Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Claudia Trappetti, University of Adelaide, Australia

REVIEWED BY

Sandra Margarida Carvalho, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal Vanessa Sofia Terra, University of London, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Markus Hilty Markus.hilty@unibe.ch

RECEIVED 17 August 2023 ACCEPTED 30 October 2023 PUBLISHED 29 November 2023

CITATION

Werren JP, Mostacci N, Gjuroski I, Holivololona L, Troxler LJ, Hathaway LJ, Furrer J and Hilty M (2023) Carbon source-dependent capsule thickness regulation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1279119. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1279119

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Werren, Mostacci, Gjuroski, Holivololona, Troxler, Hathaway, Furrer and Hilty. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Carbon source-dependent capsule thickness regulation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

Joel P. Werren^{1,2}, Nadja Mostacci¹, Ilche Gjuroski³, Lalaina Holivololona¹, Lukas J. Troxler¹, Lucy J. Hathaway¹, Julien Furrer³ and Markus Hilty¹*

¹Institute for Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ²Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ³Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmacy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Background: The polysaccharide capsule of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* plays a major role in virulence, adherence to epithelial cells, and overall survival of the bacterium in the human host. Galactose, mannose, and N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) are likely to be relevant for metabolization in the nasopharynx, while glucose is the primary carbon source in the blood. In this study, we aim to further the understanding of the influence of carbon sources on pneumococcal growth, capsule biosynthesis, and subsequent adherence potential.

Methods: We tested the growth behavior of clinical wild-type and capsule knockout *S. pneumoniae* strains, using galactose, GlcNAc, mannose, and glucose as carbon source for growth. We measured capsule thickness and quantified capsule precursors by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–dextran exclusion assays and ³¹P-nuclear magnetic resonance measurements, respectively. We also performed epithelial adherence assays using Detroit 562 cells and performed a transcriptome analysis (RNA sequencing).

Results: We observed a reduced growth in galactose, mannose, and GlcNAc compared to growth in glucose and found capsular size reductions in mannose and GlcNAc compared to galactose and glucose. Additionally, capsular precursor measurements of uridine diphosphate-(UDP)-glucose and UDP-galactose showed less accumulation of precursors in GlcNAc or mannose than in glucose and galactose, indicating a possible link with the received capsular thickness measurements. Epithelial adherence assays showed an increase in adherence potential for a pneumococcal strain, when grown in mannose compared to glucose. Finally, transcriptome analysis of four clinical isolates revealed not only strain specific but also common carbon source-specific gene expression.

Conclusion: Our findings may indicate a careful adaption of the lifestyle of *S. pneumoniae* according to the monosaccharides encountered in the respective human niche.

KEYWORDS

Streptococcus pneumoniae, monosaccharides, capsule, precursor, adherence, transcriptome

1 Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae is a bacterium commonly colonizing the human nasopharynx but also able to cause invasive diseases (Brugger et al., 2016). It is a strictly fermentative bacterium, not able to gain energy from respiration, relying on carbohydrates for energy generation (Hoskins et al., 2001; Tettelin et al., 2001). Facing varying niches in the human host, S. pneumoniae is confronted with several nutritional environments such as the nasopharynx, dominated by mucins rich in galactose, N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), mannose, or the blood, which is dominated by glucose (Aprianto et al., 2018; Hobbs et al., 2018). The bacterium possesses complex enzymatic machinery to process glycans into monosaccharides, ready for uptake via transporters, into the cell (Tettelin et al., 2001; Buckwalter and King, 2012; Hobbs et al., 2018). Its ability to uptake and process more than 30 different carbohydrates significantly contributes to the virulence of pneumococcus (Hava and Camilli, 2002; Obert et al., 2006). Monosaccharides can be taken up via a set of different

transporters, mainly belonging to either adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) transporter superfamily or phosphoenolpyruvate phosphotransferase (PTS) system (Bidossi et al., 2012; Buckwalter and King, 2012). Glucose is the preferred carbon source for S. pneumoniae and transported inside the cell mainly via mannose-type PTS (manLMN), although uptake via ABC transporters is also hypothesized (Carvalho et al., 2011; Bidossi et al., 2012). Nasopharynx-occurring sugars such as galactose, GlcNAc, and mannose can also be transported via manLMN or other PTS systems (Bidossi et al., 2012). Following the uptake, glucose will be processed via Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway, the pentose phosphate pathway, or converted to UDP-glucose (UDP-Glc) and UDP-galactose (UDP-Gal) as a building block for the bacterial capsule (Figure 1) (Ramos et al., 2001; Härtel et al., 2012). If glucose is present in the environment of the pneumococcus, uptake and metabolism of other non-preferred sugars will be repressed through a process called carbon catabolite repression (CCR) (Beckwith and Zipser, 1970). This process is coordinated by the catabolite control protein



FIGURE 1

Schematic representation of metabolic pathways inside *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Monosaccharide uptake phosphotransferase transporter (manLMN); phosphoenolpyruvate phosphotransferase (PTS) transporter; glucose (Glc); glucose kinase (gki); adenosine triphosphate (ATP); adenosine diphosphate (ADP); glucose-6-phosphate (G6P); glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (pgi); fructose-6-phosphate (F6P); 6-phosphofructokinase (pfkA); fructose-biphosphate (FBP); fructose-bisphosphate adolase (fba); dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP); triosephosphate isomerase (tpiA); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP); triosephosphate isomerase (tpiA); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (gapN); reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH); nicotinamide adenine (GfP); glucose 6-dehydrogenase (cgb2K); α -1,3-galactosyltransferase (wciN α); phosphoglucomutase (pgm); glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (gfpd); 6-phosphogluconolactone (6PGL); galactose (Gal); galactose mutarotase (galM); glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase (galT); alpha-glucose-1-phosphate (a(G1P); glucose-1-phosphate (T6P); tagatose-1-phosphate (T6P); tagatose-6-phosphate (IDP-Gal); UDP-glucose 4-epimerase (galE); galactose-6-phosphate (GalOP); tagatose-6-phosphate (T6P); tagatose-6-phosphate (GalC); tagatose-1,6-diphosphate (TBP); D-tagatose-1,6-diphosphate (GalCP); glucosamine-6-phosphate (GalCN); glucosamine-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); mannose-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); glucosamine-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); mannose-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); mannose-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); mannose-6-phosphate (GalCNAEP); mannose-6-phosphate (manA). Figure is adapted and modified from (Carvalho et al., 2011; Paixão et al., 2015b).

A (CcpA) through binding catabolite response elements (CREs) in promoter regions of CCR-susceptible genes (Görke and Stülke, 2008; Carvalho et al., 2011). If glucose is not present, *S. pneumoniae* is able to grow on non-preferred sugars such as galactose, GlcNAc, and mannose (Paixão et al., 2015b). Galactose will be metabolized via Leloir pathway or tagatose-6-phosphate pathway, meanwhile mannose's and GlcNAc's phosphorylated products are isomerized to fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) (Afzal et al., 2014; Moye et al., 2014; Paixão et al., 2015b; Afzal et al., 2016a). The further processing will either continue through EMP for energy generation or biosynthesis of capsular precursors (Figure 1) (Paixão et al., 2015b).

The pneumococcal polysaccharide capsule counts as the most important virulence factor inside the host (Kadioglu et al., 2008). Pneumococcal serotyping, which identifies around 100 different capsule types, is based on the capsular composition (Geno et al., 2015; Ganaie et al., 2020). It has been shown that capsular biosynthesis is influenced by the nutritional environment that a pneumococcus is facing, like changes in oxygen or overall nutrient restricted conditions (Weiser et al., 2001; Hathaway et al., 2012). Furthermore, S. pneumoniae's capsular size varies depending on the anatomical region in the host (Weiser et al., 1994; Weiser, 1998; Hammerschmidt et al., 2005; Arai et al., 2011). Also, the available carbon sources supplementing a chemically defined medium (CDM) have been shown to influence the production of capsular polysaccharides when comparing fructose and glucose (Weinberger et al., 2009; Troxler et al., 2019). The establishment of a thinner capsule most likely promotes epithelial adherence of pneumococcus by exposing surface proteins for cell attachment and also biofilm formation, meanwhile building a thicker capsule during systemic infection may allow evasion of destruction by complementmediated opsonophagocytosis (Kim and Weiser, 1998; Kim et al., 1999; Hava et al., 2003; Hammerschmidt et al., 2005; Muñoz-Elías et al., 2008; Sanchez et al., 2011). Obviously, tight control of capsule expression is vital for pneumococcal survival in different niches, but the exact mechanisms behind capsule regulation are still unknown.

The genetic locus for capsule expression, the cps locus, is likely transcribed from a single promotor, upstream of cpsA, and in most of the known serotypes cpsA, cpsB, cpsC, and cpsD are highly conserved (Guidolin et al., 1994; Yother, 2011; Hathaway et al., 2012; Oliver et al., 2013). Genes downstream of cpsD encode enzymes involved in serotype-specific synthesis, polymerization, and export of capsular polysaccharides (Bentley et al., 2006; Yother, 2011). Not only the capsule locus but also an importance of the synthesis of capsular precursor UDP-glucose and UDP-galactose for capsule expression has been hypothesized and shown by others (Ramos et al., 2001; Carvalho et al., 2011). We have also shown that capsule precursor production and subsequent capsule biosynthesis depend on the carbon source present with the extreme of fructosegrown strains, presenting a nearly absent capsule compared to growth in glucose (Troxler et al., 2019). However, studies of capsule biosynthesis in regards to capsular thickness and capsule precursor production have not been done with nasopharynx relevant monosaccharides to date.

We therefore aimed to better understand the influence of different carbon sources on growth, capsular thickness, gene

expression, and epithelial-cell adherence. To achieve our aim, we used a selection of clinical isolates, which enabled the investigation of strain-dependent and -independent features, together with their capsule knockout mutants (Δcps).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All the bacterial strains used in this project were collected from the Swiss National Reference Centre for Pneumococci. Strains 106.66 (6B), 103.57 (23F), 207.31 (15C), 208.41 (7F), and their capsule knockout mutants (Δcps), with the exception of 207.31 for which no capsule knockout mutant could be made, were chosen for this project. Bacteria were streaked out on Columbia sheep blood agar (CSBA) plates, grown overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere incubator and then "pre-grown" in 10-ml cultures inside tubes (Milian SA, CH) until they reached an optical density of a wavelength 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) of 0.5. The tubes for pre-growth contained modified lacks medium (Adams and Roe, 1945) supplemented with glucose (20 mM). For the investigation of the influence of different pre-growth conditions on subsequent results, pre-growth in Lacks GlcNAc, galactose, or mannose (20 mM) was additionally done. Subsequently, the strains were grown in CDM with either glucose, GlcNAc, galactose, or mannose (5.5 mM) as described (Troxler et al., 2019). In brief, after centrifugation and washing, bacterial suspension was added to CDM (1:25 dilution) supplemented with a single carbon source at a concentration of 5.5 mM. Bacterial growth was tracked by measuring the OD_{600nm} using a Thermo Scientific Helios Epsilon UV-visible spectrophotometer with an adapter to allow measurement directly in the culture tubes.

The maximum density was calculated from the mean of the three replicates of the highest OD_{600nm} values. For the calculation of the maximal growth rate, time points were chosen during maximal growth (steepest slope) and the following formula was then used as described (Hirschmann et al., 2021):

 $\mu[h^{-1}] = ((\ln OD_{600nm}(t_2) - \ln OD_{600nm}(t_1))/(t_2 - t_1))$

2.2 FITC-dextran exclusion assays for capsular thickness measurements

Capsule thickness was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy according to a method previously used and described (Weinberger et al., 2009; Schaffner et al., 2014). Cells were harvested by centrifugation at mid-log phase (OD of 0.15 or 0.25), washed, and resuspended in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Cells were prepared for visualization on a microscope slide and imaged as described before (Hathaway et al., 2012). In brief, a total of 20- μ g fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–dextran was used for visualization. Microscopy was performed on a Zeiss Axio Imager M1 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) and 100× magnification with oil immersion. Around 10 fluorescence and brightfield pictures were

10.3389/fcimb.2023.1279119

taken and analyzed with free software ImageJ and UTHSCSA image tool. This procedure was repeated three times on different days, resulting in total around 30 pictures per strain and carbon source.

2.3 Intracellular metabolite extraction

Whole-cell EtOH extracts were prepared using a modified version of the method, described by Ramos et al. (Ramos et al., 2001). Bacterial cells were harvested after growth to mid-log phase in CDM by centrifugation and washed once each with ice-cold 0.8% NaCl and ice-cold H2O. They were then resuspended in ice-cold H2O and diluted with absolute EtOH at -20°C to a concentration of 60% EtOH. Cells were disrupted via vigorous shaking for 2h at 4°C. Cell debris was removed by ultracentrifugation using 3800 rpm for 5 min and ultrafiltration (Amicon 10-kDa centrifuge filter), then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure (25 mbar) using a rotavapor R-100 (Büchi, Switzerland). Dried samples were weighed and dissolved in 100 µl of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) buffer (20 mM MOPS, 5 mM NaOAc, and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in D2O with 0.1% phosphonoacetic acid, and 0.1% Trimethylsilyl propionic acid, pH 7.4) transferred into 1.7-mm NMR microtubes (Bruker, USA) and submitted for measurement of ³¹P-NMR spectra.

2.4 NMR measurements

For the intracellular metabolite extracts, one-dimensional, decoupled ³¹P-NMR measurements were done. NMR data were collected on a Bruker Avance II (500 MHz) spectrometer equipped with a 1.7-mm triple-resonance (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P) microprobe head. ³¹P spectra were acquired using 4,096 scans with a spectral width of 40760.9 Hz, a recycling delay of 2 s, and an acquisition time of 0.402 s at a regulated temperature of 298 K. All experiments were recorded and processed using the TopSpin[®] software (Bruker Biospin, Germany).

Peaks were assigned by either spiking samples with reference substances of phosphorylated metabolites or comparison to our previous study (Troxler et al., 2019). Concentrations of phosphorylated metabolites were obtained by measuring integrals of the assigned peaks for metabolites UDP-glucose, UDP-galactose, phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP), ATP, and fructose-1,6bisphosphate (FBP). These intervals were divided by the total integrated region, giving the percentage from the total integrals. Next, the percentage was multiplied with a specific conversion factor for every metabolite, which was obtained previously according to a classic calibration procedure, resulting in the concentration of metabolites. Finally, concentration was normalized by the dry weight of whole cell extract and was plotted as nanomole per milligram of dry weight.

2.5 Epithelial adherence assays with Detroit 562 cells

Bacterial cells were harvested at mid-log phase in CDM and put on ice for 30 min to stop growth. Bacteria were centrifuged and washed once with PBS, then resuspended in Dulbecco's MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Suspension in MEM was diluted to reach target OD_{600nm} with a bacterial inoculum of 1.8×10^5 bacteria/ml. Subsequently, 500µl of this inoculum and 500µl MEM were added to the wells of a 24-well plate containing Detroit 562 cells (seeding density: 3×10^5 cells per well) from ATCC (American type Culture Collection, USA). The 24-well plates with bacteria and Detroit cells were incubated for 1h at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere incubator. Then, medium was removed and wells were washed 5 times with PBS. Cells were detached by adding 200 µl of trypsin-EDTA (0.05%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) to each well and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. MEM (800 µl) was added and serial dilutions of bacteria per cell suspension were done. Ten microliter of dilutions was then streaked on CSBA plates and incubated overnight. Adherence of bacteria was calculated the following day. The data includes two measurements (technical duplicate) of three biological replicates (six data points in total) for each experiment. Tests used to determine statistical significance for each data set are indicated in the respective figure captions. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.5 software.

2.6 Bacterial RNA extraction

Bacteria were grown in CDM, supplemented with 5.5 mM carbon source, until mid-log growth phase, then RNA transcription was stopped with RNAprotect Tissue Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and stored at -20°C overnight. RNA extraction of three biological replicates was done with RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, stored pellets were thawed and mixed with 700 μ l of buffer RLT and 10 μ l of β 2-mercaptoethanol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) per 1 ml of buffer RLT (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). This suspension was added to small tubes containing glass beads and lysed running one cycle of 5 min at 50 Hz in a Qiagen TissueLyser LT (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Lysed bacteria were centrifuged for 10 s at 14000 rpm and room temperature, supernatant was collected and further processed according to the manufacturers protocol. RNA quality and purity were checked with 2100 Bioanalyzer Instrument (Agilent Technologies, USA).

2.7 RNA sequencing

The quantity and quality of the purified total RNA was assessed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Qubit 4.0 fluorimeter with the Qubit RNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Q10211) and an Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer System using a Fragment Analyzer RNA Kit (Agilent, DNF-471), respectively. Thereafter, 100ng of input RNA was depleted of ribosomal RNA using an Illumina Ribo-Zero plus rRNA Depletion Kit (Illumina, 20037135). Ribodepleted RNA were made into cDNA libraries using a TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Human/Mouse/Rat Kit (Illumina, 20020597) with IDT for Illumina TruSeq RNA UD Indexes (Illumina, 20022371). This was done according to the

reference guide entitled "TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Illumina Ribo-Zero Plus rRNA Depletion" (Illumina, Document #1000000092426 v01). The quantity and length of the cDNA libraries was determined using qubit and fragment analyzer as explained above. Equimolar-pooled cDNA libraries were pairedend sequenced using Illumina NovaSeq 6000 SP or S1 Reagent Kits v.15, 100 cycles (Illumina, 20028401 or 20028319, respectively) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument. The quality of each sequencing run was assessed using Illumina Sequencing Analysis Viewer (Illumina version 2.4.7) and all base call files were demultiplexed and converted into FASTO files using Illumina bcl2fastq conversion software v2.20. The quality control assessments, rRNA depletion, generation of libraries, sequencing and demultiplexing was carried out at the Next Generation Sequencing Platform, University of Bern. The raw reads data are available under PRJNA1004532.

2.8 Read mapping and visualization of RNA-sequencing data

The reads of the RNA-sequencing runs were first mapped to the 106.66 genome with Bowtie2 v. 2.3.4.1 (Liao et al., 2013). After mapping the number of counts for each gene was assessed with featureCounts v. 2.0.1 (Liao et al., 2013). All further analysis was performed in R v. 4.1.0. The log2 fold-change values with the according p-values (Wald test) were calculated with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). From all genes, 10% with the lowest mean expression were discarded from the analysis. Also, very short genes (10%) were removed. Then, the Benjamini and Hochberg adjusted *p*-values smaller than 0.01 were used. For the volcano plot visualizations, the genes with log2 fold changes above 2 (and below -2) and adjusted *p*-value smaller 0.01 were specifically indicated. For the Venn diagrams the genes with fold changes above 2 (and below -2) and adjusted *p*-values smaller 0.01 were counted and plotted.

3 Results

3.1 Bacterial growth shows carbon sourcespecific growth behavior

We first tested four clinical isolates of *S. pneumoniae*, together with their capsule knockout mutants for their growth behavior (Table 1). Growth curves were analyzed using either glucose, galactose, GlcNAc, or mannose as carbon source (Figures 2A-D; Supplementary Figure S1). Strain-specific differences were noted as strains 106.66, 103.57, and 207.31, all reached similar maximal optical densities while this value was decreased for strain 208.41. Furthermore, strain 103.57 reached a lower maximal OD in mannose ($OD_{600nm:}$ 0.4), compared to 106.66 and 207.31 ($OD_{600nm:}$ 0.6) and strain 208.41 did not grow at all after 12h in this carbon source.

However, we noted similarities as the OD_{max} values were highest and lowest in galactose and mannose for all strains, respectively (Figure 2E). Importantly, comparing OD_{max} values of wild-type (WT) and non-encapsulated strains of the same genomic background revealed an identical pattern with very similar OD_{max} values (Figure 2F). In addition, the maximum growth rate values were higher if grown in glucose or GlcNAc as compared to galactose and mannose (Figure 2G). This was also true for the nonencapsulated strains (Figure 2H). Adapting the pre-growth conditions to the identical carbon source did not change the nature of the growth curves (Supplementary Figure S2). This means that, although the lag phase changed, the maximal OD and growth rate values did not change significantly.

3.2 Capsular thickness reduction in GlcNAc and mannose

We then performed FITC-dextran exclusion assays to measure capsular thickness for all strains. Four representative pictures for the strain 106.66 in glucose, galactose, GlcNAc, and mannose are shown (Figures 3A-D).

We did not find a significant difference in capsule thickness between pneumococci grown in carbon sources galactose and glucose (p > 0.05) (Figures 4A-D). However, the capsular size differences between GlcNAc and mannose to glucose were significant (p < 0.0001). Capsular size reductions were about 34%– 43% for GlcNAc and 46%–50% for mannose compared to glucose. The only exception was strain 208.41, which already established a very thin capsule in glucose, having only a 17% size reduction in GlcNAc (Figure 4D). Overall, thickness of 106.66, 103.57, and 207.31 was similar while this was very much less for 208.41 (serotype 7F). This was observed in all carbon sources. Importantly, if grown in glucose or mannose, capsule thickness was higher as compared to the non-encapsulated strains as shown in two representative pictures (Supplementary Figure S3).

TABLE 1 List of pneumococcal strains used in this study.

ID	Description	Source
Wild types		
106.66	serotype 6B, MLST: 2244, IFIK	Clinical isolate (Mühlemann et al., 2003; Kronenberg et al., 2006)
103.57	serotype 23F, MLST: 507 IFIK	Clinical isolate (Mühlemann et al., 2003; Kronenberg et al., 2006)
207.31	serotype 15C, MLST: 199, IFIK	Clinical isolate (Mühlemann et al., 2003; Kronenberg et al., 2006)
208.41	serotype 7F, MLST: 191, IFIK	Clinical isolate (Mühlemann et al., 2003; Kronenberg et al., 2006)
Capsule knockout mutants		
106.66∆cps	106.66∆cps:.kan- rpsL ⁺	Hathaway et al. (2012)
103.57∆cps	103.57∆cps:.kan- rpsL ⁺	Hathaway et al. (2012)
208.41∆cps	208.41∆cps:.kan- rpsL ⁺	Hathaway et al. (2012)

MLST, multi-locus sequence type. Strains were isolated at the IFIK (Institute for Infectious Disease, Bern, Switzerland). Capsule knockout strains were previously received with the exception of 207.31 for which no capsule knockout mutant could be made.



FIGURE 2

Growth measurements of pneumococcal strains in CDM, supplemented with different carbon sources. Strains 106.66 (serotype 6B) (A), 103.57 (23F) (B), 207.31 (15C) (C), and 208.41 (7F) (D) were tested 3 times for growth behavior in four different conditions. Optical density (OD) during growth in 10 ml of CDM liquid cultures was measured in a spectrophotometer at 600_{nm} wavelength every 30 min over 12h. The mid-log OD_{600nm} values are indicated as dotted lines and were either 0.25 or 0.15. Maximal growth 600_{nm} values are shown for encapsulated (E) and non-encapsulated (F) strains. Also, maximal growth rates were calculated and shown for encapsulated (G) and non-encapsulated (H) strains. The strains 208.41 and 208.41 Δ cps did not grow in mannose.

We additionally tested strains 106.66 and 103.57 capsular thickness in CDM GlcNAc and mannose after pre-growth in those carbon sources compared to pre-growth in glucose (Supplementary Figure S4). We found no significant differences in capsular thickness between bacteria after pre-growth in glucose and CDM GlcNAc/mannose or after pre-growth in GlcNAc/mannose and CDM GlcNAc/mannose (p > 0.05). Therefore, the type of pre-growth did not affect capsule thickness differences.

3.3 Reduced accumulation of capsular precursors in CDM GlcNAc and mannose by ³¹P-NMR measurements

We next quantified capsular precursors alongside other phosphorylated intracellular metabolites by ³¹P-NMR measurements (Supplementary Figure S5). In order to measure accumulation of capsular precursors, capsular knockouts (Δcps) are normally required



FIGURE 3

Four representative FITC microscopy pictures for the strain 106.66 in Glucose (A), Mannose (B), Galactose (C), and GlcNAc (D). The strain 106.66 was grown until mid-log growth phase which was 0.25 for all four carbon sources (see Figure 2). Diameters (in black) and area (white dotted lines) are indicated.

since the encapsulated strains directly use UDP-sugars for building the capsule (Troxler et al., 2019). For both main capsular precursors UDP-glucose (Figures 5A-C) and UDP-galactose (Figures 5D-F), we found significant differences when strains were grown in GlcNAc (p< 0.01) or mannose (p< 0.001) compared to glucose and galactose. In agreement with the capsular thickness results, the quantities of precursors were also very low in mannose and in GlcNAc. We neither found differences in capsule size nor an accumulation of capsular precursors for glucose as compared to galactose (p > 0.05). Furthermore, we did not observe any significant differences for PRPP and ATP in strains 106.66 Δcps and 103.57 Δcps (Supplementary Figure S6) (p< 0.0001). In contrast, values for FBP were significantly higher in glucose and GlcNAc compared to galactose or mannose for both strains (p< 0.0001). A higher FBP accumulation was not found in the strain 208.41 Δcps (p > 0.05).

3.4 Epithelial adherence is increased for mannose

A dynamic regulation of the capsule is necessary for pneumococcal survival inside the host in varying niches (Hammerschmidt et al., 2005; Weiser et al., 2018). Earlier work has shown that pneumococci with reduced capsular material adhere much better to epithelial cells than those with thicker capsules; meanwhile, a thicker capsule is required in blood environment for immune evasion (Kim and Weiser, 1998; Kim et al., 1999; Hava et al., 2003; Hammerschmidt et al., 2005; Muñoz-Elías et al., 2008; Sanchez et al., 2011). We decided to proceed with epithelial adherence assays comparing the two extremes: glucose and mannose in the serotype 6B strain 106.66. Indeed, 106.66 showed an increased adherence, when grown in mannose with around 18% of adhered bacteria of initial inoculum meanwhile in glucose this value was about 9% (Figure 6A) (p< 0.01). Using the nonencapsulated strain 106.66 Δ cps, the percentage of adhered bacteria increased to around 150% but no difference between glucose and mannose was noted anymore (Figure 6B).

3.5 Transcriptome analysis for all strains reveals differences in gene expression between carbon sources

We subsequently investigated gene expression after growth in galactose, GlcNAc, or mannose in comparison to glucose. We



received a total number of paired-reads per sample from 15.9 to 46.7 million paired-reads (average: 23.2 million paired-reads). On average, 27.0, 14.5, 15.5, and 27.7 reads were mapped to annotated features onto the pneumococcal reference genome (i.e., 106.66) for 106.66, 207.31, 103.57, and 208.41, respectively. Volcano plots for all strains for glucose versus galactose, glucose versus GlcNAc, and glucose versus mannose were received (Supplementary Figures S7-S9). In addition, under- and overexpressed genes with p < 0.01 were counted and plotted in three Venn diagrams (Figures 7A-C). We noted a high number of genes which were overexpressed in galactose for all strains (n = 26) (Figure 7A). If grown in galactose, this resulted in a strong upregulation of genes from the Leloir and tagatose pathway. Furthermore, upregulation of genes for PTS or ABC transporters were identified for galactose (Supplementary Figure S7). On the other hand, gene gapN (encoding the non-phosphorylating glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) was strongly upregulated in glucose for three strains. As for GlcNAc, we identified nagA (N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase) and nagB (glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase) being up- and glmS being downregulated in the majority of strains (Supplementary Figure S8). Finally, if grown in mannose, this led to the upregulation of nanA in two strains (Supplementary Figure S9). In general, only few changes were noted if grown in GlcNAc and mannose as compared to glucose (Figures 7B, C).

Importantly, we did not note any gene expression differences in the capsule region. Therefore, the expression of capsule genes does not explain the differences found for capsule thickness.

4 Discussion

This study shows how *S. pneumoniae* regulates its capsule biosynthesis according to the carbon source environment by comparing the nasopharynx carbon sources galactose, GlcNAc, and mannose to the blood carbon source glucose in four clinical isolates. We chose these particular carbon sources, since previous studies showed, that *S. pneumoniae* was able to grow on mucins and upon growth, gene expression in D39 showed strong upregulations of genes for metabolization of galactose, GlcNAc and mannose (Yesilkaya et al., 2008; Paixão et al., 2015b). It has also been shown by others that capsular thickness is regulated in response to environment and differs throughout changing niches (Hammerschmidt et al., 2005).

Pneumococcal growth is regulated by the carbon catabolite control (CCR) via transcriptional regulator CcpA (Carvalho et al., 2011; Al-Bayati et al., 2017). In agreement with previous growth



results from in *S. pneumoniae* D39 (Paixão et al., 2015b), with the exception of the strain 208.41, the maximum growth rates were the highest if grown in glucose in our study. It has been described that glucose is the preferred carbon source if 13 or 31 mM of glucose were used for the growth of D39 (Paixão et al., 2015b). We used a concentration of 5.5 mM, which is probably similar to that found in the blood but the growth rate finding was similar as shown for D39. The growth in galactose was slower but the highest maximal OD values were observed in this carbon source. Interestingly, the strain

208.41 (7F) generally grew "worse" and not at all in mannose as compared to other strains. It could be that the strain would grow after all, but we just do not see this with our experimental design during the 12h of growth (e.g., there is a very long lag time). However, at this stage, we do not have an explanation for the growth behavior of 208.41.

It has been shown that the production of polysaccharide capsule in *S. pneumoniae* interferes with growth in nutrient-limiting conditions probably due to the fact that the biosynthesis of the



FIGURE 6

Adherence assay of strain 106.66 (A) and 106.66 Δ cps (B) in CDM with different carbon sources. Strains 106.66 (A) and 106.66 Δ cps (B) were grown in CDM supplemented with glucose or mannose and incubated with Detroit 562 cells. Adherence was measured by counting bacteria on CSBA plates after adherence and normalizing by original inoculum (Mann–Whitney test; ** $p \le 0.01$). The data include two measurements (technical duplicate) of three biological replicates (six data points in total) for each experiment. For one biological replicate of (B), only one value rather than a technical duplicate has been received (five data points in total). ns, not significant.



capsule is in competition with the central metabolism for energy, that is, ATP (Hathaway et al., 2012). Therefore, we included WT and non-encapsulated strains for the growth assay. Based on the chosen growth conditions of this study, we could not see that the synthesis of the capsule influenced growth as the growth curves of WT and non-encapsulated strains were remarkably similar. This probably reflects the fact that, though defined, many of the compounds used are in excess rather than limiting in our CDM.

We then hypothesized that an increased maximum growth rate is correlated with an increase of the capsule thickness as there might be an accumulation of capsule precursor molecules which would subsequently lead to a thicker capsule. Indeed, it has been shown that strains grown in BHI+FCS [brain heart infusion and fetal calf serum (FCS)] showed overall better bacterial growth and more capsule production than growth in minimal lacks medium (Hathaway et al., 2012). However, in this study, capsule size thickness of the strains grown in glucose as compared to galactose did not differ despite the decreased maximum growth rate for the latter. This is probably due to the fact that galactose has its own pathway (Leloir) for building capsular polysaccharides, which works very efficiently in all four clinical isolates (Paixão et al., 2015b; McLean et al., 2020). Alternatively, it is known that additional molecules of ATP are generated (due to acetate kinase activity) if galactose is used as a carbon source, which is potentially an advantage for the synthesis of the capsule during the metabolism of slowly metabolizable sugars like galactose (Paixão et al., 2015a). However, we found significant size reductions in capsular thickness of our pneumococcal strains grown in GlcNAc and mannose as compared to glucose.

We have previously shown that the quantification of UDP-glucose and UDP-galactose can only be done in capsule knock as the precursor do not accumulate in WT strains (Troxler et al., 2019). By measuring the quantities of UDP-glucose and UDP-galactose in the capsule knockout strains, we were able to confirm the microscopy findings. This was due to reduced quantities of the two capsule precursors in mannose and GlcNAc as compared to glucose for strains 106.66 Δcps , 103.57\(\Delta cps\), and 208.41\(\Delta cps\). We speculate that the efficiency of the biosynthesis of the capsular precursors may depend on possible bottlenecks in enzymatic reactions responsible for the import or metabolization of the respective monosaccharide (Figure 1). Related to this, it has been shown for D39 that there is an accumulation of α -Gal6P, GlcNAc6P, and Man6P, which indicate metabolic bottlenecks in the metabolism of galactose, GlcNAc, and mannose, respectively (Paixão et al., 2015a; Paixao et al., 2015b). Interestingly, in Corynebacterium glutamicum, it has been shown that overexpression of manA alleviated the accumulation of Man6P and improved mannose catabolism indicating that this is indeed a bottleneck (Sasaki et al., 2011). As for galactose and as mentioned above, the biosynthesis of the capsule precursors follows the Leloir pathway and, therefore, a potential bottleneck resulting in the accumulation of Gal6P in the tagatose pathway should have no effect on the biosynthesis of the capsule. In our study, we were not able to quantify α -Gal6P, GlcNAc6P, and Man6P probably due to the fact that we measured the phosphorylated metabolites during different time points as compared the study with D39 (Paixão et al., 2015a; Paixao et al., 2015b).

We also measured several other phosphorylated metabolites and found differences for FBP, which was increased in glucose and GlcNAc for which the maximum growth rates were high. An increase in FBP has also been found for D39 and it has been mentioned that it is well documented that FBP accumulates to higher amounts during the catabolism of fast metabolizable sugars as glucose than less preferred carbohydrates (Paixão et al., 2015a). However, the reasons for the accumulation of this metabolite are diverse and not entirely known.

In this study, we have been investigating monosaccharides, which are part of the major host-derived glycans, including the N- and Olinked glycans (Hobbs et al., 2018). The latter are often part of mucins and are composed of GlcNAc, N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), Nacetylneuraminic acid (NeuNAc), galactose, and fucose. In our study,

we found that the metabolization of mannose leads to a thinner capsule and increased adherence probably due to a better exposure of surface proteins for adhesion when the capsule is thinner (Paterson and Orihuela, 2010; Novick et al., 2017). Therefore, we hypothesize that the pneumococcus is able to regulate its capsule thickness while degrading and metabolizing mannose from, for example, N-linked glycans and, while doing so, increases its ability to adhere. However, there could be alternative explanations for the increased adherence. It was previously reported that increased chain length correlates with better adherence to human epithelial cells in vitro (Rodriguez et al., 2012). We did not systematically address if there are differences in the frequency of longer chains for the different monosaccharides. However, we did not find a difference in adherence for the nonencapsulated strains growth in glucose as compared to galactose, which indicates that capsule thickness differences are indeed responsible for the found difference for the WT strains.

It has been shown that galactose plays a key role in *S. pneumoniae* for colonization, adherence, biofilm formation, and infection (Blanchette et al., 2016; Al-Bayati et al., 2017; Andreassen et al., 2020; McLean et al., 2020). However, studies have mostly focused on the laboratory strain D39. In this study, we also present transcriptome data for the different carbon sources for the four different clinical strains. This allows the identification of the common but also individual transcriptome changes (i.e. transcriptional changes of the accessory genome). The latter may lead to *in-vivo* advantage for certain strains over others, but this has to be followed up in future studies. Common to all the strains, many of the identified, upregulated genes in galactose are likely involved in galactose uptake and processing, for example, the Leloir and tagatose pathway as shown in D39 (Afzal et al., 2014; Paixão et al., 2015b; Afzal et al., 2016b).

For GlcNAc as carbon source, it has been shown that this sugar is taken up by PTS transporters before being processed by the α -Nacetylgalactosaminidase (NagA) and the glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase (nagB) (Bidossi et al., 2012; Moye et al., 2014). Afzal et al. (Afzal et al., 2016a) revealed that GlcNAc leads to the expression of nagA and nagB in D39 and proved both of them essential for pneumococcal growth on this sugar. Therefore, as expected, we also observed upregulation of nagA and nagB in all four strains when grown in GlcNAc. Furthermore, RNA data of glucose versus GlcNAc presented a very strong upregulation in glucose of gene glmS. GlmS encodes the glutamine-F6P aminotransferase, the first important enzyme for pneumococcal peptidoglycan synthesis and belongs to the N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) regulon together with *nagA* and *nagB* (Carvalho et al., 2011; Moye et al., 2014). Consistent with our data, Moye et al. (Moye et al., 2014) also showed an upregulation of nagA and nagB; meanwhile, a downregulation of glmS when S. mutans was grown in presence of GlcNAc.

Overall, the transcriptomic data do not provide information about the mechanism of capsule thickness regulation. Nevertheless, we think this data is important, as it excludes a role of different expression of the capsule genes and shows the broad variability of differently regulated genes among strains overall.

5 Conclusion

Overall, we have shown that S. pneumoniae is influenced by the available carbon source and establishes a different growth pattern according to the sugar. The chosen carbon source also directly translates to the biosynthesis of different quantities of capsule precursors. The consequence of the latter is the varying capsule thickness. The first step toward pneumococcal infection resulting in adhering to epithelial cells of the nasopharynx was facilitated with a thinner capsule, which we were also able to show. Transcriptome analysis for all our strains showed consistent carbon-source-specific upregulation of certain gene clusters and genes, important for the uptake and metabolism of the sugars used and also common and unique clusters across all three nasopharynx carbon sources. It will be interesting to follow up if certain pneumococcal strains are better adapted for the metabolization of different carbohydrates, adherence, and virulence as compared to others. This may explain why certain pneumococcal clones are more successful than others and, therefore, more attention to the expression and relevance of the accessory genome is recommended.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, PRJNA1004532.

Author contributions

JW: Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Investigation, Software. NM: Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. IG: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LH: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LT: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LT: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. LJH: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JF: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. MH: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Investigation.

References

Adams, M. H., and Roe, A. S. (1945). A partially defined medium for cultivation of pneumococcus. J. Bacteriol 49, 401-409. doi: 10.1128/jb.49.4.401-409.1945

Afzal, M., Shafeeq, S., and Kuipers, O. P. (2014). LacR is a repressor of lacABCD and LacT is an activator of lacTFEG, constituting the lac gene cluster in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 5349–5358. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01370-14

Afzal, M., Shafeeq, S., Manzoor, I., Henriques-Normark, B., and Kuipers, O. P. (2016a). Nacetylglucosamine-Mediated Expression of nagA and nagB in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 6, 158–158. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00158

Afzal, M., Shafeeq, S., Manzoor, I., and Kuipers, O. P. (2016b). GalR acts as a transcriptional activator of galKT in the presence of galactose in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 25, 363–371. doi: 10.1159/000439429

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant Number 197083).

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to Marianne Küffer, from the Swiss National Reference Centre for Invasive Pneumococci, for her excellent work in serotyping and providing the strains used. We would also like to thank Dr. Pamela Nicholson and the Next Generation Sequencing Platform (University of Bern) for their work in RNA sequencing and assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1279119/ full#supplementary-material

Al-Bayati, F. A. Y., Kahya, H. F. H., Damianou, A., Shafeeq, S., Kuipers, O. P., Andrew, P. W., et al. (2017). Pneumococcal galactose catabolism is controlled by multiple regulators acting on pyruvate formate lyase. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 43587. doi: 10.1038/srep43587

Andreassen, P. R., Trappetti, C., Minhas, V., Nielsen, F. D., Pakula, K., Paton, J. C., et al. (2020). Host-glycan metabolism is regulated by a species-conserved two-component system in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PloS Pathog.* 16, e1008332. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008332

Aprianto, R., Slager, J., Holsappel, S., and Veening, J. W. (2018). High-resolution analysis of the pneumococcal transcriptome under a wide range of infection-relevant conditions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 9990–10006. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky750

Arai, J., Hotomi, M., Hollingshead, S. K., Ueno, Y., Briles, D. E., and Yamanaka, Y. (2011). *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from middle ear fluid and nasopharynx of

children with acute otitis media exhibit phase variation. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49, 1646–1649. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01990-10

Beckwith, J. R., and Zipser, D. (1970). The lactose operon: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Bentley, S. D., Aanensen, D. M., Mavroidi, A., Saunders, D., Rabbinowitsch, E., Collins, M., et al. (2006). Genetic analysis of the capsular biosynthetic locus from all 90 pneumococcal serotypes. *PloS Genet.* 2, e31. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020031

Bidossi, A., Mulas, L., Decorosi, F., Colomba, L., Ricci, S., Pozzi, G., et al. (2012). A functional genomics approach to establish the complement of carbohydrate transporters in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PloS One* 7, e33320. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033320

Blanchette, K. A., Shenoy, A. T., Milner, J., Gilley, R. P., McClure, E., Hinojosa, C. A., et al. (2016). Neuraminidase A-Exposed Galactose Promotes *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Biofilm Formation during Colonization. *Infect. Immun.* 84, 2922–2932. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.00277-16

Brugger, S. D., Bomar, L., and Lemon, K. P. (2016). Commensal-pathogen interactions along the human nasal passages. *PloS Pathog.* 12, e1005633. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005633

Buckwalter, C. M., and King, S. J. (2012). Pneumococcal carbohydrate transport: food for thought. *Trends Microbiol.* 20, 517–522. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.008

Carvalho, S. M., Kloosterman, T. G., Kuipers, O. P., and Neves, A. R. (2011). CcpA ensures optimal metabolic fitness of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PloS One* 6, e26707–e26707. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0026707

Ganaie, F., Saad, J. S., McGee, L., Tonder, A., Bentley, S. D., Lo, S. W., et al. (2020). A new pneumococcal capsule type, 10D, is the 100th serotype and has a large cps fragment from an oral streptococcus. *mBio* 11 (3), e00937–20. doi: 10.1128/mbio.00937-00920

Geno, K. A., Gilbert, G. L., Song, J. Y., Skovsted, I. C., Klugman, K. P., Jones, C., et al. (2015). Pneumococcal capsules and their types: past, present, and future. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 871. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00024-15

Görke, B., and Stülke, J. (2008). Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: many ways to make the most out of nutrients. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 613–624. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro1932

Guidolin, A., Morona, J. K., Morona, R., Hansman, D., and Paton, J. C. (1994). Nucleotide sequence analysis of genes essential for capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* type 19F. *Infect. Immun.* 62, 5384–5396. doi: 10.1128/ iai.62.12.5384-5396.1994

Hammerschmidt, S., Wolff, S., Hocke, A., Rosseau, S., Müller, E., and Rohde, M. (2005). Illustration of pneumococcal polysaccharide capsule during adherence and invasion of epithelial cells. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 4653–4667. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.8.4653-4667.2005

Härtel, T., Eylert, E., Schulz, C., Petruschka, L., Gierok, P., Grubmüller, S., et al. (2012). Characterization of central carbon metabolism of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by isotopologue profiling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 4260–4274. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.304311

Hathaway, L. J., Brugger, S. D., Morand, B., Bangert, M., Rotzetter, J. U., Hauser, C., et al. (2012). Capsule type of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* determines growth phenotype. *PloS Pathog.* 8, e1002574. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002574

Hava, D. L., and Camilli, A. (2002). Large-scale identification of serotype 4 Streptococcus pneumoniae virulence factors. *Mol. Microbiol.* 45, 1389–1406. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03106.x

Hava, D. L., LeMieux, J., and Camilli, A. (2003). From nose to lung: the regulation behind *Streptococcus pneumoniae* virulence factors. *Mol. Microbiol.* 50, 1103–1110. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03764.x

Hirschmann, S., Gomez-Mejia, A., Kohler, T. P., Voss, F., Rohde, M., Brendel, M., et al. (2021). The Two-Component System 09 of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Is Important for Metabolic Fitness and Resistance during Dissemination in the Host. *Microorganisms* 9 (7), 1365. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9071365

Hobbs, J. K., Pluvinage, B., and Boraston, A. B. (2018). Glycan-metabolizing enzymes in microbe-host interactions: the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* paradigm. *FEBS Lett.* 592, 3865–3897. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13045

Hoskins, J., Alborn, W. E. Jr., Arnold, J., Blaszczak, L. C., Burgett, S., DeHoff, B. S., et al. (2001). Genome of the bacterium *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strain R6. *J. Bacteriol.* 183, 5709–5717. doi: 10.1128/JB.183.19.5709-5717.2001

Kadioglu, A., Weiser, J. N., Paton, J. C., and Andrew, P. W. (2008). The role of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* virulence factors in host respiratory colonization and disease. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 288–301. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1871

Kim, J. O., and Weiser, J. N. (1998). Association of intrastrain phase variation in quantity of capsular polysaccharide and teichoic acid with the virulence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae. J. Infect. Dis.* 177, 368–377. doi: 10.1086/514205

Kim, J. O., Romero-Steiner, S., Sørensen, U. B. S., Blom, J., Carvalho, M., Barnard, S., et al. (1999). Relationship between cell surface carbohydrates and intrastrain variation on opsonophagocytosis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Infect. Immun.* 67, 2327–2333. doi: 10.1128/IAI.67.5.2327-2333.1999

Kronenberg, A., Zucs, P., and Droz, S. (2006). Distribution and invasiveness of Streptococcus pneumoniae serotypes in Switzerland, a country with low antibiotic selection pressure, from 2001 to 2004. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44, 2032–2038. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00275-06

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., and Shi, W. (2013). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 550–550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

McLean, K. T., Tikhomirova, A., Brazel, E. B., Legendre, S., Haasbroek, G., Minhas, V., et al. (2020). Site-specific mutations of galR affect galactose metabolism in *Streptococcus pneumoniae. Bacteriol.* 203 (1), e00180–20. doi: 10.1128/JB.00180-20

Moye, Z. D., Burne, R. A., and Zeng, L. (2014). Uptake and metabolism of Nacetylglucosamine and glucosamine by Streptococcus mutans. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 5053–5067. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00820-14

Mühlemann, K., Matter, H. C., Täuber, M. G., and Group, S. W. (2003). Nationwide surveillance of nasopharyngeal *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from children with respiratory infection, Switzerland, 1998–1999. *J. Infect. Dis.* 187, 589–596. doi: 10.1086/367994

Muñoz-Elías, E. J., Marcano, J., and Camilli, A. (2008). Isolation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* biofilm mutants and their characterization during nasopharyngeal colonization. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 5049–5061. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00425-08

Novick, S., Shagan, M., Blau, K., Lifshitz, S., Givon-Lavi, N., Grossman, N., et al. (2017). Adhesion and invasion of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to primary and secondary respiratory epithelial cells. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 15, 65–74. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2016.5996

Obert, C., Sublett, J., Kaushal, D., Hinojosa, E., Barton, T., Tuomanen, E. I., et al. (2006). Identification of a Candidate *Streptococcus pneumoniae* core genome and regions of diversity correlated with invasive pneumococcal disease. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 4766–4777. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00316-06

Oliver, M. B., van der Linden, M. P. G., Küntzel, S. A., Saad, J. S., and Nahm, M. H. (2013). Discovery of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotype 6 variants with glycosyltransferases synthesizing two differing repeating units. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 25976–25985. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.480152

Paixão, L., Caldas, J., Kloosterman, T. G., Kuipers, O. P., Vinga, S., and Neves, A. R. (2015a). Transcriptional and metabolic effects of glucose on Streptococcus pneumoniae sugar metabolism. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1041. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01041

Paixão, L., Oliveira, J., Veríssimo, A., Vinga, S., Lourenço, E. C., Ventura, M. R., et al. (2015b). Host glycan sugar-specific pathways in streptococcus pneumonia: galactose as a key sugar in colonisation and infection. *PloS One* 10, e0121042. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121042

Paterson, G. K., and Orihuela, C. J. (2010). Pneumococcal microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules targeting of the extracellular matrix. *Mol. Microbiol.* 77, 1–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07190.x

Ramos, A., Boels, I. C., de Vos, W. M., and Santos, H. (2001). Relationship between glycolysis and exopolysaccharide biosynthesis in Lactococcus lactis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 33–41. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.1.33-41.2001

Rodriguez, J. L., Dalia, A. B., and Weiser, J. N. (2012). Increased chain length promotes pneumococcal adherence and colonization. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 3454–3459. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00587-12

Sanchez, C. J., Kumar, N., Lizcano, A., Shivshankar, P., Dunning Hotopp, J. C., Jorgensen, J. H., et al. (2011). *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in biofilms are unable to cause invasive disease due to altered virulence determinant production. *PloS One* 6, e28738. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028738

Sasaki, M., Teramoto, H., Inui, M., and Yukawa, H. (2011). Identification of mannose uptake and catabolism genes in *Corynebacterium glutamicum* and genetic engineering for simultaneous utilization of mannose and glucose. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 89, 1905–1916. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-3002-8

Schaffner, T. O., Hinds, J., Gould, K. A., Wüthrich, D., Bruggmann, R., Küffer, M., et al. (2014). A point mutation in cpsE renders *Streptococcus pneumoniae* nonencapsulated and enhances its growth, adherence and competence. *BMC Microbiol.* 14, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0210-x

Tettelin, H., Nelson, K. E., Paulsen, I. T., Eisen, J. A., Read, T. D., Peterson, S., et al. (2001). Complete genome sequence of a virulent isolate of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Science* 293, 498–506. doi: 10.1126/science.1061217

Troxler, L. J., Werren, J. P., Schaffner, T. O., Mostacci, N., Vermathen, P., Vermathen, M., et al. (2019). Carbon source regulates polysaccharide capsule biosynthesis in *Streptococcus pneumoniae. J. Biol. Chem.* 294 (46), 17224–38. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.010764

Weinberger, D. M., Trzciński, K., Lu, Y.-J., Bogaert, D., Brandes, A., Galagan, J., et al. (2009). Pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide structure predicts serotype prevalence. *PloS Pathog.* 5, e1000476. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000476

Weiser, J. N. (1998). Phase variation in colony opacity by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Microbial Drug Resist*. 4, 129–135. doi: 10.1089/mdr.1998.4.129

Weiser, J. N., Austrian, R., Sreenivasan, P. K., and Masure, H. R. (1994). Phase variation in pneumococcal opacity: relationship between colonial morphology and nasopharyngeal colonization. *Infect. Immun.* 62, 2582–2589. doi: 10.1128/iai.62.6.2582-2589.1994

Weiser, J. N., Bae, D., Epino, H., Gordon, S. B., Kapoor, M., Zenewicz, L. A., et al. (2001). Changes in availability of oxygen accentuate differences in capsular polysaccharide expression by phenotypic variants and clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 5430–5439. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.9.5430-5439.2001

Weiser, J. N., Ferreira, D. M., and Paton, J. C. (2018). *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: transmission, colonization and invasion. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16, 355–367. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0001-8

Yesilkaya, H., Manco, S., Kadioglu, A., Terra, V. S., and Andrew, P. W. (2008). The ability to utilize mucin affects the regulation of virulence gene expression in *Streptococcus pneumoniae. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 278, 231–235. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.01003.x

Yother, J. (2011). Capsules of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and other bacteria: paradigms for polysaccharide biosynthesis and regulation. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 65, 563–581. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162944