Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Georgios Chamilos, University of Crete, Greece

REVIEWED BY Fazal Shirazi, Pfizer, United States Shakti Singh, University of California, Los Angeles, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Christopher R. Thornton C.r.thornton@exeter.ac.uk

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 02 October 2023 ACCEPTED 20 November 2023 PUBLISHED 08 December 2023

CITATION

Thornton CR, Davies GE and Dougherty L (2023) Development of a monoclonal antibody and a lateral-flow device for the rapid detection of a Mucoralesspecific biomarker. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1305662. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1305662

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Thornton, Davies and Dougherty. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Development of a monoclonal antibody and a lateral-flow device for the rapid detection of a Mucorales-specific biomarker

Christopher R. Thornton^{1,2*†}, Genna E. Davies^{2†} and Laura Dougherty¹

¹Biosciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom, ²ISCA Diagnostics Ltd., Hatherly Laboratories, Exeter, United Kingdom

Mucoromycosis is a highly aggressive angio-invasive disease of humans caused by fungi in the zygomycete order, Mucorales. While Rhizopus arrhizus is the principal agent of mucoromycosis, other Mucorales fungi including Apophysomyces, Cunninghamella, Lichtheimia, Mucor, Rhizomucor and Syncephalastrum are able to cause life-threatening rhino-orbital-cerebral, pulmonary, gastro-intestinal and necrotising cutaneous infections in humans. Diagnosis of the disease currently relies on non-specific CT, lengthy and insensitive culture from invasive biopsy, and time-consuming histopathology of tissue samples. At present, there are no rapid antigen tests that detect Mucorales-specific biomarkers of infection, and which allow point-of-care diagnosis of mucoromycosis. Here, we report the development of an IgG2b monoclonal antibody (mAb), TG11, which binds to extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) antigens of between 20 kDa and 250 kDa secreted during hyphal growth of Mucorales fungi. The mAb is Mucorales-specific and does not cross-react with other yeasts and molds of clinical importance including Aspergillus, Candida, Cryptococcus, Fusarium, Lomentospora and Scedosporium species. Using the mAb, we have developed a Competitive lateral-flow device that allows rapid (30 min) detection of the EPS biomarker in human serum and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), with a limit of detection (LOD) in human serum of ~100 ng/mL serum (~224.7 pmol/L serum). The LFD therefore provides a potential novel opportunity for detection of mucoromycosis caused by different Mucorales species.

KEYWORDS

Mucorales, mucoromycosis, monoclonal antibody, biomarker, lateral-flow test

Introduction

Mucoromycosis (Borman and Johnson, 2023) is a highly destructive angio-invasive disease of humans caused by zygomycete fungi in the order Mucorales (Thornton, 2020), recently characterised as a high priority group in the World Health Organisation's fungal priority pathogens list (WHO, 2022). The disease encompasses debilitating rhino-orbital-

10.3389/fcimb.2023.1305662

cerebral mucoromycosis (ROCM), and pulmonary, cutaneous, gastro-intestinal and disseminated infections (Petrikkos et al., 2012; Ganesan et al., 2019; Jeong et al., 2019; Thornton, 2023) which, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, were typically seen in patients with haematological malignancies (Miller et al., 2020), in bone marrow and solid organ transplant recipients (Roden et al., 2005; Song et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2020; Skiada et al., 2020) and in individuals with poorly controlled diabetes mellitus (DM), a major independent risk factor for the disease (Corzo-León et al., 2018; Skiada et al., 2020; Thornton, 2023). However, during the second wave of the pandemic in India, there was a dramatic increase in ROCM in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection, exacerbated by a high background prevalence of DM and the overuse of antiinflammatory corticosteroids (John et al., 2021; Rodriguez-Morales et al., 2021; Sen et al., 2021). While Rhizopus arrhizus is the principal cause of mucoromycosis worldwide (Prakash and Chakrabarti, 2019; Davies and Thornton, 2022), and was responsible for a large number of cases of COVID-19-associated mucoromycosis (CAM) in India and other countries worldwide (Prakash and Chakrabarti, 2019; Prakash and Chakrabarti, 2021; Hoenigl et al., 2022), Mucorales fungi other than R. arrhizus are able to cause mucoromycosis, including species in the genera Apophysomyces, Cunninghamella, Lichtheimia, Mucor, Rhizomucor, Saksenaea, and Syncephalastrum (Alvarez et al., 2009; Gomes et al., 2011; Zaki et al., 2014; Jeong et al., 2019; Walther et al., 2019; Skiada et al., 2020; Thornton, 2023).

Mucoromycosis is associated with high rates of mortality, with an overall all-cause mortality rate of 54% (Roden et al., 2005). Furthermore, survivors of ROCM are often left with severe facial disfigurement due to the aggressive surgery needed to contain rapidly progressive infections (Sen et al., 2021). The disease is especially problematic in low- to middle-income countries (LMIC), where limited access to well-resourced and appropriately-equipped diagnostic facilities delays diagnosis and treatment (Rudramurthy et al., 2021; Thornton, 2023). There is therefore an urgent need for simple, rapid and accurate diagnostic tests for the disease that can be performed at point-of-care. Lateralflow immunoassays are ideally suited to point-of-care detection of fungal infections in resource-limited settings (Thornton, 2020; Osaigbovo and Bongomin, 2021; Thornton, 2023), and might help to improve the speed and accuracy of mucoromycosis detection compared to insensitive and time-consuming culture and histopathology, the cornerstones of detection in LMIC countries (Rudramurthy et al., 2021; Thornton, 2023).

At present, there are no antigen biomarker tests which allow rapid, sensitive and specific detection of Mucorales species (Skiada et al., 2020; Lamoth, 2023), and their differentiation from other fungal pathogens such as *Aspergillus*, *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, *Fusarium* and *Scedosporium* (Marques et al., 2011; Obradovic-Tomasev et al., 2014; Skiada et al., 2020; Marino et al., 2023). Despite this, we recently reported the development of a monoclonal antibody (mAb), KC9, specific to *R. arrhizus*, and its incorporation into a lateral-flow device (KC9-LFD) for rapid detection of an extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) biomarker of the pathogen in human serum and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (Davies and Thornton, 2022). While sensitive and simple to perform, the test detects *R. arrhizus* only, and so is unable to detect the other Mucorales fungi capable of causing mucoromycosis in humans.

In this paper, we report the development of a murine mAb, TG11, and a Competitive LFD for the detection of a Mucoralesspecific EPS biomarker in human serum and BAL. We show that the pan-Mucorales test, when combined with a Cube reader, has a limit of detection (LOD) of ~100 ng/mL serum (~224.7 pmol/L serum). This is the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that a Mucorales-specific mAb has been developed and used in a rapid point-of-care test (POCT) for detection of these lifethreatening pathogens.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Hybridoma work described in this study was conducted under a UK Home Office Project License, and was reviewed by the institution's Animal Welfare Ethical Review Board (AWERB) for approval. The work was carried out in accordance with The Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 Directive 2010/63/EU, and followed all the Codes of Practice which reinforce this law, including all elements of housing, care, and euthanasia of the animals.

Fungal cultures

Fungi (Table 1) were cultured on malt extract agar (MEA; 70145, Sigma) or oatmeal agar (OA; O3506, Sigma). Media were autoclaved 121°C for 15 min prior to use, and fungi were grown at 30°C or 37°C. To induce sporulation in *Apophysomyces* spp., the fungi were grown on autoclaved Czapek Dox agar (CDA; 70185, Sigma) at 37°C.

Production of hybridomas and screening by indirect ELISA

Extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) were prepared from 6-d-old culture fluids using the method described previously (Davies and Thornton, 2022). For hybridoma production, the immunogen comprised a 1 mg/mL solution of EPS from Lichtheimia corymbifera (strain CBS109940), with 6-week-old BALB/c white mice each given four intra-peritoneal injections (300 µL per injection) of immunogen at 2-week intervals, and a single booster injection 5 days before fusion. Hybridoma cells were produced by the method described elsewhere (Thornton, 2001), and monoclonal antibody (mAb)-producing clones identified in indirect ELISA tests by using 20 µg EPS/mL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.5 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.2) immobilised to the wells of Maxisorp microtiter plates (Nunc) at 50 µL/well. Wells containing immobilised antigen were incubated with 50 µL of mAb hybridoma tissue culture supernatant (TCS) for 1 h, after which wells were washed three times, for 5 min each, with

TABLE 1 Details of the fungi used in this study and results of TG11-LFD and KC9-LFD tests of culture filtrates.

Species	lsolate Number	Source ¹	TG11 a.u. ²	KC9 a.u. ²
Absidia glauca	2	CRT	51.5	776.3
Absidia spinosa	3	CRT	69.6	781.1
Actinomucor elegans var. kuwaitensis	117697	CBS	13.5	770.2
Apophysomyces elegans	477.78	CBS	240.9	789.5
Apophysomyces mexicanus	136361	CBS	244.7	780.1
Apophysomyces ossiformis	125533	CBS	109.0	775.3
Apophysomyces variabilis	658.93	CBS	250.6	801.4
Aspergillus fumigatus	Af293	FGSC	427.3	746.0
Aspergillus flavus	144B	CRT	418.0	791.1
Aspergillus nidulans	A4	FGSC	430.1	741.2
Aspergillus niger	102.4	CBS	403.8	774.7
Aspergillus terreus var. terreus	601.65	CBS	402.2	782.0
Candida albicans	SC5314	SB	435.4	774.2
Cryptococcus neoformans	8710	CBS	450.0	774.4
Cunninghamella bertholletiae	151.80	CBS	3.9	768.0
Fusarium oxysporum	167.3	CBS	400.1	770.7
Fusarium solani	224.34	CBS	434.1	779.3
Lichtheimia corymbifera	109940	CBS	14.2	797.6
Lichtheimia corymbifera	120580	CBS	19.8	786.0
Lichtheimia hyalospora	146576	CBS	50.0	765.7
Lichtheimia ornata	142195	CBS	10.2	787.7
Lichtheimia ramosa	112528	CBS	13.5	784.4
Lichtheimia ramosa	124197	CBS	38.8	776.4
Lichtheimia ramosa	2845	NCPF	7.7	789.0
Lomentospora prolificans	3.1	CRT	433.3	766.6
Mucor ardhlaengiktus	126271	CBS	7.3	743.8
Mucor circinelloides f. circinelloides	123973	CBS	6.6	777.8
Mucor circinelloides	124429	CBS	0.6	790.5
Mucor circinelloides	B5-2	CRT	15.0	786.5
Mucor circinelloides f. circinelloides	120582	CBS	0.0	781.0

TABLE 1 Continued

Species	Isolate Number	Source ¹	TG11 a.u. ²	KC9 a.u. ²
Mucor circinelloides f. circinelloides	E2A	CRT	0.8	774.0
Mucor indicus	120.08	CBS	8.8	761.9
Mucor irregularis	103.93	CBS	1.9	750.1
Mucor plumbeus	96	CRT	17.7	762.6
Mucor racemosus f. racemosus	112382	CBS	16.6	768.3
Mucor velutinosus	126272	CBS	3.9	769.4
Phycomyces nitens	133	CBS	0.8	778.5
Rhizomucor pusillus	120586	CBS	9.6	774.5
Rhizomucor pusillus	120587	CBS	3.7	750.3
Rhizopus arrhizus	T14A	CRT	115.9	25.0
Rhizopus arrhizus	TV4	CRT	85.8	13.0
Rhizopus arrhizus	2634	NCPF	51.7	50.8
Rhizopus arrhizus	111233	CBS	60.1	9.6
Rhizopus arrhizus var. arrhizus	112.07	CBS	34.5	24.2
Rhizopus arrhizus var. arrhizus	118614	CBS	51.2	10.0
Rhizopus arrhizus var. delemar	2601	NCPF	17.3	11.9
Rhizopus arrhizus var. delemar	544.80	CBS	30.7	9.3
Rhizopus arrhizus var. delemar	607.68	CBS	33.1	19.9
Rhizopus azygosporus	357.93	CBS	15.7	794.8
Rhizopus homothallicus	336.62	CBS	181.1	782.5
Rhizopus microsporus var. rhizopodiformis	102277	CBS	6.6	802.1
Rhizopus microsporus var. rhizopodiformis	220.92	CBS	41.3	809.9
Rhizopus microsporus var. rhizopodiformis	118987	CBS	33.2	848.2
Rhizopus oligosporus	Tempeh	CRT	11.2	783.7
Rhizopus schipperae	138.95	CBS	5.6	790.1
Rhizopus stolonifer var. stolonifer	389.95	CBS	7.0	743.9
Saksenaea erythrospora	138279	CBS	57.0	804.7
Scedosporium apiospermum	117467	CBS	401.7	781.0
Scedosporium aurantiacum	121926	CBS	428.5	781.3

(Continued)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Species	lsolate Number	Source ¹	TG11 a.u. ²	KC9 a.u. ²
Scedosporium boydii	835.96	CBS	476.7	778.4
Syncephalastrum racemosum	155	CRT	3.4	778.4

¹CBS; Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, The Netherlands. CRT, C. R. Thornton, University of Exeter, UK. NCPF, National Centre for Pathogenic Fungi, Public Health England, UK.

 2 For LFD tests, test (T) line Cube readings in artificial units (a.u.) are the means of two replicate values. The threshold T line value for TG11-LFD test positivity is \leq 400 a.u., while the threshold T line value for KC9-LFD test positivity is \leq 700 a.u. All TG11-LFD and KC9-LFD tests had control (C) line values of \geq 600 a.u.

PBST (PBS containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20). Goat anti-mouse polyvalent immunoglobulin (G, A, M) peroxidase conjugate (PA1-84388, Invitrogen), diluted 1:5000 in PBST, was added to the wells and incubated for a further hour. The plates were washed with PBST as described, given a final 5 min wash with PBS, and bound antibody visualised by incubating wells with tetramethyl benzidine (TMB) substrate solution for 30 min, after which reactions were stopped by the addition of 3 M H₂SO₄. Absorbance values were determined at 450 nm using a microplate reader (infinite F50, Tecan Austria GmbH). Control wells were incubated with tissue culture medium (TCM) containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS; FCS-SA, Biosera) only. All incubation steps were performed at 23°C in sealed plastic bags. The threshold for detection of the antigen in ELISA was determined from control means (2 x TCM absorbance values). These values were consistently in the range of 0.050-0.100. Consequently, absorbance values ≥0.100 were considered as positive for the detection of antigen.

Determination of Ig class and subcloning procedure

The Ig class of mAbs was determined by using antigenmediated indirect ELISA (Thornton, 2001). Wells of microtiter plates coated with 20 μ g EPS/mL PBS were incubated successively with hybridoma TCS for 1 h, followed by goat anti-mouse IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG3, IgM or IgA-specific antiserum (ISO-2, Sigma) diluted 1:3000 in PBST for 30 min, and rabbit anti-goat peroxidase conjugate (A5420, Sigma) diluted 1:1000 for a further 30 min. Bound antibody was visualised with TMB substrate as described. Hybridoma cell lines were sub-cloned three times by limiting dilution, and cell lines were grown in bulk in a non-selective medium, preserved by slowly freezing in FBS/dimethyl sulfoxide (92:8 v/v), and stored in liquid N₂.

Antibody purification and enzyme conjugation

Hybridoma TCS of mAb TG11 was harvested by centrifugation at 2,147 x g for 40 min at 4°C, followed by filtration through a 0.8 μ M cellulose acetate filter (10462240, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UK). Culture supernatant was loaded onto a HiTrap Protein A column (17-0402-01, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using a peristaltic pump P-1 (18-1110-91, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) with a low pulsation flow of 1 mL/min. Columns were equilibrated with 10 mL of PBS, and column-bound antibody was eluted with 5 mL of 0.1 M glycine-HCl buffer (pH 2.5) with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/ min. The buffer of the purified antibody was exchanged to PBS using a disposable PD-10 desalting column (17-0851-01, GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Following purification, the antibody was sterile filtered with a 0.24 µm syringe filter (85037-574-44, Sartorius) and stored at 4°C. Antibody purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and gel staining using Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein A-purified mAb TG11 was conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for ELISA studies using a Lightning-Link horseradish peroxidase conjugation kit (701-0000; Bio-Techne Ltd.), or to alkaline phosphatase (AKP) for western blotting studies using a Lightning-Link alkaline phosphatase conjugation kit (702-0010; Bio-Techne Ltd.).

Production of antigen in vitro

For antigen production studies, fungi were grown in liquid YNB +G medium for 72 h at 30°C with shaking (100 rpm) using the method described previously (Davies and Thornton, 2022). Culture fluids were filtered through Miracloth, and then stored at -20°C prior to immunoassay by western blotting and direct ELISA.

For colony blots, MEA was inoculated centrally with 5 μ L of a 10³ spores/mL spore suspension of *L. corymbifera* (strain CBS109940) and incubated for 16 h at 30°C, after which the colony was overlayed with PVDF membrane (162-0175, Bio-Rad) for 8 h to bind extracellular antigens. The membrane was removed and discarded, the colony incubated for a further 16 h, and the blotting procedure repeated. The membrane was blocked and processed with TG11-AKP conjugate as described for western blotting.

Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and western blotting

Sodium-dodecyl-sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was carried out using 4-20% gradient polyacrylamide gels (4561094, Bio-Rad) under denaturing conditions. Antigens in EPS preparations and culture filtrates were separated electrophoretically at 165 V, and pre-stained markers (1610377, Bio-Rad) were used for molecular weight determinations. For western blotting, separated antigens were transferred electrophoretically onto a PVDF membrane for 2 h at 75 V, and the membrane was blocked for 16 h at 4°C in PBS containing 1% (w/v) BSA. Blocked membranes were incubated with TG11-AKP conjugate diluted 1:15,000 (v/v) in PBS containing 0.5% (w/v) BSA (PBSA) for 2 h at 23°C. Membranes were washed three times with PBS, once with PBST and bound antibody visualised by incubation in substrate solution. Reactions were stopped by immersing membranes in dH₂O, and membranes were then air dried between sheets of Whatman filter paper.

Monoclonal antibodies JF5 (Thornton, 2008), MC3 (Morad et al., 2018), ED7 (Al-Maqtoofi and Thornton, 2016) and HG12 (Thornton, 2009) were used as controls to confirm the presence of extracellular immuno-reactive antigens in *Aspergillus, Candida, Fusarium* and *Scedosporium* culture filtrates and EPS preparations, respectively. The anti-glucuronoxylomannan (GXM) mAb 18B7 (MABF2069, Sigma) was used to confirm the presence of immuno-reactive capsular polysaccharide of *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

Direct ELISA

For direct ELISA, wells containing immobilised antigen were incubated with 50 μ L of a 1:2000 (v/v) dilution of TG11-HRP conjugate in PBST for 1 h followed by TMB substrate solution for 30 min. All washing steps were as described for the indirect ELISA.

TG11 lateral-flow device

LFD configuration

The TG11 Competitive lateral-flow device (TG11-LFD) was manufactured by Lateral Dx (Alloa, Scotland, UK). The test consisted of Kenosha 75 mm backing card; 8950, 222, and 1281 conjugate, top and sample pads, respectively; and a CN95 (12 μ m) nitrocellulose membrane. The test (T) line consisted of EPS from the *L. corymbifera* strain, CBS109940, at a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL, while the internal test control (C) line consisted of goat antimouse IgG (Arista Biologicals) at a concentration of 0.25 mg/mL.

LFD specificity

Specificity of the TG11-LFD was determined using purified EPS preparations and with filtrates from 72-h-old YNB+G shake cultures of mucoralean and non-mucoralean yeasts and molds of clinical importance.

For EPS, 100 μ L of running buffer (PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20) containing 50 μ g/mL of EPS was added to the TG11-LFD, and T and C line intensities were recorded after 30 min as artificial units (a.u.) using a Cube reader (Davies and Thornton, 2022). The threshold value for test positivity using EPS was determined from the negative control (running buffer only), which was consistently \geq 400 a.u. Consequently, a T line value below the threshold value (400 a.u.) showed a positive test result.

For culture filtrates, samples were mixed 1:10 (v/v) with running buffer and 100 μ L was added to the TG11-LFD. The intensities of the T and C lines were recorded after 30 min as artificial units (a.u.) as described. The threshold value for test positivity was determined from the T line values of culture filtrates for non-Mucorales yeasts and molds, which were consistently \geq 400 a.u. Consequently, a T line value below the threshold value (400 a.u.) showed a positive TG11-LFD test result. The *Rhizopus arrhizus*-specific KC9-LFD (Davies and Thornton, 2022) was used for specificity comparisons, with the threshold value for test positivity determined from the T line values

LFD tests with human serum and BAL

Normal serum from healthy AB blood group males (H6914, Sigma) was spiked with purified EPS from the *L. corymbifera* strain, CBS109940, and was stored at -20°C prior to use. On thawing, the serum was mixed 1:1 (v/v) with citrate-dextrose solution (ACD; 22 g/L sodium citrate (C3434, Sigma), 7.3 g/L citric acid (C0759, Sigma) and 24.5 g/L D-(+)-glucose, pH~5.0), heated at 100°C for 5 min in a heating block, and then centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 x g. The clear supernatant was mixed 1:1 (v/v) with serum running buffer (SRB; PBS containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 and 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-305). One hundred-µL was added to the TG11-LFD, and the intensities of the T and C lines were recorded after 30 min as artificial units (a.u.) using the Cube reader.

Normal BAL from a healthy 59-year-old male (HUMANBAL-0101312, BioIVT) was spiked with purified EPS from the *Rhizopus arrhizus* var. *arrhizus* strain, CBS112.07, and was stored at -20°C prior to use. On thawing, the BAL was mixed 1:10 (v/v) with SRB and the resultant 100 μ L containing 100 μ g EPS/mL was added to the TG11-LFD. Normal BAL mixed 1:10 (v/v) with SRB, and SRB alone, acted as negative controls, with the intensities of the T and C lines recorded after 30 min as artificial units (a.u.) using the Cube reader.

Statistical analysis

Numerical data were analysed using a Student's t-test (independent, two-tailed) to determine statistical significance.

Results

Production of hybridomas and mAb isotyping

A single hybridoma fusion was performed, and 420 hybridoma cell lines were tested in indirect ELISA tests for recognition of the immunogen. Ten cell lines produced EPS-reactive antibodies of the immunoglobulin classes G2b (IgG2b) or M (IgM). The cell line TG11 (an IgG2b) was selected for further evaluation due to its isotype and broad recognition of Mucorales fungi, but lack of cross-reactivity with non-Mucorales fungi.

Production of antigen in vitro

A study of antigen production by *Lichtheimia corymbifera*, strain CBS109940, in YNB+G shake culture showed that growth of the pathogen plateaued after 72 h (Figure 1A). Immunoassay of culture filtrates showed that the TG11 antigen was secreted into the culture medium and was first detectable by ELISA (Figure 1B),



FIGURE 1

Production of the TG11 antigen by *Lichtheimia corymbifera* CBS109940. (A) Dry weights of the pathogen over a 5-day experimental period. (B) ELISA and (C) western blots of culture filtrates using mAb TG11, with a significant (Student's t-test [p < 0.05]) increase in ELISA absorbance value at 48 h (indicated by *) compared to t = 0 h. (D) TG11-LFD test results using the culture filtrates, with test (T) line intensities measured as artificial units (a.u) using a Cube reader. There is a significant (Student's t-test [p < 0.05]) reduction in T line intensities measured as artificial units (a.u) corresponding to the displacement of T lines in LFD tests at 48h, 72h, 96h and 120h (E). The control (E) comprised running buffer (PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20) only. Data points (A, B) and bars (D) are the means of 3 replicates \pm SE. All LFD tests had control (C) line scores of >600 a.u. using the Cube reader. (F) Colony immunoblot showing extracellular production of the TG11 antigen. Note the intense immuno-staining of extracellular antigen produced at the growing edge of the colony during active growth of the pathogen. Scale bar = 1cm.

western blot (Figure 1C) and TG11-LFD (Figures 1D, E) 48 h postinoculation. In colony immuno-blots, extracellular production of the TG11 antigen was associated with the growing edge of the colony (Figure 1F).

Specificity of mAb TG11 in western blotting, LFD and ELISA immunoassay formats

Culture filtrates

Western blotting studies of 72-h-old culture filtrates showed that mAb TG11 is specific to Mucorales fungi, binding to antigens with molecular weights of between 25 kDa to 250 kDa (Figures 2A-F), and with an additional immuno-reactive antigen of ~25 kDa in strains of *Rhizopus arrhizus* var. *arrhizus* (Figure 1B), *Mucor circinelloides* CBS124429 (Figure 1E) and *Mucor indicus* CBS120.08 (Figure 1E). A single immuno-reactive antigen of ~20kDa was evident in culture filtrates of *Rhizopus homothallicus* CBS336.62 (Figure 2D). There was weak binding with culture filtrates from *Rhizopus oligosporus* strain Tempeh (Figure 2D), *Apophysomyces elegans* CBS477.78 (Figure 2F) and *Apophysomyces variabilis* CBS658.93 (Figure 2F), and no binding to antigens in culture filtrates of *Apophysomyces ossiformis* CBS125533 or *Apophysomyces mexicanus* CBS136361 (Figure 1F). There was no cross-reaction of mAb TG11 with antigens in culture filtrates from the unrelated molds *Aspergillus fumigatus* strain Af293 and *Aspergillus flavus* strain 114B (Figure 2C), the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans* CBS8710 (Figure 2D), the molds *Fusarium oxysporum* CBS167.30 and *Scedosporium aurantiacum*



CBS121926 (Figure 2D), the yeast *Candida albicans* strain SC5314 (Figure 2E), and the mold *Aspergillus terreus* CBS601.65 (Figure 2F), despite the presence of extracellular immuno-reactive antigens in the culture filtrates of these fungi (Supplementary Figure 1).

In comparison to the KC9-LFD (Davies and Thornton, 2022), which was specific to antigens in culture filtrates of the *Rhizopus arrhizus* strains only (Table 1), the TG11-LFD is pan-Mucorales-specific, recognising antigens in culture filtrates from all of the Mucorales fungi tested (Table 1). There was displacement of the test

(T) lines in all Mucorales TG11-LFD tests, with Cube reader values below the threshold value for test positivity (400 a.u.). There was no cross-reactivity of the TG11-LFD test with non-Mucorales yeasts and molds (Table 1), with a.u. values exceeding the 400 a.u. threshold value.

Extracellular polysaccharides

In direct ELISA studies, mAb TG11 reacted with EPS preparations from all of the Mucorales fungi tested (Figure 3A), with absorbance values for all species exceeding the threshold value



absorbance values of the negative control (phosphate buffered saline only) were consistently \leq 0.100. Consequently, a threshold absorbance value of 0.100 was used for ELISA positivity. (**B**, **C**) Western blots of EPS samples (20 µg EPS/lane) from Mucorales spp. and from the unrelated humanpathogenic molds *Aspergillus fumigatus* Af293 and *Scedosporium aurantiacum* CBS121926. (**D**) Results of TG11-LFD tests using EPS samples (50 µg EPS/lane) from the unrelated molds *A. fumigatus* Af293, *S. aurantiacum* CBS121926, *Fusarium solani* CBS224.34, and *Lomentospora prolificans* strain 3.1. The threshold T line value for test positivity is \leq 400 a.u. Values above this threshold show a negative LFD test result, while values below the threshold show a positive LFD test result. Data points (**A**) and bars (**D**) are the means of 2 replicates \pm SE. All LFD tests (**D**) had control (**C**) line scores of >600 a.u. using the Cube reader.

of 0.100 for test positivity. The sensitivity of the ELISA using EPS from the *L. corymbifera* strain CBS109940 was 109.8 pmol/L, with a range of 439.3 pmol/L to 54.9 pmol/L for the other Mucorales species tested. Western blotting studies with the EPS samples (Figures 3B, C) showed strong reactivity of mAb TG11 with EPS from *Lichtheimia* spp., *Cunninghamella bertholletiae* CBS151.80, *Rhizomucor pusillus* CBS102587, and *Apophysomyces variabilis*

CBS658.93. Despite weaker reactions of mAb TG11 with the *Mucor* and *Rhizopus* EPS preparations in western blots (Figures 3B, C), EPS from all of the Mucorales fungi gave strong TG11-LFD test results (Figure 3D), with T line values below the threshold value (400 a.u.) for test positivity.

There was no cross-reaction of mAb TG11 with EPS samples from the unrelated mold pathogens in either the western blotting

(Figures 3B, C), LFD (Figures 3D, 4B) or ELISA (Figure 4A) immunoassay formats, despite the presence of immuno-reactive antigens in these EPS preparations (Figure 4C).

Limit of detection (LOD) in human serum and compatibility with human BAL

Using the Cube reader, the LOD of the TG11-LFD using human serum spiked with EPS from *L. corymbifera*, strain CBS109940, was shown to be ~100 ng EPS/mL serum (~224.7 pmol/L serum) (Figure 5A). The TG11-LFD is compatible with human BAL, showing a significant reduction in T line values using BAL spiked with EPS from the *Rhizopus arrhizus* var. *arrhizus*, strain

CBS112.07, compared to the T line values for normal BAL and SRB only (Figures 5B, C).

Discussion

In this paper, we describe the development and characterisation of a murine IgG2b monoclonal antibody (mAb), TG11, raised against an extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) antigen from *Lichtheimia corymbifera*, and its incorporation into a Mucoralesspecific lateral-flow device (TG11-LFD) for detection of the EPS biomarker in human serum and BAL.



Specificity of mAb TG11. (A) ELISA of EPS samples from unrelated molds of clinical importance. Note the lack of cross-reactivity of mAb TG11 with EPS from the unrelated molds *A fumigatus* Af293, *S. aurantiacum* CBS121926, *Fusarium solani* CBS224.34 and *Lomentospora prolificans* strain 3.1, compared to the positive control (*Lichthemia corymbifera* CBS109940). Data points are the means of 2 replicates \pm SE, and the negative control consisted of phosphate buffered saline only. (B) Visual appraisal of TG11-LFD specificity using EPS samples (50 µg EPS/mL running buffer (PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20)) from *L. corymbifera* CBS109940 and the unrelated molds. Note the complete displacement of the test (T) line with *L. corymbifera* EPS compared to the unrelated molds and negative control (running buffer only). Using the Cube reader, all LFD tests had control (C) line scores of >600 a.u. (C) Western blots of EPS preparations (10 µg EPS/lane) from the human-pathogenic molds *A fumigatus* Af293, *S. aurantiacum* CBS121926 and *Fusarium solani* CBS224.34, showing the presence of antigens reactive with the *Aspergillus*-specific mAb JF5 (Thornton, 2008), *Scedosporium*-specific mAb HG12 (Thornton, 2009), and *Fusarium*-specific mAb ED7 (Al-Maqtoofi and Thornton, 2016), respectively.



significant reduction (Student's t-test [p < 0.05]) at 0.10 μ g EPS/mL serum (indicated by *) and thereafter compared to the control (normal serum only [0.00 μ g EPS/mL serum]). Based on this result, the limit of detection (LOD) of the TG11-LFD is determined to be ~100 ng EPS/mL serum (~224.7 pmol/L serum). Data points are the means of 3 replicates \pm SE, and all LFD tests had control (**C**) line scores of >600 a.u. (**B**) Visual appraisal of TG11-LFD compatibility with human BAL. Two replicate LFD tests with BAL samples spiked with 100 μ g/EPS (BAL+EPS) from *Rhizopus arrhizus* var. *arrhizus* CBS112.07 are shown alongside replicate tests with normal BAL and serum running buffer (SRB) only. (**C**) Cube readings (a.u.) for the replicate LFD tests shown in (**B**). Each value is the mean a.u. of the 2 replicate tests \pm SE, and all LFD tests of the BAL+EPS tests compared to both of the controls (normal BAL and SRB only), but no significant difference between the T line intensities of the Wo controls.

The antigen bound by mAb TG11 is secreted into culture medium following germination of sporangiospores, and is associated with active hyphal growth in colony immunoblots. Using a combination of immunoassay tests (ELISA, western blotting and LFD) of crude culture filtrates and purified EPS from Mucorales species and from unrelated molds and yeasts of clinical importance, we have shown that the mAb and the TG11-LFD are Mucorales-specific. Differences in reactivity of the mAb to antigens in crude culture filtrates and to purified EPS were observed in western blotting studies (for example, weak recognition of A. variabilis antigen in crude culture filtrate, but strong recognition of purified A. variabilis EPS). The reason for this discrepancy is currently unknown, but may be related to lower concentrations of antigen in the crude filtrates. Despite this, there was consistent recognition of antigens in both sources by the TG11-LFD, the intended clinical immunoassay format. All Mucorales fungi were detected, including the most common causes of mucoromycosis worldwide, namely Lichtheimia spp., Mucor circinelloides, Rhizopus arrhizus, and Rhizopus microsporus var. rhizopodiformis (Roden et al., 2005; Gomes et al., 2011; Skiada et al., 2011; Laternier et al., 2012; Zaki et al., 2014; Prakash and Chakrabarti, 2019; Skiada et al., 2020; Radotra and Challa, 2022; Özbek et al., 2023; Pham et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023), and also rarer, emerging, or more regionally-prevalent causes of the disease (Gomes et al., 2011; Skiada et al., 2020) including Actinomucor (Tully et al., 2009), Apophysomyces (Chander et al., 2015), Cunninghamella (Mita et al., 2022), other Mucor spp. (Deja et al., 2006; Álvarez et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2013; Chander et al., 2018), Rhizomucor (Chander et al., 2018; Schober et al., 2021), other Rhizopus spp. (Anstead et al., 1999; Kokkayil et al., 2017; Chander et al., 2018; Kanwar et al., 2021), Sakseneae (Chander et al., 2017), and Syncephalastrum (Irshad et al., 2020). Importantly, mAb TG11 does not cross-react with other fungal pathogens which have been encountered in mixed infections with Mucorales spp., including Aspergillus spp. (Arndt et al., 2009; Bergantim et al., 2013; Henn et al., 2014; Obradovic-Tomasev et al., 2014; Pandey et al., 2019; Anita et al., 2021; Bellanger et al., 2021; Benhadid-Brahmi et al., 2022; Buil et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2021; Moorthy et al., 2021; Paul et al. (2022); Ramírez-Hinojosa et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2021; Zayet et al., 2021; Rahna et al., 2022; Suresh et al., 2022; Tabarsi et al., 2022; Teng et al., 2022; Zhan et al., 2022; Murray et al., 2023; Sardeshmukh et al., 2023), *Fusarium* spp. (De Almeida Junior et al., 2015; Marino et al., 2023), *Candida albicans* (Obradovic-Tomasev et al., 2014; Pandey et al., 2019; Jawanda et al., 2022), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Henn et al., 2014; Gupta et al., 2023), *Lomentospora prolificans* (Erami et al., 2023), and *Scedosporium apiospermum* (Shand et al., 2004; Marques et al., 2011; Song et al., 2022; Kanaujia et al., 2023).

The pan-Mucorales-specificity of mAb TG11 makes it a suitable candidate for incorporation into a rapid LFD test for detection of the Mucorales-specific EPS biomarker. While a pan-Mucorales mitochondrial rnl (encoding for large-subunit-ribosomal-RNA) gene has been shown to be a novel molecular marker for Mucorales fungi (Caramalho et al., 2019), and a Mucoralesspecific IgM mAb (WSSA-RA-1) which binds to intracellular cytoplasmic antigens of between 14 kDa and 110 kDa has previously been reported and used in the immunohistochemical detection of bovine mucoromycosis (Jensen et al., 1996), this is the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that a pan-Mucoralesspecific mAb which binds to an extracellular antigenic Mucorales biomarker has been reported. Previously, we described the development of a Competitive LFD for the serological detection of Rhizopus arrhizus, the principal global agent of mucoromycosis in humans. The species-specific LFD employs a mAb, KC9, which binds to a single epitope present within a 15 kDa extracellular EPS antigen (Davies and Thornton, 2022). We have found that mAb TG11 similarly binds to a single epitope within larger 25 kDa to 250 kDa Mucorales antigens, necessitating its use in a Competitive lateral-flow immunoassay format. The Competitive immunoassay format is ideally suited to mAbs which bind to single epitopes, with Competitive LFDs finding widespread applicability in human medicine, environmental sciences, agriculture, and veterinary medicine, for the detection of pathogens, hormones, enzymes, chemicals, narcotic drugs, toxins, and pollutants (Andryukov, 2020; Davies and Thornton, 2022; Khelifa et al., 2022).

The Competitive TG11-LFD test described here relies on soluble antigen in the test sample (buffer, serum or BAL) displacing binding of the gold-conjugated TG11 mAb to purified *L. corymbifera* EPS present in the test (T) line; the response is therefore negatively correlated to the analyte concentration (the more analyte present, the weaker the signal, with no analyte giving the strongest signal). An advantage of the Competitive LFD format is that it does not suffer from false-negative prozone effects caused by high concentrations of the target antigen as seen in Sandwich LFD formats. Indeed, the cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) semiquantitative (SQ) lateral-flow assay now comprises a Competitive test line to counteract prozone effects (Tadeo et al., 2021).

Current detection of mucoromycosis relies on insensitive and time-consuming culture of fungi from biopsy samples, and on

sophisticated laboratory-based PCR, MALDI-TOF, or enzymelinked immunospot (ELISpot) tests (Lackner et al., 2021; Lamoth, 2023; Thornton, 2023). Detection of mucoromycosis is not possible using the pan-fungal $1 \rightarrow 3\beta$ -D-glucan (BDG) test, since Mucorales fungi lack this carbohydrate in their cell walls. Nevertheless, the BDG test and Aspergillus-specific ELISA and LFD tests can be used to rule out aspergillosis, the most common differential diagnosis associated with mucoromycosis. The simplicity, speed, and low cost of LFDs makes them ideally suited to the detection of infectious diseases in low- to middle-income countries (Osaigbovo and Bongomin, 2021), and may aid in the point-of-care detection of mucoromycosis (Thornton, 2023). Currently, no mAb-based serodiagnostic LFD test exists for the specific detection of all infectious Mucorales fungi. A mAb (2DA6) and a lateral-flow immunoassay (LFIA) have been developed that recognise Mucorales species, but the mAb lacks specificity, cross-reacting with an epitope on α -1,6 mannans conserved among human pathogenic yeasts and filamentous fungi including Candida albicans and the angio-invasive moulds Aspergillus, Fusarium, and Scedosporium (Burnham-Marusich et al., 2018). Despite this, the LFIA was able to detect cell wall fucomannan in BALf, serum, and urine samples from diabetic ketoacidotic and neutropenic mice following intratracheal challenge with Rhizopus delemar, Lichtheimia corymbifera, Mucor circinelloides and Cunninghamella bertholletiae (Orne et al., 2018), demonstrating the utility of carbohydrate biomarkers in the diagnosis of mucoromycosis, and their detection using lateral-flow technology.

A hallmark of mucoromycosis is extensive angio-invasion (Spellberg et al., 2005; Ibrahim et al., 2012; Skiada et al., 2020), which presents an opportunity for serological detection of circulating EPS biomarker. We therefore investigated the compatibility of the TG11-LFD with human serum as a minimally-invasive biofluid for biomarker detection. When combined with a simple serum pre-treatment step, we were able to detect the EPS biomarker in spiked serum samples. Furthermore, using a Cube reader, we were able to determine the limit of detection (LOD) of L. corymbifera EPS as ~100 ng/mL serum (~224.7 pmol/L serum), which is within the range of sensitivities for Competitive lateral-flow immunoassays (Di Nardo et al., 2021). The relevance of this LOD has yet to be determined with samples from patients with ROCM, pulmonary, cutaneous or disseminated mucoromycosis, but compatibility of the TG11-LFD with human serum and BAL provides an opportunity for clinical evaluation of the test in different disease backgrounds (e.g. neutropenia, ketoacidosis, diabetes). When combined with the serum- and BAL-compatible R. arrhizus-specific LFD test (Davies and Thornton, 2022), the possibility exists for comprehensive pointof-care detection of all clinically-relevant Mucorales species.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Hybridoma work described in this study was conducted under a UK Home Office Project License, and was re-viewed by the institution's Animal Welfare Ethical Review Board (AWERB) for approval. The work was carried out in accordance with The Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 Directive 2010/63/EU, and followed all the Codes of Practice which reinforce this law, including all elements of housing, care, and euthanasia of the animals. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

CRT: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GED: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. LD: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The study was funded by ISCA Diagnostics Ltd. (Grant: A pan-Mucorales Lateral-Flow Device). The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

Al-Maqtoofi, M., and Thornton, C. R. (2016). Detection of human pathogenic *Fusarium* species in hospital and communal sink biofilms by using a highly specific monoclonal antibody. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 3620–3634. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.1323

Álvarez, E., Cano, J., Stchigel, A. M., Sutton, D. A., Fothergill, A. W., Salas, V., et al. (2011). Two new species of *Mucor* from clinical samples. *Med. Mycol.* 49, 62–72. doi: 10.3109/13693786.2010.499521

Álvarez, E., Sutton, D. A., Cano, J., Fothergill, W. W., Stchigel, A., Rinaldi, M. G., et al. (2009). The spectrum of zygomycete species identified in clinically significant specimens in the United States. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47, 1650–1656. doi: 10.1128/ JCM.00036-09

Andryukov, B. G. (2020). Six decades of lateral flow immunoassay: from determining metabolic markers to diagnosing COVID-19. *AIMS Microbiol.* 6, 280–304. doi: 10.3934/microbiol.2020018

Anita, A., Kumar, S., Kumari, N., Rajpal, K., Kumar, S., and Singh, R.K. (2021). A case series of mucormycosis and aspergillus coinfection in post-COVID-19 patient with uncontrolled diabetic. *Indian Journal of Case Reports.* 7, 420–423. doi: 10.32677/ ijcr.v7i10.3054

Anstead, G. M., Sutton, D. A., Thompson, E. H., Weitzman, I., Otto, R. A., and Ahuja, S. K. (1999). Disseminated zygomycosis due to *Rhizopus schipperae* after heatstroke. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37, 2656–2662. doi: 10.1128/JCM.37.8.2656-2662.1999

Arndt, S., Aschendorff, A., Echternach, M., Daemmrich, T. D., and Maier, W. (2009). Rhino-orbital-cerebral mucoromycosis and aspergillosis: differential diagnosis and treatment. *Eur. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol.* 266, 71–76. doi: 10.1007/s00405-008-0692-y

Conflict of interest

CRT is a Director of ISCA Diagnostics Ltd. GED is an employee of ISCA Diagnostics Ltd.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1305662/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Western blots of culture filtrates from non-Mucorales yeasts and molds of clinical importance showing the presence of (A) *Aspergillus* extracellular antigens reactive with the *Aspergillus*-specific mAb JF5 (Thornton, 2008), (B) *Scedosporium* extracellular antigens reactive with the *Scedosporium*-specific mAb HG12 (Thornton, 2009), (C) *Candida albicans* extracellular antigens reactive with the *Candida*-specific mAb MC3 (Morad et al., 2018), (D) *Fusarium* extracellular antigens reactive with the *Fusarium*-specific mAb ED7 (Al-Maqtoofi and Thornton, 2016), and (E) *Cryptococcus neoformans* capsular polysaccharide reactive with the anti-glucuronoxylomannan (GXM) mAb 18B7.

Bellanger, A.-P., Navellou, J.-C., Lepiller, Q., Brion, A., Brunel, A.-S., Millon., L., et al. (2021). Mixed mold infection with Aspergillus fumigatus and Rhizopus microsporus in a severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) patient. *Infect. Dis. Now* 51, 633–635. doi: 10.1016/j.idnow.2021.01.010

Benhadid-Brahmi, Y., Hamane, S., Soyer, B., Mebazaa, A., Alanio, A., Chousterman, B., et al. (2022). COVID-19-associated mixed mold infections: a case report of aspergillosis and mucormycosis and a literature review. *J. Med. Mycol.* 32, 101231. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2021.101231

Bergantim, R., Rios, E., Trigo, F., and Guimarães, J. E. (2013). Invasive coinfection with *Aspergillus* and *Mucor* in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin. Drug Investig.* 33, S51–S55. doi: 10.1007/s40261-012-0022-4

Borman, A., and Johnson, E. M. (2023). Name changes for fungi of medical importance 2020 to 2021. J. Clin. Microbiol 61, e00330-22. doi: 10.1128/jcm.00330-22

Buil, J. B., van Zanten, A. R. H., Bentvelsen, R. G., Rijpstra, T. A., Goorhuis, B., van der Voort, S., et al. (2021). Case series of four secondary mucormycosis infections in COVID-19 patients, the Netherlands, December 2020 to May 2021. *Euro Surveill.* 26, 2100510. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.23.2100510

Burnham-Marusich, A. R., Hubbard, B., Kvam, A. J., Gates-Hollingsworth, M., Green, H. R., Soukup, E., et al. (2018). Conservation of mannan synthesis in fungi of the zygomycota and ascomycota reveals a broad diagnostic target. *mSphere* 3, e00094–e00018. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00094-18

Chander, J., Kaur, M., Singla, N., Punia, R. P. S., Singhal, S. K., Attri, A. K., et al. (2018). Mucoromycosis: battle with the deadly enemy over a five-year period in India. *J. Fungi* 4, 46. doi: 10.3390/jof4020046

Chander, J., Singla, N., Kaur, M., Punia, R. S., Attri, A., Alastruey-Izquierdo, A., et al. (2017). Saksenaea erythrospora, an emerging mucoralean fungus causing severe necrotizing skin and soft tissue infections – a study from a tertiary care hospital in north India. *Infect. Dis.* 49, 170–177. doi: 10.1080/23744235.2016.1239027

Chander, J., Stchigel, A. M., Alastruey-Izquierdo, A., Jayant, M., Bala, K., Rani, H., et al. (2015). Fungal necrotizing fasciitis, an emerging infectious disease caused by *Apophysomyces* (Mucorales). *Rev. Iberoam Micol.* 32, 93–98. doi: 10.1016/j.riam.2014.06.005

Corzo-León, D. E., Chora-Hernández, L. D., Rodríguez-Zulueta, A. P., and Walsh, T. J. (2018). Diabetes mellitus as the major risk factor for mucoromycosis in Mexico: epidemiology, diagnosis, and outcomes of reported cases. *Med. Mycol* 56, 29–43. doi: 10.1093/mmy/myx017

Davies, G. E., and Thornton, C. R. (2022). Development of a monoclonal antibody and a serodiagnostic lateral-flow device specific to *Rhizopus arrhizus* (syn. *R. oryzae*), the principal global agent of mucoromycosis in humans. *J. Fungi* 8, 756. doi: 10.3390/ jof8070756

De Almeida Júnior, J. N., Ibrahim, K. Y., Del Negro, G. M. B., Bezerra, E. D., Duarte Neto, A. N., Batista, M. V., et al. (2015). *Rhizopus arrhizus* and *Fusarium solani* concomitant infection in an immunocompromised host. *Mycopathologia* 181, 125–129. doi: 10.1007/s11046-015-9936-8

Deja, M., Wolf, S., Weber-Carstens, S., Lehmann, T.-N., Adler, A., Ruhnke, M., et al. (2006). Gastrointestinal zygomycosis caused by *Mucor indicus* in a patient with acute traumatic brain injury. *Med. Mycol.* 44, 683–687. doi: 10.1080/13693780600803888

Di Nardo, F., Chiarello, M., Cavalera, S., Baggiani, C., and Anfossi, L. (2021). Ten years of lateral flow immunoassay technique applications: trends, challenges and future perspectives. *Sensors* 21, 5185. doi: 10.3390/s21155185

Erami, M., Mirhendi, H., Momen-Heravi, M., Sharif, A., Hashemi Hezaveh, S. J., Matini, A. H., et al. (2023). Case report: COVID-19-associated mucoromycosis coinfection with *Lomentospora prolificans*: the first case and review on multiple coinfections during COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Med.* 10, 1078970. doi: 10.3389/ fmed.2023.1078970

Ganesan, A., Shaikh, F., Bradley, W., Blyth, D. M., Bennett, D., Petfield, J. L., et al. (2019). Classification of trauma-associated invasive fungal infections to support wound treatment decisions. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 25, 1639–1647. doi: 10.3201/eid2509.190168

Gomes, M. Z. R., Lewis, R. E., and Kontoyiannis, D. P. (2011). Mucoromycosis caused by unusual mucormycetes, non-*Rhizopus*, -*Mucor*, and *Lichtheimia* species. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 24, 411–445. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00056-10

Gupta, P., Kaur, H., Rompally, S., Muthu, V., Kollabathula, A., Sharma, A., et al. (2023). Disseminated cryptococcosis and pulmonary mucoromycosis: dealing with dual mycoses in a renal transplant patient. *Mycopathologia* 188, 163–167. doi: 10.1007/ s11046-022-00700-2

Henn, A., Mellon, G., Henry, B., Roos-Weil, D., Jauréguiberry, S., Mordant, P., et al. (2014). Disseminated cryptococcosis, invasive aspergillosis, and mucoromycosis in a patient treated with alemtuzumab for chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* 46, 231–234. doi: 10.3109/00365548.2013.866269

Hoenigl, M., Seidel, D., Carvalho, A., Rudramurthy, S. M., Arastehfar, A., Gangneux, J.-P., et al. (2022). The emergence of COVID-19 associated mucoromycosis: a review of cases from 18 countries. *Lancet Microbe* 3, e543–e552. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(21) 00237-8

Ibrahim, A. S., Spellberg, B., Walsh, T. J., and Kontoyiannis, D. P. (2012). Pathogenesis of mucoromycosis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 54, S16–S22. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir865

Irshad, M., Nasir, N., Hashmi, U. H., Farooqi, J., and Faisal, S. (2020). Invasive pulmonary infection by Synephalastrum species: two case reports and review of literature. *IDCases* 21, e00913. doi: 10.1016/j.idcr.2020.e00913

Jawanda, M. K., Narula, R., Gupta, S., Sharma, V., Sidhu, S. K., and Kaur, N. (2022). Mixed infections (Mucormycosis, Actinomycosis and Candidiasis) leading to maxillary osteomyelitis in a diabetic mellitus patient in post COVID phase: First case report. *Acta Med.* 64, 218–223. doi: 10.14712/18059694.2022.5

Jensen, H. E., Aalbæk, B., Lind, P., and Krogh, H. V. (1996). Immunohistochemical diagnosis of systemic bovine zygomycosis by murine monoclonal antibodies. *Vet. Pathol.* 33, 176–183. doi: 10.1177/030098589603300207

Jeong, W., Keighley, C., Wolfe, R., Lee, W. L., Slavin, M. A., Kong, D. C. M., et al. (2019). The epidemiology and clinical manifestations of mucoromycosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case reports. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 25, 26–34. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2018.07.011

John, T. M., Jacob, C. N., and Kontoyiannis, D. P. (2021). When uncontrolled diabetes mellitus and severe COVID-19 converge: the perfect storm for mucoromycosis. J. Fungi 7, 298. doi: 10.3390/jof7040298

Johnson, A. K., Ghazarian, Z., Cendrowski, K. D., and Persichino, J. G. (2021). Pulmonary aspergillosis and mucoromycosis in a patient with COVID-19. *Med. Mycol. Case Rep.* 32, 64–67. doi: 10.1016/j.mmcr.2021.03.006

Kanaujia, R., Muthu, V., Singh, S., Rudramurthy, S. M., and Kaur, H. (2023). Rapidly progressive lung coinfection due to *Rhizopus* and *Scedosporium* in a diabetic marijuana smoker. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 29, 51–53. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.05.012

Kanwar, A., Jordan, A., Olewiler, S., Wehberg, S., Cortes, M., and Jackson, B. R. (2021). A fatal case of *Rhizopus azygosporus* pneumonia following COVID-19. *J. Fungi* 7, 174. doi: 10.3390/jof7030174

Khelifa, L., Hu, Y., Jiang, N., and Yetisen, A. K. (2022). Lateral flow assays for hormones. *Lab. Chip* 22, 2451. doi: 10.1039/D1LC00960E

Kokkayil, P., Pandey, M., Agarwal, R., Kale, P., Singh, G., and Xess, I. (2017). *Rhizopus homothallicus* causing invasive infections: series of three cases from a single centre in north India. *Mycopathologia* 182, 921–926. doi: 10.1007/s11046-017-0153-5

Lackner, N., Posch, W., and Lass-Flörl, C. (2021). Microbiological and molecular diagnosis of mucoromycosis: from old to new. *Microorganisms* 9, 1518. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9071518

Lamoth, F. (2023). Novel approaches in the management of mucoromycosis. Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep. 17, 98–107. doi: 10.1007/s12281-023-00463-3

Laternier, F., Dannaoui, E., Morizot, G., Elie, C., Garcia-Hermoso, D., Huerre, M., et al. (2012). French Mycosis Study Group. A global analysis of mucoromycosis in France: the RetroZygo study, (2005-2007). *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 54, S35–S43. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir880

Lu, X.-L., Najafzadeh, M. J., Dolatabadi, S., Ran, Y.-P., Gerrits van den Ende, A. H. G., Shen, Y.-N., et al. (2013). Taxonomy and epidemiology of *Mucor irregularis*, agent of chronic cutaneous mucoromycosis. *Persoonia* 30, 48–56. doi: 10.3767/003158513X665539

Marino, A., Calvo, M., Trovato, L., Scalia, G., Gussio, M., and Consoli, U. (2023). Mucorales/*Fusarium* mixed infection in hematologic patient with COVID-19 complications: an unfortunate combination. *Pathogens* 12, 304. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens12020304

Marques, D. S., Vaz, C. P., Branca, R., Campilho, F., Lamelas, C., Afonso, L. P., et al. (2011). *Rhizomucor* and *Scedosporium* infection post hematopoietic stem-cell transplant. *Case Rep. Med.* 2011, 830769. doi: 10.1155/2011/830769

Miller, M. A., Molina, K. C., Gutman, J. A., Scherger, S., Lum, J. M., Mossad, S. B., et al. (2020). Mucoromycosis in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and in patients with hematological Malignancies in the era of new antifungal agents. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 8, ofaa646. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa646

Mita, A., Hirano, S., Uehara, T., Uehara, K., Ohno, Y., Kubota, K., et al. (2022). Fatal disseminated mucoromycosis due to *Cunninghamella bertholletiae* infection after ABO-incompatible living donor liver transplantation: a case report. *Surg. Case Rep.* 8, 164. doi: 10.1186/s40792-022-01516-4

Morad, H. O. J., Wild, A.-M., Wiehr, S., Davies, G., Maurer, A., Pichler, B. J., et al. (2018). Pre-clinical imaging of invasive candidiasis using immunoPET/MR. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 1996. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01996

Moorthy, A., Gaikwad, R., Krishna, S., Hegde, R., Tripathi, K., Kale, P. G., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2, uncontrolled diabetes and corticosteroids—an unholy trinity in invasive fungal infections of the maxillofacial region? A retrospective multi-centric analysis. J. Maxillofac. Oral. Surg. 20, 418–425. doi: 10.1007/s12663-021-01532-1

Murray, J., Lu, Z. A., Miller, K., Meadows, A., Totten, M., and Zhang, S. X. (2023). Dual disseminated aspergillosis and mucoromycosis diagnosed at autopsy: a case report of two cases of coinfection and a review of the literature. *J. Fungi* 9, 357. doi: 10.3390/ jof9030357

Obradovic-Tomasev, M., Popovic, A., Vuckovic, N., and Jovanovic, M. (2014). Mixed fungal infection (*Aspergillus, Mucor*, and *Candida*) of severe hand injury. *Case Rep. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 954186. doi: 10.1155/2014/954186

Orne, C., Burnham-Marusich, A., Baldin, C., Gebremariam, T., Ibrahim, A., Kvam, A., et al. (2018). Cell wall fucomannan is a biomarker for diagnosis of invasive murine mucoromycosis. 28th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease (ECCMID) 1. Available online at: https://www.escmid.org/escmid_publications/escmid_elibrary/material/?mid=62799 [Google Scholar]

Osaigbovo, I. I., and Bongomin, F. (2021). Point of care tests for invasive fungal infections: a blue print for increasing availability in Africa. *Ther. Adv. Infect. Dis.* 8, 20499361211034266. doi: 10.1177/20499361211034266

Özbek, L., Topçu, U., Manay, M., Esen, B. H., Bektas, S. N., Aydin, S., et al. (2023). COVID-19-associated mucoromycosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 958 cases. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 29, 722–731. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2023.03.008

Pandey, D., Agarwal, M., Chadha, S., and Aggarwal, D. (2019). Mixed opportunistic infection with Mucor, Aspergillus and Candida in oculo-rhino-cerebral mycosis: an uncommon case. *J. Acad. Clin. Microbiol.* 21, 47–49. doi: 10.4103/jacm.jacm.2_19

Paul, M., Sasidharan, J., Taneja, J., Chatterjee, K., Abbas, S. Z., Chowdury, V., et al. (2022). Invasive mucoromycosis and aspergillosis coinfection associated with post-COVID-19 pneumonia in a tertiary care hospital. *J. Med. Mycol.* 63, 59–64. doi: 10.3314/mmi.21-00019

Petrikkos, G., Skiada, A., Lortholary, O., Roilides, E., Walsh, T. J., and Kontoyiannis, D. P. (2012). Epidemiology and clinical manifestations of mucoromycosis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 54, S23–S34. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir866

Pham, D., Howard-Jones, A. R., Sparks, R., Stefani, M., Sivalingham, V., Halliday, C. L., et al. (2023). Epidemiology, modern diagnostics, and the management of mucorales infections. *J. Fungi* 9, 659. doi: 10.3390/jof9060659

Prakash, H., and Chakrabarti, A. (2019). Global epidemiology of mucoromycosis. J. Fungi 5, 26. doi: 10.3390/jof5010026

Prakash, H., and Chakrabarti, A. (2021). Epidemiology of mucoromycosis in India. *Microorganisms* 9, 523. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9030523 Radotra, B., and Challa, S. (2022). Pathogenesis and pathology of COVID-associated mucoromycosis: what is new and why. *Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep.* 16, 206–220. doi: 10.1007/s12281-022-00443-z

Rahna, P., Chandra, S., Padma, B. P., and Ranjini, K. (2022). Mixed infections of rhino-orbital-cerebral mucoromycosis and aspergillosis with culture proven *Aspergillus* endophthalmitis in post COVID patient – a case report. *Indian J. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 8, 163–165. doi: 10.18231/j.ijceo.2022.030

Ramírez-Hinojosa, J. P., Medrano-Ahumada, S., Arenas, R., Bravo-Escobar, A., Paraguirre-Martínez, S., Xicohtencatl-Cortes, J., et al. (2021). Fungal invasive coinfection due to *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Rhizopus arrhizus*: a rhino-orbital presentation. J. Fungi 7, 1096. doi: 10.3390/jof7121096

Roden, M. M., Zaoutis, T. E., Buchanan, W. L., Knudsen, T. A., Sarkisova, T. A., Schaufele, R. L., et al. (2005). Epidemiology and outcome of zygomycosis: a review of 929 reported cases. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 41, 634–653. doi: 10.1086/432579

Rodriguez-Morales, A. J., Sah, R., Millan-Oñate, J., Gonzalez, A., Montenegro-Idrogo, J. J., Scherger, S., et al. (2021). COVID-19 associated mucoromycosis: the urgent need to reconsider the indiscriminate use of immunosuppressive drugs. *Ther. Adv. Infect. Dis.* 8, 1–5. doi: 10.1177/20499361211027065

Rudramurthy, S. M., Hoenigl, M., Meis, J. F., Cornely, O. A., Muthu, V., Gangneux, J. P., et al. (2021). ECMM/ISHAM recommendations for clinical management of COVID-19 associated mucoromycosis in low- and middle-income countries. *Mycoses* 64, 1028–1037. doi: 10.1111/myc.13335

Sardeshmukh, A. A., Kshirsagar, R. A., Prasannakumar, P., Nair, V. S., Jha, G. K., and Warade, P. (2023). Mucoromycosis and aspergillosis infection following maxillofacial trauma: case report. *Indian J. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 75, 1245–1249. doi: 10.1007/s12070-023-03492-z

Schober, S., Stanchi, K. M. C., Riecker, A., Pfeiffer, M., Tsiflikas, I., Wiegand, G., et al. (2021). Fulminant Rhizomucor pusillus mucormycosis during anti-leukemic treatment with blinatumomab in a child: a case report and review of the literature. *Med. Mycol. Case Rep.* 32, 4–9. doi: 10.1016/j.mmcr.2020.12.002

Sen, M., Honavar, S. G., Bansal, R., Sengupta, S., Rao, R., Kim, U., et al. (2021). Epidemiology, clinical profile, management, and outcome of COVID-19-associated rhino-orbital-cerebral mucoromycosis in 2826 patients in India – collaborative OPAI-IJO study on mucoromycosis in COVID-19 (COSMIC), Report 1. *Indian J. Ophthalmol.* 69, 1670–1692. doi: 10.4103/ijo.JJO_1565_21

Shand, J. M., Albrecht, R. M., Burnett, H. F., and Miyake, A. (2004). Invasive fungal infection of the midfacial and orbital complex due to *Scedosporium apiospermum* and mucoromycosis. *J. Oral. Maxillofac. Surg.* 62, 231–234. doi: 10.1016/j.joms.2003.04.013

Singh, A., Mohanty, A., Jha, S., Gupta, P., and Kaistha, N. (2021). Concomitant mucoromycosis with aspergillosis in patients with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus: a case series. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* 15, DR01–DR03. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2021/47912.14507

Skiada, A., Pagano, L., Groll, A., Zimmerli, S., Dupont, B., Lagrou, K., et al. (2011). Zygomycosis in Europe: analysis of 230 cases accrued by the registry of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) Working Group on Zygomycosis between 2005 and 2007. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 17, 1859–1867. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03456.x

Skiada, A., Pavlaes, I., and Drogari-Apiranthitou, M. (2020). Epidemiology and diagnosis of mucoromycosis: an update. J. Fungi 6, 265. doi: 10.3390/jof6040265

Song, Y., Qiao, J., Giovanni, G., Liu, G., Yang, H., Wu, J., et al. (2017). Mucoromycosis in renal transplant recipients: review of 174 reported cases. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 17, 283. doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2381-1

Song, Y., Zhou, M., Gong, Q., and Guo, J. (2022). Scedosporium apiospermum and Lichtheimia corymbifera co-infection due to inhalation of biogas in immunocompetent patients: a case series. Infect. Drug Resist. 15, 6423–6430. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S388166 Spellberg, B., Edwards, J., and Ibrahim, A. (2005). Novel perspectives on mucoromycosis: pathophysiology, presentation, and management. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 18, 556–569. doi: 10.1128/CMR.18.3.556-569.2005

Suresh, A., Joshi, A., Desai, A. K., Juturu, U., Kurian, D. J., Jain, P., et al. (2022). Covid-19 associated fungal osteomyelitis of jaws and sinuses: an experience driven management protocol. *Med. Mycol.* 60, myab082. doi: 10.1093/mmy/myab082

Tabarsi, P., Sharifynia, S., Pourabdollah Toutkaboni, M., Abtahian, Z., Rahdar, M., Mirahmadian, A. S., et al. (2022). Coinfection by Aspergillus and Mucoraceae species in two cases of acute rhinosinusitis as a complication of COVID-19. *Case Rep. Med.* 2022, 1–6. doi: 10.1155/2022/8114388

Tadeo, K. K., Nimwesiga, A., Kwizera, R., Apeduno, L., Martyn, E., Okirwoth, M., et al. (2021). Evaluation of the diagnostic performance of a semiquantitative cryptococcal antigen point-of-care assay among HIV-infected persons with cryptococcal meningitis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 59, e00860–e00821. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00860-21

Teng, P., Han, X., Zhang, S., Wei, D., Wang, Y., Liu, D., et al. (2022). Mixed invasive pulmonary *Mucor* and *Aspergillus* infection: a case report and literature review. *Chin. Med. J.* 135, 854–856. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000001839

Thornton, C. R. (2001). "Immunological methods for fungi," in *Molecular and Cellular Biology of Filamentous Fungi, A Practical Approach.* Ed. N. J. Talbot (Oxford: University Press), 227–256.

Thornton, C. R. (2008). Development of an immunochromatographic lateral-flow device for rapid serodiagnosis of invasive aspergillosis. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 15, 1095–1105. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00068-08

Thornton, C. R. (2009). Tracking the emerging human pathogen *Pseudallescheria boydii* by using highly specific monoclonal antibodies. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 16, 756– 764. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00061-09

Thornton, C. R. (2020). Detection of the 'big five' mold killers of humans: Aspergillus, *Fusarium, Lomentospora, Scedosporium* and Mucormycetes. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* 110, 1–61. doi: 10.1016/bs.aambs.2019.10.003

Thornton, C. R. (2023). The potential for rapid antigen testing for mucoromycosis in the context of COVID-19. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* doi: 10.1080/14737159.2023.2233906

Tully, C. C., Romanelli, A. M., Sutton, D. A., Wickes, B. L., and Hospenthal, D. R. (2009). Fatal *Actinomucor elegans* var. *Kuwaitensis* infection following combat trauma. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47, 3394–3399. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00797-09

Walther, G., Wagner, L., and Kurzai, O. (2019). Updates on the taxonomy of Mucorales with an emphasis on clinically important taxa. *J. Fungi* 5, 106. doi: 10.3390/jof5040106

WHO (2022). WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health action (Geneva: World Health Organization). License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Yang, N., Zhang, L., and Feng, S. (2023). Clinical features and treatment progress of invasive mucoromycosis in patients with hematological Malignancies. *J. Fungi* 9, 592. doi: 10.3390/jof9050592

Zaki, S. M., Elkholy, I. M., Elkady, N. A., and Abdel-Ghany, K. (2014). Mucoromycosis in Cairo, Egypt: review of 10 reported cases. *Med. Mycol.* 52, 73–80. doi: 10.3109/13693786.2013.809629

Zayet, S., Zaghdoudi, A., Ammari, L., Kilani, B., and Benaissa, H. T. (2021). Cerebrorhino-orbital mucormycosis and aspergillosis coinfection in a patient with diabetes mellitus: a case report. *IDCases.* 23, e01022. doi: 10.1016/j.idcr.2020.e01022

Zhan, Y., Lu, C., Li, S., Zhao, J., Li, Z., Gu, Y., et al. (2022). Successful management of mixed mycosis in HIV-negative patients with different immune status: a case series report. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 12, 851891. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2022.851891