Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Biao Tang, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

REVIEWED BY

Jian-cang Zhou, Zhejiang University, China Ana Daniela Ferreira, Wellcome Sanger Institute (WT), United Kingdom Jade LL Teng, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhitao Yang yangzhitao@hotmail.fr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Antibiotic Resistance and New Antimicrobial drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 07 December 2022 ACCEPTED 29 March 2023 PUBLISHED 18 April 2023

CITATION

Xiao C, Li X, Huang L, Cao H, Han L, Ni Y, Xia H and Yang Z (2023) Prevalence and molecular characteristics of polymyxinresistant *Enterobacterales* in a Chinese tertiary teaching hospital. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1118122. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1118122

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Xiao, Li, Huang, Cao, Han, Ni, Xia and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prevalence and molecular characteristics of polymyxinresistant *Enterobacterales* in a Chinese tertiary teaching hospital

Chenlu Xiao^{1,2†}, Xuming Li^{3†}, Lianjiang Huang^{4†}, Huiluo Cao⁵, Lizhong Han^{1,2}, Yuxing Ni⁶, Han Xia³ and Zhitao Yang⁷*

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Clinical Microbiology, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³Department of Scientific Affairs, Hugobiotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen Medical College, Xiamen, China, ⁵Department of Microbiology, The University of Hongkong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China, ⁶Department of Infection Control, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ⁷Department of Emergency, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Introduction: Polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* poses a significant threat to public health globally, but its prevalence and genomic diversity within a sole hospital is less well known. In this study, the prevalence of polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* in a Chinese teaching hospital was investigated with deciphering of their genetic determinants of drug resistance.

Methods: Polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* isolates identified by matrixassisted laser desorption were collected in Ruijin Hospital from May to December in 2021. Both the VITEK 2 Compact and broth dilution methods were used to determine polymyxin B (PMB) susceptibility. Polymyxin-resistant isolates were further characterized by molecular typing using PCR, multi-locus sequence typing, and sequencing of the whole genome.

Results: Of the 1,216 isolates collected, 32 (2.6%) across 12 wards were polymyxin-resistant (minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) range, PMB 4–256 mg/ml, and colistin $4 \ge 16$ mg/ ml). A total of 28 (87.5%) of the polymyxin-resistant isolates had reduced susceptibility to imipenem and meropenem (MIC \ge 16 mg/ml). Of the 32 patients, 15 patients received PMB treatment and 20 survived before discharge. The phylogenetic tree of these isolates showed they belonged to different clones and had multiple origins. The polymyxin-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates belonged to ST-11 (85.72%), ST-15 (10.71%), and ST-65 (3.57%), and the polymyxin-resistant *Escherichia coli* belonged to four different sequence types, namely, ST-69 (25.00%), ST-38 (25.00%), ST-648 (25.00%), and ST-1193 (25.00%). In addition, six *mgrB* specific mutations (snp_ALT c.323T>C and amino acid change p.Val8Ala) were identified in 15.6% (5/32) of the isolates. *mcr*-1, a plasmid-mediated polymyxin-resistant gene, was found in three isolates, and non-synonymous mutations including T157P, A246T, G53V, and I44L were also observed.

Discussion: In our study, a low prevalence of polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* was observed, but these isolates were also identified as multidrug resistant. Therefore, efficient infection control measures should be implemented to prevent the further spread of resistance to last-line polymyxin therapy.

KEYWORDS

Enterobacterales, polymyxin-resistance, prevalence, phenotypes, comparative genomics

Introduction

The emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* represents a major public health threat worldwide (Nordmann et al., 2012). Currently, periodic outbreaks or endemics of *Enterobacterales* that are not susceptible to carbapenems have now been documented in hospital settings and the wider community (Mizrahi et al., 2020), and also *Enterobacterales* have been designated by WHO as high-priority pathogens (Kopotsa et al., 2019), which limits the effectiveness of treatment of *Enterobacterales* infections. In 2021, it was estimated that 9.4%–12.5% of *Enterobacterales* isolates were carbapenem-resistant in China (http://www.chinets.com/). It is more serious that *Enterobacterales* has been included among a group of multiple drug resistance (MDR) pathogens that "ESKAPE" the actions of commonly used antibiotics (Rice, 2008).

Colistin and polymyxin B (PMB), first- generation polymyxins, were first introduced into clinical practice in the late 1950s but subsequently abandoned due to concerns about nephrotoxicity (Nang et al., 2021). Given their ever-increasing resistance to all other antibiotics, such as carbapenems and aminoglycosides, polymyxins were reintroduced into treatment regimens in the early 2000s for problematic Gram-negative "superbugs" (Velkov et al., 2019). They remain an important last-line treatment as they have excellent activity against many of these problematic pathogens (Jeannot et al., 2017). Worryingly, resistance to polymyxins of numerous bacteria, including Enterobacterales, has been reported in both humans and animals at an alarming rate (Gales et al., 2011; Lekunberri et al., 2017; Sherry and Howden, 2018). For example, in 2021, the China Antimicrobial Surveillance Network (http:// www.chinets.com/) estimated that only 4.6% of isolates in China were resistant to colistin and PMB. In many countries, polymyxins are the only accessible or affordable therapeutic option for carbapenem-resistant organisms (Satlin et al., 2020). The emergence of bacteria resistant to polymyxins, notably Enterobacterales such as Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae) and Escherichia coli (E. coli), has been attributed to their widespread usage to treat infections (Stefaniuk and Tyski, 2019). Bacterial isolates resistant to polymyxin are of great concern worldwide because they can cause life-threatening infections, particularly those that incorporate antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs), such as extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and metallo- β - lactamases (MBLs), harboring mainly $bla_{\rm KPC}$, $bl\alpha_{\rm NDM}$, and $bl\alpha_{\rm oxA-48}$ like genes which have become a major challenge to public health because of limited antibiotic choice and high case-fatality rates (Capone et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2019).

The acquisition of polymyxin resistance has been attributed to mutations of phoP/phoQ and pmrA/pmrB, which are twocomponent regulatory systems (TCS) (Capone et al., 2013; Javol et al., 2015; Stefaniuk and Tyski, 2019). Constitutive upregulation of the pmrHFIJKLM-ugd operon is known to be triggered by highly specific mutations, which lead to the covalent attachment of 4amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose to the lipid A component of lipopolysaccharide that is located in the outer membrane of bacteria (Moffatt et al., 2010; Jones-Dias et al., 2016; Abdul Momin et al., 2017). The mcr (1-10) are polymyxin-resistant genes that have been identified in Enterobacterales isolates, and horizontal transfer of these genes through plasmid can alter bacterial resistance to polymyxin (Antoniadou et al., 2007; Jayol et al., 2015; Baraniak et al., 2016; Bardet et al., 2017; Borowiak et al., 2017; AbuOun et al., 2018; Carroll et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). The aims of the present study were to determine the prevalence, molecular characteristics, and antibiotic susceptibility of polymyxin-resistant Enterobacterales isolated from Chinese patients in a tertiary teaching hospital.

Methods and materials

Bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility testing

The study was based on retrospective samples collected from the Department of Clinical Microbiology of Ruijin Hospital, a 3,624-bed tertiary care teaching hospital located in east China, with approximately 130,000 hospital admissions per year. Ruijin Hospital has five intensive care unit (ICU) wards, and each ward has two sections. All *Enterobacterales* isolates were collected from May to December during 2021. The isolates were analyzed for species identification using the MALDI-TOF MS system (bioMérieux, Missouri, France) and then susceptibility tests using the AST-N335 VITEK 2 Compact system (bio Mérieux, France). The antimicrobial agents investigated were amikacin (aminoglycosides), aztreonam (monobactam), cefepime, cefoperazone/sulbactam, ceftazidime,

ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin (quinolones), colistin, doxycycline, and minocycline (tetracyclines), meropenem and imipenem (carbapenems), piperacillin/tazobactam, tigecycline (glycylcycline), ticarcillin/clavulanic acid, tobramycin, and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of PMB and colistin were determined using broth microdilution with *E. coli* ATCC 25922 used as the quality control strain. All results (except for polymyxins) were interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. For patients with multi polymyxin-resistant isolates, only the first one was used for genome sequencing in the present study. A total of 28 K. *pneumoniae* and four *E. coli* isolates were identified to be polymyxin-resistant, and we performed whole- genome sequencing on all of them. The public genomes used in this study are summarized in Table S1.

Genome sequencing, assembly, and annotation

Genomic DNA of each polymyxin-resistant isolate was extracted using the method of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (Clarke, 2009). The quantity and quality of DNA were determined using a Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA), and the integrity was checked using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). The sequencing libraries were constructed using a TruSeq DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) and a Template Prep Kit (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, California, USA). Genome sequencing was carried out by Shanghai Personal Biotechnology (Shanghai, China) using an Illumina NovaSeq platform with a PE150 model. De novo genome assembly was conducted using A5-Miseq (v20160825) and SPAdes (v3.12.0), followed by base correction using Pilon (v1.23). The gene models were predicted by Glimmer 3.02 (http://ccb.jhu.edu/ software/glimmer/index.shtml). Function annotation was completed by searching databases including NR (Non-Redundant Protein Database), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genomes), and COG (Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins) using BLAST. Subsequently, the VFDB (Virulence Factors of Pathogenic Bacteria) and CARD (the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Databases) were interrogated to retrieve pathogenicity genes and antibiotic-resistant genes, respectively.

Multilocus sequence typing

The PubMLST database (https://pubmlst.org/organisms/) for multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was utilized. Seven housekeeping genes, namely, *gapA*, *infB*, *mdh*, *pgi*, *phoE*, *rpoB*, and *tonB*, were used for *K*. *pneumoniae* typing, whereas *adk*, *fumC*, *gyrB*, *icd*, *mdh*, *purA*, and *recA* were adopted for *E*. *coli* typing.

Variant calling and phylogenetic analyses

Taking the genome of *K. pneumoniae* (GCA_008728695.1) and *E. coli* (GCA_003018455.1) as references, Snippy (https://

github.com/tseemann/snippy) was employed to identify SNPs across 378 complete genomes of *K. pneumoniae* and 404 complete genomes of *E. coli* from the GenBank database (Supplementary Table S1). Core SNPs were concatenated and aligned using a snippy-multi script. The SNPs were further annotated by using SnpEff (https://pcingola.github.io/SnpEff/). Subsequently, a core-SNP- based maximum likelihood tree was constructed employing IQ-TREE with a GTR+I+G model and bootstrap values of 1,000 (Minh et al., 2020), and the tree was visualized using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/) (Letunic and Bork, 2021).

Results

Patient demographics and characteristics of the polymyxin-resistant Enterobacterales isolates

The hospitalization information, polymyxin treatment history, and amount of separated polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* isolates for each patient are given in Figure 1. Only 28.6% (8/28) of the patients had isolates with polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* once, and the other patients had isolates multiple times. In particular, two patients were isolated with polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates from one location 10 and 16 times during their hospitalization, demonstrating a persistent- infection procedure. Two patients had isolates with polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates three times from one location during their hospitalization.

A total of 458 K. pneumoniae and 758 E. coli isolates were collected across the study period. Finally (28/458, 6.11%), polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae and (4/758, 0.53%) polymyxin-resistant E. coli strains were isolated from separate patients in 12 different wards (Table 1). Isolates with colistin MICs (29/32, 90.7%) > 4 μ g/ml or PMB MICs that ranged from 4 to 256 μ g/ml were considered to be resistant (Table 2). Most of the polymyxin-resistant isolates were collected from sputum (9/32, 28.1%), followed by throat swabs (4/32, 12.5%), wounds (4/32, 12.5%), blood (3/32, 9.4%), midstream urine (3/32, 9.4%), extravasate fluid (3/32, 9.4%), and bile (2/32, 6.2%). The ages of the patients ranged from 33 to 96 years (range 60.1 \pm 14.3), and the majority were adults with multiple complicated comorbidities. The ward with the most frequent occurrence was the emergency intensive care unit (EICU) (9/32, 28.1%), followed by the burns ward (8/32, 25.0%), critical care unit (CCU) (4/32, 12.5%), respiratory intensive care unit (RICU) (4/32, 12.5%), gastrointestinal surgery ward (1/32, 0.1%), hematology ward (1/ 32, 3.1%), and urology surgery ward (1/32, 3.1%). The average length of a hospital stay was 43 days (CI: 32.25 to 64.75). Five patients (5/32,15.6%) were given tropical PMB treatment (7-22 days) and 10 patients (10/32, 31.2%) intravenous (i.v.) PMB (25-150 mg) sulfate (2-30 days). Fifteen patients (15/32, 46.9%) did not receive any polymyxin- related therapy during their hospitalization. Three patients (3/32, 9.3%) were given i.v. polymyxin E (15–30 mg/ day) aerosol/sulfate therapy (4-18 days), and 2 patients (2/32, 6.2%)



received PMB (50–150 mg/day) sulfate aerosol therapy (7–12 days). Most (14/15, 93.3%) polymyxin-resistance isolates originated from patients that had received polymyxin- related therapy were isolated after the polymyxin treatment (2–50 days) and only one isolate (1/15, 6.67%) of polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* was isolated before polymyxin therapy. Death due to any cause occurred in 10/32 patients, giving a mortality rate of 31.25%. Most of the polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates were ST-11 (23/28, 82.14%), and the others were ST-65 (2, 7.14%) and ST-15 (3/28, 10.71%). Polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates belonged to four different MLSTs, namely, ST-69, ST-38, ST-648, and ST-1193.

Genetic determinants of antibiotic resistance in polymyxin-resistant isolates

All the polymyxin-resistant isolates (32/32,100%) possessed carbapenemase genes (Table 1). No *mcr* genes were detected in polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates, showing that *mcr* genes were not the cause of polymyxin resistance in these strains. In contrast, three of four polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates carried *mcr*-1 polymyxin-resistant genes (isolates 12, 175, and 189).

Specific mutations in *mgrB* were identified in 15.6% (5/32) of the isolates, including one *K. pneumonia* (isolate 123) and four *E.*

coli (isolates 12, 19, 175, and 189). Taking a polymyxin-susceptible genome RJBS176 (Xu et al., 2021) as the reference, 18 mutations of *pmrA/pmrB* and *phoP/phoQ* were identified in polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates, among which nine were synonymous. T157P (Bir et al., 2022) and A246T in *pmrB* and G53V in *pmrA* (Nirwan et al., 2021) were considered as variations that potentially contributed to polymyxin resistance in *K. pneumoniae* isolates (Tables 3, S2, S3).

When the polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates' genomes were compared with a polymyxin-susceptible reference genome (*E. coli* EC1390) (Thuy et al., 2022), we identified 137 mutations in *mgrB*, *pmrA/pmrB*, and *phoP/phoQ* including 63 synonymous ones. Among the genetic variations, I44L (Sánchez et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2021a) in *phoP* has been reported as a variation that potentially contributes to polymyxin-resistant in *E. coli* isolates. In addition, a non-synonymous mutation c.323T>C that resulted in p.Val8Ala was identified in *mgrB* of isolate 12 (Tables 4, S4, S5).

Antimicrobial susceptibility and MDR of polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales*

The antibiotic susceptibility test result of the Enterobacterales isolates is shown in Table 2. According to the CLSI (Satlin et al., 2020), most of the polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae also showed reduced susceptibility to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones, with 96.4% (27/28) isolates resistant to imipenem and (25/28, 89.3%) to meropenem and 75.0% (21/28) isolates to amikacin and 89.3% (25/28) to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. A much lower frequency (16/28, 57.1%) of tigecycline and minocycline resistance was observed. Additionally, polymyxin-resistant E. coli also showed decreased susceptibility to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones. 75.0% (3/4) of isolates were resistant to imipenem and meropenem, 75.0% (3/4) to amikacin, and all of the isolates to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin. It is also noteworthy that 50% (2/4) of the isolates were sensitive to tigecycline and minocycline. Only one polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae (isolate 199) had PMB MICs at 256 µg/ml.

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenomic trees of the 28 polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* and four polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates are shown in Figure S1, S2. The phylogenetic tree of *E. coli* isolates revealed that four polymyxin-resistant isolates did not belong to the same clone but had multiple origins, demonstrating their parallel evolutions. The same phenomenon was observed for *K. pneumoniae isolates*. The difference was that most of the *K. pneumoniae* isolates belonged to ST-11 with a small genetic distance. To further explore the polymyxin-resistant mechanism(s) of *K. pneumoniae*, we compared their phylogenetic relationships with polymyxin-susceptible isolates from 46 isolates and aligned antibiotic resistance genes with their phylogenetic tree (Figure 2). The tree shows a close relationship of isolates within the same ST.

TABLE 1	Patient demographics and	I main characteristics of	f polymyxin-resistant <i>K.</i>	pneumoniae and E. coli.
---------	--------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------

lsolates	Gender	Age (years)	Length of hospi- tal stay (day)	Underlying disease	Ward	Polymyxin treatment+	Outcome	Specimen	Collection date	MLST type	Carbapenem resistance Genes
K. pneumoniae 1	Male	60	45	III degree alkaline corrosion injury, post-traumatic wound infection, alkaline burn of right eyeball	Burns ward	Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 7 d associated with Polymyxin B Sulfate 100 mg q12h i.v. for 7 d (same period)	Survived	Blood	2021/8/2	ST-11	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{CTX-} _{M-65} , bla _{Toho-2} , bla _{SHV-2}
K. pneumoniae 3	Male	96	146	Sepsis due to biliary tract infection, Cholangiocarcinoma, stage IV chronic kidney disease	Respiratory	No treatment	Dead	Blood	2021/7/27	ST-15	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{SHV-} 28, bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{OXA-1}
K. pneumoniae 4	Female	84	50	Septic shock due to intra- abdominal infection, rectal malignant tumor	EICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 75 mg q12h i.v. for 14 d	Dead	Throat swab	2021/7/16	ST-11	bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 8	Male	66	33	Sepsis due to systemic candidiasis and pulmonary infection (CRKP)	CCU	Polymyxin B Sulfate aerosol therapy 50 mg q12h i.v. for 8 d	Survived	Throat swab	2021/7/16	ST-11	bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 9	Female	62	36	III degree burn, inhalation injury, post-traumatic wound infection	RICU	Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 7 d	Survived	Sputum	2021/8/23	ST-11	bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 10	Male	71	61	Hyperosmolar coma in type 2 diabetes, with acute on chronic renal failure	Burns ward	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Drainage fluid	2021/8/16	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 11	Male	37	60	Severe acute pancreatitis, nosocomial pneumonia	EICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 25 mg q12h i.v. for 7 d associated with Polymyxin E aerosol therapy 30 mg q12h iv for 4 d	Survived	Sputum	2021/8/16	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 13	Male	42	120	Severe acute pancreatitis, diabetes mellitus type 2	CCU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 100 mg q12h iv for 11 d	Survived	Bile	2021/8/23	ST-11	bla _{SHV-11} , bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{CTX-M-65} , bla _{TEM-1b}
K. pneumoniae 14	Male	72	28	Sepsis due to urinary tract infection, acute kidney injury	EICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Dead	Midstream- urine	2021/8/22	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{CTX-} _{M-65} , bla _{TEM-1b}
K. pneumoniae 15	Male	41	102	Severe acute pancreatitis, sepsis, complicated abdominal infection, respiratory failure, hemorrhagic shock	EICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 50 mg q12h i.v. for 20 d	Dead	Sputum	2021/8/11	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1} , bla _{CTX-M-} 65

Xiao et al.

Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

(Continued)

lsolates	Gender	Age (years)	Length of hospi- tal stay (day)	Underlying disease	Ward	Polymyxin treatment :	Outcome	Specimen	Collection date	MLST type	Carbapenem resistance Genes
K. pneumoniae 16	Female	62	36	III degree burn, inhalation injury, post traumatic wound infection	EICU	Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 7 d	Survived	Extravasate fluid	2021/8/11	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 18	Female	55	60	Severe acute pancreatitis, sepsis, nosocomial pneumoni, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome	EICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Dead	Sputum	2021/8/23	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 20	Male	68	33	III degree burn	EICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Extravasate fluid	2021/6/30	ST-11	bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} м-65
K. pneumoniae 21	Male	33	147	III degree burn, hypovolemic shock, post-traumatic wound infection	Burns ward	Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 10 d associated with Polymyxin E sulfate 30 mg q12h i.v. for 18 d	Survived	Wound	2021/6/18	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 22	Male	43	52	III degree burn, sepsis, psoriasis	Burns ward	0.05% Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 40 d associated with Polymyxin B Sulfate 50 mg q12h i.v. for 9 d	Dead	Wound	2021/6/20	ST-11	bla _{SHV-1a} , bla _{TEM-} 215, bla _{TEM-1} , bla _{CTX-M-65}
K. pneumoniae 23	Male	56	107	Severe respiratory failure	CCU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 150 mg q12h i.v. for 30 d	Survived	Sputum	2021/9/8	ST-11	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{KPC-} 2, bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 24	Male	64	26	Pulmonary thromboembolism, severe pneumoniae, fungal urinary tract infection,	RICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Sputum	2021/10/14	ST-11	bla _{SHV-1a} , bla _{TEM-} 215, bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} M-65
K. pneumoniae 123	Male	61	19	Diabetic foot, peripheral neuropathy and vascular disease	Burns ward	No treatment; considered as colonization in wound	Survived	Wound	2021/6/30	ST-15	bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{CTX-} _{M-15} , bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{OXA-1} , bla _{SHV-28}
K. pneumoniae 127	Female	59	28	Pancreatic cancer	Burns ward	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Drainage fluid	2021/6/8	ST-11	bla _{тем-1b} , bla _{CTX-} _{M-15} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 131	Male	67	9	Stomach cancer	Gastrointestinal surgery	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Sputum	2021/8/27	ST-65	bla _{SHV-1a}

TABLE 1 Continued

Xiao et al.

(Continued)

10.3389/fcimb.2023.1118122

lsolates	Gender	Age (years)	Length of hospi- tal stay (day)	Underlying disease	Ward	Polymyxin treatment i	Outcome	Specimen	Collection date	MLST type	Carbapenem resistance Genes
K. pneumoniae 138	Female	57	38	Multiple myeloma	Hematology	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Throat swab	2021/8/30	ST-11	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{CTX-} _{M-15} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 145	Male	33	86	Severe acute pancreatitis	EICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Bile	2021/9/1	ST-11	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{SHV-66}
K. pneumoniae 146	Female	69	34	II degree burn	Burns ward	Local use of middleical polymyxin B for 22 d	Survived	Wound	2021/9/3	ST-11	bla _{CTX-M-65} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{SHV-} ₁₁ , bla _{TEM-1b} ,
K. pneumoniae 147	Female	84	50	Septic shock, intra-abdominal infection, rectal malignant tumor	CCU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 150 mg q12h i.v. for 14 d	Survived	Sputum	2021/9/8	ST-11	bla _{SHV-1a} , bla _{TEM-} ₂₁₅ , bla _{TEM-1}
K. pneumoniae 199	Male	54	76	pulmonary thromboembolism, severe pneumonia,	EICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 50 mg q12h i.v. for 20 d	Dead	Throat swab	2021/9/9	ST-11	bla _{CTX-M-65} , bla _{SHV-1a}
K. pneumoniae 200	Female	70	30	Severe acute pancreatitis	EICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 100 mg q12h i.v. for 2 d	Dead	Sputum	2021/10/12	ST-11	bla _{SHV-66} , bla _{TEM-} 1ь, bla _{CTX-M-65} , bla _{TEM-215}
K. pneumoniae 202	Male	59	41	Sepsis due to systemic candidiasis, myelodysplastic Syndrome	Infection Ward	No treatment	Survived	Midstream- urine	2021/11/2	ST-15	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{KPC-2} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{CTX-} M-65, bla _{Toho-2} , bla _{SHV-2}
K. pneumoniae 212	Male	72	50	Severe pneumonia, respiratory failure, urinary tract infection	RICU	Polymyxin B Sulfate 150 mg q12h i.v. for 24 d associated with Polymyxin E sulfate 15 mg q12h i.v. for 12 d	Survived	Blood	2021/11/2	ST-15	bla _{TEM-1} b, bla _{SHV-} 28, bla _{CTX-M-1} , bla _{TEM-215} , bla _{OXA-} 1
E. coli 12	Female	71	29	Urinary tract infections	Urology	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Midstream urine	2021/6/8	ST-69	bla _{CMY-47} , bla _{CTX-} M-18
E. coli 19	Female	62	36	II-degree burn, inhalation injury, post traumatic wound infection	Surgery	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Drainage fluid	2021/7/11	ST-38	bla _{CTX-M-18} , bla _{CMY-47}
E. coli 175	Male	56	107	Severe acute pancreatitis, intra- abdominal infection, acute renal dysfunction	Burns ward	Polymyxin B Sulfate 150 mg q12h i.v. for 30 d	Survived	Sputum	2021/7/30	ST-648	bla _{TEM-1b} , bla _{OXA-} 10, bla _{CTX-M-55}
E. coli 189	Female	63	16	Multiple myeloma stage III	RICU	No treatment; considered as colonization	Survived	Throat swab	2021/9/29	ST- 1193	bla _{NDM-5} , bla _{TEM-1b}

Antibiotics	Resistant isolates (whole)	Resistant K. pneumoniae	Resistant <i>E. coli</i>	AST range (µg/ml)
Amikacin	24 (75.00%)	21 (75.00%)	3 (75.00%)	8-64
Aztreonam	29 (90.63%)	26 (92.90%)	3 (75.00%)	2-64
Cefepime	28 (89.30%)	25 (89.30%)	3 (75.00%)	2-32
Cefoperazone/sulbactam	28 (89.30%)	25 (89.30%)	3 (75.00%)	8-64
Ceftazidime	26 (81.25%)	22 (78.60%)	4 (100.00%)	2-64
Ciprofloxacin	29 (90.63%)	25 (89.30%)	4 (100.00%)	0.5-4
Colistin	32 (100.00%)	28 (100.00%)	4 (100.00%)	≥ 4-16
Doxycycline	24 (75.00%)	21 (75.00%)	3 (75.00%)	0.5-16
Imipenem	30 (93.75%)	27 (96.40%)	3 (75.00%)	0.25-16
Levofloxacin	29 (90.63%)	25 (89.30%)	4 (100.00%)	1-64
Meropenem	28 (89.30%)	25 (89.30%)	3 (75.00%)	0.25-16
Minocycline	23 (71.88%)	21 (75.00%)	2 (50.00%)	1-16
Piperacillin/tazobactam	27 (85.70%)	24 (85.70%)	3 (75.00%)	4-128
Polymyxin B	32 (100.00%)	28 (100.00%)	4 (100.00%)	≥ 4-256
Ticarcillin/clavulanic acid	25 (78.13%)	22 (78.60%)	3 (75.00%)	8-128
Tigecycline	19 (59.38%)	16 (57.10%)	2 (50.00%)	1-8
Tobramycin	26 (81.25%)	23 (82.10%)	3 (75.00%)	1-16
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	25 (78.13%)	22 (78.60%)	3 (75.00%)	20-320

TABLE 2 Antimicrobial susceptibilities of polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae and E. coli isolates.

A high- frequency appearance of *pmrA/pmrB*, *phoP/phoQ*, *cprR*, and *bacA* was observed in all isolates. Interestingly, *fosA5*, *satA*, and *cmeR* were observed in polymyxin-resistant isolates with high frequencies but were absent in all polymyxin-sensitive isolates. In reverse, *aac*(*6*')-*Iz*, *aac*(*6*')-*Isa*, *fosA6*, *mexA*, and *smeR* had a high frequency in polymyxin-resistant isolates but a low appearance in polymyxin-sensitive isolates. These genes may also be related to the polymyxin-resistant mechanism(s), but further molecular experiments will need to be performed for unequivocal verification.

82.14% (23/28) of the polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates were detected with *mgrB* genes (Table 5). All the polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates were detected with the *mgrB* gene, and 75% (3/4) were identified with *mcr*-1, which are located in the plasmids. Isolate 12 harbors a P0111_1 plasmid, isolate 19 harbors a IncI2_1 plasmid, and isolate 189 harbors a IncI2_1_Delta plasmid. There have been studies showing that *mcr*-1 harboring IncI plasmids were prevalent in various *Enterobacterales* in China, including animal- originated *E. coli* isolates (Tang et al., 2022); *Escherichia fergusonii*, and *Salmonella* (Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2023), which were also observed in our study.

Discussion

The world is facing the great challenge of bacterial resistance to carbapenems. This is because tigecycline and ceftazidime/avibactam have only been registered in a small number of countries and that polymyxins are often the only effective antibiotic against multidrugresistant organisms. However, due to the widespread use of PMB and colistin against MDR organisms, such as Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), K. pneumoniae, and E. coli, the current low levels of polymyxin resistance are changing. Our hospital started using PMB, colistin, and corresponding susceptibility tests in late 2020 to evaluate the effectiveness of therapy that was used to treat carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections. There have been previous reports of infections caused by polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae (Jayol et al., 2015) and E. coli which carried mcr genes (He et al., 2017), and other studies have pooled data from multiple institutions to determine regional susceptibility to polymyxins (Wi et al., 2017; Baek et al., 2020). In the present study, we reported an investigation of the prevalence of polymyxinresistant Enterobacterales during 2021 in our hospital, analyzed the isolates' genomics and phenotypes, and compared the clinical characteristics of the patients from which they were isolated.

In our study in a single hospital, the prevalence of polymyxin resistance was 2.6% (32/1216), which is a little higher than reported (1.9%) by the China Antimicrobial Surveillance Network in 2021. Most polymyxin-resistant isolates have low MICs to PMB and colistin, which is not surprising given their structural similarity, differing only by a single amino acid (Gales et al., 2011). Of concern is that all the isolates contained OXA and β -lactamases, which confer resistance to imipenem and meropenem. Using the definitions for MDR, extensively drug-resistant (XDR), and pan drug-resistant (PDR) (Magiorakos et al., 2012), half of the polymyxin-resistant isolates were PDR and resistant to all

Isolates	pmrB	pmrA	phoQ	phoP
1	NA	NA	NA	NA
3	c.294A>T (p.Arg98Arg), c.336T>C (p.Thr112Thr), c.663G>C (p.Pro221Pro), c.736G>A (p.Ala246Thr), c.766G>C (p.Gly256Arg), c.846G>A (p.Ala282Ala), c.1032A>C (p.Pro344Pro)	NA	NA	NA
4	NA	NA	c.603_628delCAACCTGCTGCTGGTGATCCCCCTGC	c.363T> C (p.Gly121Gly)
8	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	NA	NA	NA	NA
10	NA	NA	NA	NA
11	NA	NA	c.326_349delTTCAACCGGAATGGCTGAAACGCA	NA
13	NA	NA	NA	NA
14	NA	NA	NA	NA
15	NA	NA	NA	NA
16	c.469A>C (p.Thr157Pro)	NA	NA	NA
18	c.41G>C (p.Arg14Pro)	NA	NA	c.633C>T (p.Thr211Thr)
20	NA	NA	NA	NA
21	NA	NA	NA	c.363T>C (p.Gly121Gly)
22	NA	NA	c.158G>T (p.Gly53Val)	NA
23	c.469A>C (p.Thr157Pro)	NA	NA	NA
24	NA	NA	NA	NA
123	c.294A>T (p.Arg98Arg), c.336T>C (p.Thr112Thr), c.663G>C (p.Pro221Pro), c.736G>A (p.Ala246Thr), c.766G>C (p.Gly256Arg), c.846G>A (p.Ala282Ala), c.1032A>C (p.Pro344Pro)	NA	NA	NA
127	NA	NA	NA	NA
131	c.399G>A (p.Leu133Leu), c.663G>C (p.Pro221Pro), c.736G>A (p.Ala246Thr), c.766G>C (p.Gly256Arg)	c.390C>A (p.Gly130Gly), c.615G>A (c.41G>C)	NA	NA
138	c.616G>C (p.Ala206Pro)	NA	NA	NA
145	NA	NA	NA	NA
146	NA	NA	NA	NA
147	NA	NA	NA	NA
199	NA	NA	NA	NA
200	NA	NA	c.326_349delTTCAACCGGAATGGCTGAAACGCA	NA
202	c.294A>T (p.Arg98Arg), c.336T>C (p.Thr112Thr), c.663G>C (p.Pro221Pro), c.736G>A (p.Ala246Thr), c.766G>C (p.Gly256Arg), c.846G>A (p.Ala282Ala), c.1032A>C (p.Pro344Pro)	NA	NA	c.363T>C (p.Gly121Gly)
212	c.294A>T (p.Arg98Arg), c.336T>C (p.Thr112Thr), c.663G>C (p.Pro221Pro), c.736G>A (p.Ala246Thr), c.766G>C (p.Gly256Arg), c.846G>A (p.Ala282Ala), c.1032A>C (p.Pro344Pro)	NA	NA	c.363T>C (p.Gly121Gl y)

TABLE 3 Genetic variations in pmrA, pmrB, phoQ, and phoP of the polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates.

NA, Not applicable.

TABLE 4 Genetic variations in pmrA, pmrB, phoQ, phoP, and mgrB of the polymyxin-resistant E. coli isolates.

Isolate	mgrB	pmrB	pmrA	phoQ	phop
12	c.23T>C (p.Val8Ala)	c.1012dupT (p.Ser338fs), c.486dupG (p.Cys163fs), c.63C>T (p.Ile211le), c.664dupC (p.Leu222fs), c.852A>G (p.Ter284Trpext*)?, c.868A>C (p.Ser290Arg), c.886A>G (p.Arg296Gly), c.910dupG (p.Glu304fs), c.924G>A (p.Ala308Ala)	c.126A>C (p.Ala42Ala), c.210_215delTATACCinsATACACT (p.Asn70fs), c.287C>T (p.Thr96Met), c.382_383delTTinsAC (p.Leu128Thr), c.404C>T (p.Thr135Ile), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.560C>T (p.Pr0187Leu), c.632C>A (p.Ala211Asp)	c.1035A>G (p.Ser345Serz), c.1188T>A (p.Ile396Ile), c.1203C>A (p.Thr401Thr), c.1389G>T (p.Leu463Leu), c.1415dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.1431G>A (p.Leu477Leu), c.17dupT (p.Leu477Leu), c.17dupT (p.Leu8fs), c.277dupT (p.Tyr93fs), c.402T>A (p.Ile134Ile), c.470A>G (p.Gln157Arg), c.506A>G ((p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.506A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.60G>A (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.67C>T (p.Leu23Leu), c.792C>T (p.Tyr264Tyr), c.798G>A (p.Ala3Thr), c.876A>G (p.Glu292Glu), c.900G>A (p.Glu300Glu)	c.130A>T (p.Ile44Leu), c.207C>T (p.Asn69Asn), c.279_282delCGGTinsTGGC (p.95), c.294T>C (p.Tyr98Tyr), c.386_387insAC (p.Val131fs), c.505C>G (p.Gln169Glu), c.598dupA (p.Ile200fs), c.615C>G (p.Pro205Pro), c.643delGinsCA (p.Gly215fs)
19	NA	c.1012dupT (p.Ser338fs), c.1053G>A (p.Gly351Gly), c.1064G>A (p.Arg355Lys), c.286_287delGCinsCGA (p.Glu103fs), c.354C>T (p.Glu125Asp), c.375A>T (p.Glu125Asp), c.390G>A (p.Ala130Ala), c.486dupG (p.Cys163fs), c.551G>A (p.Gly184Asp), c.664dupC (p.Leu222fs), c.679C>T (p.Gln227*), c.700A>C (p.Thr234Pro), c.808C>T (p.Gln270*), c.814G>A (p.Ala272Thr), c.852A>G (p.Ter284Trpext*)?, c.886A>C (p.Ser290Arg), c.886A>G (p.Arg296Gly), c.910dupG (p.Glu304fs), c.933T>A (p.Arg311Arg)	c.126A>C (p.Ala42Ala), c.159G>C (p.Gly53Gly), c.168C>T (p.Asp56Asp), c.177A>G (p.Gly59Gly), c.189C>T (p.Leu63Leu), c.210_215delTATACCinsATACACT (p.Asn70fs), c.224_230delACTGATCinsGTTAATT (p.TyrTerSer75CysTerPhe), c.239T>C (p.Leu80Pro), c.248_251delGCTGinsCCTT (p.ArgTer83ProLeuext*)?, c.281T>G (p.Val94Gly), c.290C>T (p.Thr97Ile), c.323_326delACATinsGCAC (p.TyrMet108CysThr), c.326T>C (p.Met109Thr), c.392T>C (p.Ile131Thr), c.404C>T (p.Thr135Ile), c.416T>C (p.Val139Ala), c.429G>A (p.Trp143*), c.434T>A (p.Val145Glu), c.440_441delGTinsAC (p.Ser147Asn), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.416T>C (p.Val139Ala), c.429G>A (p.Trp143*), c.434T>A (p.Val145Glu), c.440_441delGTinsAC (p.Ser147Asn), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.416T>C (p.Val139Ala), c.429G>A (p.Trp143*), c.434T>A (p.Val145Glu), c.440_441delGTinsAC (p.Ser147Asn), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.476_482delACGGTTAinsGCGCCTG (p.HisGly159ArgAla), c.488C>T (p.Ser163Leu), c.500T>C (p.Val167Ala), c.530C>T (p.Thr177Ile), c.536T>C (p.Ile179Thr), c.548_551delTGAAinsCGAG (p.MetAsn183ThrSer), c.584_587delCAATinsTAAC (p.Thr1le195IleThr), c.632C>A (p.Ala211Asp), c.653G>A (p.Arg218Gln), c.92_93delCAinsGC (p.Thr31Ser)	c.1018C>T (p.Leu340Leu), c.1035A>G (p.Ser345Serz), c.1086T>C (p.Ile362Ile), c.1095G>A (p.Glu365Glu), c.1107C>T (p.Val369Val), c.1119C>T (p.Val369Val), c.1119C>T (p.Val369Val), c.1119C>T (p.Val377Glu), c.1320A>G (p.Val440Val), c.1320A>G (p.Val440Val), c.132T>C (p.Thr44Thr), c.1407G>A (p.Glu469Glu), c.1415dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu45), c.243C>G (p.Thr81Thr), c.249G>A (p.Thr83Thr), c.277dupT (p.Tyr93fs), c.470A>G (p.Gln157Arg), c.48G>A (p.Leu16Leu), c.506A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.60G>A (p.Ala20Ala), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.48G>A (p.Ala20Ala), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.67C>T (p.Leu3Leu), c.506A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.67C>T (p.Leu23Leu), c.696C>T (p.Arg232Arg), c.757T>C (p.Leu23Leu), c.99C>T (p.Tyr264Tyr), c.876A>G (p.Glu223fs), c.987C>T (p.Leu32PLeu)	c.45C>T (p.His15His), c.130A>T (p.Ile44Leu), c.165A>C (p.Pro55Pro), c.207C>T (p.Asn69Asn), c.294T>C (p.Tyr98Tyr), c.306G>A (p.Pro102Pro), c.386_387insAC (p.Val131fs), c.409T>C (p.Ser137Pro), c.505C>G (p.Gln169Glu), c.559C>T (p.Pro187Ser), c.568T>A (p.Ter190Argext*)?, c.599_600delTTinsATA (p.Ile200fs), c.615C>G (p.Pro205Pro), c.643delGinsCA (p.Gly215fs)
175	NA	c.1012dupT (p.Ser338fs), c.286_287delGCinsCGA (p.Glu103fs), c.342C>T (p.Pro114Pro), c.393A>G (p.Leu131Leu), c.419G>A (p.Ser140Asn), c.486dupG	c.126A>C (p.Ala42Ala), c.210_215delTATACCinsATACACT (p.Asn70fs), c.287C>T (p.Thr96Met), c.326T>C (p.Met109Thr), c.404C>T (p.Thr135Ile), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu),	c.1035A>G (p.Ser345Serz), c.1188T>A (p.Ile396Ile), c.1203C>A (p.Thr401Thr), c.132T>C (p.Thr44Thr), c.1415dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu8fs), c.277dupT	c.130A>T (p.Ile44Leu), c.156C>G (p.Leu52Leu), c.159A>T (p.Gly53Gly), c.207C>T (p.Asn69Asn), c.279_282delCGGTinsTGGC (p.95), c.294T>C

(Continued)

TABLE 4 Continued

Isolate	mgrB	pmrB	pmrA	phoQ	phop
		(p.Cys163fs), c.548_551delACGGinsTCGA (p.HisGly183LeuAsp), c.572C>T (p.Ala191Val), c.63C>T (p.Ile211le), c.664dupC (p.Leu222fs), c.852A>G (p.Ter284Trpext*)?, c.868A>C (p.Ser290Arg), c.886A>G (p.Arg296Gly), c.910dupG (p.Glu304fs), c.947A>T (p.Tyr316Phe)	c.416T>C (p.Val139Ala), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.60G>A (p.Ala20Ala)	(p.Tyr93fs), c.470A>G (p.Gln157Arg), c.48G>A (p.Leu16Leu), c.506A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.60G>A (p.Ala20Ala), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.48G>A (p.Leu16Leu), c.506A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.60G>A (p.Ala20Ala), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.67C>T (p.Leu23Leu), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.67C>T (p.Leu23Leu), c.792C>T (p.Tyr264Tyr), c.876A>G (p.Glu292Glu), c.900G>A (p.Glu300Glu), c.96C>T (p.Val32Val), c.975C>T (p.Gly325Gly)	(p.Tyr98Tyr), c.306G>A (p.Pro102Pro), c.386_387insAC (p.Val131fs), c.526C>A (p.Pro176Thr), c.535T>C (p.Ser179Pro), c.559C>T (p.Pro187Ser), c.568T>A (p.Ter190Argext*)?, c.599_600delTTinsATA (p.Ile200fs), c.615C>G (p.Pro205Pro), c.643delGinsCA (p.Gly215fs)
189 NA, Not app	NA	c.1012dupT (p.Ser338fs), c.1081C>T (p.Gln361*), c.339G>A (p.Thr113Thr), c.387G>A (p.Ser129Ser), c.486dupG (p.Cys163fs), c.548_551delACGGinsTCGA (p.HisGly183LeuAsp), c.572C>T (p.Ala191Val), c.582C>T (p.Ser194Ser), c.623T>G (p.Val208Gly), c.664dupC (p.Leu22fs), c.852A>G (p.Ter284Trpext*)?, c.886A>G (p.Arg296Gly), c.910dupG (p.Glu304fs),	c.126A>C (p.Ala42Ala), c.210_215delTATACCinsATACACT (p.Asn70fs), c.287C>T (p.Thr96Met), c.326T>C (p.Met109Thr), c.404C>T (p.Thr135Ile), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.416T>C (p.Val139Ala), c.46C>T (p.Leu16Leu), c.500T>C (p.Val167Ala), c.593C>A (p.Ala198Glu), c.632C>A (p.Ala211Asp), c.653G>A (p.Arg218Gln)	c.1035A>G (p.Ser345Serz), c.1107C>T (p.Val369Val), c.1143C>T (p.Asn381Asn), c.1155T>C (p.Asn385Asn), c.1155T>C (p.Asn385Asn), c.1320A>G (p.Val440Val), c.1320A>G (p.Val440Val), c.1387C>A (p.Leu463Met), c.1415dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.1415dupT (p.Leu472fs), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.17dupT (p.Leu463Met), c.160A>G (p.Asn169Ser), c.548dupA (p.Phe184fs), c.60G>A (p.Ala20Ala), c.666dupA (p.Glu223fs), c.732C>A (p.Thr244Thr), c.792C>T (p.Tyr264Tyr), c.798G>A (p.Glu292Glu), c.996G>A (p.Glu332Glu)	c.130A>T (p.lle44Leu), c.156C>G (p.Leu52Leu), c.165A>C (p.Pro55Pro), c.174C>T (p.Asp58Asp), c.207C>T (p.Asn69Asn), c.294T>C (p.Tyr98Tyr), c.387_388delCCinsACCA (p.Val131fs), c.409T>C (p.Ser137Pro), c.526C>A (p.Pro176Thr), c.535T>C (p.Ser179Pro), c.598dupA (p.lle200fs), c.615C>G (p.Pro205Pro), c.643delGinsCA (p.Gly215fs)

NA, Not applicable.

antibiotics tested, whereas the others were XDR or MDR. ST-11, one of the first identified pandemic K. pneumoniae clones, has been described in Asia, Australia, USA, and Europe (Chen et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2019; Tumbarello et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2021b). Several studies have suggested that a specific ST-11 subclone with different β-lactamases might have increased its epidemic potential (Giske et al., 2012; Sonnevend et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2019). ST-11 K. pneumoniae was also the most dominant strain prevalent in China and exhibited reduced susceptibility to most available antibiotics (Liu et al., 2022; Ouyang et al., 2022), which is consistent with our findings. The K. pneumoniae ST-15 and ST-65 were reported to be prevalent mainly in Asia and also carry multiple resistance genes (Lin et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2021). In our study, most of the prevalence isolates (ST-11) got similar plasmid types, which carry carbapenemase resistance genes. Polymyxin-resistant E. coli isolates in the present study were composed of multiple MLST types. ST- 156 or ST-167 clones have been reported at a higher frequency in China (Xia et al., 2017), whereas ST-69 was found reported in Korea (Kim et al., 2020), ST-38 in the United Kingdom (Greig et al., 2018), ST-648 in Kerman, Iran (Kalantar-Neyestanaki et al., 2020), and ST-1193 in Canada (Izydorczyk et al., 2020). All carried multiple ARGs findings consistent with the results of the present study.

Changes in the two-component systems *pmrA/pmrB* (*E. coli, K. pneumoniae, Salmonella* spp.), *phoP/phoQ* (*K. pneumoniae, Salmonella* spp.), *parR/parS* (*P. aeruginosa*), *colR/colS* (*P. aeruginosa*), and *cprR/cprS* (*Campylobacter jejuni*) are associated with polymyxin resistance in Gram-negative bacteria (Baron et al., 2016). In the present study, all the polymyxin-resistant isolates had mutations in *pmrA* and/or *pmrB*, a major TCS in Gram-negative bacteria, which are responsible for polymyxin resistance. Our study indicates that the *mgrB* alteration may be a common mechanism associated with polymyxin resistance for the clinical treatment of *K*.



pneumoniae infection (Cannatelli et al., 2013). However, only one of the polymyxin-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates contains *mgrB* resistance genes, and an intriguing aspect is that all four isolates of polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* carried *mgrB* genes and β -lactamases. Thus far, other studies have reported 10 variants of the *mcr* genes, *mcr* (1–10) in various *Enterobacterales* (Antoniadou et al., 2007; Jayol et al., 2015; Baraniak et al., 2016; Bardet et al., 2017; Borowiak et al., 2017; AbuOun et al., 2018; Carroll et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). Here, we have identified *mcr*-1 genes in three polymyxin-resistant *E. coli* isolates. The co-appearance of *mcr*-1 and other resistant genes, especially β -lactamases, is worrying, because of limited therapeutic options.

Several studies have shown an association between the use of polymyxin and the emergence of polymyxin resistance in *K. pneumoniae* in hospitals, although the results remain controversial in different countries (Antoniadou et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2009; Zarkotou et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022) In our study, 17 patients received polymyxin therapy during their hospitalizations, 15.6% received topical treatment that involved the use of polymyxin therapy for 7–22 days, 31.2% received PMB IV (25–150 mg) treatment for 2–30 days according to the International

Consensus Guidelines for the Optimal Use of polymyxins (Tsuji et al., 2019), and 9 patients were given polymyxin therapy for more than 15 days. Polymyxin-resistant isolates were obtained from 16 of these patients after polymyxin treatment for 2 to 50 days, and only one patient was isolated with the polymyxin-resistant K. pneumoniae strain before polymyxin therapy was administered. We also found a divergent prevalence in patient isolates with polymyxin- resistant strains after multiple-time or once- only analysis. In patients' isolates with multi polymyxin- resistant strains, 15 out of 22 (68.18%) patients were given polymyxin treatment. In reverse, in patients who had polymyxin- resistant strains isolated only once, only 2 of 10 patients (20%) were given polymyxin treatment. From these findings, we were able to demonstrate an association between the use of polymyxin and the emergence of polymyxin-resistant Enterobacterales. There is a great risk that long-time dosing might lead to polymyxin resistance among various Enterobacterales isolates. Monotherapy with polymyxins should be avoided, with better ways to use them in combination with drugs with synergistic effects or an i.v. spray. According to the host factors of all the collected patients, we concluded that the patients were mainly adults, with multiple complicated comorbid conditions to highly infection-prone

Gene	Isolates	Contig name	Scaffold affiliation	Plasmid information
mgrB	12	Contig1	Chromosome	-
mgrB	19	Contig36	Chromosome	_
mgrB	189	Contig2	Chromosome	-
mgrB	175	Contig1	Chromosome	-
mcr-1	12	Contig46	Plasmid	P0111-1
mcr-1	19	Contig37	Plasmid	IncI2-1
mcr-1	189	Contig29	Plasmid	IncI2-1-Delta
mcr-1	175	Absent	-	-

environments, and also underwent longtime hospitalization. Fifteen patients who were not given polymyxin therapy also had isolates containing polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales*. We may attribute this to their long-term exposure to the environment during hospitalization. Since this was a retrospective study, we did not have the corresponding data about the nosocomial environments. Further studies are therefore needed to demonstrate the prevalence of polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* in hospitals.

Conclusions

A low prevalence of polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* was found soon after polymyxin therapy was introduced into a Chinese tertiary teaching hospital. The polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* pose a real threat to public health. It is very important for clinical laboratories to detect polymyxin- resistant genes and to characterize the epidemiological trends of high-risk polymyxin-resistant *Enterobacterales* in order to optimize the use of the last-line class of antibiotics. Furthermore, effective infection control measures are urgently needed to prevent further transmission of polymyxin resistance.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Ethics statement

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (protocol code RJ2022055 and 1 March 2022 approval).

Author contributions

Project planning, writing of the original draft, CX, XL; genomics analysis, software, and investigation, LZH, XL, HX; project administration; review and editing, LJH, ZY. All authors have read and agreed to the version of the manuscript submitted for publication.

References

Abdul Momin, M. H. F., Bean, D. C., Hendriksen, R. S., Haenni, M., Phee, L. M., and Wareham, D. W. (2017). CHROMagar COL-APSE: a selective bacterial culture medium for the isolation and differentiation of colistin-resistant gram-negative pathogens. J. Med. Microbiol. 66 (11), 1554–1561. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000602

Funding

This work was supported by the Shanghai Hospital Development Center—Exploration and Application of Scientific, Three-Dimensional and Accurate Management Mode of Antibiotics (3D-AMS) Program (SHDC 22020203).

Conflict of interest

XL and HX were employed by Hugobiotech Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1118122/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Phylogenetic tree showing the genomic relationship of the polymyxinresistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates and public isolates. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on core genome SNPs and the isolates sequenced in this study was marked with red color in the circle. Altogether, 378 high quality genomes were collected from GenBank database and 28 K. *pneumoniae* polymyxin-resistant genomes with GCA_008728695 *K. pneumoniae* genome as a reference, resulting in 3 distinct clades. The MLST typing results and country information of the isolates were shown in the outer circles from outside to inside.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Phylogenetic tree showing the genomic relationship of the polymyxinresistant *E. coli* isolates and public isolates. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on core genome SNPs and the isolates sequenced in this study are marked with black triangles. Altogether, 400 high quality genomes were collected from GenBank database and 4 polymyxinresistant *E. coli* and genomes with *E. coli* GCA_003018455.1_ASM301845v1 genome as a reference. The MLST typing results and country information of the isolates are shown on the right.

AbuOun, M., Stubberfield, E. J., Duggett, N. A., Kirchner, M., Dormer, L., Nunez-Garcia, J., et al. (2018). *mcr*-1 and *mcr*-2 (*mcr*-6.1) variant genes identified in *Moraxella* species isolated from pigs in great Britain from 2014 to 2015. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 73 (10), 2904. doi: 10.1093/jac/dky272

Antoniadou, A., Kontopidou, F., Poulakou, G., Koratzanis, E., Galani, I., Papadomichelakis, E., et al. (2007). Colistin-resistant isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* emerging in intensive care unit patients: first report of a multiclonal cluster. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59 (4), 786–790. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl562

Baek, M. S., Chung, E. S., Jung, D. S., and Ko, K. S. (2020). Effect of colistin-based antibiotic combinations on the eradication of persister cells in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 75 (4), 917–924. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkz552

Baraniak, A., Izdebski, R., Fiett, J., Gawryszewska, I., Bojarska, K., Herda, M., et al. (2016). NDM-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in polan -14: inter-regional outbreak of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST11 and sporadic cases. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 71 (1), 85–91. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkv282

Bardet, L., Le Page, S., Leangapichart, T., and Rolain, J. M. (2017). LBJMR medium: a new polyvalent culture medium for isolating and selecting vancomycin and colistinresistant bacteria. *BMC Microbiol.* 17 (1), 220. doi: 10.1186/s12866-017-1128-x

Baron, S., Hadjadj, L., Rolain, J. M., and Olaitan, A. O. (2016). Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: knowns and unknowns. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 48 (6), 583–591. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.06.023

Bir, R., Gautam, H., Arif, N., Chakravarti, P., Verma, J., Banerjee, S., et al. (2022). Analysis of colistin resistance in carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* and XDR *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Ther. Adv. Infect. Dis.* 9, 20499361221080650. doi: 10.1177/ 20499361221080650

Borowiak, M., Fischer, J., Hammerl, J. A., Hendriksen, R. S., Szabo, I., and Malorny, B. (2017). Identification of a novel transposon-associated phosphoethanolamine transferase gene, *mcr*-5, conferring colistin resistance in d-tartrate fermenting *Salmonella enterica* subsp. enterica serovar paratyphi b. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 72 (12), 3317–3324. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx327

Cannatelli, A., D'Andrea, M. M., Giani, T., Di Pilato, V., Arena, F., Ambretti, S., et al. (2013). *In vivo* emergence of colistin resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing KPC-type carbapenemases mediated by insertional inactivation of the *PhoQ/PhoP* mgrB regulator. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 57 (11), 5521–5526. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01480-13

Capone, A., Giannella, M., Fortini, D., Giordano, A., Meledandri, M., Ballardini, M., et al. (2013). High rate of colistin resistance among patients with carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection accounts for an excess of mortality. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 19 (1), E23–E30. doi: 10.1111/1469-0691.12070

Carroll, L. M., Gaballa, A., Guldimann, C., Sullivan, G., Henderson, L. O., and Wiedmann, M. (2019). Identification of novel mobilized colistin resistance gene *mcr*-9 in a multidrug-resistant, colistin-susceptible *Salmonella enterica* serotype typhimurium isolate. *mBio* 10 (3), e00853-19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00853-19

Chen, L., Mathema, B., Chavda, K. D., DeLeo, F. R., Bonomo, R. A., and Kreiswirth, B. N. (2014). Carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: molecular and genetic decoding. *Trends Microbiol.* 22 (12), 686–696. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.09.003

Clarke, J. D. (2009). Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) DNA miniprep for plant DNA isolation. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2009 (3), pdb.prot5177. doi: 10.1101/ pdb.prot5177

Gales, A. C., Jones, R. N., and Sader, H. S. (2011). Contemporary activity of colistin and polymyxin b against a worldwide collection of gram-negative pathogens: results from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance progra -09). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66 (9), 2070–2074. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkr239

Gao, B., Li, X., Yang, F., Chen, W., Zhao, Y., Bai, G., et al. (2019). Molecular epidemiology and risk factors of ventilator-associated pneumonia infection caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00262

Giske, C. G., Fröding, I., Hasan, C. M., Turlej-Rogacka, A., Toleman, M., Livermore, D., et al. (2012). Diverse sequence types of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* contribute to the dissemination of *bla*_{NDM-1} in India, Sweden, and the united kingdom. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (5), 2735–2738. doi: 10.1128/aac.06142-11

Greig, D. R., Dallman, T. J., Hopkins, K. L., and Jenkins, C. (2018). MinION nanopore sequencing identifies the position and structure of bacterial antibiotic resistance determinants in a multidrug-resistant strain of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli. Microb. Genom* 4 (10), e000213. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000213

He, Q. W., Xu, X. H., Lan, F. J., Zhao, Z. C., Wu, Z. Y., Cao, Y. P., et al. (2017). Molecular characteristic of *mcr*-1 producing escherichia coli in a Chinese university hospital. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 16 (1), 32. doi: 10.1186/s12941-017-0207-z

Huang, C., Shi, Q., Zhang, S., Wu, H., and Xiao, Y. (2021a). Acquisition of the mcr-1 gene lowers the target mutation to impede the evolution of a high-level colistinresistant mutant in *Escherichia coli*. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 14, 3041–3051. doi: 10.2147/ idr.S324303

Huang, Y., Sokolowski, K., Rana, A., Singh, N., Wang, J., Chen, K., et al. (2021b). Generating genotype-specific aminoglycoside combinations with Ceftazidime/ Avibactam for KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 65 (9), e0069221. doi: 10.1128/aac.00692-21

Izydorczyk, C., Waddell, B., Edwards, B. D., Greysson-Wong, J., Surette, M. G., Somayaji, R., et al. (2020). Epidemiology of *E. coli* in cystic fibrosis airways demonstrates the capacity for persistent infection but not patient-patient transmission. *Front. Microbiol.* 11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00475

Jayol, A., Nordmann, P., Brink, A., and Poirel, L. (2015). Heteroresistance to colistin in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* associated with alterations in the *PhoPQ* regulatory system. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59 (5), 2780–2784. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05055-14 Jeannot, K., Bolard, A., and Plésiat, P. (2017). Resistance to polymyxins in gramnegative organisms. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 49 (5), 526–535. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijantimicag.2016.11.029

Jones-Dias, D., Manageiro, V., Ferreira, E., Barreiro, P., Vieira, L., Moura, I. B., et al. (2016). Architecture of class 1, 2, and 3 integrons from gram negative bacteria recovered among fruits and vegetables. *Front. Microbiol.* 7. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01400

Kalantar-Neyestanaki, D., Mansouri, S., Kandehkar Ghahraman, M. R., Tabatabaeifar, F., and Hashemizadeh, Z. (2020). Dissemination of different sequence types lineages harboring *bla*_{CTX-M-15} among uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in kerman, Iran. *Iran J. Basic Med. Sci.* 23 (12), 1551–1557. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2020.47520.10940

Kim, S., Kim, H., Kim, Y., Kim, M., Kwak, H., and Ryu, S. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* from retail poultry meats in Korea. *J. Food Prot* 83 (10), 1673–1678. doi: 10.4315/jfp-20-150

Kopotsa, K., Osei Sekyere, J., and Mbelle, N. M. (2019). Plasmid evolution in carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*: a review. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* 1457 (1), 61–91. doi: 10.1111/nyas.14223

Lee, J., Patel, G., Huprikar, S., Calfee, D. P., and Jenkins, S. G. (2009). Decreased susceptibility to polymyxin b during treatment for carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47 (5), 1611–1612. doi: 10.1128/jcm.02466-08

Lekunberri, I., Balcázar, J. L., and Borrego, C. M. (2017). Detection and quantification of the plasmid-mediated *mcr*-1 gene conferring colistin resistance in wastewater. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 50 (6), 734–736. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.08.018

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2021). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (W1), W293–W296. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab301

Lin, J. C., Koh, T. H., Lee, N., Fung, C. P., Chang, F. Y., Tsai, Y. K., et al. (2014). Genotypes and virulence in serotype K2 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from liver abscess and non-infectious carriers in Hong Kong, Singapore and Taiwan. *Gut Pathog.* 6, 21. doi: 10.1186/1757-4749-6-21

Liu, C., Yang, P., Zheng, J., Yi, J., Lu, M., and Shen, N. (2022). Convergence of two serotypes within the epidemic ST11 KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* creates the "Perfect storm" in a teaching hospital. *BMC Genomics* 23 (1), 693. doi: 10.1186/s12864-022-08924-8

Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R. B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M. E., Giske, C. G., et al. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18 (3), 268–281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x

Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., et al. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37 (5), 1530–1534. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msaa015

Mizrahi, A., Delerue, T., Morel, H., Le Monnier, A., Carbonnelle, E., Pilmis, B., et al. (2020). Infections caused by naturally AmpC-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*: Can we use third-generation cephalosporins? a narrative review. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 55 (2), 105834. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2019.10.015

Moffatt, J. H., Harper, M., Harrison, P., Hale, J. D., Vinogradov, E., Seemann, T., et al. (2010). Colistin resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* is mediated by complete loss of lipopolysaccharide production. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54 (12), 4971–4977. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00834-10

Nang, S. C., Azad, M. A. K., Velkov, T., Zhou, Q. T., and Li, J. (2021). Rescuing the last-line polymyxins: Achievements and challenges. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 73 (2), 679–728. doi: 10.1124/pharmrev.120.000020

Nirwan, P. K., Chatterjee, N., Panwar, R., Dudeja, M., and Jaggi, N. (2021). Mutations in two component system (PhoPQ and PmrAB) in colistin resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from north Indian tertiary care hospital. *J. Antibiot (Tokyo)* 74 (7), 450–457. doi: 10.1038/s41429-021-00417-2

Nordmann, P., Dortet, L., and Poirel, L. (2012). Carbapenem resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae*: here is the storm! *Trends Mol. Med.* 18 (5), 263–272. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2012.03.003

Ouyang, P., Jiang, B., Peng, N., Wang, J., Cai, L., Wu, Y., et al. (2022). Characteristics of ST11 KPC-2-producing carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* causing nosocomial infection in a Chinese hospital. *J. Clin. Lab. Anal.* 36 (6), e24476. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24476

Rice, L. B. (2008). Federal funding for the study of antimicrobial resistance in nosocomial pathogens: no ESKAPE. J. Infect. Dis. 197 (8), 1079-1081. doi: 10.1086/533452

Sánchez, F., Fuenzalida, V., Ramos, R., Escobar, B., Neira, V., Borie, C., et al. (2021). Genomic features and antimicrobial resistance patterns of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from food in Chile. *Zoonoses Public Health* 68 (3), 226–238. doi: 10.1111/zph.12818

Satlin, M. J., Lewis, J. S., Weinstein, M. P., Patel, J., Humphries, R. M., Kahlmeter, G., et al. (2020). Clinical and laboratory standards institute and European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing position statements on polymyxin b and colistin clinical breakpoints. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 71 (9), e523–e529. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa121

Sherry, N., and Howden, B. (2018). Emerging gram negative resistance to last-line antimicrobial agents fosfomycin, colistin and ceftazidime-avibactam - epidemiology, laboratory detection and treatment implications. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 16 (4), 289–306. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2018.1453807

Sonnevend, A., Al Baloushi, A., Ghazawi, A., Hashmey, R., Girgis, S., Hamadeh, M. B., et al. (2013). Emergence and spread of NDM-1 producer *Enterobacteriaceae* with contribution of IncX3 plasmids in the united Arab Emirates. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 62 (Pt 7), 1044–1050. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.059014-0

Stefaniuk, E. M., and Tyski, S. (2019). Colistin resistance in *Enterobacterales* strains - a current view. *Pol. J. Microbiol.* 68 (4), 417–427. doi: 10.33073/pjm-2019-055

Tan, D., Zhang, Y., Cheng, M., Le, S., Gu, J., Bao, J., et al. (2019). Characterization of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST11 isolates and their interactions with lytic phages. *Viruses* 11 (11), 1080. doi: 10.3390/v1111080

Tang, B., Chang, J., Luo, Y., Jiang, H., Liu, C., Xiao, X., et al. (2022). Prevalence and characteristics of the *mcr*-1 gene in retail meat samples in zhejiang province, China. *J. Microbiol.* 60 (6), 610–619. doi: 10.1007/s12275-022-1597-y

Tang, B., Chang, J., Zhang, L., Liu, L., Xia, X., Hassan, B. H., et al. (2020). Carriage of distinct *mcr*-1-Harboring plasmids by unusual serotypes of *Salmonella*. *Adv. Biosyst.* 4 (3), e1900219. doi: 10.1002/adbi.201900219

Tang, B., Guan, C., Lin, H., Liu, C., Yang, H., Zhao, G., et al. (2023). Emergence of co-existence of *mcr*-1 and *bla*_{NDM-5} in escherichia fergusonii. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 61 (3), 106742. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2023.106742

Thuy, T. T. D., Lu, H. F., Kuo, P. Y., Lin, W. H., Lin, T. P., Lee, Y. T., et al. (2022). Whole-genome-sequence-based characterization of an NDM-5-producing uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* EC1390. *BMC Microbiol.* 22 (1), 150. doi: 10.1186/s12866-022-02562-6

Tian, X., Huang, C., Ye, X., Jiang, H., Zhang, R., Hu, X., et al. (2019). Molecular epidemiology of and risk factors for extensively drug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections in southwestern China: A retrospective study. *Front. Pharmacol.* 10. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01307

Tsuji, B. T., Pogue, J. M., Zavascki, A. P., Paul, M., Daikos, G. L., Forrest, A., et al. (2019). International consensus guidelines for the optimal use of the polymyxins: Endorsed by the American college of clinical pharmacy (ACCP), European society of clinical microbiology and infectious diseases (ESCMID), infectious diseases society of America (IDSA), international society for anti-infective pharmacology (ISAP), society of critical care medicine (SCCM), and society of infectious diseases pharmacists (SIDP). *Pharmacotherapy* 39 (1), 10–39. doi: 10.1002/phar.2209

Tumbarello, M., Raffaelli, F., Giannella, M., Mantengoli, E., Mularoni, A., Venditti, M., et al. (2021). Ceftazidime-avibactam use for klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-

producing K. pneumoniae infections: A retrospective observational multicenter study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 73 (9), 1664–1676. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab176

Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Azad, M. A. K., Roberts, K. D., and Bergen, P. J. (2019). History, chemistry and antibacterial spectrum. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1145, 15–36. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-16373-0_3

Wang, J., Tang, B., Lin, R., Zheng, X., Ma, J., Xiong, X., et al. (2022). Emergence of mcr-1- and $bla_{\rm NDM-5}$ -harbouring IncHI2 plasmids in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from meat in zhejiang, China. *J. Glob Antimicrob. Resist.* 30, 103–106. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2022.06.002

Wi, Y. M., Choi, J. Y., Lee, J. Y., Kang, C. I., Chung, D. R., Peck, K. R., et al. (2017). Emergence of colistin resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ST235 clone in south Korea. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 49 (6), 767–769. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.01.023

Xia, L., Liu, Y., Xia, S., Kudinha, T., Xiao, S. N., Zhong, N. S., et al. (2017). Prevalence of ST1193 clone and Inc11/ST16 plasmid in *E-coli* isolates carrying *bla*_{CTX-M-55} gene from urinary tract infections patients in China. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 44866. doi: 10.1038/ srep44866

Xu, L., Wan, F., Fu, H., Tang, B., Ruan, Z., Xiao, Y., et al. (2022). Emergence of colistin resistance gene *mcr*-10 in *Enterobacterales* isolates recovered from fecal samples of chickens, slaughterhouse workers, and a nearby resident. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10 (2), e0041822. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00418-22

Xu, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, M., Liu, M., Liu, G., Qu, H., et al. (2021). Mobilization of the nonconjugative virulence plasmid from hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Genome Med.* 13 (1), 119. doi: 10.1186/s13073-021-00936-5

Zarkotou, O., Pournaras, S., Voulgari, E., Chrysos, G., Prekates, A., Voutsinas, D., et al. (2010). Risk factors and outcomes associated with acquisition of colistin-resistant KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: a matched case-control study. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48 (6), 2271–2274. doi: 10.1128/jcm.02301-09

Zhao, J., Zhang, Y., Fan, Y., Han, J., Xiong, Z., Liu, X., et al. (2021). Characterization of an NDM-5-producing hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* sequence type 65 clone from a lung transplant recipient. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 10 (1), 396–399. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1889932

Zhou, H., Wang, S., Wu, Y., Dong, N., Ju, X., Cai, C., et al. (2022). Carriage of the *mcr*-9 and *mcr*-10 genes in clinical strains of the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex in China: a prevalence and molecular epidemiology study. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 60 (4), 106645. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2022.106645