Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Claudia Tanja Mierke, Leipzig University, Germany

REVIEWED BY Ilaria Canobbio, University of Pavia, Italy Edward Thomas Parkin, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Vladimir Sytnyk, v.sytnyk@unsw.edu.au

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cell Adhesion and Migration, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

RECEIVED 15 June 2022 ACCEPTED 07 July 2022 PUBLISHED 26 July 2022

CITATION

Pfundstein G, Nikonenko AG and Sytnyk V (2022), Amyloid precursor protein (APP) and amyloid β (A β) interact with cell adhesion molecules: Implications in Alzheimer's disease and normal physiology. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:969547. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.969547

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Pfundstein, Nikonenko and Sytnyk. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) and amyloid β (A β) interact with cell adhesion molecules: Implications in Alzheimer's disease and normal physiology

Grant Pfundstein¹, Alexander G. Nikonenko² and Vladimir Sytnyk^{1*}

¹School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ²Department of Cytology, Bogomoletz Institute of Physiology, Kyiv, Ukraine

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an incurable neurodegenerative disorder in which dysfunction and loss of synapses and neurons lead to cognitive impairment and death. Accumulation and aggregation of neurotoxic amyloid- β (A β) peptides generated *via* amyloidogenic processing of amyloid precursor protein (APP) is considered to play a central role in the disease etiology. APP interacts with cell adhesion molecules, which influence the normal physiological functions of APP, its amyloidogenic and non-amyloidogenic processing, and formation of A β aggregates. These cell surface glycoproteins also mediate attachment of A β to the neuronal cell surface and induce intracellular signaling contributing to A β toxicity. In this review, we discuss the current knowledge surrounding the interactions of cell adhesion molecules with APP and A β and analyze the evidence of the critical role these proteins play in regulating the processing and physiological function of APP as well as A β toxicity. This is a necessary piece of the complex AD puzzle, which we should understand in order to develop safe and effective therapeutic interventions for AD.

KEYWORDS

cell adhesion molecule (CAM), Alzheimer's disease, amyloid precursor protein (APP), amyloid-beta, immunoglobulin superfamily, integrin, prion protein (PrP), neurexin

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease and the amyloid hypothesis

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an incurable neurodegenerative disorder in which progressive synapse loss and neuronal dysfunction are followed by neuronal death in the brain. People with AD suffer from severe cognitive impairments and eventually die as a result of the disease. AD is the seventh leading cause of death in the United States (Alzheimer's Association, 2022). Its global economic burden, together with other dementias, is estimated to be nearly \$1 trillion (USD) per annum (Prince et al.,



2015). Despite decades of research, effective therapeutic interventions for AD remain elusive, presenting a considerable problem given the increasingly aging population of our world today.

The amyloid hypothesis has been central to our understanding of AD for the past three decades. It proposes that aggregates of the amyloid- β (A β) peptide, the primary component of senile plaques formed in brains of people with AD, are the main causative agents in AD pathogenesis (Hardy and Allsop, 1991; Selkoe, 1991; Hardy and Higgins, 1992; Selkoe and Hardy, 2016; Karran and De Strooper, 2022). These Aβ aggregates bind to cell surface receptors on neurons and glial cells, inducing synaptic dysfunction and neuroinflammation, triggering aberrant intracellular signaling cascades that lead to hyperphosphorylation of the microtubule associated protein tau, causing disruption of axonal transport, oxidative damage, breakdown of homeostasis, and resulting in neurotoxicity (LaFerla et al., 1995; Sakono and Zako, 2010; Xia et al., 2016; Yin et al., 2017; Sushma and Mondal, 2019). The gradual

loss of synapses and neurons is responsible for cognitive impairment, memory loss, and, eventually, death.

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) and its processing

A β peptides are generated *via* the proteolysis of amyloid precursor protein (APP). APP is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein with a large N-terminal extracellular domain, transmembrane region, and short intracellular tail (Figure 1A). APP is encoded by a single gene on chromosome 21, and alternative splicing generates three main isoforms, APP695, APP751, and APP770, denoted by their amino acid length. The extracellular part of all isoforms is comprised of two rigidly folded domains, E1 and E2, joined by a flexible acidic domain (AcD) and connected to the transmembrane region by a mostly unstructured juxtamembrane linker (Coburger et al., 2013; Coburger et al., 2014)

(Figure 1A). E1 contains a heparin-binding domain (HBD) within a larger growth factor-like domain (GFLD), as well as a copper/zincbinding domain (CuBD). E2 contains the second HBD and CuBD (Dahms et al., 2012). The extracellular domain mediates homophilic trans-interactions, where an APP molecule on one cell binds to an APP molecule on an adjacent cell, allowing APP to function as a cell adhesion molecule (Soba et al., 2005; Stahl et al., 2014). The extracellular domain also binds other ligands such as epidermal growth factor (EGF) (da Rocha et al., 2021) and reelin (Hoe et al., 2009), and acts as a ligand itself after being shed into the extracellular space where it interacts with other receptors (Caillé et al., 2004). The single-pass transmembrane domain is involved in cholesterol binding (Barrett et al., 2012), while the short intracellular tail contains a YENPTY motif that binds cytoplasmic adaptor proteins such as Dab1 and Mint, which mediate APP-dependent signaling (Borg et al., 1996; King et al., 2003; Schettini et al., 2010). The Kunitz protease inhibitor (KPI) domain is present in the two longer isoforms, APP751 and APP770, and the OX-2 sequence is contained in APP770 (Tanzi et al., 1987; Sandbrink et al., 1996). APP is widely expressed in many tissues. APP695 is the major neuronal isoform, while APP751 and APP770 are highly expressed in nonneuronal cells (Rohan de Silva et al., 1997).

APP undergoes complex proteolytic processing, yielding a number of biologically active fragments. Processing along the amyloidogenic pathway is initiated by β-site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1), which cleaves APP at the amino terminus of the A β region, producing sAPP β and the membrane-bound β -C-terminal fragment, β-CTF (Vassar et al., 1999; Yan et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2011) (Figure 1B). In the non-amyloidogenic pathway, a-secretase (ADAM10; a disintegrin and metalloproteinase 10) cleaves APP between Lys 16 and Leu 17 of the A β region, preventing the formation of A β and releasing sAPPa and a-CTF instead (Lammich et al., 1999; Asai et al., 2003; Kuhn et al., 2010). The cell surface or trans-Golgi network accumulation of APP favors non-amyloidogenic processing (Parvathy et al., 1999; Tan and Gleeson, 2019), whereas the retention of APP in endocytic compartments promotes amyloidogenic processing (Das et al., 2016). In both pathways, y-secretase, a complex composed of presenilin 1 (PS1), nicastrin, anterior pharynx-defective 1 (APH-1), and presenilin enhancer 2 (PEN-2), cleaves the membrane-tethered CTFs yielding A β from β -CTF and P3 from α -CTF, as well as the APP intracellular domain (AICD) (Figure 1B). In the amyloidogenic pathway, y-secretase produces peptides of varying length with $A\beta_{40}$, denoted by amino acid length, being most abundant and $A\beta_{42}$ being most aggregation prone (Jarrett et al., 1993; Hamley, 2012; Chen et al., 2017). A shift towards the generation of $A\beta_{42}$ over $A\beta_{40}$, or an imbalance in the overall production and clearance of AB peptides predisposes to the formation of neurotoxic oligomers (ABO), fibrils (ABF) and plaques (Masters et al., 1985; Bharadwaj et al., 2009; Mawuenyega et al., 2010). In addition, there are several noncanonical pathways through which APP can be processed, including proteolysis by η -secretase, δ -secretase, meprin, and caspases (Andrew et al., 2016).

APP is a member of a small family of proteins consisting of APP and APP-like proteins 1 and 2 (APLP1 and APLP2) (Heber et al., 2000; Walsh et al., 2007). Although structurally very similar to APP, APLPs lack the A β region and are thus non-amyloidogenic. As a whole, members of the APP family share poorly understood roles in synaptic plasticity, synaptogenesis, neurite outgrowth, learning, and memory (Small et al., 1994; Müller and Zheng, 2012; Klevanski et al., 2015).

Many therapeutic interventions have targeted $A\beta$ and the proteases responsible for its generation, however thus far, all attempts have failed to demonstrate reasonable efficacy and are associated with worsening cognition and other side effects (Kumar et al., 2018; Hampel et al., 2021; Karran and De Strooper, 2022). These failures can be partly attributed to an incomplete understanding of the complex cell biology underlying: 1) the processing of APP, 2) the normal physiological function of APP and its proteases, and 3) the mechanisms of A β -induced toxicity. A class of proteins intimately involved in these poorly understood processes are cell adhesion molecules (CAMs), a vast category of cell surface proteins that mediate adhesion of cells to one another and to the extracellular matrix (ECM). These broadly include CAMs of the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF), integrins, cadherins, selectins, and other uncategorized proteins that possess adhesive function, including APP itself. CAMs are of particular interest in amyloiddependent AD pathology as their structure and cell surface localization make them well-suited for interactions with both APP and AB, many of which have been found to date. Multiple gene ontological analyses have also described cell adhesion as an affected pathway in AD (Blalock et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2018; Wang and Li, 2021; Shu et al., 2022).

Thus, in this review, we summarize the current knowledge surrounding the interactions of CAMs with APP and A β and how CAMs influence the processing and physiological function of APP as well as their role in A β toxicity. This breadth of knowledge is a necessary piece of the complex AD puzzle, which we should better understand to enable the development of safe and effective therapeutics for the treatment and prevention of AD.

Interactions of CAMs with APP and the role that CAMs play in the amyloidogenic processing of APP and $A\beta$ toxicity

APP family

As APP family proteins are themselves CAMs, we first discuss the role that homo- and hetero-dimerization of the members of this family plays in APP processing, functioning, and A β toxicity.

APP homodimerization influences its processing

APP interacts with itself forming homodimers in the mouse brain (Schmidt et al., 2012; Herr et al., 2017) and numerous cell lines, with at least four regions of this molecule, namely the GFLD of E1 (Kaden et al., 2009), CuBD of E1 (Noda et al., 2013), E2 (Wang and Ha, 2004), and TMD (Munter et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2009), being engaged in dimer formation (Figure 2A and Table 1). The impact of dimerization on APP processing is complex and remains controversial. Several reports suggest that APP dimerization modulates the balance between its $\alpha\textsc{-}$ and β -cleavage. For example, inhibition of APP dimerization using an APP-E1-derived peptide leads to an increase in sAPPa levels and reduction in sAPPß and Aß levels in SH-SY5Y cells (Kaden et al., 2008). Similarly, small molecule inhibitors of APP dimerization reduce sAPP β and A β levels in HEK293 cells without affecting $A\beta_{42/40}$ ratio (So et al., 2012), as well as favoring sAPPa over sAPPβ production in CHO and B103 cell lines (Libeu et al., 2012). Together, these findings suggest that APP dimerization promotes BACE1-mediated cleavage of APP. However, other studies report that APP dimerization in CHO cells leads to an increase in sAPPa levels and decrease in sAPPB levels (Decock et al., 2015), while statin-induced TMD dimerization in iPSC-derived neurons reduces both sAPP α and sAPP β levels, and decreases production of A β (Langness et al., 2021). In addition, a number of

studies suggest that APP dimerization influences its y-secretasemediated cleavage. For example, mutationally induced dimerization of the juxtamembrane region of APP leads to an increase in AB levels without affecting sAPPa or sAPPB levels, suggesting that the γ -secretase cleavage efficiency of APP-CTFs may be influenced by APP dimerization (Scheuermann et al., 2001). The preferred y-cleavage site within APP may also be affected by the mode of dimerization, as copper-induced APP dimerization via the CuBD of E1 favors production of AB40 over $A\beta_{42}$ while TMD dimerization promotes generation of $A\beta_{42}$ over $A\beta_{40}$ (Munter et al., 2007; Noda et al., 2013). It has also been suggested that juxtamembrane domain/TMD dimerization may predispose AB to form dimers and oligomers, which may in turn affect the levels and toxicity of A β (Scheuermann et al., 2001). A possible explanation for these controversies may lie in the fact that various regions of APP contribute to dimerization, and it is quite probable that variations in the contact sites involved may influence APP processing in different ways.

Interactions of APP with other APP family proteins influence APP processing

APP interacts with APLP1 in the mouse brain (Soba et al., 2005; Bai et al., 2008). This interaction is mediated partially by the E1 domains of APP and APLP1 (Figure 2C), but other regions are suggested to be also involved (Kaden et al., 2009).



FIGURE 2

APP family interactions. (A) Interactions between E1, E2, and transmembrane domains of APP mediate formation of homodimers. Homodimerization influences the α -, β -, and γ -cleavage of APP, A β generation, and the ratio of A $\beta_{42/40}$. (B) Monomers, dimers, and oligomers of A β bind to the E1 domain and cognate A β region of APP. The interaction with A β monomers and dimers promotes APP homodimerization, while the APP-A β O interaction induces ERK phosphorylation, inhibits long-term potentiation (LTP), and leads to excitatory/inhibitory imbalance, ultimately resulting in neurotoxicity. (C) APLP1 interacts with the E1 domain of APP, suppressing APP endocytosis, increasing cell surface levels of APP, and thereby facilitating the α -cleavage of APP, and consequently reducing A β generation. (D) APLP2 interacts with APP and reduces A β generation *via* an unknown mechanism. (E) APLP1 interacts with A β Os with unknown consequence.

APP-interacting protein		Function of interaction	Cell type/tissue(s) displaying interaction	Reference(s)	
APP family	АРР	Alters A\beta generation and A $\beta_{42/40}$ ratio; May modulate $\alpha\text{-},$ $\beta\text{-},$ and $\gamma\text{-cleavage}$	Mouse brain, HEK293, CHO, SH- SY5Y, B103, iPSC-derived neurons	Munter et al. (2007); Kaden et al. (2008); Libeu et al. (2012); Schmidt et al. (2012); So et al. (2012); Noda et al. (2013); Decock et al. (2015); Herr et al. (2017); Langness et al. (2021)	
	APLP1	$\begin{array}{l} \downarrow \mbox{ APP endocytosis; } \uparrow \alpha \mbox{ -cleavage; } \downarrow \beta \mbox{ -cleavage; } \downarrow \\ \mbox{ A\beta generation; } \downarrow \mbox{ A\beta}_{42:40}; \downarrow \mbox{ APLP1 surface levels} \end{array}$	Mouse brain, HEK293, SH-SY5Y, COS7	Soba et al. (2005); Neumann et al. (2006); Bai et al. (2008); Kaden et al. (2009)	
	APLP2	\downarrow A β generation; \downarrow A $\beta_{42:40}$	Mouse brain,; HEK293	Soba et al. (2005); Bai et al. (2008); Kaden et al. (2009)	
IgCAMs	NCAM1	↓ Aβ generation; ↑ ERK phosphorylation; ↑ Neurite outgrowth	Mouse brain, CHO, COS7	Chen and Dou, (2012); Chen et al. (2016)	
	Fasciclin 2	↑ Synapse formation	D. melanogaster body-wall muscle	Ashley et al. (2005)	
	NgCAM	\uparrow APP and αCTF levels; \uparrow Axon growth	Chick brain, chick retinal ganglion cells, HEK293T	Osterfield et al. (2008)	
	Neurofascin	Unknown	Mouse brain	Bai et al. (2008)	
	Contactin-1	$\uparrow \alpha$ -cleavage; $\downarrow \beta$ -cleavage; $\downarrow A\beta_{42}$ levels; $\downarrow A\beta_{42:40}$	Mouse brain	Bai et al. (2008); Puzzo et al. (2015)	
	Contactin-2	May modulate α/β cleavage; ↑ γ-cleavage; ↓ Neurogenesis; ↓ TGFβ2-induced cell death	Mouse brain, CHO, F11	Ma et al. (2008); Tachi et al. (2010)	
	Contactin-3	Unknown	Chick brain and in vitro	Osterfield et al. (2008); Peng et al. (2019); Karuppan et al. (2022)	
	Contactin-4	\uparrow APP and aCTF levels; \uparrow Axon-target matching	Mouse brain, chick brain, mouse retinal ganglion cells, HEK293	Osterfield et al. (2008); Osterhout et al. (2015); Peng et al. (2019); Karuppan et al. (2022)	
	Contactin-5	Unknown	In vitro	Peng et al. (2019); Karuppan et al. (2022)	
Integrins	a3	Unknown	Mouse brain	Hoe et al. (2009)	
	β1	\uparrow APP surface levels; \uparrow Monocyte activation; May increase $\alpha\text{-}cleavage;$ Alters cell adhesion; Alters neurite outgrowth	Mouse brain, HEK293, CHO, U937, human umbilical vein endothelial cells, THP-1 monocytes	Ghiso et al. (1992); Sondag and Combs, (2004); Young-Pearse et al. (2008); Hoe et al. (2009); Rice et al. (2013); Ristori et al. (2020)	
Cadherins	N-cadherin	↑ APP homodimerization; ↑ sAPPβ and Aβ production	Mouse brain, HEK293	Asada-Utsugi et al. (2011)	
	E-cad/CTF2	\uparrow APP-CTF lysosomal degradation; \downarrow Aβ production	СНО	Agiostratidou et al. (2006)	
	Calsyntenin- 1	Stabilizes APP-Mint2 interaction; $\downarrow \beta$ -cleavage; $\downarrow A\beta$ production	Mouse brain, HEK293	Araki et al. (2003); Bai et al. (2008); Takei et al. (2015); Gotoh et al. (2020)	
	Calsyntenin- 3	Unknown	Mouse brain	Bai et al. (2008)	
Neurexins	1α, 2	Unknown	Mouse brain	Norstrom et al. (2010)	
	Caspr-1	May alter APP stability/processing; May alter A β production	Mouse brain, HEK293, CHO	Hur et al. (2012); Fan et al. (2013)	
Prion Protein	PrP ^c	↑ Cell adhesion	Human brain, mouse brain, zebrafish, N2a	Schmitt-Ulms et al. (2004); Bai et al. (2008); Kaiser et al. (2012); Ulbrich et al. (2018)	
LRR-	LRRTM3	\uparrow β-cleavage; \uparrow Aβ production	SH-SY5Y, HEK293T	Majercak et al. (2006); Lincoln et al. (2013)	
CAMs	FLRT1,3	Unknown	HEK293T	Yu et al. (2016)	

TABLE 1 APP-interacting cell adhesion molecules and their role in APP processing and physiological function.

While APP is mostly found intracellularly, APLP1, in contrast, is predominantly localized at the cell surface and has a much slower rate of endocytosis compared to APP (Kaden et al., 2009; Schilling et al., 2017). Accordingly, APP-APLP1 interactions are reported to suppress endocytosis of APP, increasing its α -cleavage and reducing its β -cleavage in HEK293 cells (Neumann et al., 2006). In agreement, the co-expression of APP and APLP1 in SH-SY5Y cells results in reduced A β generation compared to cells transfected with APP only (Kaden et al., 2009). APP also influences the

subcellular distribution of APLP1, reducing its levels at the cell surface (Kaden et al., 2009). Given the emerging role of APLP1 as the primary cell adhesion molecule of the APP family (Mayer et al., 2016; Dunsing et al., 2017; Schilling et al., 2017; Onodera et al., 2021), these effects of APP on APLP1 distribution provide evidence supporting the involvement of APP in cell adhesion regulation. In the mouse brain, APP also binds to APLP2 *via* its GFLD of E1 (Soba et al., 2005; Kaden et al., 2009). Similarly to APLP1, APLP2 reduces A β generation in HEK293 cells when it is co-

Aβ-interacting Aβ species protein		Function of interaction	Cell type/tissue(s) displaying interaction	Reference(s)
АРР	Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils	 ↑ Neurotoxicity ↑ Ras levels ↑ ERK phosphorylation ↓ LTP ↑ APP dimerization 	Mouse brain, rat cortical neurons, N2a, B103	Lorenzo et al. (2000); Heredia et al. (2004) Shaked et al. (2006); Fogel et al. (2014); Kirouac et al. (2017); Wang et al. (2017)
APLP1	Oligomers		COS7	Laurén et al. (2009)
NCAM2	Oligomers	↑ NCAM2 shedding; ↑ Synapse loss	Mouse brain	Leshchyns'ka et al. (2015)
L1	Monomers; Low- MW oligomers	↓ High-MW AβOs ↓ Plaque load ↓ Synapse loss	Mouse brain and <i>in vitro</i>	Djogo et al. (2013)
α1, α2, αV, β1	Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils	 Astrogroup Neurotoxicity Cofilin activation Mitochondrial dysfunction ROS generation Apoptosis 	Human fetal cortical cultures, mouse brain, rat oligodendrocytes, THP-1 monocytes, rat mast cells, HT22, BV-2, SH-SY5Y, IMR-32	Sabo et al. (1995); Matter et al. (1998); Bamberger et al. (2003); Anderson and Ferreira, (2004); Bozzo et al. (2004); Koenigsknecht and Landreth, (2004); Wright et al. (2007); Niederhoffer et al. (2009); Han et al. (2013); Woo et al. (2015) Quintela-López et al. (2019); Ortiz-Sanz et al. (2020)
		 † Mitrix activation † Dendritic complexity and spine density † Oligodendrocyte survival † Microglial activation † Aβ phagocytosis ↓ Cell surface integrins ↓ Cell adhesion 		
1, 2, 3	Oligomers	↓ Presynaptic differentiation ↓ Neurexin-1β axonal cell surface levels ↑ Oxidative stress ↑ Synapse loss	Human brain (<i>ex vivo</i>), rat hippocampal neurons, COS-7, HEK293	Brito-Moreira et al. (2017); Naito et al. (2017)
	Fibrils	Unknown	CSF of AD patients	Rahman et al. (2018)
1	Oligomers	↑ Oxidative stress ↑ Synapse loss ↑ Memory impairment	Human brain (<i>ex vivo</i>), mouse brain	Dinamarca et al. (2015); Brito-Moreira et al (2017); Dufort-Gervais et al. (2020)
2	Oligomers	Unknown	Rat hippocampal neurons	Dinamarca et al. (2015); Brito-Moreira et al (2017)
PrPc	Oligomers	 ↓ LTP ↑ Intracellular Ca²⁺ ↑ Fyn activation ↑ Tau hyperphosphorylation ↑ Synaptotoxicity ↑ Memory impairment ↑ Cell surface PrP^c ↓ PrP^c-mediated 	Human brain, mouse brain, COS-7, SH-SY5Y, HEK293	Laurén et al. (2009); Barry et al. (2011); Caetano et al. (2011); Larson et al. (2012); Rushworth et al. (2013); Peters et al. (2015) Gunther et al. (2019)
	APP APLP1 NCAM2 L1 α1, α2, αV, β1 1, 2, 3	APPMonomers; Oligomers; FibrilsAPLP1OligomersNCAM2OligomersL1Monomers; Low- MW oligomersa1, a2, aV, β1Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils1, 2, 3Oligomers1, 2, 3Oligomers2Oligomers	APPMonomers; Oligomers; FibrilsinteractionAPLP1Oligomers; Fibrils1 Res levels 1 ERK phosphorylation 1 LTP 1 APP dimerizationAPLP1Oligomers1 NCAM2 shedding; 1 Synapse lossL1Monomers; Low MW oligomers1 High-MW AβOs 1 Plaque load 1 Synapse lossL1Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils1 Neurotoxicity 1 Cofilin activation 1 Mitochondrial dysfunctionaV, β1Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils1 Neurotoxicity 1 Cofilin activation 1 Mitochondrial dysfunction1, a2, aV, β1Monomers; Oligomers; Fibrils1 Neurotoxicity 1 Cofilin activation 1 Mitochondrial dysfunction1, a2, at a strong of the str	APPMonomers: Oligomers: Fibrils1 Neurotoxicity 1 Ras levels 1 ERK phosphorylation 1 LTP APP 100000000000000000000000000000000000

TABLE 2 A β -interacting cell adhesion molecules and their role in A β toxicity.

expressed with APP (Kaden et al., 2009) (Figure 2D). APLPs preferentially reduce $A\beta_{42}$, rather than $A\beta_{40}$ levels, suggesting that APP/APLP interactions affect the γ -secretase-mediated cleavage of APP-CTFs (Kaden et al., 2009).

APP interacts with A β and mediates A β toxicity

APP interacts with AB monomers, oligomers, and fibrils, acting as a receptor for Aβ, which mediates Aβ-induced toxicity (Figure 2B and Table 2). Soluble $A\beta$ was found to bind to the $A\beta$ region of APP at the cell surface thereby inducing cell death in N2a cells (Shaked et al., 2006). This effect was dependent on the YENPTY motif in the APP intracellular domain, which interacts with a number of cytoplasmic proteins involved in intracellular signaling, suggesting that AB induces intracellular signaling pathways via APP. Consistent with this idea, ABO treatment of B103 cells increases Ras levels and ERK phosphorylation, both of which are dependent on APP expression (Kirouac et al., 2017). ERK induces hyperphosphorylation of tau and thereby can mediate the APP-dependent ABO toxicity (Guise et al., 2001; Siano et al., 2019). In APP-/- mice, ABO binding to synapses is reduced and ABO effects on long-term potentiation (LTP) and the balance of excitatory/inhibitory activity are attenuated (Wang et al., 2017). Cultured APP-/- neurons are less vulnerable to A β induced toxicity compared to wild-type neurons (Lorenzo et al., 2000). A β monomers and dimers also bind to the E1 domain of APP, increasing APP homodimerization and influencing neurotransmitter release probability (Fogel et al., 2014). In addition, ABFs bind to APP leading to an increase in APP levels at the cell surface, thereby facilitating the binding of $A\beta$ to APP (Lorenzo et al., 2000; Heredia et al., 2004). APP-Aβ interactions promote homodimerization of APP, which may in turn stimulate amyloidogenic processing of APP to a greater extent (Fogel et al., 2014). APLP1 has also been identified as a binding partner of A β O, however, the functional significance of this interaction remains to be determined (Figure 2E).

Immunoglobulin superfamily of CAMs

Members of the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF) of CAMs are plasma membrane-attached glycoproteins characterized by the presence of immunoglobulin-like (Ig) repeats within their extracellular domains. IgSF CAMs mediate calcium-independent homophilic adhesion between cells where identical molecules on membranes of adjacent cells bind to each other. IgSF CAMs also heterophilically interact in cis with a number of other cell surface receptors located within the same membrane or bind in trans to the cell surface receptors on membranes of other cells. These proteins play important roles in regulating neuronal development and synaptic functions (Maness and Schachner, 2007; Sytnyk et al., 2017) and have been implicated in AD (Leshchyns'ka and Sytnyk, 2016). The role that APP and A β play in regulating the functions of these proteins and effects of these proteins on the amyloidogenic processing of APP and A β toxicity are reviewed below.

Neural cell adhesion molecules (NCAMs)

NCAMs belong to a sub-family of CAMs within the IgSF. The group consists of NCAM1 (originally designated NCAM) and the lesser known NCAM2 (also designated OCAM) (Winther et al., 2012; Weledji and Assob, 2014). NCAM1 and NCAM2 are structurally similar, being composed of five N-terminal Ig domains (IgI-V) and two fibronectin type III (Fn3) repeats (Fn3I-II) (Figure 3A). Alternative splicing generates three major NCAM1 isoforms, which have identical extracellular domains and differ in the membrane attachment. Two longer isoforms of NCAM1, designated NCAM140 and NCAM180 according to their molecular weight, are transmembrane proteins with a longer intracellular tail in NCAM180. The shortest NCAM1 isoform, designated NCAM120, is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein lacking the intracellular domain. Two major NCAM2 isoforms also have identical extracellular domains. The longer NCAM2 isoform is a transmembrane protein, whereas the shorter isoform is GPI-anchored to the plasma membrane. NCAMs are expressed in many tissues but are particularly enriched in the brain, where they participate in the regulation of neurite outgrowth, synaptogenesis and synaptic plasticity (Sytnyk et al., 2017; Rasmussen et al., 2018).

NCAMs interact with APP

NCAM1 forms a complex with APP in the mouse brain, with the E2 domain of APP and the extracellular domain of NCAM1 mediating this interaction (Chen and Dou, 2012) (Figure 3Ai). NCAM180, a splice variant of NCAM1 with a longer cytoplasmic tail, does not, however, associate with APP, suggesting that the APP/NCAM1 complex formation may also be dependent on an additional intracellular mechanism. The interaction of APP with NCAM1 is conserved in Drosophila melanogaster, where the APP homolog, APPL, binds to the NCAM ortholog Fasciclin 2 (Ashley et al., 2005; Mao and Freeman, 2009). Human APP was also found in a complex with NCAM2 in the brain of transgenic APP23 mice (Leshchyns'ka et al., 2015).

Role of APP in regulating NCAM1-dependent neurite outgrowth and synaptogenesis

APP and NCAM1 trigger the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway *via* phosphorylation of ERK1 and ERK2. Coexpression of NCAM1 and APP in COS7 cells promotes phosphorylation of ERK1,2 to a larger extent than found in cells expressing either protein alone (Chen and Dou, 2012) (Figure 3Ai,ii). This synergistic effect requires the extracellular, but not the intracellular domain of APP. Both APP and NCAM1 independently promote neurite outgrowth in mouse hippocampal neurons, and when present



Interactions of IgSF CAMs with APP and A β . (A) (i) NCAM1 interacts with the E2 domain of APP and reduces A β generation. Binding of APP or (ii) sAPPa to NCAM1 induces ERK phosphorylation and promotes neurite outgrowth. (iii) A β Os bind to NCAM2 triggering shedding of its extracellular domain by an unidentified protease, causing synapse disassembly. (B) (i) The chicken ortholog of L1, NgCAM, interacts with APP and regulates APP stability and processing. Binding of APP to NgCAM promotes axon outgrowth in retinal ganglion cells. (ii) A β peptides bind to the second Fn3 domain of L1. L1 reduces A $\beta_{42:40}$ ratio and the formation of high molecular-weight (MW) A β Os in favor of monomers, dimers, and tetramers. (C) APP interacts with all contactin family members except for contactin-6. The E1 CuBD of APP binds to the second Fn3 domain of contactin. Contactin-1 promotes α -cleavage and reduces β -cleavage of APP, thereby increasing AICD release and inhibiting neurogenesis. Contactin-2 also inhibits the binding of APP to TGF β 2 and reduces apoptosis induced by APP-TGF β 2 interactions. Contactin-4 regulates APP stability and processing and is required for APP-to TGF β 2 matching. The function of APP to interactions with contactin-3 and -5 remains undetermined.

together they increase neurite outgrowth synergistically (Chen et al., 2016). The fact that the latter effect can be induced by secreted sAPP α is consistent with a non-essential role of the intracellular domain of APP, altogether indicative of a ligand-receptor interaction between APP and NCAM1 (Figure 3Aii).

The role of the interaction between NCAM and APP in synaptogenesis was shown in Drosophila, where Fasciclin 2 promotes synapse formation by interacting with APPL, which initiates signaling *via* the adaptor protein Mint1 (Ashley et al., 2005).

NCAMs in AD

In AD, NCAM1 levels are reduced in the frontal and while temporal cortex, levels of proteolytic NCAM1 fragments in the serum are increased (Todaro et al., 2004; Aisa et al., 2010). The levels of NCAM1 carrying polysialic acid, an unusual carbohydrate predominantly found on NCAM1, are similarly diminished in the entorhinal cortex in AD-affected brains inversely correlating with hyperphosphorylated tau load (Murray et al., 2016). The levels of polysialylated NCAM1 are however increased in the AD hippocampus (Mikkonen et al., 1999).

NCAM2 is enriched in the human hippocampus, a brain region highly susceptible to $A\beta$ -induced toxicity. In brains of people with AD, NCAM2 levels are reduced in hippocampal synapses and the levels of soluble NCAM2 are elevated suggesting increased shedding of NCAM2 from synaptic membranes (Leshchyns'ka et al., 2015). The overall levels of NCAM2 and the levels of its phosphorylation are however increased in AD brains (Leshchyns'ka et al., 2015; Sathe et al., 2020). Together these data suggest that NCAMs play a role in AD.

NCAMs regulate the amyloidogenic processing of APP

Co-expression of NCAM1 with APP in CHO cells reduces production of both $A\beta_{40}$ and $A\beta_{42}$, suggesting that NCAM1 modulates APP processing (Chen and Dou, 2012) (Figure 3Ai). The mechanism underlying this effect is currently unknown.

A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in *NCAM2* (rs2212624) is associated with the development of late-onset AD (Kimura et al., 2006), while another SNP in *NCAM2* (rs1022442) is associated with high A β levels in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (Han et al., 2010), together suggesting that NCAM2 may be implicated in A β -dependent AD pathology. The association between SNPs in *NCAM2* and the levels of A β in CSF (Han et al., 2010) suggests that NCAM2 may influence A β production, presumably by interacting with APP.

Role of NCAMs in A β toxicity

A peptide derived from the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR)-binding region of NCAM1 within the Fn3II domain prevents neurodegeneration and cognitive impairment in A β O-treated rats (Klementiev et al., 2007). The peptide mimics NCAM1 functions by binding to and activating FGFR (Neiiendam et al., 2004). Together these data suggest that the loss of NCAM1-FGFR interactions and attenuation of NCAM1-dependent signaling contribute to A β toxicity.

NCAM2 interacts with AβOs in brains of transgenic APP23 mice, and AβOs increase shedding of synaptic NCAM2 in cultured hippocampal neurons, compromising synaptic adhesion and inducing synapse disassembly (Leshchyns'ka et al., 2015) (Figure 3Aiii).

L1 family

The L1 family is a group of IgSF CAMs that includes L1, close homologue of L1 (CHL1), neurofascin and NgCAM-related CAM (NrCAM). L1 is a transmembrane protein with a short cytoplasmic tail and extracellular domain composed of six Ig-like domains and five Fn3 repeats (Figure 3B). L1 is expressed in a variety of tissues, but is enriched in the nervous system, where it plays a role in synaptogenesis, neurite outgrowth, and neuromuscular junction stability (Sytnyk et al., 2017).

Role of APP in regulating L1 family functions

APP interacts with neuronal-glial CAM (NgCAM), the chicken ortholog of L1, in the chick brain, and APP and sAPPa enhance NgCAM-dependent axon growth in retinal ganglion cells (Osterfield et al., 2008) (Figure 3Bi). In the mouse brain, APP interacts with another L1 family member, neurofascin (Bai et al., 2008). The functional role of this interaction remains to be investigated.

L1 family members in AD and their role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP and $A\beta$ toxicity

In the CSF of AD patients, the levels of L1 proteolytic fragments are increased (Strekalova et al., 2006), while neurofascin levels are reduced (Brinkmalm et al., 2018).

The levels of full-length APP and α CTF are elevated in HEK293T cells co-expressing NgCAM, suggesting a role for the latter in modulation of APP stability and/or processing (Osterfield et al., 2008) (Figure 3Bi).

A β_{42} peptides bind to L1 in vitro via its second Fn3 domain (Djogo et al., 2013) (Figure 3Bii). This interaction reduces the formation of high-molecular weight (MW) forms of ABOs, with a corresponding increase in levels of $A\beta$ monomers, trimers, and tetramers. Hippocampal L1 levels are reduced in aged APPswe mice, a mouse model of AD overexpressing human APP with a Swedish (KM670/671NL) mutation, which exhibits $A\beta$ deposition with age (Hu et al., 2022). In APP/PS1 mice, a mouse AD model co-expressing mutated human APP with a Swedish mutation and mutated presenilin 1, overexpression of L1 using adeno-associated viruses results in a reduced AB plaque load and $A\beta_{42/40}$ ratio, as well as milder hippocampal synapse loss and astrogliosis (Djogo et al., 2013). A 70 kDa fragment of L1 (L1-70) generated by proteolysis of L1 by serine proteases also reduces Aβ load in mice. This occurs via translocation of L1-70 to the nucleus inducing cytokine expression and the clearance of AB plaques by activated microglia (Hu et al., 2022). While microglial activation is important for Aß clearance, in prolonged or extreme form it results in inflammation exacerbating neuronal damage in AD (Heneka et al., 2015).

Together, these findings allude to a protective role for L1 in AD via the prevention of aggregation and promotion of A β clearance.

Contactins

Contactins are a family of CAMs within the IgSF comprising six members, including contactin-1 (also designated F3), contactin-2 (also named TAG1 or TAX1), contactin-3 (also named BIG-1 or PANG), contactin-4 (also designated BIG-2), contactin-5 (also named NB-2), and contactin-6 (also designated NB-3). Contactins are composed of six Ig domains and four Fn3 domains attached to plasma membrane *via* a GPI anchor (Figure 3C). They are primarily expressed in the brain where they accumulate in axons, contributing to control of axon growth and guidance, as well as to other functions distinct for each family member (Shimoda and Watanabe, 2009; Gennarini et al., 2017; Chatterjee et al., 2019).

Role of APP in regulating contactin family functions

In the mouse brain, APP was shown to interact with contactin-1 (Bai et al., 2008; Puzzo et al., 2015), contactin-2 (Ma et al., 2008), and contactin-4 (Osterhout et al., 2015). Contactin-3 and -4 were demonstrated to interact with APP in the chick brain (Osterfield et al., 2008). In vitro assays show that contactin-3 and -4 bind to APP with the highest affinity amongst the contactin family via a conserved interaction interface between the E1 CuBD of APP and second Fn3 domain of Contactin-3 and -4 (Peng et al., 2019; Karuppan et al., 2022) (Figure 3C). Contactin-5 also binds to APP via this interface, suggesting the binding site is likely to be similar for all contactins (Karuppan et al., 2022). Contactin-1 and -2 show little to no binding to APP in these in vitro assays, suggesting additional factors may mediate such interactions in the brain. Contactin-6 does not bind to APP in vitro, and this interaction has not thus far been identified in vivo, potentially making contactin-6 unique within the contactin family.

The interaction of contactin-4 with APP is required for axontarget matching in mouse retinal ganglion cells, regulating the circuitry involved in vision stabilization (Osterhout et al., 2015) (Figure 3C). The physiological role of the interactions between other contactins and APP is yet to be determined.

Contactin family members in AD and their role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP and $A\beta$ toxicity

In mice, contactin-1 expression in the hippocampus decreases with age being associated with age-dependent cognitive decline (Shimazaki et al., 1998; Puzzo et al., 2015). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the contactin-2 coding gene are associated with late-onset AD and contactin-2 CSF levels are reduced in AD and correlate with $A\beta_{40}$ and hyperphosphorylated tau levels (Medway et al., 2010; Chatterjee et al., 2018). The levels

of contactin-2 also decrease with age in mice (Tachi et al., 2010). The chromosomal region encompassing the contactin-4 coding gene was found to have suggestive linkage to late-onset AD (Blacker et al., 2003; Bamford et al., 2020).

Contactin-1 is suggested to modulate the α -/ β -cleavage of APP as aged transgenic mice overexpressing contactin-1 display reduced sAPPB and increased sAPPa levels compared to similarly aged wild-type mice (Puzzo et al., 2015) (Figure 3C). The levels of $A\beta_{42}$ are decreased, while the levels of $A\beta_{40}$ remain unchanged in these transgenic mice, suggesting that the preferred γ-cleavage site may also be influenced by contactin-1 overexpression. Contactin-2 may similarly influence the α -/ β cleavage of APP as mouse embryonic fibroblasts overexpressing contactin-2 show increased production of both α - and β -CTFs, with a more prominent rise in α -CTF levels (Ma et al., 2008). However, contactin-2 does not affect sAPPa or sAPPβ levels in HEK293 cells or cultured cortical neurons (Rice et al., 2013). Contactin-2 promotes the y-cleavage of APP-CTFs as demonstrated by a decrease in AICD release in CNTN2-/embryonic mouse brains (Ma et al., 2008). This contactin-2dependent y-cleavage and release of AICD initiates a signaling pathway that inhibits neurogenesis (Figure 3C). Contactin-2 is also suggested to suppress neuronal cell death, as it competitively inhibits the binding of transforming growth factor $\beta 2$ (TGF $\beta 2$) to APP (Tachi et al., 2010), an interaction known to induce neuronal apoptosis (Hashimoto et al., 2005). In HEK293 cells, co-expression of contactin-4 increases the levels of full-length APP and aCTF suggesting that contactin-4 also regulates the processing of APP (Osterfield et al., 2008) (Figure 3C).

Together, these data suggest that at least some members of the contactin family regulate the function and processing of APP, and that the age-related reduction in contactin expression may contribute to the shift towards amyloidogenic processing that leads to AD.

Other IgSF CAMs

The small GPI-anchored CAM Thy-1 has also been identified as a binding partner of APP (Bai et al., 2008), while neurotrimin and opioid-binding protein/CAM have been found to bind A β F (Verdier et al., 2005). The role these interactions play in normal physiology and amyloid-dependent pathology requires further investigation.

Integrins

Integrins form a large and diverse family of ubiquitously expressed transmembrane CAMs (Barczyk et al., 2009). They are heterodimers composed of α and β subunits, combining to form at least 24 different pairs with distinct functions and expression patterns (Takada et al., 2007) (Figure 4). Integrins are the primary mediators of cell-to-ECM adhesion throughout the body and serve a wide variety of functions including



FIGURE 4

Interactions of integrins with APP and Aβ. (A) (i) The E1 domain of APP interacts with β_1 -and α_3 -integrins. Integrins suppress endocytosis of APP and thereby may facilitate its α -cleavage. APP influences integrin stability and integrin-dependent adhesion. (ii) In neurons, sAPP α binds to β_1 -integrins and induces neurite outgrowth. (iii) In monocytes, adhesion to a collagen substrate induces the formation of an APP- β_1 -integrin complex, which activates MAPK signaling resulting in monocyte activation. (B) (i) In neurons, binding of A β_0 s to β_1 -integrins leads to a reduction in the cell surface levels of β_1 -integrins and compromised cell adhesion, and results in activation of the f-actin severing protein, cofilin, mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS generation, leading to apoptosis. (ii) A β_F s bind several integrin subunits (β_1 , α_2 , and α_V) and induce MAPK activation and neurotoxicity. Binding of A β_F to β_1 -integrin also induces FAK and paxillin activation, leading to dysregulation of integrin-mediated focal adhesion and contributing to neuronal dystrophy. (iii) In microglia, A β_F s bind to a receptor complex composed of $\alpha_6\beta_1$ -integrin, CD47, and CD36, and induce tyrosine-kinase activation, stimulating A β_F phagocytosis and microglial activation.

regulation of cellular growth and migration (Howe et al., 1998; Huttenlocher and Horwitz, 2011). Integrins are widely expressed in cells of the nervous system, where they play important roles in neurite outgrowth, synaptic plasticity, and neural immune function (Archelos et al., 1999; Clegg et al., 2003).

Integrins interact with APP

In the mouse and rat brain, APP binds to β 1-integrins and α 3-integrins, but not to α M-integrins (Young-Pearse et al., 2008; Hoe et al., 2009) (Figure 4A). APP colocalizes strongly with α 1 β 1- and α 5 β 1-integrins in cultured neurons, and with α 1 β 1- but not

α5β1-integrins in cultured astrocytes (Yamazaki et al., 1997), suggesting cell type-specific interactions between APP and different integrin subunits. The β1-integrin-APP complex is formed *via* the interaction of the E1 domain of APP with the extracellular domain of β1-integrin (Young-Pearse et al., 2008). Binding sites within APP for other integrin subunits remain unknown. APP and β1-integrins may also be linked by cytoplasmic adaptor proteins, such as Dab1 and Fe65, which both APP and β1-integrins bind to (Young-Pearse et al., 2008).

Role of APP in regulating integrin-dependent functions

Studies in multiple cell types suggest that APP regulates integrin-mediated adhesion, a function consistent with the ubiquitous expression of both proteins. The loss of APP in endothelial cells reduces expression of \$1-and \$3-integrins, compromising attachment of cells to collagen and fibronectin substrates (Ristori et al., 2020). Adhesion of THP-1 monocytes to collagen substrates is also dependent on APP expression, wherein adhesion induces the formation of a receptor complex containing *β*1-integrins and APP, initiating MAPK signaling and facilitating monocyte activation (Sondag and Combs, 2004) (Figure 4Aiii). In contrast, the loss of APP in mice leads to an increase in the levels of β 1-and α 3-integrins in the brain (Hoe et al., 2009), suggesting that the integrin-APP interactions in cells of the nervous system may differ from those in other tissues. In cultured neurons, blockade of \beta1-integrins with anti-\beta1integrin antibodies leads to inhibition of neurite outgrowth induced by sAPPa, suggesting that β 1-integrins function as receptors for soluble forms of APP (Young-Pearse et al., 2008) (Figure 4Aii).

β 1-integrins regulate the processing of APP

In cultured hippocampal neurons overexpressing \beta1-integrin and APP, APP internalization is reduced and APP levels at the cell surface are increased (Hoe et al., 2009), suggesting that β 1integrins facilitate the α -cleavage of APP which is known to occur predominantly at the cell surface (Parvathy et al., 1999) (Figure 4Ai). In agreement, overexpression of $\beta1\text{-integrins}$ in HEK293 cells endogenously expressing APP leads to an increase in sAPPa production, however, this effect could not be reproduced in cultured cortical neurons (Rice et al., 2013). The levels of \$\beta1-integrins are reduced in the brain of the Tg2576 mouse model of AD overexpressing a mutant form of APP (isoform 695) with the Swedish mutation (KM670/671NL). This model displays elevated Aß levels and ultimately amyloid plaques (Hoe et al., 2009), supporting the idea that low integrin levels correlate with increased amyloidogenic APP processing. Integrin-mediated signaling was also implicated in the control of APP processing in several genome-wide siRNA screens (Camargo et al., 2015; Chapuis et al., 2017). ß1-integrins interact with a 109 amino acid APP-CTF (Ghiso et al., 1992). They may therefore bind to $\alpha\text{-}$ and $\beta\text{-}\mathrm{CTFs}$ of APP and influence their $\gamma\text{-}\mathrm{cleavage}.$

Role of integrins in A β toxicity

Integrins bind to AB monomers, oligomers, and fibrils. The RHDS sequence located near the N-terminus of AB is similar to the known integrin recognition sequence RGDS (Ghiso et al., 1992). CHO cells expressing a5β1-integrins attach to nonfibrillar Aβ-coated surfaces, and this attachment is blocked by soluble RGDS sequence-containing peptides or anti-a5-integrin antibodies (Matter et al., 1998). The binding of integrins to $A\beta$ is subunit specific because CHO cells expressing αvβ1-integrin, but not $\alpha v\beta 3$ -integrin, also attach to A β -coated surfaces. A β_{40} peptides also bind to aIIbβ3-integrin (platelet integrin) via the RHDS sequence, however, other sequences in $A\beta_{40}$ are also involved (Donner et al., 2016; Donner et al., 2018). The binding of α 6-integrins and β 1-integrins to A β F is mediated by an epitope distinct from the RHDS sequence (Bamberger et al., 2003; Venkatasubramaniam et al., 2014). β1-integrin also binds ABOs, and B1-integrin conditional knock-out mice demonstrate reduced binding of ABOs to neurons (Woo et al., 2015).

The binding of A β Os to β 1-integrins in neurons results in the activation of cofilin, an f-actin severing protein, leading to the depletion of f-actin, mitochondrial dysfunction, ROS generation, and apoptosis (Woo et al., 2015) (Figure 4Bi). β 1-integrin also mediates a transient increase in spine density and dendritic complexity following A β O treatment (Ortiz-Sanz et al., 2020). Changes in function of oligodendrocytes, the myelinating glial cells of the central nervous system, are associated with the onset of neurodegeneration in AD. It is noteworthy that the binding of A β Os to β 1-integrin in oligodendrocytes leads to the activation of protein tyrosine kinase Fyn and serine/threonine-specific Ca²⁺/ calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), promoting differentiation, maturation, and survival of these cells (Quintela-López et al., 2019). This may represent a physiological role of A β which may be lost in favor of toxicity with chronic A β exposure.

Binding of ABF to B1-integrin in neurons results in activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and the focal adhesion scaffolding protein, paxillin, leading to the formation of aberrant focal adhesion-like structures (Grace and Busciglio, 2003; Han et al., 2013). AßF-induced neuronal dystrophy is dependent on *β*1-integrin-induced paxillin activation, indicating that dysregulation of focal adhesions may be central to Aβ toxicity (Figure 4Bii). Furthermore, AβFinduced neurotoxicity appears to be driven by the MAPK pathway, dependent on specific integrins, with neurotoxicity mediated by α 1-integrin in hippocampal neurons and α 2-, α V, and *β*1-integrins in cortical neurons (Anderson and Ferreira, 2004; Wright et al., 2007). Binding of ABF to a receptor complex composed of a6β1-integrin, IgSF CAM CD47, and B-class scavenger receptor CD36 in microglia, immune effector cells of the central nervous system, causes Fyn



Interactions of cadherins with APP. (A) The γ -cleavage product of E-cadherin, E-cad/CTF2, interacts with APP-CTFs, promoting their lysosomal degradation and precluding A β generation. (B) N-cadherin interacts with APP promoting its homodimerization, β -cleavage, and A β generation. N-cadherin-induced APP homodimerization may promote β -cleavage and A β generation, however, this mechanism needs to be confirmed. (C) APP forms a complex with the non-classical cadherin, calsyntenin-1, and adaptor protein Mint2. The APP-Mint2 interaction, which may suppress A β generation, is stabilized in the tripartite complex with calsyntenin-1, thus enabling greater suppression of A β generation. Formation of the APP-Mint2-calsyntenin-1 complex also precludes calsyntenin-1 processing, reducing generation of the γ -cleavage product, calsyntenin-1 ICD, which disrupts the APP-Mint2-calsyntenin-1 complex.

activation, triggering microglial cell activation and leading to a potentially deleterious inflammatory response (Bamberger et al., 2003) (Figure 4Biii). Tyrosine kinase-dependent signaling *via* this receptor complex induces phagocytosis of A β F, which may facilitate A β clearance (Koenigsknecht and Landreth, 2004). Blockade of β 1-integrins prevents A β Finduced tyrosine kinase signaling, ROS generation, and interleukin-1 β production in THP-1 monocytes (Bamberger et al., 2003). A β F also stimulates histamine secretion from mast cells *via* binding to a β 1-integrin-CD47 receptor complex (Niederhoffer et al., 2009).

While aberrant overactivation of some integrin signaling pathways appears to be a common response to $A\beta$, other integrin-dependent pathways are silenced in AD mouse models. For example, integrin-linked kinase (ILK) levels and activity are reduced in APP/PS1 mice expressing a chimeric mouse/human APP with a Swedish mutation and a mutant human presenilin 1 in the central nervous system neurons. Overexpression of ILK rescues hippocampal neurogenesis and memory deficits in this AD mouse model (Xu et al., 2018).

Integrin-mediated cell-to-cell and cell-to-ECM adhesion also appears to be a target of A β toxicity, as A β peptides partially block β 1-integrin-mediated adhesion of SH-SY5Y cells to a fibronectin

substrate (Sabo et al., 1995). A β Os induce the loss of cell surface β 1integrins in cultured neurons and α 1 β 1-integrins in neuroblastoma cell lines (Bozzo et al., 2004; Bozzo et al., 2010; Woo et al., 2015). Overexpression of α 5 β 1-integrins in human neuroblastoma IMR-32 cells results in inhibition of A β -induced apoptosis (Matter et al., 1998), suggesting that the loss of integrins contributes to A β Oinduced neuronal death in AD (Figure 4Bi).

Cadherins

Cadherins are a family of widely expressed CAMs characterized by the presence of the extracellular cadherin (EC) domains and mediating calcium-dependent homophilic adhesion between cells. Classical cadherins such as E- or N-cadherin are transmembrane glycoproteins with a short cytoplasmic tail and extracellular domain composed of five EC domains (Figure 5B). Other cadherins contain variable numbers of EC and other domains. Cadherins play various roles in regulating cell migration, cytoskeleton organization, and are crucial components of adherens junctions in epithelia and endothelia (Angst et al., 2001; Halbleib and Nelson, 2006; Kowalczyk and Nanes, 2012; Shih and Yamada, 2012). In the



Interactions of neurexin and neuroligin with APP and Aβ. (A) Neurexin-1 and -2 interact with APP in mouse brains, with unknown consequence. (B) Caspr-1 interacts with APP. This interaction alters stability and processing of APP influencing production of Aβ. It is uncertain whether the interaction increases or decreases amyloidogenic processing. (C) AβOs bind to neurexin-1β, reducing its levels at the axonal cell surface and hindering presynaptic differentiation. (D) AβOs additionally bind to neurexin-2α triggering oxidative stress, synapse loss, and memory impairments in mice. Similarly, (E) AβOs interact with neuroligin-1 at the post-synapse, inducing oxidative stress, synapse loss, and memory impairments in mice. Shedding releases soluble neuroligin-1 which also binds to and likely sequesters AβOs, preventing interactions with membrane-bound neuroligin-1 and other receptors.

brain, cadherins regulate neurite outgrowth and the formation of synaptic contacts, and are important for overall neural development (Zhu and Luo, 2004; Arikkath and Reichardt, 2008; Hansen et al., 2008).

Cadherins in AD and their role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP

The levels of N-cadherin proteolytic fragments are increased in the CSF and plasma of AD patients (Choi et al., 2020), while N-cadherin expression is upregulated in the cerebral cortex of A β aggregate-injected mice (Kong et al., 2005), suggesting deregulation of N-cadherin expression or cleavage of this glycoprotein in AD.

In the mouse brain, N-cadherin interacts with APP and enhances APP homodimerization as well as production of sAPP β and A β (Asada-Utsugi et al., 2011) (Figure 5B). Similarly to APP, E-cadherin is processed by ADAM10 and γ -secretase (Marambaud et al., 2002; Maretzky et al., 2005). The cytoplasmic fragment of E-cadherin, termed E-cad/CTF2, which is released following γ -secretase cleavage of E-cadherin, interacts with APP-CTFs in CHO cells, promoting their lysosomal degradation and inhibiting the production of A β and AICD (Agiostratidou et al., 2006) (Figure 5A). E-cadherin was identified as an interacting partner of APP *in silico* using a protein-protein interaction tool (Dursun and Gezen-Ak, 2017). This approach also identified β -catenin, which is a cytoplasmic adaptor protein that links E-cadherin to the cytoskeleton (Tian et al., 2011), suggesting that APP modulates cadherin functions in cytoskeletal organization, signaling, and adherens junction maintenance.

In the mouse brain, APP interacts with the non-classical cadherins calsyntenin-1 and -3 (Bai et al., 2008). These cell adhesion molecules are highly expressed in neurons and accumulate in the post-synaptic membrane (Hintsch et al., 2002). Calsyntenin-1 forms a complex with APP and Mint2, stabilizing the APP-Mint2 interaction, suppressing amyloidogenic processing and reducing Aß production (Araki et al., 2003; Gotoh et al., 2020) (Figure 5C). Accordingly, calsyntenin-1 deficiency in APP23 mice results in increased plaque deposition. On the other hand, the intracellular domain of calsyntenin-1 released following y-secretase cleavage (calsyntenin-1 ICD) disrupts the APP-Mint2-Calsyntenin-1 complex, promoting Aß generation (Takei et al., 2015). Since the tripartite complex additionally protects calsyntenin-1 from cleavage (Araki et al., 2004), calsyntenin-1 ICD production may promote further calsyntenin-1 cleavage and ICD production, leading to a positive feedback loop that generates increasing amounts of A β (Figure 5C).

Neurexins and neuroligins

Neurexins are a family of transmembrane CAMs, which includes three classical neurexins (neurexin-1 to -3) and five contactin-associated proteins (Caspr-1 to -5) named for their close association with contactins. Each neurexin gene encodes an α and β isoform, which differ in their extracellular part. The extracellular domains of α -neurexins are composed of six laminin G-like domains and three EGF-like regions, while the extracellular domains of β isoforms contain only a single laminin G-like repeat (Reissner et al., 2013). The extracellular domains of contactin-associated proteins contain four laminin G-like domains, two EGF-like regions, an F5/8 type C domain, and a fibrinogen-like part (Figure 6B).

Neuroligins are a family of transmembrane CAMs, which includes five members (neuroligin-1 to -3, 4X and 4Y). The extracellular domains of neuroligins mostly consist of an acetylcholinesterase (AChE)-homologous domain, which binds in a calcium-dependent manner to the laminin G-like domains of neurexins (Bemben et al., 2015). The interaction between presynaptic neurexins and postsynaptic neuroligins plays an important role in synapse formation and maturation being critical for overall neural development (Craig and Kang, 2007; Bang and Owczarek, 2013).

Role of neurexin family members in the amyloidogenic processing of APP

Cytosolic domains of neurexins and APP interact with Mint1 and Mint2 (Biederer and Südhof, 2000), and neurexin-1 and -2 were found in a complex with APP in the brains of transgenic mice expressing affinity tagged APP (Norstrom et al., 2010) (Figure 6A). APP also interacts with Caspr-1 in the mouse brain (Fan et al., 2013) (Figure 6B). Caspr-1 interacts with and is cleaved by γ -secretase, and its silencing results in a drastic reduction of AB production in HEK293 cells (Hur et al., 2012). The levels of Caspr-1 are increased in APP/PS1 mice (Fan et al., 2013). Caspr-1 loss of function leads to a decrease in sAPPa production in brain endothelial cells via transcriptional regulation of the secondary α-secretase ADAM9 (Tang et al., 2020). Interestingly, Caspr-1 overexpression results in reduced levels of APP, APP-CTFs and $A\beta$ in CHO cells transfected with APP containing an Indiana (V717F) mutation (Fan et al., 2013), which affects binding of APP to γ -secretase (De Jonghe et al., 2001).

Role of neurexin family members in A β toxicity

A β Os bind to neurexin-1, -2, and -3 in transfected COS7 cells (Naito et al., 2017). The binding of A β Os to β neurexins has no effect on neurexin-neuroligin interactions but hinders the neurexin-mediated excitatory presynaptic differentiation observed when hippocampal neurons were co-cultured together with neuroligin-expressing HEK293 cells. This occurs via a reduction in neurexin-1β levels at the axonal cell surface induced by A β Os (Figure 6C). In accordance, β -neurexins, but not α -neurexins, are downregulated in synapses of the J20 APP mouse model of AD, which overexpresses human APP with the Swedish and Indiana mutations linked to familial AD (Naito et al., 2017). A β Os also bind neurexin-2 α in human brain tissue (Brito-Moreira et al., 2017) (Figure 6D). Blockade of the neurexin- 2α -A β O interaction using antibodies against neurexin-2a reduces the binding of ABOs to cultured hippocampal neurons and prevents ABO-induced oxidative stress and synapse loss. ABO-induced memory impairment in mice is attenuated after injection of the aforementioned anti-neurexin-2a antibodies (Brito-Moreira et al., 2017). Neurexins-1, -2, and -3 have been reported to bind to ABF in CSF of AD patients (Rahman et al., 2018).

Role of neuroligins in A β toxicity

ABOs bind to neuroligin-1 in human brain tissue and in the rat brain (Dinamarca et al., 2015; Brito-Moreira et al., 2017). Blockade of the neuroligin-1-AβO interaction reduces binding of ABOs to neurons, inhibits ABO-induced oxidative stress and synapse loss in cultured neurons, and prevents memory impairments in mice (Brito-Moreira et al., 2017). Soluble neuroligin-1 binds to ABOs and reduces excitatory synaptotoxicity (Dinamarca et al., 2015), most likely by sequestering ABOs and inhibiting their interaction with membrane-bound neuroligin-1 (Figure 6E). On the other hand, neuroligin-1 deficient neurons are more vulnerable to ABO-induced toxicity, and ABO-induced impairments in learning are more severe in neuroligin-1 deficient mice (Dufort-Gervais et al., 2020). Neuroligin-1 levels are also reduced in the hippocampus of people with AD (Dufort-Gervais et al., 2020). These seemingly contradictory findings may suggest that although neuroligin-1 mediates toxicity via its interaction with ABOs, loss of neuroligin-1 and its associated physiological functions may weaken synapses and increase vulnerability to ABO toxicity through other receptors. ABOs also bind to neuroligin-2, but not neuroligin-3 (Brito-Moreira et al., 2017). In contrast to neuroligin-1, neuroligin-2 is localized to inhibitory synapses (Varoqueaux et al., 2004), and the effects of its interaction with ABOs remain unknown.



Interactions of the cellular prion protein (PrPc) and LRR superfamily CAMs with APP and Aβ. (A) (i) Binding of AβOs to PrPc induces an increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ levels, LTP inhibition, Fyn activation, and tau hyperphosphorylation, ultimately resulting in synaptotoxicity and neuronal death. PrP^c is anchored to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane and transmits the ABO-induced signals across the plasma membrane by interacting with the transmembrane proteins LRP1 and mGluR5. Soluble PrP^c binds to and can sequester AβOs, preventing membrane-bound PrP^c-mediated AβO toxicity. (ii) Interaction of APP with the N-terminal domain of PrP^c enhances cell adhesion via an unknown mechanism and may also regulate neuronal excitability. (B) (i) LRRTM3 interacts with APP promoting its β -cleavage and facilitating A β generation. (ii) APP also interacts with FLRT1 and FLRT3, with unknown consequence.

Prion protein

The cellular prion protein (PrP^c) is a small glycoprotein wellknown for its role in prion diseases such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, where it exists in a misfolded and aggregated form termed prion protein scrapie (PrPsc) (Atkinson et al., 2016). PrPc consists of a disordered N-terminal domain and C-terminal α -helical region attached to the membrane via a GPI anchor (Watts et al., 2018). PrP^c is expressed in a variety of tissues but is particularly enriched in the brain where is plays a role in regulating cell adhesion, neuronal development, synaptic plasticity, and myelin maintenance (Roucou and LeBlanc, 2005; Petit et al., 2013; Wulf et al., 2017; Watts et al., 2018).

Role of APP in regulating PrP^c-dependent functions

PrPc interacts with APP in the mouse brain, zebrafish, and N2a cells (Schmitt-Ulms et al., 2004; Bai et al., 2008; Kaiser et al., 2012) (Figure 7Aii). APP was pulled down from human brain lysate with the N-terminal domain of PrP^c used as bait (Ulbrich et al., 2018). In zebrafish embryos, knockdown of either APP or PrPc homologs was shown to have no effect on cell aggregation, however, knockdown of both significantly reduced the propensity for aggregation. (Kaiser et al., 2012). The loss of APP in zebrafish results in increased seizures

upon exposure to low doses of convulsant, and this effect is lost in fish lacking PrP^c suggesting that APP regulates neuronal excitability in a PrP^c-dependent manner (Kanyo et al., 2020).

PrP^c in AD and its role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP

There are some similarities between prion diseases and AD, and the gene encoding for PrPc (Prpn) has been identified as a potential susceptibility gene for AD (Riemenschneider et al., 2004; Bertram et al., 2007). PrPc levels are reduced in the temporal cortex of patients suffering from sporadic AD, and this reduction correlates with increased clinical severity of the disease (Whitehouse et al., 2013).

PrP^c modulates APP processing by interacting with BACE1, where PrPc binds to immature BACE1 in the Golgi, preventing its export and maturation (Parkin et al., 2007; Griffiths et al., 2011). PrP^c reduces Aβ levels in mouse brains, and PrP^c levels inversely correlate with AB load in AD (Whitehouse et al., 2013). It is unknown whether PrP^c also controls APP processing by directly interacting with APP.

Role of PrP^{c} in A β toxicity

AβOs bind to the N-terminal region of PrP^c in AD brains but not in brains of non-demented controls (Chen et al.,

10.3389/fcell.2022.969547

2010; Dohler et al., 2014) (Figure 7Ai). The efficiency of $A\beta O$ binding to the neuronal surface is strongly reduced in cultured Prpn-/- neurons, and $A\beta Os$ fail to inhibit hippocampal LTP in Prpn-/- mice indicating that PrP^{c} is one of the major neuronal receptors for $A\beta Os$ (Laurén et al., 2009). The $A\beta O$ -induced LTP impairment is also reduced in rats after intracerebroventricular administration of antibody fragments directed to a putative $A\beta$ -binding site on PrP^{c} (Barry et al., 2011). Furthermore, PrP^{c} shedding due to cleavage by ADAM10 decreases $A\beta O$ binding to iPSC-derived neurons, resulting in reduced toxicity (Jarosz-Griffiths et al., 2019), while soluble PrP^{c} inhibits $A\beta O$ -induced LTP inhibition (Calella et al., 2010; Scott-McKean et al., 2016).

Binding of ABO to PrP^c leads to an increase in intracellular calcium levels, causes synaptotoxicity, and induces activation of Fyn kinase leading to tau hyperphosphorylation (Larson et al., 2012; Peters et al., 2015). PrP^c transmits signals across the plasma membrane by binding to LRP1 (Rushworth et al., 2013) and mGluR5 (Um et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014). NCAMs, which bind both PrP^c and Fyn, may also be involved (Bodrikov et al., 2005; Santuccione et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2013). Binding of A_βO to PrP^c has been shown to hinder PrPc-dependent BACE1 inhibition, likely promoting further AB production and accumulation (Rushworth et al., 2013). Binding of ABO to $PrP^{\rm c}$ at the cell surface leads to an increase in $PrP^{\rm c}$ levels here by limiting PrP^c endocytosis (Caetano et al., 2011), thereby further promoting the binding of $A\beta O$ to the cell surface and enhancing ABO toxicity in a positive feedback loop.

An orally administered PrP^c agonist that blocks binding of A β Os to PrP^c rescues memory impairment and synaptic loss in transgenic APP/PS1 mice (Gunther et al., 2019), which overexpress human APP with a Swedish mutation and mutant PS1 and exhibit an age-dependent accumulation of A β Os and A β O-induced pathology. The effects of the PrP^c agonist are seen in 12-month-old mice, when A β accumulation has already occurred, and memory impairment and synaptic loss are evident (Gunther et al., 2019). These data suggest that therapeutic interventions targeting the PrP^c-A β O interaction may prove effective at restoring brain health in individuals already diagnosed with AD.

CAMs of the leucine-rich repeat superfamily

CAMs of the leucine-rich repeat superfamily are characterized by the presence of leucine rich-repeats (LRRs) in their extracellular domains. Leucine-rich repeat transmembrane proteins (LRRTMs) are a family within the LRR superfamily consisting of four members (LRRTM-1 to -4). They are singlepass transmembrane proteins with short cytoplasmic tails and extracellular domains comprising ten LRRs (Figure 7Bi). LRRTMs mediate synaptic adhesion by binding to neurexins (Ko, 2012). A family of fibronectin leucine-rich repeat transmembrane (FLRT) proteins also belongs to the LRR superfamily. Three members of this family (FLRT-1 to -3) are single-pass transmembrane proteins with ten extracellular LRR domains and a juxtamembrane Fn3 domain (Figure 7Bii). FLRTs mediate synaptic adhesion by binding to latrophilins (O'Sullivan et al., 2012). LRRTMs and FLRTs play an important role in synapse formation and regulation (Ko, 2012; Schroeder and de Wit, 2018).

LRR superfamily CAMs in AD and their role in the amyloidogenic processing of APP

LRRTM3 was identified as a candidate gene for AD from an siRNA screening of over 15,000 genes (Majercak et al., 2006). SNPs within the promoter and intronic regions of the gene coding for LRRTM3 are associated with AD (Reitz et al., 2012). LRRTM3 interacts with APP in HEK293 cells and colocalizes with APP in cultured neurons (Lincoln et al., 2013). siRNA-mediated knockdown of LRRTM3 leads to a reduction in sAPP β and β -CTF levels and A β secretion in SH-SY5Y cells (Majercak et al., 2006; Lincoln et al., 2013), suggesting that LRRTM3 modulates β -cleavage of APP (Figure 7Bi). APP also interacts with FLRT1 and FLRT3 in HEK293T cells (Yu et al., 2016) (Figure 7Bii). The functional role of this interaction remains unclear.

Conclusions and outlook

The amyloid hypothesis places APP and $A\beta$ at the center of AD etiology, however, our understanding of the normal functions of APP, regulation of its processing, and the mechanisms of Aβinduced toxicity are still incomplete. These knowledge gaps have been showcased by the failures of therapeutics targeting APP processing and AB, which have been unable to demonstrate reasonable efficacy (Kumar et al., 2018; Hampel et al., 2021; Karran and De Strooper, 2022). While the physiological functions of APP are incompletely understood, its general role in regulating cell adhesion is suggested by multiple reports showing that APP not only interacts with different CAMs, but also regulates multiple functions of these proteins, including cell adhesion and neuronal growth regulation. CAMs also emerged as important regulators of the processing of APP with some CAMs promoting amyloidogenic pathway and others enhancing the nonamyloidogenic pathway. In addition to its role in AD pathogenesis, AB plays physiological roles in learning and memory (Puzzo et al., 2011; Kent et al., 2020). It is therefore possible that the CAM-regulated switches in the modes of APP processing are functionally important, however, the roles these switches play in the healthy brain remains poorly understood and should be analyzed in the future. Substantial evidence indicates that CAMs function as the cell surface receptors for $A\beta$ and its oligomers. While a possible physiological role of these interactions remains also unknown, the binding of A β to CAMs clearly plays a role in AD by inducing aberrant signaling pathways and loss of CAM-mediated adhesion. Interestingly, some CAMs inhibit the formation of large A β oligomers, suggesting these proteins are involved in the regulation of A β turnover in the brain.

Together, the research outlined here highlights the importance of the interplay between CAMs and APP during normal physiology, a factor which should be considered when developing therapeutics that target APP as they may impact these functions. On the other hand, modulation of the interactions between APP and CAMs may represent an attractive therapeutic approach to reduce Aβ generation while limiting side effects due to overzealous obstruction of APP and its proteases. Finally, inhibition of the binding of A β to CAMs may be used to prevent the binding of A β to the neuronal surface and reduce the A β -induced toxic effects. There are still major gaps in our understanding of the role that CAMs play in APP and A β -dependent functions. Future research should focus on the mechanisms underpinning the interactions of CAMs with APP and A β in the brain with the hope of aiding future endeavors to develop safe and effective therapies for AD.

Author contributions

GP and VS contributed to conception and design of the study. GP wrote the first draft of the manuscript and

References

Agiostratidou, G., Muros, R. M., Shioi, J., Marambaud, P., and Robakis, N. K. (2006). The cytoplasmic sequence of E-cadherin promotes non-amyloidogenic degradation of A β precursors. *J. Neurochem.* 96, 1182–1188. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03616.x

Aisa, B., Gil-Bea, F. J., Solas, M., García-Alloza, M., Chen, C. P., Lai, M. K., et al. (2010). Altered NCAM expression associated with the cholinergic system in Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 20, 659–668. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-1398

Alzheimer's Association (2022). 2022 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's Dementia* 18, 700-789.

Anderson, K. L., and Ferreira, A. (2004). alpha1 integrin activation: a link between beta-amyloid deposition and neuronal death in aging hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 75, 688–697. doi:10.1002/jnr.20018

Andrew, R. J., Kellett, K. A. B., Thinakaran, G., and Hooper, N. M. (2016). A Greek tragedy: The growing complexity of alzheimer amyloid precursor protein proteolysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 19235–19244. doi:10.1074/jbc.R116.746032

Angst, B. D., Marcozzi, C., and Magee, A. I. (2001). The cadherin superfamily: Diversity in form and function. J. Cell. Sci. 114, 629-641. doi:10.1242/jcs.114.4.629

Araki, Y., Miyagi, N., Kato, N., Yoshida, T., Wada, S., Nishimura, M., et al. (2004). Coordinated metabolism of alcadein and amyloid β -protein precursor regulates Fe65-dependent gene transactivation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 24343–24354. doi:10.1074/jbc.M401925200

Araki, Y., Tomita, S., Yamaguchi, H., Miyagi, N., Sumioka, A., Kirino, Y., et al. (2003). Novel cadherin-related membrane proteins, Alcadeins, enhance the X11-like protein-mediated stabilization of amyloid beta-protein precursor metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 49448–49458. doi:10.1074/jbc.M306024200

Archelos, J. J., Previtali, S. C., and Hartung, H.-P. (1999). The role of integrins in immune-mediated diseases of the nervous system. *Trends Neurosci.* 22, 30–38. doi:10.1016/s0166-2236(98)01287-9

prepared the figures and tables. GP, AN, and VS contributed to manuscript revisions, read, and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The study was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (VS, APP1129869).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Arikkath, J., and Reichardt, L. F. (2008). Cadherins and catenins at synapses: Roles in synaptogenesis and synaptic plasticity. *Trends Neurosci.* 31, 487–494. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2008.07.001

Asada-Utsugi, M., Uemura, K., Noda, Y., Kuzuya, A., Maesako, M., Ando, K., et al. (2011). N-cadherin enhances APP dimerization at the extracellular domain and modulates A β production. *J. Neurochem.* 119, 354–363. doi:10. 1111/j.1471-4159.2011.07364.x

Asai, M., Hattori, C., Szabó, B., Sasagawa, N., Maruyama, K., Tanuma, S.-I., et al. (2003). Putative function of ADAM9, ADAM10, and ADAM17 as APP a-secretase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 301, 231–235. doi:10.1016/s0006-291x(02)02999-6

Ashley, J., Packard, M., Ataman, B., and Budnik, V. (2005). Fasciclin II signals new synapse formation through amyloid precursor protein and the scaffolding protein dX11/Mint. J. Neurosci. 25, 5943–5955. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1144-05.2005

Atkinson, C. J., Zhang, K., Munn, A. L., Wiegmans, A., and Wei, M. Q. (2016). Prion protein scrapie and the normal cellular prion protein. *Prion* 10, 63–82. doi:10.1080/19336896.2015.1110293

Bai, Y., Markham, K., Chen, F., Weerasekera, R., Watts, J., Horne, P., et al. (2008). The in vivo brain interactome of the amyloid precursor protein. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 7, 15–34. doi:10.1074/mcp.M700077-MCP200

Bamberger, M. E., Harris, M. E., Mcdonald, D. R., Husemann, J., and Landreth, G. E. (2003). A cell surface receptor complex for fibrillar β -amyloid mediates microglial activation. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 2665–2674. doi:10. 1523/jneurosci.23-07-02665.2003

Bamford, R. A., Widagdo, J., Takamura, N., Eve, M., Anggono, V., Oguro-Ando, A., et al. (2020). The interaction between contactin and amyloid precursor protein and its role in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* 424, 184–202. doi:10.1016/j. neuroscience.2019.10.006

Bang, M. L., and Owczarek, S. (2013). A matter of balance: Role of neurexin and neuroligin at the synapse. *Neurochem. Res.* 38, 1174–1189. doi:10.1007/s11064-013-1029-9

Barczyk, M., Carracedo, S., and Gullberg, D. (2009). Integrins. Cell. Tissue Res. 339, 269–280. doi:10.1007/s00441-009-0834-6

Barrett, P. J., Song, Y., Horn, W. D. V., Hustedt, E. J., Schafer, J. M., Hadziselimovic, A., et al. (2012). The amyloid precursor protein has a flexible transmembrane domain and binds cholesterol. *Science* 336, 1168–1171. doi:10. 1126/science.1219988

Barry, A. E., Klyubin, I., Mc Donald, J. M., Mably, A. J., Farrell, M. A., Scott, M., et al. (2011). Alzheimer's disease brain-derived amyloid-β-mediated inhibition of LTP in vivo is prevented by immunotargeting cellular prion protein. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 7259–7263. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6500-10.2011

Bemben, M. A., Shipman, S. L., Nicoll, R. A., and Roche, K. W. (2015). The cellular and molecular landscape of neuroligins. *Trends Neurosci.* 38, 496–505. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2015.06.004

Bertram, L., Mcqueen, M. B., Mullin, K., Blacker, D., and Tanzi, R. E. (2007). Systematic meta-analyses of alzheimer disease genetic association studies: The AlzGene database. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 17–23. doi:10.1038/ng1934

Bharadwaj, P. R., Dubey, A. K., Masters, C. L., Martins, R. N., and Macreadie, I. G. (2009). Abeta aggregation and possible implications in Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 13, 412–421. doi:10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009. 00609.x

Biederer, T., and Südhof, T. C. (2000). Mints as adaptors: Direct binding to neurexins and recruitment of Munc18. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 39803–39806. doi:10. 1074/jbc.C000656200

Blacker, D., Bertram, L., Saunders, A. J., Moscarillo, T. J., Albert, M. S., Wiener, H., et al. (2003). Results of a high-resolution genome screen of 437 Alzheimer's disease families. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12, 23–32. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddg007

Blalock, E. M., Geddes, J. W., Chen, K. C., Porter, N. M., Markesbery, W. R., Landfield, P. W., et al. (2004). Incipient alzheimer's disease: Microarray correlation analyses reveal major transcriptional and tumor suppressor responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 2173–2178. doi:10.1073/pnas.0308512100

Bodrikov, V., Leshchyns'Ka, I., Sytnyk, V., Overvoorde, J., Den Hertog, J., Schachner, M., et al. (2005). RPTPalpha is essential for NCAM-mediated p59fyn activation and neurite elongation. *J. Cell. Biol.* 168, 127–139. doi:10. 1083/jcb.200405073

Borg, J. P., Ooi, J., Levy, E., and Margolis, B. (1996). The phosphotyrosine interaction domains of X11 and Fe65 bind to distinct sites on the YENPTY motif of amyloid precursor protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16, 6229–6241. doi:10.1128/mcb.16.11. 6229

Bozzo, C., Graziola, F., Chiocchetti, A., and Canonico, P. L. (2010). Estrogen and β -amyloid toxicity: Role of integrin and PI3-K. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 45, 85–91. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2010.05.012

Bozzo, C., Lombardi, G., Santoro, C., and Canonico, P. L. (2004). Involvement of beta(1) integrin in betaAP-induced apoptosis in human neuroblastoma cells. *Mol. Cell.Neurosci.* 25, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2003.09.008

Brinkmalm, G., Sjödin, S., Simonsen, A. H., Hasselbalch, S. G., Zetterberg, H., Brinkmalm, A., et al. (2018). A parallel reaction monitoring mass spectrometric method for analysis of potential CSF biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. *Prot. Clin. Appl.* 12, 1700131. doi:10.1002/prca.201700131

Brito-Moreira, J., Lourenco, M. V., Oliveira, M. M., Ribeiro, F. C., Ledo, J. H., Diniz, L. P., et al. (2017). Interaction of amyloid- β (A β) oligomers with neurexin 2 α and neuroligin 1 mediates synapse damage and memory loss in mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 7327–7337. doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.761189

Caetano, F. A., Beraldo, F. H., Hajj, G. N. M., Guimaraes, A. L., Jürgensen, S., Wasilewska-Sampaio, A. P., et al. (2011). Amyloid-beta oligomers increase the localization of prion protein at the cell surface. *J. Neurochem.* 117, 538–553. doi:10. 1111/i.1471-4159.2011.07225.x

Caillé, I., Allinquant, B., Dupont, E., Bouillot, C., Langer, A., MuLler, U., et al. (2004). Soluble form of amyloid precursor protein regulates proliferation of progenitors in the adult subventricular zone. *Development* 131, 2173–2181. doi:10.1242/dev.01103

Calella, A. M., Farinelli, M., Nuvolone, M., Mirante, O., Moos, R., Falsig, J., et al. (2010). Prion protein and Abeta-related synaptic toxicity impairment. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2, 306–314. doi:10.1002/emmm.201000082

Camargo, L. M., Zhang, X. D., Loerch, P., Caceres, R. M., Marine, S. D., Uva, P., et al. (2015). Pathway-based analysis of genome-wide siRNA screens reveals the regulatory landscape of APP processing. *PloS one* 10, e0115369. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115369

Chapuis, J., Flaig, A., Grenier-Boley, B., Eysert, F., Pottiez, V., Deloison, G., et al. (2017). Genome-wide, high-content siRNA screening identifies the Alzheimer's

genetic risk factor FERMT2 as a major modulator of APP metabolism. Acta Neuropathol. 133, 955-966. doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1652-z

Chatterjee, M., Del Campo, M., Morrema, T. H. J., de Waal, M., van der Flier, W. M., Hoozemans, J. J. M., et al. (2018). Contactin-2, a synaptic and axonal protein, is reduced in cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 10, 52. doi:10.1186/s13195-018-0383-x

Chatterjee, M., Schild, D., and Teunissen, C. E. (2019). Contactins in the central nervous system: Role in health and disease. *Neural Regen. Res.* 14, 206–216. doi:10. 4103/1673-5374.244776

Chen, G.-F., Xu, T.-H., Yan, Y., Zhou, Y.-R., Jiang, Y., Melcher, K., et al. (2017). Amyloid beta: Structure, biology and structure-based therapeutic development. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 38, 1205–1235. doi:10.1038/aps.2017.28

Chen, K.-P., and Dou, F. (2012). Selective interaction of amyloid precursor protein with different isoforms of neural cell adhesion molecule. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 46, 203–209. doi:10.1007/s12031-011-9578-3

Chen, K., Lu, H., Gao, T., Xue, X., Wang, C., Miao, F., et al. (2016). Synergic interaction between amyloid precursor protein and neural cell adhesion molecule promotes neurite outgrowth. *Oncotarget* 7, 14199–14206. doi:10.18632/oncotarget. 7348

Chen, S., Yadav, S. P., and Surewicz, W. K. (2010). Interaction between human prion protein and amyloid-beta (abeta) oligomers: Role of N-terminal residues. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 26377–26383. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.145516

Choi, J.-Y., Cho, S.-J., Park, J. H., Yun, S.-M., Jo, C., Kim, E.-J., et al. (2020). Elevated cerebrospinal fluid and plasma N-cadherin in Alzheimer disease. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 79, 484–492. doi:10.1093/jnen/nlaa019

Clegg, D. O., Wingerd, K. L., Hikita, S. T., and Tolhurst, E. C. (2003). Integrins in the development, function and dysfunction of the nervous system. *Front. Biosci.* 8, d723–50. doi:10.2741/1020

Coburger, I., Dahms, S. O., Roeser, D., Gührs, K.-H., Hortschansky, P., Than, M. E., et al. (2013). Analysis of the overall structure of the multi-domain amyloid precursor protein (APP). *PLoS one* 8, e81926. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081926

Coburger, I., Hoefgen, S., and Than, M. E. (2014). The structural biology of the amyloid precursor protein APP - a complex puzzle reveals its multi-domain architecture. *Biol. Chem.* 395, 485–498. doi:10.1515/hsz-2013-0280

Craig, A. M., and Kang, Y. (2007). Neurexin-neuroligin signaling in synapse development. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17, 43–52. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2007.01.011

Cui, P., Ma, X., Li, H., Lang, W., and Hao, J. (2018). Shared biological pathways between Alzheimer's disease and ischemic stroke. *Front. Neurosci.* 12, 605. doi:10. 3389/fnins.2018.00605

Da Rocha, J. F., Bastos, L., Domingues, S. C., Bento, A. R., Konietzko, U., Da Cruz E Silva, O. A. B., et al. (2021). APP binds to the EGFR ligands HB-EGF and EGF, acting synergistically with EGF to promote ERK signaling and neuritogenesis. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 58, 668–688. doi:10.1007/s12035-020-02139-2

Dahms, S. O., Könnig, I., Roeser, D., Gührs, K.-H., Mayer, M. C., Kaden, D., et al. (2012). Metal binding dictates conformation and function of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) E2 domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* 416, 438–452. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.12.057

Das, U., Wang, L., Ganguly, A., Saikia, J. M., Wagner, S. L., Koo, E. H., et al. (2016). Visualizing APP and BACE-1 approximation in neurons yields insight into the amyloidogenic pathway. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 55–64. doi:10.1038/nn.4188

De Jonghe, C., Esselens, C., Kumar-Singh, S., Craessaerts, K., Serneels, S., Checler, F., et al. (2001). Pathogenic APP mutations near the gamma-secretase cleavage site differentially affect Abeta secretion and APP C-terminal fragment stability. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10, 1665–1671. doi:10.1093/hmg/10.16.1665

Decock, M., el Haylani, L., Stanga, S., Dewachter, I., Octave, J.-N., Smith, S. O., et al. (2015). Analysis by a highly sensitive split luciferase assay of the regions involved in APP dimerization and its impact on processing. *FEBS Open Bio* 5, 763–773. doi:10.1016/j.fob.2015.09.002

Dinamarca, M. C., di Luca, M., Godoy, J. A., and Inestrosa, N. C. (2015). The soluble extracellular fragment of neuroligin-1 targets $A\beta$ oligomers to the postsynaptic region of excitatory synapses. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 466, 66–71. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.08.107

Djogo, N., Jakovcevski, I., Müller, C., Lee, H. J., Xu, J.-C., Jakovcevski, M., et al. (2013). Adhesion molecule L1 binds to amyloid beta and reduces Alzheimer's disease pathology in mice. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 56, 104–115. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2013. 04.014

Dohler, F., Sepulveda-Falla, D., Krasemann, S., Altmeppen, H., Schlüter, H., Hildebrand, D., et al. (2014). High molecular mass assemblies of amyloid-β oligomers bind prion protein in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 137, 873–886. doi:10.1093/brain/awt375

Donner, L., Fälker, K., Gremer, L., Klinker, S., Pagani, G., Ljungberg, L. U., et al. (2016). Platelets contribute to amyloid- β aggregation in cerebral vessels through

integrin $\alpha IIb\beta3$ -induced outside-in signaling and clusterin release. Sci. Signal. 9, ra52. ra52. doi:10.1126/scisignal.aaf6240

Donner, L., Gremer, L., Ziehm, T., Gertzen, C. G. W., Gohlke, H., Willbold, D., et al. (2018). Relevance of N-terminal residues for amyloid- β binding to platelet integrin α IIb β 3, integrin outside-in signaling and amyloid- β fibril formation. *Cell. Signal.* 50, 121–130. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2018.06.015

Dufort-Gervais, J., Provost, C., Charbonneau, L., Norris, C. M., Calon, F., Mongrain, V., et al. (2020). Neuroligin-1 is altered in the hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease patients and mouse models, and modulates the toxicity of amyloid-beta oligomers. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 6956. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-63255-6

Dunsing, V., Mayer, M., Liebsch, F., Multhaup, G., and Chiantia, S. (2017). Direct evidence of amyloid precursor–like protein 1 trans interactions in cell–cell adhesion platforms investigated via fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 28, 3609–3620. doi:10.1091/mbc.E17-07-0459

Dursun, E., and Gezen-Ak, D. (2017). Vitamin D receptor is present on the neuronal plasma membrane and is co-localized with amyloid precursor protein, ADAM10 or Nicastrin. *PLoS one* 12, e0188605. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0188605

Fan, L.-F., Xu, D.-E., Wang, W.-H., Yan, K., Wu, H., Yao, X.-Q., et al. (2013). Caspr interaction with amyloid precursor protein reduces amyloid-β generation in vitro. *Neurosci. Lett.* 548, 255–260. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.05.055

Fogel, H., Frere, S., Segev, O., Bharill, S., Shapira, I., Gazit, N., et al. (2014). APP homodimers transduce an amyloid- β -mediated increase in release probability at excitatory synapses. *Cell. Rep.* 7, 1560–1576. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.04.024

Gennarini, G., Bizzoca, A., Picocci, S., Puzzo, D., Corsi, P., Furley, A. J. W., et al. (2017). The role of GPI-anchored axonal glycoproteins in neural development and neurological disorders. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 81, 49–63. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2016. 11.006

Ghiso, J., Rostagno, A., Gardella, J. E., Liem, L., Gorevic, P. D., and Frangione, B. (1992). A 109-amino-acid C-terminal fragment of Alzheimer's-disease amyloid precursor protein contains a sequence, -RHDS-that promotes cell adhesion. *Biochem. J.* 288, 1053–1059. doi:10.1042/bj2881053

Gotoh, N., Saito, Y., Hata, S., Saito, H., Ojima, D., Murayama, C., et al. (2020). Amyloidogenic processing of amyloid β protein precursor (APP) is enhanced in the brains of alcadein α -deficient mice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 9650–9662. doi:10.1074/jbc. RA119.012386

Grace, E. A., and Busciglio, J. (2003). Aberrant activation of focal adhesion proteins mediates fibrillar amyloid β -induced neuronal dystrophy. J. Neurosci. 23, 493–502. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.23-02-00493.2003

Griffiths, H. H., Whitehouse, I. J., Baybutt, H., Brown, D., Kellett, K. A. B., Jackson, C. D., et al. (2011). Prion protein interacts with BACE1 protein and differentially regulates its activity toward wild type and Swedish mutant amyloid precursor protein. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 33489–33500. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.278556

Guise, S., Braguer, D., Carles, G., Delacourte, A., and Briand, C. (2001). Hyperphosphorylation of tau is mediated by ERK activation during anticancer drug-induced apoptosis in neuroblastoma cells. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 63, 257–267. doi:10.1002/1097-4547(20010201)63:3<257::AID-JNR1019>3.0.CO;2-T

Gunther, E. C., Smith, L. M., Kostylev, M. A., Cox, T. O., Kaufman, A. C., Lee, S., et al. (2019). Rescue of transgenic Alzheimer's pathophysiology by polymeric cellular prion protein antagonists. *Cell. Rep.* 26, 1368. e8. doi:10.1016/j.celrep. 2019.01.064

Halbleib, J. M., and Nelson, W. J. (2006). Cadherins in development: Cell adhesion, sorting, and tissue morphogenesis. *Genes. Dev.* 20, 3199–3214. doi:10. 1101/gad.1486806

Hamley, I. W. (2012). The amyloid beta peptide: A chemist's perspective. Role in Alzheimer's and fibrillization. *Chem. Rev.* 112, 5147–5192. doi:10.1021/cr3000994

Hampel, H., Vassar, R., de Strooper, B., Hardy, J., Willem, M., Singh, N., et al. (2021). The β -secretase BACE1 in Alzheimer's disease. *Biol. Psychiatry* 89, 745–756. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.02.001

Han, H.-Y., Zhang, J.-P., Ji, S.-Q., Liang, Q.-M., Kang, H.-C., Tang, R.-H., et al. (2013). av and β 1 integrins mediate A β -induced neurotoxicity in hippocampal neurons via the FAK signaling pathway. *PLoS one* 8, e64839. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0064839

Han, M.-R., Schellenberg, G. D., and Wang, L.-S.THE ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE NEUROIMAGING INIATIVE (2010). Genome-wide association reveals genetic effects on human A β 42 and τ protein levels in cerebrospinal fluids: A case control study. *BMC Neurol.* 10, 90. doi:10.1186/1471-2377-10-90

Hansen, S. M., Berezin, V., and Bock, E. (2008). Signaling mechanisms of neurite outgrowth induced by the cell adhesion molecules NCAM and N-cadherin. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65, 3809–3821. doi:10.1007/s00018-008-8290-0

Hardy, J. A., and Higgins, G. A. (1992). Alzheimer's disease: The amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Science* 256, 184–185. doi:10.1126/science.1566067

Hardy, J., and Allsop, D. (1991). Amyloid deposition as the central event in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 12, 383–388. doi:10.1016/0165-6147(91)90609-v

Hashimoto, Y., Chiba, T., Yamada, M., Nawa, M., Kanekura, K., Suzuki, H., et al. (2005). Transforming growth factor beta2 is a neuronal death-inducing ligand for amyloid-beta precursor protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 9304–9317. doi:10.1128/MCB. 25.21.9304-9317.2005

Heber, S., Herms, J., Gajic, V., Hainfellner, J., Aguzzi, A., Rülicke, T., et al. (2000). Mice with combined gene knock-outs reveal essential and partially redundant functions of amyloid precursor protein family members. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 7951–7963. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.20-21-07951.2000

Heneka, M. T., Carson, M. J., Khoury, J. E., Landreth, G. E., Brosseron, F., Feinstein, D. L., et al. (2015). Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet. Neurol.* 14, 388–405. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)70016-5

Heredia, L., Lin, R., Vigo, F. S., Kedikian, G., Busciglio, J., Lorenzo, A., et al. (2004). Deposition of amyloid fibrils promotes cell-surface accumulation of amyloid β precursor protein. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 16, 617–629. doi:10.1016/j.nbd. 2004.04.015

Herr, U.-M., Strecker, P., Storck, S. E., Thomas, C., Rabiej, V., Junker, A., et al. (2017). LRP1 modulates APP intraneuronal transport and processing in its monomeric and dimeric state. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 10, 118. doi:10.3389/fnmol. 2017.00118

Hintsch, G., Zurlinden, A., Meskenaite, V., Steuble, M., Fink-Widmer, K., Kinter, J., et al. (2002). The calsyntenins – A family of postsynaptic membrane proteins with distinct neuronal expression patterns. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 21, 393–409. doi:10. 1006/mcne.2002.1181

Hoe, H. S., Lee, K. J., Carney, R. S., Lee, J., Markova, A., Lee, J. Y., et al. (2009). Interaction of reelin with amyloid precursor protein promotes neurite outgrowth. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 7459–7473. doi:10.1523/INEUROSCI.4872-08.2009

Howe, A., Aplin, A. E., Alahari, S. K., and Juliano, R. L. (1998). Integrin signaling and cell growth control. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 10, 220–231. doi:10.1016/s0955-0674(98)80144-0

Hu, J., Lin, S. L., and Schachner, M. (2022). A fragment of cell adhesion molecule L1 reduces amyloid- β plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell. Death Dis.* 13, 48. doi:10.1038/s41419-021-04348-6

Hu, N.-W., Nicoll, A. J., Zhang, D., Mably, A. J., O'Malley, T., Purro, S. A., et al. (2014). mGlu5 receptors and cellular prion protein mediate amyloid-β-facilitated synaptic long-term depression in vivo. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3374. doi:10.1038/ncomms4374

Hur, J.-Y., Teranishi, Y., Kihara, T., Yamamoto, N. G., Inoue, M., Hosia, W., et al. (2012). Identification of novel γ-secretase-associated proteins in detergent-resistant membranes from brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 11991–12005. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111. 246074

Huttenlocher, A., and Horwitz, A. R. (2011). Integrins in cell migration. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 3, a005074. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005074

Jarosz-Griffiths, H. H., Corbett, N. J., Rowland, H. A., Fisher, K., Jones, A. C., Baron, J., et al. (2019). Proteolytic shedding of the prion protein via activation of metallopeptidase ADAM10 reduces cellular binding and toxicity of amyloid-β oligomers. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 7085–7097. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.005364

Jarrett, J. T., Berger, E. P., and Lansbury, P. T., JR. (1993). The carboxy terminus of the beta amyloid protein is critical for the seeding of amyloid formation: Implications for the pathogenesis of alzheimer's disease. *Biochemistry* 32, 4693–4697. doi:10.1021/bi00069a001

Kaden, D., Munter, L.-M., Joshi, M., Treiber, C., Weise, C., Bethge, T., et al. (2008). Homophilic interactions of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) ectodomain are regulated by the loop region and affect β -secretase cleavage of APP. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 7271–7279. doi:10.1074/jbc.M708046200

Kaden, D., Voigt, P., Munter, L.-M., Bobowski, K. D., Schaefer, M., Multhaup, G., et al. (2009). Subcellular localization and dimerization of APLP1 are strikingly different from APP and APLP2. *J. Cell. Sci.* 122, 368–377. doi:10.1242/jcs.034058

Kaiser, D. M., Acharya, M., Leighton, P. L. A., Wang, H., Daude, N., Wohlgemuth, S., et al. (2012). Amyloid beta precursor protein and prion protein have a conserved interaction affecting cell adhesion and CNS development. *PLoS one* 7, e51305. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051305

Kanyo, R., Leighton, P. L. A., Neil, G. J., Locskai, L. F., and Allison, W. T. (2020). Amyloid- β precursor protein mutant zebrafish exhibit seizure susceptibility that depends on prion protein. *Exp. Neurol.* 328, 113283. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2020. 113283

Karran, E., and De Strooper, B. (2022). The amyloid hypothesis in alzheimer disease: New insights from new therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 21, 306–318. doi:10.1038/s41573-022-00391-w

Karuppan, S. J., Vogt, A., Fischer, Z., Ladutska, A., Swiastyn, J., Mcgraw, H. F., et al. (2022). Members of the vertebrate contactin and amyloid precursor protein families interact through a conserved interface. *J. Biol. Chem.* 298, 101541. doi:10. 1016/j.jbc.2021.101541

Kent, S. A., Spires-Jones, T. L., and Durrant, C. S. (2020). The physiological roles of tau and A β : Implications for Alzheimer's disease pathology and therapeutics. *Acta Neuropathol.* 140, 417–447. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02196-w

Kimura, R., Kamino, K., Yamamoto, M., Nuripa, A., Kida, T., Kazui, H., et al. (2006). The DYRK1A gene, encoded in chromosome 21 Down syndrome critical region, bridges between β -amyloid production and tau phosphorylation in Alzheimer disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 16, 15–23. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddl437

King, G. D., Perez, R. G., Steinhilb, M. L., Gaut, J. R., and Turner, R. S. (2003). X11alpha modulates secretory and endocytic trafficking and metabolism of amyloid precursor protein: Mutational analysis of the YENPTY sequence. *Neuroscience* 120, 143–154. doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(03)00284-7

Kirouac, L., Rajic, A. J., Cribbs, D. H., and Padmanabhan, J. (2017). Activation of Ras-ERK signaling and GSK-3 by amyloid precursor protein and amyloid beta facilitates neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *eNeuro* 4, ENEURO.0149–16.2017. ENEURO.0149-16.2017. doi:10.1523/ENEURO.0149-16. 2017

Klementiev, B., Novikova, T., Novitskaya, V., Walmod, P. S., Dmytriyeva, O., Pakkenberg, B., et al. (2007). A neural cell adhesion molecule-derived peptide reduces neuropathological signs and cognitive impairment induced by Abeta25-35. *Neuroscience* 145, 209–224. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006. 11.060

Klevanski, M., Herrmann, U., Weyer, S. W., Fol, R., Cartier, N., Wolfer, D. P., et al. (2015). The APP intracellular domain is required for normal synaptic morphology, synaptic plasticity, and hippocampus-dependent behavior. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 16018–16033. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2009-15.2015

Ko, J. (2012). The leucine-rich repeat superfamily of synaptic adhesion molecules: LRRTMs and slitrks. *Mol. Cells* 34, 335–340. doi:10.1007/s10059-012-0113-3

Koenigsknecht, J., and Landreth, G. (2004). Microglial phagocytosis of fibrillar beta-amyloid through a beta1 integrin-dependent mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 9838–9846. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2557-04.2004

Kong, L.-N., Zuo, P.-P., Mu, L., Liu, Y.-Y., and Yang, N. (2005). Gene expression profile of amyloid beta protein-injected mouse model for Alzheimer disease. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 26, 666–672. doi:10.1111/j.1745-7254.2005.00129.x

Kowalczyk, A. P., and Nanes, B. A. (2012). "Adherens junction turnover: Regulating adhesion through cadherin endocytosis, degradation, and recycling," in Adherens junctions: From molecular mechanisms to tissue development and disease. Editor T. HARRIS (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands).

Kuhn, P.-H., Wang, H., Dislich, B., Colombo, A., Zeitschel, U., Ellwart, J. W., et al. (2010). ADAM10 is the physiologically relevant, constitutive α-secretase of the amyloid precursor protein in primary neurons. *EMBO J.* 29, 3020–3032. doi:10. 1038/emboj.2010.167

Kumar, D., Ganeshpurkar, A., Kumar, D., Modi, G., Gupta, S. K., Singh, S. K., et al. (2018). Secretase inhibitors for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: Long road ahead. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 148, 436–452. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech. 2018.02.035

Laferla, F. M., Tinkle, B. T., Bieberich, C. J., Haudenschild, C. C., and Jay, G. (1995). The Alzheimer's A β peptide induces neurodegeneration and apoptotic cell death in transgenic mice. *Nat. Genet.* 9, 21–30. doi:10.1038/ng0195-21

Lammich, S., Kojro, E., Postina, R., Gilbert, S., Pfeiffer, R., Jasionowski, M., et al. (1999). Constitutive and regulated alpha-secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by a disintegrin metalloprotease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 3922–3927. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.7.3922

Langness, V. F., Kant, R. V. D., Das, U., Wang, L., Chaves, R. D. S., Goldstein, L. S. B., et al. (2021). Cholesterol-lowering drugs reduce APP processing to A β by inducing APP dimerization. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 32, 247–259. doi:10.1091/mbc.E20-05-0345

Larson, M., Sherman, M. A., Amar, F., Nuvolone, M., Schneider, J. A., Bennett, D. A., et al. (2012). The complex PrPc-Fyn couples human oligomeric A β with pathological tau changes in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 16857–1671a. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1858-12.2012

Laurén, J., Gimbel, D. A., Nygaard, H. B., Gilbert, J. W., and Strittmatter, S. M. (2009). Cellular prion protein mediates impairment of synaptic plasticity by amyloid- β oligomers. *Nature* 457, 1128–1132. doi:10.1038/nature07761

Leshchyns'ka, I., and Sytnyk, V. (2016). Synaptic cell adhesion molecules in Alzheimer's disease. *Neural plasticity*, 6427537. doi:10.1155/2016/6427537

Leshchyns'ka, I., Liew, H. T., Shepherd, C., Halliday, G. M., Stevens, C. H., Ke, Y. D., et al. (2015). A β -dependent reduction of NCAM2-mediated synaptic adhesion contributes to synapse loss in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8836. doi:10. 1038/ncomms9836

Libeu, C. A. P., Descamps, O., Zhang, Q., John, V., and Bredesen, D. E. (2012). Altering APP proteolysis: Increasing sAPPalpha production by targeting dimerization of the APP ectodomain. *PLoS one* 7, e40027. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0040027

Lincoln, S., Allen, M., Cox, C. L., Walker, L. P., Malphrus, K., Qiu, Y., et al. (2013). LRRTM3 interacts with APP and BACE1 and has variants associating with lateonset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD). *PLoS one* 8, e64164. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0064164

Lorenzo, A., Yuan, M., Zhang, Z., Paganetti, P. A., Sturchler-Pierrat, C., Staufenbiel, M., et al. (2000). Amyloid β interacts with the amyloid precursor protein: A potential toxic mechanism in alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 460–464. doi:10.1038/74833

Ma, Q.-H., Futagawa, T., Yang, W.-L., Jiang, X.-D., Zeng, L., Takeda, Y., et al. (2008). A TAG1-APP signalling pathway through Fe65 negatively modulates neurogenesis. *Nat. Cell. Biol.* 10, 283–294. doi:10.1038/ncb1690

Majercak, J., Ray, W. J., Espeseth, A., Simon, A., Shi, X.-P., Wolffe, C., et al. (2006). LRRTM3 promotes processing of amyloid-precursor protein by BACE1 and is a positional candidate gene for late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 17967–17972. doi:10.1073/pnas.0605461103

Maness, P. F., and Schachner, M. (2007). Neural recognition molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily: Signaling transducers of axon guidance and neuronal migration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 19–26. doi:10.1038/nn1827

Mao, Y., and Freeman, M. (2009). Fasciclin 2, the drosophila orthologue of neural cell-adhesion molecule, inhibits EGF receptor signalling. *Development* 136, 473–481. doi:10.1242/dev.026054

Marambaud, P., Shioi, J., Serban, G., Georgakopoulos, A., Sarner, S., Nagy, V., et al. (2002). A presenilin-1/gamma-secretase cleavage releases the E-cadherin intracellular domain and regulates disassembly of adherens junctions. *EMBO J.* 21, 1948–1956. doi:10.1093/emboj/21.8.1948

Maretzky, T., Reiss, K., Ludwig, A., Buchholz, J., Scholz, F., Proksch, E., et al. (2005). ADAM10 mediates E-cadherin shedding and regulates epithelial cell-cell adhesion, migration, and β -catenin translocation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 9182–9187. doi:10.1073/pnas.0500918102

Masters, C. L., Simms, G., Weinman, N. A., Multhaup, G., Mcdonald, B. L., Beyreuther, K., et al. (1985). Amyloid plaque core protein in Alzheimer disease and Down syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 82, 4245–4249. doi:10.1073/pnas.82. 12.4245

Matter, M. L., Zhang, Z., Nordstedt, C., and Ruoslahti, E. (1998). The alpha5beta1 integrin mediates elimination of amyloid-beta peptide and protects against apoptosis. *J. Cell. Biol.* 141, 1019–1030. doi:10.1083/jcb.141.4.1019

Mawuenyega, K. G., Sigurdson, W., Ovod, V., Munsell, L., Kasten, T., Morris, J. C., et al. (2010). Decreased clearance of CNS beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. *Science* 330, 1774. doi:10.1126/science.1197623

Mayer, M. C., Schauenburg, L., Thompson-Steckel, G., Dunsing, V., Kaden, D., Voigt, P., et al. (2016). Amyloid precursor-like protein 1 (APLP1) exhibits stronger zinc-dependent neuronal adhesion than amyloid precursor protein and APLP2. *J. Neurochem.* 137, 266–276. doi:10.1111/jnc.13540

Medway, C., Shi, H., Bullock, J., Black, H., Brown, K., Vafadar-Isfahani, B., et al. (2010). Using in silico LD clumping and meta-analysis of genome-wide datasets as a complementary tool to investigate and validate new candidate biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease. *Int. J. Mol. Epidemiol. Genet.* 1, 134–144.

Mikkonen, M., Soininen, H., Tapiola, T., Alafuzoff, I., and Miettinen, R. (1999). Hippocampal plasticity in alzheimer's disease: Changes in highly polysialylated NCAM immunoreactivity in the hippocampal formation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 1754–1764. doi:10.1046/j.1460-9568.1999.00593.x

Müller, U. C., and Zheng, H. (2012). Physiological functions of APP family proteins. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2, a006288. doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a006288

Munter, L.-M., Voigt, P., Harmeier, A., Kaden, D., Gottschalk, K. E., Weise, C., et al. (2007). GxxxG motifs within the amyloid precursor protein transmembrane sequence are critical for the etiology of Abeta42. *EMBO J.* 26, 1702–1712. doi:10. 1038/sj.emboj.7601616

Murray, H. C., Low, V. F., Swanson, M. E. V., Dieriks, B. V., Turner, C., Faull, R. L. M., et al. (2016). Distribution of PSA-NCAM in normal, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease human brain. *Neuroscience* 330, 359–375. doi:10.1016/j. neuroscience.2016.06.003

Naito, Y., Tanabe, Y., Lee, A. K., Hamel, E., and Takahashi, H. (2017). Amyloid-β oligomers interact with neurexin and diminish neurexin-mediated excitatory presynaptic organization. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42548. doi:10.1038/srep42548

Neiiendam, J. L., Køhler, L. B., Christensen, C., Li, S., Pedersen, M. V., Ditlevsen, D. K., et al. (2004). An NCAM-derived FGF-receptor agonist, the FGL-peptide, induces neurite outgrowth and neuronal survival in primary rat neurons. *J. Neurochem.* 91, 920–935. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02779.x

Neumann, S., Schöbel, S., Jäger, S., Trautwein, A., Haass, C., Pietrzik, C. U., et al. (2006). Amyloid precursor-like protein 1 influences endocytosis and proteolytic processing of the amyloid precursor protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 7583–7594. doi:10. 1074/jbc.M508340200

Niederhoffer, N., Levy, R., Sick, E., Andre, P., Coupin, G., Lombard, Y., et al. (2009). Amyloid β peptides trigger CD47-dependent mast cell secretory and phagocytic responses. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* 22, 473–483. doi:10. 1177/039463200902200224

Noda, Y., Asada, M., Kubota, M., Maesako, M., Watanabe, K., Uemura, M., et al. (2013). Copper enhances APP dimerization and promotes A β production. *Neurosci. Lett.* 547, 10–15. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.04.057

Norstrom, E. M., Zhang, C., Tanzi, R., and Sisodia, S. S. (2010). Identification of NEEP21 as a ß-amyloid precursor protein-interacting protein in vivo that modulates amyloidogenic processing in vitro. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 15677–15685. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4464-10.2010

O'sullivan, M. L., de Wit, J., Savas, J. N., Comoletti, D., Otto-Hitt, S., Yates, J. R., 3R. D., et al. (2012). FLRT proteins are endogenous latrophilin ligands and regulate excitatory synapse development. *Neuron* 73, 903–910. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012. 01.018

Onodera, W., Asahi, T., and Sawamura, N. (2021). Rapid evolution of mammalian APLP1 as a synaptic adhesion molecule. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 11305. doi:10. 1038/s41598-021-90737-y

Ortiz-Sanz, C., Gaminde-Blasco, A., Valero, J., Bakota, L., Brandt, R., Zugaza, J. L., et al. (2020). Early effects of A β Oligomers on dendritic spine dynamics and arborization in hippocampal neurons. *Front. Synaptic Neurosci.* 12, 2. doi:10.3389/fnsyn.2020.00002

Osterfield, M., Egelund, R., Young, L. M., and Flanagan, J. G. (2008). Interaction of amyloid precursor protein with contactins and NgCAM in the retinotectal system. *Development* 135, 1189–1199. doi:10.1242/dev.007401

Osterhout, J. A., Stafford, B. K., Nguyen, P. L., Yoshihara, Y., and Huberman, A. D. (2015). Contactin-4 mediates axon-target specificity and functional development of the accessory optic system. *Neuron* 86, 985–999. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015. 04.005

Parkin, E. T., Watt, N. T., Hussain, I., Eckman, E. A., Eckman, C. B., Manson, J. C., et al. (2007). Cellular prion protein regulates β -secretase cleavage of the Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 11062–11067. doi:10. 1073/pnas.0609621104

Parvathy, S., Hussain, I., Karran, E. H., Turner, A. J., and Hooper, N. M. (1999). Cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by α -secretase occurs at the surface of neuronal cells. *Biochemistry* 38, 9728–9734. doi:10. 1021/bi9906827

Peng, X., Williams, J., Smallwood, P. M., and Nathans, J. (2019). Defining the binding interface of amyloid precursor protein (APP) and contactin3 (CNTN3) by site-directed mutagenesis. *PLoS one* 14, e0219384. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0219384

Peters, C., Espinoza, M. P., Gallegos, S., Opazo, C., and Aguayo, L. G. (2015). Alzheimer's A β interacts with cellular prion protein inducing neuronal membrane damage and synaptotoxicity. *Neurobiol. Aging* 36, 1369–1377. doi:10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2014.11.019

Petit, C. S. V., Besnier, L., Morel, E., Rousset, M., and Thenet, S. (2013). Roles of the cellular prion protein in the regulation of cell-cell junctions and barrier function. *Tissue Barriers* 1, e24377. doi:10.4161/tisb.24377

Prince, M. J., Wimo, A., Guerchet, M. M., Ali, G. C., Wu, Y.-T., and Prina, M. (2015). World alzheimer report 2015 - the global impact of dementia. London. *Alzheimer's Dis. Int.*

Puzzo, D., Bizzoca, A., Loreto, C., Guida, C. A., Gulisano, W., Frasca, G., et al. (2015). Role of F3/contactin expression profile in synaptic plasticity and memory in aged mice. *Neurobiol. Aging* 36, 1702–1715. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015. 01.004

Puzzo, D., Privitera, L., Fa, M., Staniszewski, A., Hashimoto, G., Aziz, F., et al. (2011). Endogenous amyloid- β is necessary for hippocampal synaptic plasticity and memory. *Ann. Neurol.* 69, 819–830. doi:10.1002/ana.22313

Quintela-López, T., Ortiz-Sanz, C., Serrano-Regal, M. P., Gaminde-Blasco, A., Valero, J., Baleriola, J., et al. (2019). A boligomers promote oligodendrocyte differentiation and maturation via integrin β 1 and Fyn kinase signaling. *Cell. Death Dis.* 10, 445. doi:10.1038/s41419-019-1636-8

Rahman, M. M., Westermark, G. T., Zetterberg, H., Härd, T., and Sandgren, M. (2018). Protofibrillar and fibrillar amyloid- β binding proteins in cerebrospinal fluid. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 66, 1053–1064. doi:10.3233/JAD-180596

Rasmussen, K. K., Falkesgaard, M. H., Winther, M., Roed, N. K., Quistgaard, C. L., Teisen, M. N., et al. (2018). NCAM2 fibronectin type-III domains form a rigid structure that binds and activates the fibroblast growth factor receptor. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 8957. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27089-7 Reissner, C., Runkel, F., and Missler, M. (2013). Neurexins. Genome Biol. 14, 213. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-9-213

Reitz, C., Conrad, C., Roszkowski, K., Rogers, R. S., and Mayeux, R. (2012). Effect of genetic variation in LRRTM3 on risk of Alzheimer disease. *Arch. Neurol.* 69, 894–900. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2011.2463

Rice, H. C., Young-Pearse, T. L., and Selkoe, D. J. (2013). Systematic evaluation of candidate ligands regulating ectodomain shedding of amyloid precursor protein. *Biochemistry* 52, 3264–3277. doi:10.1021/bi400165f

Riemenschneider, M., Klopp, N., Xiang, W., Wagenpfeil, S., Vollmert, C., Müller, U., et al. (2004). Prion protein codon 129 polymorphism and risk of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 63, 364–366. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000130198.72589.69

Ristori, E., Cicaloni, V., Salvini, L., Tinti, L., Tinti, C., Simons, M., et al. (2020). Amyloid- β precursor protein APP down-regulation alters actin cytoskeleton-interacting proteins in endothelial cells. *Cells* 9, E2506. doi:10.3390/cells9112506

Rohan De Silva, H. A., Jen, A., Wickenden, C., Jen, L. S., Wilkinson, S. L., and Patel, A. J. (1997). Cell-specific expression of beta-amyloid precursor protein isoform mRNAs and proteins in neurons and astrocytes. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 47, 147–156. doi:10.1016/s0169-328x(97)00045-4

Roucou, X., and Leblanc, A. C. (2005). Cellular prion protein neuroprotective function: Implications in prion diseases. *J. Mol. Med.* 83, 3–11. doi:10.1007/s00109-004-0605-5

Rushworth, J. V., Griffiths, H. H., Watt, N. T., and Hooper, N. M. (2013). Prion protein-mediated toxicity of amyloid- β oligomers requires lipid rafts and the transmembrane LRP1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 8935–8951. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.400358

Sabo, S., Lambert, M. P., Kessey, K., Wade, W., Krafft, G., Klein, W. L., et al. (1995). Interaction of beta-amyloid peptides with integrins in a human nerve cell line. *Neurosci. Lett.* 184, 25–28. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(94)11159-g

Sakono, M., and Zako, T. (2010). Amyloid oligomers: formation and toxicity of abeta oligomers. FEBS J. 277, 1348–1358. doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07568.x

Sandbrink, R., Masters, C. L., and Beyreuther, K. (1996). APP gene family alternative splicing generates functionally related isoforms. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 777, 281–287. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1996.tb34433.x

Santuccione, A., Sytnyk, V., Leshchyns'Ka, I., and Schachner, M. (2005). Prion protein recruits its neuronal receptor NCAM to lipid rafts to activate p59fyn and to enhance neurite outgrowth. *J. Cell. Biol.* 169, 341–354. doi:10. 1083/jcb.200409127

Sathe, G., Mangalaparthi, K. K., Jain, A., Darrow, J., Troncoso, J., Albert, M., et al. (2020). Multiplexed phosphoproteomic study of brain in patients with Alzheimer's disease and age-matched cognitively healthy controls. *Omics* 24, 216–227. doi:10. 1089/omi.2019.0191

Sato, T., Tang, T.-C., Reubins, G., Fei, J. Z., Fujimoto, T., Kienlen-Campard, P., et al. (2009). A helix-to-coil transition at the e-cut site in the transmembrane dimer of the amyloid precursor protein is required for proteolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.* S. A. 106, 1421–1426. doi:10.1073/pnas.0812261106

Schettini, G., Govoni, S., Racchi, M., and Rodriguez, G. (2010). Phosphorylation of APP-CTF-AICD domains and interaction with adaptor proteins: Signal transduction and/or transcriptional role – relevance for alzheimer pathology. J. Neurochem. 115, 1299–1308. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.07044.x

Scheuermann, S., Hambsch, B., Hesse, L., Stumm, J., Schmidt, C., Beher, D., et al. (2001). Homodimerization of amyloid precursor protein and its implication in the amyloidogenic pathway of Alzheimer's disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 33923–33929. doi:10.1074/jbc.M105410200

Schilling, S., Mehr, A., Ludewig, S., Stephan, J., Zimmermann, M., August, A., et al. (2017). APLP1 is a synaptic cell adhesion molecule, supporting maintenance of dendritic spines and basal synaptic transmission. *J. Neurosci.* 37, 5345–5365. doi:10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.1875-16.2017

Schmidt, V., Baum, K., Lao, A., Rateitschak, K., Schmitz, Y., Teichmann, A., et al. (2012). Quantitative modelling of amyloidogenic processing and its influence by SORLA in Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO J.* 31, 187–200. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.352

Schmitt-Ulms, G., Hansen, K., Liu, J., Cowdrey, C., Yang, J., Dearmond, S. J., et al. (2004). Time-controlled transcardiac perfusion cross-linking for the study of protein interactions in complex tissues. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 22, 724–731. doi:10.1038/nbt969

Schroeder, A., and De Wit, J. (2018). Leucine-rich repeat-containing synaptic adhesion molecules as organizers of synaptic specificity and diversity. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50, 10. doi:10.1038/s12276-017-0023-8

Scott-Mckean, J. J., Surewicz, K., Choi, J.-K., Ruffin, V. A., Salameh, A. I., Nieznanski, K., et al. (2016). Soluble prion protein and its N-terminal fragment prevent impairment of synaptic plasticity by A β oligomers: Implications for novel therapeutic strategy in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 91, 124–131. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2016.03.001

Selkoe, D. J., and Hardy, J. (2016). The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 8, 595–608. doi:10.15252/emmm.201606210

Selkoe, D. J. (1991). The molecular pathology of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 6, 487–498. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(91)90052-2

Shaked, G. M., Kummer, M. P., Lu, D. C., Galvan, V., Bredesen, D. E., Koo, E. H., et al. (2006). Abeta induces cell death by direct interaction with its cognate extracellular domain on APP (APP 597-624). *FASEB J.* 20, 1254–1256. doi:10. 1096/fj.05-5032fje

Shih, W., and Yamada, S. (2012). N-cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion promotes cell migration in a three-dimensional matrix. *J. Cell. Sci.* 125, 3661-3670. doi:10.1242/jcs.103861

Shimazaki, K., Hosoya, H., Takeda, Y., Kobayashi, S., and Watanabe, K. (1998). Age-related decline of F3/Contactin in rat hippocampus. *Neurosci. Lett.* 245, 117–120. doi:10.1016/s0304-3940(98)00179-7

Shimoda, Y., and Watanabe, K. (2009). Contactins: Emerging key roles in the development and function of the nervous system. *Contactins. Cell. Adhesion Migr.* 3, 64–70. doi:10.4161/cam.3.1.7764

Shu, J., Li, N., Wei, W., and Zhang, L. (2022). Detection of molecular signatures and pathways shared by Alzheimer's disease and type 2 diabetes. *Gene* 810, 146070. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2021.146070

Siano, G., Caiazza, M. C., Ollà, I., Varisco, M., Madaro, G., Quercioli, V., et al. (2019). Identification of an ERK inhibitor as a therapeutic drug against tau aggregation in a new cell-based assay. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 13, 386. doi:10. 3389/fncel.2019.00386

Small, D., Nurcombe, V., Reed, G., Clarris, H., Moir, R., Beyreuther, K., et al. (1994). A heparin-binding domain in the amyloid protein precursor of Alzheimer's disease is involved in the regulation of neurite outgrowth. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 2117–2127. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.14-04-02117.1994

So, P. P., Zeldich, E., Seyb, K. I., Huang, M. M., Concannon, J. B., King, G. D., et al. (2012). Lowering of amyloid beta peptide production with a small molecule inhibitor of amyloid- β precursor protein dimerization. *Am. J. Neurodegener. Dis.* 1, 75–87.

Soba, P., Eggert, S., Wagner, K., Zentgraf, H., Siehl, K., Kreger, S., et al. (2005). Homo- and heterodimerization of APP family members promotes intercellular adhesion. *EMBO J.* 24, 3624–3634. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600824

Sondag, C. M., and Combs, C. K. (2004). Amyloid precursor protein mediates proinflammatory activation of monocytic lineage cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 14456–14463. doi:10.1074/jbc.M313747200

Stahl, R., Schilling, S., Soba, P., Rupp, C., Hartmann, T., Wagner, K., et al. (2014). Shedding of APP limits its synaptogenic activity and cell adhesion properties. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 8, 410. doi:10.3389/fncel.2014.00410

Strekalova, H., Buhmann, C., Kleene, R., Eggers, C., Saffell, J., Hemperly, J., et al. (2006). Elevated levels of neural recognition molecule L1 in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with Alzheimer disease and other dementia syndromes. *Neurobiol. Aging* 27, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2004.11.013

Sushmaand Mondal, A. C. (2019). Role of GPCR signaling and calcium dysregulation in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 101, 103414. doi:10. 1016/j.mcn.2019.103414

Sytnyk, V., Leshchyns'Ka, I., and Schachner, M. (2017). Neural cell adhesion molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily regulate synapse formation, maintenance, and function. *Trends Neurosci.* 40, 295–308. doi:10.1016/j.tins. 2017.03.003

Tachi, N., Hashimoto, Y., Nawa, M., and Matsuoka, M. (2010). TAG-1 is an inhibitor of TGFbeta2-induced neuronal death via amyloid beta precursor protein. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 394, 119–125. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc. 2010.02.127

Takada, Y., Ye, X., and Simon, S. (2007). The integrins. Genome Biol. 8, 215. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-5-215

Takei, N., Sobu, Y., Kimura, A., Urano, S., Piao, Y., Araki, Y., et al. (2015). Cytoplasmic fragment of alcadein α generated by regulated intramembrane proteolysis enhances amyloid β -protein precursor (APP) transport into the late secretory pathway and facilitates APP cleavage. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 987–995. doi:10. 1074/jbc.M114.599852

Tan, J. Z. A., and Gleeson, P. A. (2019). The trans-Golgi network is a major site for α-secretase processing of amyloid precursor protein in primary neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 1618–1631. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.005222

Tang, S.-Y., Liu, D.-X., Li, Y., Wang, K.-J., Wang, X.-F., Su, Z.-K., et al. (2020). Caspr1 facilitates sAPPα production by regulating α-secretase ADAM9 in brain endothelial cells. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 13, 23. doi:10. 3389/fnmol.2020.00023

Tanzi, R. E., Gusella, J. F., Watkins, P. C., Bruns, G. A., St George-Hyslop, P., van Keuren, M. L., et al. (1987). Amyloid beta protein gene: cDNA, mRNA distribution, and genetic linkage near the alzheimer locus. *Science* 235, 880–884. doi:10.1126/science.2949367

Tian, X., Liu, Z., Niu, B., Zhang, J., Tan, T. K., Lee, S. R., et al. (20112011). E-cadherin/β-catenin complex and the epithelial barrier. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.*, 567305. doi:10.1155/2011/567305

Todaro, L., Puricelli, L., Gioseffi, H., Guadalupe Pallotta, M. A., Lastiri, J., Balde de Kier Joffé, E., et al. (2004). Neural cell adhesion molecule in human serum Increased levels in dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 15, 387–393. doi:10.1016/j. nbd.2003.11.014

Ulbrich, S., Janning, P., Seidel, R., Matschke, J., Gonsberg, A., Jung, S., et al. (2018). Alterations in the brain interactome of the intrinsically disordered N-terminal domain of the cellular prion protein (PrPC) in Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS one* 13, e0197659. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0197659

Um, J. I. W., Kaufman, A. D. A. M. C., Kostylev, M., Heiss, J. A. C. Q. U. E. L. I. N. E. K., Stagi, M., Takahashi, H., et al. (2013). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 is a coreceptor for Alzheimer A β oligomer bound to cellular prion protein. *Neuron* 79, 887–902. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.036

Varoqueaux, F., Jamain, S., and Brose, N. (2004). Neuroligin 2 is exclusively localized to inhibitory synapses. *Eur. J. Cell. Biol.* 83, 449–456. doi:10.1078/0171-9335-00410

Vassar, R., Bennett, B. D., Babu-Khan, S., Kahn, S., Mendiaz, E. A., Denis, P., et al. (1999). Beta-secretase cleavage of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein by the transmembrane aspartic protease BACE. *Science* 286, 735–741. doi:10.1126/science. 286.5440.735

Venkatasubramaniam, A., Drude, A., and Good, T. (2014). Role of N-terminal residues in A β interactions with integrin receptor and cell surface. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1838, 2568–2577. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.06.011

Verdier, Y., Huszár, E., Penke, B., Penke, Z., Woffendin, G., Scigelova, M., et al. (2005). Identification of synaptic plasma membrane proteins co-precipitated with fibrillar β -amyloid peptide. *J. Neurochem.* 94, 617–628. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159. 2005.03158.x

Walsh, D. M., Minogue, A. M., Sala Frigerio, C., Fadeeva, J. V., Wasco, W., Selkoe, D. J., et al. (2007). The APP family of proteins: Similarities and differences. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 35, 416–420. doi:10.1042/BST0350416

Wang, H., Ren, C. H., Gunawardana, C. G., and Schmitt-Ulms, G. (2013). Overcoming barriers and thresholds – signaling of oligomeric A β through the prion protein to Fyn. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 8, 24. doi:10.1186/1750-1326-8-24

Wang, X.-L., and Li, L. (2021). Cell type-specific potential pathogenic genes and functional pathways in Alzheimer's Disease. *BMC Neurol.* 21, 381. doi:10.1186/s12883-021-02407-1

Wang, Y., and Ha, Y. (2004). The x-ray structure of an antiparallel dimer of the human amyloid precursor protein E2 domain. *Mol. Cell.* 15, 343–353. doi:10.1016/j. molcel.2004.06.037

Wang, Z., Jackson, R. J., Hong, W., Taylor, W. M., Corbett, G. T., Moreno, A., et al. (2017). Human brain-derived A β oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP. J. Neurosci. 37, 11947–11966. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2009-17.2017

Watts, J. C., Bourkas, M. E. C., and Arshad, H. (2018). The function of the cellular prion protein in health and disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* 135, 159–178. doi:10.1007/s00401-017-1790-y

Weledji, E. P., and Assob, J. C. (2014). The ubiquitous neural cell adhesion molecule (N-CAM). Ann. Med. Surg. 3, 77-81. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2014.06.014

Whitehouse, I. J., Miners, J. S., Glennon, E. B. C., Kehoe, P. G., Love, S., Kellett, K. A. B., et al. (2013). Prion protein is decreased in Alzheimer's brain and inversely correlates with BACE1 activity, amyloid- β levels and Braak stage. *PLoS one* 8, e59554. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554

Winther, M., Berezin, V., and Walmod, P. S. (2012). NCAM2/OCAM/ RNCAM: Cell adhesion molecule with a role in neuronal compartmentalization. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol.* 44, 441–446. doi:10.1016/j. biocel.2011.11.020

Woo, J. A., Zhao, X., Khan, H., Penn, C., Wang, X., Joly-Amado, A., et al. (2015). Slingshot-Cofilin activation mediates mitochondrial and synaptic dysfunction via A β ligation to β 1-integrin conformers. *Cell. Death Differ.* 22, 921–934. doi:10.1038/cdd.2015.5

Wright, S., Malinin, N. L., Powell, K. A., Yednock, T., Rydel, R. E., Griswold-Prenner, I., et al. (2007). Alpha2beta1 and alphaVbeta1 integrin signaling pathways mediate amyloid-beta-induced neurotoxicity. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 226-237. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.12.002

Wulf, M. A., Senatore, A., and Aguzzi, A. (2017). The biological function of the cellular prion protein: An update. *BMC Biol.* 15, 34. doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0375-5

Xia, M., Cheng, X., Yi, R., Gao, D., and Xiong, J. (2016). The binding receptors of $A\beta$: An alternative therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 53, 455–471. doi:10.1007/s12035-014-8994-0

Xu, X.-F., Wang, Y.-C., Zong, L., Chen, Z.-Y., and Li, Y. (2018). Elevating integrin-linked kinase expression has rescued hippocampal neurogenesis and memory deficits in an AD animal model. *Brain Res.* 1695, 65–77. doi:10.1016/j. brainres.2018.05.024

Yamazaki, T., Koo, E. H., and Selkoe, D. J. (1997). Cell surface amyloid β -protein precursor colocalizes with β 1 integrins at substrate contact sites in neural cells. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 1004–1010. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.17-03-01004.1997

Yan, R., Bienkowski, M. J., Shuck, M. E., Miao, H., Tory, M. C., Pauley, A. M., et al. (1999). Membrane-anchored aspartyl protease with Alzheimer's disease β -secretase activity. *Nature* 402, 533–537. doi:10.1038/990107

Yin, Z., Raj, D., Saiepour, N., van Dam, D., Brouwer, N., Holtman, I. R., et al. (2017). Immune hyperreactivity of A β plaque-associated microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 55, 115–122. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.03.021

Young-Pearse, T. L., Chen, A. C., Chang, R., Marquez, C., and Selkoe, D. J. (2008). Secreted APP regulates the function of full-length APP in neurite outgrowth through interaction with integrin beta1. *Neural Dev.* 3, 15. doi:10. 1186/1749-8104-3-15

Yu, Y., Li, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2016). Yeast two-hybrid screening for proteins that interact with the extracellular domain of amyloid precursor protein. *Neurosci. Bull.* 32, 171–176. doi:10.1007/s12264-016-0021-1

Zhang, Y.-W., Thompson, R., Zhang, H., and Xu, H. (2011). APP processing in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol. Brain* 4, 3. doi:10.1186/1756-6606-4-3

Zhu, H., and Luo, L. (2004). Diverse functions of N-cadherin in dendritic and axonal terminal arborization of olfactory projection neurons. *Neuron* 42, 63–75. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00142-4