



# **Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor Modulates Cerebellar Purkinje Cells Simple Spike Activity** *in Vivo* **in Mice**

Hong-Wei Wang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Jing-Tong Zhao<sup>2,3†</sup>, Bing-Xue Li<sup>2,4†</sup>, Shan-Shan Su<sup>2,3</sup>, Yan-Hua Bing<sup>2,4</sup>, Chun-Ping Chu<sup>2</sup>, Wei-Ming Wang<sup>5</sup>, Yu-Zi Li<sup>3\*</sup> and De-Lai Qiu<sup>2,4,6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Affiliated Zhongshan Hospital of Dalian University, Dalian, China, <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Cellular Function and Pharmacology of Jilin Province, Yanbian University, Yanji, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Affiliated Hospital of Yanbian University, Yanji, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, College of Medicine, Yanbian University, Yanji, China, <sup>5</sup>Department of Osteology, Affiliated Zhongshan Hospital of Dalian University, Dalian, China, <sup>6</sup>Key Laboratory of Natural Resource of the Changbai Mountain and Functional Molecular of the Ministry of Education, Yanbian University, Yanji, China

Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) is a major neuromodulator that modulates cerebellar neuronal activity via CRF receptors during stress responses. In the cerebellar cortex, CRF dose-dependently increases the simple spike (SS) firing rate of Purkinje cells (PCs), while the synaptic mechanisms of this are still unclear. We here investigated the effect of CRF on the spontaneous SS activity of cerebellar PCs in urethane-anesthetized mice by in vivo electrophysiological recording and pharmacological methods. Cell-attached recordings from PCs showed that micro-application of CRF in cerebellar cortical molecular layer induced a dose-dependent increase in SS firing rate in the absence of GABAA receptor activity. The CRF-induced increase in SS firing rate was completely blocked by a nonselective CRF receptor antagonist,  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14). Nevertheless, application of either a selective CRF-R1 antagonist, BMS-763534 (BMS, 200 nM) or a selective CRF-R2 antagonist, antisauvagine-30 (200 nM) significantly attenuated, but failed to abolished the CRF-induced increase in PCs SS firing rate. In vivo whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from PCs showed that molecular layer application of CRF significantly increased the frequency, but not amplitude, of miniature postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs). The CRF-induced increase in the frequency of mEPSCs was abolished by a CRF-R2 antagonist, as well as protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitors. These results suggested that CRF acted on presynaptic CRF-R2 of cerebellar PCs resulting in an increase of glutamate release through PKA signaling pathway, which contributed to modulation of the cerebellar PCs outputs in Vivo in mice.

Keywords: cerebellar Purkinje cell, *in vivo* whole-cell patch-clamp recording, complex spike (CS), corticotropinreleasing factor (CRF), miniature postsynaptic currents, simple-spike (SS), protein kinase A (PKA)

### INTRODUCTION

Corticotropin releasing factor (CRF) is a 41-amino acid peptide originally isolated from sheep brain (Vale et al., 1981). CRF is synthesized and secreted in many regions of the central nervous system, and is distributed in the hypothalamus, cerebral cortex, amygdala and spinal cord (Luo et al., 1994). In the mammalian brain, CRF is released following stress and subsequently stimulates the release of adrenocorticotropic hormone from the anterior pituitary, which plays a critical role in the coordination of endocrine and behavioral responses to stress (Vale et al., 1981; Antoni, 1986; Luo et al., 1994).

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Marco Martina, Northwestern University, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Francesca Prestori, University of Pavia, Italy Diasynou Fioravante, University of California, Davis, United States

\*Correspondence:

Yu-Zi Li liyuzi@163.com De-Lai Qiu dlqiu@ybu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

> Received: 05 February 2018 Accepted: 11 June 2018 Published: 05 July 2018

#### Citation:

Wang H-W, Zhao J-T, Li B-X, Su S-S, Bing Y-H, Chu C-P, Wang W-M, Li Y-Z and Qiu D-L (2018) Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor Modulates Cerebellar Purkinje Cells Simple Spike Activity in Vico in Mico. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 12:184. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2018.00184

1

In mammalian cerebellar cortex, climbing fibers produce and release CRF onto Purkinje cells (PCs; Palkovits et al., 1987). The release of CRF from climbing fibers can be reliably induced by direct electrical or chemical stimulation of the inferior olive, as well as by stimulation of specific sensory afferents (Barmack and Young, 1990; Tian and Bishop, 2003). CRF binds to CRF receptors, consequently modulating spontaneous and glutamate-induced activity in cerebellar PCs (Fox and Gruol, 1993). Two types of CRF receptors, CRF-R1 and CRF-R2, have been identified as G-protein-coupled receptors (Chen et al., 1993). CRF binds to CRF-R1 with high affinity, but has low affinity for CRF-R2 (Dautzenberg and Hauger, 2002). Immunohistochemical studies have demonstrated that both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2 are expressed in the adult rodent cerebellum (Bishop, 1990; Bishop et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004). CRF-R1 immunostaining is present throughout all lobules of the cerebellar cortex, including the primary dendrites and somas of PCs, molecular layer interneurons (MLIs), Golgi cells, Bergmann glial cells and granule cells (Tian et al., 2008; Tao et al., 2009). In contrast, CRF-R2 immunoreactivity has been detected in the molecular layer during the postnatal development of the mouse cerebellum, suggesting that CRF-R2 be expressed on parallel fibers (Lee et al., 2004). Furthermore, the punctate labeling of CRF-R2 has been confirmed in the molecular layer was localized to parallel fibers and their terminals (Tian et al., 2006). Moreover, both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2 were expressed in climbing fibers from post-natal day 3 to the adult rat cerebellum, and CRF-R1 immunoreactivity was concentrated in apical regions of PC somas and later in primary dendrites exhibiting a diffuse cytoplasmic appearance (Swinny et al., 2003). Physiological studies showed that pharmacological activation of CRF-R2 increased the spontaneous firing rate of PCs in cerebellar slices (Bishop et al., 2006; Tao et al., 2009). CRF modulates not only neuronal excitability and membrane properties, but also synaptic transmission in other brain nuclei and cell types (Kirby et al., 2008; Zhao-Shea et al., 2015). CRF dose-dependently modulates excitatory synaptic transmission through CRF-R1 in the noradrenergic nucleus locus coeruleus in slice preparations (Prouty et al., 2017). Recently, it has been reported that CRF increases PC firing rate by modulating sodium and potassium, and hyperpolarizing activated cationic current currents in cerebellar slices (Libster et al., 2015). Up to now, CRF affects neuronal excitability by modulating neuronal membrane properties and synaptic transmission have been well studied, but the synaptic mechanisms of this remain unclear. Therefore, we here studied the effect of CRF on the spontaneous simple-spike (SS) activity of cerebellar PC in urethane-anesthetized mice by in vivo electrophysiological recording techniques and pharmacological methods.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Anesthesia and Surgical Procedures**

The anesthesia and surgical procedures have been described previously (Chu et al., 2011). In brief, the experimental

procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Yanbian University and were in accordance with the animal welfare guidelines of the U.S. National Institutes of Health. The permit number is SYXK (Ji) 2011-006. HA/ICR mice were bought from the experiment center of Jilin University and housed under a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle with free access to food and water. Either male (n = 28) or female (n = 23)adult (6-8-week-old) HA/ICR mice were anesthetized with urethane (1.3 g/kg body weight i.p.). A watertight chamber was created and a 1-1.5 mm craniotomy was drilled to expose the cerebellar surface corresponding to Vermis VI-VII. The brain surface was constantly superfused with oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF: 125 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and 10 mM D-glucose) with a peristaltic pump (Gilson Minipulse 3; Villiers, Le Bel, France) at 0.4 ml/min. Rectal temperature was monitored and maintained at 37.0  $\pm$  0.2°C using body temperature equipment.

# Electrophysiological Recording and Drug Application

In vivo patch-clamp or cell-attached recordings from PCs were performed with an Axopatch-200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA, USA). The signal of PC spontaneous activity was acquired through a Digidata 1440 series analog-to-digital interface on a personal computer using Clampex 10.3 software. Patch pipettes were made with a puller (PB-10; Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) from thick-wall borosilicate glass (GD-1.5; Narishige). Recording electrodes (4-6 M $\Omega$ ) contained a solution of the following composition (in mM): potassium gluconate 120, HEPES 10, EGTA 1, KCl 5, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 3.5, NaCl 4, biocytin 8, Na2ATP 4 and Na2GTP 0.2 (pH 7.3 with KOH, osmolarity adjusted to 300 mOsm). The electrophysiological recordings from PCs were performed at depths 250–300  $\mu m$  under pia mater membrane, and identified by regular spontaneous SS accompanied with irregular complex spike (CS; Chu et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2015). During whole-cell recoding configuration, the series resistances were in a range of 10-40 M $\Omega$ , compensated by 80%. Membrane currents were filtered at 2 kHz, digitized at 20 kHz. For recording spontaneous SS activity, gabazine (20 µM) was routinely included in external recording solutions to block GABAA receptor-mediated inhibitory inputs from MLIs. Recordings of miniature postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) were performed in the presence of a mixture of gabazine (20  $\mu$ M) and tetrodotoxin (TTX; 1 μM).

The reagents included human/rat CRF (Peptide Institute Inc., Japan); α-helical CRF-(9-14), BMS-763534, 5-Chloro-1-[(1S)-1-cyclopropyl-2-methoxyethyl]-3-[[6-(difluoromethoxy)-2,5dimethyl-3-pyridinyl]amino]-2(1H)-pyrazinone; antisauvagine-GABAzine (SR95531), hydrobromide (6-imino-30, 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1 (6H)-pyridazinebutanoic acid hydrobromide), H89, protein kinase A (PKA) inhibitor; and NBQX, (2, 3-dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f] quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Shanghai, China). KT5720 and chelerythrine chloride



**FIGURE 1** | Cerebellar surface application of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) increased in spontaneous simple-spike (SS) firing rate of cerebellar Purkinje cells (PCs) in the absence of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors activity. (A) Left, representative cell-attached recording traces showing the spontaneous SS firing activity of a PC in treatments of control, CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). Right, histograms show the instantaneous frequency of SS firing shown in the Left. Bin = 0.5 s (B) Time course of CRF-induced changes in the SS firing rate of the PC. (C) Pooled data (n = 10 cells) showing the mean frequency of SS firing rate of CRF (recovery). (D) The concentration-response curve shows the CRF-induced increases in SS firing rate of cerebellar PCs. The EC<sub>50</sub> value obtained from the curve was 53.8 nM. The number of the recorded PCs tested for each concentration indicated near the bars. Arrows indicate complex spikes (CSs). \*P < 0.05 vs. control.

were purchased from Tocris (Bristol, UK). CRF was dissolved in ACSF and applied onto the molecular layer above the recorded PCs at 0.1  $\mu$ l/s for 100 s by a micro pump (KDS-210, KD Scientific, Holliston, MA, USA). The stock solutions of BMS-763534 and KT6720 were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The other drugs were finally dissolved in ACSF, and applied directly onto the cerebellar surface by a peristaltic pump (Gilson Minipulse 3; Villiers, Le Bel, France) at 0.5 ml/min. After a stable cell-attached or whole-cell recording was configured, the baseline was recorded for 100 s then perfusion of chemicals. For completely inhibiting PKA and PKC, H-89, KT5720 and chelerythrine chloride were perfused for 20 min before the next experiments.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Electrophysiological data were analyzed with Clampfit 10.4 (Molecular Devices, Foster City, CA, USA). Spontaneous SS activity was calculated from a train of interspike intervals recorded for 50 sof baseline, in the presence of drugs (75 s from beginning of CRF application) and Recovery (10 min), respectively. Some data were normalized with baseline and used for further analyses. After the spontaneous CSs were detected, the pauses of SS were calculated between the last spikelet and the first spontaneous SS firing. The frequency and amplitude of mEPSCs were analyzed using MiniAnalysis software (Version 6.0.3; Synaptosoft, Decatur, GA, USA). The original traces of mEPSCs were filtered digitally at 1 kHz. Only synaptic events showing a clearly defined baseline and a peak were used for amplitude analysis. During analysis, the threshold for detection of mEPSCs was set at 3 pA and the period to search an mEPSC was set at 30 ms. All the parameters were maintained constant for an individual recorded neuron in treatments of ACSF, drugs and recovery. Values are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM One-way and repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test or Two-way ANOVA (SPSS software; Chicago, IL, USA) was used to determine the level of statistical significance between groups of data. P-values below 0.05 were considered to indicate a statistically significant difference between experimental groups.

## RESULTS

## Effect of CRF on SS Firing Rate of PCs

Under cell-attached recording conditions, a total of 82 cells were identified as cerebellar PCs by exhibiting regular SS and irregular CS activity (**Figure 1A**, arrows). Molecular layer micro-application of CRF (100 nM) had a small effect on the spontaneous SS firing rate. The mean SS firing rate was  $28.7 \pm 3.1$  Hz, which was not significant compared with control conditions (aCSF:  $27.4 \pm 3.2$  Hz; P = 0.52; n = 8 cells in 6 mice; data not shown).

### Blockade of GABA<sub>A</sub> Receptors Activity, CRF Increases PC SS Firing Rate

Since MLIs modulated the spontaneous activity of PCs via GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, we examined the effect of CRF on SS activity in the presence of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist, gabazine (SR95531; 20  $\mu$ M). Perfusion of gabazine induced a small effect on the spontaneous SS firing rate (**Figure 1A**). The mean SS firing rate was 25.5  $\pm$  1.8 Hz, which was not significant different than control conditions (aCSF: 25.1  $\pm$  2.1 Hz; *P* = 0.37; *n* = 10 cells



in 7 mice; **Figure 1C**). In the presence of gabazine (20  $\mu$ M; control), CRF (100 nM) induced increase in the instantaneous frequency of SS firing (**Figure 1A**). The mean SS firing rate was 30.7  $\pm$  2.4 Hz, which was significantly higher than under control conditions 25.5  $\pm$  1.8 Hz; P = 0.031; n = 10 cells in 7 mice; **Figures 1B,C**). The CRF-induced increase in SS

firing rate was concentration-dependent (**Figure 1D**), with a 50% effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) of 53.8 nM. The maximum concentration that increased the spontaneous SS firing rate was 1  $\mu$ M (24.6  $\pm$  2.8% of baseline; *P* = 0.003 vs. control; *n* = 7 cells in 6 mice). These results indicate that molecular layer application of CRF induces a dose-dependent increase in PC SS firing rate in the absence of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor activity.

# Both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2 Are Involved in CRF-Induced Increase of PC SS Firing Rate

Bath application of an non-selective CRF-Rs antagonist,  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14) (1  $\mu$ M) for 200 s did not significantly change the SS firing rate of PCs (**Figures 2A,B**), with a normalized SS firing rate of 98.5  $\pm$  4.8% of control (100.0  $\pm$  2.7%; *P* = 0.72; *n* = 6 cells in 6 mice; **Figure 2C**). In the presence of  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14), micro-application of CRF failed to increase SS firing rate, with the normalized SS firing rate being 103.3  $\pm$  3.5% of control (98.5  $\pm$  4.8%; *P* = 0.31; *n* = 6 cells in 6 mice; **Figures 2B,C**). These results indicate that CRF increases the SS firing rate of PCs via activation of CRF receptors.



**FIGURE 3** | Blockade CRF-R1 failed to abolish the CRF-induced increase in spontaneous SS firing rate of cerebellar PCs. (A) Representative cell-attached recording traces showing the spontaneous SS firing activity of a PC in control, BMS-763534 (BMS, 100 nM), BMS + CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). (B) Time course of the PC SS firing rate in control, BMS-763534 (BMS, 100 nM), BMS + CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). (C) Pooled data showing the normalized frequency of SS firing in in control, BMS-763534 (BMS, 100 nM), BMS + CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). (C) Pooled data showing the normalized frequency of SS firing in control, BMS-763534 (BMS, 100 nM), BMS + CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery).



Furthermore, we employed a selective CRF-R1 antagonist, BMS-763534 (BMS, 200 nM) to examine whether the CRF-induced increase in the PC SS firing rate via activation of CRF-R1. Bath administration of BMS for 200 s did not significantly change PC SS firing rate, with a normalized SS firing rate of 101.8  $\pm$  3.9% of control (100.0  $\pm$  2.7%; P = 0.68; n = 6 cells in 5 mice; **Figures 3A,B**). In the presence of BMS-763534, micro-application of CRF still induced an increase of SS firing rate (normalized SS firing rate 108.5  $\pm$  3.1% of control, 100.0  $\pm$  2.8%; P = 0.04; n = 6 cells in 5 mice; **Figure 3C**). The results indicate that activation of CRF-R1 contributes to CRF-induced increases in PC SS firing rate.

Moreover, we used a selective CRF-R2 antagonist, antisauvagine-30 (200 nM) to determine whether the CRF-induced increase in PC SS firing rate was involved in CRF-R2. Bath administration of antisauvagine-30 for 200 sdid not significantly change the SS firing rate of PCs (normalized SS firing rate  $102.4 \pm 4.6\%$  of control;  $100.0 \pm 2.4\%$ ; P = 0.74; n = 6 cells in 6 mice; Figures 4A-C). In the presence of antisauvagine-30, micro-application of CRF still induced an increase in SS firing rate, with a normalized SS firing rate of 114.3  $\pm$  2.7% of control (102.4  $\pm$  4.6%; P = 0.037; n = 6 cells in 6 mice; Figures 4B,C). Notably, blockade of CRF-R1, CRF increased SS firing rate by 8.5  $\pm$  2.1% of control, which was significantly weaker than controls (18.1  $\pm$  1.8%; P = 0.037; n = 6 cells in 5 mice; Figure 4D), and blockade of CRF-R2, CRF increased SS firing rate by 14.3  $\pm$  1.5% of control, which was also significantly lower than controls  $(18.1 \pm 1.8\%; P = 0.037; n = 6 \text{ cells in 6 mice; Two-way ANOVA})$ Figure 4D).

# Effect of CRF on the Activity Spontaneous Complex Spikes (CSs)

In the presence of CRF (100 nM), the normalized pause of SS firing was 123.5  $\pm$  6.1% of control (100.0  $\pm$  6.7%; P = 0.026; n = 10 cells in 7 mice; **Figures 5A,B**), and the normalized number of spikelets was 134.6  $\pm$  6.3% of control (100.0  $\pm$  4.7%; P = 0.015; n = 10 cells in 7 mice; **Figures 5A,C**). However, application of  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14; 1  $\mu$ M) for 200 s did not significantly change the CSs-evoked



**FIGURE 5** | Effects of CRF on the spontaneous CSs activity of cerebellar PCs. (A) Representative cell-attached recording traces showing the spontaneous CSs of a PC in treatments of control, CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). (B) Summary of data (n = 10 cells) showing the normalized pause of SS firing in control, CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). \*P < 0.05 vs. control. (C) Bar graph shows the normalized number of spikelets in control, CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery). \*P < 0.05 vs. control. (C) Bar graph shows the normalized number of spikelets in control, CRF (100 nM) and washout of CRF (recovery).



pause of SS firing or the number of spikelets (**Figure 6**). In the presence of  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14), the normalized SS firing pause was 96.7  $\pm$  5.8% of control (100.0  $\pm$  6.6%; *P* = 0.65; *n* = 8 cells in 8 mice; **Figures 6A,B**), and the normalized number of spikelets was 97.7  $\pm$  7.3% of control (100.0  $\pm$  4.6%; *P* = 0.73; *n* = 8 cells in 8 mice; **Figures 6A,C**). In the presence of  $\alpha$ -helical CRF-(9–14), application CRF failed to increases in CSs-evoked pause of SS (**Figures 6A,B**) and number of spikelets (**Figures 6A,C**).

#### CRF Increased Presynaptic Excitatory Inputs of Cerebellar PCs

In vivo patch-clamp recordings showed that cerebellar molecular layer micro-application of CRF significantly decreased the interevent interval of mEPSCs, and shifted the cumulative probability-interevent interval curve of mEPSCs to the left (normalized mean frequency, 146.5  $\pm$  5.7% of control;  $100.0 \pm 4.2\%$ ; *P* = 0.003; *n* = 6 cells in 5 mice; Figures 7A-D). Application of antisauvagine-30 did not change the frequency and amplitude of mEPSCs (not shown). However, the CRF-induced decrease of mEPSC interevent interval was not observed in the presence of antisauvagine-30 (200 nM; Figures 7A,B). In the presence of antisauvagine-30 and CRF, the normalized mean frequency was 103.2  $\pm$  5.9% of control (100.0  $\pm$  4.2%; P = 0.65; n = 6 cells in 5 mice; Figure 7D). In addition, micro-application of CRF did not significantly change the cumulative probability-amplitude curve (Figures 7A,C) or the mEPSC amplitude, with a normalized mean amplitude of 102.5  $\pm$  3.1% of control



(101.8  $\pm$  3.6%; *P* = 0.81; *n* = 6 cells in 5 mice; **Figure 7E**). These results indicate that CRF increases the frequency of mEPSCs via activation of CRF-R2, suggesting that activation of presynaptic CRF-R2 contributes to the excitation of cerebellar PCs.

CRF-Rs couple to Gsa, resulting in the activation of adenylyl cyclase and generation of the second messenger cyclic AMP, and further stimulates PKA or PKC to phosphorylate downstream targets in the cytosol and nucleus (Hauger et al., 2003, 2009; Tao et al., 2009). Therefore we examined whether the CRF-induced increase in mEPSCs via PKA or PKC signaling pathways. Application of PKA inhibitor, H-89 for 10 min, not only increased the interevent interval of mEPSCs (**Figures 8A,B**), but also abolished the CRF-induced increase in the frequency



of mEPSCs. The normalized mean frequency of mEPSCs was  $55.4 \pm 6.8\%$  (H-89) and  $56.3 \pm 6.5\%$  (H-89 + CRF) of control (100.0  $\pm$  5.1%; P < 0.0001; n = 7 cells in 4 mice; Figure 8D). In addition, inhibition of PKA induced a decrease in the amplitude of mEPSCs (Figures 8A,C). The normalized mean amplitude of mEPSCs was 43.6  $\pm$  4.5% (H-89) and  $46.5 \pm 6.7\%$  (H89 + CRF) of control (100.0  $\pm$  3.7%; *P* < 0.0001; n = 7 cells in 4 mice; Figure 8E). Further, we used a more specific PKA inhibiter, KT5760 to determine whether CRF-induced increase in mEPSCs frequency via PKA signaling cascade. Perfusion of KT5720 (1 µM) for 10 min, completely prevented the CRF-induced increase in the frequency of mEPSCs (Figure 9A). The normalized mean frequency of mEPSCs was  $66.7 \pm 7.6\%$  (KT5720) and  $68.5 \pm 8.1\%$  (KT5720 + CRF) of control (100.0  $\pm$  7.2%; P < 0.001; n = 5 cells in 5 mice; Figure 9B). The normalized mean amplitude of mEPSCs was  $61.6 \pm 7.4\%$  (KT5720) and  $62.3 \pm 7.9\%$  (KT5720 + CRF) of



control (100.0  $\pm$  7.5%; P < 0.001; n = 5 cells in 5 mice; **Figure 9C**). In addition, application PKC inhibitor, chelerythrine (50  $\mu$ M) failed to prevent the CRF-induced increase in frequency of mEPSCs (not shown). These results indicate that the CRF-induced an increase in frequency of mEPSCs depends on activation of PKA cascade rather than activation of PKC signaling pathway.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that molecular layer application of CRF induces a dose-dependent increase in PC SS firing rate via activation of both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2. Antagonism of CRF-R1 or CRF-R2 significantly attenuated the CRF-induced increase in SS firing rate of the PCs. *In vivo* whole-cell patch-clamp recordings showed that CRF-induced increase in the frequency of mEPSCs was prevented by CRF-R2 antagonist, as well as PKA inhibitors. Our results suggested that CRF acted on presynaptic CRF-R2 of cerebellar PCs resulted in an increase of glutamate release through PKA signaling pathway, which contributed to modulation of PCs outputs *in vivo* in mice.

#### CRF Modulates Cerebellar Function and PC SS Firing Activity by Activation of Both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2

In the cerebellar cortex CRF is released from climbing fibers to PCs during direct electrical or chemical stimulation of the inferior olive, as well as by stimulation of sensory afferents (Palkovits et al., 1987; Barmack and Young, 1990; Tian and Bishop, 2003), and modulates spontaneous and glutamate-induced activity in cerebellar PCs (Fox and Gruol, 1993). Consistent with previous studies (Bishop et al., 2000; Dautzenberg and Hauger, 2002; Libster et al., 2015), our results show that molecular layer micro-application of CRF dose-dependently increases the SS firing rate of cerebellar PCs in the absence of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor activity.

Both CRF-R1 and CRF-R2 are expressed in the adult rodent cerebellum (Bishop et al., 2000; King and Bishop, 2002; Lee et al., 2004; Tian et al., 2006). CRF-R1 is distributed over the somas and primary dendrites of the PCs in the molecular layer of the cerebellar cortex (Bishop et al., 2000; King and Bishop, 2002), whereas CRF-R2 has been found throughout the molecular layer in cerebellar cortex, including parallel fibers and their terminals (Bishop et al., 2000; Jedema and Grace, 2004). In this study, the CRF-induced increase in SS firing rate of PCs was abolished by a non-selective CRF-Rs antagonist, indicating that CRF modulates the output of PCs via CRF-Rs. A previous study demonstrated that CRF-R2a mRNA, but not that of CRF-R1 or CRF-R2β, is endogenously expressed in the rat cerebellum (Tao et al., 2009). In this study, a selective CRF-R1 antagonist significantly attenuated the CRF-induced increase in SS firing rate of PCs, suggesting that CRF-R1 is expressed on PCs and modulates SS activity. In addition, CRF-R2 has been found in a subpopulation of PCs and Bergmann glial cells in the cerebellum (Swinny et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Bishop et al., 2006). Under in vitro conditions, it has been demonstrated that a selective CRF-R2α agonist increases the SS firing rate of PCs, and this response can be blocked by a CRF-R2a-specific antagonist (Bishop et al., 2006). This indicates that CRF-R2a is present in the cerebellum and modulates the SS firing rate of PCs. Activation of CRF-R2 has been also found to inhibit P-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> currents and to increase the spontaneous firing frequency of PCs in cerebellar slices (Tao et al., 2009). Our results show that CRF-induced increases in SS firing rate are significantly inhibited by a selective CRF-R2 antagonist, indicating that activation of CRF-R2 contributes to the CRF-induced increase in PC SS firing rate.

#### Ionic and Synaptic Mechanisms of CRF-Induced Increase in PC SS Firing Rate

CRF is present in specific populations of climbing fibers and mossy fibers in particular, the lateral aspect of vermal lobules VII and VIII in cerebellar cortex (Bishop, 1990; Bishop et al., 2000). Extracellular application of CRF enhances the spontaneous and excitatory effects of both aspartate and glutamate, suggesting that CRF acts as a neuromodulator in cerebellar circuitry (Bishop, 1990; Bishop et al., 2000). Under in vitro conditions, CRF dose-dependently reduces the amplitude of the afterhyperpolarization, but does not significantly alter membrane properties of the PCs, suggesting that CRF regulates the activity of PCs via an indirect pathway (Fox and Gruol, 1993). In addition, CRF coupled with CRF-R1 results in depolarization of noradrenergic nucleus locus coeruleus neurons through a cyclic AMP-dependent reduction in potassium conductance (Jedema and Grace, 2004; Reyes et al., 2007). Recently, it has been demonstrated that CRF dose-dependently modulates excitatory synaptic transmission in the noradrenergic nucleus locus coeruleus, suggesting that CRF affects neuronal activity via

modulation of synaptic transmission (Prouty et al., 2017). We here showed that cerebellar molecular layer micro-application of CRF significantly increased the mEPSCs frequency, but not the amplitude. Consistent with previous studies (Lee et al., 1993; Lawrence et al., 2002; Lewis et al., 2002), the results indicated that CRF-enhanced presynaptic glutamate release results in an increase in mEPSC frequency, as well as contributing to CRF-induced increases in SS firing activity. Notably, the CRF-induced increase in mEPSC frequency was completely blocked by a selective CRF-R2 antagonist, indicating that CRF increases the frequency of mEPSCs via the activation of CRF-R2 located at presynaptic sites. Cerebellar PC receives numerous parallel fiber excitatory inputs, and CRF-R2 has been found in parallel fibers and their terminals (Bishop et al., 2000; Jedema and Grace, 2004). Thus, activation of parallel fiber presynaptic CRF-R2 could contribute to an increase in mEPSCs in cerebellar PCs. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the mEPSC increase is mediated by glutamate release from climbing fiber excitatory inputs, since CRF-R2 might be expressed on climbing fiber terminals. It has been reported that CRF facilitates norepinephrine release through a presynaptic facilitation mechanism in the dentate gyrus (Lee et al., 1993). CRF-R2 has been found on afferent terminals of the vagus nerve in the nucleus of the tractus solitarius (Lawrence et al., 2002), and on parallel fiber terminals in the cerebellar cortex (Tian et al., 2006). CRF has been found to have an indirect excitatory effect on dorsal vagal neurons via the activation of CRF-R2 at presynaptic sites (Lewis et al., 2002). Although it has been found that CRF-R2 is localized presynaptically in the cerebellar cortex (Tian et al., 2006) and activation of CRF-R2 increases PC firing rate in cerebellar cortical slices (Bishop et al., 2006; Tao et al., 2009), there has been no direct evidence to show the effect of CRF on cerebellar presynaptic CRF-R2. Our present results suggest that activation of presynaptic CRF-R2 contributes, at least in part, to an increase in the SS firing rate of cerebellar PCs.

Additionally, CRF has also been shown to modulate neuronal excitability and membrane properties various cell types (Qiu et al., 2005; Kirby et al., 2008; Chu et al., 2012). CRF has direct effects on the dorsal raphe nucleus neurons, eliciting an inward current in 5-hydroxytryptamine neurons via activation of CRF-R2 and in non-5-hydroxytryptamine neurons through CRF-R1 (Kirby et al., 2008). We previously found that CRF depolarizes hypothalamic paraventricular nuclei neurons by activation of hyperpolarization activated inward currents via postsynaptic CRF-R1 (Qiu et al., 2005). In the cerebellar cortex, CRF increases the SS firing rate of PCs, regardless of whether they are firing tonically or switching between firing and quiescent periods (Libster et al., 2015). However, this is associated with a voltage shift of the activation curve of the persistent sodium current and hyperpolarizing-activated current, as well as activation of voltage-dependent potassium current (Libster et al., 2015). Our present results showed that application CRF at 100 nM induced enhance of spontaneous activity, which expressing increases in the number of spikelets and the SS pause. However, the previously study showed that exogenous

application of CRF at 1 µM induced reductions in the CF-evoked excitatory postsynaptic current and CS afterhyperpolarization (Schmolesky et al., 2007). The contradict results were considered as the following reasons. The concentrations of CRF was high  $(1 \ \mu M)$  in the previous study under *in vitro* conditions, but we used low concentration (200 nM) of CRF in the present study in living mice. Moreover, there are many effective factors under in vivo conditions, and the concentration of CRF reached to PCs was lower than 200 nM. Therefore, the lower concentration CRF might enhance the CS activity, but high concentration might depress the CF-PC synaptic transmission. In addition, the effects of CRF on cerebellar PC activity might be affected by urethane anesthesia. However, urethane depresses neuronal excitability through activation of barium-sensitive potassium leak conductance, without affecting glutamate-mediated excitatory synaptic transmission or GABAergic inhibitory synaptic transmission (Sceniak and Maciver, 2006).

CRF-Rs couple to Gsa, resulting in the activation of adenylyl cyclase and generation of the second messenger cyclic AMP has been previously demonstrated (Hauger et al., 2003, 2009). Increasing cyclic AMP level further stimulates PKA to phosphorylate downstream targets in the cytosol and nucleus (Hauger et al., 2003, 2009). CRF-R1 signaling to cyclic AMP-PKA pathway contributes to the regulation of synaptic plasticity in hippocampus (Sheng et al., 2008). CRF-R1 upregulates brain-derived neurotrophic factor mRNA levels via the cyclic AMP PKA signaling pathway in cerebellar granular cells (Bayatti et al., 2005). However, CRF-R2 coupling to Gs modulates limbic dopaminergic neurotransmission by stimulating intracellular calcium release via the cyclic APM-PKA signaling pathway (Riegel and Williams, 2008). In this study, we found that inhibition of PKA activity significantly reduced the both minis amplitude and frequency, suggesting that the adenylyl cyclase-cyclic AMP signal-transduction pathway played an important role during the presynaptic neurotransmitter release. Because the PKA phosphorylate proteins synapsin I and II, resulting increases the number of synaptic vesicles

#### REFERENCES

- Antoni, F. A. (1986). Hypothalamic control of adrenocorticotropin secretion: advances since the discovery of 41-residue corticotropin-releasing factor. *Endocr. Rev.* 7, 351–378. doi: 10.1210/edrv-7-4-351
- Barmack, N. H., and Young, W. S. III. (1990). Optokinetic stimulation increases corticotropin-releasing factor mRNA in inferior olivary neurons of rabbits. *J. Neurosci.* 10, 631–640. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.10-02-00631.1990
- Bayatti, N., Hermann, H., Lutz, B., and Behl, C. (2005). Corticotropin-releasing hormone-mediated induction of intracellular signaling pathways and brainderived neurotrophic factor expression is inhibited by the activation of the endocannabinoid system. *Endocrinology* 146, 1205–1213. doi: 10.1210/en.2004-1154
- Bishop, G. A. (1990). Neuromodulatory effects of corticotropin releasing factor on cerebellar Purkinje cells: an *in vivo* study in the cat. *Neuroscience* 39, 251–257. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(90)90238-y
- Bishop, G. A., Seelandt, C. M., and King, J. S. (2000). Cellular localization of corticotropin releasing factor receptors in the adult mouse cerebellum. *Neuroscience* 101, 1083–1092. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(00)00413-9
- Bishop, G. A., Tian, J. B., Stanke, J. J., Fischer, A. J., and King, J. S. (2006). Evidence for the presence of the type 2 corticotropin releasing factor receptor in the rodent cerebellum. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 84, 1255–1269. doi: 10.1002/jnr.21033

in the releasable pool (Greengard et al., 1993). Therefore, inhibition of PKA activity induced a decrease in the amount of phosphorylated synapsins, and caused a decrease in presynaptic glutamate release. Notably, PKA inhibitor not only increased the basal interevent interval of mEPSCs, but also abolished the CRF-induced increase in the frequency of mEPSCs, indicated that CRF increased the frequency of mEPSCs was dependent on activation of PKA pathway. Our results are consistent with previous studies (Bayatti et al., 2005; Riegel and Williams, 2008; Gutknecht et al., 2009), suggesting that CRF-R2 coupling to Gs induces activation of adenylyl cyclase-cyclic AMP signal-transduction, which might lead to phosphorylation of proteins on synaptic vesicles in presynaptic nerve terminals, resulting in an increase in glutamate release onto cerebellar PCs. On the other hand, activation of PKA can phosphorylate several other proteins that are necessary for the vesicle mobilization/priming and exocytosis of synaptic vesicles, and inhibition of basal PKA phosphorylation decreases the synaptic vesicle priming and pool size (Nagy et al., 2004; Maximov et al., 2007). Therefore, we could not occlude the CRF-induced increase in mEPSCs through a difference signaling pathway.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Y-ZL, C-PC and D-LQ conceived and designed the experiments. H-WW, J-TZ and B-XL performed the experiments. C-PC, D-LQ and H-WW analyzed the data. S-SS and Y-HB contributed reagents, materials, and analysis tools. C-PC, W-MW and D-LQ wrote the manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the international S & T cooperation program of China (2016YFE0128600) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31460261; 31760273; 31660272; 31560272).

- Chen, R., Lewis, K. A., Perrin, M. H., and Vale, W. W. (1993). Expression cloning of a human corticotropin-releasing-factor receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 90, 8967–8971. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.19.8967
- Chu, C. P., Bing, Y. H., Liu, Q. R., and Qiu, D. L. (2011). Synaptic responses evoked by tactile stimuli in Purkinje cells in mouse cerebellar cortex Crus II in vivo. PLoS One 6:e22752. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0022752
- Chu, C. P., Xu, C. J., Kannan, H., and Qiu, D. L. (2012). Corticotrophinreleasing factor inhibits neuromedin U mRNA expressing neuron in the rat hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus *in vitro*. *Neurosci. Lett.* 511, 79–83. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2012.01.035
- Dautzenberg, F. M., and Hauger, R. L. (2002). The CRF peptide family and their receptors: yet more partners discovered. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 71–77. doi: 10.1016/s0165-6147(02)01946-6
- Fox, E. A., and Gruol, D. L. (1993). Corticotropin-releasing factor suppresses the afterhyperpolarization in cerebellar Purkinje neurons. *Neurosci. Lett.* 149, 103–107. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(93)90358-r
- Greengard, P., Valtorta, F., Czernik, A. J., and Benfenanti, F. (1993). Synaptic vesicle phosphoproteins and regulation of synaptic function. *Science* 259, 780–785. doi: 10.1126/science.8430330
- Gutknecht, E., Van der Linden, I., Van Kolen, K., Verhoeven, K. F., Vauquelin, G., and Dautzenberg, F. M. (2009). Molecular mechanisms of

corticotropinreleasing factor receptor induced calcium signaling. *Mol. Pharm.* 75, 648–657. doi: 10.1124/mol.108.050427

- Hauger, R. L., Grigoriadis, D. E., Dallman, M. F., Plotsky, P. M., Vale, W. W., and Dautzenberg, F. M. (2003). International union of pharmacology. XXXVI. Current status of the nomenclature for receptors for corticotropinreleasing factor and their ligands. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 55, 21–26. doi: 10.1124/pr. 55.1.3
- Hauger, R. L., Risbrough, V., Oakley, R. H., Olivares-Reyes, J. A., and Dautzenberg, F. M. (2009). Role of CRF receptor signaling in stress vulnerability, anxiety, and depression. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* 1179, 120–143. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05011.x
- Jedema, H. P., and Grace, A. A. (2004). Corticotropin-releasing hormone directly activates noradrenergic neurons of the locus ceruleus recorded *in vitro*. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 9703–9713. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2830-04.2004
- Jin, R., Liu, H., Jin, W. Z., Shi, J. D., Jin, Q. H., Chu, C. P., et al. (2015). Propofol depresses cerebellar Purkinje cell activity via activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> and glycine receptors *in vivo* in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 764, 87–93. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar. 2015.06.052
- King, J. S., and Bishop, G. A. (2002). The distribution and cellular localization of CRF-R1 in the vermis of the postnatal mouse cerebellum. *Exp. Neurol.* 178, 175–185. doi: 10.1006/exnr.2002.8052
- Kirby, L. G., Freeman-Daniels, E., Lemos, J. C., Nunan, J. D., Lamy, C., Akanwa, A., et al. (2008). Corticotropin-releasing factor increases GABA synaptic activity and induces inward current in 5-hydroxytryptamine dorsal raphe neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 12927–12937. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2887-08.2008
- Lawrence, A. J., Krstew, E. V., Dautzenberg, F. M., and Rühmann, A. (2002). The highly selective CRF<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist K41498 binds to presynaptic CRF<sub>2</sub> receptors in rat brain. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 136, 896–904. doi: 10.1038/sj. bjp.0704783
- Lee, K. H., Bishop, G. A., Tian, J. B., and King, J. S. (2004). Evidence for an axonal localization of the type 2 corticotropin-releasing factor receptor during postnatal development of the mouse cerebellum. *Exp. Neurol.* 187, 11–22. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2003.10.020
- Lee, E. H., Lee, C. P., Wang, H. I., and Lin, W. R. (1993). Hippocampal CRF, NE, and NMDA system interactions in memory processing in the rat. *Synapse* 14, 144–153. doi: 10.1002/syn.890140207
- Lewis, M. W., Hermann, G. E., Rogers, R. C., and Travagli, R. A. (2002). *In vitro* and *in vivo* analysis of the effects of corticotropin releasing factor on rat dorsal vagal complex. *J. Physiol.* 543, 135–146. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2002.019281
- Libster, A. M., Title, B., and Yarom, Y. (2015). Corticotropin-releasing factor increases Purkinje neuron excitability by modulating sodium, potassium, and Ih currents. *J. Neurophysiol.* 114, 3339–3350. doi: 10.1152/jn.00745.2015
- Liu, H., Zhao, S. N., Zhao, G. Y., Sun, L., Chu, C. P., and Qiu, D. L. (2014). Nmethyl-D-aspartate inhibits cerebellar Purkinje cell activity via the excitation of molecular layer interneurons under *in vivo* conditions in mice. *Brain Res.* 1560, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2014.03.011
- Luo, X., Kiss, A., Makara, G., Lolait, S. J., and Aguilera, G. (1994). Stressspecific regulation of corticotropin releasing hormone receptor expression in the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus in the rat. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 6, 689–696. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2826.1994.tb00636.x
- Maximov, A., Shin, O. H., Liu, X., and Südhof, T. C. (2007). Synaptotagmin-12, a synaptic vesicle phosphoprotein that modulates spontaneous neurotransmitter release. J. Cell Biol. 176, 113–124. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200607021
- Nagy, G., Reim, K., Matti, U., Brose, N., Binz, T., Rettig, J., et al. (2004). Regulation of releasable vesicle pool sizes by protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of SNAP-25. *Neuron* 41, 417–429. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(04) 00038-8
- Palkovits, M., Léránth, C., Görcs, T., and Young, W. S. III. (1987). Corticotropinreleasing factor in the olivocerebellar tract of rats: demonstration by lightand electron-microscopic immunohistochemistry and *in situ* hybridization histochemistry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 84, 3911–3915. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 84.11.3911
- Prouty, E. W., Waterhouse, B. D., and Chandler, D. J. (2017). Corticotropin releasing factor dose-dependently modulates excitatory synaptic transmission

in the noradrenergic nucleus locus coeruleus. Eur. J. Neurosci. 45, 712–722. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13501

- Qiu, D. L., Chu, C. P., Shirasaka, T., Tsukino, H., Nakao, H., Kato, K., et al. (2005). Corticotrophin-releasing factor augments the I<sub>H</sub> in rat hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus parvocellular neurons *in vitro*. J. Neurophysiol. 94, 226–234. doi: 10.1152/jn.01325.2004
- Reyes, B. A., Glaser, J. D., and Van Bockstaele, E. J. (2007). Ultrastructural evidence for co-localization of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor and mu-opioid receptor in the rat nucleus locus coeruleus. *Neurosci. Lett.* 413, 216–221. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2006.11.069
- Riegel, A. C., and Williams, J. T. (2008). CRF facilitates calcium release from intracellular stores in midbrain dopamine neurons. *Neuron* 57, 559–570. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.12.029
- Sceniak, M. P., and Maciver, M. B. (2006). Cellular actions of urethane on rat visual cortical neurons *in vitro*. J. Neurophysiol. 95, 3865–3874. doi: 10.1152/jn.01196. 2005
- Schmolesky, M. T., De Ruiter, M. M., De Zeeuw, C. I., and Hansel, C. (2007). The neuropeptide corticotropin-releasing factor regulates excitatory transmission and plasticity at the climbing fibre-Purkinje cell synapse. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 25, 1460–1466. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05409.x
- Sheng, H., Sun, T., Cong, B., He, P., Zhang, Y., Yan, J., et al. (2008). Corticotropinreleasing hormone stimulates SGK-1 kinase expression in culture hippocampal neurons via CRH-R1. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 295,E938–E946. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.90462.2008
- Swinny, J. D., Kalicharan, D., Blaauw, E. H., Ijkema-Paassen, J., Shi, F., Gramsbergen, A., et al. (2003). Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor types 1 and 2 are differentially expressed in pre- and post-synaptic elements in the post-natal developing rat cerebellum. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 18, 549–562. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9568.2003.02776.x
- Tao, J., Zhang, Y., Huang, H., and Jiang, X. (2009). Activation of corticotropinreleasing factor 2 receptor inhibits Purkinje neuron P-type calcium currents via  $G_0\alpha$ -dependent PKC epsilon pathway. *Cell Signal.* 21, 1436–1443. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.05.002
- Tian, J. B., and Bishop, G. A. (2003). Frequency-dependent expression of corticotropin releasing factor in the rat's cerebellum. *Neuroscience* 121, 363–377. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(03)00493-7
- Tian, J. B., King, J. S., and Bishop, G. A. (2008). Stimulation of the inferior olivary complex alters the distribution of the type 1 corticotropin releasing factor receptor in the adult rat cerebellar cortex. *Neuroscience* 153, 308–317. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2008.01.076
- Tian, J. B., Shan, X., Bishop, G. A., and King, J. S. (2006). Presynaptic localization of a truncated isoform of the type 2 corticotropin releasing factor receptor in the cerebellum. *Neuroscience* 138, 691–702. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005. 11.052
- Vale, W., Spiess, J., Rivier, C., and Rivier, J. (1981). Characterization of a 41-residue ovine hypothalamic peptide that stimulates secretion of corticotropin and  $\beta$ -endorphin. *Science* 213, 1394–1397. doi: 10.1126/science. 6267699
- Zhao-Shea, R., DeGroot, S. R., Liu, L., Vallaster, M., Pang, X., Su, Q., et al. (2015). Increased CRF signalling in a ventral tegmental area-interpeduncular nucleus-medial habenula circuit induces anxiety during nicotine withdrawal. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6770. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7770

**Conflict of Interest Statement**: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Wang, Zhao, Li, Su, Bing, Chu, Wang, Li and Qiu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.