

# Kir3 channel signaling complexes: focus on opioid receptor signaling

# Karim Nagi<sup>1,2</sup> and Graciela Pineyro<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Département de Pharmacologie, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Centre de Recherche du CHU Sainte-Justine, Montréal, QC, Canada

<sup>3</sup> Département de Psychiatrie, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

#### Edited by:

Leigh Anne Swayne, University of Victoria, Canada

#### Reviewed by:

Lin Tian, University of California, Davis, USA Nathan Dascal, Tel Aviv University, Israel

#### \*Correspondence:

Graciela Pineyro, Department of Psychiatry, Centre de Recherche du CHU Ste-Justine, University of Montreal, 3175, Côte Ste-Catherine, Bureau 2722, Montréal, QC H3T 1C5, Canada e-mail: graciela.pineyro.filpo@ umontreal.ca Opioids are among the most effective drugs to treat severe pain. They produce their analgesic actions by specifically activating opioid receptors located along the pain perception pathway where they inhibit the flow of nociceptive information. This inhibition is partly accomplished by activation of hyperpolarizing G protein-coupled inwardly-rectifying potassium (GIRK or Kir3) channels. Kir3 channels control cellular excitability in the central nervous system and in the heart and, because of their ubiquitous distribution, they mediate the effects of a large range of hormones and neurotransmitters which, upon activation of corresponding G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) lead to channel opening. Here we analyze GPCR signaling via these effectors in reference to precoupling and collision models. Existing knowledge on signaling bias is discussed in relation to these models as a means of developing strategies to produce novel opioid analgesics with an improved side effects profile.

Keywords: GIRK, opioid, signaling, analgesia, bias, signaling complexes, functional selectivity, allosteric modulation

Opioids produce their actions via the activation of  $\mu$  (MOR),  $\delta$ (DOR), and κ (KOR) receptors (Pert and Snyder, 1973; Simon et al., 1973) all of which couple to heterotrimeric Gi/o proteins. As such they share adenylyl cyclase, Phospholipase Cß [PLCB, N-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Cav2.2)] channels and G-protein coupled inward rectifier K<sup>+</sup> channels (GIRKs or Kir3 channels) as canonical Ga and GBy effectors. The two channel effectors prominently mediate analgesic effects of opioids. For example, studies in rodents have shown that activation of MORs on small, unmyelinated nociceptors (Scherrer et al., 2009; Heinke et al., 2011; Bardoni et al., 2014) contribute to the analgesic effects of morphine (Wei et al., 1996; Andrade et al., 2010), and this is done via inhibition of N-type Ca+2 channels that control neurotransmitter release from these primary afferents (Glaum et al., 1994; Wrigley et al., 2010). Kir3 channel contribution to opioid analgesia has also been demonstrated in animal models (Ikeda et al., 2000; Mitrovic et al., 2003; Marker et al., 2005), and their relevance in the clinical response to opioid analgesics has been further confirmed by

Abbreviations: GIRK, G protein activated inward rectifier K<sup>+</sup>; GPCRs, G protein-coupled receptors; DORs, Delta opioid receptors; MORs, Mu opioid receptors; KORs, Kappa opioid receptors; PLC, Phospholipase C; GABA, Gamma-aminobutyric acid; DRG, dorsal root ganglion; DAMGO, [D-Ala(2),N-Me-Phe(4),Gly(5)-ol]-enkephalin; KCNJ6, Inwardly-rectifying potassium channel, subfamily J, member 6; KCNJ3, Inwardly-rectifying potassium channel, subfamily J, member 3; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; MAPK, Mitogen-activated protein kinases;  $\beta$ arr,  $\beta$ arrestin; cAMP, Cyclic adenosine monophosphate; GDP, Guanosine diphosphate; GTP, Guanosine triphosphate; PSD95, Postsynaptic density protein 95; SAP97, Synapse-associated protein 97; FRET, Fluorescence resonance energy transfer; BRET, Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer; PC12, Neuron-like pheochromocytoma.

gene linkage analyses (Nishizawa et al., 2009; Lotsch et al., 2010; Bruehl et al., 2013). In addition, the use of genetically modified mice lacking different channel subunits has further established activation of Kir3 channels as a pervasive analgesic mechanism which, in addition to opioids, also mediates pain modulation by α2 adrenergic, muscarinic, GABA<sub>B</sub> and cannabinoid receptor ligands (Blednov et al., 2003; Mitrovic et al., 2003). From this perspective the development of direct Kir3 channel activators may be considered a promising strategy for the development of novel analgesics. However, enthusiasm for this particular approach has remained limited given the possibility of widespread side-effects associated with administration of direct channel openers (Lujan et al., 2014). Indeed, these would result from the great variety of physiological responses mediated by Kir3 channels, including heart frequency control (Wickman et al., 1998; Bettahi et al., 2002), memory (Wickman et al., 2000), learning (Wickman et al., 2000; Cooper et al., 2012), as well as their participation in different pathological conditions including development of seizures (Signorini et al., 1997), generation of anxiety states (Blednov et al., 2001; Pravetoni and Wickman, 2008) and contribution to abnormal plasticity by drugs of abuse (Padgett et al., 2012; Hearing et al., 2013). Consequently, the prevailing strategy for engaging Kir3-mediated analgesia has remained the activation of pain-modulating GPCRs (McAllister et al., 1999; Lujan et al., 2014). Among them MORs, DORs and KORs all three mediate effective analgesia but display different side effects that distinctively limit their therapeutic use (Kieffer and Gaveriaux-Ruff, 2002; Bruchas and Chavkin, 2010; Gaveriaux-Ruff and Kieffer, 2011). Here we will summarize Kir3 channel contribution to desired and undesired responses of opioid analgesics and address

the question of whether biasing opioid signaling toward these effectors could help develop better opioid analgesics with a reduced side effects profile.

# Kir3 CHANNEL SIGNALING AND OPIOID-DEPENDENT ANALGESIA

Kir3 channels are formed by tetrameric association of four different subunits (Kir3.1-3.4). Although all four of them are expressed in peripheral (Gao et al., 2007) and central nervous system (Wickman et al., 2000; Saenz Del Burgo et al., 2008; Fernandez-Alacid et al., 2011), neural channels are most frequently formed by association of Kir3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 subunits (Liao et al., 1996; Hibino et al., 2010; Luscher and Slesinger, 2010). The subunit combination that forms the channel will depend on the neuronal population involved (Inanobe et al., 1999; Cruz et al., 2004) and the cellular compartment (Koyrakh et al., 2005; Fernandez-Alacid et al., 2009) in which channels are found. Nonetheless, Kir3.1/3.2 heterotetramers are considered prototypical neuronal channels.

Kir3 channel contribution to opioid analgesia was initially suggested by the fact that mice carrying a missense mutation which renders Kir3.2 subunits insensitive to G protein activation (Patil et al., 1995; Navarro et al., 1996) displayed reduced analgesic responses to morphine (Ikeda et al., 2000, 2002). These observations were subsequently confirmed using null mice for different subunits (Mitrovic et al., 2003; Marker et al., 2005), which allowed to also implicate Kir3.1 (Marker et al., 2004) and Kir3.3 (Marker et al., 2002) in pain modulation. Knock-out of Kir3.2 and 3.3 was shown to interfere with morphine's ability to prolong avoidance behavior in the hot plate test. Since this response involves supraspinal integration, it can be concluded that both subunits contribute to mechanisms of opioid analgesia at this level (Marker et al., 2002; Mitrovic et al., 2003). Possible sites of supraspinal Kir3-mediated analgesia may include thalamus and limbic cortex, both of which express Kir3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 subunits (Saenz Del Burgo et al., 2008; Fernandez-Alacid et al., 2011) as well as opioid receptors (Le Merrer et al., 2009). The midbrain periaqueductal gray seems less likely since in this nucleus opioid actions are primarily presynaptic reducing neurotransmitter release via a mechanism that involves phospholipase A2, arachidonic acid and 12-lipoxygenase, which leads to modulation of voltage-dependent potassium channels (Vaughan and Christie, 1997; Vaughan et al., 1997).

Genetically engineered mice lacking Kir3.1 or Ki3.2 subunits also display reduced responses to intrathecal administration of morphine in the tail flick test (Marker et al., 2004, 2005) implicating both subunits in spinal mechanisms of opioid analgesia. This interpretation is supported by reports locating Kir3.1 and Kir3.2 subunits to lamina II interneurons that co-express  $\mu$  opioid receptors (Marker et al., 2006). Functional studies also indicated that silencing of Kir3.1 or Kir3.2 subunits, or intrathecal infusion of Kir3 channel blocker tertiapin-Q interfered with the analgesic response by MOR and DOR agonists administered by the same route (Marker et al., 2005). In contrast, Kir3.3 ablation was without effect on the analgesic response elicited by intrathecal morphine (Marker et al., 2004), arguing against its significant contribution at this level. The latter observation is also in

keeping with immunohistological studies which reported absence of Kir3.3 labeling in the dorsal horn (Marker et al., 2004).

In addition to their participation in acute opioid analgesia, spinal Kir3 channels seem to mediate neuroadaptations that modulate responsiveness to opioids in conditions such as inflammatory or cancer-related pain. This possibility is particularly suggested by reports indicating that carrageenan-inflammation (Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 2010) and bone cancer (Gonzalez-Rodriguez et al., 2012) enhance the inhibitory effect of Kir3 channel blocker tertiapin-Q on analgesia induced by intrathecal administration of morphine.

Apart from brain and spinal cord, opioid receptors are also present in sensory neurons of the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) (Li et al., 1998; Gendron et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010) and are transported to peripheral terminals where they mediate analgesic actions of peripherally injected opioids (Hassan et al., 1993; Obara et al., 2009; Vadivelu et al., 2011). Transcripts for Kir3.1/3.2 subunits have been detected in human DRGs and in rat nociceptors, but not in mice (Gao et al., 2007; Nockemann et al., 2013). This distribution parallels differences in species sensitivity to peripheral administration of opioids suggesting that when expressed, DRG Kir3 subunits actively participate in opioid analgesia. Indeed, intraplantar injection of MOR agonists does not produce analgesia in mice (Nockemann et al., 2013) but effectively mitigates pain in inflammatory or neuropathic rat models (Stein et al., 1989; Obara et al., 2009; Chung et al., 2013; Nockemann et al., 2013) as well as postoperative and arthritic pain in humans (Kalso et al., 2004; Vadivelu et al., 2011). Moreover, the active contribution of Kir3.2 channels to peripheral opioid analgesia has now been experimentally established using transgenic mice genetically engineered to express these subunits in sensory neurons. Unlike their wild-type counterparts, the latter display an analgesic response to plantar application of MOR agonist DAMGO (Nockemann et al., 2013).

It is important to bear in mind that most of the evidence analyzed thus far links Kir3 channel function to opioid analgesia in animal models. However, the latter have shown somewhat limited success in identifying and validating analgesic targets of clinical relevance (Mogil, 2009). Hence, from this perspective, any evidence linking Kir3 channels to therapeutic response in humans is of specific interest. At least three studies have now shown that variations in the gene coding for Kir3.2 subunits (*KCNJ6*) influence opioid dose requirements for both acute management of postoperative pain (Nishizawa et al., 2009; Bruehl et al., 2013) and for pain control in chronic patients (Lotsch et al., 2010). Similar assessment of Kir3.1 (*KCNJ3*) variations showed no effect (Bruehl et al., 2013).

## **OPIOID SIDE EFFECTS AND Kir3 CHANNEL SIGNALING**

In spite of the established analgesic efficacy of MOR, DOR, and KOR agonists, activation of the different receptor subtypes results in a distinct set of side effects which limit therapeutic application of their agonists. Constipation, nausea, respiratory depression, tolerance, dependence, and abuse are among the most common undesired effects of clinically available MOR agonists (Ballantyne and Shin, 2008; Morgan and Christie, 2011). Many of these undesired actions, particularly respiratory depression (Cheng et al.,

1993; Gallantine and Meert, 2005), gastrointestinal side effects (Tavani et al., 1990; Gallantine and Meert, 2005; Feng et al., 2006) and physical dependence (Cowan et al., 1988; Codd et al., 2009) are less severe or absent with DOR agonists. Moreover, although DORs and MORs are both involved in reward response to physiological stimuli (Charbogne et al., 2014), DORs are not directly involved in assigning reward value to stimuli but instead facilitate predictive learning and influence the choice of action in face of contingencies that involve reward (Laurent et al., 2012). In keeping with this functional profile, DOR agonists do not facilitate intracranial self-stimulation (Do Carmo et al., 2009), are not discriminated as morphine substitutes (Gallantine and Meert, 2005) and do not display reinforcing properties in non-human primates (Banks et al., 2011). Nonetheless, despite these advantages, potential for tolerance (Pradhan et al., 2010; Audet et al., 2012) remains a limitation for long-term use of DOR agonists, as does their propensity to produce hippocampal hyperexcitability that may lead to seizures. The latter are produced both by DOR (De Sarro et al., 1992; Broom et al., 2002; Jutkiewicz et al., 2005) and MOR agonists (Drake et al., 2007). In the specific case of DOR agonists, hyperexcitability is brief and non-lethal. Moreover, seizures are rare at analgesic doses (Jutkiewicz et al., 2006), they do not appear with all ligands (Le Bourdonnec et al., 2008; Saitoh et al., 2011) and can be suppressed by controlling the rate of agonist administration (Jutkiewicz et al., 2005). Thus, overall, side effects of DORs agonists are considerably milder as compared to those of MORs, and their proven efficacy in chronic pain management make DORs especially attractive as targets for the development of novel analgesics (Gaveriaux-Ruff and Kieffer, 2011). This notion is further reinforced by the fact that DOR agonists display antidepressant properties (Chu Sin Chung and Kieffer, 2013) which could be of additional benefit in controlling negative affect, frequently associated with prolonged pain syndromes (Goldenberg, 2010). Unlike DOR agonists, KOR-mediated analgesia is typically associated with stress, depression, and dysphoria (Bruchas and Chavkin, 2010; Van't Veer and Carlezon, 2013) which, together with their tendency to induce tolerance (McLaughlin et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2004), would make these ligands less attractive for the treatment of chronic pain.

Kir3 channel function has been associated with some of the undesired effects of opioid analgesics. For example, reduced GABAergic activity in hippocampal dentate gyrus increases the excitability of glutamatergic granule cells and may reduce the threshold for seizures (Drake et al., 2007). MORs and DORs agonists silence hippocampal GABAergic interneurons by a mechanism that involves both Kir3 (Luscher et al., 1997) and voltage-dependent K<sup>+</sup> channels (Wimpey and Chavkin, 1991; Moore et al., 1994), explaining their documented tendency to produce seizures (Drake et al., 2007). MORs agonists also enhance excitability and firing activity of dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area (Gysling and Wang, 1983), and do so by hyperpolarization of local interneurons (Johnson and North, 1992; Bonci and Williams, 1997). Like in hippocampus, disinhibition is mediated through Kir3 channel activation (Luscher et al., 1997) and its consequence is the enhanced release of dopamine in corticolimbic areas which is thought to facilitate compulsive behaviors characteristic of addiction (Luscher and Ungless, 2006).

Finally, Kir3 channel activation by KORs modulates firing activity of dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons (Bruchas et al., 2007; Lemos et al., 2012). Dynorphin release during repeated stress exposure produces sustained activation of these receptors driving p38α-MAPK activity and subsequent Kir3.1 subunit phosphorylation (Lemos et al., 2012). As a consequence 5-HT neuron firing activity becomes deregulated, possibly contributing to dysphoric effects of uncontrollable stress and to aversive actions of KOR agonists (Bruchas et al., 2007).

Additional undesired actions of opioids analgesics involve signaling effectors other than Kir3 channels. For example, constipation and respiratory depression have been associated with βarrestin (βarr)-mediated signaling by MOR agonists (Raehal et al., 2005; Dewire et al., 2013). The use of βarr-knockout mice has also suggested that DOR agonist tendency to induce seizures may involve this regulatory protein, and biased agonists that fail to recruit βarrs are being developed as a means of further improving the side effects profile of analgesics acting at this receptor subtype. Kir3-independent side effects also include compensatory changes in the cyclase pathway (cyclase superactivation). These are triggered by sustained inhibition of cAMP production (Christie, 2008) and have been shown to contribute to physical dependence (Han et al., 2006; Cao et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2014) and analgesic tolerance of opioid agonists (Javed et al., 2004; He and Whistler, 2007; Bobeck et al., 2014).

Data summarized thus far indicate that undesired actions of opioid ligands segregate according to receptor subtype, and within each subtype, desired and unwanted effects are not all necessarily mediated by the same effectors. Thus, undesired actions of DOR and KOR-activating analgesics are less than those of MOR ligands, and among the former, the presence of antidepressant properties and lack of dysphoric actions makes DOR specifically interesting as putative targets for the management of chronic pain syndromes (Gaveriaux-Ruff and Kieffer, 2011; Pradhan et al., 2011). Tolerance however, remains a drawback that limits further development of DOR-acting analgesics. This limitation could be, at least, partly addressed by taking advantage of biased signaling since effectors that mediate cellular tolerance and analgesia seem partly segregated. Indeed, as detailed above, while analgesic actions are generally mediated via modulation of channel effectors, adaptations of the cAMP cascade seem to account for at least some of the manifestations of tolerance (Javed et al., 2004; He and Whistler, 2007; Bobeck et al., 2014). Based on these observations, opioid ligands that specifically bias their pharmacological stimulus toward channel effectors could conceivably conserve analgesic properties while displaying reduced potential for tolerance, particularly the component that depends on cyclase adaptations. In the following sections, we will consider possible strategies to direct opioid signaling toward Kir3 channels. However, before doing so it is worth revising the events that lead to channel activation.

## **Kir3 CHANNEL ACTIVATION VIA GPCRS**

It is now well established that Kir3 channels open via direct interaction with  $G\beta\gamma$  dimers that are released from pertussis toxin sensitive heterotrimeric Gi/o proteins upon receptor activation (Logothetis et al., 1987; Wickman et al., 1994; Raveh

et al., 2009). G $\beta\gamma$  activates the channel as long as the surface that contacts Kir3 subunits does not re-associate with G $\alpha_{i/o}$ -GDP, which results in signal termination (Schreibmayer et al., 1996). Biochemical, mutational and nuclear magnetic resonance studies have mapped several interaction sites for G $\beta\gamma$  on all four channel subunits. These sites are summarized in **Table 1** and those in the C-terminal cytosolic domain have been largely confirmed

in recent crystallization of a complex formed by G $\beta$ 1 $\gamma$ 2 and the Kir3.2 homotetramer (Whorton and MacKinnon, 2013). Crystals of the complex revealed that the contact area between G $\beta$  and the channel is approximately 700A°<sup>2</sup>. The contact zone on channel subunits corresponds to the interface of two contiguous cytosolic domains encompassing  $\beta$  sheets/loops  $\beta$ K,  $\beta$ L,  $\beta$ M, and  $\beta$ N on one subunit and  $\beta$ D- $\beta$ E elements from the adjacent one. The

	Interaction sites	Methodology	References
	N-TERMINAL		
(	N-terminal hydrophobic domain	Coaffinity precipitation	Huang et al., 1995
	Amino acids M1-N83	GST pull-down	Kunkel and Peralta, 1995
	Amino acids Q34-I86	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	$G\beta 1\gamma 2$ binds N-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
	Amino acids R45, F46, V47, N50, G51, N54	NMR*	Yokogawa et al., 2011
	C-TERMINAL		
	Amino acids V273-P462	Coaffinity precipitation	Huang et al., 1995
(.o.)	Amino acids T290-Y356	GST pull-down	Huang et al., 1995
Kir3.1	Amino acids E318-P374 and D390-P462	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	Amino acids M364-R383	Gβγ binding assay	Krapivinsky et al., 1998
	Amino acids F181-G254 and to a lesser extent G254-P370	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2003
	$G\beta 1\gamma 2$ binds C-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
	Cytoplasmic pore with a binding site composed by amino acids	NMR*	Yokogawa et al., 2011
	A226, S235, R236, Q237, T238, E240, G241, E242, F243, L244, V253, G254,		
	F255, S256, A259, D260, Q261, S278, T290, G307, M308, T317, E318, D319,		
	E320, L333, E334, G336, F337, F338, K339, D341, Y342, S343, Q344, A347,		
	T348, E350, and V358		
Ć	N-TERMINAL		
	N-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	Amino acids I46-L96	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2003
	$G\beta 1\gamma 2$ binds amino acids T2-L96 with W91-L96 as crucial residues for $G\beta 1\gamma 2$	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
ir3.2 🗸	binding		
13.2	C-TERMINAL		
	C-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	Amino acids L310-E380	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2003
	Amino acid L344 (βLβM loop)	Coaffinity precipitation	Finley et al., 2004
$\langle$	Gβ1γ2 binds C-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
$\int$	N-TERMINAL		
(:-2.2	N-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
(ir3.3 -	C-TERMINAL		
	C-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	N-TERMINAL		
	N-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	Amino acids R41-V92 with H64 as a crucial residue in $G\beta\gamma$ binding	GST pull-down	He et al., 2002
	Gβ1γ2 binds N-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
	C-TERMINAL		
Kir3.4 —	C-terminal domain	Coprecipitation	Huang et al., 1997
	Amino acids S209-R225 and N226-K245 with C216 as crucial residue for $G\beta\gamma$	Co-IP*	Krapivinsky et al., 1998
	binding		
	C-terminal domain with L339 as crucial residue for $G\beta\gamma$ binding	GST pull-down	He et al., 1999
	Amino acids N253- Y348 with L268 as a crucial residue for $G\beta\gamma$ binding	GST pull-down	He et al., 2002
	Gβ1γ2 binds C-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007

*IP, Immunoprecipitation; NMR, Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.* 

channel's interaction surface on G $\beta$  overlaps the G $\alpha$  binding site (Ford et al., 1998; Whorton and MacKinnon, 2013). The arrangement of Kir3.2 and G $\beta\gamma$  subunits within the crystal corresponds to a membrane-delimited signaling complex consisting of one channel tetramer, four G $\beta\gamma$  subunits, four phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>) molecules and four Na<sup>+</sup> ions bound to corresponding regulatory sites on the channel (Whorton and MacKinnon, 2011). This organization is compatible with G $\beta\gamma$  binding to the channel to induce an intermediate active state that is stabilized into the full open conformation by PIP<sub>2</sub> and Na<sup>+</sup> ions (Whorton and MacKinnon, 2011, 2013). Interaction sites for G $\alpha_{i/o}$  have also been mapped to all four channel subunits, both in GDP- and GTP-bound states and these sites as summarized in **Table 2**.

Although structural determinants of channel activation are matter of considerable consensus, the dynamics that govern the process remain subject of active investigation. Originally, GPCRs, G proteins and channels were conceived as isolated membrane entities capable of conveying pharmacological stimuli from receptors to effectors through a series of collisions which included the G protein as intermediary (Orly and Schramm, 1976; Tolkovsky and Levitzki, 1978). However, the perception that this original formulation of the collision coupling model failed to account for the specificity and temporal resolution of Kir3 channel signaling has led to the proposal of alternative paradigms (Neubig, 1994). One of such alternatives postulates that receptors and their signaling partners may be precoupled in the absence of agonist (Wreggett and De Lean, 1984; Tian et al., 1994) while another proposes that receptors, their G proteins and effectors are compartmentalized within microdomains (Neubig, 1994; Neer, 1995) where signaling partners are present in high enough concentrations to allow rapid interactions by collision (Gross and Lohse, 1991). In the case of neurons, postsynaptic densities are typically specialized domains where anchoring and scaffolding proteins control signaling partners present within dendritic spines (Romero et al., 2011; Fourie et al., 2014). Kir3.2c subunits directly interact with post synaptic density protein 95 (PSD95) and synapse-associated protein 97 (SAP97), two such proteins which not only regulate local concentration of channel subunits but their responsiveness to G proteins as well (Inanobe et al., 1999; Hibino et al., 2000; Nassirpour et al., 2010).

The idea that receptors and channels may associate to form a complex is supported by evidence obtained in native and heterologous systems. Thus, in co-immunoprecipitations from brain samples Kir3 subunits can be recovered with dopamine  $D_2$  (Lavine et al., 2002) or GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (Ciruela et al., 2010). Functional evidence is also consistent with the notion that native receptors and channels may associate. For example, immobilization of MORs receptors expressed in cerebellar granule cells does not alter the rate of Kir3 channel activation, implying

	Interaction sites	Methodology	References
$\left( \right)$	N-TERMINAL		
	Gα-GDP binds N-terminal domain	Coaffinity precipitation	Huang et al., 1995
	Gαi1-GDP binds N-terminal domain	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2004
	Gαq-GDP binds N-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
	Gai3-GDP and Gai3-GTP bind N-terminal domain	GST pull-down	Berlin et al., 2010
-3.1	C-TERMINAL		
	Gai3-GDP binds amino acids F181-G254, G254-D319, and E320-P370	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2004
	Gαi1-GDP binds amino acids G254-D319		
	Gai3-GDP and Gai3-GTP bind amino acids M184-E362	GST pull-down	Berlin et al., 2010
	Gαi3-GTP binds amino acids E242, V358, L365, L366, M367, S368, S369, L371,	NMR*	Mase et al., 2012
	I372, and A373		
	N-TERMINAL		
	Gαi3-GDP binds amino acids I46-L96	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2004
	Gao-GDP and Gaq-GDP bind to N-terminal domain	Co-IP* and GST pull-down	Clancy et al., 2005
	Gaq-GDP binds amino acids T51-K90 with D81-K90 as crucial residues for	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
	Gαq-GDP binding		
3.2 <	C-TERMINAL		
	Gαi3-GDP binds amino acids L310-E380	GST pull-down	Ivanina et al., 2004
	Gαi1-GDP binds amino acids M191-G414	GST pull-down	Clancy et al., 2005
	$G\alpha o\mbox{-}GDP$ binds amino acids E314-S330 with G318, C321, A323, I328, T329, and		
$\subset$	S330 as critical residues for $G\alpha$ o-GDP binding		
$\bigcap$	N-TERMINAL		
	Gαq-GDP binds N-terminal domain	IP* and GST pull-down	Kawano et al., 2007
3.4	C-TERMINAL		
	Gai-GDP and Gaq-GDP bind C-terminal domain	GST pull-down	Rusinova et al., 200 <sup>°</sup>

IP, Immunoprecipitation; NMR, Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

that neither species freely diffuses within the membrane during signaling (Lober et al., 2006). More at a systems level, neuroadaptive changes induced by psychostimulants also suggests physical association between receptors and Kir3 channels. In particular, heterologous regulation of GABAB receptors causes them to cointernalize with Kir3 subunits in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Padgett et al., 2012) and in pyramidal neurons of the prelimbic cortex (Hearing et al., 2013) following administration of cocaine or amphetamines. Similar conclusions were drawn from studying receptor trafficking in PC12 neurons where homologous desensitization of native muscarinic M2 receptors drives internalization and intracellular accumulation of Kir3 subunits (Clancy et al., 2007). However, a limitation with this group of observations is that native systems not always allow to rule out receptor activation by endogenous ligands, making it difficult to ascertain whether signaling complexes are spontaneously formed or if they result from receptor activation.

Spectroscopic studies in overexpression systems have proven valuable in assessing spontaneous interactions and in providing detailed kinetics of the steps involved in Kir3 activation. In heterologous systems, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET)based approaches have both revealed spontaneous energy transfer between channel subunits and G proteins (Riven et al., 2006; Robitaille et al., 2009; Berlin et al., 2010, 2011) and between the latter and receptors (Rebois et al., 2006; Audet et al., 2008). For opioid receptors in particular, DORs were shown to organize into multimeric arrays that also contain GaoAB1y2 and Kir3.1/Kir3.2 subunits (Richard-Lalonde et al., 2013). However, some of these constitutive associations have not been consistently observed. For example, BRET studies show that a2A-adrenergic receptors precouple to Gai1 (Gales et al., 2006) but FRET data indicate that precoupling occurs with Gao (Nobles et al., 2005) but not Gail (Hein et al., 2005). These discrepancies have been explained by different arguments: (a) differences in sensitivity between the two techniques would allow BRET to detect lower basal levels of interaction than FRET; (b) receptors do not display the same affinity for different Gα subunits, and (c) receptors with different levels of constitutive activity have different levels of G protein precoupling as reviewed in Lohse et al. (2012).

Kinetic approaches have also addressed the question of whether receptors and G protein precouple in the absence of ligand. For example, FRET assays have established that the time course of conformational changes undergone by the receptor's third intracellular loop upon its activation (50 ms -1 s) (Vilardaga et al., 2003) may be undistinguishable from the kinetics of receptor conformational rearrangements with respect to the G protein (Hein et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2009). Although these findings argue in favor of precoupling, other observations can be taken as evidence of collision, particularly the fact that the speed of FRET changes that were observed at the interface of the receptor with the G protein varies with the concentration of agonist used to activate the receptor (Hein et al., 2005) and with the amount of G proteins expressed (Hein et al., 2005; Falkenburger et al., 2010). Indeed, both findings are consistent with the essence of the collision model, namely that activated receptors have free access to a common pool of G-proteins. Nonetheless these observations

can also be accommodated by a precoupling model if one conceives receptor signaling in terms of conformational ensembles (Kenakin and Onaran, 2002). According to the latter model, a FRET value can be considered representative of a macroscopic state which arises from an ensemble of different receptor states. Within this context, FRET changes corresponding to "receptor activation" represent a multiplicity of states undergoing some degree of conformational change which involves the displacement of the third intracellular loop, not all of which necessarily achieve the full conformational alteration that leads to G protein activation. As agonist concentrations increase and more receptors become permanently occupied by the ligand, the ensemble is progressively constrained so that all states achieve the conformational change that effectively modifies energy transfer between receptors and downstream signaling partners. The increased efficiency, with which higher concentrations of agonist allow the ensemble to attain conformational changes that fully modify the receptor G protein interface, may translate as an increase in the speed with which the two signaling partners reach maximal FRET. This enhanced efficiency to attain full activation may take place in a receptor ensemble that is precoupled to the G protein. Converse reasoning may be applied to explain how an increase in G protein concentrations may enhance the speed of FRET changes at its interface with the receptor in the context of a precoupling model. Indeed, when G proteins are a limiting factor, some receptors are precoupled and others not. As recently demonstrated in crystallographic studies, agonist-occupied receptors will not become fully activated unless coupled to a G protein (Rasmussen et al., 2011). In such case enhanced precoupling that takes place upon higher availability of the G protein will increase the probability of the receptor ensemble of achieving a full active state which evokes an effective conformational rearrangement vis a vis the G protein. As above, the ensemble's improved efficiency to achieve this activation state can be perceived as an increase in the speed with which energy is transferred between activated receptors and G proteins.

FRET technology has also been used in combination with total internal reflection to demonstrate that G protein subunits and Kir3 channels may organize into a membrane-delimited preformed complex (Riven et al., 2006). Constitutive association between Kir3 and G $\beta\gamma$  subunits has also been observed by means of BRET (Rebois et al., 2006; Robitaille et al., 2009; Richard-Lalonde et al., 2013). Moreover, the fact that kinetics of Kir3 channel currents are concordant with conformational changes undergone within the G $\alpha\beta\gamma$  trimer upon activation (Bunemann et al., 2003), has been taken as an additional argument favoring pre-association between G proteins and channel effectors (Lohse et al., 2012).

An aspect upon which BRET and FRET data consistently agree, is the fact that G proteins remain associated with the receptor at least during initial stages of signaling (Gales et al., 2005, 2006; Hein et al., 2005, 2006) implying that at one point in time the receptor, the G protein and the effector are all part of the same complex. This reasoning is also in line with evidence summarized in the previous paragraph, which would place the transducer in direct contact with the effector even before activation. This kind of "triple multimeric array" has been described for DORs,  $G\alpha oA\beta 1\gamma 2$  and Kir3.1/Kir3.2 subunits using BRET



FIGURE 1 | Schematic representation of putative spatial organization of DORs, Kir3.2 and the G $\alpha\beta\gamma$  subunits within a signaling complex containing all signaling partners. The complex was constructed from diagrams based on the published crystal structures of the Kir3.2 tetramer in association with corresponding G $\beta$ 1 $\gamma$ 2 subunits (PDB: 4KFM) (**A**) and that of the nucleotide-free G $\alpha\beta$ 1 $\gamma$ 2 trimer in association with the active  $\beta$ 2 adrenergic receptor (PDB: 3sn6) where the latter was replaced by the topography corresponding to the DOR crystal (PDB: 4EJ4). Note that in the active receptor-G protein complex only the Ras-GTPase domain of G $\alpha$  is fully visible (**B**). To construct the multimeric array, the DOR-G $\alpha\beta\gamma$  complex shown in B was associated to the Kir3.2 channel shown in (**A**) by superimposing both G $\beta\gamma$  dimers and then removing the one corresponding to the plain of the

membrane. By completing this operation transmembrane domains 5 and 6 of the receptor came in close proximity of the outer helix of the channel subunit. The complex is shown in its inactive state where the helical and GTPase domains of Ga are visible and in contact with Gβy. The inset shows topography of a single channel subunit with its corresponding Gaβy heterotrimer and DOR seen from above (**C**). In the active complex the agonist (violet) is bound to the receptor, the third intracellular loop is displaced toward the channel, the C-terminal end of Ga insinuates between intracellular loops 2 and 3. For the proposed multimeric organization to be functional the complex must allow the displacement of the helical domain of Ga upon nucleotide exchange; this is indeed the case since its helical domain moves laterally, from its initial position in the lower part of the inactive complex. Inset shows topography from above (**D**).

assays and co-immunoprecipitation in overexpression systems. Moreover, BRET changes that were observed among different interaction partners revealed that the conformational information that is codified by agonist binding to the receptor is relayed to the channel via the G protein (Richard-Lalonde et al., 2013). Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of how DORs, Kir3.2 and  $G\alpha\beta\gamma$  subunits could organize within a multimeric array with the receptor in its inactive (Figure 1C) or its active (Figure 1D) states. The proposed complex is based on published structures for the G<sub>β</sub>y-bound semi-open Kir3.2 channel (1A) (Whorton and MacKinnon, 2013) and an activated receptor-G protein complex (1B) (Rasmussen et al., 2011). In this putative array receptor and channel were allowed to maintain their positioning with respect to the plain of the membrane while receptor and G protein maintained their relative orientation with respect to one another, as described for the crystallized receptor-G protein complex (Rasmussen et al., 2011). Interestingly, if the diagram had been produced maintaining  $G\beta\gamma$ 's inclination with respect to the channel (Whorton and MacKinnon, 2013), the latter would have collided with the receptor. This suggest that, in order to organize into a complex, the different signaling partners most likely influence their mutual positions. If each of the four GBy subunits, that associate to Kir3.2 subunits in the crystal, interacts with one Gai/o, and these in turn couple to a corresponding GPCR, it is conceivable that one receptor-G protein complex could occupy one of the grooves that correspond to the site of interaction between two adjacent Kir3 subunits (Figures 1C,D). A supramolecular organization which involves simultaneous association of all signaling

partners is compatible with the notion that ligand-specific conformational changes undergone by the receptor can translate into ligand-specific patterns of channel activation. Moreover, allosteric interactions within the array could allow a precoupling model to explain additional observations that are usually attributed to a collision model. For example, the fact that it is possible to attain maximal Kir3 channel currents at concentrations that produce submaximal conformational rearrangement of receptor-G protein interface (Hein et al., 2005) can be explained by positive cooperativity among channel subunits and with the activated  $G\beta\gamma$  dimers, even if not all receptors have been occupied and undergone conformational changes associated with activation.

# IS IT POSSIBLE TO BIAS PHARMACOLOGICAL STIMULI TOWARD Kir3 CHANNEL ACTIVATION?

Although DORs agonists effectively alleviate chronic pain and have milder side effects than ligands acting at other opioid receptors (Gallantine and Meert, 2005; Feng et al., 2006; Codd et al., 2009), their potential for tolerance (Pradhan et al., 2010; Audet et al., 2012) limits their possible application as therapeutic agents. Given the contribution of cyclase pathway adaptations to the development of this side effect (Javed et al., 2004; He and Whistler, 2007; Bobeck et al., 2014), biasing pharmacological stimulus toward Kir3 channel activation and/or away from cyclase modulation was proposed as a rational means of reducing tolerance. Importantly, together with voltage-gated K<sup>+</sup> channels (Wimpey and Chavkin, 1991; Moore et al., 1994) and  $\beta$ arrs, Kir3



FIGURE 2 | Functional selectivity due to biased signaling of orthosteric receptor agonists. Within the context of a precoupling model, an agonist that preferentially recognizes the receptor conformation stabilized by signaling partners in the channel complex over the receptor state stabilized within the cyclase complex would display bias toward channel signaling (A). In a restricted

collision model G proteins and effectors coexist as a preformed complex that is activated through collision with the agonist-bound receptor. In this context bias toward Kir3 signaling can take place if the agonist-bound receptor displays higher affinity for G\alpha\beta\gamma-Kir3 than G\alpha\beta\gamma-cyclase complexes (**B**). To aid visualization, Kir3 channel is shown in blue and adenylyl cyclase in red.

channels may also participate in hippocampal hyperexcitability (Luscher et al., 1997) induced by certain DOR ligands (Broom et al., 2002; Jutkiewicz et al., 2005). Depending on the degree of Kir3 involvement in this side effect, the incidence of seizures could increase for DOR ligands with Kir3 signaling bias. If this were the case, the alternative strategy based on obliteration of cyclase modulation would be of choice.

Biased agonism refers to the ability of orthosteric receptor ligands to selectively engage the activity of a distinct set of signaling partners over another (Urban et al., 2007), a type of selectivity that ensues from the stabilization of receptor conformations which activate a specific effector(s) while sparing the rest (Kenakin and Miller, 2010). Functional selectivity may also be indirect, driven by allosteric ligands (Leach et al., 2007; Kenakin, 2008). Sodium is an allosteric modulator of DORs and manipulation of its binding site provides an example of how allosteric influences may direct pharmacological stimuli toward different effectors (Fenalti et al., 2014). In particular, mutation of one of the residues (Asn131) in the first coordination shell of the Na<sup>+</sup> ion produced an "efficacy switch" that changed DOR signaling from the canonical Gai/o pathway toward βarr recruitment. Furthermore, this effect was ligand sensitive since agonists lost Gai signaling while the antagonist naltrindole gained the ability to recruit βarr (Fenalti et al., 2014). Hence, by stabilizing receptor conformations that differentially favor one orthosteric response over another, allosteric modulation of receptor conformations offer

a great potential for directing pharmacological stimuli toward a desired response.

Let us first consider signaling bias within the context of the traditional shuttling-collision model. According to this paradigm the agonist interacts with a receptor which then travels within the membrane to interact and activate a G protein whose Ga and Gβy subunits subsequently dissociate from the ligand-receptor complex to find and activate an effector (Orly and Schramm, 1976; Tolkovsky and Levitzki, 1978; Gilman, 1987; Bourne, 1997). Because in this model receptor and effector do not simultaneously interact with the G protein, the paradigm does not provide for a "memory" that would allow transferring conformational information codified by the agonist-bound receptor beyond the transducer stage. However, more recent spectroscopic studies of receptor-G protein-effector interaction point to greater restriction in mobility where G protein and effectors would be spontaneously coupled (Lohse et al., 2012). Moreover, independent of whether receptors form part of this constitutive complex or not, evidence analyzed in the previous section indicated that all three species may persistently associate during signaling. This association provides the basis for a "conformational memory" and the possibility of exploring novel bias strategies to specifically direct the pharmacological stimulus of a given receptor (in this case DORs) to a desired G protein coupled effector (Kir3 channels).

Being allosteric proteins (Kenakin and Miller, 2010), the conformation adopted by the receptor will not be solely determined



effectors may all persistently associate during signaling. Within this context (only precoupling model represented in figure), small positive allosteric modulators (PAM ) that specifically recognize the interface between DORs

the DOR-adenylyl cyclase (in red) interface could distinctively block cAMP inhibition by the activated DOR, also allowing for bias in favor of channel effectors (B).

by orthosteric agonist binding, but also by its interaction with its cytosolic (G protein subunits) and membrane (Kir3 or cyclase) signaling partners, which therefore function as natural allosteric modulators. In cases where signaling complexes exist before activation, selectivity favoring Kir3 vs. cyclase signaling could be achieved by designing orthosteric ligands that display higher affinity for the conformation adopted by the receptor when contained within a Kir3 signaling complex than the one induced by its inclusion into cyclase multimers (Figure 2A). The idea that orthosteric agonists can indeed be tailored to specifically recognize receptors in association with distinct signaling partners is supported by studies indicating that the pharmacological properties of receptors contained in homodimers are different from those displayed by the same receptor when it is part of an heterodimer (Jordan and Devi, 1999; Waldhoer et al., 2005). Alternatively, if the complex is formed during signaling, bias toward Kir3 channel effectors would depend on the agonist's ability to stabilize a receptor conformation whose affinity for the G protein/Kir3 complex is higher than the one displayed for the G protein/cyclase complex (Figure 2B).

In addition, if at rest and/or during signaling receptors, G proteins and effectors associate through a distinct network of conformational influences, it is also conceivable that the pharmacological stimulus that is produced by an orthosteric ligand could be influenced by small *allosteric modulators* that specifically *recognize the complex* with the desired combination of DORs,

Gαβγ subunits and Kir3 channels. For example, small molecules that could bind the interface of DORs and Kir3 subunits to stabilize the complex and/or favor channel opening (*positive* allosteric modulators), would be of particular interest since they could selectively enhance Kir3 signaling by DORs and no other receptors that modulate this effector (Figure 3A). Alternatively, a negative allosteric modulator that recognizes the DOR/adenylyl cyclase interface could distinctively block cAMP inhibition by this receptor, resulting in another desired type of bias (Figure 3B). Finally, a variation of this strategy would be to design complexselective allosteric agonists which are able to initiate signaling, independent of whether the orthosteric ligand is present or not (Figure 4A), or only when it is present for the case of restricted collision (Figure 4B). Such type of ligand could putatively recognize and stabilize the interface formed between the C-terminus of the activated Gai/o subunit, the channel N-terminus and the third intracellular loop of the receptor. Admittedly, the structural information required for designing these compounds is not yet available but should become available once receptor/G proteins complexes are co-crystallized with their effectors.

In summary, we have analyzed evidence indicating that Kir3 channels are mediators of opioid analgesia. While they play a considerable role in undesired effects of MOR agonists, their contribution to those of DOR ligands is limited. Biasing DOR responses in favor of Kir3 channels and away from cyclase inhibition was suggested as a means of controlling analgesic tolerance



of DOR agonists, a side effect that limits their potential therapeutic application. Different modalities of GPCR association with G proteins and effectors were discussed, and putative bias strategies to ensure specific activation of a desired combination of receptors (DORs) and effectors (Kir3 channels) were provided.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) to Graciela Pineyro [311997]. Karim Nagi holds a studentship from Ste-Justine Hospital Research Center and the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies, University of Montreal.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Andrade, A., Denome, S., Jiang, Y. Q., Marangoudakis, S., and Lipscombe, D. (2010). Opioid inhibition of N-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels and spinal analgesia couple to alternative splicing. *Nat. Neurosci.* 13, 1249–1256. doi: 10.1038/ nn.2643
- Audet, N., Charfi, I., Mnie-Filali, O., Amraei, M., Chabot-Dore, A. J., Millecamps, M., et al. (2012). Differential association of receptor-Gbetagamma complexes with beta-arrestin2 determines recycling bias and potential for tolerance of delta opioid receptor agonists. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 4827–4840. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3734-11.2012
- Audet, N., Gales, C., Archer-Lahlou, E., Vallieres, M., Schiller, P. W., Bouvier, M., et al. (2008). Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer assays reveal ligand-specific conformational changes within preformed signaling complexes containing delta-opioid receptors and heterotrimeric G proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 15078–15088. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M707941200
- Ballantyne, J. C., and Shin, N. S. (2008). Efficacy of opioids for chronic pain: a review of the evidence. *Clin. J. Pain* 24, 469–478. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e3 1816b2f26
- Banks, M. L., Roma, P. G., Folk, J. E., Rice, K. C., and Negus, S. S. (2011). Effects of the delta-opioid agonist SNC80 on the abuse liability of methadone in rhesus monkeys: a behavioral economic analysis. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 216, 431–439. doi: 10.1007/s00213-011-2235-2
- Bardoni, R., Tawfik, V. L., Wang, D., Francois, A., Solorzano, C., Shuster, S. A., et al. (2014). Delta opioid receptors presynaptically regulate cutaneous mechanosensory neuron input to the spinal cord dorsal horn. *Neuron* 81, 1312–1327. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.044
- Berlin, S., Keren-Raifman, T., Castel, R., Rubinstein, M., Dessauer, C. W., Ivanina, T., et al. (2010). G alpha(i) and G betagamma jointly regulate the conformations of a G betagamma effector, the neuronal G protein-activated K<sup>+</sup> channel (GIRK). *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 6179–6185. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109. 085944
- Berlin, S., Tsemakhovich, V. A., Castel, R., Ivanina, T., Dessauer, C. W., Keren-Raifman, T., et al. (2011). Two distinct aspects of coupling between Galpha(i) protein and G protein-activated K<sup>+</sup> channel (GIRK) revealed by fluorescently labeled Galpha(i3) protein subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 33223–33235. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.271056
- Bettahi, I., Marker, C. L., Roman, M. I., and Wickman, K. (2002). Contribution of the Kir3.1 subunit to the muscarinic-gated atrial potassium channel IKACh. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 48282–48288. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M209599200
- Blednov, Y. A., Stoffel, M., Alva, H., and Harris, R. A. (2003). A pervasive mechanism for analgesia: activation of GIRK2 channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 277–282. doi: 10.1073/pnas.012682399
- Blednov, Y. A., Stoffel, M., Chang, S. R., and Harris, R. A. (2001). GIRK2 deficient mice. Evidence for hyperactivity and reduced anxiety. *Physiol. Behav.* 74, 109–117. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9384(01)00555-8
- Bobeck, E. N., Chen, Q., Morgan, M. M., and Ingram, S. L. (2014). Contribution of adenylyl cyclase modulation of pre- and postsynaptic GABA neurotransmission to morphine antinociception and tolerance. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.62. [Epub ahead of print].
- Bonci, A., and Williams, J. T. (1997). Increased probability of GABA release during withdrawal from morphine. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 796–803.
- Bourne, H. R. (1997). How receptors talk to trimeric G proteins. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 9, 134–142. doi: 10.1016/S0955-0674(97)80054-3

- Broom, D. C., Nitsche, J. F., Pintar, J. E., Rice, K. C., Woods, J. H., and Traynor, J. R. (2002). Comparison of receptor mechanisms and efficacy requirements for delta-agonist-induced convulsive activity and antinociception in mice. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 303, 723–729. doi: 10.1124/jpet.102.036525
- Bruchas, M. R., and Chavkin, C. (2010). Kinase cascades and ligand-directed signaling at the kappa opioid receptor. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 210, 137–147. doi: 10.1007/s00213-010-1806-y
- Bruchas, M. R., Land, B. B., Aita, M., Xu, M., Barot, S. K., Li, S., et al. (2007). Stress-induced p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation mediates kappa-opioid-dependent dysphoria. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 11614–11623. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3769-07.2007
- Bruehl, S., Denton, J. S., Lonergan, D., Koran, M. E., Chont, M., Sobey, C., et al. (2013). Associations between KCNJ6 (GIRK2) gene polymorphisms and painrelated phenotypes. *Pain* 154, 2853–2859. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2013.08.026
- Bunemann, M., Frank, M., and Lohse, M. J. (2003). Gi protein activation in intact cells involves subunit rearrangement rather than dissociation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 16077–16082. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2536719100
- Cao, J. L., Vialou, V. F., Lobo, M. K., Robison, A. J., Neve, R. L., Cooper, D. C., et al. (2010). Essential role of the cAMP-cAMP response-element binding protein pathway in opiate-induced homeostatic adaptations of locus coeruleus neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 17011–17016. doi: 10.1073/pnas.10100 77107
- Charbogne, P., Kieffer, B. L., and Befort, K. (2014). 15 years of genetic approaches in vivo for addiction research: opioid receptor and peptide gene knockout in mouse models of drug abuse. *Neuropharmacology* 76(Pt B), 204–217. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.08.028
- Cheng, P. Y., Wu, D., Soong, Y., McCabe, S., Decena, J. A., and Szeto, H. H. (1993). Role of mu 1- and delta-opioid receptors in modulation of fetal EEG and respiratory activity. *Am. J. Physiol.* 265, R433–R438.
- Christie, M. J. (2008). Cellular neuroadaptations to chronic opioids: tolerance, withdrawal and addiction. Br. J. Pharmacol. 154, 384–396. doi: 10.1038/bjp.2008.100
- Chung, M. K., Cho, Y. S., Bae, Y. C., Lee, J., Zhang, X., and Ro, J. Y. (2013). Peripheral G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels are involved in delta-opioid receptor-mediated anti-hyperalgesia in rat masseter muscle. *Eur. J. Pain* 18, 29–38. doi: 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00343.x
- Chu Sin Chung, P., and Kieffer, B. L. (2013). Delta opioid receptors in brain function and diseases. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 140, 112–120. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera. 2013.06.003
- Ciruela, F., Fernandez-Duenas, V., Sahlholm, K., Fernandez-Alacid, L., Nicolau, J. C., Watanabe, M., et al. (2010). Evidence for oligomerization between GABAB receptors and GIRK channels containing the GIRK1 and GIRK3 subunits. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 32, 1265–1277. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07356.x
- Clancy, S. M., Boyer, S. B., and Slesinger, P. A. (2007). Coregulation of natively expressed pertussis toxin-sensitive muscarinic receptors with Gprotein-activated potassium channels. J. Neurosci. 27, 6388–6399. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1190-07.2007
- Clancy, S. M., Fowler, C. E., Finley, M., Suen, K. F., Arrabit, C., Berton, F., et al. (2005). Pertussis-toxin-sensitive Galpha subunits selectively bind to C-terminal domain of neuronal GIRK channels: evidence for a heterotrimeric G-protein-channel complex. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 28, 375–389. doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2004.10.009
- Codd, E. E., Carson, J. R., Colburn, R. W., Stone, D. J., Van Besien, C. R., Zhang, S. P., et al. (2009). JNJ-20788560 [9-(8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-ylidene)-9H-xanthene-3-carboxylic acid diethylamide], a selective delta opioid receptor agonist, is a potent and efficacious antihyperalgesic agent that does not produce respiratory depression, pharmacologic tolerance, or physical dependence. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 329, 241–251. doi: 10.1124/jpet.108. 146969
- Cooper, A., Grigoryan, G., Guy-David, L., Tsoory, M. M., Chen, A., and Reuveny, E. (2012). Trisomy of the G protein-coupled K<sup>+</sup> channel gene, Kcnj6, affects reward mechanisms, cognitive functions, and synaptic plasticity in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 2642–2647. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1109099109
- Cowan, A., Zhu, X. Z., Mosberg, H. I., Omnaas, J. R., and Porreca, F. (1988). Direct dependence studies in rats with agents selective for different types of opioid receptor. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 246, 950–955.
- Cruz, H. G., Ivanova, T., Lunn, M. L., Stoffel, M., Slesinger, P. A., and Luscher, C. (2004). Bi-directional effects of GABA(B) receptor agonists on the mesolimbic dopamine system. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 153–159. doi: 10.1038/nn1181

- De Sarro, G. B., Marra, R., Spagnolo, C., and Nistico, G. (1992). Delta opioid receptors mediate seizures produced by intrahippocampal injection of ala-deltorphin in rats. *Funct. Neurol.* 7, 235–238.
- Dewire, S. M., Yamashita, D. S., Rominger, D. H., Liu, G., Cowan, C. L., Graczyk, T. M., et al. (2013). A G protein-biased ligand at the mu-opioid receptor is potently analgesic with reduced gastrointestinal and respiratory dysfunction compared with morphine. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 344, 708–717. doi: 10.1124/jpet.112.201616
- Do Carmo, G. P., Folk, J. E., Rice, K. C., Chartoff, E., Carlezon, W. A. Jr., and Negus, S. S. (2009). The selective non-peptidic delta opioid agonist SNC80 does not facilitate intracranial self-stimulation in rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 604, 58–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2008.12.021
- Drake, C. T., Chavkin, C., and Milner, T. A. (2007). Opioid systems in the dentate gyrus. Prog. Brain Res. 163, 245–263. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(07)63015-5
- Falkenburger, B. H., Jensen, J. B., and Hille, B. (2010). Kinetics of M1 muscarinic receptor and G protein signaling to phospholipase C in living cells. J. Gen. Physiol. 135, 81–97. doi: 10.1085/jgp.200910344
- Fenalti, G., Giguere, P. M., Katritch, V., Huang, X. P., Thompson, A. A., Cherezov, V., et al. (2014). Molecular control of delta-opioid receptor signalling. *Nature* 506, 191–196. doi: 10.1038/nature12944
- Feng, P., Rahim, R. T., Cowan, A., Liu-Chen, L. Y., Peng, X., Gaughan, J., et al. (2006). Effects of mu, kappa or delta opioids administered by pellet or pump on oral Salmonella infection and gastrointestinal transit. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 534, 250–257. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2006.01.048
- Fernandez-Alacid, L., Aguado, C., Ciruela, F., Martin, R., Colon, J., Cabanero, M. J., et al. (2009). Subcellular compartment-specific molecular diversity of pre- and post-synaptic GABA-activated GIRK channels in Purkinje cells. *J. Neurochem.* 110, 1363–1376. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06229.x
- Fernandez-Alacid, L., Watanabe, M., Molnar, E., Wickman, K., and Lujan, R. (2011). Developmental regulation of G protein-gated inwardly-rectifying K<sup>+</sup> (GIRK/Kir3) channel subunits in the brain. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 34, 1724–1736. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07886.x
- Finley, M., Arrabit, C., Fowler, C., Suen, K. F., and Slesinger, P. A. (2004). betaLbetaM loop in the C-terminal domain of G protein-activated inwardly rectifying K(+) channels is important for G(betagamma) subunit activation. *J. Physiol.* 555, 643–657. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2003.056101
- Ford, C. E., Skiba, N. P., Bae, H., Daaka, Y., Reuveny, E., Shekter, L. R., et al. (1998). Molecular basis for interactions of G protein betagamma subunits with effectors. *Science* 280, 1271–1274. doi: 10.1126/science.280.53 67.1271
- Fourie, C., Li, D., and Montgomery, J. M. (2014). The anchoring protein SAP97 influences the trafficking and localisation of multiple membrane channels. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1838, 589–594. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.03.015
- Gales, C., Rebois, R. V., Hogue, M., Trieu, P., Breit, A., Hebert, T. E., et al. (2005). Real-time monitoring of receptor and G-protein interactions in living cells. *Nat. Methods* 2, 177–184. doi: 10.1038/nmeth743
- Gales, C., Van Durm, J. J., Schaak, S., Pontier, S., Percherancier, Y., Audet, M., et al. (2006). Probing the activation-promoted structural rearrangements in preassembled receptor-G protein complexes. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 13, 778–786. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1134
- Gallantine, E. L., and Meert, T. F. (2005). A comparison of the antinociceptive and adverse effects of the mu-opioid agonist morphine and the delta-opioid agonist SNC80. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 97, 39–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-7843.2005.pto\_97107.x
- Gao, X. F., Zhang, H. L., You, Z. D., Lu, C. L., and He, C. (2007). G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels in dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 28, 185–190. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7254.2007.00478.x
- Gaveriaux-Ruff, C., and Kieffer, B. L. (2011). Delta opioid receptor analgesia: recent contributions from pharmacology and molecular approaches. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 22, 405–414. doi: 10.1097/FBP.0b013e32834a1f2c
- Gendron, L., Lucido, A. L., Mennicken, F., O'Donnell, D., Vincent, J. P., Stroh, T., et al. (2006). Morphine and pain-related stimuli enhance cell surface availability of somatic delta-opioid receptors in rat dorsal root ganglia. J. Neurosci. 26, 953–962. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3598-05.2006
- Gilman, A. G. (1987). G proteins: transducers of receptor-generated signals. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 56, 615–649. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.56.070187.003151
- Glaum, S. R., Miller, R. J., and Hammond, D. L. (1994). Inhibitory actions of delta 1-, delta 2-, and mu-opioid receptor agonists on excitatory transmission in lamina II neurons of adult rat spinal cord. J. Neurosci. 14, 4965–4971.

- Goldenberg, D. L. (2010). Pain/Depression dyad: a key to a better understanding and treatment of functional somatic syndromes. Am. J. Med. 123, 675–682. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2010.01.014
- Gonzalez-Rodriguez, S., Hidalgo, A., Baamonde, A., and Menendez, L. (2010). Involvement of Gi/o proteins and GIRK channels in the potentiation of morphine-induced spinal analgesia in acutely inflamed mice. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 381, 59–71. doi: 10.1007/s00210-009-0471-3
- Gonzalez-Rodriguez, S., Llames, S., Hidalgo, A., Baamonde, A., and Menendez, L. (2012). Potentiation of acute morphine-induced analgesia measured by a thermal test in bone cancer-bearing mice. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* 26, 363–372. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-8206.2010.00921.x
- Gross, W., and Lohse, M. J. (1991). Mechanism of activation of A2 adenosine receptors. II. A restricted collision-coupling model of receptor-effector interaction. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 39, 524–530.
- Gysling, K., and Wang, R. Y. (1983). Morphine-induced activation of A10 dopamine neurons in the rat. *Brain Res.* 277, 119–127. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(83)90913-7
- Han, M. H., Bolanos, C. A., Green, T. A., Olson, V. G., Neve, R. L., Liu, R. J., et al. (2006). Role of cAMP response element-binding protein in the rat locus ceruleus: regulation of neuronal activity and opiate withdrawal behaviors. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 4624–4629. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4701-05.2006
- Hassan, A. H., Ableitner, A., Stein, C., and Herz, A. (1993). Inflammation of the rat paw enhances axonal transport of opioid receptors in the sciatic nerve and increases their density in the inflamed tissue. *Neuroscience* 55, 185–195. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(93)90465-R
- He, C., Yan, X., Zhang, H., Mirshahi, T., Jin, T., Huang, A., et al. (2002). Identification of critical residues controlling G protein-gated inwardly rectifying K(+) channel activity through interactions with the beta gamma subunits of G proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 6088–6096. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M104851200
- He, C., Zhang, H., Mirshahi, T., and Logothetis, D. E. (1999). Identification of a potassium channel site that interacts with G protein betagamma subunits to mediate agonist-induced signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 12517–12524.
- He, L., and Whistler, J. L. (2007). The biochemical analysis of methadone modulation on morphine-induced tolerance and dependence in the rat brain. *Pharmacology* 79, 193–202. doi: 10.1159/000100893
- Hearing, M., Kotecki, L., Marron Fernandez De Velasco, E., Fajardo-Serrano, A., Chung, H. J., Lujan, R., et al. (2013). Repeated cocaine weakens GABA(B)-Girk signaling in layer 5/6 pyramidal neurons in the prelimbic cortex. *Neuron* 80, 159–170. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.019
- Hein, P., Frank, M., Hoffmann, C., Lohse, M. J., and Bunemann, M. (2005). Dynamics of receptor/G protein coupling in living cells. *EMBO J.* 24, 4106–4114. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600870
- Hein, P., Rochais, F., Hoffmann, C., Dorsch, S., Nikolaev, V. O., Engelhardt, S., et al. (2006). Gs activation is time-limiting in initiating receptor-mediated signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 33345–33351. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M606713200
- Heinke, B., Gingl, E., and Sandkuhler, J. (2011). Multiple targets of mu-opioid receptor-mediated presynaptic inhibition at primary afferent Adelta- and Cfibers. J. Neurosci. 31, 1313–1322. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4060-10.2011
- Hibino, H., Inanobe, A., Furutani, K., Murakami, S., Findlay, I., and Kurachi, Y. (2010). Inwardly rectifying potassium channels: their structure, function, and physiological roles. *Physiol. Rev.* 90, 291–366. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00021.2009
- Hibino, H., Inanobe, A., Tanemoto, M., Fujita, A., Doi, K., Kubo, T., et al. (2000). Anchoring proteins confer G protein sensitivity to an inwardrectifier K(+) channel through the GK domain. *EMBO J.* 19, 78–83. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.1.78
- Huang, C. L., Jan, Y. N., and Jan, L. Y. (1997). Binding of the G protein betagamma subunit to multiple regions of G protein-gated inward-rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channels. *FEBS Lett.* 405, 291–298. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(97)00197-X
- Huang, C. L., Slesinger, P. A., Casey, P. J., Jan, Y. N., and Jan, L. Y. (1995). Evidence that direct binding of G beta gamma to the GIRK1 G protein-gated inwardly rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channel is important for channel activation. *Neuron* 15, 1133–1143.
- Ikeda, K., Kobayashi, T., Kumanishi, T., Niki, H., and Yano, R. (2000). Involvement of G-protein-activated inwardly rectifying K (GIRK) channels in opioid-induced analgesia. *Neurosci. Res.* 38, 113–116. doi: 10.1016/S0168-0102(00)00144-9
- Ikeda, K., Kobayashi, T., Kumanishi, T., Yano, R., Sora, I., and Niki, H. (2002). Molecular mechanisms of analgesia induced by opioids and ethanol: is the

GIRK channel one of the keys? Neurosci. Res. 44, 121–131. doi: 10.1016/S0168-0102(02)00094-9

- Inanobe, A., Yoshimoto, Y., Horio, Y., Morishige, K. I., Hibino, H., Matsumoto, S., et al. (1999). Characterization of G-protein-gated K<sup>+</sup> channels composed of Kir3.2 subunits in dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 1006–1017.
- Ivanina, T., Rishal, I., Varon, D., Mullner, C., Frohnwieser-Steinecke, B., Schreibmayer, W., et al. (2003). Mapping the Gbetagamma-binding sites in GIRK1 and GIRK2 subunits of the G protein-activated K<sup>+</sup> channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 29174–29183. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M304518200
- Ivanina, T., Varon, D., Peleg, S., Rishal, I., Porozov, Y., Dessauer, C. W., et al. (2004). Galphai1 and Galphai3 differentially interact with, and regulate, the G protein-activated K<sup>+</sup> channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 17260–17268. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M313425200
- Javed, R. R., Dewey, W. L., Smith, P. A., and Smith, F. L. (2004). PKC and PKA inhibitors reverse tolerance to morphine-induced hypothermia and supraspinal analgesia in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 492, 149–157. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2004.03.061
- Jensen, J. B., Lyssand, J. S., Hague, C., and Hille, B. (2009). Fluorescence changes reveal kinetic steps of muscarinic receptor-mediated modulation of phosphoinositides and Kv7.2/7.3 K<sup>+</sup> channels. J. Gen. Physiol. 133, 347–359. doi: 10.1085/jgp.200810075
- Johnson, S. W., and North, R. A. (1992). Opioids excite dopamine neurons by hyperpolarization of local interneurons. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 483–488.
- Jordan, B. A., and Devi, L. A. (1999). G-protein-coupled receptor heterodimerization modulates receptor function. *Nature* 399, 697–700.
- Jutkiewicz, E. M., Baladi, M. G., Folk, J. E., Rice, K. C., and Woods, J. H. (2006). The convulsive and electroencephalographic changes produced by nonpeptidic delta-opioid agonists in rats: comparison with pentylenetetrazol. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 317, 1337–1348. doi: 10.1124/jpet.105.095810
- Jutkiewicz, E. M., Rice, K. C., Traynor, J. R., and Woods, J. H. (2005). Separation of the convulsions and antidepressant-like effects produced by the deltaopioid agonist SNC80 in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 182, 588–596. doi: 10.1007/s00213-005-0138-9
- Kalso, E., Edwards, J. E., Moore, R. A., and McQuay, H. J. (2004). Opioids in chronic non-cancer pain: systematic review of efficacy and safety. *Pain* 112, 372–380. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2004.09.019
- Kawano, T., Zhao, P., Floreani, C. V., Nakajima, Y., Kozasa, T., and Nakajima, S. (2007). Interaction of Galphaq and Kir3, G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 71, 1179–1184. doi: 10.1124/mol.106.032508
- Kenakin, T. (2008). Functional selectivity in GPCR modulator screening. Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen. 11, 337–343. doi: 10.2174/1386207087 84534824
- Kenakin, T., and Miller, L. J. (2010). Seven transmembrane receptors as shapeshifting proteins: the impact of allosteric modulation and functional selectivity on new drug discovery. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 62, 265–304. doi: 10.1124/pr.108.000992
- Kenakin, T., and Onaran, O. (2002). The ligand paradox between affinity and efficacy: can you be there and not make a difference? *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 275–280. doi: 10.1016/S0165-6147(02)02036-9
- Kieffer, B. L., and Gaveriaux-Ruff, C. (2002). Exploring the opioid system by gene knockout. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 66, 285–306. doi: 10.1016/S0301-0082(02) 00008-4
- Koyrakh, L., Lujan, R., Colon, J., Karschin, C., Kurachi, Y., Karschin, A., et al. (2005). Molecular and cellular diversity of neuronal G-protein-gated potassium channels. J. Neurosci. 25, 11468–11478. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3484-05.2005
- Krapivinsky, G., Kennedy, M. E., Nemec, J., Medina, I., Krapivinsky, L., and Clapham, D. E. (1998). Gbeta binding to GIRK4 subunit is critical for G protein-gated K<sup>+</sup> channel activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 16946–16952. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.27.16946
- Kunkel, M. T., and Peralta, E. G. (1995). Identification of domains conferring G protein regulation on inward rectifier potassium channels. *Cell* 83, 443–449. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90122-1
- Laurent, V., Leung, B., Maidment, N., and Balleine, B. W. (2012). mu- and delta-opioid-related processes in the accumbens core and shell differentially mediate the influence of reward-guided and stimulus-guided decisions on choice. J. Neurosci. 32, 1875–1883. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4688-11.2012
- Lavine, N., Ethier, N., Oak, J. N., Pei, L., Liu, F., Trieu, P., et al. (2002). G protein-coupled receptors form stable complexes with inwardly rectifying

potassium channels and adenylyl cyclase. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 46010–46019. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M205035200

- Leach, K., Sexton, P. M., and Christopoulos, A. (2007). Allosteric GPCR modulators: taking advantage of permissive receptor pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 28, 382–389. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2007.06.004
- Le Bourdonnec, B., Windh, R. T., Ajello, C. W., Leister, L. K., Gu, M., Chu, G. H., et al. (2008). Potent, orally bioavailable delta opioid receptor agonists for the treatment of pain: discovery of N,N-diethyl-4-(5-hydroxyspiro[chromene-2,4'-piperidine]-4-yl)benzamide (ADL5859). J. Med. Chem. 51, 5893–5896. doi: 10.1021/jm8008986
- Le Merrer, J., Becker, J. A., Befort, K., and Kieffer, B. L. (2009). Reward processing by the opioid system in the brain. *Physiol. Rev.* 89, 1379–1412. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00005.2009
- Lemos, J. C., Roth, C. A., Messinger, D. I., Gill, H. K., Phillips, P. E., and Chavkin, C. (2012). Repeated stress dysregulates kappa-opioid receptor signaling in the dorsal raphe through a p38alpha MAPK-dependent mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 12325–12336. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2053-12.2012
- Li, J. L., Ding, Y. Q., Li, Y. Q., Li, J. S., Nomura, S., Kaneko, T., et al. (1998). Immunocytochemical localization of mu-opioid receptor in primary afferent neurons containing substance P or calcitonin gene-related peptide. A light and electron microscope study in the rat. *Brain Res.* 794, 347–352. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(98)00332-1
- Liao, Y. J., Jan, Y. N., and Jan, L. Y. (1996). Heteromultimerization of G-proteingated inwardly rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channel proteins GIRK1 and GIRK2 and their altered expression in weaver brain. J. Neurosci. 16, 7137–7150.
- Lober, R. M., Pereira, M. A., and Lambert, N. A. (2006). Rapid activation of inwardly rectifying potassium channels by immobile G-protein-coupled receptors. J. Neurosci. 26, 12602–12608. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4020-06.2006
- Logothetis, D. E., Kurachi, Y., Galper, J., Neer, E. J., and Clapham, D. E. (1987). The beta gamma subunits of GTP-binding proteins activate the muscarinic K<sup>+</sup> channel in heart. *Nature* 325, 321–326. doi: 10.1038/325321a0
- Lohse, M. J., Nuber, S., and Hoffmann, C. (2012). Fluorescence/bioluminescence resonance energy transfer techniques to study G-protein-coupled receptor activation and signaling. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 64, 299–336. doi: 10.1124/pr.110.004309
- Lotsch, J., Pruss, H., Veh, R. W., and Doehring, A. (2010). A KCNJ6 (Kir3.2, GIRK2) gene polymorphism modulates opioid effects on analgesia and addiction but not on pupil size. *Pharmacogenet. Genomics* 20, 291–297. doi: 10.1097/FPC.0b013e3283386bda
- Lujan, R., Marron Fernandez De Velasco, E., Aguado, C., and Wickman, K. (2014). New insights into the therapeutic potential of Girk channels. *Trends Neurosci.* 37, 20–29. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.006
- Luscher, C., Jan, L. Y., Stoffel, M., Malenka, R. C., and Nicoll, R. A. (1997). G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channels (GIRKs) mediate postsynaptic but not presynaptic transmitter actions in hippocampal neurons. *Neuron* 19, 687–695. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80381-5
- Luscher, C., and Slesinger, P. A. (2010). Emerging roles for G protein-gated inwardly rectifying potassium (GIRK) channels in health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 301–315. doi: 10.1038/nrn2834
- Luscher, C., and Ungless, M. A. (2006). The mechanistic classification of addictive drugs. *PLoS Med.* 3:e437. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030437
- Marker, C. L., Cintora, S. C., Roman, M. I., Stoffel, M., and Wickman, K. (2002). Hyperalgesia and blunted morphine analgesia in G protein-gated potassium channel subunit knockout mice. *Neuroreport* 13, 2509–2513. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200212200-00026
- Marker, C. L., Lujan, R., Colon, J., and Wickman, K. (2006). Distinct populations of spinal cord lamina II interneurons expressing G-protein-gated potassium channels. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 12251–12259. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3693-06.2006
- Marker, C. L., Lujan, R., Loh, H. H., and Wickman, K. (2005). Spinal G-proteingated potassium channels contribute in a dose-dependent manner to the analgesic effect of mu- and delta- but not kappa-opioids. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 3551–3559. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4899-04.2005
- Marker, C. L., Stoffel, M., and Wickman, K. (2004). Spinal G-protein-gated K<sup>+</sup> channels formed by GIRK1 and GIRK2 subunits modulate thermal nociception and contribute to morphine analgesia. J. Neurosci. 24, 2806–2812. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5251-03.2004
- Mase, Y., Yokogawa, M., Osawa, M., and Shimada, I. (2012). Structural basis for modulation of gating property of G protein-gated inwardly rectifying potassium

ion channel (GIRK) by i/o-family G protein alpha subunit (Galphai/o). J. Biol. Chem. 287, 19537–19549. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.353888

- McAllister, S. D., Griffin, G., Satin, L. S., and Abood, M. E. (1999). Cannabinoid receptors can activate and inhibit G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels in a xenopus oocyte expression system. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 291, 618–626.
- McLaughlin, J. P., Myers, L. C., Zarek, P. E., Caron, M. G., Lefkowitz, R. J., Czyzyk, T. A., et al. (2004). Prolonged kappa opioid receptor phosphorylation mediated by G-protein receptor kinase underlies sustained analgesic tolerance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 1810–1818. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M305796200
- Mitrovic, I., Margeta-Mitrovic, M., Bader, S., Stoffel, M., Jan, L. Y., and Basbaum, A. I. (2003). Contribution of GIRK2-mediated postsynaptic signaling to opiate and alpha 2-adrenergic analgesia and analgesic sex differences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 271–276. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0136822100
- Mogil, J. S. (2009). Are we getting anywhere in human pain genetics? *Pain* 146, 231–232. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2009.07.023
- Moore, S. D., Madamba, S. G., Schweitzer, P., and Siggins, G. R. (1994). Voltagedependent effects of opioid peptides on hippocampal CA3 pyramidal neurons *in vitro. J. Neurosci.* 14, 809–820.
- Morgan, M. M., and Christie, M. J. (2011). Analysis of opioid efficacy, tolerance, addiction and dependence from cell culture to human. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 164, 1322–1334. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01335.x
- Nassirpour, R., Bahima, L., Lalive, A. L., Luscher, C., Lujan, R., and Slesinger, P. A. (2010). Morphine- and CaMKII-dependent enhancement of GIRK channel signaling in hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 13419–13430. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2966-10.2010
- Navarro, B., Kennedy, M. E., Velimirovic, B., Bhat, D., Peterson, A. S., and Clapham, D. E. (1996). Nonselective and G betagamma-insensitive weaver K<sup>+</sup> channels. *Science* 272, 1950–1953. doi: 10.1126/science.272.5270.1950
- Neer, E. J. (1995). Heterotrimeric G proteins: organizers of transmembrane signals. *Cell* 80, 249–257. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90407-7
- Neubig, R. R. (1994). Membrane organization in G-protein mechanisms. *FASEB J.* 8, 939–946.
- Nishizawa, D., Nagashima, M., Katoh, R., Satoh, Y., Tagami, M., Kasai, S., et al. (2009). Association between KCNJ6 (GIRK2) gene polymorphisms and postoperative analgesic requirements after major abdominal surgery. *PLoS ONE* 4:e7060. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007060
- Nobles, M., Benians, A., and Tinker, A. (2005). Heterotrimeric G proteins precouple with G protein-coupled receptors in living cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 18706–18711. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0504778102
- Nockemann, D., Rouault, M., Labuz, D., Hublitz, P., McKnelly, K., Reis, F. C., et al. (2013). The K(+) channel GIRK2 is both necessary and sufficient for peripheral opioid-mediated analgesia. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 5, 1263–1277. doi: 10.1002/emmm.201201980
- Obara, I., Parkitna, J. R., Korostynski, M., Makuch, W., Kaminska, D., Przewłocka, B., et al. (2009). Local peripheral opioid effects and expression of opioid genes in the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia in neuropathic and inflammatory pain. *Pain* 141, 283–291. doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2008.12.006
- Orly, J., and Schramm, M. (1976). Coupling of catecholamine receptor from one cell with adenylate cyclase from another cell by cell fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 73, 4410–4414. doi: 10.1073/pnas.73.12.4410
- Padgett, C. L., Lalive, A. L., Tan, K. R., Terunuma, M., Munoz, M. B., Pangalos, M. N., et al. (2012). Methamphetamine-evoked depression of GABA(B) receptor signaling in GABA neurons of the VTA. *Neuron* 73, 978–989. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.031
- Patil, N., Cox, D. R., Bhat, D., Faham, M., Myers, R. M., and Peterson, A. S. (1995). A potassium channel mutation in weaver mice implicates membrane excitability in granule cell differentiation. *Nat. Genet.* 11, 126–129. doi: 10.1038/ ng1095-126
- Pert, C. B., and Snyder, S. H. (1973). Opiate receptor: demonstration in nervous tissue. *Science* 179, 1011–1014. doi: 10.1126/science.179.4077.1011
- Pradhan, A. A., Befort, K., Nozaki, C., Gaveriaux-Ruff, C., and Kieffer, B. L. (2011). The delta opioid receptor: an evolving target for the treatment of brain disorders. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 32, 581–590. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2011.06.008
- Pradhan, A. A., Walwyn, W., Nozaki, C., Filliol, D., Erbs, E., Matifas, A., et al. (2010). Ligand-directed trafficking of the delta-opioid receptor *in vivo*: two paths toward analgesic tolerance. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 16459–16468. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3748-10.2010

- Pravetoni, M., and Wickman, K. (2008). Behavioral characterization of mice lacking GIRK/Kir3 channel subunits. *Genes Brain Behav.* 7, 523–531. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2008.00388.x
- Raehal, K. M., Walker, J. K., and Bohn, L. M. (2005). Morphine side effects in beta-arrestin 2 knockout mice. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 314, 1195–1201. doi: 10.1124/jpet.105.087254
- Rasmussen, S. G., Devree, B. T., Zou, Y., Kruse, A. C., Chung, K. Y., Kobilka, T. S., et al. (2011). Crystal structure of the beta2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein complex. *Nature* 477, 549–555. doi: 10.1038/nature10361
- Raveh, A., Riven, I., and Reuveny, E. (2009). Elucidation of the gating of the GIRK channel using a spectroscopic approach. J. Physiol. 587, 5331–5335. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2009.180158
- Rebois, R. V., Robitaille, M., Gales, C., Dupre, D. J., Baragli, A., Trieu, P., et al. (2006). Heterotrimeric G proteins form stable complexes with adenylyl cyclase and Kir3.1 channels in living cells. J. Cell Sci. 119, 2807–2818. doi: 10.1242/jcs.03021
- Richard-Lalonde, M., Nagi, K., Audet, N., Sleno, R., Amraei, M., Hogue, M., et al. (2013). Conformational dynamics of Kir3.1/Kir3.2 channel activation via deltaopioid receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 83, 416–428. doi: 10.1124/mol.112.081950
- Riven, I., Iwanir, S., and Reuveny, E. (2006). GIRK channel activation involves a local rearrangement of a preformed G protein channel complex. *Neuron* 51, 561–573. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.08.017
- Robitaille, M., Ramakrishnan, N., Baragli, A., and Hebert, T. E. (2009). Intracellular trafficking and assembly of specific Kir3 channel/G protein complexes. *Cell. Signal.* 21, 488–501. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2008.11.011
- Romero, G., Von Zastrow, M., and Friedman, P. A. (2011). Role of PDZ proteins in regulating trafficking, signaling, and function of GPCRs: means, motif, and opportunity. *Adv. Pharmacol.* 62, 279–314. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-385952-5.00003-8
- Rusinova, R., Mirshahi, T., and Logothetis, D. E. (2007). Specificity of Gbetagamma signaling to Kir3 channels depends on the helical domain of pertussis toxin-sensitive Galpha subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 34019–34030. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M704928200
- Saenz Del Burgo, L., Cortes, R., Mengod, G., Zarate, J., Echevarria, E., and Salles, J. (2008). Distribution and neurochemical characterization of neurons expressing GIRK channels in the rat brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 510, 581–606. doi: 10.1002/cne.21810
- Saitoh, A., Sugiyama, A., Nemoto, T., Fujii, H., Wada, K., Oka, J., et al. (2011). The novel delta opioid receptor agonist KNT-127 produces antidepressant-like and antinociceptive effects in mice without producing convulsions. *Behav. Brain Res.* 223, 271–279. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.04.041
- Scherrer, G., Imamachi, N., Cao, Y. Q., Contet, C., Mennicken, F., O'Donnell, D., et al. (2009). Dissociation of the opioid receptor mechanisms that control mechanical and heat pain. *Cell* 137, 1148–1159. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009. 04.019
- Schreibmayer, W., Dessauer, C. W., Vorobiov, D., Gilman, A. G., Lester, H. A., Davidson, N., et al. (1996). Inhibition of an inwardly rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channel by G-protein alpha-subunits. *Nature* 380, 624–627. doi: 10.1038/380624a0
- Signorini, S., Liao, Y. J., Duncan, S. A., Jan, L. Y., and Stoffel, M. (1997). Normal cerebellar development but susceptibility to seizures in mice lacking G proteincoupled, inwardly rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channel GIRK2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 923–927. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.3.923
- Simon, E. J., Hiller, J. M., and Edelman, I. (1973). Stereospecific binding of the potent narcotic analgesic (3H) Etorphine to rat-brain homogenate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 70, 1947–1949. doi: 10.1073/pnas.70.7.1947
- Stein, C., Millan, M. J., Shippenberg, T. S., Peter, K., and Herz, A. (1989). Peripheral opioid receptors mediating antinociception in inflammation. Evidence for involvement of mu, delta and kappa receptors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 248, 1269–1275.
- Tavani, A., Petrillo, P., La Regina, A., and Sbacchi, M. (1990). Role of peripheral mu, delta and kappa opioid receptors in opioid-induced inhibition of gastrointestinal transit in rats. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 254, 91–97.
- Tian, W. N., Duzic, E., Lanier, S. M., and Deth, R. C. (1994). Determinants of alpha 2-adrenergic receptor activation of G proteins: evidence for a precoupled receptor/G protein state. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 45, 524–531.
- Tolkovsky, A. M., and Levitzki, A. (1978). Mode of coupling between the betaadrenergic receptor and adenylate cyclase in turkey erythrocytes. *Biochemistry* 17, 3795. doi: 10.1021/bi00611a020

- Urban, J. D., Clarke, W. P., Von Zastrow, M., Nichols, D. E., Kobilka, B., Weinstein, H., et al. (2007). Functional selectivity and classical concepts of quantitative pharmacology. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 320, 1–13. doi: 10.1124/jpet.106.104463
- Vadivelu, N., Mitra, S., and Hines, R. L. (2011). Peripheral opioid receptor agonists for analgesia: a comprehensive review. J. Opioid Manag. 7, 55–68. doi: 10.5055/jom.2011.0049
- Van't Veer, A., and Carlezon, W. A. Jr. (2013). Role of kappa-opioid receptors in stress and anxiety-related behavior. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 229, 435–452. doi: 10.1007/s00213-013-3195-5
- Vaughan, C. W., and Christie, M. J. (1997). Presynaptic inhibitory action of opioids on synaptic transmission in the rat periaqueductal grey *in vitro*. J. Physiol. 498(Pt 2), 463–472.
- Vaughan, C. W., Ingram, S. L., Connor, M. A., and Christie, M. J. (1997). How opioids inhibit GABA-mediated neurotransmission. *Nature* 390, 611–614. doi: 10.1038/37610
- Vilardaga, J. P., Bunemann, M., Krasel, C., Castro, M., and Lohse, M. J. (2003). Measurement of the millisecond activation switch of G protein-coupled receptors in living cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 21, 807–812. doi: 10.1038/nbt838
- Waldhoer, M., Fong, J., Jones, R. M., Lunzer, M. M., Sharma, S. K., Kostenis, E., et al. (2005). A heterodimer-selective agonist shows *in vivo* relevance of G protein-coupled receptor dimers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 9050–9055. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0501112102
- Wang, H. B., Zhao, B., Zhong, Y. Q., Li, K. C., Li, Z. Y., Wang, Q., et al. (2010). Coexpression of delta- and mu-opioid receptors in nociceptive sensory neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 13117–13122. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1008382107
- Wei, Z. Y., Karim, F., and Roerig, S. C. (1996). Spinal morphine/clonidine antinociceptive synergism: involvement of G proteins and N-type voltage-dependent calcium channels. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 278, 1392–1407.
- Whorton, M. R., and MacKinnon, R. (2011). Crystal structure of the mammalian GIRK2 K<sup>+</sup> channel and gating regulation by G proteins, PIP2, and sodium. *Cell* 147, 199–208. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.046
- Whorton, M. R., and MacKinnon, R. (2013). X-ray structure of the mammalian GIRK2-betagamma G-protein complex. *Nature* 498, 190–197. doi: 10.1038/nature12241
- Wickman, K., Karschin, C., Karschin, A., Picciotto, M. R., and Clapham, D. E. (2000). Brain localization and behavioral impact of the G-protein-gated K<sup>+</sup> channel subunit GIRK4. J. Neurosci. 20, 5608–5615.
- Wickman, K., Nemec, J., Gendler, S. J., and Clapham, D. E. (1998). Abnormal heart rate regulation in GIRK4 knockout mice. *Neuron* 20, 103–114. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80438-9
- Wickman, K. D., Iniguez-Lluhl, J. A., Davenport, P. A., Taussig, R., Krapivinsky, G. B., Linder, M. E., et al. (1994). Recombinant G-protein beta gamma-subunits

activate the muscarinic-gated atrial potassium channel. *Nature* 368, 255–257. doi: 10.1038/368255a0

- Wimpey, T. L., and Chavkin, C. (1991). Opioids activate both an inward rectifier and a novel voltage-gated potassium conductance in the hippocampal formation. *Neuron* 6, 281–289. doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(91) 90363-5
- Wreggett, K. A., and De Lean, A. (1984). The ternary complex model. Its properties and application to ligand interactions with the D2-dopamine receptor of the anterior pituitary gland. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 26, 214–227.
- Wrigley, P. J., Jeong, H. J., and Vaughan, C. W. (2010). Dissociation of mu- and delta-opioid inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in superficial dorsal horn. *Mol. Pain* 6:71. doi: 10.1186/1744-8069-6-71
- Wu, Z. Z., Chen, S. R., and Pan, H. L. (2008). Distinct inhibition of voltageactivated Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels by delta-opioid agonists in dorsal root ganglion neurons devoid of functional T-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents. *Neuroscience* 153, 1256–1267. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.03.031
- Xu, M., Petraschka, M., McLaughlin, J. P., Westenbroek, R. E., Caron, M. G., Lefkowitz, R. J., et al. (2004). Neuropathic pain activates the endogenous kappa opioid system in mouse spinal cord and induces opioid receptor tolerance. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 4576–4584. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5552-03.2004
- Yang, H. Y., Wu, Z. Y., Wood, M., Whiteman, M., and Bian, J. S. (2014). Hydrogen sulfide attenuates opioid dependence by suppression of adenylate cyclase/cAMP pathway. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 20, 31–41. doi: 10.1089/ars.2012.5119
- Yokogawa, M., Osawa, M., Takeuchi, K., Mase, Y., and Shimada, I. (2011). NMR analyses of the Gbetagamma binding and conformational rearrangements of the cytoplasmic pore of G protein-activated inwardly rectifying potassium channel 1 (GIRK1). J. Biol. Chem. 286, 2215–2223. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.160754

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 16 March 2014; accepted: 18 June 2014; published online: 08 July 2014. Citation: Nagi K and Pineyro G (2014) Kir3 channel signaling complexes: focus on opioid receptor signaling. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 8:186. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2014.00186 This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2014 Nagi and Pineyro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.