#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Joseph Horzempa, West Liberty University, United States

#### REVIEWED BY Saber Esmaeili, Pasteur Institute of Iran (PII), Iran Max Maurin, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, France

\*CORRESPONDENCE Matthias Wittwer Matthias.wittwer@babs.admin.ch

RECEIVED 13 December 2023 ACCEPTED 19 February 2024 PUBLISHED 04 March 2024

#### CITATION

Schütz SD, Brackmann M, Liechti N, Moser M, Wittwer M and Bruggmann R (2024) Functional characterization of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* genotypes during tick cell and macrophage infections using a proteogenomic approach. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 14:1355113. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2024.1355113

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Schütz, Brackmann, Liechti, Moser, Wittwer and Bruggmann. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Functional characterization of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* genotypes during tick cell and macrophage infections using a proteogenomic approach

Sara Doina Schütz<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Maximilian Brackmann<sup>2</sup>, Nicole Liechti<sup>2</sup>, Michel Moser<sup>2</sup>, Matthias Wittwer<sup>2\*</sup> and Rémy Bruggmann<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Interfaculty Bioinformatics Unit, University of Bern and Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Bern, Switzerland, <sup>2</sup>Spiez Laboratory, Federal Office for Civil Protection, Spiez, Switzerland, <sup>3</sup>Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Tularemia is a vector-borne disease caused by the Gram-negative bacterium Francisella tularensis. Known hosts and vectors in Europe are hare and ticks. F. tularensis is transmitted from ticks and animals, but also from the hydrotelluric environment and the consumption of contaminated water or food. A changing climate expands the range in which ticks can live and consequently might contribute to increasing case numbers of tularemia. Two subspecies of F. tularensis are human pathogenic. Francisella tularensis tularensis (Ftt) is endemic in North America, while Francisella tularensis holarctica (Fth) is the only subspecies causing tularemia in Europe. Ft is classified as a category A bioterrorism agent due to its low infectious dose, multiple modes of transmission, high infectivity and potential for airborne transmission and has become a global public health concern. In line with the European survey and previous phylogenetic studies, Switzerland shows the co-distribution of B.6 and B.12 strains with different geographical distribution and prevalence within the country. To establish itself in different host environments of ticks and mammals, F. tularensis presumably undergoes substantial changes on the transcriptomics and proteomic level. Here we investigate the transcriptomic and proteomic differences of five strains of Fth upon infection of rabbit macrophages and tick cells.

KEYWORDS

Francisella, tularemia, tick cell, macrophages, RNA-seq, LC-MS/MS, transcriptomics, proteomics

## **1** Introduction

Francisella tularensis (Ft), a Gram-negative coccobacillus, is the causative agent of the zoonotic disease tularemia affecting various species. The severity and clinical manifestation of tularemia vary depending on the route of infection and subspecies involved. Of particular importance are the subspecies Ft tularensis and Ft holarctica, which can cause tularemia in humans (Tärnvik and Berglund, 2003). Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis (Ftt) is the most virulent pathogen that can cause fatal pneumonia in humans with only a few aerosol-transmitted bacteria. In contrast, the subspecies Ft holarctica (Fth) is less virulent in humans (Kingry and Petersen, 2014). Francisella novicida (Ftn) was originally classified as a third subspecies of Ft but is now recognized as a separate species. Ftn mainly infects immunocompromised or elderly people who are exposed to environmental sources (Kingry and Petersen, 2014; Chua et al., 2021). Advances in whole genome sequencing and canonical single nucleotide polymorphism (canSNP) analysis have revealed distinct phylogenetic clades within the subspecies Fth despite its small genome and limited genetic diversity. The major basal clades identified in this subspecies are B.4, B.6, B.12 and B.16, which can be further subdivided into subclades. Clade B.12 and subclade B.6 are both found in Germany and Switzerland (Dwibedi et al., 2016). In Switzerland, all Fth isolates isolated and sequenced in the last 8 years belonged to the basal clade B.6, with none belonging to the previously identified B.12 clade at the Swiss-German border. Different geographical distributions and host preferences were observed for the different B.6 subclades. While the most widespread subclade B.45 is distributed throughout northern Switzerland and was found in humans, animals and ticks, the B.6 subclades B.86 and B.46 are narrowly distributed and could only be isolated in human and animal sources (Dwibedi et al., 2016; Wittwer et al., 2018; Schütz et al., 2023). Histopathological examinations have revealed considerable differences in pathogenicity between strains belonging to the B.6 and B.12 clade. Infections caused by B.12 strains were characterized by polyserositis affecting the kidneys, pleura and pericardium. Conversely, rabbits infected with B.6 consistently showed splenitis and hepatitis as prominent histopathologic features (Origgi and Pilo, 2016; Kreizinger et al., 2017). These results are consistent with experimental studies in rats, which showed significant differences in weight loss, mortality rate and time to recovery between the two basal clades (Kreizinger et al., 2017).

The pathogenicity of *Francisella* is related to its ability to multiply in the cytosol of phagocytes, such as macrophages or dendritic cells. After phagocytosis, the bacteria briefly reside in a membrane-bound phagosome, but then break through the phagosomal membrane and escape into the cytosol of the host cell, where they multiply (Brodmann et al., 2017). *Francisella* modulates virulence through complex regulatory processes involving molecular signaling, gene transcription, translation and post-translational modifications. Pathogenicity is based on generating virulence factors that target host cells and suppress the immune response (Spidlova et al., 2020). The major virulence factors of Ft include the capsule (Su et al., 2007), the lipopolysaccharide layer on the surface (Ancuta et al., 1996; Miller et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2007), membrane vesicles

(Golovliov et al., 2003; Klimentova et al., 2019), and secretion systems, in particular the type VI secretion system (T6SS) (Lindgren et al., 2004; Nano et al., 2004; De Bruin et al., 2007; Bröms et al., 2010). T6SS includes proteins encoded by Francisella pathogenicity island (FPI) genes such as pdpABCDE, iglABCDEFGHIJ, vgrG and domain-containing protein (dotU) (Nano et al., 2004; De Bruin et al., 2007; Bröms et al., 2010). FPI proteins play a critical role in Francisella intracellular replication, and mutant strains lacking at least one FPI gene exhibit virulence defects in vivo (Santic et al., 2005). While some FPI proteins, such as IglG, may not be vital for intracellular growth, their absence can lead to delayed phagosomal escape (Bröms et al., 2011). To unravel the pathogenesis of Ft, a comprehensive understanding of this process, including the MglA/SspA/PigR complex and other regulatory proteins that control the transcription of virulence genes, is essential (Lauriano et al., 2004; Brotcke et al., 2006; Guina et al., 2007; Charity et al., 2009; Dai et al., 2011).

While Fth has a wide distribution across North America, Eurasia and even Australia (Aravena-Román et al., 2015; Dantas-Torres, 2015), its ecological associations vary in different regions. In northern Sweden and Finland, this subspecies is often associated with blood-sucking mosquitoes in areas near watercourses, while in central Europe it is more commonly associated with ticks (Rydén et al., 2012; Rossow et al., 2014). In Switzerland, ticks are thought to be the main vectors of tularemia. Climatic changes could favor the spread of ticks and consequently also the prevalence of the pathogen (Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004; Dantas-Torres, 2015)015; Jongejan and Uilenberg, 2004). Tick-borne pathogens must be efficiently transmitted between mammalian and arthropod hosts after the tick bite (Galletti et al., 2016; Murfin et al., 2019). During the transition from mammalian host to tick vector, Ft likely undergoes significant metabolic and transcriptional changes. In other tick-borne diseases, such as Borrelia burgdorferi, the causative agent of Lyme disease, factors such as temperature and pH are known to be critical factors in the transition between mammalian host and tick. These factors lead to changes in bacterial surface proteins that facilitate the uptake of B. burgdorferi by ticks (Carroll et al., 2000; Brooks et al., 2003). These two well-characterized surface-exposed lipoproteins, OspA and OspC, are differentially expressed in B. burgdorferi in response to these environmental cues (Pal et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2004).

Due to the challenges and biosafety concerns of working with infected ticks, tick cell lines offer an alternative to study factors of bacterial infection of arthropods. Recent technological advances in mass spectrometry and next-generation sequencing have transformed our ability to systematically study complex biological phenomena. Especially, liquid chromatography-coupled mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) in data-independent acquisition (DIA) mode has greatly enhanced our capabilities for comprehensive proteogenomic analyses and allows more accurate comparative analyses of protein expression profiles.

While previous studies have shed light on how Ft responds to environmental stimuli and how these changes enable tick infection, there are still significant gaps in knowledge regarding the adaptation of different Ft subspecies to the tick environment. Two questions were addressed in this study: (i) To better understand the adaptation of Fth during tick-to-mammalian host transmission, the expression of genes in tick cells and rabbit macrophage were compared. (ii) To identify differences in the pathogenicity of the *Fth* basal clades B.6 and B.12, a combination of proteomic and transcriptional analyses was performed.

# 2 Methods

### 2.1 Cell culture

IRE/CTVM19 ticks derived from the embryonic stage of Ixodes ricinus were kindly provided by L. Bell-Sakyi (Tick Cell Biobank, University of Liverpool, England) and maintained at 28°C as previously described (Bell-Sakyi et al., 2007). The cell line was grown in flat Nunclon tubes (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in 2.2 ml Leibovitz's L-15 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum, 10% tryptose phosphate broth, 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). Rabbit bone marrow macrophages (RBMDM) obtained from the tibia or femur of New Zealand White Rabbits (CellBiologics, Chicago, USA) were cultured according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO2 in the recommended macrophage media supplement kit containing basal medium with murine macrophage growth supplement, antibiotics and fetal bovine serum (CellBiologics, Chicago, USA).

# 2.2 Infection of IRE/CTVM19 and RBMDM with *Fth* genotypes and total RNA and protein extraction

The strains used in this study Ft10, Ft17, Ft29, Ft38, Ft39, representing subclades, B.86 (B.6), B.46 (B.6), B.33 (B.12), B.50 (B.6) and B.51 (B.6) (Supplementary Table 1), were cultured on Polyvitex<sup>®</sup> agar plates (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) at 37°C and 5% CO2 overnight. 3x105 IRE/CTVM19 tick cells were seeded in 1 ml and maintained at 28°C, while 8x10<sup>5</sup> RBMDM cells were seeded in 1 ml and incubated at 37°C for the duration of the experiment. The host cells were infected with Fth strains with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 in a reduced medium volume of 0.5 ml medium, followed by a centrifugation step of the plate for 5 minutes at 500 g. Infected cultures were incubated for one hour. The medium was replaced with medium containing 10 mg/ml gentamicin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and incubated for another hour to remove extracellular bacteria and prevent reinfection. The medium was then replaced with gentamicin-free medium and the infected cells were incubated for a further 20 hours. As control, Fth strains were cultured in tick cell medium for 20 hours at 28°C. Growth of Fth in macrophage cells, tick cells and tick cell medium was monitored by quantitative PCR at different time points. For RNA extraction, the medium was removed, the cells were washed twice with medium before the cells were lysed with QIAzol (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

### 2.3 RNA sequencing and data analysis

Total RNA of the five *Fth* strains grown in triplicate in tick cells, macrophages and tick cell medium was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). After removal of rRNA with the Illumina Ribo-Zero Plus rRNA Depletion Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA), the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) with 1 µg total RNA was used to construct sequencing libraries. The fragment size of the libraries was confirmed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA). One sample (Ft10\_medium\_1) was excluded due to high RNA degradation. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 in paired-end mode (50-bp reads). The quality of the raw data was assessed using FastQC (version 0.12.1) (Simon Andrews, n.d). The raw data were mapped to Fth FTNF002-00 (ASM1778v1) sequence using Bowtie2 (version 2.5.2) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Mapped reads per CDS were summarized in a count-matrix using FeatureCount (version 2.16.0) (Liao et al., 2014) using the FTNF002-00 annotation. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DEseq2 (version 1.42.0) (Love et al., 2014) using an adjusted p-value < 0.05 (padj) and absolute Log2 fold change (LFC) > 1. The R function pheatmap was used to draw clustered heatmaps (version 1.0.12) (GitHub - Raivokolde/Pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps, n.d). Overrepresentative analysis (ORA) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed using the R package clusterProfiler (version 4.10.0) (Wu et al., 2021)based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway annotations.

# 2.4 Protein sample preparation, LC-MS/MS analysis and data analysis

Proteins from cell lysates in QIAzol were extracted using an adapted one-pot protocol for solid-phase enhanced sample preparation (SP3) (Hughes et al., 2018) on a KingFisher Apex (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). 25 ug of protein was used as starting material and the proteins were not reduced or alkylated. Protein digestion was performed using the Rapid-Digestion Trypsin/Lys-C Kit (Promega, Wisconsin, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Peptide samples were separated on a 15 cm C18 reversed-phase column (Aurora Elite CSI, IonOpticks, Australia) using a Bruker nanoElute. The gradient elution increased from 2% B to 35% B in 25 minutes, then from 35% B to 95% B in 0.5 minutes and remained at 95% B for 5.5 minutes with a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The column was kept at 50°C. Data were acquired using a timsTOF Pro 2 (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) in dia-PASEF mode with customized DIA windows optimized with py\_diAid (version 0.0.17) (Skowronek et al., 2022). Data were analyzed using DIA-NN with the proteome of FTNF002-00 (Uniprot accession UP00000261) as database for *in silico* library prediction (DIA-NN version 1.8.2 beta) (Demichev et al., 2019). DIA-NN library was generated with strict trypsin specificity (KR not P), allowing for a single failed cleavage site. Precursor range and fragment ion range was set to 150 - 1700

m/z. Peptides with 7-30 amino acids in length and charge states of 2 - 4 were considered. N-terminal methionine excision was allowed as variable modification and option match-between-runs was enabled. False discovery rate threshold was set to 0.01. Quantification of proteins was performed using QuantUMS algorithm (Kistner et al., 2023) and results were analyzed with the R package tidyproteomics (version 1.5.10) (Jones et al., 2023).

# **3** Results

# 3.1 *Fth* changes the transcription profile depending on the environment

RNA sequencing of the 45 isolates analyzed in this study yielded an average of 48,872,979 raw reads per isolate. The *Fth* isolates grown in medium conditions have an average total number of 32,814,935 reads mapped to the FTN002-00 reference. 31% of the alignments were successfully assigned to the CDS on average. The macrophage had 86,264 alignments with 64% and the tick 11,340,353 with 78%. The data is available in BioProject accession number PRJNA1050617.

RNA-seq analysis showed that the expression profiles of all *Fth* strains clustered according to the different environments (medium, macrophage or tick), strongly suggesting that *Fth* changes its transcriptional profile depending on its host. Of the 2084 annotated genes, 953 were found to be differentially expressed (padj < 0.05, absolute LFC > 1) when comparing *Fth* grown in the

tick with those grown in the macrophage. The heatmap in Figure 1A shows the gene expression of the five Fth strains representing subclades B.33, B.86, B.46, B.50 and B.51 in all three environments of these differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Hierarchical cluster analysis of DEGs revealed two distinct clusters. Cluster 1, consisting of 553 DEGs, showed upregulation under macrophage cell infection conditions and maintained comparable expression levels under tick cell infection compared to medium (Figure 1B). ORA showed significant enrichment in the ribosomal pathway (Figure 1C). Cluster 2, comprising 400 DEGs, showed a downregulated profile under macrophage cell infection but maintained equal expression levels under tick cell infection compared to medium (Figure 1D). ORA identified significant enrichment in four KEGG metabolic pathways, including biotin metabolism (essential for bacterial growth and survival), starch and sucrose metabolism (involving enzymatic processes in energy storage and utilization), metabolic pathways, and ABC transporters (a family of membrane proteins that facilitate the transport of various substances across biological membranes) (Figure 1E).

# 3.2 KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs between the tick cell and macrophage environment

GSEA of DEGs revealed differential regulation of KEGG pathways in tick and macrophage environments. Several enriched pathways were involved in metabolism, energy production, protein



*Fth alters the transcriptional profile depending on the environment.* Heatmap (A) shows the VST values of the DEGs (padj < 0.05, absolute LFC > 1), cluster profiles of Cluster 1 (B) and Cluster 2 (D) determined by hierarchical cluster analysis are shown next to the heatmap. ORA of enriched KEGG pathways of the DEGs of cluster 1 (C) and cluster 2 (E) are shown next to it.

transport across membranes and signaling pathways. These included "Ribosome", "Oxidative phosphorylation", "Carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes", "TCA cycle", "Carbon metabolism", "Bacterial secretion system", "Two-component system". It is noteworthy that two metabolic pathways related to the metabolism of amino sugars and nucleotide sugars and biotin were downregulated in this context (Figure 2). This suggests that *Fth* is dependent on the host cell for the provision of secondary metabolites and consequently downregulates the systems for their synthesis.

# 3.3 Known transcription factors and their targets involved in virulence are regulated differently in the tick cell and macrophage environment

There is a lack of understanding of the factors and molecular mechanisms that control *Francisella* pathogenicity. The transcriptional regulatory system mediating FPI activation has been described in recent studies. These known key transcription factors involved in pathogen virulence and their regulated FPI targets are differentially regulated in this study. They are shown in volcano plots for the tick and macrophage environments (Figure 3) and detailed in Table 1.

MglA is a crucial transcriptional regulator of Ft that regulates a variety of genes, particularly those within the FPI, and is required for Ftn replication in host cells and adaptation to oxidative stress (Baron and Nano, 1998; Bönquist et al., 2008). We found a transcriptional upregulation of mglA in tick cells (LFC = 1.59) compared to the macrophage environment. The heterodimer MglA/Stringent Starvation Protein A (SspA) also binds to the RNA-polymerase (Brotcke et al., 2006). sspA shows a similar regulation as mglA in Fth in tick and macrophage environment (LFC = 1.55).

PigR, a *Francisella* virulence factor (FevR) ortholog, is crucial for the expression of FPI genes within the MglA/SspA regulon (Brotcke and Monack, 2008; Charity et al., 2009). The expression of FevR is upregulated by MglA, and the combined effect of FevR and



KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs between the tick and macrophage environment. Dot plot shows identified KEGG pathways by GSEA analysis (padj < 0.05, absolute LCF > 1) in tick versus macrophage environment. The size of the circles represents the number of genes in each pathway (Count); the colors represent the negative logarithms of the adjusted p-values (padj); NES: normalized enrichment score.



are differentially expressed between the tick and macrophage environments. Volcano diagram depicting selected differentially expressed transcription factors and their regulated targets in tick and macrophage environments. Bottom (blue): LFC < 1, padj < 0.05; Not significant (gray): LFC < 1 and > -1, padj > 0.05; Up (red): LFC > 1, padj < 0.05.

MglA further enhances the upregulation of the expression of virulence genes (Spidlova et al., 2020). The expression of PigR is also upregulated in the comparison between ticks and macrophages (LFC = 2.14).

The regulator OxyR (Michán et al., 1999), involved in oxidative stress response and controlling *ahpS*, *katG* and superoxide dismutase (*sodB*) gene expression (Lindgren et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2016), is also upregulated (LFC = 1.38). Deletion of OxyR results in a reduced ability of macrophages to grow (Ma et al., 2016). The RNA-binding protein Hfq, known for its involvement in sRNA-mRNA interactions, plays a crucial role in bacterial responses to various environmental conditions such as iron limitation, oxidative stress, anaerobic conditions and glucose starvation (Gottesman and Storz, 2011). Hfq regulates many genes, including the FPI genes, and has a particular effect on the pathogenicity determinant protein (*pdp*) operon. In most instances, Hfq acts as a negative regulator, as shown by transcriptome studies in *Ft* and reproduced in this study (*hfq*: LFC = 2.35, *pdpB*: LFC = 1.63, *pdp1*: LFC = 1.58, *pdp2*: LFC = 1.62).

Bacteria possess small basic regulatory proteins known as histone-like proteins or nucleoid-associated proteins, which are similar to eukaryotic histones (Stojkova et al., 2018). Like their

| Gene_ID     | Gene          | Protein_ID     | Description  | Tick<br>vs Macrophage |           | Macrophage<br>vs Medium |           | Tick vs Medium |           |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|             |               |                |  | LFC                   | padj      | LFC                     | padj      | LFC            | padj      |
| FTA_RS06035 | mglA          | WP_003016250.1 | interacting with SspA, regulation of<br>FPI genes, necessary for<br>intracellular replication                                      | 1.59                  | 1.28E-20  | -2.03                   | 5.02E-33  | -0.45          | 6.43E-38  |
| FTA_RS08125 | sspA          | WP_003019455.1 | interacting with MglA, regulation of<br>FPI genes, necessary for<br>stress response  | 1.55                  | 8.65E-17  | -2.26                   | 3.57E-34  | -0.71          | 1.22E-120 |
| FTA_RS02370 | pigR          | WP_003017929.1 | interacting with MglA/SspA,<br>regulation of FPI genes   | 2.14                  | 1.26E-13  | -6.66                   | 9.08E-121 | -4.52          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS04605 | hupB          | WP_003015647.1 | global regulator, DNA non-specific<br>binding protein  | 1.73                  | 7.68E-39  | -4.48                   | 1.57E-251 | -2.76          | 8.90E-265 |
| FTA_RS04620 | hfq           | WP_003015654.1 | RNA-binding protein, necessary for<br>stress response, negative<br>regulator of pdp operon   | 2.35                  | 4.97E-21  | -4.71                   | 1.36E-80  | -2.36          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS06925 | iclR          | WP_003016591.1 | important only for F.<br>novicida virulence in vivo  | 1.99                  | 5.00E-20  | -2.87                   | 2.61E-40  | -0.88          | 6.38E-124 |
| FTA_RS05170 | oxyR          | WP_003015875.1 | regulation of genes involved in oxidative stress response  | 1.38                  | 2.33E-09  | -1.39                   | 1.28E-09  | NA             | NA        |
| FTA_RS09300 | fur           | WP_003017396.1 | regulation of iron concentration   | 1.11                  | 4.16E-05  | -2.22                   | 6.19E-17  | -1.12          | 7.04E-221 |
| FTA_RS01380 | rpoA          | WP_003021582.1 | RNAP subunit alpha,<br>gene transcription  | 1.61                  | 9.25E-36  | -2.02                   | 6.47E-56  | -0.42          | 4.33E-25  |
| FTA_RS08830 | rpoB          | WP_010032708.1 | RNAP subunit $\beta$ , gene transcription  | 2.92                  | 2.48E-294 | -2.67                   | 3.30E-244 | 0.25           | 1.19E-10  |
| FTA_RS08825 | rpoC          | WP_003019910.1 | RNAP subunit $\beta$ ', gene transcription   | 2.18                  | 1.17E-138 | -2.98                   | 2.55E-256 | -0.8           | 7.16E-98  |
| FTA_RS07775 | rpoZ          | WP_003019409.1 | RNAP subunit ω, gene transcription   | 1.9                   | 5.50E-10  | -3.68                   | 5.56E-34  | -1.78          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05365 | rpoD          | WP_003015963.1 | sigma factor 70, major sigma factor  | 0.14                  | 3.97E-02  | 0.92                    | 3.21E-44  | 1.06           | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS04385 | rpoH          | WP_003015458.1 | sigma factor 32, regulation of heat<br>shock proteins  | 0.24                  | 1.95E-03  | -0.41                   | 4.49E-08  | -0.18          | 4.70E-07  |
| FTA_RS02900 | pmrA/<br>qseB | WP_010032924.1 | response regulator, important for<br>biofilm formation in F. novicida,<br>intracellular replication, interacting<br>with MglA/SspA | 2.37                  | 1.23E-116 | -1.75                   | 2.92E-63  | 0.63           | 4.29E-40  |
| FTA_RS00630 | pdpA1         | WP_003016195.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant protein A1  | NA                    | NA        | -3.06                   | 1.09E-60  | -3.37          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05935 | pdpA2         | WP_003016195.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant protein A2  | NA                    | NA        | -3.02                   | 1.61E-60  | -3.38          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS00625 | pdpB1         | WP_011457346.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein B1   | -1.63                 | 1.99E-30  | -3.29                   | 3.12E-121 | -4.92          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05930 | pdpB2         | WP_011457346.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein B2   | -1.65                 | 1.69E-30  | -3.28                   | 1.22E-118 | -4.93          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS00620 | iglE1         | WP_003018235.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus E1   | -1.08                 | 2.16E-02  | -3.32                   | 2.11E-13  | -4.4           | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05925 | iglE2         | WP_003018235.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus E2   | -1.56                 | 2.38E-04  | -2.78                   | 2.48E-11  | -4.34          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS00615 | vgrG1         | WP_003016192.1 | FPI, Valine-glycine repeat protein G1  | -1.39                 | 9.82E-05  | -2.82                   | 6.70E-16  | -4.21          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05920 | vgrG2         | WP_003016192.1 | FPI, Valine-glycine repeat protein G2  | NA                    | NA        | -3.75                   | 1.14E-19  | -4.15          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS00610 | iglF1         | WP_003022130.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus F1   | -1.79                 | 1.96E-13  | -1.81                   | 6.31E-14  | -3.6           | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS05915 | iglF2         | WP_003022130.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus F2   | -1.86                 | 4.09E-17  | -1.68                   | 2.02E-14  | -3.55          | 0.00E+00  |
| FTA_RS00605 | iglG1         | WP_003016185.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus G1   | NA                    | NA        | -4.41                   | 1.50E-35  | -4.25          | 0.00E+00  |

TABLE 1 Differentially expressed general transcriptional regulators and their regulated targets for the tick vs macrophage, macrophage vs medium and tick vs medium conditions (padj < 0.05); LFC, Log2 fold change; padj, adjusted p-value.

(Continued)

| Gene_ID     | Gene  | Protein_ID     | Description                                  | Tick<br>vs Macrophage |          | Macrophage<br>vs Medium |           | Tick vs Medium |          |
|-------------|-------|----------------|--|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
|             |       |                |  | LFC                   | padj     | LFC                     | padj      | LFC            | padj     |
| FTA_RS05910 | iglG2 | WP_003016185.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus G2           | NA                    | NA       | -4.36                   | 3.14E-36  | -4.24          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00600 | iglH1 | WP_003016182.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus H1           | -0.53                 | 1.15E-02 | -3.03                   | 2.48E-50  | -3.56          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05905 | iglH2 | WP_003016182.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus H2           | NA                    | NA       | -3.17                   | 5.09E-44  | -3.6           | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00590 | iglI1 | WP_010031639.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus I1           | -0.62                 | 3.98E-02 | -3.39                   | 6.87E-32  | -4.01          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05895 | iglI2 | WP_010031639.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus I2           | -0.87                 | 9.32E-05 | -3.11                   | 1.40E-46  | -3.98          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00585 | iglJ1 | WP_003016175.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus J1           | -0.77                 | 4.10E-02 | -2.72                   | 8.27E-14  | -3.5           | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05890 | iglJ2 | WP_003016175.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus J2           | -0.86                 | 1.82E-02 | -2.61                   | 9.83E-14  | -3.47          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00580 | pdpC1 | WP_010031640.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein C1 | -1.58                 | 3.14E-51 | -3.78                   | 5.68E-289 | -5.36          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05885 | pdpC2 | WP_010031640.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein C2 | -1.64                 | 1.75E-61 | -3.72                   | 0.00E+00  | -5.36          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00575 | pdpE1 | WP_010031641.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein E1 | NA                    | NA       | -3.47                   | 4.41E-28  | -3.99          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05880 | pdpE2 | WP_010031641.1 | FPI, Pathogenicity determinant<br>protein E2 | NA                    | NA       | -4.09                   | 2.35E-30  | -4.06          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00570 | iglD1 | WP_010031642.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus D1           | 1.22                  | 9.18E-17 | -5.97                   | 0.00E+00  | -4.75          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05875 | iglD2 | WP_010031642.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus D2           | 1.4                   | 9.98E-16 | -6.15                   | 8.68E-280 | -4.75          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00565 | iglC1 | WP_003016165.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus C1           | 2.2                   | 1.98E-23 | -8.46                   | 0.00E+00  | -6.26          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05870 | iglC2 | WP_003016165.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus C2           | 2.2                   | 6.73E-17 | -8.46                   | 5.12E-233 | -6.27          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00560 | iglB1 | WP_010031646.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus B1           | 1.68                  | 1.42E-46 | -6.82                   | 0.00E+00  | -5.14          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05865 | iglB2 | WP_010031646.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus B2           | 1.94                  | 9.33E-53 | -7.09                   | 0.00E+00  | -5.14          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS00555 | iglA1 | WP_003016161.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus A1           | 2.49                  | 2.22E-26 | -6.4                    | 2.64E-167 | -3.91          | 0.00E+00 |
| FTA_RS05860 | iglA2 | WP_003016161.1 | FPI, Intracellular growth locus A2           | 2.92                  | 5.92E-23 | -6.84                   | 4.02E-120 | -3.92          | 0.00E+00 |

#### TABLE 1 Continued

eukaryotic counterparts, these proteins bind to DNA and influence gene expression by bending the DNA. Histone-like factor U (HU) is highly conserved across bacterial species and plays an essential role in several cellular functions. Disruption or dysfunction of these proteins could affect basic metabolic pathways and potentially attenuate the virulence of pathogenic bacteria (Weintraub and Van Lente, 1974; Dillon and Dorman, 2010). Recent research has highlighted the importance of the HU protein for intracellular growth and virulence of Fth (Stojkova et al., 2018). HU is encoded by the hupB gene, forms homodimers in Francisella and plays a role in processes such as recombination, replication, transcription and influences DNA shape (Azam and Ishihama, 1999). As a transcription factor, HU regulates important genes such as pigR and FPI genes. Interestingly, hupB was found to be upregulated in ticks compared to the macrophage environment (LFC = 1.73).

The AraC protein, which belongs to the AraC/XylS family, has the highly conserved helix-turn-helix (HTH) DNA-binding domain, which is essential for its function as a transcription factor. In *Fth* LVS, a mutant strain lacking the AraC-encoding gene, the role of the protein in regulating the response to oxidative stress has been demonstrated (Marghani, 2019). The activation of AraC occurs specifically under oxidative stress conditions, where it downregulates the five FPI genes pdpE, pdpC, iglJ, iglI and dotU. In addition, AraC plays a critical role in regulating the expression of key components of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, including the downregulation of pyruvate dehydrogenase and oxoglutarate dehydrogenase. Although we did not detect any transcripts of *araC* in our samples, the three FPI genes regulated by the protein are downregulated (pdp C: LFC = -1.58e, iglJ: LFC = -0.77, iglJ2: LFC = -0.86, iglI1: LFC = -0.62, iglI2: LFC = -0.82).

Iron uptake regulator (Fur) is a homotetrameric protein capable of binding specific DNA sequences by cleaving into two dimers. It regulates iron levels and contributes to metal homeostasis in bacterial cells (Pérard et al., 2018). Fur functions primarily as an Fe2+-dependent transcriptional repressor that downregulates genes associated with the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Troxell et al., 2011). Iron levels in host cells influence intracellular replication and virulence of *Fth* (Troxell and Hassan, 2013). Our study revealed an upregulation of *fur* in the environment of tick cells compared to macrophages (LFC = 1.11). This observed differential expression may indicate a nuanced adaptive response of *Francisella* to variations in iron availability.

The IclR family of transcription factors is ubiquitous in bacterial species. It is characterized by the presence of a helix-turn-helix domain that facilitates DNA binding (Molina-Henares et al., 2006). While it plays a critical role in the virulence of *Ftn* in a mouse model, IclR is dispensable for the full virulence of *Ftt* or *Fth*, although it is highly conserved in several *Francisella* species (Robertson Fuller et al., 2009). In particular, the observed upregulation of the *iclR* gene in the tick environment compared to macrophages (*iclR*: LFC = 1.11) raises questions about its regulatory role in different hosts.

RNA polymerase and sigma factors ensure specific recognition of the promoter. The RNA polymerase of *Francisella* consists of two  $\alpha$ -subunits (encoded by *rpoA1* and *rpoA2*),  $\beta$ -,  $\beta'$ - and  $\omega$ -subunits (encoded by *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *rpoZ*) (Charity et al., 2007). In addition, *Francisella* has two sigma factors: the major sigma factor  $\sigma$ 70 (encoded by the *rpoD* gene) and a heat shock protein homolog of the $\sigma$ 32 family, encoded by the *rpoH* gene (Charity et al., 2007; Grall et al., 2009). The genes encoding the subunits of the RNA polymerase are all upregulated (*rpoA*: LFC = 1.61, *rpoB*: LFC = 2.92, *rpoC*: LFC = 2.18, *rpoZ*: LFC = 1.90, *rpoD*: LFC = 0.14e, *rpoH*: LFC = 0.24) in ticks compared to macrophages.

Two-component systems (TCSs) typically consist of a membrane-bound sensor histidine kinase and a DNA-binding response regulator that allow signal transduction and an adequate response of gene expression to changing environmental conditions. When an outside signal is detected, the sensor kinase is phosphorylated and the response regulator is activated and functions as a transcription factor (Stock et al., 2000; Van Hoek et al., 2019). The presence of TCS components varies between *Francisella* species and subspecies. *Fth* contains a response regulator (PmrA) and an orphan sensor kinase (QseC). We observed upregulation of pmrA/qseC genes in *Fth* infected in ticks compared to macrophages (pmrA/qseC: LFC = 2.37).

# 3.4 Comparison of the differentially expressed genes and proteins in the basal groups B.6 and B.12

RNA-sequencing analyses showed that whole genome expression profiles from biological replicates corresponding to different genotypes belonging to different subclades B.33, B.86, B.46, B.51 and B.50 were clustered in all environments, suggesting that *Fth* changes its transcriptional profile according to its clade (Figures 4A-C).

The strains belonging to the B.6 clade (B.86, B.46, B.50, B.51) compared to the strain B.33 of clade B.12 revealed that the majority of the DEGs is shared by the B.6 strains in all environments. As shown in the Venn diagrams in Figure 4, 919 genes are identified as identically differentially expressed in the medium (D), 27 in the macrophage (E) and 669 in the tick cell (F) environments.

Furthermore, we performed a KEGG enrichment analysis of the different genotypes belonging to the B.6 clades compared to the genotype of B.33 belonging to the B.12 clade. In the medium environment, several enriched metabolic pathways involved in energy production were downregulated. In contrast, metabolic pathways such as "ribosome" and "metabolic pathways" were upregulated in the macrophage and tick environments (Supplementary Table 2).

The number of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) that we were able to measure varied between the different environments (Figure 5). In the medium, we measured approximately 10% more DEPs (padj < 0.05, absolute LFC > 1) than in tick environment for the comparison B.51 vs B.33. No differentially expressed proteins could be measured in the tick environment for the comparisons B.86, B.46 and B.50 vs B.33.

To determine whether we could measure the same differentially expressed proteins in the transcriptome and proteome data, we compared the two data sets in Figure 6. The DEGs translating for the same proteins as the DEPs were compared and are shown in the Venn plots for B.86 vs B.33, B.46 vs B.33, B.50 vs B.33 and B.51 vs B.33 in medium and tick environments (padj < 0.05, absolute LFC > 1).

In the medium, 219, 162, 106 and 355 similarly expressed proteins were identified for the comparison B.86 vs B.33, B.46 vs B.33, B.50 vs B.33 and B.51 vs B.33. In the tick environment, only one identical protein could be identified in B.51 vs B.33 in both data sets.

### 4 Discussion

Increasing numbers of both, ticks and Ft infections in humans, emphasize the need to better understand the life cycle of this pathogen. It is likely that during the transition between mammalian hosts and tick vectors, Ft undergoes significant changes, including substantial alterations in gene and protein expression profiles. Laboratory experiments have confirmed the persistence of Ft in ticks, supporting their role as a potential reservoir in the environment (Mani et al., 2015; Tully and Huntley, 2020). The transstadial transmission and replication within ticks further establishes them as both a reservoir and a vessel for the amplification of Ft (Coburn et al., 2015). Rabbits are primary sources of human infections in many tularemia endemic areas. However, these animals often succumb rapidly from tularemia and thus might not be considered long-term reservoir of F. tularensis (Brown et al., 2015; Sonenshine, 2018). Limited information is available on the genes and proteins of Ft required to infect, persist, replicate in ticks and transmit to mammalian hosts. To the best of our knowledge, only one investigation has examined the ability of a *Ft*  $\Delta purMCD$  mutant to infect and replicate in ticks (Zellner and Huntley, 2019). Despite that the  $\Delta purMCD$  strain was avirulent in mice, it showed successful colonization of the tick Dermator variabilis. However, during the molt to the adult stage, it failed to persist in these ticks. This finding suggests that purine



synthesis is essential for *Ft* transstadial transmission in ticks, similar to some biosynthetic pathways that are critical for infection in mammals. These proteins play a crucial role in fine-tuning the adaptation to changing environmental conditions. As an important human pathogen, *Francisella* has to cope with the challenges posed by the immune system. Consequently, these regulatory proteins may be key elements in the immune evasion of *Francisella*. To fully

understand the pathogenesis of *Francisella* and to formulate effective strategies to counteract Ft infections, a detailed investigation of these pathways is required.

In the three environments, medium, macrophages and tick cells, we detected transcriptional changes in gene expression of all investigated *Fth* genotypes. Two distinct significantly differentially expressed gene clusters were identified. The first cluster consisted of





upregulated genes involved in the protein synthesis pathway. These genes were upregulated in macrophages and similarly expressed in the tick environment. The second cluster contains downregulated genes of the metabolic pathway and is downregulated in macrophages and similarly expressed in the tick environment (Figure 1). Many intracellular bacteria are exposed to adverse conditions within the vacuole during infection. These include low pH, free radicals and nutrient deprivation. Although Francisella replicates in the host cell cytosol, which is considered to be more permissive than the phagosomal compartment, this environment remains more stressful than the standard growth conditions of the medium. The downregulation of the Ft pathway in macrophages compared to standard media conditions could be due to intracellular stress factors affecting the metabolism of the pathogen. Compared to macrophages, Fth in ticks appears to be metabolically similarly active than in the medium, which could be explained by a combination of a better suited environment to the nutritional needs of Fth in ticks and/or fewer intracellular stress factors. (Figure 1). The detailed understanding of the metabolic pathways and specific nutrient utilization during intracellular replication of Ft remains limited. Bacteria that replicate in the cytosol of the host cell make use of the products and intermediates generated by the metabolic processes of the host, such as glycolysis and amino acid biosynthesis. However, their immediate accessibility by intracellular pathogens is hindered by their storage in complex structures, and the precise concentration of these products within infected cells remains elusive (Brunton et al., 2015).

This study revealed distinct transcriptomic changes between tick cell and macrophage infection. In particular, upregulation of several pathways related to carbon metabolism was observed. In the absence of glucose, it is plausible that *Francisella* relies predominantly on available carbon sources, such as pyruvate or tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediates, in conjunction with amino acids that serve as intracellular carbon and nitrogen sources (Radlinski et al., 2018). A shift to non-glucose substrates may be suspected by the observed upregulation of genes of the TCA cycle. Furthermore, the pathway involved in the transport of proteins across the membrane, the bacterial secretion system pathway.

Ticks are strictly hematophagous, i.e. they feed exclusively on blood throughout their development. Although blood is rich in many nutrients, it is relatively poor in others, such as B-vitamins. A previous study found that vitamin B is essential for the development of the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata* and that *Francisella* acts as a supplier of vitamin B (Duron et al., 2018). Our results indicate the involvement of *Francisella* in *Ixodes ricinus* tick nutrition by differentially regulating the bacterial biotin synthesis pathway (Figure 2).

Bacteria often use TCS to sense and respond to their changing environment. These systems are widespread in bacteria. They consist of a histidine sensor kinase, which is sensitive to environmental cues, and a response regulator, which triggers specific cellular responses (Stock et al., 2003). In contrast to many other bacteria, Francisella has a limited number of TCSs in its genome. We found that the genes coding for the PmrA and QseC, pmrA/qseC, are upregulated in the tick cells. It has been proposed that once phosphorylated, PmrA binds to the promoters of regulated genes and recruits free or RNA-polymerase-bound MglA and SspA to initiate the transcription of the FPI genes, including pdpABCDE, iglABCDEFGHIJ and vgrG (Bell et al., 2010). In the tick environment, both mglA and sspA were found to be upregulated compared to growth in macrophage. Furthermore, *iglABCD* were upregulated. However, *pdpBC* were downregulated. iglFG and pdpAE were not found to be differentially expressed. Thus, an initiation of many, but not all of the FPI genes could be observed during tick infection compared to macrophage infection. Most FPI genes are involved in Type 6 Secretion and those essential for Type 6 Secretion were upregulated (with the exception of pdpB) (Brodmann et al., 2017). To understand the pathogenesis of Ft in this specific environment, a comprehensive insight into its regulation is crucial.

The RNA polymerase and sigma factors required to transcribe genes are slightly upregulated in the tick environment. It has been shown previously that these factors are required for the intracellular growth and full virulence of the *Ftn* (Gray et al., 2002). The Fur protein controls the transcription of bacterial genes involved in iron acquisition. Fur gene expression is upregulated in the tick environment compared to macrophages, potentially pointing towards iron limitation in ticks (Figure 3).

In Europe the basal clades B.6 and B.12 of Fth are present. In Switzerland, the majority of strains belong to B.6. Different geographical distributions and host preferences were observed for the different B.6 subclades. The most common subclade, B.45, is distributed throughout northern Switzerland and has been found in humans, animals and ticks (Dwibedi et al., 2016; Wittwer et al., 2018; Schütz et al., 2023). Moreover, basal clades B.6 and B.12 have been reported to show differences in pathogenicity in lagomorphs. Whole genome expression profiles from biological replicates clustered according to different genotypes belonging to different subclades B.33, B.86, B.46, B.51 and B.50 in all environments, suggesting that Fth alters its transcriptional profile according to genotype. Comparison of the strains belonging to the B.6 clade with the B.12 clade showed that the majority of genes are shared between the B.6 clades (Figure 4). This may indicate that only a few expressed proteins contribute to the described phylogenetic and functional differences.

We only identified differentially expressed genes or proteins between B.6 and B.12 isolates when cultured in medium, but not in tick cells or macrophages (Figure 5). Another methodological limitation is the different growth of Fth in the different host environments. Those differences in RNA-seq library sizes complicated the comparison between conditions. Additionally, due to the small number of bacterial genes/proteins compared to the host, the measurement of intracellular bacterial genes, but especially proteins is challenging. In this study, this was also a challenge. Further development of more sensitive protocols will be necessary in the future. Tick cells and macrophages were cultured in different media, based on their requirements. Different media might also influence the transcriptomes and proteomes of intracellular bacteria. Other limitations of this study include using cell lines that may not mimic the complex processes of tick infection. Ticks have an innate immune system that includes both cellular and humoral components to fight infections (Ayllón et al., 2013; Sunyakumthorn et al., 2013; Ayllón et al., 2015). Consequently, infections of live ticks may provide more revealing information about possible adaptive differences of individual subclades to the tick vector and the resulting host response.

# **5** Conclusion

This study reports changes in the *Fth* transcriptome in the tick environment in comparison to mammalian macrophages. We were able to characterized key pathway adaptations to the different metabolic demands of the tick cell environment. We also found that the tick and macrophage environments differentially regulate known transcription factors and their targets involved in virulence. This may have implications for our understanding of the transmission of *Francisella* between the mammalian host and the tick vector.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA1050617.

# **Ethics statement**

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

# Author contributions

SS: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Data curation. MB: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. NL: Writing – review & editing. MM: Writing – review & editing. MW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. RB: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was founded by a research grant of the Federal Department of Defense, Civil Protection and Sport (FOCP).

# Acknowledgments

We thank Susanne Thomann, Sandra Paniga and Lia Sifrig for excellent technical assistance. Furthermore, we are grateful to Pamela Nicholson and her team from the Next Generation Sequencing Platform of the University of Bern for their support and contribution to the study.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

Ancuta, P., Pedron, T., Girard, R., Sandström, G., and Chaby, R. (1996). Inability of the Francisella tularensis lipopolysaccharide to mimic or to antagonize the induction of cell activation by endotoxins. *Infect. Immun.* 64, 2041–2046. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.64.6.2041-2046.1996

Aravena-Román, M., Merritt, A., and Inglis, T. J. J. (2015). First case of Francisella bacteremia in Western Australia. *New Microbes New Infect.* 8, 75–77. doi: 10.1016/J.NMNI.2015.10.004

Ayllón, N., Villar, M., Busby, A. T., Kocan, K. M., Blouin, E. F., Bonzón-Kulichenko, E., et al. (2013). Anaplasma phagocytophilum inhibits apoptosis and promotes cytoskeleton rearrangement for infection of tick cells. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 2415–2425. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00194-13

Ayllón, N., Villar, M., Galindo, R. C., Kocan, K. M., Šíma, R., López, J. A., et al. (2015). Systems biology of tissue-specific response to Anaplasma phagocytophilum reveals differentiated apoptosis in the tick vector Ixodes scapularis. *PloS Genet.* 11. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1005120

Azam, T. A., and Ishihama, A. (1999). Twelve species of the nucleoid-associated protein from Escherichia coli. Sequence recognition specificity and DNA binding affinity. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 33105–33113. doi: 10.1074/JBC.274.46.33105

Baron, G. S., and Nano, F. E. (1998). MglA and MglB are required for the intramacrophage growth of Francisella novicida. *Mol. Microbiol.* 29, 247–259. doi: 10.1046/J.1365-2958.1998.00926.X

Bell, B. L., Mohapatra, N. P., and Gunn, J. S. (2010). Regulation of virulence gene transcripts by the francisella novicida orphan response regulator PmrA: Role of phosphorylation and evidence of MglA/SspA Interaction. *Infect. Immun.* 78, 2189–2198. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00021-10/SUPPL\_FILE/IAI\_21\_10\_SUPPL\_FIGS.DOC

Bell-Sakyi, L., Zweygarth, E., Blouin, E. F., Gould, E. A., and Jongejan, F. (2007). Tick cell lines: tools for tick and tick-borne disease research. *Trends Parasitol.* 23, 450–457. doi: 10.1016/J.PT.2007.07.009

Bönquist, L., Lindgren, H., Golovliov, I., Guina, T., and Sjöstedt, A. (2008). MglA and Igl proteins contribute to the modulation of Francisella tularensis live vaccine straincontaining phagosomes in murine macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 3502–3510. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00226-08/ASSET/C03217A0-30C1-4A27-9D64-54D7EDA2C976/ ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZII0080874490006.JPEG

Brodmann, M., Dreier, R. F., Broz, P., and Basler, M. (2017). Francisella requires dynamic type VI secretion system and ClpB to deliver effectors for phagosomal escape. *Nat. Commun.* 8. doi: 10.1038/NCOMMS15853

Bröms, J. E., Lavander, M., Meyer, L., and Sjöstedt, A. (2011). IgIG and IgII of the Francisella pathogenicity island are important virulence determinants of francisella tularensis LVS. *Infect. Immun.* 79, 3683. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01344-10

Bröms, J. E., Sjöstedt, A., and Lavander, M. (2010). The role of the Francisella tularensis pathogenicity island in type VI secretion, intracellular survival, and modulation of host cell signaling. *Front. Microbiol.* 1. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2010.00136/BIBTEX

Brooks, C. S., Hefty, P. S., Jolliff, S. E., and Akins, D. R. (2003). Global analysis of Borrelia burgdorferi genes regulated by mammalian host-specific signals. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 3371–3383. doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.6.3371-3383.2003/ASSET/5F898169-FF8E-41E8-8A3A-EDA89E607E2B/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/II0630026007.JPEG

Brotcke, A., and Monack, D. M. (2008). Identification of fevR, a novel regulator of virulence gene expression in Francisella novicida. *Infect. Immun.* 76, 3473–3480. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00430-08/SUPPL\_FILE/IAI\_430\_08\_SUPP\_TABLE\_S1.DOC

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2024. 1355113/full#supplementary-material

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1** Fth strains used in this study.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

Identified KEGG pathways by GSEA analysis (padj < 0.05, absolute LFC > 1) of DEGs in B.86 vs B.33, B.46 vs B.33, B.50 vs B.33, B.51 vs B.33 in medium, tick and macrophage environments; LFC: Log2 fold change; padj: adjusted p-value.

Brotcke, A., Weiss, D. S., Kim, C. C., Chain, P., Malfatti, S., Garcia, E., et al. (2006). Identification of MgIA-Regulated Genes Reveals Novel Virulence Factors in Francisella tularensis. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 6642. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01250-06

Brown, V. R., Adney, D. R., Bielefeldt-Ohmann, H., Gordy, P. W., Felix, T. A., Olea-Popelka, F. J., et al. (2015). PATHOGENESIS AND IMMUNE RESPONSES OF FRANCISELLA TULARENSIS STRAINS IN WILD-CAUGHT COTTONTAIL RABBITS (SYLVILAGUS SPP.). J. Wildlife. Dis. 51, 564–575. doi: 10.7589/2015-02-030

Brunton, J., Steele, S., Miller, C., Lovullo, E., Taft-Benz, S., and Kawula, T. (2015). Identifying Francisella tularensis genes required for growth in host cells. *Infect. Immun.* 83, 3015. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00004-15

Carroll, J. A., Cordova, R. M., and Garon, C. F. (2000). Identification of 11 pHregulated Genes in Borrelia burgdorferi localizing to linear plasmids. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 6677–6684. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.12.6677-6684.2000/ASSET/AEEE37F5-82B8-4FBC-8273-8C984C868584/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/III200923004.JPEG

Charity, J. C., Blalock, L. A. T., Costante-Hamm, M. M., Kasper, D. L., and Dove, S. L. (2009). Small molecule control of virulence gene expression in Francisella tularensis. *PloS Pathog.* 5, e1000641. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1000641

Charity, J. C., Costante-Hamm, M. M., Balon, E. L., Boyd, D. H., Rubin, E. J., and Dove, S. L. (2007). Twin RNA polymerase-associated proteins control virulence gene expression in francisella tularensis. *PloS Pathog.* 3, e84. doi: 10.1371/ JOURNAL.PPAT.0030084

Chua, H. S., Soh, Y. H., Loong, S. K., and AbuBakar, S. (2021). Francisella philomiragia bacteremia in an immunocompromised patient: a rare case report. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 20. doi: 10.1186/S12941-021-00475-2

Coburn, J., Maier, T., Casey, M., Padmore, L., Sato, H., and Frank, D. W. (2015). Reproducible and quantitative model of infection of Dermacentor variabilis with the live vaccine strain of Francisella tularensis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 386–395. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02917-14/ASSET/403D804F-0A81-442E-BE40-601A2DF27944/ ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZAM9991059170005.JPEG

Dai, S., Mohapatra, N. P., Schlesinger, L. S., and Gunn, J. S. (2011). Regulation of Francisella tularensis virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* 1. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2010.00144/ BIBTEX

Dantas-Torres, F. (2015). Climate change, biodiversity, ticks and tick-borne diseases: The butterfly effect. *Int. J. Parasitol.: Parasites Wildlife.* 4, 452–461. doi: 10.1016/ J.IJPPAW.2015.07.001

De Bruin, O. M., Ludu, J. S., and Nano, F. E. (2007). The Francisella pathogenicity island protein IgIA localizes to the bacterial cytoplasm and is needed for intracellular growth. *BMC Microbiol.* 7, 1–10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-7-1/TABLES/1

Demichev, V., Messner, C. B., Vernardis, S. I., Lilley, K. S., and Ralser, M. (2019). DIA-NN: neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. *Nat. Methods* 17, 41–44. doi: 10.1038/s41592-019-0638-x

Dillon, S. C., and Dorman, C. J. (2010). Bacterial nucleoid-associated proteins, nucleoid structure and gene expression. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 185–195. doi: 10.1038/ NRMICRO2261

Duron, O., Morel, O., Noël, V., Buysse, M., Binetruy, F., Lancelot, R., et al. (2018). Tick-Bacteria mutualism depends on B vitamin synthesis pathways. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 1896–1902.e5. doi: 10.1016/J.CUB.2018.04.038

Dwibedi, C., Birdsell, D., Lärkeryd, A., Myrtennäs, K., Öhrman, C., Nilsson, E., et al. (2016). Long-range dispersal moved Francisella tularensis into Western Europe from the East. *Microbial. Genomics* 2, e000100. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000100

Galletti, M. F. B. M., Fujita, A., Rosa, R. D., Martins, L. A., Soares, H. S., Labruna, M. B., et al. (2016). Virulence genes of Rickettsia rickettsia are differentially modulated by either temperature upshift or blood-feeding in tick midgut and salivary glands. *Parasites Vectors* 9. doi: 10.1186/S13071-016-1581-7

GitHub - raivokolde/pheatmap: Pretty heatmaps (n.d). Available at: https://github. com/raivokolde/pheatmap.

Golovliov, I., Sjöstedt, A., Mokrievich, A., and Pavlov, V. (2003). A method for allelic replacement in Francisella tularensis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 222, 273–280. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00313-6

Gottesman, S., and Storz, G. (2011). Bacterial small RNA regulators: versatile roles and rapidly evolving variations. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 3, a003798. doi: 10.1101/CSHPERSPECT.A003798

Grall, N., Livny, J., Waldor, M., Barel, M., Charbit, A., and Meibom, K. L. (2009). Pivotal role of the Francisella tularensis heat-shock sigma factor RpoH. *Microbiology* 155, 2560–2572. doi: 10.1099/MIC.0.029058-0/CITE/REFWORKS

Gray, C. G., Cowley, S. C., Cheung, K. K. M., and Nano, F. E. (2002). The identification of five genetic loci of Francisella novicida associated with intracellular growth. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 215, 53–56. doi: 10.1111/J.1574-6968.2002.TB11369.X

Guina, T., Radulovic, D., Bahrami, A. J., Bolton, D. L., Rohmer, L., Jones-Isaac, K. A., et al. (2007). MglA regulates Francisella tularensis subsp. novicida (Francisella novicida) response to starvation and oxidative stress. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 6580–6586. doi: 10.1128/JB.00809-07/SUPPL\_FILE/TABLE\_S3\_062607.DOC

Hughes, C. S., Moggridge, S., Müller, T., Sorensen, P. H., Morin, G. B., and Krijgsveld, J. (2018). Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. *Nat. Protoc.* 14, 68–85. doi: 10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x

Jones, J., MacKrell, E. J., Wang, T. Y., Lomenick, B., Roukes, M. L., and Chou, T. F. (2023). Tidyproteomics: an open-source R package and data object for quantitative proteomics post analysis and visualization. *BMC Bioinf.* 24, 1–14. doi: 10.1186/S12859-023-05360-7/FIGURES/5

Jongejan, F., and Uilenberg, G. (2004). The global importance of ticks. *Parasitology* 129, S3–S14. doi: 10.1017/s0031182004005967

Kingry, L. C., and Petersen, J. M. (2014). Comparative review of Francisella tularensis and Francisella novicida. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 4. doi: 10.3389/FCIMB. 2014.00035

Kistner, F., Grossmann, J. L., Sinn, L. R., and Demichev, V. (2023). QuantUMS: uncertainty minimization enables confident quantification in proteomics. *BioRxiv.* doi: 10.1101/2023.06.20.545604

Klimentova, J., Pavkova, I., Horcickova, L., Bavlovic, J., Kofronova, O., Benada, O., et al. (2019). Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica releases differentially loaded outer membrane vesicles under various stress conditions. *Front. Microbiol.* 10. doi: 10.3389/ FMICB.2019.02304/BIBTEX

Kreizinger, Z., Erdélyi, K., Felde, O., Fabbi, M., Sulyok, K. M., Magyar, T., et al. (2017). Comparison of virulence of Francisella tularensis ssp. holarctica genotypes B.12 and B.FTNF002-00. *BMC Vet. Res.* 13. doi: 10.1186/S12917-017-0968-9

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357. doi: 10.1038/NMETH.1923

Lauriano, C. M., Barker, J. R., Yoon, S. S., Nano, F. E., Arulanandam, B. P., Hassett, D. J., et al. (2004). MgIA regulates transcription of virulence factors necessary for Francisella tularensis intraamoebae and intramacrophage survival. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United. States America* 101, 4246–4249. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.0307690101/ASSET/ B9202626-454C-4209-B515-12BF286ECC97/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZPQ012044235 0003.JPEG

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., and Shi, W. (2014). FeatureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30, 923–930. doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTT656

Lindgren, H., Golovliov, I., Baranov, V., Ernst, R. K., Telepnev, M., and Sjöstedt, A. (2004). Factors affecting the escape of Francisella tularensis from the phagolysosome. J. Med. Microbiol. 53, 953–958. doi: 10.1099/JMM.0.45685-0/CITE/REFWORKS

Lindgren, H., Shen, H., Zingmark, C., Golovliov, I., Conlan, W., and Sjöstedt, A. (2007). Resistance of Francisella tularensis strains against reactive nitrogen and oxygen species with special reference to the role of KatG. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 1303–1309. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01717-06/ASSET/0D5A8E0D-1E2A-42D6-8DCD-E77B5D12B557/ ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZII0030765090004.JPEG

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15. doi: 10.1186/S13059-014-0550-8

Ma, Z., Russo, V. C., Rabadi, S. M., Jen, Y., Catlett, S. V., Bakshi, C. S., et al. (2016). Elucidation of a mechanism of oxidative stress regulation in Francisella tularensis live vaccine strain. *Mol. Microbiol.* 101, 856–878. doi: 10.1111/MMI.13426

Mani, R. J., Metcalf, J. A., and Clinkenbeard, K. D. (2015). Amblyomma americanum as a bridging vector for human infection with francisella tularensis. *PloS One* 10. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0130513

Marghani, D. (2019). "Characterization of the Role of Transcriptional Regulator of AraC/XylS Family in Tularemia Pathogenesis" *NYMC Student Theses and Dissertations*. 13. Available at: https://touroscholar.touro.edu/nymc\_students\_theses/13.

Michán, C., Manchado, M., Dorado, G., and Pueyo, C. (1999). *In vivo* transcription of the Escherichia coli oxyR regulon as a function of growth phase and in response to oxidative stress. *J. Bacteriol.* 181, 2759–2764. doi: 10.1128/JB.181.9.2759-2764.1999

Miller, S. I., Ernst, R. K., and Bader, M. W. (2005). LPS, TLR4 and infectious disease diversity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 36–46. doi: 10.1038/NRMICRO1068

Molina-Henares, A. J., Krell, T., Eugenia Guazzaroni, M., Segura, A., and Ramos, J. L. (2006). Members of the IclR family of bacterial transcriptional regulators function as activators and/or repressors. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 30, 157–186. doi: 10.1111/J.1574-6976.2005.00008.X

Murfin, K. E., Kleinbard, R., Aydin, M., Salazar, S. A., and Fikrig, E. (2019). Borrelia burgdorferi chemotaxis toward tick protein Salp12 contributes to acquisition. *Ticks. Tick-Borne. Dis.* 10, 1124–1134. doi: 10.1016/J.TTBDIS.2019.06.002

Nano, F. E., Zhang, N., Cowley, S. C., Klose, K. E., Cheung, K. K. M., Roberts, M. J., et al. (2004). A Francisella tularensis pathogenicity island required for intramacrophage growth. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 6430–6436. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.19.6430-6436.2004/ASSET/03CF680D-D18B-463D-B8C6-EFF6881A13BD/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZJB0190440780006.JPEG

Origgi, F. C., and Pilo, P. (2016). Francisella tularensis clades B.FTN002-00 and B.13 are associated with distinct pathology in the European Brown Hare (Lepus europaeus). *Vet. Pathol.* 53, 1220–1232. doi: 10.1177/0300985816629718

Pal, U., Li, X., Wang, T., Montgomery, R. R., Ramamoorthi, N., DeSilva, A. M., et al. (2004). TROSPA, an Ixodes scapularis receptor for Borrelia burgdorferi. *Cell* 119, 457–468. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.10.027

Pérard, J., Nader, S., Levert, M., Arnaud, L., Carpentier, P., Siebert, C., et al. (2018). Structural and functional studies of the metalloregulator Fur identify a promoterbinding mechanism and its role in Francisella tularensis virulence. *Commun. Biol.* 1, 1– 13. doi: 10.1038/s42003-018-0095-6

Radlinski, L. C., Brunton, J., Steele, S., Taft-Benz, S., and Kawula, T. H. (2018). Defining the metabolic pathways and host-derived carbon substrates required for francisella tularensis intracellular growth. *MBio* 9, 1–15. doi: 10.1128/MBIO.01471-18

Robertson Fuller, J., Kawula, T. H., Bouret, R. B., Braunstein, M., Collins, E. J., and Wolfgang, M. C. (2009). *Characterization of the Francisella Virulence Factor RipA*. doi: 10.17615/M55P-ZR91

Rossow, H., Ollgren, J., Klemets, P., Pietarinen, I., Saikku, J., Pekkanen, E., et al. (2014). Risk factors for pneumonic and ulceroglandular tularemia in Finland: a population-based case-control study. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 142, 2207–2216. doi: 10.1017/ S0950268813002999

Rydén, P., Björk, R., Schäfer, M. L., Lundström, J. O., Petersén, B., Lindblom, A., et al. (2012). Outbreaks of tularemia in a boreal forest region depends on mosquito prevalence. J. Infect. Dis. 205, 297–304. doi: 10.1093/INFDIS/JIR732

Santic, M., Molmeret, M., Klose, K. E., Jones, S., and Abu Kwaik, Y. (2005). The Francisella tularensis pathogenicity island protein IgIC and its regulator MgIA are essential for modulating phagosome biogenesis and subsequent bacterial escape into the cytoplasm. *Cell. Microbiol.* 7, 969–979. doi: 10.1111/J.1462-5822.2005.00526.X

Schütz, S. D., Liechti, N., Altpeter, E., Labutin, A., Wütrich, T., Schmidt, K. M., et al. (2023). Phylogeography of Francisella tularensis subspecies holarctica and epidemiology of tularenia in Switzerland. *Front. Microbiol.* 14. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2023.1151049/BIBTEX

Simon Andrews. (n.d). FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Available online at: http://www.Bioinformatics.Babraham.Ac.Uk/ Projects/Fastqc.

Skowronek, P., Thielert, M., Voytik, E., Tanzer, M. C., Hansen, F. M., Willems, S., et al. (2022). Rapid and in-depth coverage of the (Phospho-) proteome with deep libraries and optimal window design for dia-PASEF. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 21. doi: 10.1016/j.mcpro.2022.100279

Sonenshine, D. E. (2018). Range expansion of tick disease vectors in North America: implications for spread of tick-borne disease. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 15, 478. doi: 10.3390/IJERPH15030478

Spidlova, P., Stojkova, P., Sjöstedt, A., and Stulik, J. (2020). Control of Francisella tularensis Virulence at Gene Level: Network of Transcription Factors. *Microorganisms* 8, 1622. doi: 10.3390/MICROORGANISMS8101622

Stock, A. M., Robinson, V. L., and Goudreau, P. N. (2000). Two-component signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 69, 183–215. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV. BIOCHEM.69.1.183

Stock, A. M., Robinson, V. L., and Goudreau, P. N. (2003). Two-Component Signal Transduction. Annu Rev Biochem. 69, 183–215. doi: 10.1146/ANNUREV.BIOCHEM.69.1.183

Stojkova, P., Spidlova, P., Lenco, J., Rehulkova, H., Kratka, L., and Stulik, J. (2018). HU protein is involved in intracellular growth and full virulence of Francisella tularensis. *Virulence* 9, 754–770. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2018.1441588

Su, J., Yang, J., Zhao, D., Kawula, T. H., Banas, J. A., and Zhang, J. R. (2007). Genome-wide identification of Francisella tularensis virulence determinants. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 3089–3101. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01865-06/ASSET/C392EF46-831E-47E3-8FCF-7452D1C9CEC1/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/ZII0060767380004.JPEG

Sunyakumthorn, P., Petchampai, N., Grasperge, B. J., Kearney, M. T., Sonenshine, D. E., and Macaluso, K. R. (2013). Gene expression of tissue-specific molecules in ex vivo Dermacentor variabilis (Acari: Ixodidae) during rickettsial exposure. *J. Med. Entomol.* 50, 1089–1096. doi: 10.1603/ME12162

Tärnvik, A., and Berglund, L. (2003). Tularaemia. European Respiratory Journal. 21 (2), 361–373. doi: 10.1183/09031936.03.00088903

Troxell, B., and Hassan, H. M. (2013). Transcriptional regulation by Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) in pathogenic bacteria. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3. doi: 10.3389/ FCIMB.2013.00059 Troxell, B., Sikes, M. L., Fink, R. C., Vazquez-Torres, A., Jones-Carson, J., and Hassan, H. M. (2011). Fur negatively regulates hns and is required for the expression of HilA and virulence in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 497–505. doi: 10.1128/JB.00942-10

Tully, B. G., and Huntley, J. F. (2020). Mechanisms affecting the acquisition, persistence and transmission of Francisella tularensis in Ticks. *Microorganisms* 8, 1639. doi: 10.3390/MICROORGANISMS8111639

Van Hoek, M. L., Hoang, K. V., and Gunn, J. S. (2019). Two-Component Systems in Francisella Species. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9. doi: 10.3389/ FCIMB.2019.00198

Wang, X., Ribeiro, A. A., Guan, Z., Abraham, S. N., and Raetz, C. R. H. (2007). Attenuated virulence of a Francisella mutant lacking the lipid A 4'-phosphatase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 4136–4141. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.0611606104

Weintraub, H., and Van Lente, F. (1974). Dissection of chromosome structure with trypsin and nucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United. States America* 71, 4249–4253. doi: 10.1073/pnas.71.10.4249

Wittwer, M., Altpeter, E., Pilo, P., Gygli, S. M., Beuret, C., Foucault, F., et al. (2018). Population Genomics of Francisella tularensis subsp. holarctica and its Implication on the Eco-Epidemiology of Tularemia in Switzerland. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00089

Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., et al. (2021). clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation* 2. doi: 10.1016/J.XINN.2021.100141

Yang, X. F., Pal, U., Alani, S. M., Fikrig, E., and Norgard, M. V. (2004). Essential role for OspA/B in the life cycle of the lyme disease spirochete. *J. Exp. Med.* 199, 641–648. doi: 10.1084/JEM.20031960

Zellner, B., and Huntley, J. F. (2019). Ticks and tularemia: do we know what we don't know? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9. doi: 10.3389/FCIMB.2019.00146