



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Editorial Office
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 02 June 2025

ACCEPTED 03 June 2025

PUBLISHED 12 June 2025

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2025) Retraction:
The two-component system CpxRA
negatively regulates the locus of enterocyte
effacement of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia*
coli involving σ^{32} and Lon protease.
Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 15:1639671.
doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2025.1639671

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#)
(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Retraction: The two-component system CpxRA negatively regulates the locus of enterocyte effacement of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* involving σ^{32} and Lon protease

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

The two-component system CpxRA negatively regulates the locus of enterocyte effacement of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* involving σ^{32} and Lon protease

By De la Cruz MA, Morgan JK, Ares MA, Yáñez-Santos JA, Riordan JT and Girón JA (2016).
Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 6:11. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00011

The journal retracts the 05 February 2016 article cited above.

Following publication, concerns were raised regarding the integrity of the images in the published figures. Image duplication concerns were identified within Figure 1 and Figure 3. The authors failed to provide a satisfactory explanation during the investigation, which was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors received a communication regarding the retraction and had a chance to respond. This communication has been recorded by the publisher.

Frontiers would like to thank the users on PubPeer for bringing the published article to our attention.