Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jordi Serra-Cobo, University of Barcelona, Spain

REVIEWED BY Timothy J. Foster, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

*CORRESPONDENCE Yongzhong Yu Øyyz1968@126.com Baifen Song 53915848@qq.com

RECEIVED 28 April 2023 ACCEPTED 31 October 2023 PUBLISHED 08 January 2024

CITATION

Yu Y, Cui Y and Song B (2024) The cooperation between orf virus and *Staphylococcus aureus* leads to intractable lesions in skin infection. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1213694. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1213694

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yu, Cui and Song. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The cooperation between orf virus and *Staphylococcus aureus* leads to intractable lesions in skin infection

Yongzhong Yu^{1*}, Yudong Cui¹ and Baifen Song^{2*}

¹College of Biological Science and Technology, Heilongjiang Bayi Agricultural University, Daqing, China, ²College of Veterinary Medicine, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

A large amount of evidence shows that different kinds of microorganisms can jointly cope with environmental pressures including cell hosts. For example, in many cases, it has been found that secondary or mixed infection of animals caused by ORFV (an epitheliophilic Parapoxvirus) and bacteria (such as Staphylococcus aureus or Streptococcus) shows a mutual aid mode that indirectly leads to the deterioration of the disease. However, the lack of research on the co-pathogenic mechanism, including how to hijack and destroy the cell host in the pathological microenvironment, has hindered the in-depth understanding of the pathogenic process and consequences of this complex infection and the development of clinical treatment methods. Here, we summarized the current strategies of trapping cell hosts together, based on the previously defined ORFV-Host (O-H) system. The opportunistic invasion of S. aureus destroyed the delicate dynamic balance of the O-H, thus aggravating tissue damage through bacterial products (mediated by Agr), even causing sepsis or inducing cytokine storms. In fact, the virus products from its adaptive regulatory system (VARS) weaken the immune attacks and block molecular pathways, so that S. aureus can settle there more smoothly, and the toxins can penetrate into local tissues more quickly. This paper focuses on the main challenges faced by cell hosts in dealing with mixed infection, which provides a starting point for us to deal with this disease in the future.

KEYWORDS

Orf virus, Staphylococcus aureus, secondary infections, damage superposition, pathologic mechanism

The establishment of O-H system can easily cause secondary infection

Orf is affecting mostly small ruminants including sheep and goats and, sometimes, wild animals (Hosamani et al., 2009). ORFV is a representative member of the genus *Parapoxvirus* (PPV) of the *Poxviridae* family in virus taxonomy (Matthews, 1982), with zoonotic importance to humans. PPVs can occasionally cross-infect other species, such as

camels and deer. ORFV infection gives rise to contagious ecthyma in damaged skin (Mercer et al., 2006), but rarely causes systemic transmission (Robinson and Lyttle, 1992) unless exerting secondary infection or mixed infection.

Previously, we defined an ORFV-Host (O-H) system, namely O-H, and explained the potential dynamic relationship and mutual adaptation between them (Yu et al., 2022). During infection, ORFV attempts to protect the virus factory from immune elimination by interfering with host cells, mainly through reversely modulating innate immunity response (IIR) and adaptive immunity response (AIR) (Figure 1). Virus factories are key elements of the O-H in plan for adaptation to host environments. Therefore, this system seems to be a device reflecting the relationship of the elimination and antielimination. With the survival strategy, O-H is carefully managed by viruses, and directs a large number of interactions when experiencing IIR and AIR. For this purpose, the virus mainly interferes with the effective operation of the signal pathways, such as 2'-5'oligoadenylate synthetase(OAS)/RNase L, intracellularly (Yu et al., 2022). However, extracellular cytokines are the main targets of virus evasion mechanisms (Hernaez and Alcam, 2020). Therefore, poxviruses express several decoy-receptors of their cellular counterparts, such as vIL-18BP, vIFN-IBP and CrmD, *etc.* to sequester or neutralize cytokines IL-1- β , TNF or/and IFN-I (Hernaez and Alcam, 2020). As mentioned above, to propagate and spread, viruses must counteract host innate immunity (Silverman, 2015). For returning to homeostasis, host cells must activate the emergency mechanism and mobilize local cells to inhibit the infection. A number of viral genes were expressed and acted within infected cells to reversely inhibit the anti-viral host response from IIR and AIR effects. To facilitate evasion, viruses try to inactivate the stress response of host cells as needed.

In addition to constitutive structural genes, the viral immunomodulators are responsible for weakening immune stimulation and maintaining the development of infection on the premise of maintaining O-H homeostasis. Of course, the regulatory ability of virus to overcome host pressure goes far beyond these aspects (Yu et al., 2022). The immunomodulators support the distinct genus-species characteristics of the virus which are



FIGURE 1

Schematic representation of the O-H system depth resolution The relationship between ORFV host antagonism and competition in the O-H system is described in detail. The diagram shows the form of the array of camp members represented by both sides. It reflects the ability of the two parties to mobilize their own "effectors", and also reflects the dependence of both parties on these stress effectors. For ORFV, in order to protect the virus factory from immune elimination caused by infection, they attempt to interfere with multiple stress levels of host, mainly by modulating IIR and AIR. The top left side of the figure represents the antiviral effect of ORFV in response to extracellular cells. Red oval color blocks represent viral components, except that VEGF separately antagonize the effector molecules secreted by the host cells, thereby inhibiting the immune cell activation, and subsequently weakening the levels of native and adaptive immunity. The main function of VEGF is to bind its cellular receptor VEGFR2, which in turn promotes cell proliferation and neovascularization. The top right of the figure represents the focus of competition between virus and host intracellular. In fact, itrsquo;s a duel between the genetic information encoded by the nucleic acids within the nucleus and the genetic information in the viral factory. The various activated immune cells in the middle and lower parts of the right panel are the cells mainly involved in defense, and they are induced by the virus-infected KC, including natural immune cells and lymphocytes. The red and blue arrows at the bottom of the figure, represent the neutralization relationships of viral and host immune responses, respectively. Among them, the natural immunity is more active (signed as +++), while in the specific immunity stage, only Th1 cells are more active (signed as ++); because the antigen-presenting cells (such as macrophages and dendritic cells) are inhibited by the virus, then the antibody secretion and function are greatly affected. The number of plus signs represents the strength of the effect magnitude. Here note that Arsquo; is an enlarged plot of A, representing the enlarged virus factory map on the right in the infected KC.

different from those of the *orthopoxvirus*. Generally, these genes are selectively captured by viruses in order to adapt to the host environment (Fleming et al., 2015), and are armed after deliberate adaptation to host. Today, we can modify the composition of these genes artificially, so as to introduce foreign genes and develop several dynamic gene delivery facilities (potential alternative vectors), such as design of virus-based therapeutic vaccine (Rziha et al., 2000; Amann et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2020). Unfortunately, the homeostasis of O-H can be easily disturbed by subsequent opportunistic invaders such as *S. aureus* or *Streptococcus*. The situation of secondary infection will make the condition extremely complicated, and even lead to systemic lesions.

S. aureus can destroy O-H and worsen the host microenvironment in secondary infection of orf

In recent decades, the pathogenicity of bacterial secondary infection in human and animal patients has increased rapidly (Pastor et al., 2020). This has aroused people's concerns about the health and well-being of herds, environment and biological safety. Orf is such a disease that affects the skin, creating a huge gap for *S. aureus* and also disrupting its O-H program (Chi et al., 2017). This is emphasized by the high detection rate of *S. aureus* in

secondary infection (Pastor et al., 2020). Accordingly, orf can create great convenience for the secondary infection of *S. aureus*.

So far, there have been many reports and references about the secondary infection of orf, but there are few systematic studies. Recently, some scholars have made a detailed analysis of the species and quantities of bacteria secondary to orf infection (Pastor et al., 2020). The statistical data had proved that the incidence of secondary infection of orf was 42%, and the detection rate of *S. aureus* was as high as 58% in the cases of secondary infection. In addition, 30% of secondary infections can detect more than two kinds of bacteria (Pastor et al., 2020). Although the biological materials were only collected from five flocks of sheep in Romania, they were very representative of the worldwide prevalence.

Through pathological examination, it was found that the secondary infection lesions were difficult to repair themselves, and serious ulcers and gangrene appeared at any time. Compared with simple infection, the microbial reproduction of environmental spread is unprecedented. Due to the difference in individual immune level, the death threat may be approaching (see the dying Boer goat kid in Figure 2). In the final analysis, all this is the result of the combination of viruses and bacteria "framing" the host. A similar virus-bacteria cooperation could be found. For example, herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 1 infection promotes invasion of *S. aureus* into the epithelial tissue (Wang et al., 2012). Here, it can be seen that *S.aureus* not only disturbs the O-H, but also promotes the formation of more complicated diseases based on self-limiting orf.



FIGURE 2

The synergistic effect of ORFV (VARS) and *S. aureus* (Agr) in a mixed infection This picture describes in detail the cooperation between ORFV (VARS) and *S. aureus* (Agr) in and out of the cell during co-infection to cope with the immune clearance effect of the host (the green part). At present, the relevant evidence of mutual benefit can be discovered in the clinical cases of co-infection of ORFV with *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus*. ORFV limits the activity of various cells (through IKK and NF-xB signaling; various virulence factors and immune regulatory molecules) (the red brown part), which facilitates the expansion and penetration of bacteria; and bacteria can also promote the local spread of viruses (the purple part). (Chan et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2016; Couñago et al, 2015; Diel et al., 2010; Diel et al., 2011; Fleming et al, 2015; Haig, 2006; Imlach et al., 2002; Khatiwada et al., 2017; Lateef et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2012; Nagendraprabhu et al., 2017; Nardo et al., 2003; Ning et al., 2010; Westphal et al., 2009; Wise et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2020; Zecconi and Scali, 2013; Zhao et al., 2016).

During the process of mixed infection, the quantity and activity of cytokines in local lesion increased significantly. Generally, due to the excessive secretion of cytokines, the vascular permeability of the lesion site increases (in order to recruit immune cells), which makes it easier for bacteria to enter the blood vessel, and at the same time accelerates the extravasation of fluid in the blood vessel, thus destroying the tissue. All kinds of virulence factors of *S. aureus* are frequently dealt with by the host (see Figure 2). If left unguarded, the destruction of the epidermal barrier may lead to protein loss and facilitate the cleavage of the corneodesmosome junctions (Gomes et al., 2021)), imbalance of body fluids and electrolytes, and increased risk of local and systemic infection. Therefore, *S. aureus* is more dangerous in destroying the microenvironment of host epithelium followed by sepsis and cytokine storm.

The molecular mechanism of synergism between orf virus and *S. aureus*

In the process of studying the molecular mechanism of S. aureus cooperating with ORFV to cope with host immune clearance, including IIR and AIR, we summarized the main strategies and showed the key ways of intracellular and extracellular anti-host immune effects (see Figure 2 for details). In fact, these effects are based on well-developed regulatory mechanisms of pathogens. One is called adaptive regulatory system (VARS) in ORFV, and another one mainly comes from the Agr system in S. aureus. The extracellular enzymes of S. aureus regulated by Agr, including serine protease, cysteine protease and metalloprotease family (Dubin, 2002), play an important role in tissue damage and can also resist host immune attack. Among them, the glutamyl endopeptidase, also known as protease V8, can also degrade immunoglobulins: IgM, IgG, IgD and IgE (Miedzobrodzki et al., 1987; Takai and Ikeda, 2011; Burchacka et al., 2013), which is beneficial to the respite of the virus and the recovery of productivity. On the other hand, S. aureus can also up-regulate a member of the Gasdermin protein family, GSDMD, and inhibit the CXCL1-CXCR2 axis, thus hindering the recruitment of neutrophils (Liu et al., 2021). In addition, staphylococcal α -toxin was introduced to facilitate virus evasion from IIR and AIR (Bin et al., 2012). In return, ORFV partially alleviates cytokine activation caused by S. aureus. For example, CKBP of ORFV can inhibit chemokines such as CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CXCL1, CXCL2, XCL1, and effectively prevent immune cells from gathering at the lesion site, including neutrophils, monocytes, dendritic cells (DC), mast cells and T lymphocytes (Couñago et al., 2015; Sharif et al., 2019).

In our opinion, the co-existence of ORFV and *S. aureus* in the host epithelium is an unusual warning. We also can't ignore the protective effects of ORFV on *S. aureus* by inhibiting apoptosis and IKK complex pathway. Another prominent role is to jointly promote secretion of IL-6 (Wang and Luo, 2018) and metalloproteinases (MMP2, MMP9), and also to inhibit chemokines. IL-6 could induce abnormal differentiation of keratinocytes, and the related molecules including keratin K1,

K10, filaggrin (FLG), Loricrin (Son et al., 2014), and others. Furthermore, IL-6 causes cytoskeletal changes and epidermal barrier dysfunction. It can be inferred that the cooperation is not only beneficial to the local tissue colonization of *S. aureus*, but also beneficial to the immune evasion of ORFV.

Other aspects worthy of discussion

Recently, by phylogenetic analysis of genome, researchers found that there were highly conserved amino acid residues in the Nterminal and C-terminal domains of ORFV interferon resistance proteins (VIR) of PPVs, which was responsible for Z-DNA and dsRNA binding. The passing positions, namely N37, Y41, P57, and W59 (for Z-DNA binding) and E116, F127, F141, and K160 (for dsRNA binding), corresponded to ORFV VIR (Karki et al., 2019). This situation reflects the host-specific adaptability of ORFV. Furthermore, pathogenic bacteria such as S. aureus and Streptococcus will inevitably be introduced into the same host. In this kind of mixed infection, the collusion between the two can be described as a perfect match, and they both do their best to serve their common goal: immune evasion. For evasion from host, in the late stage of ORFV infection, virus-induced apoptosis may be beneficial to the spread of progeny virus due to the disintegration of infected cells (Castanier and Arnoult, 2011). If S. aureus is involved, it is believed that the trigger of apoptosis will be more decisive, because it is also beneficial to the release of bacteria.

On the other hand, we can't just emphasize the harm of ORFV unilaterally, and let the mixed infection plot overwhelm the available value of ORFV. Administration of inactivated ORFV results in a transient stimulation of selected IIR mechanisms, which may contribute to the immune enhancement effects in vivo against viral and bacterial infections (Anziliero et al., 2014). This protective effect is considered to be mediated by various mechanisms of the host (Weber et al., 2013). It was balanced by additional mechanisms of infection-induced immunosuppression, which shows the regulatory ability compared to single cytokine therapy (Friebe et al., 2011). To host, the procedure of innate immune memory, that is, trained immunity, is a fundamental property of host's defense in the immune response to a new infection (Netea et al., 2016). Gillard et al. reported that Thy1⁺ NK cells from VACV-primed mice conferred no less protection against VACV challenge in the absence of adaptive immunocytes (Gillard et al., 2011). Similarly, in a non-permissive host dog, canine blood phagocytes and T lymphocytes can be activated by inactivated ORFV (Schütze et al., 2009). If these effects are proved to be lasting, specific, predictable and clinically significant, immune training of ORFV can release comforting potential (Song and Colonna, 2018). Therefore, inactivated ORFV should be investigated further for its potential use in various anti-infection therapies (Paulsen et al., 2013; Anziliero et al., 2014; Wang and Luo, 2018). To date, some reports shows that inactivated ORFV has antiviral activity (Weber et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2015). ORFV can prevent the recurrence of genital herpes in Guinea (Ons et al., 2014). Consistent with findings in *in vivo* animal models, Friebe et al. demonstrated that inactivated ORFV acts on human immune cells, resulting in the regulation of the release of cytokines in

Th1 and Th2 (Friebe et al., 2004). This is supported by the absence of severe side effects in animals and humans after single therapeutic trials (Friebe et al., 2011).

As VACV with tumor-dissolving effect, chimeric ORFV CF189 has been proved effective cytotoxicity *in vitro* and anti-tumor effect *in vivo* at a dose as low as 10³ PFU. These data have encouraged clinical development of this highly effective drug for triple-negative breast cancer (Choi et al., 2018). It is worth noting that perioperative administration of oncolytic ORFV and VACV can reverse NK cell inhibition (Tai et al., 2013). In addition, ORFV can be used as a carrier to produce recombinant vaccines (Tan et al., 2012; Wassie et al., 2019; Tiwari et al., 2020).

Conclusion and perspectives

To sum up, ORFV, as a typical representative of *Parapoxvirus*, aims to construct and maintain the stability of O-H involved in coevolution strategy with the host, so as to achieve the purpose of species transmission. However, it is often wishful thinking. When O-H is established, some pathogens will have the opportunities to invade and make ORFV an intermediary or accomplice. Here, we describe the temporary cooperation between ORFV and *S. aureus*, and deeply describe their co-pathogenic mode and molecular mechanism in response to IIR and AIR in host. Furthermore, we suggest that secondary infection or mixed infection has become an important cause of death of orf animals, reminding researchers and the public to consider it.

The topic of mixed infection of ORFV and bacteria has a long history, but it has not been paid enough attention and in-depth study in the field. Now it seems that the frequent occurrence of this disease is closely related to the welfare of animal groups and environmental safety. Therefore, it is necessary to establish effective system of disease prevention and control. In addition to good feeding management, early detection of orf is also very necessary. Especially, careful treatment of orf is important to prevent secondary infection. In addition, the use of genetic engineering technology to develop combined vaccines is also an effective way to prevent the spread of such diseases. An extremely favorable condition is ORFV's own immune enhancement capacity and gene delivery vehicle. Thus, ORFV can be used to develop recombinant nucleic acid vaccine or biological preparation for treating secondary infection of orf for clinical application.

Author contributions

YY, and YC. conceived and designed the manuscript. BS analyzed the data. YY. and BS. provided resources. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We thank for the Nature Science Foundation of Heilongjiang project, General Program (No. c2017052); Heilongjiang Bayi Agricultural University doctoral research start-up fund project (B2010-09); the NSFC (National Nature Science Foundation of China) project (No.31172353; No.32272991).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Amann, R., Rohde, J., Wulle, U., Conlee, D., Raue, R., Martinon, O., et al. (2013). A new rabies vaccine based on a recombinant orf virus (parapoxvirus) expressing the rabies virus glycoprotein. J. Virol. 87 (3), 1618–1630. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02470-12

Anziliero, D., Weiblen, R., Kreutz, L. C., Spilki, F., and Flores, E. F. (2014). Inactivated Parapoxvirus ovis induces a transient increase in the expression of proinflammatory, Th1-related, and autoregulatory cytokines in mice. *Braz. J. Med.Biol. Res.* 47, 110–118. doi: 10.1590/1414-431X20133358

Bin, L., Kim, B. E., Brauweiler, A., Goleva, E., Streib, J., Ji, Y., et al. (2012). Staphylococcus aureus α -toxin modulates skin host response to viral infection. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 130 (3), 683–691.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2012.06.019

Burchacka, E., Skoreński, M., Sieńczyk, M., and Oleksyszyn, J. (2013). Phosphonic analogues of glutamic acid as irreversible inhibitors of staphylococcus aureus endoproteinase gluc: an efficient synthesis and inhibition of the human igg degradation. *Bioorganic Medicinal Chem. Lett.* 23 (5), 1412–1415. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.12.074

Castanier, C., and Arnoult, D. (2011). Mitochondrial localization of viral proteins as a means to subvert host defense. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1813, 575–583. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.08.009

Chan, A., Baird, M., Mercer, A. A., and Fleming, S. B. (2006). Maturation and function of human dendritic cells are inhibited by orf virus-encoded interleukin-10. *J. Gen. Virol.* 87, 3177–3181. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.82238-0

Chen, D., Zheng, Z., Xiao, B., Li, W., Long, M., Chen, H., et al. (2016). Orf virus 002 protein targets ovine protein S100A4 and inhibits NF-κB signaling. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1389. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01389

Chi, X., Zeng, X., and Luo, S. (2017). Diagnosis and phylogenetic analysis of a multifocal cutaneous orf virus with mixed bacterial infection outbreak in goats in fujian province, China. *Arch. Virology. Arch. Virol.* 162 (10), 2997–3006. doi: 10.1007/s00705-017-3424-z

Choi, A. H., O'Leary, M. P., Chaurasiya, S., Lu, J., Kim, S. I., Fong, Y., et al. (2018). Novel chimeric parapoxvirus CF189 as an oncolytic immunotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer. *Surgery* 163, 336–342. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2017.09.030

Couñago, R. M., Knapp, K. M., Nakatani, Y., Fleming, S. B., and Krause, K. L. (2015). Structures of orf virus chemokine binding protein in complex with host chemokines reveal clues to broad binding specificity. *Structure* 23 (7), 1199–1213. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2015.04.023

Diel, D. G., Delhon, G., Luo, S., Flores, E. F., and Rocket, D. L. (2010). A novel inhibitor of the NF- κ B signaling pathway encoded by the parapoxvirus orf virus. J. Virol. 84, 3962–3973. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02291-09

Diel, D. G., Luo, S., Delhon, G., Peng, Y., Flores, E. F., and Rock, D. L. (2011). Orf virus ORFV121 encodes a novel inhibitor of NF-κB that contributes to virus virulence. *J. Virol.* 85, 2037–2049. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02236-10

Dubin, G. (2002). Extracellular proteases of Staphylococcus spp. *Biol. Chem.* 383 (7-8), 1075–1086. doi: 10.1515/BC.2002.116

Fleming, S. B., Wise, L. M., and Mercer, A. A. (2015). Molecular genetic analysis of orf virus: A poxvirus that has adapted to skin. *Viruses* 7, 1505–1539. doi: 10.3390/ v7031505

Friebe, A., Friederichs, S., Scholz, K., Janssen, U., Scholz, C., Schlapp, T., et al. (2011). Characterization of immunostimulatory components of orf virus (parapoxvirus ovis). J. Gen. Virol. 92, 1571–1584. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.028894-0

Friebe, A., Siegling, A., Friederichs, S., Volk, H. D., and Weber, O. (2004). Immunomodulatory effects of inactivated parapoxvirus ovis (ORF virus) on human peripheral immune cells: induction of cytokine secretion in monocytes and Th1-like cells. J. Virol. 78, 9400–9411. doi: 10.1128/JVI.78.17.9400-9411.2004

Gillard, G. O., Bivas-Benita, M., Hovav, A. H., Grandpre, L. E., Panas, M. W., Seaman, M. S., et al. (2011). Thy1+ Nk cells from vaccinia virus-primed mice confer protection against vaccinia virus challenge in the absence of adaptive lymphocytes. *PloS Pathog.* 7, e1002141. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002141

Gomes, T. F., Calado, R., and Gonalo, M. (2021). Epidermal barrier dysfunction in atopic dermatitis. *Portuguese Soc. Dermatol. Venereology*(3) 79 (3), 207–216. doi: 10.29021/spdv.79.3.1405

Haig, D. M. (2006). Orf virus infection and host immunity. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 19 (2), 127–131. doi: 10.1097/01.qco.0000216622.75326.ef

Hernaez, B., and Alcam, A. (2020). Virus-encoded cytokine and chemokine decoy receptors. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 66, 50–56. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2020.04.008

Hosamani, M., Scagliarini, A., Bhanuprakash, V., Mcinnes, C. J., and Singh, R. K. (2009). Orf: an update on current research and future perspectives. *Expert. Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 7, 879–893. doi: 10.1586/eri.09.64

Imlach, W., McCaughan, C. A., Mercer, A. A., Haig, D., and Fleming, S. B. (2002). Orf virus-encoded interleukin-10 stimulates the proliferation of murine mast cells and inhibits cytokine synthesis in murine peritoneal macrophages. *J. Gen. Virol.* 83, 1049– 1058. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-83-5-1049

Karki, M., Kumar, A., Arya, S., Ramakrishnan, M. A., and Venkatesan, G. (2019). Poxviral E3L ortholog (Viral Interferon resistance gene) of orf viruses of sheep and goats indicates species-specific clustering with heterogeneity among parapoxviruses. *Cytokine* 120, 15–21. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2019.04.001

Khatiwada, S., Delhon, G., Nagendraprabhu, P., Chaulagain, S., Luo, S., Diel, D. G., et al. (2017). A parapoxviral virion protein inhibits NF-κB signaling early in infection. *PloS Pathog.* 13, e1006561. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006561

Lin, F. Y., Tseng, Y. Y., Chan, K. W., Kuo, S. T., Yang, C. H., Wang, C. Y., et al. (2015). Suppression of influenza virus infection by the orf virus isolated in Taiwan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 77, 1055–1062. doi: 10.1292/jvms.14-0663

Liu, Z. Z., Yang, Y. J., Zhou, F. H., Ma, K., and Chen, W. (2021). Gsdmd contributes to host defence against staphylococcus aureus skin infection by suppressing the cxcl1-cxcr2 axis. *Veterinary Res.* 52 (1), 71. doi: 10.1186/s13567-021-00937-7

Matthews, R. E. F. (1982). Classification and nomenclature of viruses. Fourth report of the International committee on taxonomy of viruses. *Intervirology* 17, 1–200. doi: 10.1159/000149278

Mercer, A. A., Ueda, N., Friederichs, S. M., Hofmann, K., Fraser, K. M., Bateman, T., et al. (2006). Comparative analysis of genome sequences of three isolates of Orf virus reveals unexpected sequence variation. *Virus Res.* 116, 146–158. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2005.09.011

Miedzobrodzki, J., Tadeusiewicz, R., and Porwit-Bóbr., Z. (1987). Evaluation of the effect of staphylococcal serine proteinase on phagocytosis. *Archivum Immunologiae Et Therapiae Experimentalis* 35 (6), 877.

Nagendraprabhu, P., Khatiwada, S., Chaulagain, S., Delhon, G., and Rock, D. L. (2017). A parapoxviral virion protein targets the retinoblastoma protein to inhibit NF- κ B signaling. *PloS Pathog.* 13, e1006779. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006779

Nardo, A. D., Yamasaki, K., Dorschner, R. A., Lai, Y., and Gallo, R. L. (2008). Mast cell cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide prevents invasive group A Streptococcus infection of the skin. *J. Immunol.* 180 (11), 7565–7573. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.11.7565

Netea, M. G., Joosten, L. A. B., Latz, E., Mills, K. H. G., Natoli, G., Stunnenberg, H. G., et al. (2016). Trained immunity: A program of innate immune memory in health and disease. *Science* 352, aaf1098. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf1098

Ning, Z., Zheng, Z., Hao, W., Duan, C., and Li, W. (2013). The N terminus of orf virus-encoded protein 002 inhibits acetylation of NF- κ B p65 by preventing ser276 phosphorylation. *PloS One* 8 (3), e58854. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058854

Ons, E., Van Brussel, L., Lane, S., King, V., Cullinane, A., Kenna, R., et al. (2014). Efficacy of a Parapoxvirus ovisbased immunomodulator against equine herpesvirus type 1 and Streptococcus equi equi infections in horses. *Vet. Microbiol.* 173, 232–240. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.07.015

Pastor, E., Pojar, P., Mestesanu, C., and Spnu, M. (2020). Survey and dynamics of bacteria involved in secondary infections in sheep affected by orf virus. *Bull. UASVM Veterinary Med.* 77 (2), 91–100. doi: 10.15835/buasvmcn-vm:2020.0024

Paulsen, D., Urban, A., Knorr, A., Hirth-Dietrich, C., Siegling, A., Volk, H. D., et al. (2013). Inactivated orf virus shows antifibrotic activity and inhibits human hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication in preclinical models. *PloS One* 8, e74605. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074605

Robinson, A. J., and Lyttle, D. J. (1992). "Parapoxviruses: their biology and potential as recombinant vaccines," in *Recombinant poxviruses*. Eds. M. Binns and G. L. Smith (Boca. Raton: CRC Press), 285–327.

Rziha, H. J., Henkel, M., Cottone, R., Bauer, B., Auge, U., GöTz, F., et al. (2000). Generation of recombinant parapoxviruses: non-essential genes suitable for insertion and expression of foreign genes. *J. Biotechnol.* 83 (1-2), 137–145. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1656(00)00307-2

Schneider, M., Müller, M., Yigitliler, A., Xi, J., and Amann, R. (2020). An orf virusbased therapeutic vaccine for the treatment of papillomavirus-induced tumors. *J. Virol.* 94 (15), e00398–e00320. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00398-20

Schütze, N., Raue, R., Büttner, M., and Alber, G. (2009). Inactivated parapoxvirus ovis activates canine blood phagocytes and T lymphocytes. *Vet. Microbiol.* 137, 260–267. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.01.035

Sharif, S., Ueda, N., Nakatani, Y., Wise, L. M., Clifton, S., Lateef, Z., et al. (2019). Chemokine-binding proteins encoded by parapoxvirus of red deer of New Zealand display evidence of gene duplication and divergence of ligand specificity. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 1421. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01421

Shi, J., Zhao, Y., Wang, K., Shi, X., Wang, Y., Huang, H., et al. (2015). Cleavage of GSDMD by inflammatory caspases determines pyroptotic cell death. *Nature* 526 (7575), 660–665. doi: 10.1038/nature15514

Silverman, R. H. (2015). Caps off to poxviruses. Cell Host Microbe 17, 287-289. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.02.013

Son, S. J., Harris, P. W. R., Squire, C. J., Baker, E. N., Kent, S. B. H., and Brimble, M. A. (2014). Total chemical synthesis of an orf virus protein, ORFV002, an inhibitor of the master gene regulator NF- κ B. *Biopolymers* 102, 137–144. doi: 10.1002/bip.22445

Song, W. M., and Colonna, M. (2018). Immune training unlocks innate potential. Cell 172, 3–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.12.034

Tai, L. H., de Souza, C. T., Bélanger, S., Ly, L., Alkayyal, A. A., Zhang, J., et al. (2013). Preventing postoperative metastatic disease by inhibiting surgery-induced dysfunction in natural killer cells. *Cancer Res.* 73, 97–107. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1993

Takai, T., and Ikeda, S. (2011). Barrier dysfunction caused by environmental proteases in the pathogenesis of allergic diseases. *Allergol Int.* 60 (1), 25–35. doi: 10.2332/allergolint.10-RAI-0273

Tan, J. L., Ueda, N., Heath, D., Mercer, A. A., and Fleming, S. B. (2012). Development of orf virus as a bifunctional recombinant vaccine: Surface display of Echinococcus granulosus antigen EG95 by fusion to membrane structural Proteins. *Vaccine* 30, 398– 406. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.10.079

Tiwari, A., Gautam, A., Bhat, S., and Malik, Y. S. (2020). Advances and applications of vectored vaccines in animal diseases. *Genomics Biotechnol. Adv. Veterinary Poultry Fisheries*, 361–380. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816352-8.00015-1

Wang, J. H., Kwon, H. J., and Jang, Y. J. (2010). Staphylococcus aureus increases cytokine and matrix metalloproteinase expression in nasal mucosae of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps. *Am. J. Rhinology Allergy* 24 (6), 422–427. doi: 10.2500/ajra.2010.24.3509

Wang, R., and Luo, S. (2018). Orf virus: A new class of immunotherapy drugs. Syst. Biol 1-17. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.81535

Wang, X. D., Zhang, N., Glorieux, S., Holtappels, G., Vaneechoutte, M., Krysko, O., et al. (2012). Herpes simplex virus type 1 infection facilitates invasion of staphylococcus aureus into the nasal mucosa and nasal polyp tissue. *PloS One* 7 (6), e39875. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039875

Wassie, T., Fanmei, Z., Jiang, X., Liu, G., Girmay, S., Min, Z., et al. (2019). Recombinant B2L and kisspeptin-54 DNA vaccine induces immunity against orf virus and inhibits spermatogenesis in rats. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 16262. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-52744-y

Weber, O., Siegling, A., Friebe, A., Limmer, A., Schlapp, T., Knolle, P., et al. (2003). Inactivated parapoxvirus ovis (Orf virus) has antiviral activity against hepatitis B virus and herpes simplex virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* 84, 1843–1852. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.19138-0

Weber, O., Tennagels, S. M., Siegling, A., Schlapp, T., Mercer, A. A., Fleming, S. B., et al. (2013). Recombinant proteins of parapdxvirus ovis and pharmaceutical compositions therefrom. *United States Patent* 9714272, US8357363 B2.

Westphal, D., Ledgerwood, E. C., Tyndall, J. D. A., Hibma, M. H., Ueda, N., Fleming, S. B., et al. (2009). The orf virus inhibitor of apoptosis functions in a Bcl-2-like manner, binding and neutralizing a set of BH3-only proteins and active Bax. *Apoptosis* 14, 1317–1330. doi: 10.1007/s10495-009-0403-1

Wise, L. M., Inder, M. K., Real, N. C., Stuart, G. S., Fleming, S. B., and Mercer, A. A. (2012). The vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-E encoded by orf virus regulates keratinocyte proliferation and migration and promotes epidermal regeneration. *Cell Microbiol.* 14 (9), 1376–1390. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01802.x

Yu, Y., Duan, X., Liu, Y., Ma, J., Song, B., Lian, Z., et al. (2020). Laboratory diagnosis of a nz7-like orf virus infection and pathogen genetic characterization, particularly in thevegfgene. *Front. veterinary Sci.* 7, 538. doi: 10.3389/fvets. 2020.00538

Yu, Y. Y., Lian, Z. X., and Cui, Y. D. (2022). The O-H system: A panorama view of the PPV-host interaction. *Infection Genet. Evol.* 98, 105220. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid. 2022.105220

Zecconi, A., and Scali, F. (2013). Staphylococcus aureus virulence factors in evasion from innate immune defenses in human and animal diseases. *Immunol. Lett.* 150 (1-2), 12–22. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2013.01.004

Zhao, G., Wu, H., Jiang, K., Chen, X., Wang, X., Qiu, C., et al. (2016). The antiinflammatory effects of interferon tau by suppressing NF-κB/MMP9 in macrophages stimulated with staphylococcus aureus. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.* 36 (8), 516–524. doi: 10.1089/jir.2015.0170