



The Fate of Speckled Protein 100 (Sp100) During Herpesviruses Infection

Mila Collados Rodríguez*

MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, Glasgow, United Kingdom

The constitutive expression of Speckled-100 (Sp100) is known to restrict the replication of many clinically important DNA viruses. This pre-existing (intrinsic) immune defense to virus infection can be further upregulated upon interferon (IFN) stimulation as a component of the innate immune response. In humans, Sp100 is encoded by a single gene locus, which can produce alternatively spliced isoforms. The widely studied Sp100A, Sp100B, Sp100C and Sp100HMG have functions associated with the transcriptional regulation of viral and cellular chromatin, either directly through their characteristic DNA-binding domains, or indirectly through post-translational modification (PTM) and associated protein interaction networks. Sp100 isoforms are resident component proteins of promyelocytic leukemianuclear bodies (PML-NBs), dynamic nuclear sub-structures which regulate host immune defenses against many pathogens. In the case of human herpesviruses, multiple protein antagonists are expressed to relieve viral DNA genome transcriptional silencing imposed by PML-NB and Sp100-derived proteinaceous structures, thereby stimulating viral propagation, pathogenesis, and transmission to new hosts. This review details how different Sp100 isoforms are manipulated during herpesviruses HSV1, VZV, HCMV, EBV, and KSHV infection, identifying gaps in our current knowledge, and highlighting future areas of research.

Keywords: Sp100, herpesviruses, PML-NB, ISG, epigenetics, immunity

INTRODUCTION

Speckled <u>100</u> kDa protein (Sp100) was identified using autoantibodies from patients suffering from primary biliary cirrhosis autoimmune disease (Szostecki et al., 1987; Szostecki et al., 1990). The 'speckled' nuclear distribution of Sp100 predominantly colocalizes with promyelocytic leukemia-nuclear bodies (PML-NBs) (Sternsdorf et al., 1995). Scaffolded by PML (TRIM19), these dynamic nuclear substructures regulate important cellular processes: genome stability, alternative

OPEN ACCESS

Subhash C. Verma.

University of Nevada,

Kobe University, Japan

Mila Collados Rodríguez milagros.colladosrodriguez@

*Correspondence:

Specialty section: This article was submitted to

Frontiers in Cellular and

Infection Microbiology

Received: 17 September 2020

Accepted: 14 December 2020

Published: 01 February 2021

Virus and Host, a section of the journal

University of Kansas Medical Center,

Edited by:

United States

Reviewed by: Maria Kalamvoki.

United States Tomohiko Sadaoka,

glasgow.ac.uk

Collados Rodríguez M (2021) The Fate of Speckled Protein 100 (Sp100) During Herpesviruses Infection. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 10:607526. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.607526

Citation:

Abbreviations: aa, amino acid residues; ATRX, alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation X-linked; bp, base pairs; Daxx, death domain associated protein; EBNA-LP, Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen-leader protein; HIRA, histone cell cycle regulator A; HMG, high mobility group; Kbp, kilobasepairs; MORC3, microrchidia 3; SETDB1, SET domain bifurcated histone lysine methyltransferase 1; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; SUMO, small ubiquitin (Ub) modifier; UBC9, Ub conjugating enzyme 9; UBE2I, Ub conjugating enzyme E2I; VP, viral protein; WHO, World Health Organization.

lengthening of telomeres, epigenetic regulation of chromatin, antiproliferation, senescence, apoptosis and antiviral immunity (Gurrieri et al., 2004; Bernardi and Pandolfi, 2007; Scherer and Stamminger, 2016). This range of functions is accomplished by alternatively spliced PML isoforms (Condemine et al., 2006), and its extensive network of protein interactions, some of which are mediated by PML SUMO modification (Van Damme et al., 2010). The post-translation modification (PTM) of proteins by SUMO is common in proteins that harbor a SUMO consensus motif (SCM) [reviewed in (Celen and Sahin, 2020)]. PML and Sp100 have been found to be mono- and poly-SUMOylated (Sternsdorf et al., 1997; Lang et al., 2010; Maarifi et al., 2015). This SUMO "code" is recognized by SUMO interacting motifs (SIMs) present in a variety of cellular proteins known to associate with PML-NBs (Hecker et al., 2006), with SUMO-SIM interactions playing a key role in PML-NB formation and stability (Zhong et al., 2000; Shen et al., 2006; Bernardi and Pandolfi, 2007).

In the following subsections, the domain composition of Sp100 isoforms is detailed, highlighting a role as epigenetic factor that may be independent of PML and PML-NB, and it is especially evident upon herpesviruses infection (see below).

Protein Architecture of Sp100 Isoforms

The Sp100 gene spans nearly 130,000 bp and contains 32 exons that can be alternately spliced into 19 variants. Of the 11 protein-coding isoforms¹, only four (Sp100A, B, C, and HMG) have been

routinely investigated by the scientific community. These isoforms share the Sp100A domain architecture up to the <u>n</u>uclear <u>l</u>ocalization <u>sequence</u> (NLS) (**Figure 1**), in accordance with their predominant nuclear localization. This N-terminus comprises sequences responsible for Sp100 dimerization and PML-NB localization (Sternsdorf et al., 1999; Negorev et al., 2001), a <u>d</u>estruction-<u>box</u> (D-box) required for Sp100 proteasomal degradation (Wang et al., 2011), a SCM (Sternsdorf et al., 1999) and a SIM (Knipscheer et al., 2008; Cuchet et al., 2011) in a <u>h</u>istone protein <u>1</u> (HP1) interaction site (Seeler et al., 1998), and a <u>t</u>rans-activating <u>region</u> (TR) (Szostecki et al., 1990; Szostecki et al., 1992; Xie et al., 1993). Whether these features are shared with the remaining seven coding Sp100 isoforms await to be experimentally determined.

Proteomic studies have shown Sp100 to undergo extensive PTM, including acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and SUMOylation ('ProteomicsDB, Sp100', 2014). PML-NBs play an important role in the PTM of Sp100, as depletion of PML significantly abrogates the SUMOylation of Sp100 (Everett et al., 2006; Everett et al., 2008; Tavalai et al., 2011) and potentially, other PTMs as phosphorylation (Sternsdorf et al., 1999). The decreased abundance of these PTMs in the absence of PML is probably due to a defect in Sp100 SUMOylation, as depletion of the human E2 SUMO conjugating enzyme (UBC9/ UBE2I) leads to similar Sp100 migration patterns in immunoblots (Boutell et al., 2011). It is likely, therefore, that PML mediates, either directly or indirectly, the PTM of Sp100;



FIGURE 1 | Sp100 isoform domain composition. Sp100A/B/C/high mobility group (HMG) share domain architecture within their first 477 amino acid (aa) residues: dimerization and promyelocytic leukemia-nuclear body (PML-NB) targeting (aa 3–152, pink), destruction-box (D-box, aa 165–168, teal); HP1 interacting region (aa 287–334, orange) encompassing a SUMO consensus motif (SCM) with Lys297 SUMO modification (K297, yellow pin) and SUMO-interacting motif (SIM, aa 323–326, black half-moon); trans-activating region (TR, aa 333–407, cherry); autoepitopes are indicated with vertical numbers in ochre below HP1 and TR segments (see EBV section); nuclear localization sequence (NLS, aa 440–450, purple). Sp100B/C/HMG are identical up to aa 685, which includes the high mobility group (HMG) 2 (aa 477–528, fern) and Sp100, AIRE-1, NucP41/75, DEAF-1 (SAND, aa 603-676, sand) DNA-binding domains. Sp100HMG contains two additional HMG (HMG1, aa 682–754; HMG2, aa 768–838) domains and a coiled coil (CC, aa 843–879, mint) domain. Sp100C contains a plant homeodomain (PHD, aa 696-754, green) and bromodomain (BRD, aa 762–878, light green) domain. C-terminal domain features are described in **Table 1**. Numbers indicate the positions of aa with each isoform. UniProt IDs: Sp100A, P23497-2; Sp100B, P23497-3; Sp100C, P23497-4; Sp100HMG, P23497-1. Further details on the Sp100 gene locus (ENSG00000067066) can be found at ENSEMBL¹.

indeed, PML has been shown to have SUMO E3 ligase activity and to regulate the SUMOylation of a number of PML-NB proteins, including p53, MDM2, Daxx, and c-jun (Quimby et al., 2006). SUMOylation of Sp100 stabilizes its interaction with the C-terminal chromoshadow domain (CSD) present in HP1 proteins (HP1 α /CBX5, HP1 β /CBX1 and HP1 γ /CBX3) (Seeler et al., 1998), but their intermolecular details remain to be fully defined. HP1 can dimerize through CSD domains, creating a platform for histone methyltransferases (HMTs, "histone writer enzymes", Figure 2A) to tri-methylate Lys 9 of histone H3 tails (H3K9me3) where the N-terminal chromo domain (CD) of HP1 binds (Yamamoto and Sonoda, 2003; Larson and Narlikar, 2018), enabling HP1 dimers to bridge consecutive H3 di-nucleosomes (Machida et al., 2018; Kumar and Kono, 2020) (Figure 2Bi). HP1 and histones (H2A, H2B, H3, H4, and their respective variants), are examples of the chromatin protein fraction; chromatin is composed of DNA and directly or indirectly associated proteins which compact it in

different degrees. Cellular DNA is coiled around nucleosomes formed by histones whose protruding N-terminal tails are subjected to dynamic PTMs (including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination and SUMOylation, among others) by histone "writer" and "eraser" enzymes (Figure 2A) (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011); combinations of histone PTMs create chromatin activating or repressive "histone codes" that are interpreted by histone "readers" to regulate transcription (Strahl and Allis, 2000). Such histone modifications are the basis of epigenetics, which lead to cell-type specific and inheritable changes in gene expression without affecting the DNA sequence. The fact that all Sp100 isoforms (Sp100A/B/C/HMG) contain a sequence for PML-NB localization, suggests that some of their functions may be executed at PML-NB; for example, Sp100 crosstalk with chromatin through HP1 interaction may depend on its SUMOylation by PML. Moreover, the impact of homo and heterodimerization of Sp100 (Figure 2Bii) on chromatin dynamics is yet to be defined.



FIGURE 2 [General epigenetic mechanisms influencing chromatin binding properties of Sp100. (A) Histone modifier enzymes sorted as "writers" (blue arrow) or "erasers" (red arrow) that influence the acetylation (orange teardrop), methylation (gray teardrop) or phosphorylation (yellow teardrop) <u>post-translational modification</u> (PTM) status of histones exemplified here through H3 tail (green line, not to scale). (B) Models for direct (SAND, HMG) and indirect (HP1, BRD, PHD) DNA binding properties of Sp100 isoforms; (i) dimerized HP1s (orange ovals) bind HMT (gray oval) leading to histone H3K9 trimethylation (gray teardrop) of consecutive nucleosomes (iliac). A red question mark indicates whether Sp100B/C/high mobility group (HMG) binds DNA (dark blue string) and/or HP1 as a monomer or as a dimer; (ii) Sp100 can potentially homo- (circular back arrows) and heterodimerize (double head black arrows) depending on the isoform expression profile and subnuclear localization. Sp100 color code refers to **Figure 1** characteristic features; (iii) examples of histone readers' regulation. H3K4me1-3 inhibits (red flat tip arrows) the binding of Sp100C, Sp140 and HP1 to histone 3, while H3K9me3 promotes (light blue arrows) Sp100C and HP1 binding. Different kinases drive the "phospho-switches" that influence Sp100C, Sp140 and HP1 to histone 3 (H3pT3/S10/T6); (iv) histone 3 tail (aa 1–31) highlighting aa and PTMs discussed in the main text associated with the epigenetic silencing of viral DNA and reactivation from latency. (C) Viral and epigenetic factors that influence HSV1 transcription; vertical empty arrow pointing down the H3 tail shows an example of post-translational modifications (PTMs) associated with reactivation from latency where the c-<u>Jun N</u>-terminal kinase (JNK) phosphorylates the aa residues next to H3K9/27me3, known as "methyl-phospho switch", a first step in chromatin relaxation. The participation of Sp100 variants as histone readers in each phase remains to be clearly further detailed (black question mark).

While the common N-terminus of Sp100 is mainly involved in protein-protein interactions, features present in its C-terminus directly interact with DNA and histones (Figure 1, Table 1). Sp100B, C, and HMG contain high mobility group (HMG) and Sp100, AIRE-1, NucP41/75, DEAF-1 (SAND) DNA-binding domains (Guldner et al., 1999; Bottomley et al., 2001). Mechanistically, the Sp100 SAND domain preferentially binds to unmethylated CpG dinucleotides commonly found in foreign DNA (Wilcox et al., 2005; Isaac et al., 2006). HMG binding to DNA opens the minor groove while narrowing the major one, thus bending the DNA to promote nucleosome loading and chromatin remodeling (Thomas, 2001; Malarkey and Churchill, 2012; Lohani and Rajeswari, 2016). Sp100HMG isoform has two additional C-terminal HMG domains (Seeler et al., 1998; Guldner et al., 1999), but their direct participation chromatin modification and assembly warrants additional study. Sp100HMG is also predicted to contain a C-terminal coiledcoil (CC) domain (Kumar et al., 2020), the presence and function of which has yet to be investigated. Sp100C, and Sp100 variant paralogues Sp110, Sp140, and Sp140L which cluster with Sp100 on human chromosome 2, are histone code "readers" since all recognize specific histone tail PTMs through their plant homeodomain (PHD) and bromodomain (BRD) tandem (Dent et al., 1996; Mellor, 2006; Filippakopoulos and Knapp, 2012; Saare et al., 2015; Leu et al., 2018; Zucchelli et al., 2019; Fraschilla and Jeffrey, 2020; Jain et al., 2020). Isothermal titration calorimetry characterization of the Sp100C PHD-BRD tandem peptide (Sp100C_{PB}) revealed high affinity for the H3 tails containing the repressive PTMs H3K9me3 and unmethylated H3K4 (H3K4me0), while the chromatin activating marks H3T6p and H3K4me1-3 exclude Sp100C_{PB} binding to H3 tail (Figure 2Biii) (Zhang et al., 2016). Overall, this information reveals that Sp100C binding to chromatin can be affected by H3 PTMs. Moreover, in cellula, the subnuclear localization of ectopically expressed Sp100C differs from Sp100A and Sp100HMG (Seeler et al., 2001), indicating that the C-terminal domain architecture of each Sp100 isoform also dictates their participation in different chromatin-related processes. Additionally, IFN treatment of epithelial cells has shown to favor the levels of Sp100C mRNA over the other isoforms (Negorev et al., 2009). IFNs are secreted cytokines which activate the assembly of combinatorial STAT complexes that bind to IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE)

or to gamma <u>a</u>ctivation <u>site</u> (GAS) at promoters of genes implicated in antiviral defense, known as <u>interferon <u>s</u>timulated gene<u>s</u> (ISGs) (Regad and Chelbi-Alix, 2001). Sp100 is an ISG since its promoter contains an ISRE and two GASs binding sites which grant its inducibility by type-I (IFN α , β and κ) and type-II (IFN γ) IFNs in an individual and synergistic way (Grotzinger et al., 1996a; Grotzinger et al., 1996b). Thus, exogenous stimuli can alter the prevailing Sp100 isoform in a given cell type; the molecular details of this shift are still unknown, but splicing driven by Sp100 circular RNA may be involved (Deng et al., 2020).</u>

Further Details of Sp100C in Crosstalk With Chromatin

Other activating H3 PTMs such as mono- (H3K14/18/27ac) or multi acetylation (H3K14/18/23ac), phosphorylations at H3T3p, H3KS10p, or H3K9me3S10p, do not affect Sp100C_{PB} binding to H3 tail; since the Sp100C BRD could not bind H3Kac in vitro either, it was indicated that the BRD molecular function is unknown but it was critical to stabilize the Sp100C PHD fold, given the extensive contacts seen in their crystal structure (Zhang et al., 2016). Of note, the PHDs of other proteins, as Sp140, have shown to facilitate BRD SUMOylation and its association with SETDB1, a HMT of H3K9 that promotes gene silencing (Ivanov et al., 2007; Peng and Wysocka, 2008; Garcia-Dominguez et al., 2008; Zucchelli et al., 2019); interestingly, this PTM also weakens the Sp140 PHD binding to H3 tail (Figure 2Biii) (Zhang et al., 2016). Future in vivo studies may indicate if Sp100C BRD has affinity for any H3Kac residues (Figure 2Biv), whether BRD SUMOylation takes place and affects Sp100C binding to H3, and if Sp100 orthologues cooperate as histone code readers.

Sp100C PHD is singular in tolerating H3T3p, since this PTM acts as a "binary switch" on Sp140 by excluding the binding of Sp140 PHD to H3 (Zhang et al., 2016) (**Figure 2Biii**). H3T3 is phosphorylated upon DNA damage (Salzano et al., 2015) and during prophase (Dai et al., 2005), while it is dephosphorylated during anaphase (Dai et al., 2005). Taken together, this suggests that Sp100C would still be bound to H3T3p during these processes, while Sp140 is not. Moreover, it has been reviewed that H3K4me0 and H3K9me3 represent marks to coordinate and maintain DNA methylation memory through mitosis (Hashimoto et al., 2010); it is tempting to speculate about the participation of Sp100C in ensuring this process.

Domain	lsoform(s)	Function	Reference(s)
HMG2	Sp100B/C/HMG	DNA binding (see below).	(Lehming et al., 1998)
SAND	Sp100B/C/HMG	DNA binding to unmethylated cytosines of CpGs dinucleotides.	(Guldner et al., 1999; Bottomley et al., 2001; Wilcox et al., 2005; Isaac et al., 2006)
HMG1/2	Sp100HMG	Shapes an L composed of three α -helices that bind and open the minor groove of DNA while narrowing the major one thus, bending the DNA and allowing the assembly of nucleosome and other proteins.	(Seeler et al., 1998; Guldner et al., 1999; Thomas, 2001; Malarkey and Churchill, 2012; Newhart et al., 2013; Lohani and Rajeswari, 2016)
00	Sp100HMG	Unknown.	ELM prediction (Kumar et al., 2020)
PHD	Sp100C	Binds with most affinity to histone 3 unmethylated in Lys4 (H3K4me0) but tri-methylated in Lys9 (H3K9me3).	(Zhang et al., 2016)
BRD	Sp100C	Unclear binding to acetylated Lys (KAc).	(Filippakopoulos and Knapp, 2012; Zhang et al., 2016)

 TABLE 1 | Alternatively spliced Sp100 C-terminal domains involved in chromatin regulation.

In post mitotic neurons, H3S10 phosphorylation detaches HP1 from H3K9me3 (**Figure 2Biii**) while retaining ATRX (Noh et al., 2015), an ATP-dependent chromatin remodeller which, as Sp100C, requires both H3K9me3 and H3K4me0 to bind H3; ATRX cooperates with HP1 and the H3.3 chaperone Daxx to keep telomeric and pericentromeric chromatin repressed (Eustermann et al., 2011; Clynes et al., 2013). H3.3 is an histone variant loaded onto chromatin independently of DNA synthesis (Tagami et al., 2004) and then maintained by the PML-NB proteins and H3.3 chaperones Daxx/ATRX (Cabral et al., 2018). Since H3K9me3 and H3K4me0 can be read by multiple molecules, the spatio-temporal dynamics of Sp100C binding to H3 respective to other molecules as Sp140, HP1 and ATRX, remains to be determined. Overall, Sp100C PHD binds to repressive chromatin but tolerates activating epigenetic marks, except H3K4me and H3T6p.

Collectively, Sp100A/B/C/HMG isoforms share the N-terminus which allows their dimerization and location at PML-NB, where they can be modified with SUMO to interact with HP1, a chromatin protein. The Sp100B/C/HMG SAND domain links them to typically exogenous unmethylated DNA CpGs, but functions assigned to additional domains have to be directly established for Sp100 *in vivo*. Detailed Sp100C studies have evidenced its predominant transcription upon IFN treatment and its *in vitro* participation in chromatin compaction when H3 tails lack H3T6p and H3K4me. Further Sp100 characterization is key to understand its participation in cellular and viral chromatin regulation.

CLINICAL IMPORTANCE OF HERPESVIRUSES AND MOLECULAR BASIS

Herpesviruses are a large family of double stranded DNA viruses that cause a variety of clinically important diseases on a global scale (**Table 2**). Their success lies their ability of reactivating from a latent state of infection whereby <u>viral DNA</u> (vDNA) transcription in the nucleus of terminally differentiated (nerve or white blood) cells is not enough to generate virus progeny, thereby avoiding immune clearance and thus, keeping a host chronically infected for a lifetime. Consequently, understanding the host defenses that limit the reactivation, propagation, and transmission of herpesviruses is of global importance.

Primary infection of herpesviruses starts with their binding to a cell surface, followed by nucleocapsid entering the cytoplasm, transport and attachment to the nuclear pore to release the viral genome into the nucleus; although vDNA is ejected into the nucleoplasm as a naked molecule, viral tegument proteins characteristic of each virus subfamily may access the nucleus with different efficiencies depending on the cell type infected, influencing the subsequent events in diverse ways [reviewed by (Full and Ensser, 2019)]. In parallel, the equilibrium of pro- and antiviral host factors intrinsic (pre-existing) to each cell type, ready to associate with this foreign nucleic acid and viral tegument proteins, dictates whether the infection progresses to lytic replication or remains latent.

When primary infection takes place in epithelial cells (e.g. in mucosa), herpesviruses typically initiate a lytic cycle of replication through the coordinated expression of immediate-early (IE), early (E), and late (L) genes, which sequentially contribute to the inactivation of host immune defenses, stimulation of viral gene expression, vDNA replication and virion assembly, respectively (Gruffat et al., 2016). In contrast, infection of terminally differentiated cell types is prone to latency because herpesviruses generally fail to establish this temporal cascade of viral gene expression. This once perceived binary (lytic or latent) behavior has recently been shown to be more heterogeneous, with patterns of viral gene expression dependent on cell type, genome copy number, and degree of pre-immune stimulation prior to infection (Knipe and Cliffe, 2008; Suzich and Cliffe, 2018). One of the intrinsic immunity barriers herpesviruses have to counteract or exploit upon vDNA release into the nucleus is chromatinization and epigenetic modulation (Knipe et al., 2013). Moreover, cellular stress can affect vDNA chromatinization, partially or fully reactivating viral transcription programs with variable production of progeny virions (reviewed by (Weidner-Glunde et al., 2020)). For example,

TABLE 2 | Clinical relevance of herpesviruses.

Virus	Clinical manifestations and estimates (references)		
<u>H</u> erpes <u>s</u> implex <u>v</u> irus <u>1</u> (HSV1)/ HHV1	Blindness, dermatitis, gum diseases, sores in the mouth, nose and genitals, newborn fatal encephalitis (Imbronito et al., 2008; Looker et al., 2017) Marcocci et al., 2020). Considered causative factor of sporadic Alzheimer disease (Cairns et al., 2020). In 2016, the WHO (World Health Organization, 2020) estimated that about 67% of the world's population below 49 years old had HSV1 infection.		
<u>V</u> aricella <u>z</u> oster <u>v</u> irus (VZV)/HHV3	Primary infection causes chicken pox/varicella and infectious shingles upon reactivation; during 2008–2011, VZV mortality rate in the US population was estimated to be 0.05 per million, representing an 87% decrease in comparison to prevaccine years (Johnson and Levin, 2020).		
<u>H</u> uman <u>c</u> yto <u>m</u> egalo <u>v</u> irus (HCMV)/HVV5	Worldwide seroprevalence of 66%–90% (Zuhair et al., 2019). It affects transplants' recipients (Schottstedt et al., 2010; Meesing and Razonable, 2018) and is a major cause of congenital disability in children (Davis et al., 2017; Emery and Lazzarotto, 2017).		
<u>E</u> pstein- <u>B</u> arr <u>v</u> irus (EBV)/HVV4	Mononucleosis and derived lymphomas caused at least 142,000 deaths worldwide in 2010 (Khan and Hashim, 2014; Martinez and Krams, 2017) and a chronic population deterioration resulting from EBV-related autoimmune diseases (Draborg et al., 2016; Balandraud and Roudier, 2018; Trier et al., 2018).		
<u>K</u> aposi's <u>s</u> arcoma- associated <u>h</u> erpes <u>v</u> irus (KSHV)/ HVV8	KSHV associated tumorigenesis is responsible for around 55% mortality in South African infected children (Dow et al., 2014), and nearly 20% of deaths in seropositive blood transfusions (Ablashi et al., 2002; Operskalski, 2012).		

5

neuronal stress promotes the phosphorylation of H3S10/28 at vDNA promoters by c-Jun <u>N</u>-terminal <u>kinase</u> (JNK) (**Figures 2Biv, C**), allowing viral gene expression even when adjacent H3K9/27me3 repressive marks are present (Cliffe et al., 2015). Despite of Sp100 is unaffected by H3pS10 epigenetic switch (see introduction), expression of further herpesviruses proteins neutralize specific antiviral immunity factors as Sp100, triggering periodic reactivation of viral latent pools, leading to *de novo* virus replication, virus progeny production and transmission to new hosts.

THE SPATIOTEMPORAL JOURNEY OF SP100 AGAINST HERPESVIRUSES

This section focuses on the antiviral properties of the Sp100 variants and how they are hijacked from the outset of infection by herpesviruses factors; **Figure 3** summarizes these viral proteins counteracting Sp100 and indirectly affecting its core network of cellular protein partners. Since different aspects of epigenetic modulation of herpesviruses have been characteristically studied for each family (Knipe et al., 2013), only these known to affect Sp100 fate are highlighted, introduced in the HSV1 section, and subsequently referred.



FIGURE 3 | Sp100 interactors and herpesvirus counteractors. Outer circle, herpesviruses proteins (HSV1, ICP0; VZV, ORF61p; HCMV, IE1/p72; EBV, EBNA-LP; KSHV, LANA, and ORF75) that antagonize Sp100. Inner circle, network of Sp100 related protein interactions likely to be disrupted during herpesvirus infection. Clustered networks of known Sp100 interactors shaded in blue. Interactions retrieved from BioGRID (Stark et al., 2006), minimum experimental evidence from two independent studies.

Herpes Simplex Virus 1

When HSV1 infection progresses to lytic replication, parental vDNA is not associated to typical nucleosome units but to proteinaceous structures (Muggeridge and Fraser, 1986; Deshmane and Fraser, 1989). This incomplete vDNA chromatinization may result from the blocking of histone loading into naked DNA by VP22, the most abundant tegument protein (van Leeuwen et al., 2003). In fact, H3.3 has been observed to accumulate juxtaposed but unincorporated to vDNA into PML-NB, which could be the aforementioned proteinaceous structures detected at the initial and lytic phases of infection (Conn et al., 2013). Accordingly, PML-NB are known to act as protein depots (Negorev and Maul, 2001), as well as to assemble onto and entrap incoming vDNA (Alandijany et al., 2018). PML-NB entrapped vDNA has been shown to lack H3K4me2 but to be H3.3 decorated with H3K9me3 (Cabral et al., 2018; Cohen et al., 2018), advancing Sp100C presence. Moreover, experiments studying the recruitment of PML-NB proteins to a transgene array as a model for foreign DNA invasion, showed that when UBC9 was depleted, neither Daxx, PML nor H3.3 accumulated onto the array; hence, their accumulation onto invading DNA depends on SUMOylation (Shastrula et al., 2019), an enzymatic activity enriched at PML-NB (see introduction). However, whether SUMOylation is also required for Sp100 accumulation at vDNA is unclear but seems to be isoform-specific, since Sp100 spliced variants have commonalities but also differences (Figure 1), which may account for their divergent spatio-temporal behavior: Sp100A has been shown to enhance the expression of the IE infected cell protein-0 (ICP0) promoter, while it is repressed by Sp100 isoforms containing a SAND domain (Sp100B/C/HMG), unless this domain is mutated (Negorev et al., 2006); similarly, Daxx, ATRX and Sp100B/C/HMG repress a CMV promoter reporter while withdrawing Sp100A-driven chromatin decondensation (Seeler et al., 1998; Newhart et al., 2012; Newhart et al., 2013). Taken together, Sp100B/C/HMG cause repression of transcription while Sp100A is activating in a mutually exclusive way.

In turn, this differential transcriptional behavior of Sp100B/C/ HMG as opposed to Sp100A is distinctly modulated by ICP0 since when HSV1 ICP0 was included in the CMV promoter reporter, Sp100A presence augmented at this CMV promoter reporter independently of its SUMOylation status, Daxx or ATRX, while Sp100B/C/HMG were degraded (Newhart et al., 2013). It is known that challenging epithelial cells with HSV1 depletes Sp100 SUMOylated isoforms (Everett et al., 2009), as occurs when UBC9 or PML are silenced by shRNA (Everett et al., 2006). Thus, Sp100 degradation could either be a direct target of ICP0 (Perusina Lanfranca et al., 2013), a viral E3 Ub ligase which preferentially targets SUMOylated proteins for proteasomal degradation [(Boutell et al., 2011), reviewed by (Boutell and Everett, 2013; Rodriguez et al., 2020)], or occur as an indirect consequence of PML disposal by ICP0 (Tavalai and Stamminger, 2009). In any case, dismantling of PML-NB by ICP0 or by PML shRNA silencing has no effect on Sp100A (Everett et al., 2006; Everett et al., 2009), advancing that Sp100A transactivating properties may be exploited by HSV1 (see below).

Interestingly, Sp100A SIM deletion has shown that in $\Delta ICP0$ HSV1 infected cells, Sp100A can prescind from its SUMOylation

Sp100 During Herpesviruses Infection

but requires its SIM to appear as nuclear puncta even in the absence of PML (Cuchet et al., 2011; Cuchet-Lourenco et al., 2011); this suggests that Sp100, as PML, may scaffold factors on its own. In order to study the participation of Sp100 in restricting HSV1 infection, mutant viruses lacking ICP0 (ΔICP0) or carrying mutations in its catalytic RING-finger domain responsible of its E3 Ub ligase activity are routinely used to keep PML-NBs intact. Independent or combined depletion of PML and Sp100 shows that their effects in restricting AICP0 HSV1 are additive but partial (Everett et al., 2006; Everett et al., 2008; Glass and Everett, 2013). This indicates that both factors have antiviral properties on their own, which are enhanced by their cooperation, but they do not fully restore the AICP0 effects to WT HSV1 levels because additional proteins participate in counteracting ICP0. Indeed, the chromatin regulators Daxx, ATRX and MORC3 can be still recruited to incoming viral genomes when both Sp100 and PML are silenced (Everett et al., 2008; Lukashchuk and Everett, 2010; Cuchet et al., 2011; Sloan et al., 2016). MORC3 dimerizes to acts as ATPase when its histone recognition CW domain binds H3K4me3 (Zhang et al., 2019), forming MORC3-NB; speculatively, the ATPase function of MORC3 may fuel chromatin remodeling enzymes (Vignali et al., 2000). Complementarily, silencing MORC3 by shRNA impedes PML, Sp100 and Daxx appearing as puncta before the emergence of replication compartments (RCs) visualized with ICP4; however, once RCs appear, they are PML free but Sp100 is still associated to them (Everett et al., 2006; Everett et al., 2008; Lukashchuk and Everett, 2010; Cuchet et al., 2011; Sloan et al., 2016). This suggests that the recruitment of PML, Sp100 and Daxx to parental HSV1 DNA is orchestrated by MORC3 to form PML-NBs on the one hand, and on the other hand, that a portion of Sp100 associates to ICP4 replication centers independently of MORC3 when PML-NBs have been dismantled by ICP0. Collectively, this indicates that the cell can recruit energy fueling enzymes to ensure subsequent viral chromatin remodeling but, contrary to Sp100A, they are targeted by ICP0.

HSV1 ΔICP0 parental genome have limited gene expression as it remains entrapped by PML-NBs upon infection of the cell nucleus (Everett and Murray, 2005; Alandijany et al., 2018). This is so unless the copy numbers of HSV1 ΔICP0 saturate the intrinsic defenses that PML-NB represent, escaping vDNA entrapment and leading to its replication (Alandijany et al., 2018; McFarlane et al., 2019). Viral replication triggers cytokine signaling which leads to the PML and Sp100dependent accumulation of the H3.3 chaperone HIRA at preexisting PML-NB, since shRNA silencing of either PML or Sp100 abrogates HIRA accumulation at PML-NBs (Alandijany et al., 2018; McFarlane et al., 2019). HIRA binds ISGs loci to promote their transcriptional upregulation, further stimulating the innate immune defenses upon HSV1 infection (Alandijany et al., 2018; McFarlane et al., 2019); in this way, undisrupted PML-NB are able to induce an IFN response upon HSV1 ΔICP0 infection.

Another HSV1 factor accessing epithelial cells nucleoplasm is VP16, which transactivates IE genes upon recruiting coactivators to their promoters; one of these coactivators, <u>host cell factor-1</u> (HCF-1), has been reviewed to associate with H3K4 HMTs to ensure H3K4me3 presence (Kristie et al., 2010; Vogel and Kristie, 2013). This chromatin activation mark would exclude Sp100C,

Sp140 and HP1 from H3 (see introduction, **Figure 2Biii**) however, this remains to be assessed. In contrast, HSV1 cannot take advantage of VP16 and VP22 in neurons since these tegument proteins dissociate from the capsid before it reaches the nucleus (Aggarwal et al., 2012), a process favoring latency establishment in these cells over epithelial ones. Nevertheless, literature studying the participation of Sp100 during HSV1 latency establishment and maintenance is scarce (Everett et al., 2007; Cohen et al., 2018), and key questions as whether neurons depleted for Sp100 establish latency are still unanswered.

In conclusion, the chromatin repressive Sp100 SUMOylated isoforms seem to be required to entrap parental vDNA in cooperation with other SUMOylated chromatin-associated factors, but they are (directly or indirectly) targeted by HSV1 ICP0 and possibly, indirectly counteracted by VP16 and VP22. In contrast, the remaining unSUMOylated Sp100A has chromatin activating properties and can form NB independently of PML, which may represent a favorable environment for viral replication. Since Sp100A domain architecture is present in most of the other isoforms, it would be challenging to specifically target it with drugs however, when PML-NB are dismantled by ICP0, Sp100A seems to harbor differential PTM which may make it drug-amenable.

Varicella Zoster Virus

The above described Sp100 isoforms' dynamics on chromatin also apply to herpesviruses other than HSV1 however, they are affected at a different extent by ICP0 homologs. The ICP0 VZV homolog VICP0/ORF61p only targets Sp100 out of the PML-NB components (Walters et al., 2010). ORF61p also harbors a RINGfinger and SIMs to function as E3 Ub ligase on SUMOylated targets however, ORF61p lacks sequences required for binding to the host deubiquitinase USP7, which protects ICP0 from autoubiquitination and proteasome-mediated degradation (Kyratsous and Silverstein, 2009). As a result, ORF61p turnover by proteasomal degradation is quicker than for ICP0, making ORF61p more unstable than its HSV1 homolog (Everett et al., 2010). Therefore, IE kinetic studies comparing ORF61p to ICP0 in an HSV1 background evidence that ORF61p incompletely substitutes for ICP0; contrary to ICP0, ORF61p only reduces Sp100 levels without targeting PML (Kyratsous et al., 2009). Consequently, VZV infected cells still harbor PML-NB capable enough of sustaining an IFN response, which further increases Sp100 and PML levels and allows PML to SUMOylate Sp100; in agreement, late kinetic studies by immunoblot show a predominant increase of SUMOylated Sp100A (Kyratsous and Silverstein, 2009). At this late time point, the corresponding immunofluorescence images of cells display a granulated distribution of Sp100 in the nucleoplasm, concomitant with a high abundance of ORF61p (Kyratsous and Silverstein, 2009), but point mutations on its RING domain change the dispersed pattern to nuclear puncta, still colocalizing with Sp100 (Walters et al., 2010); the significance of this observation in the context of VZV life cycle requires further experimental assessment. In summary, Sp100 levels are reduced by VZV ORF61p from the onset of infection but since VZV does not completely disrupt PML-NBs, IFN-response can rise Sp100 protein levels, which are kept dispersed in the nucleoplasm by ORF61p. Whether Sp100

degradation or dispersion also facilitates initiation of VZV lytic replication *per se*, remains to be investigated.

Human Cytomegalovirus

The role of immunity factors restricting HCMV infection, with Sp100 as PML-NB component, has been reviewed elsewhere (Rossini et al., 2012; Landolfo et al., 2016). As opposed to Sp100 merely being used as a PML-NB marker assumed to behave as PML (Ahn and Hayward, 1997; Sourvinos et al., 2007), this section focuses on Sp100 isoforms during HCMV infection. After parental HCMV genomes enters the nucleus, alternative splicing of a sole HCMV IE transcript results in two proteins: IE1/p72 and IE2/p86 (Stenberg et al., 1985). IE1 initially colocalizes at PML-NBs and then gradually disperses them through the nucleoplasm (Korioth et al., 1996). More in detail, IE1 has been shown to interact with the Sp100 N-terminal dimerization domain, as deletion of the corresponding 3-152 aa abrogates their association, and infection with mutant HCMV lacking IE1 does not cause loss of Sp100 (Kim et al., 2011). In turn, Sp100 depletion favors IE1 expression (Kim et al., 2011; Ashley et al., 2017); individual depletion of PML, Sp100 or Daxx showed that each factor was restrictive on its own (Ashley et al., 2017), combined depletion of Sp100/PML or Sp100/Daxx further enhanced HCMV gene expression initiation (Adler et al., 2011), and combined depletion of all PML/Sp100/Daxx were more permissive to HCMV infection (Ashley et al., 2017), resembling the above discussed intrinsic immunity factor's dynamics against HSV1. Similarly, all PML/Sp100/Daxx depletion also reduces the restriction of HCMV by IFN β , visualized through IE1 presence and plaque assay upon IFNB treatment (Ashley et al., 2017), indicating that these PML-NB components mediate the IFN response against HCMV. IE1 cotransfection with each Sp100 isoform was shown to reduce their SUMOylation (Tavalai et al., 2011); this effect is especially evident for Sp100A (Dimitropoulou et al., 2010; Tavalai et al., 2011), but unSUMOylated Sp100A levels also decrease at later times post HCMV infection (Tavalai et al., 2011). Even more, IE1 gets SUMOylated while driving the deSUMOylation of Sp100 and PML (Muller and Dejean, 1999). PML function as E3 SUMO ligase onto IE1 has been evidenced since PML RING domain mutants fail to SUMOylate IE1 (Reuter et al., 2017); this further supports the possibility of PML directly SUMOylating Sp100, indicating that part of the antiviral effect of Sp100 depends on PML. Overall, Sp100 disruption by HCMV IE1 seems to affect all isoforms, although at slower kinetics than HSV1 ICP0.

Furthermore, the promoter of the IE1/2 transcript, the <u>major</u> <u>immediate early promoter (MIEP)</u> has been shown to be repressed by histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) and HP1 in peripheral blood monocytes (Murphy et al., 2002), where HCMV establishes latency; this suggests that sustained histone deacetylation may allow <u>histone methyltransferases</u> (HMTs) to lock MIEP chromatin in a repressive state characteristic of a latent state of infection. However, the participation of Sp100 in latency establishment has been excluded using THP-1 derived macrophages partially depleted for either Sp100, Daxx or PML; intriguingly, partial Daxx depletion was enough to increase Sp100A levels similarly to undifferentiated THP-1 monocytes, especially unSUMOylated Sp100A ones, while PML had no effect on Sp100 levels (Wagenknecht et al., 2015), contrasting with previous reports. A more robust cellular KO background may consolidate or rule out the implication of Sp100 isoforms and additional factors in HCMV latency establishment and cell identity.

Epstein–Barr Virus

Twenty years ago it was stated that latent EBV episomes are tethered to cell chromosomes away from PML-NB (Bell et al., 2000), contrasting with the later observation of the IE EBV protein EBNA-LP colocalizing with Sp100 at PML-NB in EBV immortalized lymphoblastoid cell lines (Ling et al., 2005). Using transfection assays, EBNA-LP was shown to disperse Sp100 from PML-NB by interacting with the Sp100 PML-NB targeting domain (Ling et al., 2005); dispersion by either EBNA-LP or by deleting the Sp100 PML-NB targeting domain, dissociates Sp100 away from PML-NB allowing the viral oncoprotein EBNA2 to act as a transcriptional activator, even in the absence of EBNA-LP (Ling et al., 2005). Interestingly, for this process to occur, the Sp100 HP1 interacting domain was required to be intact, but not the SCM embedded on it, since K297R modified Sp100A still activates EBNA2 even upon IFNβ pretreatment (Ling et al., 2005; Echendu and Ling, 2008). Hence, high resolution microscopy studies looking at whether Sp100 isoforms associate at some point of the viral cycle with EBV episomes await to be accomplished. Moreover, whether Sp100 SIM, which is also embedded in its HP1 interacting domain, is also required for the effective EBV subversion of innate immunity, which culminates in lytic reactivation remains to be addressed. Thus, EBV EBNA-LP is equivalent to HSV1 ICP0 in the sense of dispersing proteins from PML-NB and opens new questions as how different herpesvirus co-infections may affect each other's lytic replication upon reactivation. In fact, EBNA-LP increases HSV1 ΔICP0 replication, depletes Sp100 SUMOylated isoforms and causes a Sp100 mobility shift (Lu et al., 2016) characteristic of lack of localization at PML-NB. To sum up, EBNA-LP selectively binds to the PML-NB targeting domain of Sp100 abrogating its PML-NB localization, but details of the fate of specific Sp100 isoforms are unknown.

The significance of the above described Sp100 overexpression studies establishes parallelisms to clinical pathological cases of Sp100 overexpression and nucleoplasm delocalization which may favor the chance of generating Sp100 autoantibodies by molecular mimicry. EBV has been linked to PML-NB associated autoimmune diseases since two viral proteins share autoepitopes with Sp100 [aa 296-311 and aa 332-351 in ochre, Figure 1; (Xie and Snyder, 1995)]. These epitopes partly coincide with the ones described for 20-30% of patient's sera with biliary cirrhosis [aa 303-308 and aa 339-347 in ochre, Figure 1; (Bluthner et al., 1999)]. Such Sp100 antigenic regions flank its SIM and fall along the HP1 interacting region and in its TR. Consequently, it can be envisaged that autoantibodies against SIM, HP1 or TR Sp100 regions may sterically impede the interaction of Sp100 SIM with SUMO conjugated to other proteins, the interaction between HP1 proteins and Sp100, and the Sp100 trans-activating capabilities, respectively. Knowing Sp100-derived autoepitopes creates a chance for pharmacological intervention tailored to different Sp100 regions to counteract the EBV targeting of Sp100 at molecular and humoral levels.

Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus

KSHV research comprising Sp100 is mostly related to the establishment of latency, which depends on translating the latency associated nuclear antigen (LANA) (Campbell and Izumiya, 2012), as well as reactivation, which occurs when the tegument protein ORF75 disperses Sp100 from PML-NB (Full et al., 2014). During primary infection, ORF75 has no effect on Sp100 and PML; these PML-NB components restrict KSHV, as their individual silencing allow viral proteins expression (Full et al., 2014). As a result of KSHV infection there is an IFN-mediated increase of Sp100 levels however, the viral encoded E3 SUMO ligase LANA converts the Sp100 soluble pool residing in the nucleoplasm and cellular chromatin into an insoluble one by inducing Sp100 SUMOylation and storage into the insoluble nuclear matrix, presumably corresponding to PML-NB or to another fraction (Gunther et al., 2014). Sp100 insolubilization allows the parental vDNA to establish latency and eventually reactivate by acquiring the H3K27me3 repressive mark (Gunther and Grundhoff, 2010), characteristic of facultative chromatin, which was shown to be favored by silencing Sp100 (Gunther et al., 2014). The Sp100 insolubilization by LANA seems to be unique of KSHV as other γ -herpesviruses as EBV maintain Sp100 soluble during latency (Gunther et al., 2014); nevertheless, similar analysis of the insoluble fractions for other herpesviruses are sparse across the literature. Collectively, undisrupted PML-NB allow IFN induction upon KSHV infection, increasing Sp100 levels but maintaining them in an insoluble form by LANA-mediated SUMOylation; this is concomitant with the acquisition of the repressive H3K27me3 mark, characteristic of latency, by parental vDNA.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Herpesviruses attain a latent state of infection with periodic complete or incomplete reactivation which cause an underestimated quality of life deterioration. Since there is no cure, understanding how the

REFERENCES

- Ablashi, D. V., Chatlynne, L. G., Whitman, J. E.Jr, and Cesarman, E. (2002). Spectrum of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, or human herpesvirus 8, diseases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 15, 439–464. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.3.439-464.2002
- Adler, M., Tavalai, N., Muller, R., and Stamminger, T. (2011). Human cytomegalovirus immediate-early gene expression is restricted by the nuclear domain 10 component Sp100. J. Gen. Virol. 92, 1532–1538. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.030981-0
- Aggarwal, A., Miranda-Saksena, M., Boadle, R. A., Kelly, B. J., Diefenbach, R. J., Alam, W., et al. (2012). Ultrastructural visualization of individual tegument protein dissociation during entry of herpes simplex virus 1 into human and rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. J. Virol. 86, 6123–6137. doi: 10.1128/JVI.07016-11
- Ahn, J. H., and Hayward, G. S. (1997). The major immediate-early proteins IE1 and IE2 of human cytomegalovirus colocalize with and disrupt PMLassociated nuclear bodies at very early times in infected permissive cells. *J. Virol.* 71, 4599–4613. doi: 10.1128/JVI.71.6.4599-4613.1997
- Alandijany, T., Roberts, A. P. E., Conn, K. L., Loney, C., McFarlane, S., Orr, A., et al. (2018). Distinct temporal roles for the promyelocytic leukaemia

cocktail of Sp100 isoforms are counteracted in each cell type as intrinsic and innate immunity factors by herpesviral proteins may help in its development. Recapitulating, the Sp100B/C/HMG isoforms, and likely other Sp-family members, may sense invading vDNA and coordinate the assembly of H3 and chromatin repressive marks at PML-NB SUMOylation and epigenetic hubs. However, herpesviruses can directly counteract the Sp100 role in viral epigenetics, as well as indirectly by dismantling PML-NB; HSV1 and HCMV IE proteins disrupt PML-NB, while VZV, EBV and KSHV IE proteins selectively target Sp100 thus, PML-NB can still induce an IFN response which leads to vDNA repression, promoting latency establishment. Much remains to be discovered concerning the molecular details leading to latency and the intermediate steps leading to reactivation. This review has highlighted the need to better understand cell-specific dynamics of Sp100 isoforms, characterize further ones, as well as their individual features interplaying with other chromatin factors, framing areas for pharmacological exploration.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MCR wrote, edited the manuscript, and prepared the figures.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (https://mrc.ukri.org) grant MC_UU_12014/5 (to Dr Chris Boutell).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Boutell at the University of Glasgow (UK) for continuous editorial support and Varjak at the University of Tartu (Estonia) for giving early feedback on this manuscript.

(PML) protein in the sequential regulation of intracellular host immunity to HSV-1 infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 14, e1006769. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1006769

- Ashley, C. L., Glass, M. S., Abendroth, A., McSharry, B. P., and Slobedman, B. (2017). Nuclear domain 10 components upregulated via interferon during human cytomegalovirus infection potently regulate viral infection. J. Gen. Virol. 98, 1795–1805. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.000858
- Balandraud, N., and Roudier, J. (2018). Epstein-Barr virus and rheumatoid arthritis. Joint Bone Spine 85, 165–170. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2017.04.011
- Bannister, A. J., and Kouzarides, T. (2011). Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res.* 21, 381–395. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.22
- Bell, P., Lieberman, P. M., and Maul, G. G. (2000). Lytic but not latent replication of epstein-barr virus is associated with PML and induces sequential release of nuclear domain 10 proteins. J. Virol. 74, 11800–11810. doi: 10.1128/JVI.74.24. 11800-11810.2000
- Bernardi, R., and Pandolfi, P. P. (2007). Structure, dynamics and functions of promyelocytic leukaemia nuclear bodies. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8, 1006– 1016. doi: 10.1038/nrm2277

- Bluthner, M., Schafer, C., Schneider, C., and Bautz, F. A. (1999). Identification of major linear epitopes on the sp100 nuclear PBC autoantigen by the genefragment phage-display technology. *Autoimmunity* 29, 33–42. doi: 10.3109/ 08916939908995970
- Bottomley, M. J., Collard, M. W., Huggenvik, J.II, Liu, Z., Gibson, T. J., and Sattler, M. (2001). The SAND domain structure defines a novel DNA-binding fold in transcriptional regulation. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 8, 626–633. doi: 10.1038/89675
- Boutell, C., and Everett, R. D. (2013). Regulation of alphaherpesvirus infections by the ICP0 family of proteins. J. Gen. Virol. 94, 465–481. doi: 10.1099/ vir.0.048900-0
- Boutell, C., Cuchet-Lourenco, D., Vanni, E., Orr, A., Glass, M., McFarlane, S., et al. (2011). A viral ubiquitin ligase has substrate preferential SUMO targeted ubiquitin ligase activity that counteracts intrinsic antiviral defence. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002245. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002245
- Cabral, J. M., Oh, H. S., and Knipe, D. M. (2018). ATRX promotes maintenance of herpes simplex virus heterochromatin during chromatin stress. *ELife*, 7, e40228. doi: 10.7554/eLife.40228
- Cairns, D. M., Rouleau, N., Parker, R. N., Walsh, K. G., Gehrke, L., and Kaplan, D. L. (2020). A 3D human brain-like tissue model of herpes-induced Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaay8828. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aay8828
- Campbell, M., and Izumiya, Y. (2012). Post-Translational Modifications of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Regulatory Proteins - SUMO and KSHV. Front. Microbiol. 3, 31. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00031
- Celen, A. B., and Sahin, U. (2020). Sumoylation on its 25th anniversary: mechanisms, pathology, and emerging concepts. *FEBS J* 287(15), 3110–3140. doi: 10.1111/febs.15319
- Cliffe, A. R., Arbuckle, J. H., Vogel, J. L., Geden, M. J., Rothbart, S. B., Cusack, C. L., et al. (2015). Neuronal Stress Pathway Mediating a Histone Methyl/Phospho Switch Is Required for Herpes Simplex Virus Reactivation. *Cell Host. Microbe* 18, 649–658. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.11.007
- Clynes, D., Higgs, D. R., and Gibbons, R. J. (2013). The chromatin remodeller ATRX: a repeat offender in human disease. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 38, 461–466. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2013.06.011
- Cohen, C., Corpet, A., Roubille, S., Maroui, M. A., Poccardi, N., Rousseau, A., et al. (2018). Promyelocytic leukemia (PML) nuclear bodies (NBs) induce latent/quiescent HSV-1 genomes chromatinization through a PML NB/Histone H3.3/H3.3 Chaperone Axis. *PLoS Pathog.* 14, e1007313. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007313
- Condemine, W., Takahashi, Y., Zhu, J., Puvion-Dutilleul, F., Guegan, S., Janin, A., et al. (2006). Characterization of endogenous human promyelocytic leukemia isoforms. *Cancer Res.* 66, 6192–6198. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3792
- Conn, K. L., Hendzel, M. J., and Schang, L. M. (2013). The differential mobilization of histones H3.1 and H3.3 by herpes simplex virus 1 relates histone dynamics to the assembly of viral chromatin. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003695. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1003695
- Cuchet, D., Sykes, A., Nicolas, A., Orr, A., Murray, J., Sirma, H., et al. (2011). PML isoforms I and II participate in PML-dependent restriction of HSV-1 replication. J. Cell Sci. 124, 280–291. doi: 10.1242/jcs.075390
- Cuchet-Lourenco, D., Boutell, C., Lukashchuk, V., Grant, K., Sykes, A., Murray, J., et al. (2011). SUMO pathway dependent recruitment of cellular repressors to herpes simplex virus type 1 genomes. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002123. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1002123
- Dai, J., Sultan, S., Taylor, S. S., and Higgins, J. M. (2005). The kinase haspin is required for mitotic histone H3 Thr 3 phosphorylation and normal metaphase chromosome alignment. *Genes Dev.* 19, 472–488. doi: 10.1101/gad.1267105
- Davis, N. L., King, C. C., and Kourtis, A. P. (2017). Cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy. Birth Defects Res. 109, 336–346. doi: 10.1002/bdra.23601
- Deng, J., Huang, Y., Wang, Q., Li, J., Ma, Y., Qi, Y., et al. (2020). Human Cytomegalovirus Influences Host circRNA Transcriptions during Productive Infection. *Virol. Sin.* doi: 10.1007/s12250-020-00275-6
- Dent, A. L., Yewdell, J., Puvion-Dutilleul, F., Koken, M. H., de The, H., and Staudt, L. M. (1996). LYSP100-associated nuclear domains (LANDs): description of a new class of subnuclear structures and their relationship to PML nuclear bodies. *Blood* 88, 1423–1426. doi: 10.1182/blood.V88.4.1423.bloodjournal8841423
- Deshmane, S. L., and Fraser, N. W. (1989). During latency, herpes simplex virus type 1 DNA is associated with nucleosomes in a chromatin structure. J. Virol. 63, 943–947. doi: 10.1128/JVI.63.2.943-947.1989
- Dimitropoulou, P., Caswell, R., McSharry, B. P., Greaves, R. F., Spandidos, D. A., Wilkinson, G. W., et al. (2010). Differential relocation and stability of PML-

body components during productive human cytomegalovirus infection: detailed characterization by live-cell imaging. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 89, 757–768. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcb.2010.05.006

- Dow, D. E., Cunningham, C. K., and Buchanan, A. M. (2014). A Review of Human Herpesvirus 8, the Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus, in the Pediatric Population. J. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. Soc. 3, 66–76. doi: 10.1093/jpids/pit051
- Draborg, A., Izarzugaza, J. M., and Houen, G. (2016). How compelling are the data for Epstein-Barr virus being a trigger for systemic lupus and other autoimmune diseases? *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* 28, 398–404. doi: 10.1097/BOR.0000000 000000289
- Echendu, C. W., and Ling, P. D. (2008). Regulation of Sp100A subnuclear localization and transcriptional function by EBNA-LP and interferon. *J. Interferon. Cytokine Res.* 28, 667–678. doi: 10.1089/jir.2008.0023
- Emery, V. C., and Lazzarotto, T. (2017). Cytomegalovirus in pregnancy and the neonate. *F1000Res* 6, 138. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10276.1
- Eustermann, S., Yang, J. C., Law, M. J., Amos, R., Chapman, L. M., Jelinska, C., et al. (2011). Combinatorial readout of histone H3 modifications specifies localization of ATRX to heterochromatin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18, 777–782. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2070
- Everett, R. D., and Murray, J. (2005). ND10 components relocate to sites associated with herpes simplex virus type 1 nucleoprotein complexes during virus infection. *J. Virol.* 79, 5078–5089. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.8.5078-5089.2005
- Everett, R. D., Rechter, S., Papior, P., Tavalai, N., Stamminger, T., and Orr, A. (2006). PML contributes to a cellular mechanism of repression of herpes simplex virus type 1 infection that is inactivated by ICP0. J. Virol. 80, 7995– 8005. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00734-06
- Everett, R. D., Murray, J., Orr, A., and Preston, C. M. (2007). Herpes simplex virus type 1 genomes are associated with ND10 nuclear substructures in quiescently infected human fibroblasts. J. Virol. 81, 10991–11004. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00705-07
- Everett, R. D., Parada, C., Gripon, P., Sirma, H., and Orr, A. (2008). Replication of ICP0-null mutant herpes simplex virus type 1 is restricted by both PML and Sp100. *J. Virol.* 82, 2661–2672. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02308-07
- Everett, R. D., Parsy, M. L., and Orr, A. (2009). Analysis of the functions of herpes simplex virus type 1 regulatory protein ICP0 that are critical for lytic infection and derepression of quiescent viral genomes. J. Virol. 83, 4963–4977. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02593-08
- Everett, R. D., Boutell, C., McNair, C., Grant, L., and Orr, A. (2010). Comparison of the biological and biochemical activities of several members of the alphaherpesvirus ICP0 family of proteins. J. Virol. 84, 3476–3487. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02544-09
- Filippakopoulos, P., and Knapp, S. (2012). The bromodomain interaction module. *FEBS Lett.* 586, 2692–2704. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2012.04.045
- Fraschilla, I., and Jeffrey, K. L. (2020). The Speckled Protein (SP) Family: Immunity's Chromatin Readers. *Trends Immunol.* 41 (7), 572–585. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2020.04.007
- Full, F., and Ensser, A. (2019). Early Nuclear Events after Herpesviral Infection. J. Clin. Med. 8 (9), 1408. doi: 10.3390/jcm8091408
- Full, F., Jungnickl, D., Reuter, N., Bogner, E., Brulois, K., Scholz, B., et al. (2014). Kaposi's sarcoma associated herpesvirus tegument protein ORF75 is essential for viral lytic replication and plays a critical role in the antagonization of ND10-instituted intrinsic immunity. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1003863. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1003863
- Garcia-Dominguez, M., March-Diaz, R., and Reyes, J. C. (2008). The PHD domain of plant PIAS proteins mediates sumoylation of bromodomain GTE proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 21469–21477. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M708176200
- Glass, M., and Everett, R. D. (2013). Components of promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies (ND10) act cooperatively to repress herpesvirus infection. *J. Virol.* 87, 2174–2185. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02950-12
- Grotzinger, T., Jensen, K., and Will, H. (1996a). The interferon (IFN)-stimulated gene Sp100 promoter contains an IFN-gamma activation site and an imperfect IFN-stimulated response element which mediate type I IFN inducibility. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 25253–25260. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.41.25253
- Grotzinger, T., Sternsdorf, T., Jensen, K., and Will, H. (1996b). Interferonmodulated expression of genes encoding the nuclear-dot-associated proteins Sp100 and promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML). *Eur. J. Biochem.* 238, 554– 560. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1996.0554z.x

- Gruffat, H., Marchione, R., and Manet, E. (2016). Herpesvirus Late Gene Expression: A Viral-Specific Pre-initiation Complex Is Key. Front. Microbiol. 7, 869. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00869
- Guldner, H. H., Szostecki, C., Schroder, P., Matschl, U., Jensen, K., Luders, C., et al. (1999). Splice variants of the nuclear dot-associated Sp100 protein contain homologies to HMG-1 and a human nuclear phosphoprotein-box motif. *J. Cell Sci.* 112 (Pt 5), 733–747.
- Gunther, T., and Grundhoff, A. (2010). The epigenetic landscape of latent Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus genomes. *PLoS Pathog.* 6, e1000935. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000935
- Gunther, T., Schreiner, S., Dobner, T., Tessmer, U., and Grundhoff, A. (2014). Influence of ND10 components on epigenetic determinants of early KSHV latency establishment. *PLoS Pathog.* 10, e1004274. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1004274
- Gurrieri, C., Capodieci, P., Bernardi, R., Scaglioni, P. P., Nafa, K., Rush, L. J., et al. (2004). Loss of the tumor suppressor PML in human cancers of multiple histologic origins. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 96, 269–279. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djh043
- Hashimoto, H., Vertino, P. M., and Cheng, X. (2010). Molecular coupling of DNA methylation and histone methylation. *Epigenomics* 2, 657–669. doi: 10.2217/ epi.10.44
- Hecker, C. M., Rabiller, M., Haglund, K., Bayer, P., and Dikic, I. (2006). Specification of SUMO1- and SUMO2-interacting motifs. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 16117–16127. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M512757200
- Imbronito, A. V., Okuda, O. S., Maria de Freitas, N., Moreira Lotufo, R. F., and Nunes, F. D. (2008). Detection of herpesviruses and periodontal pathogens in subgingival plaque of patients with chronic periodontitis, generalized aggressive periodontitis, or gingivitis. J. Periodontol. 79, 2313–2321. doi: 10.1902/jop.2008.070388
- Isaac, A., Wilcox, K. W., and Taylor, J. L. (2006). SP100B, a repressor of gene expression preferentially binds to DNA with unmethylated CpGs. J. Cell Biochem. 98, 1106–1122. doi: 10.1002/jcb.20841
- Ivanov, A. V., Peng, H., Yurchenko, V., Yap, K. L., Negorev, D. G., Schultz, D. C., et al. (2007). PHD domain-mediated E3 ligase activity directs intramolecular sumoylation of an adjacent bromodomain required for gene silencing. *Mol. Cell* 28, 823–837. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.11.012
- Jain, K., Fraser, C. S., Marunde, M. R., Parker, M. M., Sagum, C., Burg, J. M., et al. (2020). Characterization of the plant homeodomain (PHD) reader family for their histone tail interactions. *Epigenet. Chromatin* 13, 3. doi: 10.1186/s13072-020-0328-z
- Johnson, R. W., and Levin, M. J. (2020). Herpes Zoster and Its Prevention by Vaccination. *Interdiscip. Top. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 43, 131–145. doi: 10.1159/ 000504484
- Khan, G., and Hashim, M. J. (2014). Global burden of deaths from Epstein-Barr virus attributable malignancies 1990-2010. *Infect. Agent Cancer* 9, 38. doi: 10.1186/1750-9378-9-38
- Kim, Y. E., Lee, J. H., Kim, E. T., Shin, H. J., Gu, S. Y., Seol, H. S., et al. (2011). Human cytomegalovirus infection causes degradation of Sp100 proteins that suppress viral gene expression. J. Virol. 85, 11928–11937. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00758-11
- Knipe, D. M., and Cliffe, A. (2008). Chromatin control of herpes simplex virus lytic and latent infection. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 211–221. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1794
- Knipe, D. M., Lieberman, P. M., Jung, J. U., McBride, A. A., Morris, K. V., Ott, M., et al. (2013). Snapshots: chromatin control of viral infection. *Virology* 435, 141–156. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2012.09.023
- Knipscheer, P., Flotho, A., Klug, H., Olsen, J. V., van Dijk, W. J., Fish, A., et al. (2008). Ubc9 sumoylation regulates SUMO target discrimination. *Mol. Cell* 31, 371–382. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.05.022
- Korioth, F., Maul, G. G., Plachter, B., Stamminger, T., and Frey, J. (1996). The nuclear domain 10 (ND10) is disrupted by the human cytomegalovirus gene product IE1. *Exp. Cell Res.* 229, 155–158. doi: 10.1006/excr.1996.0353
- Kristie, T. M., Liang, Y., and Vogel, J. L. (2010). Control of alpha-herpesvirus IE gene expression by HCF-1 coupled chromatin modification activities. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1799, 257–265. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.08.003
- Kumar, A., and Kono, H. (2020). Heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1): interactions with itself and chromatin components. *Biophys. Rev.* 12, 387–400. doi: 10.1007/ s12551-020-00663-y
- Kumar, M., Gouw, M., Michael, S., Samano-Sanchez, H., Pancsa, R., Glavina, J., et al. (2020). ELM-the eukaryotic linear motif resource in 2020. Nucleic Acids Res. 48, D296–D306. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz1030

- Kyratsous, C. A., and Silverstein, S. J. (2009). Components of nuclear domain 10 bodies regulate varicella-zoster virus replication. J. Virol. 83, 4262–4274. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00021-09
- Kyratsous, C. A., Walters, M. S., Panagiotidis, C. A., and Silverstein, S. J. (2009). Complementation of a herpes simplex virus ICP0 null mutant by varicellazoster virus ORF61p. J. Virol. 83, 10637–10643. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01144-09
- Landolfo, S., De Andrea, M., Dell'Oste, V., and Gugliesi, F. (2016). Intrinsic host restriction factors of human cytomegalovirus replication and mechanisms of viral escape. World J. Virol. 5, 87–96. doi: 10.5501/wjv.v5.i3.87
- Lang, M., Jegou, T., Chung, I., Richter, K., Munch, S., Udvarhelyi, A., et al. (2010). Three-dimensional organization of promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies. J. Cell Sci. 123, 392–400. doi: 10.1242/jcs.053496
- Larson, A. G., and Narlikar, G. J. (2018). The Role of Phase Separation in Heterochromatin Formation, Function, and Regulation. *Biochemistry* 57, 2540–2548. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00401
- Lehming, N., Le Saux, A., Schuller, J., and Ptashne, M. (1998). Chromatin components as part of a putative transcriptional repressing complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95, 7322–7326. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.13.7322
- Leu, J. S., Chang, S. Y., Mu, C. Y., Chen, M. L., and Yan, B. S. (2018). Functional domains of SP110 that modulate its transcriptional regulatory function and cellular translocation. J. BioMed. Sci. 25, 34. doi: 10.1186/s12929-018-0434-4
- Ling, P. D., Peng, R. S., Nakajima, A., Yu, J. H., Tan, J., Moses, S. M., et al. (2005). Mediation of Epstein-Barr virus EBNA-LP transcriptional coactivation by Sp100. *EMBO J.* 24, 3565–3575. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600820
- Lohani, N., and Rajeswari, M. R. (2016). Dichotomous Life of DNA Binding High Mobility Group Box1 Protein in Human Health and Disease. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 17, 762–775. doi: 10.2174/1389203717666160226145217
- Looker, K. J., Magaret, A. S., May, M. T., Turner, K. M. E., Vickerman, P., Newman, L. M., et al. (2017). First estimates of the global and regional incidence of neonatal herpes infection. *Lancet Glob. Health* 5, e300–ee09. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30362-X
- 'ProteomicsDB, Sp100' (2014). Available at: https://www.proteomicsdb.org/ proteomicsdb/#protein/proteinDetails/18390 (Accessed 13th of September).
- Lu, Y., Orr, A., and Everett, R. D. (2016). Stimulation of the Replication of ICP0-Null Mutant Herpes Simplex Virus 1 and pp71-Deficient Human Cytomegalovirus by Epstein-Barr Virus Tegument Protein BNRF1. J. Virol. 90, 9664–9673. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01224-16
- Lukashchuk, V., and Everett, R. D. (2010). Regulation of ICP0-null mutant herpes simplex virus type 1 infection by ND10 components ATRX and hDaxx. J. Virol. 84, 4026–4040. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02597-09
- Maarifi, G., Maroui, M. A., Dutrieux, J., Dianoux, L., Nisole, S., and Chelbi-Alix, M. K. (2015). Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier Alters IFN Response. J. Immunol. 195, 2312–2324. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1500035
- Machida, S., Takizawa, Y., Ishimaru, M., Sugita, Y., Sekine, S., Nakayama, J.II, et al. (2018). Structural Basis of Heterochromatin Formation by Human HP1. *Mol. Cell* 69, 385–97 e8. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.12.011
- Malarkey, C. S., and Churchill, M. E. (2012). The high mobility group box: the ultimate utility player of a cell. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 37, 553–562. doi: 10.1016/ j.tibs.2012.09.003
- Marcocci, M. E., Napoletani, G., Protto, V., Kolesova, O., Piacentini, R., Li Puma, D. D., et al. (2020). Herpes Simplex Virus-1 in the Brain: The Dark Side of a Sneaky Infection. *Trends Microbiol.* 28 (10), 808–820. doi: 10.1016/ j.tim.2020.03.003
- Martinez, O. M., and Krams, S. M. (2017). The Immune Response to Epstein Barr Virus and Implications for Posttransplant Lymphoproliferative Disorder. *Transplantation* 101, 2009–2016. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000001767
- McFarlane, S., Orr, A., Roberts, A. P. E., Conn, K. L., Iliev, V., Loney, C., et al. (2019). The histone chaperone HIRA promotes the induction of host innate immune defences in response to HSV-1 infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 15, e1007667. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007667
- Meesing, A., and Razonable, R. R. (2018). New Developments in the Management of Cytomegalovirus Infection After Transplantation. *Drugs* 78, 1085–1103. doi: 10.1007/s40265-018-0943-1
- Mellor, J. (2006). It takes a PHD to read the histone code. *Cell* 126, 22–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.028
- Muggeridge, M.II, and Fraser, N. W. (1986). Chromosomal organization of the herpes simplex virus genome during acute infection of the mouse central nervous system. J. Virol. 59, 764–767. doi: 10.1128/JVI.59.3.764-767.1986

- Muller, S., and Dejean, A. (1999). Viral immediate-early proteins abrogate the modification by SUMO-1 of PML and Sp100 proteins, correlating with nuclear body disruption. *J. Virol.* 73, 5137–5143. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.6.5137-5143.1999
- Murphy, J. C., Fischle, W., Verdin, E., and Sinclair, J. H. (2002). Control of cytomegalovirus lytic gene expression by histone acetylation. *EMBO J.* 21, 1112–1120. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.5.1112
- Negorev, D., and Maul, G. G. (2001). Cellular proteins localized at and interacting within ND10/PML nuclear bodies/PODs suggest functions of a nuclear depot. *Oncogene* 20, 7234–7242. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204764
- Negorev, D., Ishov, A. M., and Maul, G. G. (2001). Evidence for separate ND10binding and homo-oligomerization domains of Sp100. J. Cell Sci. 114, 59–68.
- Negorev, D. G., Vladimirova, O. V., Ivanov, A., Rauscher, F.3., and Maul, G. G. (2006). Differential role of Sp100 isoforms in interferon-mediated repression of herpes simplex virus type 1 immediate-early protein expression. *J. Virol.* 80, 8019–8029. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02164-05
- Negorev, D. G., Vladimirova, O. V., and Maul, G. G. (2009). Differential functions of interferon-upregulated Sp100 isoforms: herpes simplex virus type 1 promoter-based immediate-early gene suppression and PML protection from ICP0-mediated degradation. J. Virol. 83, 5168–5180. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02083-08
- Newhart, A., Rafalska-Metcalf, I. U., Yang, T., Negorev, D. G., and Janicki, S. M. (2012). Single-cell analysis of Daxx and ATRX-dependent transcriptional repression. J. Cell Sci. 125, 5489–5501. doi: 10.1242/jcs.110148
- Newhart, A., Negorev, D. G., Rafalska-Metcalf, I. U., Yang, T., Maul, G. G., and Janicki, S. M. (2013). Sp100A promotes chromatin decondensation at a cytomegalovirus-promoter-regulated transcription site. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 24, 1454–1468. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e12-09-0669
- Noh, K. M., Maze, I., Zhao, D., Xiang, B., Wenderski, W., Lewis, P. W., et al. (2015). ATRX tolerates activity-dependent histone H3 methyl/phos switching to maintain repetitive element silencing in neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 112, 6820–6827. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1411258112
- Operskalski, E. A. (2012). HHV-8, transfusion, and mortality. J. Infect. Dis. 206, 1485–1487. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jis550
- Peng, J., and Wysocka, J. (2008). It takes a PHD to SUMO. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 33, 191–194. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2008.02.003
- Perusina Lanfranca, M., Mostafa, H. H., and Davido, D. J. (2013). Two overlapping regions within the N-terminal half of the herpes simplex virus 1 E3 ubiquitin ligase ICP0 facilitate the degradation and dissociation of PML and dissociation of Sp100 from ND10. J. Virol. 87, 13287–13296. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02304-13
- Quimby, B. B., Yong-Gonzalez, V., Anan, T., Strunnikov, A. V., and Dasso, M. (2006). The promyelocytic leukemia protein stimulates SUMO conjugation in yeast. *Oncogene* 25, 2999–3005. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209335
- Regad, T., and Chelbi-Alix, M. K. (2001). Role and fate of PML nuclear bodies in response to interferon and viral infections. Oncogene 20, 7274–7286. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204854
- Reuter, N., Schilling, E. M., Scherer, M., Muller, R., and Stamminger, T. (2017). The ND10 Component Promyelocytic Leukemia Protein Acts as an E3 Ligase for SUMOylation of the Major Immediate Early Protein IE1 of Human Cytomegalovirus. J. Virol. 91(10), e02335–16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02335-16
- Rodriguez, M. C., Dybas, J. M., Hughes, J., Weitzman, M. D., and Boutell, C. (2020). The HSV-1 ubiquitin ligase ICP0: Modifying the cellular proteome to promote infection. *Virus Res.* 285, 198015. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198015
- Rossini, G., Cerboni, C., Santoni, A., Landini, M. P., Landolfo, S., Gatti, D., et al. (2012). Interplay between human cytomegalovirus and intrinsic/innate host responses: a complex bidirectional relationship. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2012, 607276. doi: 10.1155/2012/607276
- Saare, M., Hamarik, U., Venta, R., Panarina, M., Zucchelli, C., Pihlap, M., et al. (2015). SP140L, an Evolutionarily Recent Member of the SP100 Family, Is an Autoantigen in Primary Biliary Cirrhosis. J. Immunol. Res. 2015, 526518. doi: 10.1155/2015/526518
- Salzano, M., Sanz-Garcia, M., Monsalve, D. M., Moura, D. S., and Lazo, P. A. (2015). VRK1 chromatin kinase phosphorylates H2AX and is required for foci formation induced by DNA damage. *Epigenetics* 10, 373–383. doi: 10.1080/ 15592294.2015.1028708
- Scherer, M., and Stamminger, T. (2016). Emerging Role of PML Nuclear Bodies in Innate Immune Signaling. J. Virol. 90, 5850–5854. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01979-15
- Schottstedt, V., Blumel, J., Burger, R., Drosten, C., Groner, A., Gurtler, L., et al. (2010). Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV) - Revised. *Transfus. Med. Hemother.* 37, 365–375. doi: 10.1159/000322141

- Seeler, J. S., Marchio, A., Sitterlin, D., Transy, C., and Dejean, A. (1998). Interaction of SP100 with HP1 proteins: a link between the promyelocytic leukemia-associated nuclear bodies and the chromatin compartment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95, 7316–7321. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.13.7316
- Seeler, J. S., Marchio, A., Losson, R., Desterro, J. M., Hay, R. T., Chambon, P., et al. (2001). Common properties of nuclear body protein SP100 and TIF1alpha chromatin factor: role of SUMO modification. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 21, 3314–3324. doi: 10.1128/MCB.21.10.3314-3324.2001
- Shastrula, P. K., Sierra, I., Deng, Z., Keeney, F., Hayden, J. E., Lieberman, P. M., et al. (2019). PML is recruited to heterochromatin during S phase and represses DAXX-mediated histone H3.3 chromatin assembly. J. Cell Sci. 132 (6), jcs220970. doi: 10.1242/jcs.220970
- Shen, T. H., Lin, H. K., Scaglioni, P. P., Yung, T. M., and Pandolfi, P. P. (2006). The Mechanisms of PML-Nuclear Body Formation. *Mol. Cell* 2 (3), 331–339. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.09.013
- Sloan, E., Orr, A., and Everett, R. D. (2016). MORC3, a Component of PML Nuclear Bodies, Has a Role in Restricting Herpes Simplex Virus 1 and Human Cytomegalovirus. J. Virol. 90, 8621–8633. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00621-16
- Sourvinos, G., Tavalai, N., Berndt, A., Spandidos, D. A., and Stamminger, T. (2007). Recruitment of human cytomegalovirus immediate-early 2 protein onto parental viral genomes in association with ND10 in live-infected cells. *J. Virol.* 81, 10123–10136. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01009-07
- Stark, C., Breitkreutz, B. J., Reguly, T., Boucher, L., Breitkreutz, A., and Tyers, M. (2006). BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 34, D535–D539. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkj109
- Stenberg, R. M., Witte, P. R., and Stinski, M. F. (1985). Multiple spliced and unspliced transcripts from human cytomegalovirus immediate-early region 2 and evidence for a common initiation site within immediate-early region 1. *J. Virol.* 56, 665–675. doi: 10.1128/JVI.56.3.665-675.1985
- Sternsdorf, T., Guldner, H. H., Szostecki, C., Grotzinger, T., and Will, H. (1995). Two nuclear dot-associated proteins, PML and Sp100, are often coautoimmunogenic in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 42, 257–268. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3083.1995.tb03652.x
- Sternsdorf, T., Jensen, K., and Will, H. (1997). Evidence for covalent modification of the nuclear dot-associated proteins PML and Sp100 by PIC1/SUMO-1. J. Cell Biol. 139, 1621–1634. doi: 10.1083/jcb.139.7.1621
- Sternsdorf, T., Jensen, K., Reich, B., and Will, H. (1999). The nuclear dot protein sp100, characterization of domains necessary for dimerization, subcellular localization, and modification by small ubiquitin-like modifiers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 12555–12566. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.18.12555
- Strahl, B. D., and Allis, C. D. (2000). The language of covalent histone modifications. *Nature* 403, 41–45. doi: 10.1038/47412
- Suzich, J. B., and Cliffe, A. R. (2018). Strength in diversity: Understanding the pathways to herpes simplex virus reactivation. *Virology* 522, 81–91. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2018.07.011
- Szostecki, C., Krippner, H., Penner, E., and Bautz, F. A. (1987). Autoimmune sera recognize a 100 kD nuclear protein antigen (sp-100). *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 68, 108–116.
- Szostecki, C., Guldner, H. H., Netter, H. J., and Will, H. (1990). Isolation and characterization of cDNA encoding a human nuclear antigen predominantly recognized by autoantibodies from patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. J. Immunol. 145, 4338–4347.
- Szostecki, C., Will, H., Netter, H. J., and Guldner, H. H. (1992). Autoantibodies to the nuclear Sp100 protein in primary biliary cirrhosis and associated diseases: epitope specificity and immunoglobulin class distribution. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 36, 555–564. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3083.1992.tb03224.x
- Tagami, H., Ray-Gallet, D., Almouzni, G., and Nakatani, Y. (2004). Histone H3.1 and H3.3 complexes mediate nucleosome assembly pathways dependent or independent of DNA synthesis. *Cell* 116, 51–61. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03) 01064-X
- Tavalai, N., and Stamminger, T. (2009). Interplay between Herpesvirus Infection and Host Defense by PML Nuclear Bodies. *Viruses* 1, 1240–1264. doi: 10.3390/v1031240
- Tavalai, N., Adler, M., Scherer, M., Riedl, Y., and Stamminger, T. (2011). Evidence for a dual antiviral role of the major nuclear domain 10 component Sp100 during the immediate-early and late phases of the human cytomegalovirus replication cycle. J. Virol. 85, 9447–9458. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00870-11
- Thomas, J. O. (2001). HMG1 and 2: architectural DNA-binding proteins. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 29, 395-401. doi: 10.1042/bst0290395

- Trier, N., Izarzugaza, J., Chailyan, A., Marcatili, P., and Houen, G. (2018). Human MHC-II with Shared Epitope Motifs Are Optimal Epstein-Barr Virus Glycoprotein 42 Ligands-Relation to Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19 (1), 317. doi: 10.3390/ijms19010317
- Van Damme, E., Laukens, K., Dang, T. H., and Van Ostade, X. (2010). A manually curated network of the PML nuclear body interactome reveals an important role for PML-NBs in SUMOylation dynamics. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 6, 51–67. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.6.51
- van Leeuwen, H., Okuwaki, M., Hong, R., Chakravarti, D., Nagata, K., and O'Hare, P. (2003). Herpes simplex virus type 1 tegument protein VP22 interacts with TAF-I proteins and inhibits nucleosome assembly but not regulation of histone acetylation by INHAT. *J. Gen. Virol.* 84, 2501–2510. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.19326-0
- Vignali, M., Hassan, A. H., Neely, K. E., and Workman, J. L. (2000). 'ATPdependent chromatin-remodeling complexes'. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 1899–1910. doi: 10.1128/MCB.20.6.1899-1910.2000
- Vogel, J. L., and Kristie, T. M. (2013). The dynamics of HCF-1 modulation of herpes simplex virus chromatin during initiation of infection. *Viruses* 5, 1272– 1291. doi: 10.3390/v5051272
- Wagenknecht, N., Reuter, N., Scherer, M., Reichel, A., Muller, R., and Stamminger, T. (2015). Contribution of the Major ND10 Proteins PML, hDaxx and Sp100 to the Regulation of Human Cytomegalovirus Latency and Lytic Replication in the Monocytic Cell Line THP-1. Viruses 7, 2884– 2907. doi: 10.3390/v7062751
- Walters, M. S., Kyratsous, C. A., and Silverstein, S. J. (2010). The RING finger domain of Varicella-Zoster virus ORF61p has E3 ubiquitin ligase activity that is essential for efficient autoubiquitination and dispersion of Sp100-containing nuclear bodies. J. Virol. 84, 6861–6865. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00335-10
- Wang, R., Li, K. M., Zhou, C. H., Xue, J. L., Ji, C. N., and Chen, J. Z. (2011). Cdc20 mediates D-box-dependent degradation of Sp100. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 415, 702–706. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.10.146
- Weidner-Glunde, M., Kruminis-Kaszkiel, E., and Savanagouder, M. (2020). Herpesviral Latency-Common Themes. *Pathogens* 9 (2), 125. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens9020125
- Wilcox, K. W., Sheriff, S., Isaac, A., and Taylor, J. L. (2005). 'SP100B is a repressor of gene expression'. J. Cell Biochem. 95, 352–365. doi: 10.1002/jcb.20434
- World Health Organization (2020). *Herpes simplex virus*. Available at: https:// www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/herpes-simplex-virus (Accessed 13 September).

- Xie, K., and Snyder, M. (1995). Two short autoepitopes on the nuclear dot antigen are similar to epitopes encoded by the Epstein-Barr virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 92, 1639–1643. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.5.1639
- Xie, K., Lambie, E. J., and Snyder, M. (1993). Nuclear dot antigens may specify transcriptional domains in the nucleus. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 13, 6170–6179. doi: 10.1128/MCB.13.10.6170
- Yamamoto, K., and Sonoda, M. (2003). 'Self-interaction of heterochromatin protein 1 is required for direct binding to histone methyltransferase, SUV39H1'. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 301, 287–292. doi: 10.1016/ S0006-291X(02)03021-8
- Zhang, X., Zhao, D., Xiong, X., He, Z., and Li, H. (2016). Multifaceted Histone H3 Methylation and Phosphorylation Readout by the Plant Homeodomain Finger of Human Nuclear Antigen Sp100C. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 12786–12798. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.721159
- Zhang, Y., Klein, B. J., Cox, K. L., Bertulat, B., Tencer, A. H., Holden, M. R., et al. (2019). Mechanism for autoinhibition and activation of the MORC3 ATPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 6111–6119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1819524116
- Zhong, S., Muller, S., Ronchetti, S., Freemont, P. S., Dejean, A., and Pandolfi, P. P. (2000). Role of SUMO-1-modified PML in nuclear body formation. *Blood* 95, 2748–2752. doi: 10.1182/blood.V95.9.2748.009k31a_2748_2752
- Zucchelli, C., Tamburri, S., Filosa, G., Ghitti, M., Quilici, G., Bachi, A., et al. (2019). Sp140 is a multi-SUMO-1 target and its PHD finger promotes SUMOylation of the adjacent Bromodomain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj.* 1863, 456–465. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.11.011
- Zuhair, M., Smit, G. S. A., Wallis, G., Jabbar, F., Smith, C., Devleesschauwer, B., et al. (2019). Estimation of the worldwide seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev. Med. Virol.* 29, e2034. doi: 10.1002/ rmv.2034

Conflict of Interest: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Collados Rodríguez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.