

## From Many Hosts, One Accidental Pathogen: The Diverse Protozoan Hosts of *Legionella*

David K. Boamah<sup>1</sup>, Guangqi Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Alexander W. Ensminger<sup>2, 3, 4\*</sup> and Tamara J. O'Connor<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, <sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, <sup>3</sup> Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, <sup>4</sup> Public Health Ontario, Toronto, ON, Canada

The 1976 outbreak of Legionnaires' disease led to the discovery of the intracellular bacterial pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Given their impact on human health, Legionella species and the mechanisms responsible for their replication within host cells are often studied in alveolar macrophages, the primary human cell type associated with disease. Despite the potential severity of individual cases of disease, Legionella are not spread from person-to-person. Thus, from the pathogen's perspective, interactions with human cells are accidents of time and space-evolutionary dead ends with no impact on Legionella's long-term survival or pathogenic trajectory. To understand Legionella as a pathogen is to understand its interaction with its natural hosts: the polyphyletic protozoa, a group of unicellular eukaryotes with a staggering amount of evolutionary diversity. While much remains to be understood about these enigmatic hosts, we summarize the current state of knowledge concerning Legionella's natural host range, the diversity of Legionella-protozoa interactions, the factors influencing these interactions, the importance of avoiding the generalization of protozoan-bacterial interactions based on a limited number of model hosts and the central role of protozoa to the biology, evolution, and persistence of Legionella in the environment.

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Hayley J. Newton, University of Melbourne, Australia

#### Reviewed by:

Ascel Samba-Louaka, University of Poitiers, France Ombeline Rossier, Université Paris-Sud, France

#### \*Correspondence:

Tamara J. O'Connor toconno7@jhmi.edu Alexander W. Ensminger alex.ensminger@utoronto.ca

Received: 11 September 2017 Accepted: 31 October 2017 Published: 30 November 2017

#### Citation:

Boamah DK, Zhou G, Ensminger AW and O'Connor TJ (2017) From Many Hosts, One Accidental Pathogen: The Diverse Protozoan Hosts of Legionella. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7:477. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00477 Keywords: Legionella, amoebae, protozoa, host range, environment, Acanthamoebae, Hartmannella, Naegleria

# PREDATOR VS. PREY: *Legionella* AND ITS NATURAL PROTOZOAN HOSTS

In the environment, bacteria are targets of predation by grazing protozoa (Hahn and Höfle, 2001; Molmeret et al., 2005). In response to predation, many bacteria have developed strategies to either avoid predation or survive, and in some cases, replicate within protozoa. As bacteria are destined to encounter a large number of protozoa species in nature, their fitness will be determined by the breadth and diversity of protozoa within which they are able to grow. Though many types of bacteria are able to replicate within protozoa (Greub and Raoult, 2004), this behavior is best characterized in the bacterial pathogen *Legionella*, in particular *Legionella pneumophila*, which will be the major focus of this review.

## L. pneumophila IN THE ENVIRONMENT

L. pneumophila is ubiquitous in nature (Fliermans, 1996; van Heijnsbergen et al., 2015). While various species of Legionella have been isolated from soil and marine environments, freshwater systems serve as the major reservoirs of L. pneumophila (Fliermans, 1996; van Heijnsbergen et al., 2015). L. pneumophila can exist in a planktonic form however, it is more often found within mixed community biofilms (Mampel et al., 2006). L. pneumophila intercalates into existing biofilms (Lau and Ashbolt, 2009; Stewart et al., 2012) where it acquires nutrients by forming synergistic relationships with other members of the biofilm (Tison et al., 1980; Pope et al., 1982; Bohach and Snyder, 1983; Wadowsky and Yee, 1983; Stout et al., 1986; Stewart et al., 2012; Koide et al., 2014). L. pneumophila is also capable of surviving in nutrient-poor conditions by necrotrophic growth on dead cell masses (Temmerman et al., 2006). Although, its interactions with other bacteria promote L. pneumophila survival in oligotrophic environments, intracellular growth within protozoa is likely the predominant mechanism of L. pneumophila proliferation in its natural habitat (Rowbotham, 1980).

### THE IMPACT OF NATURAL HOSTS ON Legionella PERSISTENCE IN THE ENVIRONMENT AND PATHOGENESIS

Protozoa function as natural reservoirs of L. pneumophila and promote disease in humans. The intracellular environment of the host cell protects L. pneumophila from harsh environmental conditions while providing a nutrient rich replicative niche (Greub and Raoult, 2004; Abdel-Nour et al., 2013). The ability of L. pneumophila to survive within amoebae also protects the bacteria from killing by water disinfection procedures (Plouffe et al., 1983; King et al., 1988; Kilvington and Price, 1990; Biurrun et al., 1999; Storey et al., 2004; Bouyer et al., 2007; García et al., 2008; Cervero-Aragó et al., 2014, 2015), a reciprocal relationship that also enhances survival of the host (García et al., 2007). As a consequence, L. pneumophila are commonly found in manmade potable water supply and distribution systems (Ikedo and Yabuuchi, 1986; Breiman et al., 1990; Yamamoto et al., 1992; Fields et al., 2002; Lasheras et al., 2006; Brousseau et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2014). Although, there is one reported case of probable human-to-human transmission of Legionella (Correia et al., 2016), the vast majority of evidence suggests a noncommunicable disease. Instead, human exposure predominantly occurs through the inhalation of contaminated water aerosols (Fields, 1996), which can lead to pneumonic respiratory disease. L. pneumophila passaged through amoebae are more virulent in animal models of infection compared to bacteria grown in broth culture (Cirillo et al., 1994, 1999; Barker et al., 1995; Brieland et al., 1996; Garduño et al., 2002). The earliest description of L. pneumophila's interaction with amoebae even proposed that an important route of human infection may be the inhalation of the pathogen in an amoebal-encapsulated state (Rowbotham, 1980). Thus, the interaction of L. pneumophila with protozoa is a critical determinant in both the persistence of *Legionella* in environmental and man-made reservoirs, and the incidence and severity of disease.

# THE BROAD HOST RANGE OF L. pneumophila

Many bacterial pathogens become highly specialized for growth in one or a small subset of hosts but few are able to grow in multiple hosts. Host jumping has been observed for some pathogens but often comes at a price, the inability to grow in the previous host (Ma et al., 2006). In contrast, L. pneumophila exhibits an extensive host range replicating within a diverse array of protozoan hosts that span multiple phyla, from Amoebozoa (amoebae) to Percolozoa (excavates) to Ciliophora (ciliated protozoa) (Rowbotham, 1980; Fields, 1996). The ability to maintain such a broad host range is due to the assembly of a large cohort of genes that allow L. pneumophila to adapt to variations between hosts (O'Connor et al., 2011). Moreover, the ability to continually evolve and alter the composition of its virulence gene repertoire allows L. pneumophila to adapt to shifts in protozoan populations in their natural habitats (O'Connor et al., 2011). Since the discovery that L. pneumophila can survive and replicate within free-living amoeba (Rowbotham, 1980), the relationship between L. pneumophila and its protozoa hosts has garnered significant attention, largely due to the important role of protozoa in the epidemiology of this pathogen. In this review, we expand on the early works of Rowbotham and Fields (Rowbotham, 1980, 1986; Fields, 1996) to summarize the current knowledge of the host range of L. pneumophila in environmental reservoirs and the factors that impact the outcome of Legionella-protozoa interactions.

## THE DIFFERENT FATES OF *L. pneumophila* WITHIN PROTOZOAN HOSTS

While L. pneumophila has an extensive host range, the fate of the bacterium once it enters the host cell can vary greatly. Several protozoa are able to efficiently deliver L. pneumophila to the lysosome for degradation, resulting in the death of the bacterium (Amaro et al., 2015). L. pneumophila predation by protozoa does not seem to be restricted to one particular group. While members of the Cercozoa phylum seem to be especially adept at digesting L. pneumophila (Amaro et al., 2015), distantly related members of the Amoebozoa phylum (Cashia limacoides, Vannella platypodia, and Vexillifera bacillipedes) are also efficient at killing L. pneumophila (Rowbotham, 1986). In contrast, many protozoa serve as hosts for L. pneumophila replication. In these cases, the Legionella-protozoa interaction is detrimental to the host: the bacteria multiply to high numbers and then kill the host as they exit the cell (Rowbotham, 1983). Alternatively, L. pneumophila can be toxic to the host in the absence of replication, a protist version of food-poisoning (Amaro et al., 2015). L. pneumophila within amoebae has been shown to inhibit both amoebae proliferation (Mengue et al., 2016) and chemotactic motility (Simon et al., 2014). The fates of the

two organisms are not solely defined by this "it's you or me" relationship, as a number of intermediate outcomes have been observed. In response to extreme stress, amoebae undergo encystation, transforming into a dormant, highly resistant cyst form. While encystation restricts bacterial replication (Rowbotham, 1986; Ohno et al., 2008), L. pneumophila is able to survive the encystation process until more favorable conditions arise (Kilvington and Price, 1990; Greub and Raoult, 2003). Similarly, for some Legionella-protozoa pairs, L. pneumophila is resistant to grazing by the protozoan and thus survives within the host cell but fails to replicate (Smith-Somerville et al., 1991). Alternatively, L. pneumophila can be packaged into multimembrane vesicles that are distinct from the replication vacuole and expelled into the extracellular environment (Rowbotham, 1983; Berk et al., 1998; Hojo et al., 2012; Amaro et al., 2015). The release of Legionella-containing pellets has been observed in both the ciliated protozoa Tetrahymena spp. (Faulkner et al., 2008; Hojo et al., 2012) and the amoebal hosts Acanthamoeba castellanii and Acanthamoeba astronyxis (Bouyer et al., 2007; Amaro et al., 2015), and does not appear to coincide with bacterial replication. Whether this process is driven by the bacterium or the host is still unclear. The pellet compartment can protect L. pneumophila from environmental stress (Bouyer et al., 2007; Koubar et al., 2011) which would be beneficial during its transition between host cells and thus a potential mechanism to ensure its survival. Consistent with this idea, a functional Type IVb secretion system, a major L. pneumophila virulence factor required for lysosome avoidance and intracellular replication, appears to be important for the release of L. pneumophila in pellets (Berk et al., 2008). Alternatively, the inability to digest the bacteria may simply trigger a host response that involves bacterial expulsion, as a similar phenomenon is observed with non-pathogenic Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, and Mycobacterium luteus (Hojo et al., 2012; Denoncourt et al., 2014). Whether L. pneumophila resists predation or is expelled in pellets, the host is considered to be only partially restrictive due to the survival of L. pneumophila and its potential to transition to other host cells. Indeed, one might speculate that such intermediate host-bacterial interactions (resistance to protozoan predation in the absence of replication) might resemble the first evolutionary step toward becoming an intracellular pathogen.

#### METHODS FOR DEFINING PROTOZOAN HOSTS OF Legionella

Protozoan hosts of *Legionella* are defined by two main techniques: co-culture and co-isolation. When combined with microscopy, co-culture techniques allow for the direct visualization of *Legionella* within host cells, and by analyzing infected cells over time, bacterial replication within a particular host provides direct experimental evidence of *Legionella* survival and replication. When combined with plating assays to monitor bacterial numbers, co-culture methods allow bacterial growth rates, maximum growth and the impact of bacterial dose and various external conditions on the interaction to be analyzed.

However, while Legionella may be able to replicate in a given host under specific laboratory conditions, the experimental system may not reflect conditions encountered in the environment and thus, biologically relevant interactions that commonly occur in nature. Co-isolation studies attempt to address this issue by examining the co-existence of protozoa and Legionella in environmental samples. In rare cases, protozoa harboring Legionella have been isolated from environmental samples providing direct evidence of their interaction in the environment (Thomas et al., 2006; Hsu et al., 2011; Kao et al., 2013). More commonly, Legionella are identified by 16S sequencing of DNA extracts from bacteria isolated by Legionella-selective culture methods on bacteriological medium (Salloum et al., 2002; Sheehan et al., 2005) or enrichment through co-culture of environmental samples with amoebae (Pagnier et al., 2008). Protozoa may be identified microscopically by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) or the morphological appearance of trophozoites (Jacquier et al., 2013; Muchesa et al., 2014), or by 18S sequencing of DNA extracts following an amoebal enrichment step in which individual isolates are cultured on lawns of bacteria permissive to amoebal grazing (Greub and Raoult, 2004; Delafont et al., 2013; Muchesa et al., 2014). Thus, while most co-isolation studies do not provide direct evidence of Legionella growth within the protozoa identified, they can be used to predict environmentally relevant interactions, to substantiate experimental findings from co-culture techniques and are likely to implicate new protozoan species as potential hosts of Legionella.

### EXPERIMENTALLY DEFINED PROTOZOAN HOSTS OF *L. pneumophila*

The initial discovery that L. pneumophila is capable of surviving and replicating in protozoa fostered a number of independent investigations to examine the host range of this bacterium (Table 1). Co-culture methods in combination with various microscopy techniques demonstrated growth of L. pneumophila in diverse protozoan hosts encompassing several species of Acanthamoeba (A. castellanii, Acanthamoeba polyphaga, and Acanthamoeba palestinensis), Hartmannella (Vermamoeba vermiformis, formerly Hartmannella vermiformis and Hartmannella cantabridiensis) and Naegleria (Naegleria gruberi, Naegleria lovaniensis, and Naegleria jadini) as well as Tetrahymena pyrofomis, Echinamoeba exudans, and Tetramitus jugosus (formerly Vahlkampfia jugosus) (Rowbotham, 1980, 1986; Tyndall and Domingue, 1982; Anand et al., 1983; Barbaree et al., 1986). While the list of hosts was dominated by three particular genera (Acanthamoeba, Hartmannella, and Naegleria), collectively it represented three different phyla Amoebozoa, Ciliophora, and Percolozoa and amongst them, four distantly related classes of protozoa, Discosea (Acanthamoebae), Tubulinea (Echinamoeba and Hartmannella), Heterolobosea (Naegleria and Tetramitus), and Oligohymenophorea (Tetrahymena) (Figure 1).

Subsequent studies to investigate *L. pneumophila* pathogenesis have progressively expanded the list of protozoan hosts of this

Acanthamoeba spp.		<i>L. pneumophila</i> serogroup (Sg): strain	rate of L. preumopnia		
	AMI137, AMI116, AMI073, AMI191, Hi imidifiar strain	Sg1: Lens	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Phase-contrast microscopy	Rowbotham, 1980; Dupuy et al., 2016
		Sg2: Togus-1 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg5: Cambridge-2			
Acanthamoeba sp. 155		Sg1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Epifluorescence microscopy	Cervero-Aragó et al., 2014, 2015
Acanthamoeba astronyxis	Isolate C37C6	Sg1: Philadelphia-1	Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	Electron microscopy	Marciano-Cabral and Cabral, 2003; Amaro et al., 2015
Acanthamoeba castellanii	ATCC® 30234 <sup>TM</sup> , CCAP 1534/2, L1501/2A, L501/2A, Neff	Sg1: JR32, Lens, Paris, Philadelphia-1, Philadelphia-2, Pontiac-1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Rowbotham, 1980; Holden et al., 1984; Moffat and Tompkins, 1992; Hilbi et al., 2001; Bouyer et al., 2007; Tyson et al., 2013; Mengue
		Sg2: Togus-1 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg4: Los Angeles			et al., 2016
	Neff	sgs: Uxrord-1 Sg5: Dallas 1E	Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	Electron microscopy	Berk et al., 1998
Acanthamoeba lenticulata	PD2	Sg1: AX71, Philadelphia-1, SC94, SC97 Sg2: AX2 Sg3: AX52, AX54, AX82	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting	Molmeret et al., 2001
Acanthamoeba palestinensis		Sg1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy, Epifluorescence microscopy, Phase contrast microscopy	Anand et al., 1983; Harf et al., 1997
Acanthamoeba polyphaga	Ap-1, L1501/3A, Puschkarew	Sg1: AA100, Corby, Nottingham-8, Leeds 1A SAP, Leeds-4, Lp02, Philadelphia-2, Pontiac-1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy, Phase-contrast microscopy	Rowbotham, 1980, 1986; Kilwngton and Price, 1990; Gao et al., 1997; Buse and Ashbolt,
		Sg2: Oxford-2, Togus-1 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg4: Los Angeles-1 Sg5: Cambridge-2 Sg6 Sg7: Dallas-5, Chicago-8 Sg8: York-1, Concord-3			- - 
	Puschkarew	Sg5: Dallas 1E	Intracellular Survival, Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Berk et al., 1998; Buse and Ashbolt, 2011

Protozoan species	Protozoan strain	<i>L. pneumophila</i> serogroup (Sg): strain	Fate of L. pneumophila	Experimental evidence	References
Acanthamoeba royreba		Sg4: Los Angeles	Intracellular multiplication	Bacteria cell count, Epifluorescence microscopy	Tyndall and Domingue, 1982
Balamuthia mandrillaris	CDC-V039	Sg1: JR32, 130b	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Phase-contrast microscopy	Shadrach et al., 2005
Ciliophrya sp.		Sg1: Corby	Intracellular survival	Epifluorescence microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Dictyostelium discoideum	AX2, AX2-214, AX3	Sg1: Benidorm 030E, Corby, Philadelphia-1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Hägele et al., 2000; Solomon et al., 2000
Echinamoeba exudans	SH274	Sg1: RI-243	Intracellular multiplication	Electron microscopy	Fields et al., 1989
Hartmannella cantabrigiensis		Sg2: PR-1 Sg5: Leeds-10 Sg7: Chicago-8, Dallas-5 Sg8: York-1	Intracellular multiplication	Electron microscopy	Rowbotham, 1986
Naegleria spp.	AMI242, AMI117, AMI135, AMI161	Sg1: Lens	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting	Dupuy et al., 2016
Naegleria fowleri	ee	Sg1: Lp02	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microsconv	Newsome et al., 1985; Buse and Ashholt 2011
		Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg6: Chicago-2 Sg5: Dallas 1E	Intracellular survival	CFU counting	Buse and Ashbolt, 2011
Naegleria gruberi	1518/1E	Sg2: Togus-1 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg5: Cambridge-2	Intracellular multiplication	Phase-contrast microscopy	Rowbotham, 1980
Naegleria jadini	B1518/2	Sg2: Togus-1 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg5: Cambridge-2	Intracellular multiplication	Phase-contrast microscopy	Rowbotham, 1980
Naegleria Iovaniensis	TS	Sg1: Philadelphia-1, 130b So4: Los Angeles	Intracellular multiplication	Confocal microscopy, CFU counting, Bacteria cell count, Epifluorescence microscopy	Tyndall and Domingue, 1982; Declerck et al., 2005; Tyson et al., 2013, 2014
Oxytricha bifaria		Sg1: Corby	Intracellular survival	Epifluorescence microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Paramecium caudatum	RB-1	Sg1: Philadelphia-1	Intracellular multiplication	Fluorescence microscopy	Watanabe et al., 2016
Stylonychia mytilus		Sg1: Corby	Intracellular survival	Epifluorescence microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016

5

Tetrahvmena sp.		<i>L. pneumophila</i> serogroup (Sg): strain	Fate of L. pneumophila	Experimental evidence	References
		Sg1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Epifluorescence	Barbaree et al., 1986; Berk et al., 2008
		Sg1: Lp02	Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	Electron microscopy, Fluorescence microscopy	Berk et al., 2008
Tetrahymena pynformis	No. 500	Sg1: Philadelphia-1, 130b Sg3: SC-6-C3	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Fields et al., 1984, 1986; Cianciotto and Fields, 1992
Tetrahymena thermophila	Mating type IV	Sg1: Philadelphia-1	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Light microscopy Electron microscopy	Kikuhara et al., 1994
		Sg1: Philadelphia-2	Intracellular survival	CFU counting, Light microscopy Electron microscopy	Kikuhara et al., 1994
	Inbred strain B, SB021	Sg1: JR32	Intracellular multiplication	Electron microscopy; Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	Hojo et al., 2012
Tetrahymena tropicalis		Sg1: Lens, Philadelphia-1	Live cells are packaged in expelled pellets	Electron microscopy	Faulkner et al., 2008; Koubar et al., 2011
Tetrahymena vorax	V2S	Sg1: Philadelphia-1	Intracellular survival	Electron microscopy, Fluorescence microscopy	Smith-Somerville et al., 1991
Tetramitus jugosus <sup>b</sup> (Vahlkampfia jugosa)		Sg1: Leads 4	Intracellular multiplication	Electron microscopy	Rowbotham, 1986
Vermamoeba vermiformis <sup>a</sup> (Hartmannella vermiformis)	ATCC <sup>®</sup> 50256 <sup>TM</sup> , CDC-19	Sg1: AA100, Lens, 130b Philadelphia-1, RI-243	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Rowbotham, 1986; King et al., 1991; Wadowsky et al., 1995; Abu
		Sg5: E-52, E-62 Sg6: E-66, E-67 Sg1: Lp02 Sg3: Bloomington-2 Sg5: Dallas 1E Sg6: Chicago-2, Sg7: Dallas-5, PR-3	Intracellular survival	CFU counting	Kwaik, 1996; buse and Asnbolt, 2011; Tyson et al., 2013; Dupuy et al., 2016 Buse and Ashbolt, 2011
Willaertia magna	c2c Maky, T5[S]44, Z503	Sg1: Lens, Paris, Philadelphia-1, 130b	Intracellular multiplication	CFU counting, Electron microscopy	Dey et al., 2009; Tyson et al., 2014



outlined in Ruggiero et al. (2015).

bacterium (**Table 1** and **Figure 1**), including additional species of *Acanthamoeba (Acanthamoeba lenticulata* and *Acanthamoeba royreba)* and *Naegleria (Naegleria fowleri)* as well as more distantly related genera from their respective phyla such as *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Hägele et al., 2000; Solomon et al., 2000) and *Balamuthia mandrillaris* (Amoebozoa) (Shadrach et al., 2005) and *Willertia magna* (Percolozoa) (Dey et al., 2009; Tyson et al., 2014). Similarly, a number of additional ciliated protozoa were identified that were permissive for *L. pneumophila*  survival, including *Tetrahymena* spp. (*Tetrahymena tropicalis* and *Tetrahymena vorax*), Oxytricha bifaria, Stylonychia mytilus, Paramecium caudatum and a member of the Ciliophrya genus, and in one case *L. pneumophila* replication (*Tetrahymena thermophila*), greatly expanding representation from this group (Kikuhara et al., 1994; Rasch et al., 2016; Watanabe et al., 2016). The beneficial interaction of *L. pneumophila* with these organisms appears to be specific as members from each of the representative phyla were also identified that were highly

restrictive to *L. pneumophila* survival (Figure 1): *T. vorax* (Ciliophora), *A. astronyxis*, and *Cashia limocoides* (Amoebozoa) and *Solumitrus palustris* (Percolozoa) (Rowbotham, 1986; Smith-Somerville et al., 1991; Amaro et al., 2015). In addition, *L. pneumophila* was unable to grow in *V. platypodia* and *V. bacillipedes* (Rowbotham, 1986), which form a distantly related clade of the Amoebozoa phyla (Figure 1). Similarly, of the members of the Cercozoa phylum examined so far, *Cercomonas* sp., *Euglypha* sp., and *Paracercomonas* sp., all three are restrictive for *L. pneumophila* growth (Amaro et al., 2015; Rasch et al., 2016), suggesting that distinct orders and families within this class may be more restrictive than others. Thus, while the host range of *L. pneumophila* is vast, it does appear to have its limitations.

## SUGGESTED ENVIRONMENTAL HOSTS OF L. pneumophila

Protozoa in both natural and man-made environments can alter the composition of microbial communities by eliminating bacteria through predation or augmenting populations of bacteria that are capable of replicating within these organisms (Yamamoto et al., 1992). Co-isolation techniques have been used to describe the composition of these communities within natural fresh water systems such as hot springs, thermal spas, lakes, ponds, streams, and anthropogenic reservoirs, such as cooling towers, industrial and private water networks and compost facilities. L. pneumophila is capable of surviving an array of physical conditions including temperatures ranging from 6 to 63°C (Fliermans et al., 1981). Thermal springs have been of particular interest as they boast characteristically high water temperatures, providing optimal conditions for L. pneumophila growth (Hsu et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2014; Rasch et al., 2016). Artificial aquatic reservoirs are of considerable epidemiological significance and typically support higher numbers of bacteria compared to natural water systems (Yamamoto et al., 1992), likely due to higher average water temperatures (Ikedo and Yabuuchi, 1986; Fields et al., 2002; Lasheras et al., 2006). The results of these population level analyses have validated many of the coculture defined hosts of L. pneumophila while identifying several additional potential hosts (Table 2).

There is tremendous concordance between co-cultureconfirmed *Legionella*-protozoa interactions and the results of coisolation studies (**Tables 1**, **2**). With the exception of *Balamuthia* and *Dictyostelium*, all protozoan genera shown to support intracellular growth in laboratory co-culture studies reside with *L. pneumophila* in the environment (**Table 2**). While this is not surprising for *Acanthamoeba*, *Hartmannella*, and *Naegleria*, as these are some of the most abundant protozoa in nature, in many cases co-isolation studies identified the same species of these genera. In particular, three of the protozoa identified, *A. palestinensis*, *N. lovaniensis*, and *V. vermiformis* that had been shown to support *L. pneumophila* replication in co-culture experiments (Anand et al., 1983; Rowbotham, 1986; Declerck et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2006) were isolated from water samples harboring *L. pneumophila* (Kao et al., 2013). Similarly, amoebal enrichment assays resulted in the isolation of *Acanthamoeba jacobsi* harboring *L. pneumophila* directly from a thermal spring water sample (Hsu et al., 2011). These results identify *A. jacobsi* as a new host of *L. pneumophila* and provide direct evidence of an interaction between *L. pneumophila* and these four protozoan hosts in the environment. The lack of co-isolation of *L. pneumophila* with either *Balamuthia* or *Dictyostelium* species is likely because these protozoa are typically found in soil and the majority of samples analyzed were isolated from aquatic environments (Dunnebacke et al., 2004; Vadell and Cavender, 2007). The high degree of correlation between the co-culture and co-isolation studies supports the role of these organisms as natural hosts of *L. pneumophila* in environmental reservoirs.

Co-isolation studies predict a number of additional phyla and classes of protozoa may support L. pneumophila survival or growth (Table 2). In addition to the Amoebozoa, Ciliophora, and Percolozoa phyla, protozoa from Apusozoa (Diphylleia rotans), Cercozoa (Euglypha sp.), Euglenozoa (Bodonidae sp.), and Opsithokonta (Rhinosporidium sp.) were identified. Two additional classes of protozoa from previously identified phyla are also represented, Variosea (Flamella balnearia) and Oligohymenophorea with representatives encompassing four different families spanning three orders within this group. For those classes of protozoa already identified as hosts by co-culture experiments, three additional orders, Thecamoebida (Stenamoeba limacina), Arcellinida (Centropyxis sp.), and Sporadotricina (Aspidiscidae family) and five genera (Comandonia operculata, C. limacoides, Paravahlkampfia Learamoeba waccamawenis, and Singhamoeba ustiana, horticola) were identified. Finally, of the known hosts of L. pneumophila from co-culture experiments, additional species of Acanthamoeba (A. jacobsi), Naegleria (Naegleria pagei and Naegleria australiensis), Tetramitus (Tetramius enterica), and Vahlkampfia (Valkampfia avara) were also isolated. Combined, co-isolation and co-culture experiments represent 7 of the 8 phyla of the protozoa kingdom, 12 of the 41 classes within these phyla and 21 of the 82 defined orders, demonstrating the tremendous diversity amongst L. pneumophila hosts.

Protozoa more commonly found associated with L. pneumophila in environmental reservoirs may indicate that they are more likely to be true hosts of the bacterium. While the Acanthamoeba spp., Naegleria spp., Vahlkampfia spp., and Hartmannella spp. (including Vermamoeba vermifomis) are commonly found in multiple sources (Table 2), particular protozoa appear to co-reside with L. pneumophila in more than one environmental sample (Table 2). A. hatchetti, A. polyphaga, H. cantabrigensis, N. fowleri, N. lovaniensis, Neoparamoeabe sp., and Willertia sp. have been isolated from both natural and man-made water sources (Table 2), suggesting that these protozoa may function as hosts of *L. pneumophila* in both natural reservoirs and potable water. Both E. exudans and Echinamoeba thermarum have been identified in more than one potable water sample (Table 2), suggesting these amoebae may play more prominent roles in the epidemiology of L. pneumophila. A higher incidence of specific protozoa with L. pneumophila may indicate a stronger likelihood that these protozoa are responsible

#### TABLE 2 | Suggested protozoan hosts of L. pneumophila.

Protozoa	Environment source	Identification method used	References
Acanthamoebidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Acanthamoeba spp.	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Kurtz et al., 1982
	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007 Marciano-Cabral et al., 2010 Valster et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2014
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rohr et al., 1998; Steinert et al., 1998
	Industrial water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy; Sequence analysis	Scheikl et al., 2014
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007; Hsu et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2014
Acanthamoeba castellanii	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
Acanthamoeba hatchetti	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
	Hospital water network	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Breiman et al., 1990
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Hsu et al., 2015
Acanthamoeba jacobsi	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Hsu et al., 2011
Acanthamoeba lenticulata	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
Acanthamoeba palestinensis	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Kao et al., 2013
Acanthamoeba polyphaga	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
	Cooling towers	Not specified	Rowbotham, 1986
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Hsu et al., 2009
Amoebidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Aspidiscidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Bodonidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Cashia limacoides	Cooling towers	Not specified	Rowbotham, 1986
Centropyxis sp.	Natural water systems	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
<i>Ciliophrya</i> sp.	Natural water systems	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Colpodidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Comandonia operculata	Hospital water network	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Breiman et al., 1990
Cyclidium spp.	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Barbaree et al., 1986
Diphylleia rotans	Sewage treatment systems	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2010
Echinamoeba spp.	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rohr et al., 1998
Echinamoeba exudans	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2011
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Fields et al., 1989
Echinamoeba thermarum	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2011
	Cooling towers	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2010
<i>Euglypha</i> sp.	Natural water systems	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Filamoeba nolandi	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Breiman et al., 1990
Flamella balnearia	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013

(Continued)

9

#### TABLE 2 | Continued

Protozoa	Environment source	Identification method used	References
Hartmannellidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Hartmannella spp.	Cooling towers	Sequence analysis Identified morphologically via microscopy	Declerck et al., 2007 Kurtz et al., 1982
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Fields et al., 1989; Breiman et al., 1990; Nahapetian et al., 1991
	Natural water systems	FISH; Identified morphologically via microscopy	Zbikowska et al., 2014
		Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007
Hartmannella cantabrigiensis	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rowbotham, 1986; Fields et al., 1989
Learamoeba waccamawenis	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
<i>Mayorella</i> spp.	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Steinert et al., 1998
Naegleria spp.	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Barbaree et al., 1986
		Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007
	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis	Marciano-Cabral et al., 2010 Ji et al., 2014
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Nahapetian et al., 1991; Roh et al., 1998
	Industrial water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Scheikl et al., 2014
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007; Hsu et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2014
		FISH; Identified morphologically via microscopy	Zbikowska et al., 2014
Naegleria australiensis	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Huang and Hsu, 2010
Naegleria fowleri	Thermal saline bath	FISH; Identified morphologically via microscopy	Zbikowska et al., 2013
	Natural water systems	FISH; Identified morphologically via microscopy	Zbikowska et al., 2014
Naegleria gruberi	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Hsu et al., 2015
Naegleria lovaniensis	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Huang and Hsu, 2010; Kao et al., 2013
Naegleria pagei	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Huang and Hsu, 2010
Neoparamoeba spp.	Drinking water systems Natural water systems	Sequence analysis Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2011 Valster et al., 2010
Oxytricha bifaria	Natural water systems	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Paravahlkampfia ustiana <sup>a</sup> (Vahlkampfia ustiana)	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Breiman et al., 1990
Pleuronematidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Rhinosporidium sp.	Tap water system	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2010
Saccamoeba spp.	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rohr et al., 1998
Singhamoeba horticola	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 | Continued

Protozoa	Environment source	Identification method used	References
Stenamoeba limacina	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2014
Stylonychia mytilus	Natural water systems	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rasch et al., 2016
Tetrahymenidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Tetrahymena spp.	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Barbaree et al., 1986
Tetramitus spp.	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
Tetramitus enterica <sup>b</sup> (Vahlkampfia enterica)	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013
Vahlkampfia spp.	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2014
	Cooling towers	Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007
	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis	Marciano-Cabral et al., 2010
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Breiman et al., 1990; Rohr et al., 1998; Steinert et al., 1998
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007; Hsu et al., 2011
Vahlkampfia avara	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
<i>Vannella</i> spp.	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rohr et al., 1998
Vannella platypodia	Cooling towers	Not specified	Rowbotham, 1986
Vermamoeba vermiformis <sup>c</sup>	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013, 2014
(Hartmannella vermiformis)	Drinking water systems	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2011; Ji et al., 2014
	Hospital water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Rowbotham, 1986; Fields et al., 1989; Breiman et al., 1990; Rohr et al., 1998
		Sequence analysis	Thomas et al., 2006
	Industrial water networks	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Scheikl et al., 2014
	Natural water systems	Sequence analysis	Hsu et al., 2011, 2015; Ji et al., 2014
		Sequence analysis	Kao et al., 2013
		Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2010
	Tap water systems	Sequence analysis	Valster et al., 2010
Vexillifera bacillipedes	Cooling towers	Not specified	Rowbotham, 1986
Vorticellidae	Cooling towers	Identified morphologically via microscopy	Yamamoto et al., 1992
Willaertia spp.	Cooling towers Natural water systems	Sequence analysis Sequence analysis	Declerck et al., 2007 Declerck et al., 2007
Willaertia magna	Compost facilities	Sequence analysis	Conza et al., 2013

<sup>a</sup>Vahlkampfia ustiana has been renamed Paravahlkampfia ustiana.

<sup>b</sup>Vahlkampfia enterica has been renamed Tetramitus enterica.

<sup>c</sup>Hartmannella vermiformis has been renamed Vermamoeba vermiformis (Smirnov et al., 2011).

for the persistence of *L. pneumophila* in environmental reservoirs.

Not all protozoa species isolated from the same environmental source are hosts of *L. pneumophila*. Of several species of free-living amoeba collected from a cooling tower, only *A. polyphaga* supported intracellular growth of *L. pneumophila* 

whereas *L. pneumophila* failed to replicate within *C. limacoides*, *V. platypodia*, and *V. bacillipedes* (Rowbotham, 1986). Similarly, of several ciliated protozoa species in biofilm samples isolated from a thermal spa, *L. pneumophila* was able to infect *Ciliophrya* sp., *O. bifaria*, and *S. mytilus*, but no intracellular bacteria were detected within *Euglypha* sp. or *Centropyxis* sp. (Rasch et al.,

2016). Thus, *L. pneumophila* is able to persist in environments comprised of both *L. pneumophila*-restrictive and permissive protozoan hosts. The relative abundancy of *L. pneumophila* in different environmental niches may reflect mixed populations of these two types of protozoa. Alternatively, in some circumstances *L. pneumophila* may deplete entire populations of permissive hosts, enriching for resistant species of protozoa that remain. Thus, the absence of certain types of protozoa may not necessarily rule them out as contributors to *L. pneumophila* growth and persistence in the environment.

The distribution of protozoa between the types of water sources examined (natural water reservoirs, cooling towers, potable water distribution system, and compost sites; Table 2) was relatively uniform with a few notable exceptions. Amoebozoa and Percolozoa, making up the majority of the protozoa identified, were found in all water sources. Amoebozoa were more predominant in cooling towers and potable water systems. The lower abundance of Percolozoa in cooling towers coincided with a higher abundance of Ciliophora (ciliated protozoa) whereas in potable water, an enrichment in organisms from the Tubulinea class of Amoebozoa, in particular Echinamoeba was observed. In contrast, fewer members of the Discosea class were reported and in particular, no members of the Centramoebida order despite their presence in all other sites. The perseverance of L. pneumophila within various water environments despite variation in the protozoa composition demonstrates the highly adaptive nature of this bacterium to fluctuations in host population dynamics.

## METAGENOMICS

Although co-isolation studies provide valuable insights into the microbial communities that support L. pneumophila, these methods cannot adequately define the full diversity of these communities (Kunin et al., 2008). While enrichment steps are often necessary to identify low abundance organisms, they create experimental bottlenecks and biases by selecting against protozoa that cannot be cultured using standard protocols (Hugenholtz and Tyson, 2008; Gomez-Alvarez et al., 2012) and Legionella isolates with host specificities that do not overlap with amoebal species commonly used in these techniques (Evstigneeva et al., 2009). Metagenome-based analyses may circumvent the limitations inherent to culture-based approaches and provide a more comprehensive, unbiased profile of these communities (Hugenholtz and Tyson, 2008; Gomez-Alvarez et al., 2009). For example, metagenomic studies of samples from three separate watersheds showed both a high level of diversity in the population of Legionella (encompassing 15 different species) and a correlation between the levels of Amoebozoa present in the water and the abundance of Legionella isolates (Peabody et al., 2017). Monitoring the abundance of Legionella, Hartmannella, and Naegleria from two environmental water sources over the course of a standard water purification procedure suggested a correlation between the abundance of Legionella and Naegleria, but not Hartmannella (Lin et al., 2014). In general however, metagenomics studies have been somewhat difficult to interpret. Often individual sites are dominated by one or a few amoebal species and the relative abundance of *L. pneumophila* is extremely low compared to other bacteria (Liu et al., 2012; Delafont et al., 2013): these features make it difficult to correlate the presence of *L. pneumophila* with specific protozoa. As the sensitivity and depth of metagenomics analysis improves, metagenomics will most certainly be a source of tremendous insight into the full repertoire of protozoan hosts of *L. pneumophila*.

### FACTORS AFFECTING THE OUTCOME OF Legionella-PROTOZOA INTERACTIONS

The outcome of the interaction between *L. pneumophila* and protozoa can be influenced by a number of factors; the identity of the host cell, variations in the predatory behavior or feeding preferences of the host, the strain or species of the bacterium, the relative abundance of the two organisms, the external environment, and other microorganisms.

The identity of the host cell can greatly impact the outcome of the infection. While some hosts are permissive for L. pneumophila replication, others are restrictive, either impeding bacterial growth or in extreme cases, survival (Amaro et al., 2015). The maximum amount and rate of L. pneumophila growth between hosts can vary significantly (Declerck et al., 2005). For example, L. pneumophila can achieve up to 10,000-fold growth in A. castellanii but only 10-fold growth in N. lovaniensis over the same time period (Declerck et al., 2005). Similarly, L. pneumophila strain Paris grows robustly in A. castellanii and V. vermiformis but is defective for growth in W. magna (Dey et al., 2009). Moreover, the differential growth of L. pneumophila Paris varies between different strains of W. magna, with robust growth in strain T5[S]44 (Tyson et al., 2014) but failure to grow in strains c2c Maky or Z502 (Dey et al., 2009). Thus, some hosts are more optimal than others for *L. pneumophila* survival and replication.

The predatory behavior and feeding preferences of the host can also influence Legionella-protozoa interactions. For example, the L. pneumophila auto-inducer LAI-1 disrupts chemotactic migration of D. discoideum (Simon et al., 2015) and promotes L. pneumophila uptake in both D. discoideum and A. castellanii (Tiaden et al., 2010). By restricting amoebal movement, L. pneumophila may localize feeding to the site of the bacteria-such modulation may also enrich for specific types of amoebae that support L. pneumophila replication. The LAI-1 biosynthesis genes are not conserved in all Legionella species (Burstein et al., 2016) suggesting that individual species may differentially promote their interaction with amoebae or do so via different mechanisms. Consistent with this idea, the host cell receptors that mediate L. pneumophila adhesion to V. vermiformis, A. castellanii, A. polyphaga, and N. lovaniensis and the underlying mechanisms governing bacterial uptake vary between these amoebal hosts (Venkataraman et al., 1997; Harb et al., 1998; Declerck et al., 2005, 2007). As a consequence, bacterial uptake can vary between protozoa. Indeed, A. castellanii has been shown to ingest L. pneumophila with much greater efficiency than N. lovaniensis (Declerck et al., 2005). Variations in sensing, targeting, adhesion and phagocytosis of bacteria can influence the affinity, specificity, frequency and duration with which *L. pneumophila* interacts with specific protozoa and thus, the impact of their cohabitation on the persistence of *L. pneumophila* in environmental reservoirs.

The genetic composition of the bacterium can greatly impact its fate within the host cell, as the survival and replication of different strains and species of Legionella can vary dramatically. Despite the growth defect of L. pneumophila Paris in Willertia magna, both the L. pneumophila Philadelphia-1, Lens and 130b strains are able to replicate in this amoebal host (Dey et al., 2009; Tyson et al., 2014). Similarly, comparisons between clinical and environmental isolates of L. pneumophila showed that while one clinical isolate was highly adept at growing in A. lenticulata another was severely defective and the relative amounts of replication of the environmental isolates in this host were somewhere in between (Molmeret et al., 2001). Similar differences are observed between species of Legionella. While L. pneumophila, Legionella steelei, Legionella dumoffii, and Legionella norrlandica are able to grow within A. castellanii, several other species including Legionella longbeachae, Legionella jordanis, and Legionella anisa are unable to do so (Neumeister et al., 1997; Edelstein et al., 2012; Rizzardi et al., 2014). Thus, the fate of both the bacterium and the host cell is greatly determined by the inherent properties of each organism.

The outcome of a *Legionella*-protozoa interaction is not only influenced by their respective identities but the relative abundance of each organism. For instance, when *L. pneumophila* is present at low levels they are digested for nutrients by *Tetrahymena* sp. but when the bacteria reach a threshold concentration, they are packaged into vesicles and secreted in pellets (Berk et al., 2008; Hojo et al., 2012). The greater the number of bacteria present, the greater the production and secretion of these bacterial pellets. Similar packaging and secretion of other types of bacteria (Denoncourt et al., 2014) suggests this may be a mechanism by which protozoa compensate for over-eating, or stock-pile food (Hojo et al., 2012).

The external environment can have a profound effect on Legionella-protozoa interactions. For example, temperature can greatly impact the intracellular fate of L. pneumophila. Although, intracellular replication of L. pneumophila in A. castellanii occurs at a range of temperatures (Rowbotham, 1981), intracellular growth is significantly reduced at lower temperatures (Ohno et al., 2008). Within more restrictive hosts, such as A. polyphaga, intracellular replication only occurs at higher temperatures whereas below 25°C, L. pneumophila is readily consumed (Nagington and Smith, 1980). In contrast, in Tetrahymena spp. L. pneumophila exhibits robust intracellular growth at 35°C (Fields et al., 1984; Barbaree et al., 1986; Kikuhara et al., 1994) but at lower temperatures, L. pneumophila is packaged into vesicles and secreted into the environment (Faulkner et al., 2008; Koubar et al., 2011). The factors affecting intracellular growth of L. pneumophila are not mutually exclusive, as different combinations of the strain of L. pneumophila, the host cell type and temperature can significantly alter intracellular growth of the bacterium (Buse and Ashbolt, 2011).

Much of the research examining Legionella-protozoa interactions has focused on specific bacterial-host pairings, which cannot address the impact of other organisms on these interactions. L. pneumophila naturally inhabits complex microbial communities, which could have both positive and negative impacts on L. pneumophila survival and population dynamics. For example, A. castellanii harboring the endosymbiont Neochlamydia S13 are unable to support L. pneumophila replication despite efficient uptake and lack of degradation in the lysosome (Ishida et al., 2014). The impact of Neochlamydia S13 on L. pneumophila replication is specific because L. pneumophila is able to replicate in A. castellanii infected with the endosymbiont Protochlamydia R18. Moreover, curing A. castellanii of Neochlamyida S13 restores intracellular growth of L. pneumophila, suggesting that the presence of the endosymbiont renders A. castellani resistant to L. pneumophila pathogenesis. In contrast, L. pneumophila has been shown to promote the intracellular growth of Brucella neotomae when the two pathogens share the same vacuole (Kang and Kirby, 2017). While sharing resources does not appear to affect L. pneumophila, it is conceivable that L. pneumophila may similarly benefit from the activities of other bacteria when it finds itself in more restrictive protozoan hosts.

## **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

A critical challenge in understanding the molecular mechanisms of L. pneumophila pathogenesis, evolution and environmental persistence is the staggering diversity of the protozoan hosts that support L. pneumophila replication. Indeed, such diversity is thought to be responsible for shaping L. pneumophila into a generalist pathogen with a broad host range-a feature clearly important for pathogenesis in humans. Rather than having a single, defined "natural host," L. pneumophila wanders from host to host and is constantly shaped by these disparate interactions. Such a lifestyle is a challenge for researchers studying these bacteria: (1) many protozoa remain poorly characterized, difficult to culture, and/or unsequenced; (2) the shear diversity of protozoa and complexity of natural interactions makes experimental analysis of phenotypes under "physiologically relevant" conditions extremely daunting (which hosts should be used and under what chemical and physical conditions should the interaction be studied?); and (3) how can non-binary interactions with mixed bacterial and host populations be examined in a reproducible and informative fashion? Given the importance of protozoa to L. pneumophila biology (and pathogen evolution in general), we strongly advocate efforts for the sequencing and detailed study of these organisms. While it is enticing to retreat to the comfort of studying Legionella-host interactions in mammalian macrophages and perhaps one or two model protozoa, an exciting, informative, frustrating, and messy reality remains largely unexplored. Perhaps once the diversity of bacterial-protozoan behaviors is better understood, a panel of model hosts could be chosen not based on ease of culture, but instead to capture the greatest breadth of this diversity.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

TO, DB, AE, and GZ wrote the manuscript. GZ and AE generated the phylogenetic tree.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Nour, M., Duncan, C., Low, D. E., and Guyard, C. (2013). Biofilms: the Stronghold of Legionella pneumophila. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14, 21660–21675. doi: 10.3390/ijms141121660
- Abu Kwaik, Y. (1996). The phagosome containing *Legionella pneumophila* within the protozoan *Hartmannella vermiformis* is surrounded by the rough endoplasmic reticulum. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 2022–2028.
- Amaro, F., Wang, W., Gilbert, J. A., Anderson, O. R., and Shuman, H. A. (2015). Diverse protist grazers select for virulence-related traits in *Legionella*. *ISME J.* 9, 1607–1618. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.248
- Anand, C. M., Skinner, A. R., Malic, A., and Kurtz, J. B. (1983). Interaction of L. pneumophilia and a free living amoeba (Acanthamoeba palestinensis). J. Hyg. 91, 167–178. doi: 10.1017/S0022172400060174
- Barbaree, J. M., Fields, B. S., Feeley, J. C., Gorman, G. W., and Martin, W. T. (1986). Isolation of protozoa from water associated with a legionellosis outbreak and demonstration of intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 51, 422–424.
- Barker, J., Scaife, H., and Brown, M. R. (1995). Intraphagocytic growth induces an antibiotic-resistant phenotype of *Legionella pneumophila*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39, 2684–2688. doi: 10.1128/AAC.39.12.2684
- Berk, S. G., Faulkner, G., Garduño, E., Joy, M. C., Ortiz-Jimenez, M. A., and Garduño, R. A. (2008). Packaging of live *Legionella pneumophila* into pellets expelled by *Tetrahymena* spp. does not require bacterial replication and depends on a Dot/Icm-mediated survival mechanism. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 2187–2199. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01214-07
- Berk, S. G., Ting, R. S., Turner, G. W., and Ashburn, R. J. (1998). Production of respirable vesicles containing live *Legionella pneumophila* cells by two *Acanthamoeba* spp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 279–286.
- Biurrun, A., Caballero, L., Pelaz, C., León, E., and Gago, A. (1999). Treatment of a Legionella pneumophila-colonized water distribution system using coppersilver ionization and continuous chlorination. Infect. Control. Hosp. Epidemiol. 20, 426–428. doi: 10.1086/501645
- Bohach, G. A., and Snyder, I. S. (1983). Characterization of surfaces involved in adherence of *Legionella pneumophila* to *Fischerella* species. *Infect. Immun.* 42, 318–325.
- Bouyer, S., Imbert, C., Rodier, M. H., and Héchard, Y. (2007). Long-term survival of *Legionella pneumophila* associated with *Acanthamoeba castellanii* vesicles. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 1341–1344 doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01229.x
- Breiman, R. F., Fields, B. S., Sanden, G. N., Volmer, L., Meier, A., and Spika, J. S. (1990). Association of shower use with Legionnaires' disease. Possible role of amoebae. *JAMA* 263, 2924–2926. doi: 10.1001/jama.1990.034402100 74036
- Brieland, J., McClain, M., Heath, L., Chrisp, C., Huffnagle, G., LeGendre, M., et al. (1996). Coinoculation with *Hartmannella vermiformis* enhances replicative *Legionella pneumophila* lung infection in a murine model of Legionnaires' disease. *Infect. Immun.* 64, 2449–2456.
- Brousseau, N., Lévesque, B., Guillemet, T. A., Cantin, P., Gauvin, D., Giroux, J.-P., et al. (2013). Contamination of public whirlpool spas: factors associated with the presence of *Legionella* spp., *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.* 23, 1–15. doi: 10.1080/09603123.2012.678001
- Burstein, D., Amaro, F., Zusman, T., Lifshitz, Z., Cohen, O., Gilbert, J. A., et al. (2016). Genomic analysis of 38 *Legionella* species identifies large and diverse effector repertoires. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 167–175. doi: 10.1038/ng.3481

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jason Park, Sara Rego, Soma Ghosh, and Mohammad Hossain for thoughtful review of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, Grant 1R21AI119580-01 (TO) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant RGPIN-2014-03641 (AE).

- Buse, H. Y., and Ashbolt, N. J. (2011). Differential growth of *Legionella pneumophila* strains within a range of amoebae at various temperatures associated with in-premise plumbing. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 53, 217–224 doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03094.x
- Cervero-Aragó, S., Rodríguez-Martínez, S., Puertas-Bennasar, A., and Araujo, R. M. (2015). Effect of common drinking water disinfectants, chlorine and heat, on free *Legionella* and amoebae-associated *Legionella*. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0134726. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134726
- Cervero-Aragó, S., Sommer, R., and Araujo, R. M. (2014). Effect of UV irradiation (253.7 nm) on free *Legionella* and *Legionella* associated with its amoebae hosts. *Water Res.* 67, 299–309. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.023
- Cianciotto, N. P., and Fields, B. S. (1992). Legionella pneumophila mip gene potentiates intracellular infection of protozoa and human macrophages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 89, 5188–5191. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.11.5188
- Cirillo, J. D., Cirillo, S. L., Yan, L., Bermudez, L. E., Falkow, S., and Tompkins, L. S. (1999). Intracellular growth in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* affects monocyte entry mechanisms and enhances virulence of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 4427–4434.
- Cirillo, J. D., Falkow, S., and Tompkins, L. S. (1994). Growth of Legionella pneumophila in Acanthamoeba castellanii enhances invasion. Infect. Immun. 62, 3254–3261.
- Conza, L., Casati Pagani, S., and Gaia, V. (2014). Influence of climate and geography on the occurrence of *Legionella* and amoebae in composting facilities. *BMC Res. Notes* 7:831. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-831
- Conza, L., Pagani, S. C., and Gaia, V. (2013). Presence of *Legionella* and free-living amoebae in composts and bioaerosols from composting facilities. *PLoS ONE* 8:e68244. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068244
- Correia, A. M., Ferreira, J. S., Borges, V., Nunes, A., Gomes, B., Capucho, R., et al. (2016). Probable person-to-person transmission of Legionnaires' disease. *New. Eng. J. Med.* 374, 497–498. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1505356
- De Jonckheere, J. F., and Brown, S. (2005). The identification of vahlkampfiid amoebae by ITS sequencing. *Protist* 1, 89–96. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2004.11.001
- Declerck, P., Behets, J., van Hoef, V., and Ollevier, F. (2007). Detection of Legionella spp. and some of their amoeba hosts in floating biofilms from anthropogenic and natural aquatic environments. Water Res. 41, 3159–3167. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2007.04.011
- Declerck, P., Behets, J., Delaedt, Y., Margineanu, A., and Lammertyn, E., Ollevier, F. (2005). Impact of non-Legionella bacteria on the uptake and intracellular replication of Legionella pneumophila in Acanthamoeba castellanii and Naegleria lovaniensis. Microb. Ecol. 50, 536–549. doi: 10.1007/s00248-005-0258-0
- Delafont, V., Brouke, A., Bouchon, D., Moulin, L., and Héchard, Y. (2013). Microbiome of free-living amoebae isolated from drinking water. *Water Res.* 47, 6958–6965. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2013.07.047
- Denoncourt, A. M., Paquet, V. E., and Charette, S. J. (2014). Potential role of bacteria packaging by protozoa in the persistence and transmission of pathogenic bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:240. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00240
- Dey, R., Bodennec, J., Mameri, M. O., and Pernin, P. (2009). Freeliving freshwater amoebae differ in their susceptibility to the pathogenic bacterium *Legionella pneumophila*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 290, 10–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01387.x
- Dunnebacke, T. H., Schuster, F. L., Yagi, S., and Booton, G. C. (2004). Balamuthia mandrillaris from soil samples. Microbiology 150, 2837–2842. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27218-0

- Dupuy, M., Binet, M., Bouteleux, C., Herbelin, P., Soreau, S., and Héchard, Y. (2016). Permissiveness of freshly isolated environmental strains of amoebae for growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 363:fnw022. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnw022
- Edelstein, P. H., Edelstein, M. A., Shephard, L. J., Ward, K. W., and Ratcliff, R. M. (2012). *Legionella steelei* sp. nov., isolated from human respiratory specimens in California, USA, and South Australia. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 62, 1766–1771. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.035709-0
- Evstigneeva, A., Raoult, D., Karpachevskiy, L., and La Scola, B. (2009). Amoeba co-culture of soil specimens recovered 33 different bacteria, including four new species and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Microbiology* 155, 657–664. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.022970-0
- Faulkner, G., Berk, S. G., Garduño, E., and Ortiz-Jiménez, M. A., Garduño, R. A. (2008). Passage through *Tetrahymena tropicalis* triggers a rapid morphological differentiation in *Legionella pneumophila*. J. Bacteriol. 190, 7728–7738. doi: 10.1128/JB.00751-08
- Fields, B. S. (1996). The molecular ecology of Legionellae. Trends Microbiol. 4, 286–290. doi: 10.1016/0966-842X(96)10041-X
- Fields, B. S., Barbaree, J. M., Shotts, E. B. Jr., Feeley, J. C., Morrill, W. E., Sanden, G. N., et al. (1986). Comparison of guinea pig and protozoan models for determining virulence of *Legionella* species. *Infect. Immun.* 53, 553–559.
- Fields, B. S., Benson, R. F., and Besser, R. E. (2002). Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15, 506–526. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.3.506-526.2002
- Fields, B. S., Sanden, G. N., Barbaree, J. M., Morrill, W. E., Wadowsky, R. M., White, E. H., et al. (1989). Intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in amoebae isolated from hospital hot water tanks. *Curr. Microbiol.* 18, 131–137. doi: 10.1007/BF01570838
- Fields, B. S., Shotts, E. B., Feeley, J. C., Gorman, G. W., and Martin, W. T. (1984). Proliferation of *Legionella pneumophila* as an intracellular parasite of the ciliated protozoan *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47, 467–471.
- Fliermans, C. B. (1996). Ecology of *Legionella*: from data to knowledge with a little wisdom. *Microb. Ecol.* 32, 203–228. doi: 10.1007/BF00185888
- Fliermans, C. B., Cherry, W. B., Orrison, L. H., Smith, S. J., Tison, D. L., and Pope, D. H. (1981). Ecological distribution of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Appl. Environ*. *Microbiol*. 41, 9–16.
- Gao, L. Y., Harb, O. S., and Abu Kwaik, Y. (1997). Utilization of similar mechanisms by *Legionella pneumophila* to parasitize two evolutionarily distant host cells, mammalian macrophages and protozoa. *Infect. Immun.* 65, 4738–4746.
- García, M. T., Baladrón, B., Gil, V., Tarancon, M. L., Vilasau, A., Ibañez, A., et al. (2008). Persistence of chlorine-sensitive Legionella pneumophila in hyperchlorinated installations. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 837–847. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03804.x
- García, M. T., Jones, S., Pelaz, C., Millar, R. D., and Abu Kwaik, Y. (2007). Acanthamoeba polyphaga resuscitates viable non-culturable Legionella pneumophila after disinfection. Environ. Microbiol. 9, 1267–1277. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01245.x
- Garduño, R. A., Garduño, E., Hiltz, M., and Hoffman, P. S. (2002). Intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* gives rise to a differentiated form dissimilar to stationary-phase forms. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 6273–6283. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.11.6273-6283.2002
- Gomez-Alvarez, V., Revetta, R. P., and Santo Domingo, J. W. (2012). Metagenomic analyses of drinking water receiving different disinfection treatments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 6095–6102. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01018-12
- Gomez-Alvarez, V., Teal, T. K., and Schmidt, T. M. (2009). Systematic artifacts in metagenomes from complex microbial communities. *ISME J.* 3, 1314–1317. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.72
- Greub, G., and Raoult, D. (2003). Morphology of *Legionella pneumophila* according to their location within *Hartmanella vermiformis*. *Res. Microbiol*. 154, 619–621. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2003.08.003
- Greub, G., and Raoult, D. (2004). Microorganisms resistant to free-living amoebae. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 17, 413–433. doi: 10.1128/CMR.17.2.413-433.2004
- Hägele, S., Köhler, R., Merkert, H., Schleicher, M., Hacker, J., and Steinert, M. (2000). *Dictyostelium discoideum*: a new host model system for intracellular pathogens of the genus *Legionella*. *Cell. Microbiol.* 2, 165–171. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-5822.2000.00044.x

- Hahn, M. W., and Höfle, M. G. (2001). Grazing of protozoa and its effect on populations of aquatic bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 35, 113–121. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2001.tb00794.x
- Harb, O. S., Venkataraman, C., Haack, B. J., Gao, L. Y., and Kwaik, Y. A. (1998). Heterogeneity in the attachment and uptake mechanisms of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium, *Legionella pneumophila*, by protozoan hosts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 126–132.
- Harf, C., Goffinet, S., Meunier, O., Monteil, H., and Colin, D. A. (1997). Flow cytometric determination of endocytosis of viable labelled *Legionella pneumophila* by *Acanthamoeba palestinensis*. *Cytometry* 27, 269–274. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0320(19970301)27:3<269::AID-CYTO9>3.0.CO;2-9
- Hilbi, H., Segal, G., and Shuman, H. A. (2001). Icm/dot-dependent upregulation of phagocytosis by *Legionella pneumophila*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 42, 603–617. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02645.x
- Hojo, F., Sato, D., Matsuo, J., Miyake, M., Nakamura, S., Kunichika, M., et al. (2012). Ciliates expel environmental *Legionella*-laden pellets to stockpile food. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 5247–5257. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00421-12
- Holden, E. P., Winkler, H. H., Wood, D. O., and Leinbach, E. D. (1984). Intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* within Acanthamoeba castellanii Neff. Infect. Immun. 45, 18–24.
- Hsu, B. M., Huang, C. C., Chen, J. S., Chen, N. H., and Huang, J. T. (2011). Comparison of potentially pathogenic free-living amoeba hosts by *Legionella* spp. in substrate-associated biofilms and floating biofilms from spring environments. *Water Res.* 45, 5171–5183. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2011.07.019
- Hsu, B. M., Lin, C. L., and Shih, F. C. (2009). Survey of pathogenic free-living amoebae and *Legionella* spp. in mud spring recreation area. *Water Res.* 43, 2817–2828. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2009.04.002
- Hsu, T. K., Wu, S. F., Hsu, B. M., Kao, P. M., Tao, C. W., Shen, S. M., et al. (2015). Surveillance of parasitic *Legionella* in surface waters by using immunomagnetic separation and amoebae enrichment. *Pathog. Glob. Health* 109, 328–335. doi: 10.1179/2047773215Y.0000000034
- Huang, S. W., and Hsu, B. M. (2010). Survey of *Naegleria* and its resisting bacteria-*Legionella* in hot spring water of Taiwan using molecular method. *Parasitol. Res.* 106, 1395–1402. doi: 10.1007/s00436-010-1815-0
- Hugenholtz, P., and Tyson, G. W. (2008). Microbiology: metagenomics. *Nature* 455, 481–483. doi: 10.1038/455481a
- Ikedo, M., and Yabuuchi, E. (1986). Ecological studies of Legionella species. I. Viable counts of Legionella pneumophila in cooling tower water. Microbiol. Immunol. 30, 413–423. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.1986.tb02967.x
- Ishida, K., Sekizuka, T., Hayashida, K., Matsuo, J., Takeuchi, F., Kuroda, M., et al. (2014). Amoebal endosymbiont *Neochlamydia* genome sequence illuminates the bacterial role in the defense of the host amoebae against *Legionella pneumophila*. *PLoS ONE* 9:e95166. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0095166
- Jacquier, N., Aeby, S., Lienard, J., and Greub, G. (2013). Discovery of new intracellular pathogens by amoebal coculture and amoebal enrichment approaches. J. Vis. Exp. 80:51055. doi: 10.3791/51055
- Ji, W. T., Hsu, B. M., Chang, T. Y., Hsu, T. K., Kao, P. M., Huang, K. H., et al. (2014). Surveillance and evaluation of the infection risk of free-living amoebae and *Legionella* in different aquatic environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 499, 212–219. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.116
- Kang, Y. S., and Kirby, J. E. (2017). Promotion and rescue of intracellular *Brucella neotomae* replication during coinfection with *Legionella pneumophila*. *Infect. Immun.* 85, e00991–e00916. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00991-16
- Kao, P. M., Tung, M. C., Hsu, B. M., Hsu, S. Y., Huang, J. T., Liu, J. H., et al. (2013). Differential *Legionella* spp. survival between intracellular and extracellular forms in thermal spring environments. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 20, 3098–3106. doi: 10.1007/s11356-012-1159-7
- Kikuhara, H., Ogawa, M., Miyamoto, H., Nikaido, Y., and Yoshida, S. (1994). Intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in *Tetrahymena thermophila*. J. UOEH 16, 263–275. doi: 10.7888/juoeh.16.263
- Kilvington, S., and Price, J. (1990). Survival of Legionella pneumophila within cysts of Acanthamoeba polyphaga following chlorine exposure. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 68, 519–525. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb02904.x
- King, C. H., Fields, B. S., Shotts, E. B., and White, E. H. (1991). Effects of cytochalasin D and methylamine on intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in amoebae and human monocyte-like cells. *Infect. Immun.* 59, 758–763.

- King, C. H., Shotts, E. B. Jr., Wooley, R. E., and Porter, K. G. (1988). Survival of coliforms and bacterial pathogens within protozoa during chlorination. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54, 3023–3033.
- Koide, M., Higa, F., Tateyama, M., Cash, H. L., Hokama, A., and Fujita, J. (2014). Role of *Brevundimonas vesicularis* in supporting the growth of *Legionella* in nutrient-poor environments. *New Microbiol.* 37, 33–39.
- Koubar, M., Rodier, M. H., Garduño, R. A., and Frere, J. (2011). Passage through *Tetrahymena tropicalis* enhances the resistance to stress and the infectivity of *Legionella pneumophila*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 325, 10–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02402.x
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Kunin, V., Copeland, A., Lapidus, A., Mavromatis, K., and Hugenholtz, P. (2008). A bioinformatician's guide to metagenomics. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 72, 557–578. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00009-08
- Kurtz, J. B., Bartlett, C. L., Newton, U. A., White, R. A., and Jones, N. L. (1982). Legionella pneumophila in cooling water systems. Report of a survey of cooling towers in London and a pilot trial of selected biocides. J. Hyg. 88, 369–381. doi: 10.1017/S0022172400070248
- Lasheras, A., Boulestreau, H., Rogues, A. M., Ohayon-Courtes, C., Labadie, J. C., and Gachie, J. P. (2006). Influence of amoebae and physical and chemical characteristics of water on presence and proliferation of *Legionella* species in hospital water systems. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 34, 520–525. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2006.03.007
- Lau, H. Y., and Ashbolt, N. J. (2009). The role of biofilms and protozoa in *Legionella* pathogenesis: implications for drinking water. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 107, 368–378. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04208.x
- Lin, W., Yu, Z., Zhang, H., and Thompson, I. P. (2014). Diversity and dynamics of microbial communities at each step of treatment plant for potable water generation. *Water Res.* 52, 218–230. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2013.10.071
- Liu, R., Yu, Z., Guo, H., Liu, M., Zhang, H., and Yang, M. (2012). Pyrosequencing analysis of eukaryotic and bacterial communities in faucet biofilms. *Sci. Total Environ.* 435–436, 124–131. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.022
- Ma, W., Dong, F. F., Stavrinides, J., and Guttman, D. S. (2006). Type III effector diversification via both pathoadaptation and horizontal transfer in response to a coevolutionary arms race. *PLoS Genet.* 2:e209. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020209
- Mampel, J., Spirig, T., Weber, S. S., Haagensen, J. A., Molin, S., and Hilbi, H. (2006). Planktonic replication is essential for biofilm formation by *Legionella pneumophila* in a complex medium under static and dynamic flow conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 2885–2895. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.2885-2895.2006
- Marciano-Cabral, F., and Cabral, G. (2003). Acanthamoeba spp. as agents of disease in humans. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 16, 273–307. doi: 10.1128/CMR.16.2.273-307.2003
- Marciano-Cabral, F., Jamerson, M., and Kaneshiro, E. S. (2010). Free-living amoebae, *Legionella* and *Mycobacterium* in tap water supplied by a municipal drinking water utility in the USA. *J. Water Health* 8, 71–82. doi: 10.2166/wh.2009.129
- Mengue, L., Régnacq, M., Aucher, W., Portier, E., Héchard, Y., and Samba-Louaka, A. (2016). Legionella pneumophila prevents proliferation of its natural host Acanthamoeba castellanii. Sci. Rep. 6:36448. doi: 10.1038/srep36448
- Moffat, J. F., and Tompkins, L. S. (1992). A quantitative model of intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *Infect. Immun.* 60, 296–301.
- Molmeret, M., Horn, M., Wagner, M., Santic, M., and Abu Kwaik, Y. (2005). Amoebae as training grounds for intracellular bacterial pathogens. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 20–28. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.1.20-28.2005
- Molmeret, M., Jarraud, S., Mori, J. P., Pernin, P., Forey, F., Reyrolle, M., et al. (2001). Different growth rates in amoeba of genotypically related environmental and clinical *Legionella pneumophila* strains isolated from a thermal spa. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 126, 231–239. doi: 10.1017/S095026880 1005258
- Muchesa, P., Mwamba, O., Barnard, T. G., and Bartie, C. (2014). Detection of free-living amoebae using amoebal enrichment in a wastewater treatment plant of Gauteng Province, South Africa. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014:575297. doi: 10.1155/2014/575297

- Nagington, J., and Smith, D. J. (1980). Pontiac fever and amoebae. *Lancet* 316, 1241. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(80)92494-0
- Nahapetian, K., Challemel, O., Beurtin, D., Dubrou, S., Gounon, P., and Squinazi, F. (1991). The intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila* in protozoa from hospital plumbing systems. *Res. Microbiol.* 142, 677–685. doi: 10.1016/0923-2508(91)90081-K
- Neumeister, B., Schöniger, S., Faigle, M., Eichner, M., and Dietz, K. (1997). Multiplication of different *Legionella* species in Mono Mac 6 cells and in *Acanthamoeba castellanii. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 1219–1224.
- Newsome, A. L., Baker, R. L., Miller, R. D., and Arnold, R. R. (1985). Interactions between Naegleria fowleri and Legionella pneumophila. Infect. Immun. 50, 449–452.
- O'Connor, T. J., Adepoju, Y., Boyd, D., and Isberg, R. R. (2011). Minimization of the *Legionella pneumophila* genome reveals chromosomal regions involved in host range expansion. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 14733–14740. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1111678108
- Ohno, A., Kato, N., Sakamoto, R., Kimura, S., and Yamaguchi, K. (2008). Temperature-dependent parasitic relationship between *Legionella pneumophila* and a free-living amoeba (*Acanthamoeba castellanii*). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 4585–4588. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00083-08
- Pagnier, I., Raoult, D., and La Scola, B. (2008). Isolation and identification of amoeba-resisting bacteria from water in human environment by using an *Acanthamoeba polyphaga* co-culture procedure. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 1135–1144. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01530.x
- Peabody, M. A., Caravas, J. A., Morrison, S. S., Mercante, J. W., Prystajecky, N. A., Raphael, B. H., et al. (2017). Characterization of *Legionella* species from watersheds in British Columbia, Canada. *mSphere* 2, e00246–e00217. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00246-17
- Plouffe, J. F., Webster, L. R., and Hackman, B. (1983). Relationship between colonization of hospital building with *Legionella pneumophila* and hot water temperatures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 46, 769–770.
- Pope, D. H., Soracco, R. J., Gill, H. K., and Fliermans, C. B. (1982). Growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in two-membered cultures with green algae and cyanobacteria. *Curr. Microbiol.* 7, 319–321. doi: 10.1007/BF01566871
- Rasch, J., Krüger, S., Fontvieille, S., Ünal, C. M., Michel, R., Labrosse, A., et al. (2016). Legionella-protozoa-nematode interactions in aquatic biofilms and influence of Mip on Caenorhabditis elegans colonization. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 306, 443–451. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2016.05.012
- Rizzardi, K., Winiecka-Krusnell, J., Ramliden, M., Alm, E., Andersson, S., and Byfors, S. (2014). *Legionella norrlandica* sp. nov., isolated from the biopurification system of a wood processing plant in northern Sweden. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 598–603. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.068940-0
- Rohr, U., Weber, S., Michel, R., Selenka, F., and Wilhelm, M. (1998). Comparison of free-living amoebae in hot water systems of hospitals with isolates from moist sanitary areas by identifying genera and determining temperature tolerance. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 1822–1824.
- Rowbotham, T. J. (1980). Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legionella pneumophila* for freshwater and soil amoebae. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 33, 1179–1183. doi: 10.1136/jcp.33.12.1179
- Rowbotham, T. J. (1981). Pontiac fever, amoebae and Legionellae. *Lancet* 317, 40–41. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(81)90141-0
- Rowbotham, T. J. (1983). Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from clinical specimens via amoebae, and the interaction of those and other isolates with amoebae. J. Clin. Pathol. 36, 978–986. doi: 10.1136/jcp.36.9.978
- Rowbotham, T. J. (1986). Current views on the relationships between amoebae, legionellae and man. *Isr. J. Med. Sci.* 22, 678–689.
- Ruggiero, M. A., Gordon, D. P., Orrell, T. M., Bailly, N., Bourgoin, T., Brusca, R. C., et al. (2015). A higher level classification of all living organisms. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0119248. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119248
- Salloum, G., Meugnier, H., Reyrolle, M., Grimont, F., Grimont, P. A., Etienne, J., et al. (2002). Identification of *Legionella* species by ribotyping and other molecular methods. *Res. Microbiol.* 153, 679–686. doi: 10.1016/S0923-2508(02)01381-5
- Scheikl, U., Sommer, R., Kirschner, A., Rameder, A., Schrammel, B., Zweimüller, I., et al. (2014). Free-living amoebae (FLA) co-occurring with legionellae in industrial waters. *Eur. J. Protistol.* 50, 422–429. doi: 10.1016/j.ejop.2014.04.002
- Shadrach, W. S., Rydzewski, K., Laube, U., Holland, G., Ozel, M., Kiderlen, A. F., et al. (2005). *Balamuthia mandrillaris*, free-living amoeba and

opportunistic agent of encephalitis, is a potential host for *Legionella pneumophila* bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 2244–2249. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.5.2244-2249.2005

- Sheehan, K. B., Henson, J. M., and Ferris, M. J. (2005). Legionella species diversity in an acidic biofilm community in Yellowstone National Park. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 507–511. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.1.507-511.2005
- Simon, S., Schell, U., Heuer, N., Hager, D., Albers, M. F., Matthias, J., et al. (2015). Inter-kingdom signaling by the *Legionella* quorum sensing molecule LAI-1 modulates cell migration through an IQGAP1-Cdc42-ARHGEF9-dependent pathway. *PLoS Pathog.* 11:e1005307. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005307
- Simon, S., Wagner, M. A., Rothmeier, E., Müller-Taubenberger, A., and Hilbi, H. (2014). Icm/Dot-dependent inhibition of phagocyte migration by *Legionella* is antagonized by a translocated Ran GTPase activator. *Cell. Microbiol.* 16, 977–992. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12258
- Smirnov, A. V., Chao, E., Nassonova, E. S., and Cavalier-Smith, T. (2011). A revised classification of naked lobose Amoebae (Amoebozoa: Lobosa). *Protist* 162, 545–570. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2011.04.004
- Smith-Somerville, H. E., Huryn, V. B., Walker, C., and Winters, A. L. (1991). Survival of Legionella pneumophila in the cold-water ciliate *Tetrahymena vorax*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 57, 2742–2749.
- Solomon, J. M., Rupper, A., Cardelli, J. A., and Isberg, R. R. (2000). Intracellular growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in *Dictyostelium discoideum*, a system for genetic analysis of host-pathogen interactions. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 2939–2947. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.5.2939-2947.2000
- Steinert, M., Ockert, G., Lück, C., and Hacker, J. (1998). Regrowth of Legionella pneumophila in a heat-disinfected plumbing system. Zentralbl. Bakteriol. 288, 331–342. doi: 10.1016/S0934-8840(98)80005-4
- Stewart, C. R., Muthye, V., and Cianciotto, N. P. (2012). Legionella pneumophila persists within biofilms formed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Flavobacterium* sp., and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* under dynamic flow conditions. *PLoS ONE* 7:e50560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050560
- Storey, M. V., Winiecka-Krusnell, J., Ashbolt, N. J., and Stenström, T. A. (2004). The efficacy of heat and chlorine treatment against thermotolerant *Acanthamoebae* and *Legionellae. Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 36, 656–662. doi: 10.1080/00365540410020785
- Stout, J. E., Best, M. G., Yu, V. L., and Rihs, J. D. (1986). A note on symbiosis of Legionella pneumophila and Tatlockia micdadei with human respiratory flora. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 60, 297–299. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1986.tb01736.x
- Temmerman, R., Vervaeren, H., Noseda, B., Boon, N., and Verstraete, W. (2006). Necrotrophic growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 4323–4328. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00070-06
- Thomas, J. M., Thomas, T., Stuetz, R. M., and Ashbolt, N. J. (2014). Your garden hose: a potential health risk due to *Legionella* spp. growth facilitated by free-living amoebae. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 10456–10464 doi: 10.1021/ es502652n
- Thomas, V., Herrera-Rimann, K., Blanc, D. S., and Greub, G. (2006). Biodiversity of amoebae and amoeba-resisting bacteria in a hospital water network. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 2428–2438. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.2428-2438.2006
- Tiaden, A., Spirig, T., Sahr, T., Wälti, M. A., Boucke, K., Buchrieser, C., et al. (2010). The autoinducer synthase LqsA and putative sensor kinase LqsS regulate phagocyte interactions, extracellular filaments and a genomic island of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 1243–1259. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02167.x
- Tison, D. L., Pope, D. H., Cherry, W. B., and Fliermans, C. B. (1980). Growth of Legionella pneumophila in association with blue-green algae (cyanobacteria). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 39, 456–459.
- Tyndall, R. L., and Domingue, E. L. (1982). Cocultivation of Legionella pneumophila and free-living amoebae. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44, 954–959.
- Tyson, J. Y., Pearce, M. M., Vargas, P., Bagchi, S., Mulhern, B. J., and Cianciotto, N. P. (2013). Multiple *Legionella pneumophila* Type II secretion

substrates, including a novel protein, contribute to differential infection of the amoebae *Acanthamoeba castellanii, Hartmannella vermiformis*, and *Naegleria lovaniensis. Infect. Immun.* 81, 1399–1410. doi: 10.1128/IAI. 00045-13

- Tyson, J. Y., Vargas, P., and Cianciotto, N. P. (2014). The novel Legionella pneumophila type II secretion substrate NttC contributes to infection of amoebae Hartmannella vermiformis and Willaertia magna. Microbiology 160, 2732–2744. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.082750-0
- Vadell, E. M., and Cavender, J. C. (2007). Dictyostelids living in the soils of the Atlantic Forest, Iguazú Region, Misiones, Argentina: description of new species. *Mycologia* 99, 112–124. doi: 10.1080/15572536.2007.11832606
- Valster, R. M., Wullings, B. A., van den Berg, R., and van der Kooij, D. (2011). Relationships between free-living protozoa, cultivable *Legionella* spp., and water quality characteristics in three drinking water supplies in the Caribbean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7321–7328. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05575-11
- Valster, R. M., Wullings, B. A., and van der Kooij, D. (2010). Detection of protozoan hosts for *Legionella pneumophila* in engineered water systems by using a biofilm batch test. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 7144–7153. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00926-10
- van Heijnsbergen, E., Schalk, J. A., Euser, S. M., Brandsema, P. S., den Boer, J. W., and de Roda Husman, A. M. (2015). Confirmed and potential sources of *Legionella* reviewed. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 4797–4815. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b00142
- Venkataraman, C., Haack, B. J., Bondada, S., and Abu Kwaik, Y. (1997). Identification of a Gal/GalNAc lectin in the protozoan *Hartmannella* vermiformis as a potential receptor for attachment and invasion by the Legionnaires' disease bacterium. J. Exp. Med. 186, 537–547. doi: 10.1084/jem.186.4.537
- Wadowsky, R. M., Wang, L., Laus, S., Dowling, J. N., Kuchta, J. M., States, S. J., et al. (1995). Gentamicin-containing peptone-yeast extract medium for cocultivation of *Hartmannella vermiformis* ATCC 50256 and virulent strains of *Legionella pneumophila*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61, 4464–4467.
- Wadowsky, R. M., and Yee, R. B. (1983). Satellite growth of Legionella pneumophila with an environmental isolate of Flavobacterium breve. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 46, 1447–1449.
- Watanabe, K., Nakao, R., Fujishima, M., Tachibana, M., Shimizu, T., and Watarai, M. (2016). Ciliate *Paramecium* is a natural reservoir of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Sci. Rep.* 6:24322. doi: 10.1038/srep24322
- Yamamoto, H., Sugiura, M., Kusunoki, S., Ezaki, T., Ikedo, M., and Yabuuchi, E. (1992). Factors stimulating propagation of Legionellae in cooling tower water. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58, 1394–1397.
- Zbikowska, E., Kletkiewicz, H., Walczak, M., and Burkowska, A. (2014). Coexistence of *Legionella pneumophila* bacteria and free-living amoebae in lakes serving as a cooling system of a power plant. *Water Air Soil Pollut*. 225:2066. doi: 10.1007/s11270-014-2066-y
- Zbikowska, E., Walczak, M., and Krawiec, A. (2013). Distribution of *Legionella pneumophila* bacteria and *Naegleria* and *Hartmannella* amoebae in thermal saline baths used in balneotherapy. *Parasitol. Res.* 112, 77–83. doi: 10.1007/s00436-012-3106-4

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Boamah, Zhou, Ensminger and O'Connor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.