low numbers of ruvbl2 reads in uninjured hearts that increase following injury (Supplementary Figure S1). In cardiac sections, ruvbl2 expression is lower in border zone (BZ) cardiomyocytes compared to remote myocardium (RM) (Figure 4I),

demonstrating that there are less *ruvbl2* transcripts in the region where cardiomyocytes are most proliferative.

To learn whether Ruvbl2 is sufficient to suppress cardiomyocyte proliferation in the context of heart regeneration, we created a new inducible transgenic line where the heat-shock 70l promoter drives expression of a 3xHA tagged version of ruvbl2 that we termed overexpression by heat-shock (OE^{hs}; Figure 4J). We grew nontransgenic control and OEhs siblings to adulthood, performed cryoinjury on their ventricles, and allowed them to recover for 1 day. We then placed cryoinjured fish of like genotype on an automated heat-shock rack that increased the water temperature to 37°C for 1 h per day. Animals were euthanized at 7 or 60 dpi and their hearts harvested for sectioning (Figure 4J). To learn if cardiomyocyte cell cycle entry is suppressed by Ruvbl2 overexpression, we immunostained cardiac sections from 7 dpi heat-shocked control and OEhs fish for PCNA to mark cells undergoing DNA replication and Mef2 to identify cardiomyocyte nuclei. The proportion of PCNA+ cardiomyocytes in the border zone of OEhs hearts was significantly reduced compared to controls (Figures 4K-M), demonstrating that Ruvbl2 suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation during adult heart regeneration. To learn if overexpression of Ruvbl2 inhibits heart regeneration, we performed AFOG staining on control and OE^{hs} hearts at 60 dpi. Control animals that were injured, heat shocked, and analyzed in parallel achieved robust myocardial regeneration with minimal levels of scar tissue (**Figure 4N**). By contrast, the majority of OE^{hs} hearts showed deficiencies in muscle renewal that is evidenced by retention of collagen-rich scar tissue and failures to close the myocardial wall (Figure 4O). While decreased cardiomyocyte proliferation correlates with reduced regenerative capacity in OE^{hs} hearts, we cannot rule out non-myocardial defects that might contribute to scar formation as Ruvbl2 expression is ubiquitous following heat shock. Overall, these findings demonstrate that forced expression of Ruvbl2 during the regenerative window inhibits cardiomyocyte proliferation and induces scarring.

DISCUSSION

We demonstrate that Ruvbl2 suppresses cardiomyocyte proliferation during developmental heart growth and adult heart regeneration in zebrafish. This anti-proliferative activity is surprising given the well-established oncogenic role of Ruvbl2 in a variety of cancers including renal, liver, lung, stomach, pancreas, and blood (Mao and Houry, 2017). Outside of a pathogenic setting, Ruvbl2 has also been shown to promote hepatocyte proliferation and survival during liver regeneration in mice (Javary et al., 2021). Given its pro-proliferative properties in other organs, our finding that Ruvbl2 is a potent suppressor of cardiomyocyte proliferation in the zebrafish heart suggests that diverse downstream functions are employed based on cell type. As such, elucidating the molecular mechanisms by which Ruvbl2 controls cardiomyocyte proliferation will rely on tissue-specific approaches.

Ruvbl2 is known to repress gene transcription through at least two different mechanisms. First, Ruvbl2 physically interacts with sequence-specific transcription factors to directly suppress target gene expression (Gallant, 2007). Secondly, Ruvbl2 functions as a

critical ATPase in well-established chromatin remodeling complexes to reposition nucleosomes and alter the chromatin landscape (Gallant, 2007), which in turn affects gene transcription. Whether either mechanism is at play in cardiomyocytes is not currently clear. Recently, the Tip60 complex, which contains Ruvbl2, was shown to suppress cardiomyocyte proliferation following coronary artery ligation in adult mice (Wang et al., 2021). Specifically, genetic depletion of Tip60 after myocardial infarction increased cardiomyocyte proliferation and reduced scarring. Based on our findings in zebrafish, it is tempting to speculate that Ruvbl2 is functioning with Tip60 to control myocardial proliferation in the context of developmental heart growth and regeneration. However, immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry studies will be required to identify the array of proteins that physically associate with Ruvbl2 in cardiomyocytes. Moreover, cardiomyocyte-specific surveillance of chromatin architecture will be needed to identify critical loci that might be altered in the presence and absence of Ruvbl2.

Overall, our findings directly implicate Ruvbl2 in suppressing cardiomyocyte proliferation *in vivo*. While signals that promote cardiomyocyte proliferation tend to be more prevalently studied (Yin et al., 2021), our data highlight the importance of balancing signals that stimulate cardiomyocyte proliferation with those that restrict it to achieve optimal heart muscle growth and regrowth. Ultimately, these findings might serve as novel inroads for finetuning cardiomyocyte proliferation in the context of regenerative medicine and lead to new therapeutics that would benefit patients suffering a myocardial infarction.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**supplementary material**. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital and The Boston Children's

REFERENCES

- Abrial, M., Paffett-Lugassy, N., Jeffrey, S., Jordan, D., O'Loughlin, E., Frederick, C. J., et al. (2017). TGF-β Signaling Is Necessary and Sufficient for Pharyngeal Arch Artery Angioblast Formation. *Cel Rep.* 20, 973–983. doi:10.1016/j.celrep. 2017.07.002
- Bednarek, D., González-Rosa, J. M., Guzmán-Martínez, G., Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, Ó., Aguado, T., Sánchez-Ferrer, C., et al. (2015). Telomerase Is Essential for Zebrafish Heart Regeneration. *Cel Rep.* 12, 1691–1703. doi:10.1016/j.celrep. 2015.07.064
- Choi, W.-Y., Gemberling, M., Wang, J., Holdway, J. E., Shen, M.-C., Karlstrom, R. O., et al. (2013). *In Vivo* monitoring of Cardiomyocyte Proliferation to Identify Chemical Modifiers of Heart Regeneration. *Development* 140, 660–666. doi:10. 1242/dev.088526

Hospital Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MS and JMG-R designed, performed, and interpreted the experiments. They each acquired independent funding. FW confirmed original data from MS by quantifying cardiomyocyte proliferation indices during heart regeneration while blinded. SJ and KC provided technical support for histology and zebrafish husbandry. CGB and CEB directed the study, acquired funding, designed experiments, and interpreted data. CGB and CEB wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

FUNDING

MS was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE1144152) and an R35 Diversity Supplement award (R35 HL135831). JMG-R was supported by an EMBO Long-Term Fellowship (ALTF 253-2014), a Fund for Medical Discovery Award from the Executive Committee on Research at Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), and American Heart Association Career Development Award (19CDA34660207), a Hassenfeld Scholar Award from the Hassenfeld Foundation, and the SPARK award from the Corrigan-Minehan Heart Center of MGH. FW is supported by a John S. LaDue Memorial Fellowship at HMS. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01HL139806 and R35HL135831 to CGB and CEB, respectively. CEB was a d'Arbelhoff MGH Research Scholar. CEB and CGB were Hassenfeld Cardiovascular Scholars at MGH. In addition, the Burns Laboratory was supported, in part, by funds from the Boston Children's Hospital Department of Cardiology.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2022.800594/full#supplementary-material

- de Pater, E., Clijsters, L., Marques, S. R., Lin, Y.-F., Garavito-Aguilar, Z. V., Yelon, D., et al. (2009). Distinct Phases of Cardiomyocyte Differentiation Regulate Growth of the Zebrafish Heart. *Development* 136, 1633–1641. doi:10.1242/dev. 030924
- Gallant, P. (2007). Control of Transcription by Pontin and Reptin. Trends Cel Biol. 17, 187–192. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2007.02.005
- Goldman, J. A., Kuzu, G., Lee, N., Karasik, J., Gemberling, M., Foglia, M. J., et al. (2017). Resolving Heart Regeneration by Replacement Histone Profiling. *Dev. Cel* 40, 392–404. e5. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.01.013
- González-Rosa, J. M., Martín, V., Peralta, M., Torres, M., and Mercader, N. (2011). Extensive Scar Formation and Regression during Heart Regeneration after Cryoinjury in Zebrafish. *Development* 138, 1663–1674. doi:10.1242/dev.060897
- González-Rosa, J. M., Burns, C. E., and Burns, C. G. (2017). Zebrafish Heart Regeneration: 15 Years of Discoveries. *Regeneration* 4, 105–123. doi:10.1002/ reg2.83

- González-Rosa, J. M., and Mercader, N. (2012). Cryoinjury as a Myocardial Infarction Model for the Study of Cardiac Regeneration in the Zebrafish. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 782–788. doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.025
- González-Rosa, J. M., Sharpe, M., Field, D., Soonpaa, M. H., Field, L. J., Burns, C. E., et al. (2018). Myocardial Polyploidization Creates a Barrier to Heart Regeneration in Zebrafish. *Dev. Cel.* 44, 433–446. e7. doi:10.1016/j.devcel. 2018.01.021
- Guner-Ataman, B., González-Rosa, J. M., Shah, H. N., Butty, V. L., Jeffrey, S., Abrial, M., et al. (2018). Failed Progenitor Specification Underlies the Cardiopharyngeal Phenotypes in a Zebrafish Model of 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome. *Cel Rep.* 24, 1342–1354. e5. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.117
- Hami, D., Grimes, A. C., Tsai, H.-J., and Kirby, M. L. (2011). Zebrafish Cardiac Development Requires a Conserved Secondary Heart Field. *Development* (*Cambridge, England*) 138, 2389–2398. doi:10.1242/dev.061473
- Han, P., Zhou, X.-H., Chang, N., Xiao, C.-L., Yan, S., Ren, H., et al. (2014). Hydrogen Peroxide Primes Heart Regeneration with a Derepression Mechanism. *Cell Res* 24, 1091–1107. doi:10.1038/cr.2014.108
- Jahangiri, L., sharpe, M., Novikov, N., González-Rosa, J. M., Borikova, A., Nevis, K., et al. (2016). The AP-1 Transcription Factor Component Fosl2 Potentiates the Rate of Myocardial Differentiation from the Zebrafish Second Heart Field. *Development (Cambridge, England)* 143, 113–122. doi:10.1242/dev.126136
- Jao, L.-E., Wente, S. R., and Chen, W. (2013). Efficient Multiplex Biallelic Zebrafish Genome Editing Using a CRISPR Nuclease System. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 13904–13909. doi:10.1073/pnas.1308335110
- Javary, J., Allain, N., Ezzoukhry, Z., Di Tommaso, S., Dupuy, J. W., Costet, P., et al. (2021). Reptin/RUVBL2 Is Required for Hepatocyte Proliferation In Vivo, Liver Regeneration and Homeostasis. Liver Int. 41, 1423–1429. doi:10. 1111/liv.14886
- Jopling, C., Sleep, E., Raya, M., Martí, M., Raya, A., and Belmonte, J. C. I. (2010). Zebrafish Heart Regeneration Occurs by Cardiomyocyte Dedifferentiation and Proliferation. *Nature* 464, 606–609. doi:10.1038/nature08899
- Kikuchi, K., Holdway, J. E., Werdich, A. A., Anderson, R. M., Fang, Y., Egnaczyk, G. F., et al. (2010). Primary Contribution to Zebrafish Heart Regeneration by Gata4+ Cardiomyocytes. *Nature* 464, 601–605. doi:10. 1038/nature08804
- Kim, J. H., Lee, S.-R., Li, L.-H., Park, H.-J., Park, J.-H., Lee, K. Y., et al. (2011). High Cleavage Efficiency of a 2A Peptide Derived from Porcine Teschovirus-1 in Human Cell Lines, Zebrafish and Mice. *Plos One* 6, e18556. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0018556
- Kwan, K. M., Fujimoto, E., Grabher, C., Mangum, B. D., Hardy, M. E., Campbell, D. S., et al. (2007). The Tol2kit: A Multisite Gateway-Based Construction Kit forTol2 Transposon Transgenesis Constructs. Dev. Dyn. Official Publication Am. Assoc. Anatomists 236, 3088–3099. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21343
- Lai, S.-L., Marín-Juez, R., Moura, P. L., Kuenne, C., Lai, J. K. H., Tsedeke, A. T., et al. (2017). Reciprocal Analyses in Zebrafish and Medaka Reveal that Harnessing the Immune Response Promotes Cardiac Regeneration. *eLife* 6, e25605. doi:10. 7554/elife.25605
- Lazic, S., and Scott, I. C. (2011). Mef2cb Regulates Late Myocardial Cell Addition from a Second Heart Field-like Population of Progenitors in Zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.* 354, 123–133. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.03.028
- Leone, M., Magadum, A., and Engel, F. B. (2015). Cardiomyocyte Proliferation in Cardiac Development and Regeneration: a Guide to Methodologies and Interpretations. Am. J. Physiology-Heart Circulatory Physiol. 309, H1237–H1250. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00559.2015
- Mao, Y.-Q., and Houry, W. A. (2017). The Role of Pontin and Reptin in Cellular Physiology and Cancer Etiology. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 4, 58. doi:10.3389/fmolb. 2017.00058

- Nieto-Arellano, R., and Sánchez-Iranzo, H. (2019). zfRegeneration: a Database for Gene Expression Profiling during Regeneration. *Bioinformatics* 35, 703–705. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty659
- Osaki, H., Walf-Vorderwülbecke, V., Mangolini, M., Zhao, L., Horton, S. J., Morrone, G., et al. (2013). The AAA+ ATPase RUVBL2 Is a Critical Mediator of MLL-AF9 Oncogenesis. *Leukemia* 27, 1461–1468. doi:10.1038/leu.2013.42
- Pronobis, M. I., and Poss, K. D. (2020). Signals for Cardiomyocyte Proliferation during Zebrafish Heart Regeneration. *Curr. Opin. Physiol.* 14, 78–85. doi:10. 1016/j.cophys.2020.02.002
- Roman, B. L., Pham, V. N., Lawson, N. D., Kulik, M., Childs, S., Lekven, A. C., et al. (2002). Disruption Ofacvrl1increases Endothelial Cell Number in Zebrafish Cranial Vessels. *Development* 129, 3009–3019. doi:10.1242/dev.129.12.3009
- Rottbauer, W., Saurin, A. J., Lickert, H., Shen, X., Burns, C. G., Wo, Z. G., et al. (2002). Reptin and Pontin Antagonistically Regulate Heart Growth in Zebrafish Embryos. *Cell* 111, 661–672. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(02)01112-1
- Sánchez-Iranzo, H., Galardi-Castilla, M., Sanz-Morejón, A., González-Rosa, J. M., Costa, R., Ernst, A., et al. (2018). Transient Fibrosis Resolves via Fibroblast Inactivation in the Regenerating Zebrafish Heart. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115, 4188–4193. doi:10.1073/pnas.1716713115
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., et al. (2012). Fiji: an Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Wang, X., Lupton, C., Lauth, A., Wan, T. C., Foster, P., Patterson, M., et al. (2021). Evidence that the Acetyltransferase Tip60 Induces the DNA Damage Response and Cell-Cycle Arrest in Neonatal Cardiomyocytes. J. Mol. Cell Cardiol. 155, 88–98. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2021.02.005
- Yin, H.-M., Burns, C. G., and Burns, C. E. (2021). Innate Mechanisms of Heart Regeneration. Cold Spring Harb Perspect. Biol. 13, a040766. doi:10.1101/ cshperspect.a040766
- Zhao, L., Borikova, A. L., Ben-Yair, R., Guner-Ataman, B., MacRae, C. A., Lee, R. T., et al. (2014). Notch Signaling Regulates Cardiomyocyte Proliferation during Zebrafish Heart Regeneration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 1403–1408. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1311705111
- Zhao, L., Ben-Yair, R., Burns, C. E., and Burns, C. G. (2019). Endocardial Notch Signaling Promotes Cardiomyocyte Proliferation in the Regenerating Zebrafish Heart through Wnt Pathway Antagonism. *Cel Rep.* 26, 546–554. e5. doi:10. 1016/j.celrep.2018.12.048
- Zhou, Y., Cashman, T. J., Nevis, K. R., Obregon, P., Carney, S. A., Liu, Y., et al. (2011). Latent TGF- β Binding Protein 3 Identifies a Second Heart Field in Zebrafish. *Nature* 474, 645–648. doi:10.1038/nature10094

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Sharpe, González-Rosa, Wranitz, Jeffrey, Copenhaver, Burns and Burns. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.