Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sathish Srinivasan, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, United States

REVIEWED BY Kui Cui, Harvard University, United States S. R Narahari, Institute of Applied Dermatology, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Yiming Yang, ⊠ ym_yang@jlu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 04 November 2024 ACCEPTED 25 June 2025 PUBLISHED 22 July 2025

CITATION

Zhao Q, Niu Z, Pan Y, Hao Y, Ma Y, Zhao J, Du J and Yang Y (2025) Characteristics and advances in signaling pathways, cellular communication, cell junctions, and oxidative stress in lymphedema. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 13:1521320. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2025.1521320

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhao, Niu, Pan, Hao, Ma, Zhao, Du and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Characteristics and advances in signaling pathways, cellular communication, cell junctions, and oxidative stress in lymphedema

Qiancheng Zhao^{1†}, Zhipu Niu^{2†}, Ying Pan³, Yongqi Hao¹, Yuan Ma⁴, Jiankai Zhao¹, Jianshi Du^{5,6} and Yiming Yang^{1,6}*

¹Department of Cell Biology and Medical Genetics, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ²Clinical Medicine, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ³Department of Histology and Embryology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ⁴College of Basic Medicine, Changchun University of Chinese Medicine, Changchun, Jilin, China, ⁵Department of Lymphatic and Vascular Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ⁶Key Laboratory of Lymphatic Surgery Jilin Province, Engineering Laboratory of Lymphatic Surgery, Changchun, Jilin, China

Lymphedema is a chronic inflammatory disease without an effective treatment method, and it results in a high disease burden and psychological distress in patients. Although there have been significant advances in targeted therapies, there are still no effective options to treat this refractory disease. In recent years, new advances and breakthroughs have been made in signaling pathways, including RAS/MAPK, PI3K/AKT, VEGF-C/VEGFR-3, HGF/MET, and TGF- β 1, which are important for understanding the pathogenesis and disease progression of lymphedema. Mutations in genes encoding cell junctions affect the formation of junctions in lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), causing abnormal lymphatic valve development and the impairment of lymphatic vessels. A vicious cycle of oxidative stress and chronic inflammation of lymphatic vessels leads to lymphedema. Moreover, the interactions and information communication of Tcell subsets, neutrophils, macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), and fibroblasts with LECs play equally important roles in the progression of lymphedema. Therefore, this paper summarizes the reported signaling pathways, cell junctions, oxidative stress, and cell communication involved in lymphedema, with the goal of providing ideas and a basis for understanding the pathogenesis, disease progression and targeted therapy of lymphedema. By integrating current findings on signaling dysregulation, cell junctions, and cellular crosstalk, this review provides a conceptual framework for developing multitarget therapeutic strategies to restore lymphatic homeostasis and develop potential therapies for treating lymphedema.

KEYWORDS

lymphedema, signaling pathways, inflammation, cell communication, gap junction

1 Background

Lymphedema is a chronic disease of the lymphatic system that is characterized by localized fluid retention, swelling, inflammation, fibrosis, deposition of fatty tissue, and susceptibility to infection (Gousopoulos et al., 2016b; Tashiro et al., 2017; Grada and Phillips, 2017; Bowman and Rockson, 2024). The long-term limitation of limb movement can cause inconveniences and great psychological pressure on patients' lives, seriously affecting their quality of life (Engel et al., 2003; Khan et al., 2012; Stuiver et al., 2015).

Lymphedema can be classified as either primary lymphedema or secondary lymphedema (Grada and Phillips, 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2015; Pinto et al., 2013) (Table 1). Primary lymphedema results from dysplasia or dysfunction of LECs and their associated vasculature development caused by mutations in genes involved in lymphatic development or function, leading to impaired lymphatic system function (Ferrell, 2002; Karkkainen et al., 2001; Karkkainen et al., 2000). Primary lymphedema is hereditary, as it has been reported that up to 29 genetic abnormalities are related to lymphedema (Michelini et al., 2020). Secondary lymphedema occurs after damage to the lymphatic vessels, such as bacterial or viral infection, cancer treatment, or filarial infection (Cheon et al., 2023; Rockson et al., 2019; Coriddi et al., 2017; Cormier et al., 2010). In secondary lymphedema, filariasis-associated lymphedema is the most common globally, whereas cancer-related lymphedema is more prevalent in Western countries. Global epidemiological patterns reveal significant geographic disparities: filarial lymphedema predominates in certain regions of India and Tanzania, with 250 districts in India alone accounting for more than 40% of the global burden of lymphatic filariasis. Moreover, cancer-related lymphedema affects 21%-28% of breast cancer survivors in Western countries, with the prevalence increasing to 75% among those with gynecological malignancies. (Grada and Phillips, 2017; Walsh et al., 2016; Fimbo et al., 2020; DiSipio et al., 2013; Dayan et al., 2018). Most current lymphedema treatments include massage, manual lymphatic drainage, compression bandages, therapeutic exercise, and dietary intervention (Shimizu et al., 2023). However, there is still a lack of targeted therapies for lymphedema, and there are no treatments based on molecular targets. A few lymphatic venous anastomoses are performed, supplemented by drug therapy, and an effective treatment plan is urgently needed (Lu et al., 2023). Therefore, this review summarizes some of the key signaling

| 10 7700 /6 - 01 2025 | 1521720 |
|----------------------|----------|
| T0.22083/1Cell.2052 | .1321320 |

pathways involved in lymphedema, the cell junctions between LECs, and cell-to-cell information exchange in lymphedema, with the hope that insights from these studies will ultimately contribute to the development of effective management strategies for lymphedema.

2 Physiology of the lymphatic system

The lymphatic system is a tubular network that, together with blood vessels, constitutes the body's circulatory system and ensures normal physiological activities (Adams and Alitalo, 2007). It is known to be a unidirectional blind-ended system consisting of lymph nodes and lymphatic vessels, including lymphatic capillaries, pre-collecting and collecting vessels (Swartz, 2001; Leak, 1976; Brix et al., 2021). In peripheral tissues, a dense network of nonconventional lymphatic capillaries composed of a single layer of LECs is responsible for pressure-dependent drainage of interstitial fluid, cells, and macromolecules from the interstitial space into the lymphatic system (Bollinger and Partsch, 1985; Castenholz, 1984; Ohtani, 1987; Lynch et al., 2007; Scallan et al., 2022). Special button-like junctions, discontinuous basement membranes, a lack of pericyte coverage, and connections with the surrounding extracellular matrix constitute the high drainage capacity of lymphatic capillaries. Lymph fluid is transported through the anterior collecting ducts containing valves and sporadic smooth muscle cells (SMCs) to the collecting lymphatic vessels (Mandelbrot and Mandelbrot, 1982; Ducoli and Detmar, 2021). The collecting lymphatic vessels have intact basement membranes and "zipper-like" tight junctions and are surrounded by SMCs (Baluk et al., 2007). Both the anterior collecting and collecting lymphatic vessels contain mitral structures or valves that prevent the backflow of lymph nodes and ensure unidirectional lymphatic flow. SMCs, which surround lymphatic vessels, mediate the inward constriction of collecting lymphatic vessels, allowing the upward transport of lymph through multiple lymph nodes. However, there are exceptions (von der Weid, 2013). The contraction of lymphatic vessels lacking SMC coverage, such as pulmonary lymphatic vessels, mainly depends on the force generated by the surrounding tissues (Zawieja, 2009). After ascending through several lymph nodes, the lymph eventually returns to the vasculature through major lymphatic trunks, such as the thoracic duct. Because of this highly structured network, the lymphatic system is well suited to maintain fluid homeostasis. More

| Category | Primary lymphedema | | Secondary lymphedema | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Pathogenesis | LEC dysplasia/vasculature dysfunction | | Injury lymphatic dysfunction | | | | |
| Key mechanisms | Genic mutation | Heredity | Infection Filariasis (global), virus, bacterial | Cancer treatment: Surgery, radiotherapy (Western prevalence) | Regional hotspots: India (40% global filariasis burden) | | |
| Epidemiology | Rare | | Filarial: 250 endemic districts in India Cancer-related: 21%–28% breast cancer survivors (West), 75% gynecological maligna | | | | |
| Core Therapies | Massage, manual lymphatic drainage, compression bandages, therapeutic exercise, and dietary intervention | | | | | | |

TABLE 1 The background information of lymphedema.

India

TABLE 2 Genes involved in the lymphedema signaling pathway.

| Signaling pathway | Genes | Experimental model | Mechanisms of action | Types of lymphedema | Data sources |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------|
| RAS/MAPK | AM (Fritz-Six et al., 2008) CALCRL (Fritz-Six et al., 2008) RAMP2 (Fritz-Six et al., 2008) | Knockout mice LECs HUVECs | Necessary for murine lymphatic vascular development Enhanced activation of ERK signal transduction | Primary | Human Mice |
| | KRAS (Sheppard et al., 2023) | HDLECs Zebrafish | variants activate the RAS/MAPK pathway leading to lymphatic dysplasia and edema | Primary | Human Zebrafish |
| | NRAS (Carta et al., 2006; Ekvall et al., 2015) CBL (Hanson et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2018; Brand et al., 2014; Castel et al., 2019) LZTR1 (Castel et al., 2019; Motta et al., 2019) PTPN11 (Bonetti et al., 2022; Martinelli et al., 2002) SOS1 (Tidyman and Rauen, 2009; Roberts et al., 2007) SPRED1 (Cirstea et al., 2010) NF1 (Cirstea et al., 2010) RAF1 (Cirstea et al., 2010) BRAF (Cirstea et al., 2010) MEK1 (Cirstea et al., 2010) MEK2 (Cirstea et al., 2010) | NS patients | Mutations cause disruption of the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway | Primary | Human |
| | SHOC2 (Hanson et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2018; Brand et al., 2014; Castel et al., 2019) MRAS (Hanson et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2018; Brand et al., 2014; Castel et al., 2019) PP1 (Hanson et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2018; Brand et al., 2014; Castel et al., 2019) | HEK293 DLD-1 | Mutations in MRAS, SHOC2, and PP1 contribute directly to NS by enhancing formation of a ternary complex | Primary | Human |
| | RIT1 (Castel et al., 2019; Motta et al., 2019) | Mutant mouse model HEK293 | Mutations cause disruption of the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway | Primary | Human Mice |

(Continued on the following page)

| Signaling pathway | Genes | Experimental model | Mechanisms of action | Types of lymphedema | Data sources |
|----------------------|---|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | NSD1 (Visser et al., 2012) | SoS patients | Mutations cause disruption of the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway | Primary | Human |
| РІЗК/АКТ | INPPL1 (Agollah et al., 2014) | Lymphatic disease family HDLECs | Negative regulation of the PI3K signaling pathway, whose mutation is associated with lymphatic dysfunction | Primary | Human |
| | ANG2 (Korhonen et al., 2022) Tie2 (Korhonen et al., 2022) | Knockout mice | Gene deletion leads to abnormal development and function of embryonic lymphatic vessels, resulting in lymphatic dysfunction | Primary | Human Mice |
| | ARAP3 (Kartopawiro et al., 2014) | Mouse model Zebrafish | Lymphatic vessel development is essential | Primary | Mice Zebrafish |
| | PTEN (Goberdhan and Wilson, 2003; Kataru et al., 2021) | Knockout mice | The loss of PTEN leads to increased phosphorylation of AKT, thereby increasing LEC proliferation and differentiation | Primary | Mice |
| | KIF11 (Ostergaard et al., 2012) PIEZO1 (Fotiou et al., 2015) | MLCRD Patients Genetic and data analysis | Both KIF11 and PIEZO1 can activate the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway and increase cytoplasmic Ca2+ concentration Mutations affect the development of lymphatic structures | Primary | Human |
| VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 | FLT 4 (Gordon et al., 2013; Irrthum et al., 2000) | Several animal models | Plays an important role in lymphatic vessel formation; mutations prevent phosphorylation | Primary | Several animal models |
| | CCBE 1 (Brouillard et al., 2017; Bos et al., 2011; Au et al., 2010) ADAMTS3 (Brouillard et al., 2017; Bos et al., 2011; Au et al., 2010) | Hennekam syndrome patients Mouse model | Mutations can cause lymphatic abnormalities and even death | Primary | Human Mice |
| | PTPN14 (Brouillard et al., 2017; Bos et al., 2011; Au et al., 2010) | Mouse model | Lymphatic hyperplasia with lymphoedema following genetic defect | Primary | Human Mice |

TABLE 2 (Continued) Genes involved in the lymphedema signaling pathway.

(Continued on the following page)

| Signaling pathway | Genes | Experimental model | Mechanisms of action | Types of lymphedema | Data sources |
|----------------------|---|--|--|------------------------|---------------|
| | ANG2 (Korhonen et al., 2022) Tie2 (Korhonen et al., 2022) | Knockout mice | Gene deletion leads to abnormal development and function of embryonic lymphatic vessels, resulting in lymphatic dysfunction | Primary | Human Mice |
| | GATA2 (Kazenwadel et al., 2015; Mansour et al., 2010) | Emberger syndrome patients Mouse model | It is required for lymphatic vessel valve development and maintenance | Primary | Huamn Mice |
| | SOX18 (Irrthum et al., 2003; Brouillard et al., 2014) | Clinical patients | Mutations can cause lymphatic dysfunction and lymphoedema | Primary | Human |
| | Prox1 (Kazenwadel et al., 2015; Mansour et al., 2010; Wigle and Oliver, 1999) | Knockout mice LECs | Essential for lymphatic system development Critical for the differentiation of LECs | Primary | Human Mice |
| HGF/MET | HGF (Finegold et al., 2008) MET (Finegold et al., 2008) | Lymphedema patient Mouse model LECs | Promotes LEC proliferation, migration and tube formation Promotes lymphatic vessel formation in mice | Primary Secondary | Human Mice |
| TGF-β1 | TGF-β1 (Sano et al., 2020) Smad (Sano et al., 2020) | Mouse model Lymphedemapatients | TGFB1 is a key regulator of accelerated fibrosis while inhibiting lymphangiogenesis | Secondary | Human Mice |
| NF-κB | IKBKG (Roberts et al., 2010) | Lymphedema patient | Affects activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway, and defects in this pathway may lead to lymphatic vessel dysfunction | Primary | Human |
| | STAT3 (Bowman and Rockson, 2024; Satapathy et al., 2006; Levy and Lee, 2002) | Lymphocyte Mouse model | Role in the inflammatory and fibrotic processes of lymphedema | Secondary | Human Mice |
| | NLRP3 (Zhou et al., 2023) | Mouse model | Promotes macrophage M2 polarization | Secondary | Mice |
| | TLR4 (Li et al., 2024) VEGF-C (Li et al., 2024) | Mouse model | Promote lymphatic angiogenesis to reduce lymphedema | Secondary | Mice |
| EphrinB2/EphB4 | EphrinB2 (Frye et al., 2020) EphB4 (Frye et al., 2020) CLDN5 (Frye et al., 2020) | Mouse model HDLECSs | LECs connections are required and selectively regulate lymphatic vessel permeability and function | Primary Secondary | Human Mice |
| Dchs1 and Fat4 | Dchs1 (Pujol et al., 2017) Fat4 (Pujol et al., 2017) | Knockout mice Hennekam patients | Controls endothelial cell polarization during lymphatic valve morphogenesis | Primary | Human Mice |

(Continued on the following page)

| Signaling pathway | Genes | Experimental model | Mechanisms of action | Types of lymphedema | Data sources |
|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------|
| Notch1 | Notch1 (Jannaway et al., 2023) DLL4 (Jannaway et al., 2023) | Lymphedema patients Mouse model | Functional blockade can lead to lymphedema disorders | Primary | Human Mice |
| S1P | S1P (Kim et al., 2023) | Mouse model LECs | Signaling abnormalities exacerbate lymphatic dysfunction and tissue inflammation | Secondary | Human Mice |
| TRML1 | TRPML1 (Yang et al., 2024) AQPs (Yang et al., 2024) | Mouse model HUVECs LECs | Alteration of cell permeability through regulation of AQPs localization triggers lymphedema with chronic inflammation | Secondary | Human Mice |
| Wnt/β-catenin | Wnt (Cha et al., 2018) β-catenin (Cha et al., 2018) | Knockout mice HLECs LECs | Plays a key role in the development of both lymphatic vessels and valves | Primary | Human Mice |

TABLE 2 (Continued) Genes involved in the lymphedema signaling pathway.

importantly, the lymphatic system serves as a transport route for antigens and immune cells to initiate immune responses (Liao and von der Weid, 2015). In this context, LECs must follow specific and robust regulatory programs expressing specific lymphatic markers, which ensures not only their correct cell identity and function but also proper maturation and organization of the lymphatic network (Oliver et al., 2005).

3 Abnormal signaling pathway of lymphedema

A growing body of evidence has revealed important signaling pathways involved in lymphedema-related mechanisms. Signaling pathways such as the RAS/MAPK pathway, the PI3K/AKT pathway, the TGF-B1 pathway, and the HGF/MET signaling pathway have been confirmed to play important roles in the development and progression of lymphedema (Visser et al., 2012; Tidyman and Rauen, 2008; Fritz-Six et al., 2008; Agollah et al., 2014; Korhonen et al., 2022; Suzuki et al., 2022; Finegold et al., 2008; Koksharova et al., 2024; Alpaslan et al., 2024) (Table 2). The effects of these pathways are closely correlated with the upstream VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling axis. Moreover, some of the less reported signaling pathways, such as the S1P, Notch1, NF-KB, EphrinB2/EphB4, Dchs1 and Fat4 signaling pathways, are similarly discussed below. As information on these pathways in relation to lymphedema remains limited, they provide new perspectives for future research and potential new targets for lymphedema treatment. The exchange of cellto-cell information between different gene mutations, regulatory molecules, and key components of pathways is involved in the progression of lymphedema. According to the classification of signaling pathways, while introducing regulatory molecules and gene mutations related to lymphedema, we generated tables and pictures for reference (Figure 1).

3.1 RAS/MAPK signaling pathways

The RAS/MAPK pathway is closely associated with lymphedema development. For example, Noonan syndrome (NS), cardiofacio-cutaneous (CFC) syndrome, and Sotos syndrome are all lymphedema syndromes associated with RAS/MAPK pathway abnormalities (Visser et al., 2012; Tidyman and Rauen, 2008). Many regulatory molecules and key components play important roles in the progression of lymphedema. For example, mutation or deletion of AM, CALCRL and RAMP2 leads to a lack of normal activation of the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway, resulting in reduced LEC proliferation, hypoplastic lymphatic vessels, and ultimately interstitial lymphedema (Fritz-Six et al., 2008). Sheppard SE et al. reported that the expression of activated KRAS variants increased the phosphorylation of ERK and increased MAPK signaling in human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells (HDLECs), leading to lymphatic dysplasia and edema (Sheppard et al., 2023). In the following text, we address the existing reports of NS, CFC, and Sotos syndrome with primary lymphedema separately.

NS, caused by germline pathogenic variants of genes within the RAS/MAPK signaling pathway, is characterized mainly by symptoms of lymphatic dysplasia and abnormalities of the lymphatic system (Sleutjes et al., 2022). NS patient species were also detected for germline mutations in both KRAS and NRAS, although in a small proportion (Carta et al., 2006; Ekvall et al., 2015). Most genes involved in NS encode proteins indispensable to the RAS/MAPK pathway, and pathogenic mutations often increase signaling through this pathway (Schubbert et al., 2007). For example, the gene encoding the SHOC2–MRAS–PP1 complex is a positive regulator of the RAS-ERK signaling cascade, and the gene encoding CBL can negatively regulate intracellular signaling downstream of the receptor tyrosine kinase. Mutations in the genes encoding SHOC2, MRAS, PP1 and CBL can cause symptoms similar to those of NS



LT4, CCBE1, PTPN14, ADAMTS3, TIE, ANG, GATA2, Prox1, Prox1, and SOX18 genes interfere with the normal conduction of the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 pathway, ultimately leading to lymphedema. (d) HGF/MET pathway: Mutations in HGF and MET disrupt signal transduction in the HGF/MET system and lead to lymphedema. An in-depth study of these signaling pathways is expected to provide new targets for the prevention and treatment of lymphedema.

(Hanson et al., 2014; Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006; Young et al., 2018; Brand et al., 2014; Castel et al., 2019). Moreover, NS is closely associated with aberrant mutations in RIT1, LZTR1, PTPN11 and SOS1, which regulate the RAS/MAPK pathway. Castel P and Motta M et al. reported that the RIT1 and LZTR1 genes regulate the progression of severe bilateral lower limb lymphedema in adolescent patients through abnormal activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway (Castel et al., 2019; Motta et al., 2019). Tartaglia M et al. reported that 50% of NS cases are caused by missense gain-of-function mutations in PTPN11, which affect the domain of SHP2 and thus the signaling in the RAS/MAPK pathway (Maheshwari et al., 2002; Tartaglia et al., 2001). Missense mutations in SOS1, which occur in approximately 13% of cases of NS, increase RAS activity and subsequently enhance RAS/MAPK signaling pathway transduction by disrupting the autoinhibition of RAS-GEF activity (Tidyman and Rauen, 2009; Roberts et al., 2007). In addition, a report by Cirstea IC reported that germline mutations in the RAS regulatory factors NF1 and SPRED1, as well as downstream signaling factors such as RAF1, BRAF, MEK1, and MEK2, are involved. These mutations typically lead to abnormalities in the MAPK cascade, resulting in increased signal flow (Cirstea et al., 2010). Studies and case reports of lymphatic abnormalities in NS patients caused by RRAS2 gene mutations are still rare and are valuable for further investigations. In conclusion, NS mutations in patients, such as those in KRAS, NRAS, SHOC2, MRAS, PP1, CBL, RIT1, LZTR1, PTPN11, SOS1, NF1, SPRED1, RAF1, BRAF, MEK1, and MEK2, deserve further investigation of the specific mechanism of action in primary lymphedema.

Studies of the RAS/MAPK pathway in CFC and Sotos syndrome remain scarce. The NSD1 gene product is postulated to be a corepressor protein of growth-promoting genes. Sotos syndrome is a childhood overgrowth syndrome caused by haploinsufficiency of the NSD1 gene (Kurotaki et al., 2002). McClelland J reported the presence of primary lymphedema in female patients with Sotos syndrome caused by NSD1 internal mutations (McClelland et al., 2016). Genetic mutations in NSD1 are accompanied by lower



the production of VEGF-A/C/D, enhancing tymphanglogenesis and bbrosts. (c) LECs were able to release CACL 1/2/3/5 to regulate neutrophil activity and enhance the infiltration of inflammatory cells in a murine lymphedema model. (d) VEGF-C secreted by DCs is able to drive CCL21 secretion by LECs, which guides DCs into lymphatic vessels. LECs are able to release TGF- β 1 to inhibit DC maturation and subsequently reduce the inflammatory response. (e) LECs are able to inhibit the inflammatory progression of lymphedema by binding PD-11 to PD-1 on Tregs. (f) S1P gradient in which LECs are able to recruit Th17 cells. Th17 cells in lymphedema tissue secrete IL-17 and IFN- γ . Similarly, Th22 cells are able to release high levels of IL-22 and IFN- γ , both of which are involved in the progression of lymphedema.

phosphorylation levels of the MAPK kinase (Visser et al., 2012). Similarly, CFC, caused by genetic mutations in BRAF, MEK1/2, HRAS, and KRAS that lead to altered signaling by the RAS/MAPK pathway, is a very rare sporadic disease accompanied by symptoms of primary lymphedema (Morcaldi et al., 2015).

Currently, abnormalities in the RAS/MAPK pathway are reflected in the different syndromes associated with primary lymphedema, and more genes remain to be discovered. Moreover, studying the abnormal manifestations of the RAS/MAPK signaling pathway in primary lymphedema is highly valuable for the study of pathogenesis and for the further development of therapeutic methods.

3.2 PI3K/AKT signaling pathway

The PI3K/AKT signaling pathway plays a critical role in many aspects of cell growth, proliferation, survival, metabolism, and migration and is one of the core pathways regulating cell function (Sheng et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2004). Both VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling and HGF/MET signaling can activate the PI3K/AKT pathway. Similar to the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway, the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway plays a crucial role in the development of lymphedema. For example, Coso S et al. reported that the activation of PI3K/AKT by VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 leads to the phosphorylation of P70S6K, eNOS, PLCγ1, and

ERK1/2, thus participating in the formation of lymphatic vessels (Coso et al., 2012). Yang Y et al. reported that VE-cadherin regulates AKT signaling, which is involved in lymphatic valve formation and maintenance (Yang et al., 2019). The role of VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling and HGF/MET signaling in the activation of components of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in the pathogenesis of lymphedema should be further explored.

Many regulatory molecules, such as SHIP-2, VEGF-C, and H2S, are able to modulate the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway to play important roles in lymphedema. Agollah GD et al. reported that mutations in the INPPL1 gene encoding SHIP-2 lead to hyperactivation of PI3K/AKT signaling, which is negatively regulated by SHIP-2 to mediate various forms of lymphedema, due to reduced LEC proliferation, adhesion, migration, and lumen formation (Agollah et al., 2014). Korhonen EA et al. reported that the activation of Ang2/Tie/PI3K signaling in LECs induced by VEGF-C promotes lymphatic vessel formation, which provides further investigation and potential therapeutic means for the targeted treatment of secondary lymphedema (Korhonen et al., 2022). Suzuki J et al. reported that H2S activates the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in LECs, thus improving secondary lymphedema (Suzuki et al., 2022). Some of these regulatory molecules are gene expression products of LECs, and some are endogenous molecules that regulate PI3K/AKT signaling and are involved in the progression of lymphedema.

Genetic mutations in PIK3CA, ARAP3, PIEZO1, and PTEN also play important roles in the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in the development of lymphedema. Mutations in genes encoding proteins that regulate the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway can also contribute to the progression of lymphedema. The PI3K/AKT pathway can be activated by two auxiliary proteins: KIF11 and PIEZO1. KIF11 activates PI3KA and increases the cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ concentration (Bonetti et al., 2022). Ostergaard P noted that KIF11 mutations are associated with congenitallymphedema (Ostergaard et al., 2012). Fotiou E noted that novel mutations in PIEZO1 can also lead to primary lymphedema (Fotiou et al., 2015). Furthermore, Krugmann S et al. reported that ARAP3 is also a genuine effector of the PI3K signaling system (Krugmann et al., 2002). Moreover, Kartopawiro J et al. reported that the deletion of ARAP3 caused subcutaneous lymphatic vascular dysplasia and hereditary lymphedema (Kartopawiro et al., 2014). In comparison, Kataru RP and colleagues reported that in a PTEN knockout mouse model, the inhibition of PTEN promoted PI3K/AKT signaling in LECs, thereby increasing LECs proliferation. This provides a potential target for improving lymphatic growth and function (Goberdhan and Wilson, 2003; Kataru et al., 2021). Gene mutations affecting the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway still deserve further investigation and discussion.

Interestingly, there is crosstalk between the PI3K/AKT pathway and the MAPK/ERK pathway in RAS and PI3KA. PI3KA can also be activated by RAS, connecting the RAS/MAPK and PI3K/AKT pathways (Bui and Hong, 2020). Rodriguez-Viciana P et al. reported that PI3K activation by RAS is correlated with direct binding between RAS and p110 (Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 1994; Chan et al., 2002). Zimmermann S et al. reported that the phosphorylation of RAF by AKT inhibited the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK cascade (Zimmermann et al., 1999). The interaction of the PI3K/AKT pathway and the MAPK/ERK pathway in lymphedema is important for mechanistic studies. The links between these signaling pathways should be further discussed.

3.3 VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling pathway

VEGF-C and VEGF-D bind VEGFR3 on the surface of LECs and induce lymphatic proliferation, migration, and survival by activating the intracellular PI3K-AKT and MAPK-ERK signaling pathways (Kuonqui et al., 2023). Many studies have confirmed that mutations in the FLT4 gene encoding VEGFR3 cause Milroy's disease, an inherited primary lymphedema (Gordon et al., 2013; Irrthum et al., 2000). Many regulatory proteins are involved in lymphedema and are regulated by the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 axis. Mutations in CCBE1, PTPN14, and ADAMTS3 can lead to abnormal VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling, causing lymphedema (Brouillard et al., 2017; Bos et al., 2011; Au et al., 2010). Korhonen et al. reported that deletion of the Tie receptor or blockade of Ang2 also reduced lymphangiogenesis induced by VEGF-C (Korhonen et al., 2022). Several transcription factors and gene mutations are similarly involved in regulating the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 axis to influence the development of lymphedema. Mutations in the GATA2 gene, the transcription factor controlling Prox1 and FOXC2 expression, cause primary lymphedema with myelodysplasia (Kazenwadel et al., 2015; Mansour et al., 2010). Prox1 is a key transcription factor in the differentiation of LECs. Prox1 can repress BEC-specific markers and upregulate LEC-specific genes. Sunju Lee et al. reported that blood vascular endothelial cells (BECs) in the main vein are able to migrate and form primitive lymphatic vessels and that both VEGF-C and Prox1 are indispensable regulators of this process, accompanied by the suppression of BEC-specific markers and an increase in the levels of LEC-specific genes, such as VEGFR-3 and FGFR-3 (Lee et al., 2009). In mice, Prox1-deficient mice are unable to form a lymphatic system resulting in severe edema and death (Wigle and Oliver, 1999). In addition, abnormal lymphatic leakage from lymphatic vessels promoted by Prox1 haploinsufficiency contributes to adultonset obesity (Harvey et al., 2005). Lymphatic leakage also creates an immunosuppressive environment in Prox1^{+/-} mice defective in the Prox1 gene (Herrada et al., 2022). Mutations in SOX18 may indirectly regulate VEGFR3 expression by affecting Prox1, resulting in lymphedema (Irrthum et al., 2003; Brouillard et al., 2014). Moreover, the transcription factors FOXC2 and NFATc1 are both involved in lymphatic valve development and the maturation of the lymphatic system, which may provide potential therapeutic means for the treatment of lymphedema (Norrmén et al., 2009). VEGFR3 was once thought to maintain button junctions, but recent studies have shown that it is not required throughout the life cycle after the formation of button junctions (Jannaway et al., 2023). The VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 signaling pathway is important in the mechanism of lymphedema and in the formation of normal lymphatic vessels.

3.4 HGF/MET signaling pathway

Currently, an increasing number of case studies support the potential role of HGF mutations in primary and secondary lymphedema. By sequencing the HGF and MET genes in patients

with lymphedema and patients with secondary lymphedema after cancer treatment, Finegold DN et al. reported that four HGF mutations and two MET gene mutations were closely associated with multiple types of lymphedema, which may provide therapeutic targets for lymphedema (Finegold et al., 2008). Koksharova G et al. reported a close association between HGF gene mutation and clinical symptoms of lymphedema. Genetic mutations in HGF are also involved in the pathogenesis of lymphedema (Koksharova et al., 2024). In a study of 770 patients, Alpaslan M et al. reported that mutations in the HGF gene result in mRNA degradation or impaired protein function, which impairs the activation of the AKT and ERK1/2 pathways, leading to primary lymphedema, mainly in the lower limbs, with the onset of the disease extending from childhood to adulthood (Alpaslan et al., 2024). Regulatory molecules of the HGF/MET signaling pathway are equally able to participate in the progression of lymphedema. Bonetti G reported that CBL and PTPN11 are also involved in MET signal transduction. Among them, PTPN11 can activate RAS and AKT, thus acting on both the AKT/PI3K pathway and the RAS/MAPK pathway. Functional mutations in CBL and PTPN11 can cause NS with lymphedema (Bonetti et al., 2022; Martinelli et al., 2010; Tartaglia et al., 2002). The HGF/MET signaling pathway plays an important role in the pathogenesis of lymphedema, which is closely related to the interaction of RAS/M APK signaling and PI3K/AKT signaling.

3.5 TGF- β 1 signaling pathway

The activation of the TGF-B1 signaling pathway has been identified as a pivotal factor in the progression of fibrosis in lymphedema. Sano M et al. utilized a rat model to elucidate the onset of dermal fibrosis with activation of the TGF-\u00b31/Smad signaling pathway, thereby providing the first evidence of the role of this pathway in the development of secondary lymphedema in humans (Sano et al., 2020). Increased expression and abnormal activation of TGF-B1 promote skin fibrosis in patients with secondary lower extremity lymphedema (Di et al., 2016). Recent studies have shown that TGF-\$1-activated fibroblast subpopulations are closely associated with the disease stage and fibrosis progression of lymphedema in human and mouse lymphedema tissues (Will et al., 2024a). As some research findings suggest, dysregulated TGFβ1 promotes extracellular matrix deposition in patients with breast cancer-related lymphedema (BCRL) and in mouse models (Baik et al., 2022). The inhibition of TGF- β 1, a multifaceted mediator of fibrosis and extracellular matrix deposition, has been shown to reduce T-cell inflammatory infiltration (Avraham et al., 2010). This finding is further supported by the observations of Sun D et al., who reported that TGF- β 1 is overexpressed in the skin of patients with symptomatic secondary lymphedema. In addition, studies have demonstrated that its inhibition in vivo can increase neolymphangiogenesis and alleviate lymphedema in a rodent tail model (Di et al., 2016; Avraham et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2011). Furthermore, Baik JE et al. reported that TGFβ1 is an essential regulator of ECM deposition in secondary lymphedema and that inhibition of this response is a promising means of treating lymphedema (Baik et al., 2022). Notably, TGFβ1 also possesses immunosuppressive properties, which could contribute to chronic inflammation secondary to lymphedema.

TGF-\u03b31 influences immunoinflammation by modulating the Th17-Treg balance (Yoshimura and Muto, 2011). It has also been shown to inhibit inflammatory signaling pathways such as the NF-KB pathway and reduce the expression of inflammatory factors and chemokines, including IL-6, IFN-y, and RANTES (Shiou et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2011). LECs has been observed to secrete factors such as TGF-β1, which functions to inhibit DC maturation, thereby reducing inflammation in lymphoid tissues (Christiansen et al., 2016). However, Avraham T's study revealed that the inhibition of TGF- β function reduces chronic inflammation, thereby rendering the role of TGF-B in secondary lymphedema more complex and elusive. Consequently, the inhibition of TGF-\$1 has emerged as a promising treatment for secondary lymphedema. The role of TGFβ1 in the development and progression of lymphedema warrants further exploration, and we anticipate providing novel concepts and methodologies for targeting TGF-B1 in the treatment of lymphedema.

3.6 Other signaling pathways

3.6.1 NF-κB signaling pathway

Roberts CML et al. reported that the occurrence of lymphedema in a 6-year-old boy was closely related to the mutation of the IKBKG gene, which encodes the NEMO protein (IKK γ) to regulate the activation of the NF-KB signaling pathway (Roberts et al., 2010). The chronic inflammatory state and fibrosis in lymphedema are strongly associated with STAT3 and NF-KB (Bowman and Rockson, 2024). A study revealed elevated IL-6 levels in secondary filarial lymphedema (Satapathy et al., 2006). IL-6 is able to hyperactivate downstream STAT3 (Levy and Lee, 2002). In addition, there is a synergistic interaction between STAT3 and NF-KB to produce various inflammatory cytokines. STAT3 and NF-κB may undergo positive feedback amplification to maintain the chronic inflammatory state of lymphedema (Hirano, 2021). NF-kB plays an important role in different types of secondary lymphedema. Zhou Z et al. reported that Substance P promoted macrophage M2 macrophage polarization by regulating the NF-KB/NLRP3 signaling pathway, thus alleviating secondary lymphedema (Zhou et al., 2023). In addition, Yang C reported for the first time that the NF-kB inhibitor bortezomib can relieve limb lymphedema in patients with multiple myeloma (Yang et al., 2021a). In secondary lymphedema associated with lymphatic filariasis infection, Babu S et al. reported that TLR2 and TLR9 are involved in inducing the activation of the MAPK and NF-KB pathways to promote the pathological process of lymphedema (Babu et al., 2011). Interestingly, NF-κB plays an important role in lymphatic vessel formation, which may provide new ideas and directions for the subsequent treatment of lymphedema. Li et al. reported that type II arabinogalactan (CAPW-1) could activate the TLR4/NF-ĸB/VEGF-C signaling pathway in LECs to promote lymphatic angiogenesis and reduce edema in mice with secondary lymphedema (Li et al., 2024). In summary, inhibition of the inflammatory cell NF-KB pathway is important in the treatment of secondary lymphedema. In addition, the activation of NF-KB in LECs is also important in the development and progression of lymphedema. This seems to be a bidirectional issue that requires further investigation in the future.

3.6.2 EphrinB2/EphB4 signaling pathway

The diminished signaling between EphrinB2 and EphB4 in lymph vessels mirrors the heightened vessel leakage evident in response to bacterial infections and primary lymphedema. This phenomenon is associated with a decrease in CLDN5 levels at LEC junctions, a consequence of the loss of EphrinB2-EphB4 signaling (Frye et al., 2020). The EphrinB2/EphB4 signaling pathway has been identified as a promising target for the treatment of lymphedema and related diseases. The specific signaling pathways of EphrinB2-EphB4 in LECs are not yet fully understood. However, the activation of EphB4 receptors or their downstream signaling pathways, along with the regulation of signaling between these two proteins, may provide new therapeutic approaches through the development of drugs that increase the integrity of LECs.

3.6.3 Dchs1 and Fat4 signaling pathways

Abnormal valve development in mice with defective Dchs1 and Fat4 genes causes blocked lymphatic fluid flow and triggers primary lymphedema (Pujol et al., 2017). This study highlights the possibility that abnormal signaling pathways in related genetic disorders may lead to lymphatic system disorders.

3.6.4 Notch1 signaling pathway

Notch1 has been shown to engage in crosstalk with the VEGF signaling pathway, thereby influencing lymphatic vessel development and function. In their seminal study, Michelini S et al. advanced a compelling proposition regarding the most common genetic variant associated with genetic susceptibility to Notch1 lymphedema (Michelini et al., 2021). LECs lacking Notch1 signaling result in abnormal enlargement and formation of lymphatic vessels. Jannaway M et al. reported that forced activation of Notch1 signaling in the VEGFR3/Notch1 signaling axis in the absence of VEGFR3 restored lymphatic capillary button junction formation and interstitial fluid uptake (Jannaway et al., 2023). Upregulation of Notch1 signaling ameliorates VEGFR3 deficiency and can be used as a potential therapeutic approach for treating lymphedema (Jannaway et al., 2023). Notch1 signaling may play an important role in the prevention or treatment of acquired lymphedema. Tian W et al. reported that patients with acquired lymphedema have elevated levels of the proinflammatory mediator leukotriene B4 (LTB4), which inhibits VEGFR3 and Notch signaling involved in lymphedema. In addition, Notch1 knockout mice are insensitive to the therapeutic effects of LTB4 antagonists, which further supports the important role of Notch1 signaling in LTB4 regulation of lymphedema (Tian et al., 2017). Piezo1 is able to downregulate downstream Notch1. Choi D et al. reported that activation of Piezo1 or the use of the Piezo1 agonist Yoda1 effectively inhibited the development of postoperative lymphedema and enhanced lymphoid sprout growth (Choi et al., 2022). Interestingly, whether Notch1 signaling is downregulated or upregulated in the treatment of lymphedema, which may result from differences in Notch1 upstream signaling, remains a question worth exploring. In conclusion, the Notch1 signaling pathway can be targeted for the treatment of lymphedema. The development of drugs related to the Notch1 signaling pathway may be beneficial for the treatment of lymphedema.

3.6.5 S1P signaling pathway

In a study by Kim D et al., the inhibition of the S1P signaling pathway was confirmed to increase the expression of P-selectin in LECs, which relieved the symptoms of secondary lymphedema (Kim et al., 2023). Currently, research on the pathogenesis of the S1P signaling pathway in lymphedema is still scarce. Focusing on the link between S1P signaling and other pathways and its role in disease progression provides new insights and treatments for lymphedema.

3.6.6 Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway

FOXC2 and GATA2 are also crucial for the differentiation of lymphatic valve endothelial cells. Oscillatory shear stress (OSS) enhances Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in cultured LECs, inducing the expression of lymphedema-associated transcription factors GATA2 and FOXC2 (Choi et al., 2016; Cha et al., 2016).PROX1 is a key transcription factor in LECs differentiation.Further studies by Cha et al. revealed that PROX1 interacts with β -catenin and TCF7L1 to promote the expression of FOXC2 and GATA2 (Cha et al., 2018).Research on the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway not only reveals the molecular mechanisms involved in LEC differentiation but also provides new ideas and directions for the molecular-level treatment of lymphedema.

3.6.7 TRPML1/AQPs signaling pathway

Cell permeability is considered one of the potential pathophysiological mechanisms of lymphedema. In particular, the dynamic relocalization of aquaporins (AQPs) within cells significantly affects cell permeability (Conner et al., 2013). A recent study demonstrated that TRPML1 induces the accumulation of AQP2 at the apical surface of cells while disassembling the actin cytoskeleton, significantly increasing cell permeability and enhancing the response of cells to hypoosmotic stimulation in terms of water flux (Scorza et al., 2023). Our lab's latest research revealed that TRPML1 regulates the localization of AQP3 and AQP5 on the cell membrane in human lymphatic endothelial cells (HLECs), leading to increased cell permeability, promoting the development of lymphedema, and accompanying chronic inflammatory responses (Yang et al., 2024). These findings suggest that TRPML1 may be an important trigger for lymphedema. With further research into the functions of TRPML1 and aquaporins, new molecular therapeutic targets for secondary lymphedema are expected to emerge in the future.

4 Cellular communication in lymphedema

Different subsets of T cells, including Th 1, Th 2, Th 17, Th 22 and Treg cells, play important roles in the pathological process and pathogenesis of lymphedema. The interaction of different subpopulations with LECs is important for a deeper understanding of lymphedema. Moreover, the interaction of neutrophils, macrophages, and DCs with LECs, especially macrophages, is important for the progression of lymphedema. Below, we summarize the previously reported roles of different cell types in lymphedema, including several signaling pathways, therapeutic measures, and pathological processes (Figure 2).

4.1 Th1

Th1 cells play a critical role in the formation and progression of secondary lymphedema through their proinflammatory effects. S1PR1-deficient LECs promote the differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into Th1 cells via direct contact (Kim et al., 2023). Similarly, in individuals with filarial lymphedema, BmA significantly increases the production of the Th1-type cytokines IFN-y and TNF-a (Babu et al., 2009). Paradoxically, in a study of parasitic infection causing lymphedema, Babu S et al. reported a significant reduction in IFN- γ and TNF- α production by Th1 cells, with low expression of T-bet and attenuated Th1 responses (Babu et al., 2006). Loghry HJ et al. reported that Bma-LEC-2, which is secreted by parasites infecting patients with lymphatic filariasis, can selectively induce the apoptosis of Th1 cells and inhibit the host's Th1 immune response, leading to persistent parasitic infection and the exacerbation of lymphedema disease (Loghry et al., 2022). Studies of Th1 cells in lymphatic filariasis-induced lymphedema still need further investigation. Similarly, Miyazaki T reported that abnormal activation of the calmodulin system in LECs inhibited the generation of TGF- β 1, a process that affects the stability of Tregs and may indirectly affect the proinflammatory response of Th1 cells (Miyazaki et al., 2023). The ability of hyaluronidase and P-selectin to improve lymphedema differentiation by enhancing Th1 cells and reducing Th2 cell differentiation is promising (Kim et al., 2023; Cho et al., 2017). It can be speculated that increased Th1 cell differentiation exacerbates the development of lymphedema in the presence of blocked or dysfunctional lymphangiogenesis.

4.2 Th2

Th2 cells, a type of helper T cell, mainly secrete cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-13 during the progression of secondary lymphedema. Compared with Th1 cells, Th2 cells play an equally important role in the pathological process of lymphedema and in antifibrotic therapy. In pathogenesis, Savetsky IL et al. reported that IL-4 and IL-13 secreted by Th2 cells inhibit their proliferation, migration and tubular structure formation by promoting the apoptosis of LECs (Savetsky et al., 2015). In the case of lymphedema, impaired S1P signaling in LECs normally fails to regulate Th2 cell differentiation, further aggravating the symptoms of secondary lymphedema (Kim et al., 2023). Abnormal activation of the calmodulin (calpain) system of LECs leads to impaired stability of Th2 cell subsets, affecting the function of the lymphatic system (Miyazaki et al., 2023). A study by Avraham T et al. and Mehrara BJ et al. revealed that the inhibition of Th2 differentiation with IL-4- or IL-13-blocking agents, such as neutralizing antibodies, significantly relieved the symptoms of secondary lymphedema (Avraham et al., 2013; Mehrara et al., 2021).

4.3 Th17

Th17 cells in lymphedema and elephant cutaneous tumor symptoms due to secondary lymphatic filariasis are closely associated with Th17 cells (Fu and Liu, 2023). The immune response

in lymphedema patients progresses in the directions of Th1, Th2, and Th17 (Pfarr et al., 2009). Among them, the levels of the inflammatory cytokines IL-17 and IFN-y secreted by Th17 cells are significantly greater than those secreted by control cells (Anuradha et al., 2014). Th17 cells often aggravate secondary lymphedema by promoting inflammation and fibrosis progression. Although the relationship between LECs and Th17 cells has not been directly discussed in detail in lymphedema, Th17 cells are also a subset of CD4⁺ T cells, and it can be speculated that LECs may affect the differentiation or function of Th17 cells through a similar mechanism. In collageninduced arthritis (CIA), the autophagy process of LECs modulates Th17 efflux from the lymph nodes (LNs), a process associated with SphK1 changes resulting in the S1P gradient (Harlé et al., 2021). Current studies on the interaction between Th17 cells and LECs involved in the pathogenesis of lymphedema are still scarce and warrant further study and discussion.

4.4 Th22

Few studies of Th22 cells in lymphedema are available, and the relationship between LECs and Th22 cells has not been discussed in detail. Only one study reported that Th22 cells from patients with filarial lymphedema coexpressed higher levels of IL-22 and IFN- γ than did those from controls (Anuradha et al., 2014). Th22 and Th17 cells are often involved in chronic inflammatory diseases. Therefore, it is important to explore the effects of Th22 cells on LECs in patients with lymphedema. Moreover, focusing on the role of Th17 and Th22 in patients with lymphedema is highly valuable for further understanding the pathogenesis of lymphedema.

4.5 Tregs

The interaction between Tregs and LECs plays an important role in the drainage of lymphatic fluid and the migration of immune cells. Treg numbers are significantly increased in secondary lymphedema tissues in both mice and humans (Gousopo et al., 2016a). Tregs promote the permeability of LECs and enhance the ability of other immune cells to span LECs through LTa1β2-LTβR signaling (Piao et al., 2020). In contrast, LECs are able to regulate PD-L1 migration and inhibit the inflammatory progression of lymphedema by binding to PD-L1 on Tregs (Piao et al., 2022). Importantly, Tregs are involved in the suppression of Th1/Th2 immune responses and the progression of tissue fibrosis to improve the function of lymphatic vessels (Gousopo et al., 2016b). Gkountidi AO et al. reported that IFN-y upregulated the expression of MHC II in LECs and that MHC II presented antigens to Tregs to inhibit the activity of effector T cells and promote tumor growth (Gkountidi et al., 2021). Although the above reports were conducted in the context of tumor research, the immunomodulatory function of LECs and the interaction of Tregs may also have some reference value in the pathological process of lymphedema. In particular, the role of LECs in immune regulation may provide indirect implications for further understanding the immunological mechanisms of lymphedema.

4.6 Neutrophil

Secondary lymphedema promotes the infiltration of neutrophils and other inflammatory cells, exacerbating tissue fibrosis and chronic inflammatory responses (Zampell et al., 2012). Similarly, the degree of tissue inflammation in an obesity mouse model of lymphedema is strongly associated with increased infiltration of neutrophils (Savetsky et al., 2014). This process is associated with the possible secretion of chemokines (CXCL1/2/3/5) by LECs to attract neutrophils into lymphatic vessels and eventually into draining lymph nodes (dLNs). The synergistic effect of IL-17A and TNF-a promoted the secretion of chemokines by LECs (Ni et al., 2024). Moreover, the site of edema in patients with secondary lymphedema can be accompanied by neutrophilic skin lesions such as Sweet syndrome, which is also associated with the aggregation and infiltration of neutrophils (Guyot-Caquelin et al., 2010). Frueh FS et al. speculated that neutrophil infiltration in the lymph node area is associated with the pathogenesis of lymphedema after ischemia/reperfusion injury (Frueh et al., 2020). However, the interaction between LECs and neutrophils in lymphedema has not been explored in detail in the literature. Further studies on the interaction between LEC and neutrophils are highly valuable for studying the pathogenesis of lymphedema.

4.7 Macrophage

The interaction of LECs and macrophages is very important in the pathological process of secondary lymphedema (Lala et al., 2018; Ji, 2007). With chemokines secreted by LECs, M2 macrophages secrete VEGF-A, VEGF-C and VEGF-D and regulate CD4⁺ T-cell accumulation and Th2 differentiation to increase lymphangiogenesis and reduce fibrosis. Therefore, promoting macrophage-to-M2 macrophage polarization in tissues with secondary lymphedema may also be an effective therapeutic modality. (Lala et al., 2018). For example, Zhou Z et al. reported that substance P, a neurotransmitter, downregulates the NF-KB/NLRP3 pathway to polarize bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) into M2 macrophages, thereby alleviating secondary lymphedema (Zhou et al., 2023). Macrophages also play an important role in the pathogenesis of infectious lymphedema. Weinkopff T et al. reported that lymphedema triggered by filarial infection is associated with excretory secretions such as VEGF-A and IL-8, which stimulate macrophages to produce lymphangiogenic factors capable of causing lymphangiectasia to cause secondary lymphedema (Weinkopff et al., 2014). Research on macrophages in lymphedema has focused mainly on how macrophages act with LECs to participate in the generation of lymphatic vessels and the development of lymphedema. Related studies have focused on the roles of macrophages and LECs in signaling pathways, information molecules, and inflammatory mediators. However, the role of macrophages in the pathogenesis of lymphedema is still unclear and requires further study.

4.8 Dendritic cells

There are no reports on how dendritic cells (DCs) interact with LEC in lymphedema. Almost all studies have focused on how

DCs influence LECs to participate in the regulation of lymphoid tissue inflammation, lymphatic vessel development, and lymphatic permeability. On the basis of the role of DCs in the pathological process of abnormal lymphatic vessels, it can be speculated that abnormal development and functional inactivation of the lymphatic system are closely associated with the occurrence of lymphedema. LECs are also able to capture and present exogenous antigens via MHC II to DCs, which are able to cross-present antigens to CD8⁺ T cells (Santambrogio et al., 2019; Kedl et al., 2017). In contrast, the secretion of factors such as TGF-B1 by LECs suppresses the maturation of DCs to reduce inflammation in lymphoid tissues (Christiansen et al., 2016). DCs play an important role in promoting interactions with LECs during the development of lymphoid tissues. Upon IFN-y stimulation, DCs significantly increase the release of VEGF-C and act on LECs to promote the generation of lymphatic vessels (Gagliostro et al., 2016). Moreover, VEGF-C secreted by DCs enables LECs to secrete chemokines such as CCL21, and CCL21 binds to receptors on DCs such as CCR7 to guide DCs into lymphatic vessels (Permanyer et al., 2018). Furthermore, LECs support the migration of DCs from peripheral tissues to draining lymph nodes by expressing adhesion molecules such as CD112 (Haghayeg et al., 2024). In terms of regulating permeability, DCs regulate lymphatic vessel permeability through specific signaling pathways, such as the CCR7/IRF4 axis and LECs interaction (Permanyer et al., 2018). In this section, we focus on relevant studies and reports regarding the interaction between DCs and LECs, particularly within the inflammatory tissue of lymphatic vessels, with the goal of providing insights into the pathogenesis of lymphedema and its progression.

4.9 Fibroblasts

The fundamental pathology of secondary lymphedema, a significant complication of cancer treatment, is characterized by an imbalance between TGF-\$1-mediated fibrosis and lymphangiogenesis (Baik et al., 2022). On the one hand, significant activation of the Smad2 signaling pathway in lymphedema-associated fibroblasts (LAFs) has been shown to induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and promote the formation of a-smooth muscle actin-positive stress fibers and collagen deposition under specific conditions (Will et al., 2024a). A recent study revealed the critical role of an interactive network of fibroblasts and LECs in the tumor microenvironment in the progression of lymphedema. In patients with cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) who have lymph node metastasis (LNM), cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) promote lymphangiogenesis by activating the ERK1/2-JNK pathway in LECs through the secretion of PDGF-BB (Yan et al., 2024). Furthermore, the recruitment and 3-D assembly of LECs are induced by fibroblasts stimulated with PDGF-D, resulting in increased LEC monolayer permeability (Cadamuro et al., 2019). Oral CAFs have been shown to promote LEC proliferation, migration, invasion, and lumen formation to a greater extent than normal fibroblasts (NFs) do (Chen et al., 2015). In summary, the interaction between fibroblasts, particularly CAFs, and LECs plays a significant role in the regulation of lymphangiogenesis. This cellular communication significantly influences remodeling of the tumor microenvironment and offers novel insights into the mechanisms underlying secondary lymphedema. While the majority of current studies have focused on CAF-LEC interactions in the context of tumors, these findings highlight potential therapeutic targets for interventions aimed at regulating fibroblast function to either promote or inhibit lymphangiogenesis, thereby addressing the occurrence and development of lymphedema. Therefore, an indepth study of the communication mechanisms between fibroblasts and LECs may lead to new strategies and direct therapeutic approaches.

4.10 Adipose-derived stem cells

The pathomechanism of lymphedema involves not only interstitial fluid accumulation, but also multidimensional changes such as chronic inflammation, fibrosis, and abnormal fat deposition (Burton et al., 2023). Notably, adipose tissue has a bidirectional relationship with the lymphatic system, in which obesity has been shown to be an independent risk factor. Recent studies have indicated that adiposederived stem cells (ADSCs) may hold promise in the treatment of lymphedema, with their therapeutic effects potentially manifesting through the activation of lymphatic vessel neogenesis. In vitro investigations have demonstrated that ADSCs significantly promote the proliferation, migration, and tubular structure formation of human dermal LECs (Saijo et al., 2019). However, the direct effect of ADSCs on LECs remains to be elucidated. A study by Takeda K revealed that factors secreted by ADSCs were more effective than recombinant human vascular endothelial growth factor-C in inducing LECs proliferation, migration, and tube formation (Takeda et al., 2015). However, a significant gap remains in our understanding of the intricate cellular communication between adipocytes and LECs. To address this critical knowledge gap, it is imperative to undertake a systematic analysis of the underlying mechanisms governing the interaction between adipocytes and LECs. This analysis should be facilitated by the establishment of an in vitro model that enables coculture of adipocytes and LECs. This model not only provides novel insights into the complex interactions between these cell types but also serves as a valuable platform for the development of novel therapeutic strategies for lymphedema, with a specific focus on adipose metabolism.

5 Maintenance and dynamic regulation of the lymphatic system microenvironment

5.1 Cell junctions

5.1.1 Connexins

Gap junctions (GJs), which are intercellular channels composed of connexin (Cx) subunits, enable cell-to-cell communication through the direct cytoplasmic exchange of ions and small molecules (Söhl and Willecke, 2004) (Table 3). Among these cell junctions, connexins (Cxs), GJ proteins, have been shown to play a key role in lymphoid development in vertebrates. Many studies suggest that Cx-associated lymphedema is caused by asynchronous contraction of the lymphatic vessels (Castorena-Gonzalez et al., 2018). The absence of different Cxs, including Cx 26, Cx 37, Cx 43, and Cx 47, has also been confirmed in many studies of lymphedema. For example, loss of expression of GJB2,

encoding Cx26, suppresses peripheral lymphangiogenesis and causes severe lymphedema in mouse models (Dicke et al., 2011). In addition, Ostergaard P et al. and Ferrell RE et al. reported that mutations in the GJC2 gene encoding Cx47 were associated with hereditary primary lymphedema (Ferrell et al., 2010; Ostergaard et al., 2011). A study by Finegold DN revealed a positive association between the risk of secondary lymphedema after breast cancer treatment (Finegold et al., 2012). Brice G et al. confirmed through Sanger sequencing that a new mutation in the GJA1 gene encoding the Cx43 mutation an leads to oculodentodigital syndrome and primary lymphedema (Brice et al., 2013). Cx43 has a limited role in the lymphatic endothelium, and one study using a knockout mouse model revealed that although Cx43 is widespread in the endothelial layer of lymphatic vessels, its absence does not affect rhythmic contraction or calcium signaling synchronization in lymphatic vessels. It is Cx45, not Cx43, that truly regulates contraction rhythms (Castorena-Gonzalez et al., 2018). Davis MJ and colleagues first reported that the expression of CX45 in mouse lymph endothelial cells is essential for the normal function of the lymphatic system. Unfortunately, there are no reports of human lymphedema associated with Cx45 (GJC1) mutations. This may be because loss-of-function mutations in human Cx45 are potentially lethal and are thus not detected in primary lymphedema clinical screening through whole-exome sequencing (Davis et al., 2024). Kanady JD et al. reported that FOXC2 and Cx37 jointly control lymph valve development (Kanady et al., 2015). In addition, defects in Cx37 and Cx43 have been shown to cause primary lymphedema and chylothorax (Kanady et al., 2011). We currently have limited knowledge of the functional relationships and signaling pathways of Cxs with other proteins. At present, the study of the interaction between Cxs and other proteins can provide a deeper understanding of developmental disorders and the missing functions of LECs, establishing a basis for further exploration of the pathogenesis of lymphedema and therapeutic measures.

5.1.2 JAM-A

Junctional adhesion molecules (JAMs) are a family of adhesion molecule glycoproteins that are expressed on human LECs. There is a paucity of literature on the association between JAM and lymphedema (Ueki et al., 2008). Lee et al. successfully induced lymphedema by the cytokine-induced ROCK2/JAM-A complex in a 3D bionic model of lymphatic vessels. Lymphatic-specific knockdown of ROCK2 reverses lymphedema *in vivo* and could serve as a potential therapeutic target for lymphedema (Lee et al., 2023). The role of JAM in the pathogenesis and treatment of lymphedema deserves further investigation and discussion.

5.1.3 Cadherin

VE-cadherin-mediated cellular connectivity and signaling regulatory networks have been demonstrated to play central roles in lymphedema development (Hägerling et al., 2018; Frye et al., 2015). VE-cadherin has been shown to bind to β -catenin, thereby maintaining Prox1, FOXC2, and GATA2 transcriptional regulation, which is required for lymphatic valve development (Yang et al., 2019; Cha et al., 2016). In addition, VE-cadherin was found to regulate valve formation through VEGFR2/3-AKT signaling and to synergistically maintain endothelial junction integrity with uPARAP (Coon et al., 2015; Gucciardo et al., 2025). GATA2, a key transcription factor, not only regulates VE-cadherin and

| Types of lymphedema | Category | Mechanisms of action | Data sources |
|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|
| Primary | Cx 26 (GJB2) (Dicke et al., 2011) | Related to lymphatic vessel formation | Mouse model |
| Primary and secondary | Cx 37 (GJA4) (Kanady et al., 2015; Kanady et al., 2011; Hadizadeh et al., 2018) | Related to lymphatic vessel growth and lymphatic valve development Mutations that are associated with secondary lymphedema in breast cancer patients after surgery | Mouse model Patient |
| Primary | Cx 43 (GJA1) (Castorena-Gonzalez et al., 2018; Brice et al., 2013) | Mutations that cause abnormal valve development and/or reduced valve density leading to lymphatic transport disorders | Mouse model Patient |
| Primary and secondary | Cx 47 (GJC2) (Ferrell et al., 2010; Ostergaard et al., 2011) | Mutations that cause abnormal valve development and/or reduced valve density leading to lymphatic transport disorders Mutations that are associated with secondary lymphedema following breast cancer treatment | Mouse model Patient |
| Nothing found | Cx45 (GJC1) (Davis et al., 2024) | Essential for lymphatic valve function Cx45 loss-of-function mutations may be lethal | Mouse model |
| secondary | ROCK2/JAM-A (Lee et al., 2023) | Specific knockdown of ROCK2 in the lymphatic system can reverse lymphedema | Mouse model LECs |
| Primary | Cadherin 4 (FAT4) (Betterman et al., 2020) | Mutations in calmodulin FAT4 cause Hennekam syndrome, one of the characteristics of which is lymphoedema | Patient |
| Primary | VE-cadherin (Yang et al., 2019; Cha et al., 2016) | VE-cadherin can bind to β-catenin, which is essential for the development of lymphatic valves | Mouse model |
| Nothing found | Claudin 5 (Frye et al., 2020; Mahamud et al., 2019) | The localization and stability of CLDN5 may affect lymphatic vascular permeability | Mouse model HLECs |

TABLE 3 Cell junctions in lymphedema.

claudin 5 (CLDN5) expression, but also its own expression is regulated by β -catenin signaling, suggesting that a bidirectional regulatory mechanism may be involved in this pathological process (Mahamud et al., 2019). Notably, mutations in the atypical calcineurin protein FAT4 have been identified in patients with Hennekam syndrome, which is characterized by lymphedema as one of its features. This finding underscores the critical role of FAT4 in the lymphovascular system (Betterman et al., 2020). Although the direct relationship between cadherins and lymphedema is not fully understood, recent studies have begun to reveal changes in cadherin localization and molecular expression that may act as potential triggers for lymphedema. For example, VE-cadherin-dependent signaling is critical for lymphatic valve formation and maintenance, and therapies that enhance downstream pathways have the potential to treat lymphedema. Therefore, future studies should investigate the specific role of cadherins in the pathogenesis of lymphedema to identify new intervention targets that could advance the early diagnosis and treatment of this disease.

5.1.4 Claudin

Claudin 5 (CLDN5), a pivotal molecule in cell junctions, is regulated by both GATA2 and EphrinB2/EphB4 signaling, suggesting a potential role in edema formation through its impact on lymphatic endothelial barrier function. GATA2 mutations disrupt cellular junctions by downregulating CLDN5 and VE-cadherin. In contrast, the EphrinB2/EphB4-Rac1/Rho pathway has been demonstrated to dynamically regulate the localization and stability of CLDN5, suggesting that targeting this pathway may selectively regulate lymphatic vessel permeability (Frye et al., 2020; Mahamud et al., 2019). Although there is limited evidence for a direct association between CLDN5 and lymphedema, its aberrant expression has been recognized as a potential pathogenetic factor. In the future, the spatiotemporal regulatory network of CLDN5, as well as the mechanism of synergistic maintenance of the lymphatic barrier with VEcadherin, must be analyzed, which will provide a basis for precise intervention.

5.2 Oxidative stress in lymphedema

Oxidative stress has been demonstrated to affect normal lymphatic function and exacerbate secondary lymphedema. Aging has been demonstrated to reduce the level of antioxidant enzymes (SODs) and increase superoxide anion levels in lymphatic vessels, thereby promoting chronic inflammation and weakening lymphatic vessel contractility (Pal et al., 2017). The level of oxidative stress in lymphedema is significantly elevated in pathological states. The accumulation of substantial quantities of lymphatic fluid within the affected tissues can result in local tissue hypoxia, which, in turn, can trigger excessive oxidative stress, thereby establishing a self-perpetuating cycle (Siems et al., 2002; Tabibiazar et al., 2006). The inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) has been demonstrated to significantly reduce edema and lower free radical levels in a mouse tail lymphedema model, suggesting the potential of antioxidant strategies in lymphedema treatment (Chang et al., 2013). In clinical trials, sodium selenite, an antioxidant, has been shown to reduce ROS production and mitigate symptoms of lymphedema. Furthermore, the observation of enhanced serum markers of oxidative stress in patients undergoing lymphatic venous anastomosis (LVA) and vascularized lymph node flap transfer supports the efficacy of alleviating oxidative stress in reducing lymphatic loading (Yang et al., 2021b). Consequently, interventions that target oxidative stress, such as the utilization of antioxidants and the enhancement of oxidative stress levels after surgical intervention, may offer novel approaches for the management of lymphedema. These interventions are also clinically significant, particularly in reducing the lymphatic load and enhancing lymphatic function.

6 Treatment

The management of lymphedema, a complex chronic disease, continues to face significant challenges, particularly in the context of primary lymphedema due to delayed diagnosis and heterogeneous progression patterns. The clinical management of secondary lymphedema mainly follows a framework based on core strategies, including complete decongestive therapy (CDT) and microsurgical techniques such as lymphovenous anastomosis (Table 4). However, the evidence supporting the longterm efficacy of these interventions remains to be thoroughly substantiated (Senger et al., 2023). In the context of secondary lymphedema, although recombinant VEGF-C and AAV gene therapies have been shown to significantly improve edema in animal models, their clinical application is limited by targeted delivery efficiency and high cost (Szuba et al., 2002; Tammela et al., 2007; Leppäpuska et al., 2022). In contrast, small-molecule drugs such as second-generation tetracyclines exhibit strong clinical translational potential through the dual mechanism of activating LECs proliferation and inducing M2 macrophage polarization (Furlong-Silva et al., 2021). Furthermore, the topical application of pirfenidone (PFD) has been demonstrated to inhibit TGF-B1 signaling, thereby reducing the degree of fibrosis and preventing the development of lymphedema (Baik et al., 2022). However, the utilization of these medications can result in a range of adverse effects, including gastrointestinal reactions and lipid metabolism disorders. Among natural products, Cynanchum atratum extract

CAPW-1 promotes lymphangiogenesis through the TLR4/NF-κB pathway and has a synergistic effect with VEGF-C (Li et al., 2024). S R Narahari argued that the "integrative medicine" model promoted in India, which combines traditional and modern approaches and focuses on the quality of life of the patient, is an effective, lowcost way of managing lymphedema and could serve as a model for disability prevention of chronic diseases in developing countries (Narahari and Ryan, 2020). He also provided clear clinical evidence that an integrative medicine program integrating Ayurveda, yoga, anti-infective measures and compression therapy is effective in improving lymphedema, reducing infections, and improving quality of life in patients with lymphatic filariasis and that it is low cost and suitable for gRAS-root dissemination (Narahari et al., 2023). The combination of the ultramicrosurgical technique and ICG lymphangiography has yielded substantial limb volume reduction in postoperative patients. The underlying mechanism of this efficacy is closely associated with oxidative stress modulation (Will et al., 2024b). Future research endeavors must integrate single-cell genomics to elucidate the heterogeneity of LECs, develop engineered biomaterials, and construct AI predictive models to optimize individualized treatments. Ultimately, the aim of these efforts is to achieve a paradigm shift from symptom management to pathological reversal through multitarget regulatory networks.

7 Conclusion and discussion

Lymphedema is a complex chronic disease, and its pathological mechanism involves genetic variations, signaling pathway abnormalities, dysregulated intercellular communication, immune microenvironmental disorders, and oxidative stress imbalance at multiple levels. This review methodically summarizes the molecular regulatory network of lymphedema-related signaling pathways and reveals the central roles of key pathways, such as the RAS/MAPK, PI3K/AKT, VEGF-C/VEGFR-3, and HGF/MET pathways, in the development, functional maintenance, and pathological damage of lymphatic vessels. For example, aberrant activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway has been closely associated with hereditary lymphedema, such as NS. Conversely, dysregulation of the VEGF-C/VEGFR-3 axis has been identified as a significant driver of primary lymphedema, including Milroy disease. Furthermore, TGF-B1 signaling has been shown to exacerbate the progression of secondary lymphedema by promoting fibrosis and inflammatory responses. In addition, the vicious cycle of oxidative stress and chronic inflammation has been demonstrated to further deteriorate lymphatic function. Notably, mutations in GJ proteins, such as Cx37 and Cx47, have been shown to result in impaired lymphatic return by interfering with the synchronization of lymphatic vasoconstriction. This provides a novel perspective for understanding abnormal lymphatic vessel dynamics.

At the level of cellular interactions, the dynamic interactions of LECs with immune cells, such as Th1, Th2, Treg, and macrophages, constitute a central feature of the lymphedema microenvironment. For example, IL-4 and IL-13, which are secreted by Th2 cells, have been shown to inhibit lymphangiogenesis by inducing LEC apoptosis. In contrast, M2 macrophage polarization has been shown to promote compensatory lymphangiogenesis through the secretion of VEGF-C. These findings contribute to a more comprehensive

| TABLE 4 | The related | treatments | for | lymphedema |
|---------|-------------|------------|-----|------------|
| TADLE 4 | The related | rearments | 101 | tympneuema |

| Type of treatment | Specific interventions | Mechanism of action | Type of application | Dominance | Limitations |
|---------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---|---|
| Traditional core therapy | Complete CDT (Senger et al., 2023) | Physical reduction (multilayer bandage/massage) | Primary secondary | International gold standard with clear short-term results | Insufficient evidence of long-term efficacy, lifelong maintenance therapy required |
| Microsurgical technique | Lymphatic vascular anastomosis (LVA) (Yang et al., 2021b) | Establishment of lymphatic bypass drainage | Secondary | Precision repair with small incisions | Dependent on operator experience; long-term results to be proven |
| Biological preparation | Recombinant VEGF-C/AAV gene therapy (Szuba et al., 2002; Tammela et al., 2007; Leppäpuska et al., 2022) | Promotes lymphatic neovascularization | Animal model | Significant improvement in edema | Inefficient targeted delivery; costly |
| Small-molecule drug | Second generation tetracyclines (Furlong-Silva et al., 2021) | Activates LEC proliferation and induces M2 macrophage polarization | Secondary | Dual mechanism with strong potential for clinical translation | Gastrointestinal reactions, lipid metabolism disorders and other side effects |
| | Topical application of PFD (Baik et al., 2022) | Inhibition of TGF-β1 signaling pathway, anti-fibrosis | Prophylactic | Stopping the progression of fibrosis | Skin irritation, photosensitivity |
| Natural product | CAPW-1 (Li et al., 2024) | TLR4/NF-κB pathway promotes lymphangiogenesis + synergizes with VEGF-C | Experimental research | Natural source, multitarget synergy | Lack of clinical data |
| Integrative medicine | Ayurvedic herbs/yoga/step pressure therapy (Narahari and Ryan, 2020; Narahari et al., 2023) | Integrated physical and phytotherapy | Resource-limited areas | Low cost, reduced infections, improved quality of life | Difficulty in standardization, wide individual variation in efficacy |
| Technology innovation portfolio | Ultra microscopy + ICG lymphography (Will et al., 2024b) | Precision targeting + oxidative stress regulation | Secondary | Significant limb volume reduction | High technical requirements, high equipment dependency |

understanding of the immunopathological mechanisms underlying lymphedema and establish a theoretical foundation for targeted immunomodulation as a therapeutic approach for this condition.

The existing therapeutic interventions for lymphedema and the current state of research in this domain are inherently limited and encumbered by significant challenges. Clinical interventions, including compression therapy and surgical procedures, are effective in mitigating symptoms. However, they do not address the underlying pathophysiology. Furthermore, there is a paucity of precise treatment regimens that are tailored to specific molecular typing profiles, which is crucial for the management of this condition. In the context of individual signaling pathways, single-target interventions may be inadequate for reversing the pathological process. Consequently, there is an imperative need to explore synergistic regulatory strategies that involve multiple pathways. However, notably, the majority of signaling pathways exhibit cross-talk, a phenomenon exemplified by PI3K/AKT and RAS/MAPK. This observation underscores the existence of substantial research potential and a notable gap in the existing knowledge. Moreover, the majority of extant studies are predicated on animal models, and the heterogeneity of primary versus secondary lymphedema may limit the generalizability of therapeutic strategies. By simulating lymphatic vessel-immune cell interactions, targeting antioxidant-promoting lymphatic regeneration, and remodeling the intralymphatic immune-metabolic microenvironment, further research into the mechanism of lymphedema and exploring therapeutic strategies are highly important. It is anticipated that this study will generate novel research concepts and insights relevant to targeted therapy for lymphedema.

In conclusion, it is imperative that research on lymphedema integrate the exploration of molecular mechanisms with the innovation of therapeutic modalities. In the future, the therapeutic bottlenecks currently experienced by lymphedema patients may be overcome through targeted regulation of oxidative stress, multipathway interventions, and precision medicine strategies. Such strategies may improve lymphatic function and reverse the pathological process, thereby improving the quality of life for these patients.

Author contributions

QZ: Writing – original draft. ZN: Writing – original draft. YP: Writing – review and editing. YH: Writing – review and editing. YM: Writing – review and editing. JZ: Writing – review and editing. JD: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review and editing. YY: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Science and Technology Research Project of the Education Department of Jilin Province, grant number JJKH20220973KJ; the Joint Project of China-Japan Union Hospital and Basic Medical College of Jilin University, grant number KYXZ2022JC01.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the encouragement and helpful comments from other members of the Department of Cell Biology and

References

Adams, R. H., and Alitalo, K. (2007). Molecular regulation of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8 (6), 464–478. doi:10.1038/nrm2183

Agollah, G. D., Gonzalez-Garay, M. L., Rasmussen, J. C., Tan, I. C., Aldrich, M. B., Darne, C., et al. (2014). Evidence for SH2 domain-containing 5'-inositol phosphatase-2 (SHIP2) contributing to a lymphatic dysfunction. *PLoS One* 9 (11), e112548. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112548

Alpaslan, M., Fastré, E., Mestre, S., van Haeringen, A., Repetto, G. M., Keymolen, K., et al. (2024). Pathogenic variants in HGF give rise to childhood-to-late onset primary lymphoedema by loss of function. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 33 (14), 1250–1261. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddae060

Anuradha, R., George, P. J., Chandrasekaran, V., Kumaran, P. P., Nutman, T. B., and Babu, S. (2014). Interleukin 1 (IL-1)- and IL-23-mediated expansion of filarial antigenspecific Th17 and Th22 cells in filarial lymphedema. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 21 (7), 960–965. doi:10.1128/CVI.00257-14

Au, A. C., Hernandez, P. A., Lieber, E., Nadroo, A. M., Shen, Y.-M., Kelley, K. A., et al. (2010). Protein tyrosine phosphatase PTPN14 is a regulator of lymphatic function and choanal development in humans. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 87 (3), 436–444. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.08.008

Avraham, T., Daluvoy, S., Zampell, J., Yan, A., Haviv, Y. S., Rockson, S. G., et al. (2010). Blockade of transforming growth factor-beta1 accelerates lymphatic regeneration during wound repair. *Am. J. Pathol.* 177 (6), 3202–3214. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2010.100594

Avraham, T., Zampell, J. C., Yan, A., Elhadad, S., Weitman, E. S., Rockson, S. G., et al. (2013). Th2 differentiation is necessary for soft tissue fibrosis and lymphatic dysfunction resulting from lymphedema. *FASEB J.* 27 (3), 1114–1126. doi:10.1096/fj.12-222695

Babu, S., Anuradha, R., Kumar, N. P., George, P. J., Kumaraswami, V., and Nutman, T. B. (2011). Filarial lymphatic pathology reflects augmented toll-like receptor-mediated, mitogen-activated protein kinase-mediated proinflammatory cytokine production. *Infect. Immun.* 79 (11), 4600–4608. doi:10.1128/IAI.05419-11

Babu, S., Bhat, S. Q., Pavan Kumar, N., Lipira, A. B., Kumar, S., Karthik, C., et al. (2009). Filarial lymphedema is characterized by antigen-specific Th1 and th17 proinflammatory responses and a lack of regulatory T cells. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 3 (4), e420. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000420

Medical Genetics, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Jilin University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Babu, S., Blauvelt, C. P., Kumaraswami, V., and Nutman, T. B. (2006). Regulatory networks induced by live parasites impair both Th1 and Th2 pathways in patent lymphatic filariasis: implications for parasite persistence. *J. Immunol.* 176 (5), 3248–3256. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.5.3248

Baik, J. E., Park, H. J., Kataru, R. P., Savetsky, I. L., Ly, C. L., Shin, J., et al. (2022). TGF-β1 mediates pathologic changes of secondary lymphedema by promoting fibrosis and inflammation. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 12 (6), e758. doi:10.1002/ctm2.758

Baluk, P., Fuxe, J., Hashizume, H., Romano, T., Lashnits, E., Butz, S., et al. (2007). Functionally specialized junctions between endothelial cells of lymphatic vessels. *J. Exp. Med.* 204 (10), 2349–2362. doi:10.1084/jem.20062596

Betterman, K. L., Sutton, D. L., Secker, G. A., Kazenwadel, J., Oszmiana, A., Lim, L., et al. (2020). Atypical cadherin FAT4 orchestrates lymphatic endothelial cell polarity in response to flow. *J. Clin. Invest* 130 (6), 3315–3328. doi:10.1172/JCI99027

Bollinger, A., and Partsch, H. (1985). The initial lymphatics: new methods and findings: international symposium Zurich 1984.

Bonetti, G., Paolacci, S., Samaja, M., Maltese, P. E., Michelini, S., Michelini, S., et al. (2022). Low efficacy of genetic tests for the diagnosis of primary lymphedema prompts novel insights into the underlying molecular pathways. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (13), 7414. doi:10.3390/ijms23137414

Bos, F. L., Caunt, M., Peterson-Maduro, J., Planas-Paz, L., Kowalski, J., Karpanen, T., et al. (2011). CCBE1 is essential for mammalian lymphatic vascular development and enhances the lymphangiogenic effect of vascular endothelial growth factor-C *in vivo. Circ. Res.* 109 (5), 486–491. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.250738

Bowman, C., and Rockson, S. G. (2024). The role of inflammation in lymphedema: a narrative review of pathogenesis and opportunities for therapeutic intervention. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25 (7), 3907. doi:10.3390/ijms25073907

Brand, K., Kentsch, H., Glashoff, C., and Rosenberger, G. (2014). RASopathyassociated CBL germline mutations cause aberrant ubiquitylation and trafficking of EGFR. *Hum. Mutat.* 35 (11), 1372–1381. doi:10.1002/humu.22682

Brice, G., Ostergaard, P., Jeffery, S., Gordon, K., Mortimer, P. S., and Mansour, S. (2013). A novel mutation in GJA1 causing oculodentodigital syndrome and primary lymphoedema in a three generation family. *Clin. Genet.* 84 (4), 378–381. doi:10.1111/cge.12158

Brix, B., Sery, O., Onorato, A., Ure, C., Roessler, A., Goswami, N., et al. (2021). Hemodynamic responses in lower limb lymphedema patients undergoing physical therapy. *Biol. (Basel).* 10 (4), 642. doi:10.3390/biology10070642

Brouillard, P., Boon, L., and Vikkula, M. (2014). Genetics of lymphatic anomalies. J. Clin. Invest 124 (3), 898–904. doi:10.1172/JCI71614

Brouillard, P., Dupont, L., Helaers, R., Coulie, R., Tiller, G. E., Peeden, J., et al. (2017). Loss of ADAMTS3 activity causes hennekam lymphangiectasia-lymphedema syndrome 3. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26 (21), 4095–4104. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx297

Bui, K., and Hong, Y.-K. (2020). Ras pathways on Prox1 and lymphangiogenesis: insights for therapeutics. *Front. Cardiovasc Med.* 7, 597374. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2020.597374

Burton, J. S., Sletten, A. C., Marsh, E., Wood, M. D., and Sacks, J. M. (2023). Adipose tissue in lymphedema: a central feature of pathology and target for pharmacologic therapy. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 21 (1), 2–7. doi:10.1089/lrb.2022.0003

Cadamuro, M., Brivio, S., Mertens, J., Vismara, M., Moncsek, A., Milani, C., et al. (2019). Platelet-derived growth factor-D enables liver myofibroblasts to promote tumor lymphangiogenesis in cholangiocarcinoma. *J. Hepatol.* 70 (4), 700–709. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2018.12.004

Carta, C., Pantaleoni, F., Bocchinfuso, G., Stella, L., Vasta, I., Sarkozy, A., et al. (2006). Germline missense mutations affecting KRAS isoform B are associated with a severe Noonan syndrome phenotype. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 79 (1), 129–135. doi:10.1086/504394

Castel, P., Cheng, A., Cuevas-Navarro, A., Everman, D. B., Papageorge, A. G., Simanshu, D. K., et al. (2019). RIT1 oncoproteins escape LZTR1-mediated proteolysis. *Science* 363 (6432), 1226–1230. doi:10.1126/science.aav1444

Castenholz, A. (1984). Morphological characteristics of initial lymphatics in the tongue as shown by scanning electron microscopy. *Scan Electron Microsc.* (Pt 3), 1343–1352.

Castorena-Gonzalez, J. A., Zawieja, S. D., Li, M., Srinivasan, R. S., Simon, A. M., de Wit, C., et al. (2018). Mechanisms of connexin-related lymphedema. *Circ. Res.* 123 (8), 964–985. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312576

Cha, B., Geng, X., Mahamud, M. R., Fu, J., Mukherjee, A., Kim, Y., et al. (2016). Mechanotransduction activates canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling to promote lymphatic vascular patterning and the development of lymphatic and lymphovenous valves. *Genes Dev.* 30 (12), 1454–1469. doi:10.1101/gad.282400.116

Cha, B., Geng, X., Mahamud, M. R., Zhang, J. Y., Chen, L., Kim, W., et al. (2018). Complementary wnt sources regulate lymphatic vascular development via PROX1-Dependent Wnt/ β -Catenin signaling. *Cell Rep.* 25 (3), 571–584. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.049

Chan, T. O., Rodeck, U., Chan, A. M., Kimmelman, A. C., Rittenhouse, S. E., Panayotou, G., et al. (2002). Small GTPases and tyrosine kinases coregulate a molecular switch in the phosphoinositide 3-kinase regulatory subunit. *Cancer Cell* 1 (2), 181–191. doi:10.1016/s1535-6108(02)00033-8

Chang, T.-C., Uen, Y.-H., Chou, C.-H., Sheu, J.-R., and Chou, D.-S. (2013). The role of cyclooxygenase-derived oxidative stress in surgically induced lymphedema in a mouse tail model. *Pharm. Biol.* 51 (5), 573–580. doi:10.3109/13880209. 2012.749923

Chen, S., Gao, P., Chang, Z., and Xuan, M. (2015). Effects of oral cancer-associated fibroblasts on the proliferation, migration, invasion and tube formation to human lymphatic endothelial cells. *Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 33 (5), 524–528. doi:10.7518/hxkq.2015.05.018

Cheon, H., Gelvosa, M. N., Kim, S. A., Song, H. Y., and Jeon, J. Y. (2023). Lymphatic channel sheet of polydimethylsiloxane for preventing secondary lymphedema in the rat upper limb model. *Bioeng. Transl. Med.* 8 (1), e10371. doi:10.1002/btm2.10371

Cho, S., Roh, K., Park, J., Park, Y. S., Lee, M., Cho, S., et al. (2017). Hydrolysis of hyaluronic acid in lymphedematous tissue alleviates fibrogenesis via TH1 cell-mediated cytokine expression. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 35. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00085-z

Choi, D., Park, E., Yu, R. P., Cooper, M. N., Cho, I.-T., Choi, J., et al. (2022). Piezo1-Regulated mechanotransduction controls flow-activated lymphatic expansion. *Circ. Res.* 131 (2), e2–e21. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.320565

Choi, D., Ramu, S., Park, E., Jung, E., Yang, S., Jung, W., et al. (2016). Aberrant activation of notch signaling inhibits PROX1 activity to enhance the malignant behavior of thyroid cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 76 (3), 582–593. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1199

Christiansen, A. J., Dieterich, L. C., Ohs, I., Bachmann, S. B., Bianchi, R., Proulx, S. T., et al. (2016). Lymphatic endothelial cells attenuate inflammation via suppression of dendritic cell maturation. *Oncotarget* 7 (26), 39421–39435. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9820

Cirstea, I. C., Kutsche, K., Dvorsky, R., Gremer, L., Carta, C., Horn, D., et al. (2010). A restricted spectrum of NRAS mutations causes Noonan syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 42 (1), 27–29. doi:10.1038/ng.497

Conner, A. C., Bill, R. M., and Conner, M. T. (2013). An emerging consensus on aquaporin translocation as a regulatory mechanism. *Mol. Membr. Biol.* 30 (1), 1–12. doi:10.3109/09687688.2012.743194

Coon, B. G., Baeyens, N., Han, J., Budatha, M., Ross, T. D., Fang, J. S., et al. (2015). Intramembrane binding of VE-cadherin to VEGFR2 and VEGFR3

assembles the endothelial mechanosensory complex. J. Cell Biol. 208 (7), 975-986. doi:10.1083/jcb.201408103

Coriddi, M., Wee, C., Meyerson, J., Eiferman, D., and Skoracki, R. (2017). Vascularized jejunal mesenteric lymph node transfer: a novel surgical treatment for extremity lymphedema. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 225 (5), 650–657. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.08.001

Cormier, J. N., Askew, R. L., Mungovan, K. S., Xing, Y., Ross, M. I., and Armer, J. M. (2010). Lymphedema beyond breast cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis of cancer-related secondary lymphedema. *Cancer*. 116 (22), 5138–5149. doi:10.1002/cncr.25458

Coso, S., Zeng, Y., Opeskin, K., and Williams, E. D. (2012). Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 directly interacts with phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase to regulate lymphangiogenesis. *PLoS One* 7 (6), e39558. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039558

Dai, C., Wen, X., He, W., and Liu, Y. (2011). Inhibition of proinflammatory RANTES expression by TGF-beta1 is mediated by glycogen synthase kinase-3beta-dependent beta-catenin signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286 (9), 7052–7059. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.174821

Davis, M. J., Castorena-Gonzalez, J. A., Li, M., Zawieja, S. D., Simon, A. M., Geng, X., et al. (2024). Connexin-45 is expressed in mouse lymphatic endothelium and required for lymphatic valve function. *JCI Insight* 9 (16), e169931. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.169931

Dayan, J. H., Ly, C. L., Kataru, R. P., and Mehrara, B. J. (2018). Lymphedema: pathogenesis and novel therapies. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 69, 263–276. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-060116-022900

Di, S., Ziyou, Y., and Liu, N.-F. (2016). Pathological changes of lymphedematous skin: increased mast cells, related proteases, and activated transforming growth Factor-β1. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 14 (3), 162–171. doi:10.1089/lrb.2016.0010

Dicke, N., Pielensticker, N., Degen, J., Hecker, J., Tress, O., Bald, T., et al. (2011). Peripheral lymphangiogenesis in mice depends on ectodermal connexin-26 (Gjb2). J. Cell Sci. 124 (Pt 16), 2806–2815. doi:10.1242/jcs.084186

DiSipio, T., Rye, S., Newman, B., and Hayes, S. (2013). Incidence of unilateral arm lymphoedema after breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 14 (6), 500–515. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70076-7

Ducoli, L., and Detmar, M. (2021). Beyond PROX1: transcriptional, epigenetic, and noncoding RNA regulation of lymphatic identity and function. *Dev. Cell* 56 (4), 406–426. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.01.018

Ekvall, S., Wilbe, M., Dahlgren, J., Legius, E., van Haeringen, A., Westphal, O., et al. (2015). Mutation in NRAS in familial noonan syndrome-case report and review of the literature. *BMC Med. Genet.* 16, 95. doi:10.1186/s12881-015-0239-1

Engel, J., Kerr, J., Schlesinger-Raab, A., Sauer, H., and Hölzel, D. (2003). Axilla surgery severely affects quality of life: results of a 5-year prospective study in breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 79 (1), 47–57. doi:10.1023/a:1023330206021

Ferrell, R. E. (2002). Research perspectives in inherited lymphatic disease. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 979, 39–51. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb04866.x

Ferrell, R. E., Baty, C. J., Kimak, M. A., Karlsson, J. M., Lawrence, E. C., Franke-Snyder, M., et al. (2010). GJC2 missense mutations cause human lymphedema. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 86 (6), 943–948. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.04.010

Fimbo, A. M., Minzi, O. M. S., Mmbando, B. P., Barry, A., Nkayamba, A. F., Mwamwitwa, K. W., et al. (2020). Prevalence and correlates of lymphatic filariasis infection and its morbidity following mass ivermectin and albendazole administration in mkinga district, north-eastern Tanzania. J. Clin. Med. 9 (5), 1550. doi:10.3390/jcm9051550

Finegold, D. N., Baty, C. J., Knickelbein, K. Z., Perschke, S., Noon, S. E., Campbell, D., et al. (2012). Connexin 47 mutations increase risk for secondary lymphedema following breast cancer treatment. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 18 (8), 2382–2390. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2303

Finegold, D. N., Schacht, V., Kimak, M. A., Lawrence, E. C., Foeldi, E., Karlsson, J. M., et al. (2008). HGF and MET mutations in primary and secondary lymphedema. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 6 (2), 65–68. doi:10.1089/lrb.2008.1524

Fotiou, E., Martin-Almedina, S., Simpson, M. A., Lin, S., Gordon, K., Brice, G., et al. (2015). Novel mutations in PIEZO1 cause an autosomal recessive generalized lymphatic dysplasia with non-immune Hydrops fetalis. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8085. doi:10.1038/ncomms9085

Fritz-Six, K. L., Dunworth, W. P., Li, M., and Caron, K. M. (2008). Adrenomedullin signaling is necessary for murine lymphatic vascular development. *J. Clin. Invest* 118 (1), 40–50. doi:10.1172/JCI33302

Frueh, F. S., Jelvani, B., Scheuer, C., Körbel, C., Kim, B.-S., Giovanoli, P., et al. (2020). Short-term molecular and cellular effects of ischemia/reperfusion on vascularized lymph node flaps in rats. *PLoS One* 15 (10), e0239517. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239517

Frye, M., Dierkes, M., Küppers, V., Vockel, M., Tomm, J., Zeuschner, D., et al. (2015). Interfering with VE-PTP stabilizes endothelial junctions *in vivo* via Tie-2 in the absence of VE-cadherin. *J. Exp. Med.* 212 (13), 2267–2287. doi:10.1084/jem.20150718

Frye, M., Stritt, S., Ortsäter, H., Hernandez Vasquez, M., Kaakinen, M., Vicente, A., et al. (2020). EphrinB2-EphB4 signalling provides rho-mediated homeostatic control of lymphatic endothelial cell junction integrity. *Elife* 9, e57732. doi:10.7554/eLife.57732

Fu, A., and Liu, C. (2023). The function of T cell immunity in lymphedema: a comprehensive review. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 21 (6), 556–564. doi:10.1089/lrb. 2023.0002

Furlong-Silva, J., Cross, S. D., Marriott, A. E., Pionnier, N., Archer, J., Steven, A., et al. (2021). Tetracyclines improve experimental lymphatic filariasis pathology by disrupting interleukin-4 receptor-mediated lymphangiogenesis. *J. Clin. Invest* 131 (5), e140853. doi:10.1172/JCI140853

Gagliostro, V., Seeger, P., Garrafa, E., Salvi, V., Bresciani, R., Bosisio, D., et al. (2016). Pro-lymphangiogenic properties of IFN-γ-activated human dendritic cells. *Immunol. Lett.* 173, 26–35. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2016.03.008

Gkountidi, A. O., Garnier, L., Dubrot, J., Angelillo, J., Harlé, G., Brighouse, D., et al. (2021). MHC class II antigen presentation by lymphatic endothelial cells in tumors promotes intratumoral regulatory T cell-suppressive functions. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 9 (7), 748–764. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0784

Goberdhan, D. C. I., and Wilson, C. (2003). PTEN: tumour suppressor, multifunctional growth regulator and more. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12 (Spec No 2), R239–R248. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddg288

Gordon, K., Spiden, S. L., Connell, F. C., Brice, G., Cottrell, S., Short, J., et al. (2013). FLT4/VEGFR3 and milroy disease: novel mutations, a review of published variants and database update. *Hum. Mutat.* 34 (1), 23–31. doi:10.1002/humu.22223

Gousopoulos, E., Proulx, S. T., Bachmann, S. B., Scholl, J., Dionyssiou, D., Demiri, E., et al. (2016a). Regulatory T cell transfer ameliorates lymphedema and promotes lymphatic vessel function. *JCI Insight* 1 (16), e89081. doi:10.1172/jci. insight.89081

Gousopoulos, E., Proulx, S. T., Scholl, J., Uecker, M., and Detmar, M. (2016b). Prominent lymphatic vessel hyperplasia with progressive dysfunction and distinct immune cell infiltration in lymphedema. *Am. J. Pathol.* 186 (8), 2193–2203. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.04.006

Grada, A. A., and Phillips, T. J. (2017). Lymphedema: pathophysiology and clinical manifestations. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol 77 (6), 1009–1020. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.03.022

Gucciardo, F., Lebeau, A., Pirson, S., Buntinx, F., Ivanova, E., Blacher, S., et al. (2025). Targeting uPARAP modifies lymphatic vessel architecture and attenuates lymphedema. *Circulation* 151, 1412–1429. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.124.072093

Guyot-Caquelin, P., Cuny, J. F., Depardieu, C., Barbaud, A., and Schmutz, J. L. (2010). Lymphedema and neutrophilic dermatosis. *Ann. Dermatol Venereol.* 137 (6-7), 477–479. doi:10.1016/j.annder.2010.03.027

Hadizadeh, M., Mohaddes Ardebili, S. M., Salehi, M., Young, C., Mokarian, F., McClellan, J., et al. (2018). GJA4/Connexin 37 mutations correlate with secondary lymphedema following surgery in breast cancer patients. *Biomedicines* 6 (1), 23. doi:10.3390/biomedicines6010023

Hägerling, R., Hoppe, E., Dierkes, C., Stehling, M., Makinen, T., Butz, S., et al. (2018). Distinct roles of VE-cadherin for development and maintenance of specific lymph vessel beds. *EMBO J.* 37 (22), e98271. doi:10.15252/embj.201798271

Haghayegh Jahromi, N., Gkountidi, A.-O., Collado-Diaz, V., Blatter, K., Bauer, A., Zambounis, L., et al. (2024). CD112 supports lymphatic migration of human dermal dendritic cells. *Cells* 13 (5), 424. doi:10.3390/cells13050424

Hanson, H. L., Wilson, M. J., Short, J. P., Chioza, B. A., Crosby, A. H., Nash, R. M., et al. (2014). Germline CBL mutation associated with a noonan-like syndrome with primary lymphedema and teratoma associated with acquired uniparental isodisomy of chromosome 11q23. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 164A (4), 1003–1009. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.36375

Harlé, G., Kowalski, C., Dubrot, J., Brighouse, D., Clavel, G., Pick, R., et al. (2021). Macroautophagy in lymphatic endothelial cells inhibits T cell-mediated autoimmunity. *J. Exp. Med.* 218 (6), e20201776. doi:10.1084/jem.20201776

Harvey, N. L., Srinivasan, R. S., Dillard, M. E., Johnson, N. C., Witte, M. H., Boyd, K., et al. (2005). Lymphatic vascular defects promoted by Prox1 haploinsufficiency cause adult-onset obesity. *Nat. Genet.* 37 (10), 1072–1081. doi:10.1038/ng1642

Herrada, A. A., Olate-Briones, A., Lazo-Amador, R., Liu, C., Hernández-Rojas, B., Riadi, G., et al. (2022). Lymph leakage promotes immunosuppression by enhancing anti-inflammatory macrophage polarization. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 841641. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.841641

Hirano, T. (2021). IL-6 in inflammation, autoimmunity and cancer. *Int. Immunol.* 33 (3), 127–148. doi:10.1093/intimm/dxaa078

Irrthum, A., Devriendt, K., Chitayat, D., Matthijs, G., Glade, C., Steijlen, P. M., et al. (2003). Mutations in the transcription factor gene SOX18 underlie recessive and dominant forms of hypotrichosis-lymphedema-telangiectasia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 72 (6), 1470–1478. doi:10.1086/375614

Irrthum, A., Karkkainen, M. J., Devriendt, K., Alitalo, K., and Vikkula, M. (2000). Congenital hereditary lymphedema caused by a mutation that inactivates VEGFR3 tyrosine kinase. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 67 (2), 295–301. doi:10.1086/303019

Jannaway, M., Iyer, D., Mastrogiacomo, D. M., Li, K., Sung, D. C., Yang, Y., et al. (2023). VEGFR3 is required for button junction formation in lymphatic vessels. *Cell Rep.* 42 (7), 112777. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112777

Jensen, C. J., Buch, M. B., Krag, T. O., Hemmings, B. A., Gammeltoft, S., and Frödin, M. (1999). 90-kDa ribosomal S6 kinase is phosphorylated and activated by 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274 (38), 27168–27176. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.38.27168

Ji, R. C. (2007). Lymphatic endothelial cells, inflammatory lymphangiogenesis, and prospective players. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 14 (22), 2359–2368. doi:10.2174/092986707781745541

Kanady, J. D., Dellinger, M. T., Munger, S. J., Witte, M. H., and Simon, A. M. (2011). Connexin37 and Connexin43 deficiencies in mice disrupt lymphatic valve development and result in lymphatic disorders including lymphedema and chylothorax. *Dev. Biol.* 354 (2), 253–266. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.04.004

Kanady, J. D., Munger, S. J., Witte, M. H., and Simon, A. M. (2015). Combining Foxc2 and Connexin37 deletions in mice leads to severe defects in lymphatic vascular growth and remodeling. *Dev. Biol.* 405 (1), 33–46. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.06.004

Karkkainen, M. J., Ferrell, R. E., Lawrence, E. C., Kimak, M. A., Levinson, K. L., McTigue, M. A., et al. (2000). Missense mutations interfere with VEGFR-3 signalling in primary lymphoedema. *Nat. Genet.* 25 (2), 153–159. doi:10.1038/75997

Karkkainen, M. J., Saaristo, A., Jussila, L., Karila, K. A., Lawrence, E. C., Pajusola, K., et al. (2001). A model for gene therapy of human hereditary lymphedema. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98 (22), 12677–12682. doi:10.1073/pnas.221449198

Kartopawiro, J., Bower, N. I., Karnezis, T., Kazenwadel, J., Betterman, K. L., Lesieur, E., et al. (2014). Arap3 is dysregulated in a mouse model of hypotrichosis-lymphedematelangiectasia and regulates lymphatic vascular development. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23 (5), 1286–1297. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddt518

Kataru, R. P., Baik, J. E., Park, H. J., Ly, C. L., Shin, J., Schwartz, N., et al. (2021). Lymphatic-specific intracellular modulation of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling improves lymphatic growth and function. *Sci. Signal* 14 (695), eabc0836. doi:10.1126/scisignal.abc0836

Kazenwadel, J., Betterman, K. L., Chong, C.-E., Stokes, P. H., Lee, Y. K., Secker, G. A., et al. (2015). GATA2 is required for lymphatic vessel valve development and maintenance. *J. Clin. Invest* 125 (8), 2979–2994. doi:10.1172/JCI78888

Kedl, R. M., Lindsay, R. S., Finlon, J. M., Lucas, E. D., Friedman, R. S., and Tamburini, B. A. J. (2017). Migratory dendritic cells acquire and present lymphatic endothelial cell-archived antigens during lymph node contraction. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 2034. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02247-z

Khan, F., Amatya, B., Pallant, J. F., and Rajapaksa, I. (2012). Factors associated with long-term functional outcomes and psychological sequelae in women after breast cancer. *Breast* 21 (3), 314–320. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2012.01.013

Kim, D., Tian, W., Wu, T. T.-H., Xiang, M., Vinh, R., Chang, J. L., et al. (2023). Abnormal lymphatic Sphingosine-1-Phosphate signaling aggravates lymphatic dysfunction and tissue inflammation. *Circulation* 148 (16), 1231–1249. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.064181

Koksharova, G., Kokh, N., Gridina, M., Khapaev, R., Nimaev, V., and Fishman, V. (2024). A HGF mutation in the familial case of primary lymphedema: a report. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25 (10), 5464. doi:10.3390/ijms25105464

Korhonen, E. A., Murtomäki, A., Jha, S. K., Anisimov, A., Pink, A., Zhang, Y., et al. (2022). Lymphangiogenesis requires Ang2/Tie/PI3K signaling for VEGFR3 cell-surface expression. *J. Clin. Invest* 132 (15), e155478. doi:10.1172/JCI155478

Krugmann, S., Anderson, K. E., Ridley, S. H., Risso, N., McGregor, A., Coadwell, J., et al. (2002). Identification of ARAP3, a novel P13K effector regulating both arf and rho GTPases, by selective capture on phosphoinositide affinity matrices. *Mol. Cell.* 9 (1), 95–108. doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00434-3

Kuonqui, K., Campbell, A.-C., Sarker, A., Roberts, A., Pollack, B. L., Park, H. J., et al. (2023). Dysregulation of lymphatic endothelial VEGFR3 signaling in disease. *Cells* 13 (1), 68. doi:10.3390/cells13010068

Kurotaki, N., Imaizumi, K., Harada, N., Masuno, M., Kondoh, T., Nagai, T., et al. (2002). Haploinsufficiency of NSD1 causes Sotos syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 30 (4), 365–366. doi:10.1038/ng863

Lala, P. K., Nandi, P., and Majumder, M. (2018). Roles of prostaglandins in tumorassociated lymphangiogenesis with special reference to breast cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 37 (2-3), 369–384. doi:10.1007/s10555-018-9734-0

Leak, L. V. (1976). The structure of lymphatic capillaries in lymph formation. *Fed. Proc.* 35 (8), 1863–1871.

Lee, E., Chan, S.-L., Lee, Y., Polacheck, W. J., Kwak, S., Wen, A., et al. (2023). A 3D biomimetic model of lymphatics reveals cell-cell junction tightening and lymphedema via a cytokine-induced ROCK2/JAM-A complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 120 (41), e2308941120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2308941120

Lee, S., Kang, J., Yoo, J., Ganesan, S. K., Cook, S. C., Aguilar, B., et al. (2009). Prox1 physically and functionally interacts with COUP-TFII to specify lymphatic endothelial cell fate. *Blood* 113 (8), 1856–1859. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-03-145789

Leppäpuska, I.-M., Hartiala, P., Suominen, S., Suominen, E., Kaartinen, I., Mäki, M., et al. (2022). Phase 1 lymfactin study: 24-Month efficacy and safety results of combined adenoviral VEGF-C and lymph node transfer treatment for upper extremity lymphedema. *J. Plast. Reconstr. Aesthet. Surg.* 75 (11), 3938–3945. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2022.08.011 Levy, D. E., and Lee, C.-k. (2002). What does Stat3 do? J. Clin. Invest 109 (9), 1143-1148. doi:10.1172/JCI15650

Li, N., Ruan, M., Chen, W., Han, Y., Yang, K., Xu, H., et al. (2024). An arabinogalactan isolated from Cynanchum atratum promotes lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic vessel remodeling to alleviate secondary lymphedema. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 273 (Pt 2), 133061. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.133061

Liao, S., and von der Weid, P. Y. (2015). Lymphatic system: an active pathway for immune protection. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 38, 83–89. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.11.012

Loghry, H. J., Sondjaja, N. A., Minkler, S. J., and Kimber, M. J. (2022). Secreted filarial nematode galectins modulate host immune cells. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 952104. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.952104

Lu, J. H., Hsia, K., Su, C. K., Pan, Y. H., Ma, H., Chiou, S. H., et al. (2023). A novel dressing composed of adipose stem cells and decellularized wharton's jelly facilitated wound healing and relieved lymphedema by enhancing angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis in a rat model. *J. Funct. Biomater.* 14 (2), 104. doi:10.3390/jfb14020104

Lynch, P. M., Delano, F. A., and Schmid-Schönbein, G. W. (2007). The primary valves in the initial lymphatics during inflammation. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 5 (1), 3–10. doi:10.1089/lrb.2007.5102

Mahamud, M. R., Geng, X., Ho, Y.-C., Cha, B., Kim, Y., Ma, J., et al. (2019). GATA2 controls lymphatic endothelial cell junctional integrity and lymphovenous valve morphogenesis through miR-126. *Development* 146 (21), dev184218. doi:10.1242/dev.184218

Maheshwari, M., Belmont, J., Fernbach, S., Ho, T., Molinari, L., Yakub, I., et al. (2002). PTPN11 mutations in Noonan syndrome type I: detection of recurrent mutations in exons 3 and 13. *Hum. Mutat.* 20 (4), 298–304. doi:10.1002/humu.10129

Mandelbrot, B. B., and Mandelbrot, B. B. (1982). *The fractal geometry of nature*. New York: W. H. Freeman.

Mansour, S., Connell, F., Steward, C., Ostergaard, P., Brice, G., Smithson, S., et al. (2010). Emberger syndrome-primary lymphedema with myelodysplasia: report of seven new cases. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 152A (9), 2287–2296. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.33445

Martinelli, S., De Luca, A., Stellacci, E., Rossi, C., Checquolo, S., Lepri, F., et al. (2010). Heterozygous germline mutations in the CBL tumor-suppressor gene cause a noonan syndrome-like phenotype. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 87 (2), 250–257. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.06.015

McClelland, J., Burgess, B., Crock, P., and Goel, H. (2016). Sotos syndrome: an unusual presentation with intrauterine growth restriction, generalized lymphedema, and intention tremor. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 170a (4), 1064–1069. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.37535

Mehrara, B. J., Park, H. J., Kataru, R. P., Bromberg, J., Coriddi, M., Baik, J. E., et al. (2021). Pilot study of Anti-Th2 immunotherapy for the treatment of breast cancerrelated upper extremity lymphedema. *Biology* 10 (9), 934. doi:10.3390/biology10090934

Michelini, S., Amato, B., Ricci, M., Kenanoglu, S., Veselenyiova, D., Kurti, D., et al. (2020). Segregation analysis of rare NRP1 and NRP2 variants in families with lymphedema. *Genes (Basel)* 11 (11), 1361. doi:10.3390/genes11111361

Michelini, S., Ricci, M., Serrani, R., Barati, S., Kenanoglu, S., Veselenyiova, D., et al. (2021). NOTCH1: review of its role in lymphatic development and study of seven families with rare pathogenic variants. *Mol. Genet. Genomic Med.* 9 (1), e1529. doi:10.1002/mgg3.1529

Miyazaki, T., Taketomi, Y., Higashi, T., Ohtaki, H., Takaki, T., Ohnishi, K., et al. (2023). Hypercholesterolemic dysregulation of calpain in lymphatic endothelial cells interferes with regulatory T-Cell stability and trafficking. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 43 (2), e66–e82. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.122.317781

Morcaldi, G., Bellini, T., Rossi, C., Maghnie, M., Boccardo, F., Bonioli, E., et al. (2015). Lymphodysplasia and kras mutation: a case report and literature review. *Lymphology* 48 (3), 121–127.

Motta, M., Fidan, M., Bellacchio, E., Pantaleoni, F., Schneider-Heieck, K., Coppola, S., et al. (2019). Dominant noonan syndrome-causing LZTR1 mutations specifically affect the kelch domain substrate-recognition surface and enhance RAS-MAPK signaling. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 28 (6), 1007–1022. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy412

Narahari, S. R., Aggithaya, M. G., Ryan, T. J., Muralidharan, K., Franks, P. J., Moffatt, C., et al. (2023). Self-care treatment for lymphoedema of lymphatic filariasis using integrative medicine. *Br. J. Dermatol* 190 (1), 94–104. doi:10.1093/bjd/ljad310

Narahari, S. R., and Ryan, T. J. (2020). Morbidity management and disability prevention: an agenda for developing nations initiated in India. *Lymphology* 53 (4), 157–161. doi:10.2458/lymph.4668

Ni, Q., Li, G., Chen, Y., Bao, C., Wang, T., Li, Y., et al. (2024). LECs regulate neutrophil clearance through IL-17RC/CMTM4/NF-κB axis at sites of inflammation or infection. *Mucosal Immunol*. 17 (4), 723–738. doi:10.1016/j.mucimm.2024.05.003

Norrmén, C., Ivanov, K. I., Cheng, J., Zangger, N., Delorenzi, M., Jaquet, M., et al. (2009). FOXC2 controls formation and maturation of lymphatic collecting vessels through cooperation with NFATc1. *J. Cell Biol.* 185 (3), 439–457. doi:10.1083/jcb.200901104

Ohtani, O. (1987). Three-dimensional organization of lymphatics and its relationship to blood vessels in rat small intestine. *Cell Tissue Res.* 248 (2), 365–374. doi:10.1007/BF00218204

Oliver, G., and Alitalo, K. (2005). The lymphatic vasculature: recent progress and paradigms. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 21, 457–483. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.012704.132338

Ostergaard, P., Simpson, M. A., Brice, G., Mansour, S., Connell, F. C., Onoufriadis, A., et al. (2011). Rapid identification of mutations in GJC2 in primary lymphoedema using whole exome sequencing combined with linkage analysis with delineation of the phenotype. *J. Med. Genet.* 48 (4), 251–255. doi:10.1136/jmg.2010.085563

Ostergaard, P., Simpson, M. A., Mendola, A., Vasudevan, P., Connell, F. C., van Impel, A., et al. (2012). Mutations in KIF11 cause autosomal-dominant microcephaly variably associated with congenital lymphedema and chorioretinopathy. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 90 (2), 356–362. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.12.018

Pal, S., Meininger, C. J., and Gashev, A. A. (2017). Aged lymphatic vessels and mast cells in perilymphatic tissues. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18 (5), 965. doi:10.3390/ijms18050965

Permanyer, M., Bošnjak, B., and Förster, R. (2018). Dendritic cells, T cells and lymphatics: dialogues in migration and beyond. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 53, 173–179. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2018.05.004

Pfarr, K. M., Debrah, A. Y., Specht, S., and Hoerauf, A. (2009). Filariasis and lymphoedema. *Parasite Immunol.* 31 (11), 664–672. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2009.01133.x

Piao, W., Li, L., Saxena, V., Iyyathurai, J., Lakhan, R., Zhang, Y., et al. (2022). PD-L1 signaling selectively regulates T cell lymphatic transendothelial migration. *Nat. Commun.* 13 (1), 2176. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29930-0

Piao, W., Xiong, Y., Li, L., Saxena, V., Smith, K. D., Hippen, K. L., et al. (2020). Regulatory T cells condition lymphatic endothelia for enhanced transendothelial migration. *Cell Rep.* 30 (4), 1052–1062. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.12.083

Pinto, M., Gimigliano, F., Tatangelo, F., Megna, M., Izzo, F., Gimigliano, R., et al. (2013). Upper limb function and quality of life in breast cancer related lymphedema: a cross-sectional study. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 49 (5), 665–673.

Pujol, F., Hodgson, T., Martinez-Corral, I., Prats, A.-C., Devenport, D., Takeichi, M., et al. (2017). Dachsous1-Fat4 signaling controls endothelial cell polarization during lymphatic valve morphogenesis-brief report. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 37 (9), 1732–1735. doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.117.309818

Roberts, A. E., Araki, T., Swanson, K. D., Montgomery, K. T., Schiripo, T. A., Joshi, V. A., et al. (2007). Germline gain-of-function mutations in SOS1 cause Noonan syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 39 (1), 70–74. doi:10.1038/ng1926

Roberts, C. M. L., Angus, J. E., Leach, I. H., McDermott, E. M., Walker, D. A., and Ravenscroft, J. C. (2010). A novel NEMO gene mutation causing osteopetrosis, lymphoedema, hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia and immunodeficiency (OL-HED-ID). *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 169 (11), 1403–1407. doi:10.1007/s00431-010-1206-7

Rockson, S. G., Keeley, V., Kilbreath, S., Szuba, A., and Towers, A. (2019). Cancerassociated secondary lymphoedema. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 5 (1), 22. doi:10.1038/s41572-019-0072-5

Rodriguez-Viciana, P., Oses-Prieto, J., Burlingame, A., Fried, M., and McCormick, F. (2006). A phosphatase holoenzyme comprised of Shoc2/Sur8 and the catalytic subunit of PP1 functions as an M-Ras effector to modulate raf activity. *Mol. Cell* 22 (2), 217–230. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2006.03.027

Rodriguez-Viciana, P., Warne, P. H., Dhand, R., Vanhaesebroeck, B., Gout, I., Fry, M. J., et al. (1994). Phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase as a direct target of ras. *Nature* 370 (6490), 527–532. doi:10.1038/370527a0

Saijo, H., Suzuki, K., Yoshimoto, H., Imamura, Y., Yamashita, S., and Tanaka, K. (2019). Paracrine effects of adipose-derived stem cells promote lymphangiogenesis in irradiated lymphatic endothelial cells. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 143 (6), 1189e–1200e. doi:10.1097/PRS.00000000005669

Sano, M., Hirakawa, S., Suzuki, M., Sakabe, J.-I., Ogawa, M., Yamamoto, S., et al. (2020). Potential role of transforming growth factor-beta 1/Smad signaling in secondary lymphedema after cancer surgery. *Cancer Sci.* 111 (7), 2620–2634. doi:10.1111/cas.14457

Santambrogio, L., Berendam, S. J., and Engelhard, V. H. (2019). The antigen processing and presentation machinery in lymphatic endothelial cells. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 1033. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01033

Satapathy, A. K., Sartono, E., Sahoo, P. K., Dentener, M. A., Michael, E., Yazdanbakhsh, M., et al. (2006). Human bancroftian filariasis: immunological markers of morbidity and infection. *Microbes Infect.* 8 (9-10), 2414–2423. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2006.05.003

Savetsky, I. L., Ghanta, S., Gardenier, J. C., Torrisi, J. S., García Nores, G. D., Hespe, G. E., et al. (2015). Th2 cytokines inhibit lymphangiogenesis. *PLoS One* 10 (6), e0126908. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126908

Savetsky, I. L., Torrisi, J. S., Cuzzone, D. A., Ghanta, S., Albano, N. J., Gardenier, J. C., et al. (2014). Obesity increases inflammation and impairs lymphatic function in a mouse model of lymphedema. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 307 (2), H165–H172. doi:10.1152/aipheart.00244.2014

Scallan, J. P., and Jannaway, M. (2022). Lymphatic vascular permeability. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 12 (8), a041274. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a041274

Schubbert, S., Shannon, K., and Bollag, G. (2007). Hyperactive ras in developmental disorders and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 7 (4), 295–308. doi:10.1038/nrc2109

Scorza, S. I., Milano, S., Saponara, I., Certini, M., De Zio, R., Mola, M. G., et al. (2023). TRPML1-Induced lysosomal Ca2+ signals activate AQP2 translocation and water flux in renal collecting duct cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24 (2), 1647. doi:10.3390/ijms24021647

Senger, J.-L. B., Kadle, R. L., and Skoracki, R. J. (2023). Current concepts in the management of primary lymphedema. *Med. Kaunas.* 59 (5), 894. doi:10.3390/medicina59050894

Sheng, H., Shao, J., Townsend, C. M., and Evers, B. M. (2003). Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase mediates proliferative signals in intestinal epithelial cells. *Gut* 52 (10), 1472–1478. doi:10.1136/gut.52.10.1472

Sheppard, S. E., March, M. E., Seiler, C., Matsuoka, L. S., Kim, S. E., Kao, C., et al. (2023). Lymphatic disorders caused by mosaic, activating KRAS variants respond to MEK inhibition. *JCI Insight* 8 (9), e155888. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.155888

Shimizu, Y., Che, Y., and Murohara, T. (2023). Therapeutic lymphangiogenesis is a promising strategy for secondary lymphedema. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24 (9), 7774. doi:10.3390/ijms24097774

Shiou, S.-R., Yu, Y., Guo, Y., Westerhoff, M., Lu, L., Petrof, E. O., et al. (2013). Oral administration of transforming growth factor- $\beta 1$ (TGF- $\beta 1$) protects the immature gut from injury via smad protein-dependent suppression of epithelial nuclear factor κB (NF- κB) signaling and proinflammatory cytokine production. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288 (48), 34757–34766. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.503946

Siems, W. G., Brenke, R., Beier, A., and Grune, T. (2002). Oxidative stress in chronic lymphoedema. *QIM* 95 (12), 803–809. doi:10.1093/qjmed/95.12.803

Sleutjes, J., Kleimeier, L., Leenders, E., Klein, W., and Draaisma, J. (2022). Lymphatic abnormalities in Noonan syndrome spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *Mol. Syndromol.* 13 (1), 1–11. doi:10.1159/000517605

Söhl, G., and Willecke, K. (2004). Gap junctions and the connexin protein family. *Cardiovasc Res.* 62 (2), 228–232. doi:10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.11.013

Stuiver, M. M., ten Tusscher, M. R., Agasi-Idenburg, C. S., Lucas, C., Aaronson, N. K., and Bossuyt, P. M. M. (2015). Conservative interventions for preventing clinically detectable upper-limb lymphoedema in patients who are at risk of developing lymphoedema after breast cancer therapy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015 (2), CD009765. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009765.pub2

Suzuki, J., Shimizu, Y., Hayashi, T., Che, Y., Pu, Z., Tsuzuki, K., et al. (2022). Hydrogen sulfide attenuates lymphedema Via the induction of lymphangiogenesis through a PI3K/Akt-Dependent mechanism. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 11 (21), e026889. doi:10.1161/JAHA.122.026889

Swartz, M. A. (2001). The physiology of the lymphatic system. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 50 (1-2), 3-20. doi:10.1016/s0169-409x(01)00150-8

Szuba, A., Skobe, M., Karkkainen, M. J., Shin, W. S., Beynet, D. P., Rockson, N. B., et al. (2002). Therapeutic lymphangiogenesis with human recombinant VEGF-C. *FASEB J*. 16 (14), 1985–1987. doi:10.1096/fj.02-0401fje

Tabibiazar, R., Cheung, L., Han, J., Swanson, J., Beilhack, A., An, A., et al. (2006). Inflammatory manifestations of experimental lymphatic insufficiency. *PLoS Med.* 3 (7), e254. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030254

Takeda, K., Sowa, Y., Nishino, K., Itoh, K., and Fushiki, S. (2015). Adiposederived stem cells promote proliferation, migration, and tube formation of lymphatic endothelial cells *in vitro* by secreting lymphangiogenic factors. *Ann. plastic Surg.* 74 (6), 728–736. doi:10.1097/SAP.000000000000084

Tammela, T., Saaristo, A., Holopainen, T., Lyytikkä, J., Kotronen, A., Pitkonen, M., et al. (2007). Therapeutic differentiation and maturation of lymphatic vessels after lymph node dissection and transplantation. *Nat. Med.* 13 (12), 1458–1466. doi:10.1038/nm1689

Tartaglia, M., Kalidas, K., Shaw, A., Song, X., Musat, D. L., van der Burgt, I., et al. (2002). PTPN11 mutations in Noonan syndrome: molecular spectrum, genotype-phenotype correlation, and phenotypic heterogeneity. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 70 (6), 1555–1563. doi:10.1086/340847

Tartaglia, M., Mehler, E. L., Goldberg, R., Zampino, G., Brunner, H. G., Kremer, H., et al. (2001). Mutations in PTPN11, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, cause Noonan syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 29 (4), 465–468. doi:10.1038/ng772

Tashiro, K., Feng, J., Wu, S. H., Mashiko, T., Kanayama, K., Narushima, M., et al. (2017). Pathological changes of adipose tissue in secondary lymphoedema. *Br. J. Dermatol* 177 (1), 158–167. doi:10.1111/bjd.15238

Tian, W., Rockson, S. G., Jiang, X., Kim, J., Begaye, A., Shuffle, E. M., et al. (2017). Leukotriene B4 antagonism ameliorates experimental lymphedema. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 9 (389), eaal3920. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aal3920

Tidyman, W. E., and Rauen, K. A. (2008). Noonan, costello and cardio-faciocutaneous syndromes: dysregulation of the Ras-MAPK pathway. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* 10, e37. doi:10.1017/S1462399408000902 Tidyman, W. E., and Rauen, K. A. (2009). The RASopathies: developmental syndromes of Ras/MAPK pathway dysregulation. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 19 (3), 230–236. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2009.04.001

Ueki, T., Iwasawa, K., Ishikawa, H., and Sawa, Y. (2008). Expression of junctional adhesion molecules on the human lymphatic endothelium. *Microvasc. Res.* 75 (2), 269–278. doi:10.1016/j.mvr.2007.07.005

Visser, R., Landman, E. B. M., Goeman, J., Wit, J. M., and Karperien, M. (2012). Sotos syndrome is associated with deregulation of the MAPK/ERK-signaling pathway. *PLoS One* 7 (11), e49229. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049229

von der Weid, P. Y. (2013). Lymphatic myogenic constriction - how lymphatic vessels pump lymph uphill. J. Physiol. 591 (2), 391–392. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2012.247080

Walsh, V., Little, K., Wiegand, R., Rout, J., and Fox, L. M. (2016). Evaluating the burden of lymphedema due to lymphatic filariasis in 2005 in khurda district, Odisha state, India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 10 (8), e0004917. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004917

Weinkopff, T., Mackenzie, C., Eversole, R., and Lammie, P. J. (2014). Filarial excretory-secretory products induce human monocytes to produce lymphangiogenic mediators. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 8 (7), e2893. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002893

Wigle, J. T., and Oliver, G. (1999). Prox1 function is required for the development of the murine lymphatic system. *Cell* 98 (6), 769–778. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81511-1

Will, P., Dragu, A., Zuther, J., Heil, J., Chang, D.-H., Traber, J., et al. (2024b). Evidence of modern diagnostic, conservative, and surgical therapy of secondary lymphoedema. *Handchir Mikr* 56 (4), 291–300. doi:10.1055/a-2322-1325

Will, P. A., Kilian, K., Bieback, K., Fricke, F., Berner, J. E., Kneser, U., et al. (2024a). Lymphedema-associated fibroblasts are related to fibrosis and stage progression in patients and a murine microsurgical model. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 154 (4), 688e–700e. doi:10.1097/PRS.000000000011141

Yamamoto, T., Yoshimatsu, H., Narushima, M., Yamamoto, N., Hayashi, A., and Koshima, I. (2015). Indocyanine green lymphography findings in primary leg lymphedema. *Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg.* 49 (1), 95–102. doi:10.1016/j. ejvs.2014.10.023

Yan, A., Avraham, T., Zampell, J. C., Haviv, Y. S., Weitman, E., and Mehrara, B. J. (2011). Adipose-derived stem cells promote lymphangiogenesis in response to VEGF-C stimulation or TGF- β 1 inhibition. *Future Oncol.* 7 (12), 1457–1473. doi:10.2217/fon.11.121

Yan, J., Xiao, G., Yang, C., Liu, Q., Lv, C., Yu, X., et al. (2024). Cancer-associated fibroblasts promote lymphatic metastasis in cholangiocarcinoma via the PDGF-BB/PDGFR- β mediated paracrine signaling network. Aging Dis. 15 (1), 369–389. doi:10.14336/AD.2023.0420

Yang, C., You, L., and Meng, H. (2021a). Massive limb lymphedema as the initial presentation of multiple myeloma: a case report. *Transl. Cancer Res.* 10 (3), 1599–1602. doi:10.21037/tcr-20-3143

Yang, J. C.-S., Huang, L.-H., Wu, S.-C., Kuo, P.-J., Wu, Y.-C., Wu, C.-J., et al. (2021b). Lymphaticovenous anastomosis supermicrosurgery decreases oxidative stress and increases antioxidant capacity in the serum of lymphedema patients. *J. Clin. Med.* 10 (7), 1540. doi:10.3390/jcm10071540

Yang, L., Wang, G., Ma, Y., Zhao, Q., Zhao, H., Wang, Q., et al. (2024). TRPML1 acts as a predisposing factor in lymphedema development by regulating the subcellular localization of aquaporin-3, -5. *PLoS One* 19 (12), e0310653. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0310653

Yang, Y., Cha, B., Motawe, Z. Y., Srinivasan, R. S., and Scallan, J. P. (2019). VE-Cadherin is required for lymphatic valve formation and maintenance. *Cell Rep.* 28 (9), 2397–2412. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.072

Yoshimura, A., and Muto, G. (2011). TGF-β function in immune suppression. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 350, 127–147. doi:10.1007/82_2010_87

Young, L. C., Hartig, N., Boned Del Río, I., Sari, S., Ringham-Terry, B., Wainwright, J. R., et al. (2018). SHOC2-MRAS-PP1 complex positively regulates RAF activity and contributes to Noonan syndrome pathogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115 (45), E10576–E10585. doi:10.1073/pnas.1720352115

Zampell, J. C., Yan, A., Elhadad, S., Avraham, T., Weitman, E., and Mehrara, B. J. (2012). CD4(+) cells regulate fibrosis and lymphangiogenesis in response to lymphatic fluid stasis. *PLoS One* 7 (11), e49940. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049940

Zawieja, D. C. (2009). Contractile physiology of lymphatics. *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* 7 (2), 87–96. doi:10.1089/lrb.2009.0007

Zhao, S., Konopleva, M., Cabreira-Hansen, M., Xie, Z., Hu, W., Milella, M., et al. (2004). Inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase dephosphorylates BAD and promotes apoptosis in myeloid leukemias. *Leukemia* 18 (2), 267–275. doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2403220

Zhou, Z., Sui, X., Cao, Z., Li, X., Qing, L., and Tang, J. (2023). Substance P promote macrophage M2 polarization to attenuate secondary lymphedema by regulating NF-kB/NLRP3 signaling pathway. *Peptides* 168, 171045. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2023.171045

Zimmermann, S., and Moelling, K. (1999). Phosphorylation and regulation of raf by akt (protein kinase B). *Science*. 286 (5445), 1741–1744. doi:10.1126/science.286.5445.1741