TYPE Editorial PUBLISHED 17 August 2023 DOI 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1271026

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Diane McDougald, University of Technology Sydney, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Carolina H. Pohl PohlCH@ufs.ac.za

RECEIVED 01 August 2023 ACCEPTED 03 August 2023 PUBLISHED 17 August 2023

CITATION

Pohl CH and França A (2023) Editorial: Women in biofilms vol. II. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1271026. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1271026

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Pohl and França. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Women in biofilms vol. II

Carolina H. Pohl^{1*} and Angela França²

¹Department of Microbiology and Biochemistry, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa, ²Laboratório de Investigação em Biofilmes Rosário Oliveira, Centre of Biological Engineering, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

KEYWORDS

women in science, biofilms, biofilm control strategies, biofilm formation, clinical biofilms

Editorial on the Research Topic

Women in biofilms vol. II

Following the success of the first *Women in biofilm* Research Topic, it is important to provide an additional opportunity for women involved in various aspects of biofilm research to publish their work. Female authors in this Research Topic contribute to the 33% of female researchers in STEM subjects worldwide (UNESCO, 2021) and have made significant contributions to work on biofilms, ranging from the development of novel methodologies to novel antibiofilm agents.

Biofilms are formed by many microbes including Archae, Bacteria (Penesyan et al., 2021) and microbes belonging to the Eukarya (Brake and Hisiotis, 2010). These multicellular structures play important roles in microbial ecology in hosts as well as the environment (Davey and O'Toole, 2000), with current estimates indicating that 80% of prokaryotes form biofilms (Penesyan et al., 2021). It is also true that biofilms often consist of more than one species, including members of different domains such as yeasts and bacteria, and *Candida albicans* and *Streptococcus mutans* (Pohl, 2022). This preferred mode of growth has many implications for the biology of the microbes, including their interaction with the abiotic environment (Brake and Hisiotis, 2010; Penesyan et al., 2021), the host (in the case of commensal or pathogenic microbes) (Vestby et al., 2020), as well as for antimicrobial resistance (Pierce et al., 2013; Bowler et al., 2020).

Various models have been developed for the high throughput study of the growth, biology and inhibition of biofilms. Although the two most common approaches are the microplate method and the Calgary biofilm device, they do have certain limitations. The paper by Zaborskyte et al. provides a flexible and reusable model for biofilm formation. This 3D-printed FlexiPeg system was validated using *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilms and proved to be a simple, low cost and relevant model for the study of these bacterial biofilms.

The interaction between *C. albicans* and *S. mutans* was studied further in the paper by Wu et al. who expanded on their previous work that showed that extracellular vesicles of *S. mutans* increase the ability of *C. albicans* to form biofilms (Wu et al., 2020). In this new study, they show that the vesicles also stimulate *C. albicans* carbohydrate metabolism and dentin demineralization, which may lead to increased caries formation.

Since biofilms pose an increased risk of infection (due to their inherent antimicrobial resistance and antiseptic resistance), it is important to select appropriate antiseptics, although this remains a clinical challenge. The work by Paleczny et al. investigated commonly used antiseptics containing low concentrations of chlorine-based/releasing agents as antibiofilm treatment options. This was done using a range of biofilm models including several Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, as well as C. albicans. They showed that the more complex biofilm models are, the better they reflect real-life scenarios, producing biofilms with greater antiseptic tolerance although they also show greater variance. However, the most important finding relates to the use of antiseptics with low chlorine concentrations. They found that the observed antimicrobial action of these antiseptics is not due to inherent activity against microbes, but rather due to the rinsing effect obtained during application.

One strategy explored during the search for new antibiofilm agents is drug repurposing and modification of existing drugs, for example non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Leão et al., 2020). This approach was adopted by Dumitrascu et al. who synthesized and characterized new carbazole derivatives based on the NSAID carprofen. They found that one of these derivatives could inhibit Gram-positive planktonic and biofilm growth and another was active against the Gram-negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

This Research Topic echoes the sentiment expressed by Almeida and Bakaletz (2022) and presents additional examples of the excellent work performed by women in the study of biofilms of bacteria and yeasts.

Author contributions

CP: Writing - original draft. AF: Writing - review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Almeida, C., and Bakaletz, L. O. (2022). Editorial: women in biofilms 2021. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 12. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.1035280

Bowler, P., Murphy, C., and Wolcott, R. (2020). Biofilm exacerbates antibiotic resistance: Is this a current oversight in antimicrobial stewardship? *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 9, 162. doi: 10.1186/s13756-020-00830-6

Brake, S. S., and Hisiotis, S. T. (2010). Eukaryote-dominated biofilms and their significance in acidic environments. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 27, 534–558. doi: 10.1080/01490451003702966

Davey, M. E., and O'Toole, G. A. (2000). Microbial biofilms: from ecology to molecular genetics. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 64, 847-867. doi: 10.1128/MMBR. 64.4.847-867.2000

Leão, C., Borges, A., and Simões, M. (2020). NSAIDs as a drug repurposing strategy for biofilm control. *Antibiotics* 9, 591. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9090591

Penesyan, A., Paulsen, I. T., Kjelleberg, S., and Gillings, M. R. (2021). Three faces of biofilms: a microbial lifestyle, a nascent multicellular organism, and an

incubator for diversity. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes 7, 80. doi: 10.1038/s41522-021-00251-2

Pierce, C. G., Srinivasan, A., Uppuluri, P., Ramasubramanian, A. K., and López-Ribot, J. L. (2013). Antifungal therapy with an emphasis on biofilms. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 13, 726–730. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2013.08.008

Pohl, C. H. (2022). Recent advances and opportunities in the study of *Candida albicans* polymicrobial biofilms. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.836379

UNESCO (2021). UNESCO Science report: the race against time for smarter development (Paris: UNESCO Publishing).

Vestby, L. K., Grønseth, T., Simm, R., and Nesse, L. L. (2020). Bacterial biofilm and its role in the pathogenesis of disease. *Antibiotics* 9, 59. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9020059

Wu, R., Tao, Y., Cao, Y., Zhou, Y., and Lin, H. (2020). Streptococcus mutans membrane vesicles harboring glucosyltransferases augment *Candida albicans* biofilm development. Front. Microbiol. 11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.581184t