

Impact of the Maturation of Human Primary Bone-Forming Cells on Their Behavior in Acute or Persistent *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection Models

Jérôme Josse^{1,2}, Christine Guillaume^{1,3}, Camille Bour¹, Flora Lemaire¹, Céline Mongaret^{1,2}, Florence Draux^{1,3}, Frédéric Velard^{1,3} and Sophie C. Gangloff^{1,2*}

¹ EA 4691 «Biomatériaux et Inflammation en Site Osseux », Pôle Santé, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France, ² UFR Pharmacie, Pôle Santé, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France, ³ UFR Odontologie, Pôle Santé, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most frequently involved pathogens in bacterial infections such as skin abscess, pneumonia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, and implant-associated infection. As for bone homeostasis, it is partly altered during infections by S. aureus by the induction of various responses from osteoblasts, which are the bone-forming cells responsible for extracellular matrix synthesis and its mineralization. Nevertheless, bone-forming cells are a heterogeneous population with different stages of maturation and the impact of the latter on their responses toward bacteria remains unclear. We describe the impact of S. aureus on two populations of human primary bone-forming cells (HPBCs) which have distinct maturation characteristics in both acute and persistent models of interaction. Cell maturation did not influence the internalization and survival of S. aureus inside bone-forming cells or the cell death related to the infection. By studying the expression of chemokines, cytokines, and osteoclastogenic regulators by HPBCs, we observed different profiles of chemokine expression according to the degree of cell maturation. However, there was no statistical difference in the amounts of proteins released by both populations in the presence of S. aureus compared to the non-infected counterparts. Our findings show that cell maturation does not impact the behavior of HPBCs infected with S. aureus and suggest that the role of bone-forming cells may not be pivotal for the inflammatory response in osteomyelitis.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, osteoblast, cytokine, chemokine, differentiation, maturation

INTRODUCTION

Bone remodeling is a constant natural mechanism involving the coordinated effort of two major cell populations: osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Osteoblasts form bone matrix and regulate the bone-resorbing activity of osteoclasts. Originally arising from pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells, bone-forming cells differentiate progressively in several stages and become osteoblasts that, after their terminal differentiation, are fully mature (Aubin, 2001). Each step of the osteoblastic lineage plays a specific role. For example, synthesis of extracellular matrix is mainly carried out by pre-osteoblasts

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lisa A. Morici, Tulane University School of Medicine, USA

Reviewed by:

Karl M. Thompson, Howard University, USA Brian Patrick Conlon, Northeastern University, USA

*Correspondence: Sophie C. Gangloff sophie.gangloff@univ-reims.fr

Received: 18 March 2016 **Accepted:** 30 May 2016 **Published:** 21 June 2016

Citation:

Josse J, Guillaume C, Bour C, Lemaire F, Mongaret C, Draux F, Velard F and Gangloff SC (2016) Impact of the Maturation of Human Primary Bone-Forming Cells on Their Behavior in Acute or Persistent Staphylococcus aureus Infection Models. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 6:64.

Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 6:64. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00064

1

and immature osteoblasts whereas mature osteoblasts are more involved in the mineralization of the extracellular matrix (Komori, 2006). Several markers of osteoblast development are expressed during their maturation. These include alkaline phosphatase, type I collagen and osteopontin, which are important for controlling bone matrix deposition and mineralization. Mature osteoblasts also produce regulators of matrix mineralization at the end of maturation such as osteocalcin and bone sialoprotein (Marie, 2008). In *in vitro* culture models, osteoblast differentiation, and maturation are mainly performed in a specific osteogenic medium (OM) containing ascorbic acid, β -glycerophosphate, and dexamethasone (Brauer et al., 2016).

There is growing evidence that osteoblasts may have additional functions with regard to cytokine production in diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis (Lisignoli et al., 2002). Osteomyelitis is a damaging bone infection that can lead to sequelae and death if left untreated and mostly results from hematogenous spreading from distant infected tissues during childhood. In adulthood, surgical procedures are increasingly responsible for direct contamination of bone tissues, especially when medical devices are used (Montanaro et al., 2011). Staphylococcus aureus, (S. aureus), a gram-positive bacterium, is one of the most incriminated pathogens in osteomyelitis and implant-associated infections (Lowy, 1998). In the past, S. aureus was mostly considered to be an extracellular pyogenic pathogen but it is now known to be internalized and to persist in non-professional phagocytes while being protected from the immune system (Löffler et al., 2014). The internalization of S. aureus has been mainly observed in endothelial cells, lung epithelial cells, mesenchymal stem cells, and osteoblasts (Ogawa et al., 1985; Hudson et al., 1995; Jarry and Cheung, 2006; Josse et al., 2014). Moreover, osteocytes, which are the last step in osteoblast differentiation, are also able to internalize S. aureus (Reilly et al., 2000). S. aureus osteomyelitis therefore develops very often from acute infection to a state of chronicity despite the use of antimicrobial treatments. This leads to a wide spectrum of events ranging from damage to single cells to a delay in bone regeneration or excessive inflammatory response. Three major types of harmful responses for bone tissue can be triggered (reviewed in Josse et al., 2015). First, S. aureusinfected osteoblasts die through the activation of both apoptosis and necrosis. Second, S. aureus can induce the expression and the release of cytokines and chemokines. Third, among these cytokines, S. aureus can promote the activation and formation of osteoclasts notably via an increase in RANKL production by osteoblasts. Together, all these events can result in a massive inflammatory bone loss (Lew and Waldvogel, 2004). However, to date, the influence of the maturation stage of osteoblasts in such a setting has remained elusive.

The aim of this study was therefore to investigate whether the degree of maturation of human primary bone-forming cells (HPBCs) can impact their interaction with *S. aureus*. We cultured HPBCs in a standard growth medium to maintain their heterogeneity and in a dexamethasone-free osteogenic growth medium to obtain a population mostly composed of cells expressing maturation markers. Moreover, two models of HPBC interaction with live *S. aureus* were used in order to mimic the onset of early and persistent infection. Taken together, our data show that dexamethasone-free OM can lead to the maturation of HPBCs. Moreover, we demonstrate that the internalization and intracellular survival of *S. aureus* and *S. aureus*-related cell death are not influenced by the degree of maturation of HPBCs. Investigation of their cytokine and chemokine expression revealed some influence of their degree of maturation but no variation in relative protein release was observed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria Culture

S. aureus 8325-4, a wild-type laboratory strain (prophage-free NCTC 8325), was a generous gift from T.J. Foster (Department of Microbiology, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland). Bacteria were maintained at -80° C and streaked onto trypticase soy broth (TSB) agar plates (BioMérieux) to obtain single colonies. After overnight growth in TSB medium at 37° C, S. aureus was resuspended in TSB at a starting OD (620 nm) of 0.1 and cultured at 37° C up to an exponential growth phase (OD₆₂₀ = 0.4–0.6). The bacteria were then washed and resuspended in sterile Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS, Gibco) for the interaction experiments described below.

Cell Culture and Maturation

HPBCs used in this study were obtained from patients' femoral bone explants and prepared as described previously (Braux et al., 2011; Brun et al., 2014). Bone explants were obtained from the femoral heads of 11 patients in the orthopedic and traumatology department of the University Hospital Center in Reims, France. Samples were collected after written informed consent had been given by the donors following the guidelines approved by the University Hospital Center institutional review board. The explants were cut into small pieces, washed in DPBS four times for 5 min, digested in a solution of 0.5% trypsin, 5.3 mM EDTA (Life Technologies), and then in type II collagenase (1.4 mg.mL⁻¹, Sigma Aldrich). The fragments obtained were thereafter placed in 25 cm² culture flasks containing Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 20% FCS (Dutscher) and 1% antibiotic solution PenStrep® (Gibco), then incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Cells were amplified for four passages and then divided into two cell populations. The first population was cultured in the previous medium containing only 10% FCS and considered as standard medium (SM) and the second one was cultured in SM medium enriched with ascorbic acid (50 μ M, Gibco) and β -glycerophosphate (10 μ M, Sigma Aldrich), hereafter named osteogenic medium (OM). To avoid the antiinflammatory effects of dexamethasone regularly used in OM (Wright and Friedland, 2004), ours was dexamethasone-free. Upon the fifth passage, cells were seeded at a density of 1 \times 10⁴ cells per cm² in 24-well culture plates (BD Falcon) and cultured up to 28 days in each medium to assess the osteogenic differentiation model. For fluorescent staining, cells were seeded on glass coverslips or for scanning electron microscopy on Thermanox[®] coverslips (Thermo Scientific). LPS 0111:B4 (1 $\mu g.mL^{-1}$) (Sigma Aldrich) was used to confirm the cells' ability to respond to a pro-inflammatory stimulus.

Set of Interaction between HPBCs and *S. aureus*

Infection experiments were performed on both cell populations after 14 days of culture of HPBCs. Confluent cell cultures were washed with DPBS and incubated overnight with 1 mL of respective media without antibiotics. The following day, cells were washed with DPBS, SM, or OM without antibiotics (1 mL/well) was renewed and bacteria were added. Cell count per well was determined to optimize the multiplicity of infection (MOI) in order to obtain 10:1 and 30:1 (*S. aureus*: cell). Interactions were performed according to two models defined as acute and persistent infections.

The acute infection model, representing the outbreak of an infection, was performed as previously described (Josse et al., 2014). It allows extracellular and intracellular bacteria to interact with cells. Briefly, infections were performed between bacteria and HPBCs for 1, 3, 6, and 9 h at MOI of 10:1 and 30:1 (*S. aureus*/cell).

The model of persistent infection adapted from Wright and Friedland (2002) is classically used to investigate the impact of chronic infection in osteoblasts. After 1 h of interaction between bacteria and cells (MOI of 10:1 and 30:1), co-cultures were washed twice with DPBS and then incubated with the appropriate growth medium containing 100 μ g.mL⁻¹ gentamycin for additional incubations to reach 9, 24, and 48 h of interaction. Gentamycin is an antibiotic that does not diffuse inside HPBCs in 48 h and so is active only on extracellular bacteria (Wilson et al., 1982). This model makes it possible to examine the impact of solely intracellular bacteria.

Intracellular Counting

At the end of each interaction time in the model of acute infection, co-cultures were washed three times with DPBS and incubated 1 h with growth medium containing 100 μ g.mL⁻¹ gentamycin at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. Then, cells were washed three times with DPBS and 0.1% Triton X-100 solution was added to each well to harvest intracellular bacteria. Appropriated dilutions of the lysates were plated in triplicate on TSA plates for determination of the recovered colony forming units (CFU) after incubation for 18 h at 37°C.

For the model of persistent infection, co-cultures were processed in the same way and washed three times with DPBS before the numbers of intracellular bacteria were determined as described above.

Alkaline Phosphatase Activity

Alkaline phosphatase activity was determined by using the precipitating substrate BCIP[®]/NBT (SigmaFastTM, Sigma). At various time intervals (7, 14, 21, and 28 days), cell cultures were rinsed twice with DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in DPBS. Then, wells were rinsed twice with DPBS and incubated for 10 min with BCIP[®]/NBT. Thereafter, wells were rinsed twice with DPBS and dried in order to reveal by imaging the

precipitation in the entire wells (Scanner Epson Perfection 1660 Photo).

Alizarin Red Staining

At 7, 14, 21, and 28 days, cells were rinsed twice with DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in DPBS. Then, wells were rinsed twice with DPBS and distilled water. Cell cultures were incubated for 10 min with alizarin red staining solution (Sigma). At the end of the incubation period, wells were rinsed twice with DPBS and dried in order to image the entire wells (Scanner Epson Perfection 1660 Photo).

Type I Collagen and Osteocalcin Immunofluorescent Staining

Twenty-one-day cell cultures were rinsed twice with DPBS and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Agar Scientific LTD) in DPBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (Prolabo) for 5 min at room temperature. After DPBS rinsing and 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma Aldrich) saturation for 1 h, samples were incubated for 1 h with anti-human type I collagen antibodies (clone H-197, Santa-Cruz) or with anti-human osteocalcin antibodies (clone 190125, R&D Systems) (diluted at 1/400 and 1/50 respectively). After another BSA saturation step, samples were incubated with biotinylated horse anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (diluted at 1/50, Vector) for 30 min, rinsed in DPBS and incubated in a solution of streptavidine-Alexafluor[®]488 (diluted at 1/200, Molecular Probes) for 30 min and rinsed in DPBS. After rinsing with dH₂O, cell nuclei were counterstained for 5 min with DAPI (Invitrogen). These cells were mounted on glass coverslips (Fluorescent Mounting Medium Dako) and then visualized with a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss).

LDH Measurement

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in cell supernatant is relevant for evaluating cell membrane damage. At the end of each time period for both models of infection, LDH activity was assessed on conditioned cell culture supernatants (Cytotoxicity detection kit plus[®], Roche Diagnostics) following the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance was read at 592 nm with correction of non-specific background at 700 nm.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 β and IL-6), chemokines (CCL2, CXCL1, and CXCL8), and OPG concentrations in conditioned supernatants were measured by ELISA, according to the recommended protocol (Duoset[®], R&D Systems). Controls were composed of the non-stimulated cells and media alone.

Real-Time PCR Analysis

At the end of each infection period for both infection models, total RNA was extracted from HPBCs by using the MasterPureTM RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre[®] Biotechnologies) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quantity and purity of the samples were assessed using a Nanodrop[®] (Thermo Scientific). All sample OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀

ratios were between 1.95 and 2.05. Thereafter, RNA was reversetranscribed into cDNA using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems). The cDNA product was amplified by real-time PCR (Step One Plus[®], Applied Biosystems) and the mRNA levels for osteogenic genes, inflammatory genes, and internal control *HPRT-1* were determined using the double strand-specific Power SYBR[®] Green dye system (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences of all genes were determined with the Universal Probe Library Assay Design Center (Roche Applied Science). Primer efficiency was also measured (**Table 1**). Data analysis was performed with the Step One software v2.3 (Applied Biosystems). Results were calculated in accordance with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method and are presented as fold ratios.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM was performed for acute infection. After 9 h of co-culture, samples were rinsed twice with DPBS and then fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in DPBS for 1 h at room temperature. After graded ethanol dehydration the samples were immersed in HMDS for 5 min, air-dried at room temperature and sputtered with a thin gold-palladium film under a JEOL ion sputter JFC 1100 (JEOL). Cells were observed with a LaB6 electron microscope (JEOL JSM-5400 LV).

TABLE 1 | Primer nucleotide sequence for each primer couple.

Target ge	ne Sequ	ences
	Forward primer	Reverse primer
COL1A1	5'-GGGATTCCCTGGAC CTAAAG-3'	5'-GGAACACCTCGCTC TCCAG-3'
SPARC	5'-TTCCCTGTACACTGG CAGTTC-3'	5'-AATGCTCCATGGG GATGA-3'
SPP1	5'-GAGGGCTTGGTTG TCAGC-3'	5'-CAATTCTCATGGTAGTGAGT TTTCC-3'
IBSP	5'-GAGGGCTTGGTTG TCAGC-3'	5'-CAATTCTCATGGTAGTGAGT TTTCC-3'
BGLAP	5'-TGAGAGCCCTCACAC TCCTC-3'	5'-ACCTTTGCTGGACTC TGCAC-3'
IL-1β	5'-CTGTCCTGCGTGTTGA AAGA-3'	5'-TTGGGTAATTTTTGGGAT CTACA-3'
IL-6	5'-GGAACAAGCCAGAG CTGTG-3'	5'-GGCTGGCATTTGTG GTTGG-3'
TNF-α	5'-CAGCCTCCTCTCCTTC TTGAT-3'	5'-GCCAGAGGGGCTGATT AGAGA-3'
CCL2	5'-AGTCTCTGCCGCC CTTCT-3'	5'-GTGACTGGGGGCATT GATTG-3'
CXCL1	5'-TCCTGCATCCCCCAT AGTTA-3'	5'-CTTCAGGAACAGCC ACCAGT-3'
CXCL8	5'-AGACAGCAGAGCACA CAAGC-3'	5'-CTCCTTGGCAAAAC TGCAC-3'
RANKL	5'-TGATTCATGTAGGAGAATTAA ACAGG-3'	5'-GATGTGCTGTGATCC AACGA-3'
OPG	5'-GAAGGGCGCTACCTT GAGAT-3'	5'-GCAAACTGTATTTCGC TCTGG-3'
HPRT-1	5'-TGACCTTGATTTATTTTGC ATACC-3'	5'-CGAGCAAGACGTTCA GTCCT-3'

Graphical Representation of Data and Statistics

Each experiment was performed on cells from 4 of 11 independent donors. Each pool of cells consisted of cells grown in both SM and OM. Data are presented as whisker plots: black spots represent maximum and minimum values, black bars represent 1st and 9th decile, the bottom and top of the box are the 1st and 3rd quartiles, and the red band inside the box stands for the median. Gray box plots were used for HPBCs cultured in SM (SM-HPBCs) and black box plots were used for HPBCs cultured in OM (OM-HPBCs). Owing to a lack of normal distribution of the assessed variables due to the small number of donors, non-parametric exact Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests with the *p*-value fixed at 0.05 were carried out to determine the significance of the results (StatXact 7.0, Cytel Inc.).

RESULTS

Cell Maturation Is Effective Even without Dexamethasone

To investigate whether maturation may impact the behavior of HPBCs during *S. aureus* interaction, two populations of HPBCs at different stages of maturation were established. Maturation of osteoblasts is commonly performed by using OM containing dexamethasone. However, the anti-inflammatory molecule dexamethasone impacts cell signaling and would interfere in the readout of the studied mediators in our experiments. Therefore, specific osteoblast markers were assessed on both HPBCs population during their culture to assess the effectiveness of dexamethasone-free OM to promote osteoblastic maturation as compared to SM (**Figure 1**).

Cells cultured in SM, hereafter named SM-HPBCs, presented a very low alkaline phosphatase activity and the alizarin red staining remained negative up to day 28 of culture (Figures 1A,B). Cells cultured in dexamethasone-free OM, hereafter named OM-HPBCs, exhibited a high alkaline phosphatase activity observed from day 14 to 28 as well as a positive alizarin red staining after day 28 of culture (Figures 1A,B). The mRNA expressions of five major bone extracellular matrix proteins were also analyzed to evaluate the commitment in osteogenic differentiation for both populations of V. As seen in Figure 1C after 14 days of culture, COL1A1 expression was statistically lower for the OM-HPBCs compared to the expression by SM-HPBCs. No difference in SPARC and SPP1 expressions could be seen. IBSP expression appeared upregulated and BGLAP was significantly increased in OM-HPBCs as compared to SM-HPBCs.

Immunofluorescence staining at day 21 confirmed the difference between the cultured cells (**Figure 1D**). Low production of type I collagen and absence of osteocalcin were observed for SM-HPBCs. On the contrary, type I collagen extracellular fibers as well as the presence of osteocalcin could be detected for OM-HPBCs.

These results suggested that at a given time, the culture of HPBCs in a dexamethasone-free OM promoted a higher production of specific mature osteoblast markers compared



days of culture, **(B)** alizarin red staining of SM- and OM-HPBCs after 7, 14, 21, and 28 days of culture, and **(C)** expression of osteogenic genes by OM- compared to SM-HPBCs after 14 days of culture (mRNA were evaluated by RT-qPCR analysis, data were shown as specific variation in mRNA using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (*HPRT-1* was used as internal control), * means p < 0.05 vs. SM-HPBCs). **(D)** Representative micrographs of 21-day immunofluorescent staining of cultured cells: type I collagen and osteocalcin were visualized in green with Alexafluor[®] 488. Nuclei were counterstained in blue by DAPI. Scale bar = 50 μ m. Experiments were performed on four independent donors.

to HPBCs cultured in SM. Therefore, OM-HPBCs may be considered as more mature than SM-HPBCs.

S. aureus Can Survive Inside HPBCs

Acute and persistent infection models were used in order to investigate the impact of HPBC maturation on the internalization and the survival of *S. aureus* inside HPBCs. As seen in the acute infection model (**Figure 2A**), the average number of intracellular bacteria was under 120 bacteria per 1000 cells after 1 h of interaction, irrespective of the cell differentiation. This figure regularly increased with time up to 9 h. After 9 h of interaction, the average number of internalized live bacteria reached at least 8350 bacteria per 1000 cells.

In the persistent infection model (**Figure 2B**), the number of intracellular *S. aureus* seemed to decrease slightly from 1 to 48 h irrespective of the cell differentiation. Intracellular staphylococci survived inside the cells until 48 h with an average count between 10 and 45 bacteria per 1000 cells depending on the MOI. For each time, the number of bacteria was systematically higher with the MOI of 30:1 than with the MOI of 10:1.

Comparing both models at 9 h post infection, at least a 2 log reduction was observed in the number of intracellular bacteria in the cells after persistent infection as compared to acute infection (Figures 2A,B).

Similar results for internalization and survival of *S. aureus* were observed in both SM- and OM-HPBCs, suggesting that

HPBC maturation did not influence these phenomena, though a difference in bacterial adhesion was observed between SM- and OM-HPBCs (**Figure 3**).

Nevertheless, the ability of HPBCs to contain viable intracellular bacteria was strongly influenced by the presence of extracellular bacteria.

S. aureus Induces Cell Death

To determine whether cell maturation influences *S. aureus*induced cell death, we measured the release of LDH from both SM and OM-HPBCs. In the acute infection model, a low but significant decrease in LDH activity was observed at 1 h for SM-HPBCs. After 6 h of interaction, significant increases in LDH activity were detected in the presence of *S. aureus* at the MOIs of 10:1 or 30:1 for both SM and OM-HPBCs (**Figure 2C**). At 9 h post-infection a greater and significant LDH release was observed without any MOI effects (10:1 or 30:1).

As for the persistent infection model, the release of LDH was more variable between each time point, with the level of LDH sometimes even lower for the infected cells, like for OM-HPBCs at 1 and 9 h post-infection (**Figure 2D**). Apart from that, the quantity of LDH released by both populations of HPBCs was statistically similar in the presence of *S. aureus* from 1 to 48 h.

Similar results were observed for both SM- and OM-HPBCs, suggesting that HPBC maturation has no influence in the protection against cell death caused by *S. aureus*. However, the



accumulation of extracellular *S. aureus* increased cell death in the acute infection model.

S. aureus-Related Production of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines by HPBCs

The pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α are potent activators of osteoclastogenesis. Their presence in the bone microenvironment promotes inflammatory processes and the recruitment of pre-osteoclasts and their activation. To further characterize the impact of *S. aureus* on SM- and OM-HPBCs, the expression of these cytokines was analyzed.

In the acute infection model, *S. aureus* induced an increase in *IL-6* expression by SM-HPBCs that started at 6 h. At 9 h post-infection, this overexpression in infected cells corresponded to a 2.7-fold increase for the MOI of 10:1 and a 3.9-fold increase for the MOI of 30:1. For OM-HPBCs, *S. aureus* also induced significant increases in *IL-6* expression at 3 h with an average of 1.5-fold for both MOIs. At 9 h post-infection a two-fold overexpression for the MOI of 10:1 and of 2.5-fold for the MOI of 30:1 were observed (**Figure 4A**). No significant variations

were observed for *IL-1* β and *TNF-* α expression in the acute infection model even if a trend for increase was observed for both the cytokines mRNAs in the presence of *S. aureus* after 9 h (**Figures 4C,E**).

Of note, *IL-1\beta*, *IL-6*, and *TNF-\alpha* expressions were upregulated from 3 to 9 h by both SM and OM-HPBCs stimulated with LPS (Supplementary Figures 1A,C,E).

In the persistent infection model, a low but significant 1.2fold increase in *IL-6* mRNA expression was observed for infected SM-HPBCs after 48 h of interaction at both 10:1 and 30:1 MOIs. The same profile was seen with OM-HPBCs, with a significant 1.9-fold increase for the MOI of 30:1 (**Figure 4B**). Concerning *IL-1* β , *S. aureus* induced a significant 1.7-fold increase in mRNA expression in OM-HPBCs after 48 h of infection (**Figure 4D**). No significant variations in *TNF-* α expression were observed in the model of persistent infection (**Figure 4F**).

Of note, *IL-1* β and *IL-6* expressions were up-regulated in both SM- and OM-HPBCs stimulated with LPS until 48 h whereas *TNF-* α expression only increased at 1 and 9 h (Supplementary Figures 1B,D,F).



FIGURE 3 | Representative SEM micrographs of interaction between *S. aureus* and SM- (A) or OM-HPBCs (B) after 9 h at the MOI of 10:1 in acute infection model. Magnification x1000.

To further investigate the protein release that could correlate with the significant mRNA expression modulations, IL-6 and IL-1 β protein release was measured. Concerning IL-6 (**Table 2**), the protein release was detected in supernatants of the cells of all donors after 3 h in the acute model of infection and after 9 h in the persistent infection one. Thereafter, the release of IL-6 by both cell types increased regularly in both acute and persistent infection models and remained within the levels of the non-infected control HPBCs. However, LPS stimulation induced an upregulation of IL-6 when compared to the control cells (Supplementary Table 1). No protein release of IL-1 β by HPBCs was detected regardless of the model of infection, even after stimulation with LPS (data not shown).

In summary, *S. aureus* induced low but statistically significant increases in mRNA expression of IL-6 in both SM- and OM-HPBCs and a small increase in IL-1 β mRNA at 48 h post-infection in OM-HPBCs. These results suggest that HPBC maturation did not influence cytokine expression. In our infection models, we also found that the relative protein release by SM- and OM-HPBCs was not influenced by the presence of *S. aureus* even if cells of all our donors were responsive to LPS stimulation.

S. aureus-Related Production of Chemokines by HPBCs

Chemokines are well known for playing a role in antibacterial defense notably through the recruitment of neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages. To further investigate how HPBC maturation modulates the inflammatory response of infected cells, the expression and the release of CCL2, CXCL1, and CXCL8 by SM- and OM-HPBCs infected with *S. aureus* was evaluated.

In acute infection, no variation in *CCL2* mRNA expression was measured for infected SM-HPBCs compared to their noninfected counterparts. For infected OM-HPBCs, a significant two-fold decrease in *CCL2* expression was observed at MOIs of 10:1 and 30:1 after 9 h (Figure 5A). Focusing on CXCL1 expression (Figure 5C), at 6 h post-infection a 4.5-fold increase in CXCL1 expression was observed for SM-HPBCs at the MOI 10:1 as well as a 12.3-fold increase for MOI 30:1. At 9 h post infection, the expression was decreased but still in the upper range as compared to the basal expression by uninfected SM-HPBCs. Levels of CXCL1 mRNA appeared higher for infected cells at 6 h than in the uninfected cells though there were no significant differences for OM-HPBCs (Figure 5C). For CXCL8, SM-HPBCs interacting with S. aureus exhibited significant increases in mRNA expression (Figure 5E). At 6 h, a significant four-fold mean increase was observed for the MOI of 30:1. At 9 h, S. aureus significantly upregulated the mean expression of CXCL8 by 4.2-fold and 5.9-fold for the MOIs of 10:1 and 30:1, respectively. For OM-HPBCs, S. aureus did not significantly impact CXCL8 expression. Nevertheless, a trend for an increase was observed after 9 h interaction between OM-HPBCs and S. aureus (Figure 5E).

Of note, *CCL2*, *CXCL1*, and *CXCL8* expression was upregulated by both SM and OM-HPBCs from 3 to 9 h after stimulation with LPS (Supplementary Figures 2A,C,E).

In the persistent infection model, no significant variations in *CCL2* by SM-HPBCs were observed (**Figure 5B**). Conversely OM-HPBCs showed low but significant increases in *CCL2* expression at both 24 h and 48 h. A 1.2-fold mean increase was observed for both MOIs of 10:1 and 30:1 at 24 h. At 48 h, *S. aureus*-induced mean increases of 1.2-fold and 1.6-fold for the MOIs of 10:1 and 30:1 respectively (**Figure 5B**). Concerning *CXCL1* expression, no significant variations were observed for SM-HPBCs (**Figure 5D**). For OM-HPBCs, a significant mean increase in *CXCL1* expression by 2.1-fold was observed at 48 h for the MOI of 30:1 (**Figure 4D**). For *CXCL8* expression, slight increases were detected after 48 h in the presence of *S. aureus* with a significant 3.6-fold mean increase in SM-HPBCs infected at the MOI of 30:1 (**Figure 5F**). *CCL2, CXCL1*,



and *CXCL8* expressions were up-regulated by both SM and OM-HPBCs stimulated with LPS until 48 h (Supplementary Figures 2B,D,F).

To further investigate the impact of *S. aureus* on HPBCs, the protein release of the three previously studied chemokines was measured.

In the acute infection model (Table 3), CCL2 and CXCL8 protein releases were detected in supernatants of the cells of

all donors only after 9 h and were within the levels of the non-infected control HPBCs.

In the persistent model of infection (**Table 3**), the release of CCL2 by the HPBCs of all donors increased with time from 9 to 48 h and was within the levels of the noninfected HPBCs. Concerning CXCL8, the protein release was detected in supernatants of OM-HPBCs of all donors from 9 to 48 h and stayed within the levels of the non-infected



control OM-HPBCs whereas it only occurred at 48 h for SM-HPBCs.

No *CXCL1* protein release was detected in the supernatants of the cells of any of the donors at the same time in both the acute and persistent infection models (**Table 3**). LPS stimulation nevertheless induced up-regulation of all the three chemokines when compared to the control cells in both models of infection (Supplementary Table 2).

Taken together, these results revealed that the infected SM-HPBCs are more able to upregulate their chemokine expression compared to the infected OM-HPBCs in the conditions of acute infection, suggesting an impact of HPBC maturation. However, we also found that the relative protein release by both SM- and OM-HPBCs was not influenced by the presence of *S. aureus* even if the cells of all our donors were responsive to LPS stimulation.

S. aureus-Related Production of OPG and RANKL by HPBCs

Bone-forming cells can modulate osteoclastogenesis through the production of RANKL and OPG. RANKL binds to RANK on osteoclast precursors to promote their activation whereas OPG is a decoy receptor for RANKL that can decrease osteoclastogenesis. The impact of osteogenic differentiation and *S. aureus* interaction on *OPG* and *RANKL* expression was therefore evaluated (**Figure 6**).

In the acute infection model, for both SM- and OM-HPBCs, 9 h were required to observe a two-fold mean significant decrease in *OPG* expression for both 10:1 and 30:1 MOIs (**Figure 6A**). Conversely LPS stimulation induced a significant increase in *OPG* expression at 6 and 9 h with both HPBCs (Supplementary Figure 3A).

In the persistent infection model, the level of *OPG* mRNA remained equal in the presence or absence of bacteria for both HPBCs up to 48 h whereas an increase in expression was observed from 9 to 48 h when both the HPBCs were stimulated with LPS (**Figure 6B**, Supplementary Figure 3B). Concerning RANKL, no significant modulation of expression was observed in any condition even with LPS stimulation (**Figures 6C,D**, Supplementary Figures 3C,D).

To further investigate the impact of *S. aureus* on HPBCs, the protein release of OPG was measured in cell supernatants. In the acute infection model (**Table 4**), OPG protein release was detected in supernatants of the cells of all donors from 6 to 9 h and was within the levels of the non-infected control HPBCs. On the whole, the release by all the cells increased from 1 to 6 h and decreased at 9 h. In the persistent model of infection (**Table 4**), OPG protein release by the cells of all donors increased with time from 9 to 48 h and was within the levels of the non-infected HPBCs. LPS stimulation, however, induced upregulation of OPG when compared to the control cells in both models of infection (Supplementary Table 3).

To summarize, in the acute infection model, *S. aureus* induced a similar decrease in *OPG* mRNA expression in both SM- and OM-HPBCs, suggesting that HPBC maturation is not involved in such an effect. In our infection models, we also found that the OPG protein release by SM- and OM-HPBCs was not influenced



were performed on four independent donors.

by the presence of *S. aureus*, even if the cells of all our donors were responsive to LPS stimulation.

DISCUSSION

The bone microenvironment is a complex environment where osteoblasts and their precursors play a central role. Originating

from the mesenchymal lineage, osteoblasts are the cells responsible for bone formation. Differentiation of bone-forming cells starts from mesenchymal stem cells, evolves through several states such as osteoprogenitors, pre-osteoblasts, and osteoblasts and ends up as matrix-embedded cells known as osteocytes (Aubin, 2001). Osteoblasts are the major cells for the synthesis of the bone organic matrix. The latter is mostly composed of



type I collagen but also contains non-collagenous proteins such as fibronectin, osteopontin, and osteocalcin (Marie, 2008). Up to now, the conditioning culture media for osteoblast maturation in vitro was composed of ascorbic acid, β-glycerophosphate, and dexamethasone to trigger bone matrix mineralization (Park, 2012; Langenbach and Handschel, 2013). Here, for the first time to our knowledge, the maturation of bone cells with high alkaline phosphatase activity increased type I collagen fiber formation and the release of osseous proteins such as osteocalcin was obtained with a dexamethasone-free OM. Using both non-osteogenic and dexamethasone-free osteogenic media, we were able to establish two cell populations at different stages of maturation from the same patient, a step that is very important for assessing the effects of maturation on cell physiology without any genetic side effects. This is an important prerequisite as the impact of osteoblast maturation on S. aureus infection has not been taken into account to date. Furthermore, being able to obtain this maturation without the use of dexamethasone avoids its interference with the inflammatory response of HPBCs (Wright and Friedland, 2004), which is of great importance for the parameters studied in this paper.

Interaction between *S. aureus* and osteoblasts began to be documented with the demonstration of internalization by Hudson and colleagues. Then more recent studies highlighted the involvement of staphylococcal toxins and small colony variants during osteoblast infection (Hudson et al., 1995; Tuchscherr et al., 2015; Valour et al., 2015). Most of these studies that used live *S. aureus* focused on the persistent interaction with murine or human osteoblast cell lines, so the onset of infection and the consequences of extracellular growing bacteria were rarely considered except by Hamza and Li (2014).

Therefore, to document more precisely the effect of the degree of maturation of human osteoblasts on cell responses toward *S. aureus*, we used two different *in vitro* models of infection. The first one—the acute infection model—which allows direct interaction from 1 to 9 h between live *S. aureus* and HPBCs, was previously used to test the interaction of *S. aureus* with mesenchymal stem cells (Josse et al., 2014). This model examines the impact of both intracellular and extracellular staphylococci

11111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 <th1< th=""><th>Acute infection</th><th>ction</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th1<>	Acute infection	ction												
5'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11'' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11''' 11'''' 11'''' 11''''' 11''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	CCL2 pg.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	н 1 10:1	30:1	Ctrl	3 h 10:1	30:1	Ctrl	6 h 10:1	30:1	Ctrl	9 h 10:1	30:1	
InterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclutInterclut <th>Aax Aedian Ain</th> <th></th> <th>8082 4443 1000</th>	Aax Aedian Ain													8082 4443 1000
Image: independent indepe	ersistent	infection												
Image: field in the state	CL2		4 4			Ч 6			24 h			48 h		
20047706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677067706770677	ig.mL ^{−1}	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	
citical similarity in the sector of the sec	lax ledian lin								8381 5314 2517		(0)		12,889 10,915 4549	11,389 8274 7633
Image: independent indepe	vcute infe	ction												
Utl IOI MOI MOI <td>XCL1</td> <td></td> <td>1 h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3 h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>6 h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ч 6</td> <td></td> <td></td>	XCL1		1 h			3 h			6 h			Ч 6		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	g.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	
IntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercionIntercion </td <td>lax 1edian 11in</td> <td></td> <td>220</td> <td>224</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>807 273 -</td>	lax 1edian 11in		220	224										807 273 -
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII <th< td=""><td>ersistent</td><td>infection</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	ersistent	infection												
Cit10:130:1Cit10:130:1Cit10:130:1Cit10:130:1Cit10:130:110:1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>XCL1</td> <td></td> <td>4 h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9 Н</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>24 h</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>48 h</td> <td></td> <td></td>	XCL1		4 h			9 Н			24 h			48 h		
	g.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	
ction 1h 3h 6h 9h Ctrl 10:1 30:1 30:1 0:1 30:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 0:1 <td>lax 1edian 1in</td> <td></td> <td>555 132 -</td>	lax 1edian 1in													555 132 -
1h 3h 6h 6h 9h Ctrl< 10:1 30:1 Ctrl< 10:1 30:1 6h 9h - - - - 46 - 41 - 45 220 258 530 353 548 413 600	cute infe	ction												
Ctrl 10:1 30:1 Ctrl 30:1 Ctrl 30:1 Ctrl 30:1 Ctrl 10:1 - - - - 46 - 41 - 45 220 258 530 353 528 415 707 413 690	XCL8		1 h			3 h			6 h			Ч 6		
46 - 41 - 44 - 45 220 258 530 353 528 415 707 413 690	g.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	
	1ax	1	1	I										687

Acute infection CXCL8 pg.mL ⁻¹ Ct Median -	tion 244	4 t 1 :- 1	30:1										
CXCL8 pg.mL ⁻¹ Median Min	3	<u>د</u>	30:1										
pg.mL⁻¹ Median Min	110		30:1			3 h			6 h			9 h	
Median Min	CII			Ctrl	-	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1
	1 1 1 1		1 1	I I	1 1	1 1	1 1	80 I	1 33	1 20	212 370 - 27	215 372 35 37	186 357 32 31
Persistent infection	nfection												
CXCL8		ь Ч				h e			24 h			48 h	
pg.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1		Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1
Max Median Min	198 262 79 94 	191 24 66 8 	240 192 83 84 	236 651 93 443 	461 4 387 4 51	 498 470 408 354 - 52 	573 457 386 350 - 64	678 521 524 436 27 213	637 481 541 404 - 195	782 496 529 417 - 197	718 570 566 472 50 270	633 551 597 466 35 300	674 613 627 538 53 385
Acute infection	tion			Acute infection					2				
OPG		4 H				3 h			6 h			9 h	
pg.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl		10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1
Max 1 [.] Median 1:	1705 2327 1 1308 1165 1 - 130	1639 3891 1128 1335 -	2053 3836 1181 1086 -	4933 3365 1000	3545 5813 3039 4646 826 1739	3 6255 5 2346 9 1522	4800 8873 3268 3984 - 2043	12,909 19,891 10,521 5634 3565 3348	15,738722710,817630663914826	12,773 17,636 11,630 6654 3565 5410	36 16,133 22,065 4 4470 6162 0 1443 3377	15,147 18,370 5536 6401 1902 5273	0 15,413 23,043 5324 7288 3475 4273
Persistent infection	nfection												
OPG		1 h				9 h			24 h			48 h	
pg.mL ⁻¹	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl		10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1	Ctrl	10:1	30:1
Max 6 Median 1: Min 7	6719 6896 10 1330 2346 1 700 -	10,656 4938 1178 2529 683 –	9469 5688 1339 2539 667 –	17,469 1 4305 (1834	2,646 10,625 3915 6081 155 2125	25 10,902 1 7108 5 -	11,844 9699 5233 7253 2334 -	27,219 25,000 11,548 13,958 4521 310	25,781 23,788 12,679 16,361 4979 143	8 31,156 30,455 1 13,515 18,292 4938 393	55 39,819 87,355 32 21,231 33,149 33 7875 1274	5 72,250 65,072 9 26,050 27,990 1 7021 1298	2 49,781 83,949 0 24,734 30,538 7083 1417
Cells were exp	Cells were exposed to viable S. aureus (MOI	S. aureus (MC	V of 10:1 and 3	80:1) for 1, 3, 6,	and 9 h in .	acute infectio	1 and for 1, 9, 24	1, and 48 h in persi	istent infection. Dé	ata are presented ¿	Cells were exposed to viable S. aureus (MOI of 10:1 and 30:1) for 1, 3, 6, and 9 h in acute infection and for 1, 9, 24, and 48 h in persistent infection. Data are presented as minimal value, median value, and maximal value from four	edian value, and ma	ximal value from

growing in the osteoblast environment. The second one—the persistent infection model—was adapted from a pre-existent *in vitro* model (Wright and Friedland, 2002), where extracellular staphylococci are specifically killed by gentamycin after 1 h of interaction in order to preserve only intracellular bacteria until 48 h.

Since infection of osteoblasts by *S. aureus* is a landmark in the development of osteomyelitis in bone tissue, we looked at various parameters that are associated with bacterial pathogenesis, such as attachment, internalization, bacterial survival, and the capacity to induce pro-inflammatory cytokines or chemokines that play a major role in the stimulation of other cells in the bone microenvironment. *S. aureus* NCTC 8325-4, a commonly used laboratory bacterium, was used to check whether the maturation of HPBCs can have an impact on its pathogenesis toward HPBCs and whether it can stimulate HPBCs as in previous early interaction cell models (Escotte et al., 2006; Al Alam et al., 2010).

Attachment is the first step in the bacterial infection process. SEM preparations of interactions of *S. aureus* on SM- and OM-HPBCs showed that *S. aureus* can bind to both types of cells with a greater susceptibility for OM-HPBCs, which could be due to a greater presence of extracellular matrix proteins around these HPBCs.

As seen previously by others, internalization is the prerequisite for the putative survival of *S. aureus* inside osteoblasts (Hudson et al., 1995; Tuchscherr and Löffler, 2016) and *S. aureus* collagen adhesion (Cna) and bone sialoprotein binding protein (Bbp) could play a synergistic role in the initial adhesion of *S. aureus* to osteoblasts, thereby promoting fibronectin-mediated internalization (Tung et al., 2000; Ahmed et al., 2001; Testoni et al., 2011). Comparing both models after 9 h of infection, it is nevertheless clear that extracellular bacteria were constantly internalized by the HPBCs, thus explaining why the intracellular pool is larger in the acute infection model than in the persistent one.

Furthermore, even if in our hands OM-HPBCs showed a higher expression of bone sialoprotein gene (*IBSP*) and a higher production of type I collagen fibers, no differences in internalization and survival of *S. aureus* inside SM- and OM-HPBCs could be seen, suggesting that *S. aureus* also uses mechanisms of internalization to invade HPBCs that are independent from the bone extracellular matrix.

Bone loss in osteomyelitis is due to the death of osteoblasts, a phenomenon that is itself potentiated by the increased activation of osteoclasts. A tight balance between bone formation by osteoblasts and bone resorption by osteoclasts is essential for the strength and integrity of bones. Therefore, we monitored the death of HPBCs after *S. aureus* infection, as well as the production of osteoclastic regulators.

Internalization of *S. aureus* is a key event in the induction of cell death through apoptosis (Bayles et al., 1998; Menzies and Kourteva, 1998; Kahl et al., 2000; Mempel et al., 2002). Here, as in a previous experiment with mesenchymal stem cells (Josse et al., 2014), cell death was observed after 6 h of acute infection, irrespective of HPBC maturation. Although Rasigade et al. recently reported that the activity of phenol-soluble modulins is the major factor in osteoblast killing by *S. aureus* and that

 α -hemolysin activity is negligible (Rasigade et al., 2013), the α -hemolysin produced by the extracellular *S. aureus* NCTC 8325-4 could play a role in the death phenomenon in acute infections, as no differences were noted in the persistent model where the bacteria were only intracellular.

Following interaction with *S. aureus*, the first line of defense for cells is to secrete inflammatory factors like cytokines or chemokines, which are able to activate and recruit immune cells in an attempt to clear the bacteria from the microenvironment (Turner et al., 2014).

For the first time, our study proposes a different kinetic of mRNA chemokine expression between infected SM- and OM-HPBCs as well as different profiles of expression in both the acute and persistent models.

In the acute infection model, *IL-6* mRNA over-expression by both SM- and OM-HPBCs infected with *S. aureus*, as well as the weak responses in *IL-1* β and *TNF-* α mRNA expressions, were already observed during *S. aureus* and mesenchymal stem cell interaction (Josse et al., 2014). In the persistent infection model, *IL-6* and *IL-1* β mRNA expression was increased after 48 h, as observed in *in vitro* models similar to ours (Bost et al., 1999; Marriott et al., 2002; Jauregui et al., 2013). Our results also suggest that cell maturation does not impact cytokine expression.

With regard to chemokines, while CCL2 mRNA is significantly downregulated in the acute infection of OM-HPBCs after 9 h, it does not vary in SM-HPBCs. Moreover, concerning CXCL1 and CXCL8 mRNA expression, it is obvious that the SM-HPBCs are more susceptible to S. aureus than the OM-HPBCs in the acute model of infection. On the contrary, no difference in susceptibility was noted in the persistent infection model. CCL2 and CXCL1 mRNA expression was upregulated by OM-HPBCs after 24 and 48 h respectively whereas a significant over-expression of CXCL8 mRNA by SM-HPBCs was observed after 48 h. Moreover, these responses were S. aureus-specific as LPS induced the same chemokine profiles on both SM-HPBCs and OM-HPBCs in our models. One explanation could be that immature SM-HPBCs are more susceptible to inducing acute inflammation and to recruiting neutrophils in response to extracellular S. aureus while OM-HPBCs, which are more involved in matrix formation and mineralization, might be involved in subsequent inflammation and could potentially recruit monocytes in response to intracellular bacteria. Furthermore, while CCL2 and CXCL8 mRNA expression increased by S. aureus-infected osteoblasts has already been observed in an in vitro model close to our model of persistent infection (Bost et al., 2001; Jauregui et al., 2013), this is the first time, to our knowledge, that an over-expression of CXCL1 mRNA has been observed in S. aureus-infected HPBCs.

Bone-forming cells influence osteoclastogenesis through the production of RANKL and its decoy receptor OPG. OPG inhibits RANK/RANKL binding and thereby modulates the formation and activation of osteoclasts (Edwards and Mundy, 2011). In our persistent infection model, neither the expression of *RANKL* nor *OPG* mRNA varied, even in the presence of *S. aureus*. However, for the first time and in opposition to the increase in *OPG* expression after LPS stimulation, a decrease in *OPG* expression without any modulation of *RANKL* for either SM- or OM-HPBCs

was noticed after 9 h in the acute infection model. This suggests that the regulation of *OPG* could be induced specifically by *S. aureus* and that extracellular bacteria could play a major role in the regulation.

Concerning the protein release of cytokines and chemokines, we did not observe any significant variations in the release by S. aureus-infected HPBCs compared to the non-infected counterparts whereas the upregulation of IL-6, CCL2, CXCL1, CXCL8, and OPG release occurred with LPS stimulation in both SM- and OM-HPBCs. These results are in opposition to early experiments where upregulation of IL-6, CCL2, and CXCL8 release was reported (Bost et al., 1999, 2001; Wright and Friedland, 2002; Jauregui et al., 2013) as well as a decrease in OPG release (Claro et al., 2011; Young et al., 2011). These differences could be due to conditions of the experimental procedures, notably the characteristics of the cells. In previous studies, in vitro experiments were mostly performed using osteoblastic cell lines or marketed normal osteoblasts coupled with high MOIs (75:1 or 250:1) to stimulate the cells. This may have led to an overestimation of the results. In our study, we used human primary cells from several independent donors. This certainly contributed to the wide inter-donor viability that we observed but it also strengthens our results with regard to the clinical outcome in patients.

In view of our findings, we suggest that osteoblasts are not the initiators of an inflammatory response but act more as reservoirs allowing the persistence of *S. aureus* inside bones and the development of chronic infections. Recent findings about small colony variants, staphylococcal toxin-related osteoblast killing, or the adaptation of *S. aureus* to chronicity provide further evidence for this hypothesis (Valour et al., 2015; Tuchscherr and Löffler, 2016; Tuchscherr et al., 2016).

CONCLUSION

In this study, two models of *in vitro* interaction between *S. aureus* and HPBCs were established to investigate the impact of their

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S., Meghji, S., Williams, R. J., Henderson, B., Brock, J. H., and Nair, S. P. (2001). *Staphylococcus aureus* fibronectin binding proteins are essential for internalization by osteoblasts but do not account for differences in intracellular levels of bacteria. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 2872–2877. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.5.2872-2877.2001
- Al Alam, D., Deslee, G., Tournois, C., Lamkhioued, B., Lebargy, F., Merten, M., et al. (2010). Impaired Interleukin-8 chemokine secretion by *Staphylococcus aureus*-activated epithelium and T-cell chemotaxis in cystic fibrosis. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 42, 644–650. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2008-0021OC
- Aubin, J. E. (2001). Regulation of osteoblast formation and function. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* 2, 81–94. doi: 10.1023/A:1010011209064
- Bayles, K. W., Wesson, C. A., Liou, L. E., Fox, L. K., Bohach, G. A., and Trumble, W. R. (1998). Intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* escapes the endosome and induces apoptosis in epithelial cells. *Infect. Immun.* 66, 336–342.
- Bost, K. L., Bento, J. L., Petty, C. C., Schrum, L. W., Hudson, M. C., and Marriott, I. (2001). Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 expression by osteoblasts following infection with *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Salmonella*. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. 21, 297–304. doi: 10.1089/107999001300177484

maturation on osteomyelitis. HPBC maturation did not influence the internalization and survival of *S. aureus* inside HPBCs nor the cell death related to the infection. Furthermore, it had little impact on chemokine expression. Nevertheless, no variation in relative protein release was observed in the presence of *S. aureus*, suggesting the absence of any concrete inflammatory response by infected HPBCs. Our findings suggest that cell maturation does not impact the behavior of HPBCs infected with *S. aureus* and that the role of bone-forming cells (including osteoblasts) may not be pivotal for the inflammatory response in osteomyelitis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JJ, CG, CB, FL, CM, FD, and FV performed the experiments. JJ, FV, SG designed the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper.

FUNDING

JJ is the recipient of a fellowship from the French \ll Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche \gg . This work was partially supported by a grant from the University of Reims Champagne Ardenne (BQR, 2011).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors warmly thank Sylvie Bouthors and Chantal Grimplet for their technical assistance, Kevin Delaître and Ray Cooke for careful proofreading, and Bouchaib Lamkhioued for productive scientific discussions.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fcimb. 2016.00064

- Bost, K. L., Ramp, W. K., Nicholson, N. C., Bento, J. L., Marriott, I., and Hudson, M. C. (1999). *Staphylococcus aureus* infection of mouse or human osteoblasts induces high levels of interleukin-6 and interleukin-12 production. *J. Infect. Dis.* 180, 1912–1920. doi: 10.1086/315138
- Brauer, A., Pohlemann, T., and Metzger, W. (2016). Osteogenic differentiation of immature osteoblasts: interplay of cell culture media and supplements. *Biotech. Histochem.* 91, 161–169. doi: 10.3109/10520295.2015.11 10254
- Braux, J., Velard, F., Guillaume, C., Bouthors, S., Jallot, E., Nedelec, J.-M., et al. (2011). A new insight into the dissociating effect of strontium on bone resorption and formation. *Acta Biomater.* 7, 2593–2603. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2011.02.013
- Brun, V., Guillaume, C., Mechiche Alami, S., Josse, J., Jing, J., Draux, F., et al. (2014). Chitosan/hydroxyapatite hybrid scaffold for bone tissue engineering. *Biomed. Mater. Eng.* 24 (1 Suppl.), 63–73. doi: 10.3233/BME-1 40975
- Claro, T., Widaa, A., O'Seaghdha, M., Miajlovic, H., Foster, T. J., O'Brien, F. J., et al. (2011). *Staphylococcus aureus* protein A binds to osteoblasts and triggers signals that weaken bone in osteomyelitis. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18748. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018748

- Edwards, J. R., and Mundy, G. R. (2011). Advances in osteoclast biology: old findings and new insights from mouse models. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 7, 235–243. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2011.23
- Escotte, S., Al Alam, D., Le Naour, R., Puchelle, E., Guenounou, M., and Gangloff, S. C. (2006). T cell chemotaxis and chemokine release after *Staphylococcus aureus* interaction with polarized airway epithelium. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 34, 348–354. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2005-01910C
- Hamza, T., and Li, B. (2014). Differential responses of osteoblasts and macrophages upon *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *BMC Microbiol*. 14:207. doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0207-5
- Hudson, M. C., Ramp, W. K., Nicholson, N. C., Williams, A. S., and Nousiainen, M. T. (1995). Internalization of *Staphylococcus aureus* by cultured osteoblasts. *Microb. Pathog.* 19, 409–419. doi: 10.1006/mpat.199 5.0075
- Jarry, T. M., and Cheung, A. L. (2006). Staphylococcus aureus escapes more efficiently from the phagosome of a cystic fibrosis bronchial epithelial cell line than from its normal counterpart. Infect. Immun. 74, 2568–2577. doi: 10.1128/IAI.74.5.2568-2577.2006
- Jauregui, C. E., Mansell, J. P., Jepson, M. A., and Jenkinson, H. F. (2013). Differential interactions of *Streptococcus Gordonii* and *Staphylococcus aureus* with cultured osteoblasts. *Mol. Oral Microbiol.* 28, 250–266. doi: 10.1111/omi.12022
- Josse, J., Velard, F., and Gangloff, S. C. (2015). Staphylococcus aureus vs. osteoblast: relationship and consequences in osteomyelitis. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 5:85. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2015.00085
- Josse, J., Velard, F., Mechiche Alami, S., Brun, V., Guillaume, C., Kerdjoudj, H., et al. (2014). Increased internalization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and cytokine expression in human wharton's jelly mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomed. Mater. Eng.* 24(1 Suppl.), 27–35. doi: 10.3233/BME-140971
- Kahl, B. C., Goulian, M., van Wamel, W., Herrmann, M., Simon, S. M., Kaplan, G., et al. (2000). *Staphylococcus aureus* RN6390 replicates and induces apoptosis in a pulmonary epithelial cell line. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 5385–5392. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.9.5385-5392.2000
- Komori, T. (2006). Regulation of osteoblast differentiation by transcription factors. J. Cell. Biochem. 99, 1233–1239. doi: 10.1002/jcb. 20958
- Langenbach, F., and Handschel, J. (2013). Effects of dexamethasone, ascorbic acid and β -glycerophosphate on the osteogenic differentiation of stem cells *in vitro*. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 4, 117. doi: 10.1186/scrt328
- Lew, D. P., and Waldvogel, F. A. (2004). Osteomyelitis. Lancet 364, 369–379. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16727-5
- Lisignoli, G., Toneguzzi, S., Grassi, F., Piacentini, A., Tschon, M., Cristino, S., et al. (2002). Different chemokines are expressed in human arthritic bone biopsies: IFN-γ and IL-6 differently modulate IL-8, MCP-1 and RANTES production by arthritic osteoblasts. *Cytokine* 20, 231–238. doi: 10.1006/cyto.2002.2006
- Löffler, B., Tuchscherr, L., Niemann, S., and Peters, G. (2014). Staphylococcus aureus persistence in non-professional phagocytes. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 304, 170–176. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.11.011
- Lowy, F. D. (1998). Staphylococcus aureus infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 339, 520–532. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199808203390806
- Marie, P. J. (2008). Transcription factors controlling osteoblastogenesis. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 473, 98–105. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2008.02.030
- Marriott, I., Hughes, F. M., and Bost, K. L. (2002). Bacterial infection of osteoblasts induces interleukin-1beta and interleukin-18 transcription but not protein synthesis. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. 22, 1049–1055. doi: 10.1089/107999002760624288
- Mempel, M., Schnopp, C., Hojka, M., Fesq, H., Weidinger, S., Schaller, M., et al. (2002). Invasion of human keratinocytes by *Staphylococcus aureus* and intracellular bacterial persistence represent haemolysin-independent virulence mechanisms that are followed by features of necrotic and apoptotic keratinocyte cell death. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 146, 943–951. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.2002.04752.x
- Menzies, B. E., and Kourteva, I. (1998). Internalization of Staphylococcus aureus by endothelial cells induces apoptosis. *Infect. Immun.* 66, 5994–5998.
- Montanaro, L., Speziale, P., Campoccia, D., Ravaioli, S., Cangini, I., Pietrocola, G., et al. (2011). Scenery of *Staphylococcus* implant infections in orthopedics. *Future Microbiol.* 6, 1329–1349. doi: 10.2217/fmb.11.117

- Ogawa, S. K., Yurberg, E. R., Hatcher, V. B., Levitt, M. A., and Lowy, F. D. (1985). Bacterial adherence to human endothelial cells *in vitro*. *Infect. Immun.* 50, 218–224.
- Park, J.-B. (2012). The effects of dexamethasone, ascorbic acid, and β -glycerophosphate on osteoblastic differentiation by regulating estrogen receptor and osteopontin expression. *J. Surg. Res.* 173, 99–104. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2010.09.010
- Rasigade, J.-P., Trouillet-Assant, S., Ferry, T., An Diep, B., Sapin, A., Lhoste, Y., et al. (2013). PSMs of hypervirulent *Staphylococcus aureus* act as intracellular toxins that kill infected osteoblasts. *PLoS ONE* 8:e63176. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063176
- Reilly, S. S., Hudson, M. C., Kellam, J. F., and Ramp, W. K. (2000). In vivo internalization of Staphylococcus aureus by embryonic chick osteoblasts. Bone 26, 63–70. doi: 10.1016/S8756-3282(99)00239-2
- Testoni, F., Montanaro, L., Poggi, A., Visai, L., Campoccia, D., and Arciola, C. R. (2011). Internalization by osteoblasts of two *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates differing in their adhesin gene pattern. *Int. J. Artif. Organs* 34, 789–798. doi: 10.5301/ijao.5000058
- Tuchscherr, L., Bischoff, M., Lattar, S. M., Noto Llana, M., Pförtner, H., Niemann, S., et al. (2015). Sigma factor SigB is crucial to mediate *Staphylococcus aureus* adaptation during chronic infections. *PLoS Pathog.* 11:e1004870. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004870
- Tuchscherr, L., and Löffler, B. (2016). Staphylococcus aureus dynamically adapts global regulators and virulence factor expression in the course from acute to chronic infection. *Curr. Genet.* 62, 15–17. doi: 10.1007/s00294-015-0503-0
- Tuchscherr, L., Kreis, C. A., Hoerr, V., Flint, L., Hachmeister, M., Geraci, J., et al. (2016). *Staphylococcus aureus* develops increased resistance to antibiotics by forming dynamic small colony variants during chronic osteomyelitis. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 71, 438–448. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkv371
- Tung, H., Guss, B., Hellman, U., Persson, L., Rubin, K., and Rydén, C. (2000). A bone sialoprotein-binding protein from *Staphylococcus aureus*: a member of the staphylococcal Sdr family. *Biochem. J.* 345(Pt 3), 611–619. doi: 10.1042/bj3450611
- Turner, M. D., Nedjai, B., Hurst, T., and Pennington, D. J. (2014). Cytokines and chemokines: at the crossroads of cell signalling and inflammatory disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1843, 2563–2582. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014. 05.014
- Valour, F., Rasigade, J.-P., Trouillet-Assant, S., Gagnaire, J., Bouaziz, A., Karsenty, J., et al. (2015). Delta-toxin production deficiency in *Staphylococcus aureus*: a diagnostic marker of bone and joint infection chronicity linked with osteoblast invasion and biofilm formation. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 21, 568.e1–568.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2015.01.026
- Wilson, C. B., Jacobs, R. F., and Smith, A. L. (1982). Cellular antibiotic pharmacology. Semin. Perinatol. 6, 205–213.
- Wright, K. M., and Friedland, J. S. (2002). Differential regulation of chemokine secretion in tuberculous and staphylococcal osteomyelitis. J. Bone Miner. Res. 17, 1680–1690. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.2002.17.9.1680
- Wright, K. M., and Friedland, J. S. (2004). Regulation of chemokine gene expression and secretion in *Staphylococcus aureus*-infected osteoblasts. *Microbes Infect.* 6, 844–852. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2004.04.008
- Young, A. B., Cooley, I. D., Chauhan, V. S., and Marriott, I. (2011). Causative agents of osteomyelitis induce death domain-containing TNFrelated apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor expression on osteoblasts. *Bone* 48, 857–863. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.11.015

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Josse, Guillaume, Bour, Lemaire, Mongaret, Draux, Velard and Gangloff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.