



Functional Dissection of *C. elegans* bZip-Protein CEBP-1 Reveals Novel Structural Motifs Required for Axon Regeneration and Nuclear Import

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shermali Gunawardena, University at Buffalo, United States

Reviewed by:

Andrea Tedeschi, The Ohio State University, United States Marek Joukal, Masaryk University, Czechia Kunihiro Matsumoto, Nagoya University, Japan

*Correspondence:

Yishi Jin yijin@ucsd.edu Kyung Won Kim kwkim@hallym.ac.kr; kwkim3@gmail.com

[†]Present address:

Thijs Koorman, Department of Pathology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cellular Neurophysiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience

> Received: 29 March 2019 Accepted: 15 July 2019 Published: 31 July 2019

Citation:

Malinow RA, Ying P, Koorman T, Boxem M, Jin Y and Kim KW (2019) Functional Dissection of C. elegans bZip-Protein CEBP-1 Reveals Novel Structural Motifs Required for Axon Regeneration and Nuclear Import. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 13:348. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00348 Rose Aria Malinow¹, Phoenix Ying¹, Thijs Koorman^{2†}, Mike Boxem², Yishi Jin^{1*} and Kyung Won Kim^{3*}

¹ Section of Neurobiology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, United States, ² Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands, ³ Convergence Program of Material Science for Medicine and Pharmaceutics, Department of Life Science, Multidisciplinary Genome Institute, Hallym University, Chuncheon, South Korea

The basic leucine-zipper (bZIP) domain transcription factors CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBP) have a variety of roles in cell proliferation, differentiation, and stress response. In the nervous system, several isoforms of C/EBP function in learning and memory, neuronal plasticity, neuroinflammation, and axon regeneration. We previously reported that the Caenorhabditis elegans C/EBP homolog, CEBP-1, is essential for axon regeneration. CEBP-1 consists of 319 amino acids, with its bZIP domain at the C-terminus and a long N-terminal fragment with no known protein motifs. Here, using forward genetic screening with targeted genome editing, we have identified a unique domain in the N-terminus that is critical for its in vivo function. Additionally, we characterized three nuclear localization signals (NLS) in CEBP-1 that act together to mediate CEBP-1's nuclear import. Moreover, the Importin-α, IMA-3, can bind to CEBP-1 via one of the NLS. ima-3 is ubiquitously expressed in all somatic cells, and ima-3 null mutants are larval lethal. Using Cre-lox dependent neuron-specific deletion strategy, we show that ima-3 is not critical for axon development, but is required for axon regeneration in adults. Together, these data advance our understanding of CEBP-1's function, and suggest new regulators that remain to be identified to expand the CEBP-1 protein interactome.

Keywords: IMA-3, importin, nuclear localization, Tribbles, NIPI-3, C/EBP, structural-function domain

INTRODUCTION

CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBP) are conserved basic leucine-zipper (bZIP) domain transcription factors that are widely expressed and have a variety of roles in cell proliferation, differentiation, and stress response (Ramji and Foka, 2002; Yang et al., 2017). In neurons, C/EBPs have been linked to learning and memory (Alberini et al., 1994; Lee et al., 2012) as well as neuronal

1

repair after injury (Nadeau et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2009; Aleksic and Feng, 2012; Lopez de Heredia and Magoulas, 2013). Expression and function of C/EBPs are regulated at multiple levels. For example, humans and mice have six C/EBP genes, CEBP α – ζ , and each C/EBP shows temporally regulated activity during development and in different tissue types (Ramji and Foka, 2002). While the bZIP domain of C/EBPs plays essential roles in DNA binding and transcriptional function, a variety of regulatory domains residing within the N- or C-terminus modulate the transcriptional activity and contribute to distinct functional outcomes.

Axon regeneration after nerve injury requires the activation of multiple pro-regenerative programs, including early calcium waves, activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and signal transduction through various effectors (Ghosh-Roy et al., 2010; Shin et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2015; Mahar and Cavalli, 2018). To activate expression of injury-responsive genes, injury signaling must be transmitted retrogradely from axonal lesion sites to the soma and nucleus. The importin-dependent nucleocytoplasmic transport of transcription factors has been proposed as a common mechanism for linking axonal signaling to nuclear response (Hanz et al., 2003; Yudin et al., 2008; Perry et al., 2012). There are two types of importins in the classical nuclear import pathway, Importin-α and Importin-β: Importin-α directly binds to the nuclear localization signal (NLS), a recognition motif for nucleocytoplasmic transport factors at low affinity, whereas Importin- β binds to Importin- α and increases its affinity for NLS binding (Goldfarb et al., 2004; Mason et al., 2009). Several Importin- α isoforms are reported to be localized in the axons of rodents, such as sciatic nerves under both naïve and injury conditions (Hanz et al., 2003). Moreover, Importin B1 is induced only after injury in sensory axons of mice and rats, and forms a heterodimer with Importin- α (Perry et al., 2012).

Caenorhabditis elegans has three importin- α proteins (IMA-1/-2/-3) and three Importin- β proteins (IMB-1/-2/-3) (Geles and Adam, 2001; Geles et al., 2002). All Importin- α proteins share common sequence features, including an Importin β -binding (IBB) domain and ten tandem armadillo (ARM)-like repeats; all Importin- β proteins contains tandem repeats of HEAT domain (Goldfarb et al., 2004). Previous studies have focused on the roles of Importin- α proteins in the germline and early embryo development to regulate the mitotic cell cycle (Geles and Adam, 2001; Geles et al., 2002). The function of importins in the nervous system is largely unexplored.

In this paper, we investigate the regulation of CEBP-1, one of the *C. elegans* homolog of C/EBP transcription factors, which is essential for axon regeneration (Yan et al., 2009) and also functions in other cellular stress pathways (Bounoutas et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2016). CEBP-1 consists of a canonical bZIP domain at the C-terminus, with a long N-terminal region having no known protein motifs. Here, through forward genetic analyses, we have identified a stretch of 15 amino acids at the N-terminus of CEBP-1 that is critical for its *in vivo* function and axon regeneration. The region containing this unique domain has a predicted propensity to form alpha helices. We also dissected the role of three nuclear localization motifs in CEBP-1 for its nuclear import. We find that CEBP-1 interacts with IMA-3/Importin- α via one of the NLSs and that the nuclear transport of CEBP-1 is partially mediated by IMA-3. Importantly, we show that IMA-3 is critical for axon regeneration, supporting conserved roles of importin. These data reveal new insights into the molecular understanding of neuronal response to injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. elegans Culture

Strains were maintained on NGM plates at 20°C as described previously (Brenner, 1974). Alleles and genotypes of strains are summarized in **Table 1**. We followed standard procedures to generate new transgenes. Plasmid and transgene information is in **Table 2**. Transgenes were introduced into mutants by genetic crossing or by microinjection, and genotypes for all mutations were confirmed by PCR or sequencing.

Isolation of Novel *cebp-1* Mutations in a Suppressor Screen of *nipi-3(0)* Mutants

We previously reported that loss-of-function mutations of *cebp-1* suppress larval lethality of the Tribbles kinase *nipi-3(ju1293)* null mutant (Kim et al., 2016). We performed a large-scale suppressor screen using an efficient selection scheme. Briefly, we mutagenized L4 animals of the genotype: *nipi-3(ju1293)*; *juEx7152[nipi-3(+)*; *Pmyo-2::gfp; Phsp::peel-1]* using ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS), following standard procedures (Brenner, 1974). F2 progeny were subjected to heat shock at 37° C for 1 h, which induced the expression of the toxic protein PEEL-1 to kill any animals whose survival depended on the expression of *nipi-3(+)* from the *juEx7152* transgene. Those lived to fertile adults without *juEx7152* likely contained a mutation that suppressed *nipi-3(ju1293)* lethality. We then performed Sanger sequencing for *cebp-1* and identified mutations within *cebp-1* for 15 independent suppressor alleles (**Table 1**: *ju1518 – ju1532*).

CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Editing to Generate New Alleles Affecting the N' Domain of CEBP-1

We generated missense, insertion and deletion alleles in the N' domain of cebp-1 (ju1586, ju1587, ju1588, ju1589, ju1590, ju1591, ju1592, ju1685, ju1686) using the co-CRISPR method (Friedland et al., 2013). We designed one single guide RNA (sgRNA; 5'-GCAACGUGACCGCGAACGCC-3') to target CCA for Glu61 in the N' domain of the cebp-1 gene. A mixture of cebp-1 crRNA (0.3 μL of 200 μM), *dpy-10* crRNA (0.3 μL of 200 μM), tracrRNA $(0.9 \,\mu\text{L of } 100 \,\mu\text{M})$, Cas9 protein $(3.5 \,\mu\text{L of } 40 \,\mu\text{M})$ was injected into CZ24853 (*nipi-3(ju1293*); *juEx7152[nipi-3(+)*; *Pmyo-2::gfp*; Phsp::peel-1]). F1 animals displaying dumpy and/or normal animal growth reaching adulthood without *juEx7152* transgene were propagated to the F2 generation. A total of 139 independent F2 isolates that were confirmed for suppression of *nipi-3(ju1293)* larval lethality were then analyzed by PCR and Sanger sequencing to identify changes in cebp-1. The animals containing large deletions based on size of PCR amplification products were not analyzed further, because they most likely altered protein translation affecting the downstream bZIP domain. We focused

TABLE 1 | Strains.

Strain name	Genotype	
CZ24853	nipi-3(ju1293); juEx7152[nipi-3(+); Pmyo-2::gfp; Phsp::peel-1]	
CZ25378	cebp-1(ju1518) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #1)	
CZ25379	cebp-1(ju1519) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #2)	
CZ25380	cebp-1(ju1520) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #4)	
CZ25381	cebp-1(ju1521) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #5)	
CZ26015	cebp-1(ju1588) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #6)	
CZ26014	cebp-1(ju1587) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #7)	
CZ26019	cebp-1(ju1592) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A, #8)	
CZ26017	cebp-1(ju1590) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A, #9)	
CZ27135	cebp-1(ju1685) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #10)	
CZ27136	cebp-1(ju1686) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #11)	
CZ26018	cebp-1(ju1591) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #12)	
CZ26013	cebp-1(ju1586) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #13)	
CZ26016	cebp-1(ju1589) nipi-3(ju1293) X (Figure 1A , #14)	
CZ25382	cebp-1(ju1522) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25383	cebp-1(ju1523) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25384	cebp-1(ju1524) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25385	cebp-1(ju1525) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25386	cebp-1(ju1526) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25380		
CZ25388	cebp-1(ju1527) nipi-3(ju1293) X cebp-1(ju1528) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25389	cebp-1(ju1529) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25390	cebp-1(ju1530) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25391	cebp-1(ju1531) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ25392	cebp-1(ju1532) nipi-3(ju1293) X	
CZ10969	Pmec-7::gfp(muls32)	
CZ16489	Pmec-7::gfp(muls32) II; cebp-1(ju634) X (Figure 1A , #3)	
CZ27204	Pmec-7::gfp(muls32) ll; cebp-1(ju1521) X (Figure 1A , #5)	
CZ27464	Pmec-7::gfp(muls32)	
CZ17180	Pcebp-1(2.2 kb)::cebp-1(aa 1–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5097)	
CZ17181	Pcebp-1(2.2 kb)::cebp-1(aa 1–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5098)	
CZ17330	Pcebp-1(2.2 kb)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5094)	
CZ17371	Pcebp-1(2.2 kb)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5095)	
CZ21002	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::CEBP-1(aa 235–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6336)	
CZ21003	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::CEBP-1(aa 235–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6337)	
CZ19782	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5995)	
CZ19783	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5996)	
CZ20998	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–175)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6332)	
CZ20999	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–175)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6333)	
CZ21000	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–160)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6334)	
CZ21001	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–160)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6335)	
CZ20707	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–115)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6252)	
CZ20708	cebp-1(tm2807) X; Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–115)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx6253)	
CZ18806	Prgef-1::flag-cebp-1::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juSi127) II	
CZ19790	Prgef-1::flag-cebp-1-gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juSi127) II; ima-3(ok715) IV / nT1(qls51) IV; V	
CZ22313	ima-3(ok715) IV / nT1 IV; V; Pcebp-1::cebp-1N (aa 1–230)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5995)	
CZ19324	Prgef-1::flag::cebp-1(K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR(juSi140) II	
CZ19784	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230, K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5997)	
CZ19785	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230, K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR(juEx5998)	
CZ18767	Pima-3::gfp::ima-3 3' UTR(juEx5633)	
CZ18768	Pima-3::gfp::ima-3 3' UTR(juEx5634)	
CZ18435	Pmec-7::gfp(muls32) II; ima-3(tm1100)	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

0	^			
Strain name	Genotype			
CZ20408	Pmec-4::gfp(zdls5) l; Pima-3::lox2272::ima-3::lox2272::ima-3 3'UTR(juSi167) V; ima-3(ok715) IV			
CZ20407	Pmec-4::gfp(zdls5) l; Pima-3::ima-3::ima-3 3'UTR(juSi169) V; ima-3(ok715) lV; Pmec-7::nCre(juEx6042)			
CZ20406	Pmec-4::gfp(zdls5) l; Pima-3::lox2272::ima-3::lox2272::ima-3 3'UTR(juSi167) V; ima-3(ok715) lV; Pmec-7::nCre(juEx6042)			
CZ13799	Punc-25::gfp(juls76)			
CZ21665	Punc-25::gfp(juls76) II; Pima-3::lox2272::ima-3::lox2272::ima-3 3'UTR(juSi167) V; Punc-25::nCRE(juEx6510)			
CZ21541	Punc-25::gfp(juls76) II; ima-3(ok715) IV; Pima-3::lox2272::ima-3::lox2272::ima-3 3'UTR(juSi167) V; Punc-25::nCRE(juEx6510)			
CZ21650	Pflp-13::snb-1::gfp(juls137) II; ima-3(ok715) IV / nT1 IV; V			
CZ18807	Pmec-4::gfp(zdls5) l; Prgef-1::flag::cebp-1::gfp (juSi127) ll; cebp-1(tm2807) X			
CZ19326	Pmec-4::gfp(zdls5) l; Prgef-1::flag::cebp-1(K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp (juSi140) ll; cebp-1(tm2807) X			

TABLE 2 | Plasmids and transgenes.

Plasmid name	Description	Transgene
pCZGY2507	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx5097, juEx5098
pCZGY2505	Pcebp-1::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx5094, juEx5095
pCZGY2550	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 235–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx6336, juEx6337
pCZGY2506	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230)::gfp:cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx5995, juEx5996
pCZGY2548	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–175)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx6332, juEx6333
pCZGY2549	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–160)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx6334, juEx6335
pCZGY2546	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–115)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx6252, juEx6253
pCZGY2524	Prgef-1::flag::cebp-1(aa 1–319)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juSi127
pCZGY3376	Prgef-1::cebp-1(aa 1–319, K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juSi140
pCZGY3377	Pcebp-1::cebp-1(aa 1–230, K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)::gfp::cebp-1 3'UTR	juEx5997, juEx5998
pCZGY2529	ima-3 transcriptional reporter	juEx5633, juEx5634
pCZGY2531	ima-3 flanked by two lox2272 sites	juSi167
pCZGY2532	ima-3 gDNA	juSi169
pCZGY1657	Pmec-7::nCre	juEx6042
pCZGY3225 [#]	Punc-25::nCre	juEx6510
pMA122 ^{##}	Phsp::peel-1	juEx7152
pCZGY1095	Pmyo-2::gfp	juEx7152
pCZGY3044	nipi-3 gDNA	juEx7152
pCZGY3083	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1–319) FL	NA
pCZGY2522	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1–235)	NA
pCZGY2520	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1-115)	NA
pCZGY3084	AD-CEBP-1(aa 117-235)	NA
pCZGY3100	AD-CEBP-1(aa 176–235)	NA
pCZGY3378	BD-CEBP-1(aa 237–319)	NA
pCZGY3081	BD-IMA-3(aa 1–514) FL	NA
pCZGY3082	BD-IMA-3(aa 278–514)	NA
pCZGY3085	AD-IMA-3(aa 1–514) FL	NA
pCZGY3373	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1–319, K162A, R164A, K168A, R169A)	NA
pCZGY3374	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1–319, K204A, K205A, K207A)	NA
pCZGY3375	AD-CEBP-1(aa 1–319, K239A, R240A)	NA

*pCZGY3225 (Chen et al., 2015). ***pMA122 (Seidel et al., 2011).

only on those having missense or small in-frame deletions and insertions within N' domain.

Yeast Two-Hybrid Screen and Assays

Yeast two-hybrid screens were performed as previously described (Boxem et al., 2008). We found that full-length and some fragments of CEBP-1 exhibited auto-activation activity in yeast two-hybrid assay. We used two partial CEBP-1 proteins, CEBP-1(aa 1–73) and CEBP-1(aa 117–235), as baits to screen a *C. elegans* library made of normalized complementary DNAs (cDNAs). No prey was identified with CEBP-1(aa 1–73) bait, whereas multiple clones of IMA-3 were found to interact with CEBP-1(aa 117–235).

We further verified the interaction in a different yeast two-hybrid assay system (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, United States). Full-length or fragments of cDNAs of CEBP-1 or IMA-3 were cloned into pACT2 (GAL4 DNA-binding domain) or pBTM116 (LexA DNA-binding domain) vectors. Pairs of plasmids were co-transformed into yeast strain L40, and selected on agar plates with synthetic defined (SD) minimal medium lacking leucine and tryptophan to obtain double transformants. A single clone was picked from each transformation and cultured until OD₆₀₀ = 1. Yeast cells were then plated in a dilution series of 10–1000 times by pipetting 5 μ l per spot onto SD medium plates lacking leucine, tryptophan and histidine containing 10 mM 3-Amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT). β -galactosidase assays were performed using the Thermo Scientific Yeast beta-galactosidase Assay kit as described by the manufacturer.

Neuron-Specific Deletion of *ima-3* Using Cre-Lox System

We constructed pCZGY2531 and pCZGY2532 (**Table 2**) and generated a single-copy transgene containing either *ima-3* full-length genomic DNA flanked by two Lox2272 sites (*juSi167*) or *ima-3* genomic DNA (*juSi169*), respectively. Both transgenes fully rescued the larval lethality and adult sterility of *ima-3(ok715)* null animals. We used transgenes *juEx6042[Pmec-7::nCre]* (Chen et al., 2015) or *juEx6510[Punc-25::nCre]*, respectively to excise the floxed copy of *ima-3(+)* in mechanosensory neurons or GABAergic motor neurons, respectively (**Table 1** for the strain information).

Laser Axotomy and Axon Regeneration Analysis

We cut mechanosensory PLM axons using muIs32[Pmec-7::gfp] or zdIs5[Pmec-4::gfp] and GABAergic motor neuron commissures (VD3, DD2, and VD4) using juIs76[Punc-25::gfp] as previously described (Wu et al., 2007). Briefly, we anesthetized worms for surgery and imaging using 1% 1-phenoxy-2-propanol (TCI America, Portland, OR, United States) in M9 buffer and 0.1% in the agar pad. We mounted ~ 10 L4 worms expressing GFP-labeled axons on agar pad with a cover slip. The neuronal axons were severed at about 40 µm from soma, using a femtosecond laser system (Verdi G from Coherent) and imaged using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M spinning disk confocal microscope with a Yokogawa CSU-XA1 head and a Photometrics Cascade II EMCCD camera controlled by µManager¹. We recovered the worms immediately following axotomy, by removing the cover slip and then transferring them onto NGM plates seeded with OP50. Animals were cultured at 20°C for 24 h and remounted for confocal imaging using a Zeiss LSM510 or LSM710. We measured axon length using ImageJ (NIH) and subtracted the 24 h axon length from the 0 h axon length to assess the axon regrowth length.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5. Significance was determined using unpaired *t*-tests for two samples, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparison tests for multiple samples. For two nominal variables,

Fisher's exact test (two-tailed) was used. P < 0.05 (*) was considered statistically significant. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM. "n" represents the number of animals and is shown in graphs.

RESULTS

Identification of a Functional Domain in the N-Terminus of CEBP-1

In previous genetic suppressor screens for loss-of-function mutations in rpm-1, a conserved E3 ubiquitin ligase, we isolated a missense mutation of *cebp*-1, *ju634*, that changes Arg63 to Pro in the N-terminus of CEBP-1 (Noma et al., 2014) (Figure 1A, #3). *cebp*-1(*ju634*) behaved as a genetic null, based on its effects to restore the synapse and axon developmental defects in rpm-1 to normal (Noma et al., 2014). Moreover, in laser axotomy assay, we found that *cebp*-1(*ju634*) blocked injury-induced axon regrowth (Figure 1B), similarly to *cebp*-1 null (Yan et al., 2009).

In a parallel investigation, we identified *cebp-1* to be negatively regulated by the Tribbles pseudokinase *nipi-3* in larval development (Kim et al., 2016). Loss of *nipi-3* results in larval lethality, which is fully suppressed by loss of *cebp-1*. We performed a large-scale genetic suppressor screen of *nipi-3(ju1293)* mediated developmental arrest (see Materials and Methods), and isolated four new missense mutations affecting amino acids adjacent to Arg63 (**Figure 1A**, #1,2,4/5). As all these point mutants phenocopied the *cebp-1(tm2807)* null, we hypothesized that this region may define a domain of functional significance.

To test this hypothesis, we designed genome-editing using a CRISPR/Cas9 directed mutagenesis with a sgRNA designed to target amino acid Glu61 (Figure 1A, black arrow). Following microinjection of sgRNA and Cas9 mixture into nipi-3(ju1293) mutants expressing a transgene, juEx7152[nipi-3(+); myo-2p::gfp; Phsp::peel-1], we isolated >100 animals that were able to reach adulthood without the juEx7152 rescue array (see Materials and Methods). Using PCR and Sanger sequencing, we then identified multiple alleles that contained missense mutations, as well as a single amino acid deletion or in-frame insertion of one or more amino acids (Figure 1A, #6-14). These new alleles all behaved similarly to each other and to cebp-1 null, based on the suppression of nipi-3(ju1293) lethality. We also performed laser axotomy on two new mutations affecting residues adjacent to R63P. While *cebp-1(ju1590)* [#9: $\Delta Q62$] reduced PLM axon regrowth to similar degree as cebp-1(ju634) [#3: R63P], cebp-1(ju1521) [#5: D64N] showed partially reduced axon regrowth, comparing to cebp-1(ju634) (Figure 1B). This finding is consistent with previous findings that axon regeneration is highly sensitive to CEBP-1 activity levels (Sharifnia et al., 2017), and CEBP-1(D64N) mutation causes partial loss of function. Together, these results strongly support that this stretch of 15 amino acids within the N-terminus of CEBP-1 defines a functional domain, named as N' domain.

While this N' domain is highly conserved among nematode homologs of *cebp-1*, we did not find homologous regions among C/EBPs in other species by BLAST search (Altschul et al., 1990). We next asked whether the N' domain may form any secondary

¹www.micro-manager.org



FIGURE 1 [N' functional domain in CEBP-1 is required for proper axion regeneration and protein structure formation. (A) CEBP-1 N' domain mutants isolated in several forward genetic screens. Mutations 1–5 are missense mutations isolated in forward genetic screens utilizing EMS as a chemical mutagen. #1, *ju1518* S53F; #2, *ju1519* L54F; #3, *ju634* R63P; #4/5, *ju1520/ju1521* D64N. Mutations 6–14 were isolated in a forward genetic screen utilizing targeted CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis. The site targeted for double stranded DNA breakage is marked with a black arrow. These mutations do not cause a shift in frame, only add and/or delete amino acids adjacent to the cut site. #6, *ju1588* Δ(L60, Q61); #7, *ju1587* Δ(Q61, Q62, R63, D64) +HH; #8, *ju1592* L60[HSTRS]Q61; #9, *ju1590* ΔQ62; #10, *ju1685* ΔQ62 +HE; #11, *ju1686* ΔQ62 +HEG; #12, *ju1591* Δ(Q62, R63) +RPVTS; #13, *ju1586* Δ(Q62, R63)+H Δ(E66, R67); #14, *ju1589* Δ(Q62, R63, D64)+H. (B) PLM axon regrowth 24 h post-axotomy in *cebp-1(ju634)* mutants in PLM mechanosensory neurons. Length of regrowth was quantified by subtracting the initial length of the axon at 0 h from the length of the axon 24 h after injury. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. ***p* < 0.001. Column 1: control, CZ10969 [*muls32*]; Column 2: CZ21689 [*muls32*; *cebp-1(ju634)*] (#3); Column 3: CZ27204 [*muls32*; *cebp-1(ju1521*)] (#5); Column 4: CZ27464 [*muls32*; *cebp-1(ju1592*)] (#9). Right: representative images of PLM axons 24 h post-axotomy. Red arrowhead, site of axotomy. (C) Alteration of predicted alpha helices structure upon *cebp-1* N' mutations based on RaptorX. The S53F mutation (#1) makes a predicted break in α-2, producing two helices. The L54F mutation (#2) makes lengthening of α-1 and α-2, and the R63P mutation (#3) makes lengthening of α-2. The D64N mutation (#4/5) is predicted to cause the additional turn in the C' end of α-2.

structure. Using RaptorX² (an online predictor of protein structure) (Wang et al., 2016), we found that amino acids 55-106 in CEBP-1 N-terminus region has high propensity to form alpha helices (**Figure 1C**). Several missense mutations and amino acid insertion and deletion within the N' domain are also predicted to alter the propensity of this region to form alpha helices (**Figure 1C**). Thus, this analysis suggests that the N' region of CEBP-1 may form a highly structured domain to mediate interactions with other proteins.

Investigating Domains of CEBP-1 Required for Its Nuclear Localization

Full-length CEBP-1 is localized to the nucleus (**Figure 2A**). To gain clues to the function of N-terminal region of CEBP-1, we asked how the N-terminus of CEBP-1 may affect the nuclear localization of CEBP-1. We constructed

²http://raptorx.uchicago.edu



a series of truncated CEBP-1 proteins tagged with GFP, and expressed them as transgenes under the cebp-1 promoter. We observed that the C-terminal aa 235-319, including the bZIP domain, was localized to the nucleus, resembling the full-length CEBP-1 (Figure 2A), consistent with the general notion that bZIP domain has an inherent ability to localize to the nucleus. CEBP-1(aa 1-230) tagged with GFP was also largely localized in the nucleus (Kim et al., 2016). However, GFP fused in-frame to the N-terminal fragments of CEBP-1, CEBP-1(aa 1-115), and CEBP-1(aa 1-160), which include the N' domain, showed no differential subcellular localization, resembling free GFP, while CEBP-1(aa 1-175) showed a partial nuclear localization (Figures 2A,B). Thus, the nuclear localization of CEBP-1 is gradually increased when progressively larger segments of the N-terminus of CEBP-1 is included. This analysis also suggests that the N' domain of CEBP-1 may not play a strong role in mediating CEBP-1 nuclear localization.

IMA-3 Can Bind the CEBP-1 N-Terminus

We next searched for CEBP-1 binding proteins by performing yeast two-hybrid screening. As the full-length CEBP-1 showed auto-activation activity in yeast two-hybrid assay, we carried out the screen using CEBP-1(aa 1–75) and CEBP-1(aa 117–235) as baits. CEBP-1(aa 1–75) bait did not yield any interactions, while multiple clones of IMA-3, the *C. elegans* importin- α , were isolated using CEBP-1(aa 117–235) as bait. We then verified the interaction using a different yeast two-hybrid assay system, and further narrowed the IMA-3 binding region to a stretch of 59 amino acid residues (aa 117–175) of CEBP-1 (**Figure 3A**).

As Importin- α is known to directly bind to the NLS, a recognition motif for nucleocytoplasmic transport factors (Goldfarb et al., 2004), we searched for potential NLSs in CEBP-1 using SeqNLS³ (Lin and Hu, 2013). This search revealed three potential NLSs within CEBP-1: TKTRRAVKRPVPYDDYQK (aa 161–178; NLS-A), EPKSKKTKSA (aa 200–209; NLS-B), and

³http://mleg.cse.sc.edu/seqNLS/



PTYKLKRARNNDAVRKSRKKAKELQDKK (aa 234–261; NLS-C) (**Figure 3B**). As NLS-A is localized within the region of CEBP-1 that is necessary for IMA-3 binding in the yeast two-hybrid assay, we further generated four point mutations changing the basic amino acids to Ala (K162A, R164A, K168A, and R169A). We found that such mutations abolished the interaction of CEBP-1 with IMA-3 in yeast (**Figure 3A**). Similar mutations in either NLS-B (K204A, K205A, K207A) or NLS-C (K239A, R240A) did not abolish the interaction of CEBP-1 with IMA-3 (**Figure 3A**). Therefore, we conclude that IMA-3 binds CEBP-1 via the NLS-A.

ima-3 Is Broadly Expressed in Somatic Cells Including Neurons

There are two types of importins, α and β . Importin- α recognizes proteins with NLSs (cargo) and forms cargo/importin- α /importin- β trimetric complexes (Miyamoto et al., 2016). Like other organisms, *C. elegans* expresses both importin- α and - β genes (**Figures 4A–C**), including conserved (conventional) and species-specific (non-conventional) importin- α genes (Goldfarb et al., 2004). IMA-1 and IMA-2 are non-conventional importin- α proteins and IMA-3 is the only conventional importin- α protein that shares 60% identity of amino acid sequence with a human importin- α 3 subtype (**Figures 4A,B**). Previous reports based on Northern blot analysis suggest that both *ima-1* and *ima-2* are expressed specifically in the germline, while *ima-3* is ubiquitously expressed in both germline and somatic tissues (Geles and Adam, 2001; Geles et al., 2002). Here, we determined the tissue expression pattern by generating transgenic GFP reporters driven by the 1.2 kb of 5'-upstream of *ima-3* (*Pima-3::gfp*). We observed GFP expression in most neurons and other somatic cell types including pharynx, intestine, epidermis, and muscles (**Figure 4D**). Thus, IMA-3 is broadly expressed in nearly all somatic tissues, and is likely the sole importin- α protein expressed in the neurons.

The *C. elegans* genome also encodes three importin- β genes (*imb-1*, *imb-2*, and *imb-3*), identified as orthologs of human importin- β genes (**Figures 4A,C**). All three Importin β amino acid sequences contain multiple HEAT repeats, which are found in many proteins involved in intracellular transport processes. Among three importin- β genes, null mutants for *imb-1* and *imb-3* display severe somatic defects and are arrested as larvae, suggesting their function in somatic cells, while *imb-2*



appears to largely function in the germline as its null mutants are sterile adults.

CEBP-1 Nuclear Localization Partly Depends on IMA-3

The identification of IMA-3 as a CEBP-1 binding protein raised the possibility that IMA-3 may regulate nuclearcytoplasmic localization of CEBP-1. As CEBP-1(aa 1–175) that contains NLS-A is partially localized to the nucleus and CEBP-1(aa 1–230) that contains both NLS-A and NLS-B is predominantly localized to the nucleus, we reasoned that NLS-A and NLS-B may act redundantly to mediate cytoplasmic-nuclear import of CEBP-1. We examined how the subcellular expression of CEBP-1 was dependent on *ima-*3 by crossing the GFP-tagged CEBP-1 transgenes into *ima-*3(ok715) mutants. Although the expression of the full-length CEBP-1::GFP in *ima-3(ok715)* was similar to that in wild type, we found that the nuclear expression of CEBP-1(aa 1–230) was reduced in *ima-3(ok715)* mutant animals (**Figures 5A,B**). Moreover, CEBP-1(aa1–230) that also contained the mutant NLS-A (K162A, R164A, K168A, and R169A), which abolished the interaction of CEBP-1 with IMA-3, showed increased cytoplasmic expression (**Figures 5A,C**). However, the nuclear localization of the full-length CEBP-1 with mutant NLS-A was unaltered, indicating NLS-C within bZIP domain has a dominant role in CEBP-1 nuclear localization. Together, these results support a conclusion that IMA-3 is involved in the



cytoplasmic-nuclear import of CEBP-1, and also suggest that there are importin-independent pathways that ensure CEBP-1 nuclear localization.

IMA-3 Is Required for Adult Axon Regeneration

Importin-dependent retrograde transport has been shown to play an important role to relay nerve injury signaling to promote axon regeneration in mammals (Hanz et al., 2003; Perry et al., 2012). To test whether importins are important in axon regeneration in C. elegans, we next investigated whether IMA-3 is required for axon regeneration in C. elegans. We first examined ima-3(tm1100) that contains a deletion at the 3' end of the gene, removing last 35 aa and 3' UTR (Figure 4B). ima-3(tm1100) homozygous animals are viable and grow similar to wild type (Geles and Adam, 2001). PLM axons developed normally, and also regenerated normally in ima-3(tm1100) (compared to wild type, 0.98 ± 0.06 normalized regrowth, *p*-value = 0.55, *n* = 17). The ima-3(ok715) null mutation removes the promoter and 5' coding sequence, and the animals are larval lethal. We found that the mechanosensory neurons are born and developed normally in ima-3(ok715) larvae. To address effects of ima-3 specifically in adult axon regeneration, we utilized a Crelox recombination system to examine neuron-specific deletion of ima-3. We generated two single-copy transgenes inserted at a defined location on chromosome V using the universal mosSCI technique (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008). One consists of 4.3 kb ima-3 genomic DNA (juSi169; [ima-3]), and another one with the same ima-3 genomic DNA flanked by Lox2272 site (juSi167; Lox-[ima-3]-Lox) (Figure 6A). Both transgenes fully rescued the ima-3(ok715) lethality and adult sterility. We then introduced cell-specific transgenes expressing nuclear Cre recombinase (nCre) in mechanosensory neurons to eliminate IMA-3 production only in mechanosensory neurons (Figure 6A; [Pmec-7::nCre]). These animals showed no detectable growth or behavioral abnormality, and PLM axons were morphologically normal. In laser axotomy assays, we found that PLM axons in animals expressing unfloxed ima-3(+) and nCre displayed normal regrowth (Figure 6B, lane 2), whereas in animals containing floxed ima-3, expression of nCre resulted in severely impaired axon regrowth of PLM (Figure 6B, lane 4). We also found that while 30.7% of control (zdIs5) axons showed growth cone-like structure (n = 26) 24 h after axotomy, only 1.7% of the ima-3 neuronal knockout animals had growth cone-like tips (n = 57) (Figure 6B, bottom panels), suggesting that ima-3 likely affects the growth cone formation after injury. Similarly, we used another transgene expressing nCre in GABAergic motor neurons, and found that ima-3 is also required for motor neuron axon regeneration (Figure 6C). In both Cre-mediated neuronal deletion of ima-3 animals, the axon morphology of the mechanosensory neurons or GABAergic motor neurons was normal. Thus, we conclude that ima-3 is dispensable for axon development, but is required for axon regeneration in both mechanosensory and motor neurons. To address whether the interaction between IMA-3 and CEBP-1 is necessary for PLM axon regeneration, we expressed a

NLS-A mutant form of CEBP-1 (K162A, R164A, K168A, and R169A) in *cebp-1(0)*, and found that it rescued regeneration failure of PLM axons, similar to the expression of a wild type form of CEBP-1 [Total axonal regrowth length/24 h: CEBP-1(WT) = 97.99 \pm 9.703 μ m, n = 18; CEBP-1(NLS-A mutant) = 84.43 \pm 10.48 μ m, n = 15; not significant by unpaired Student's *t*-test]. These results suggest that the interaction between CEBP-1 and IMA-3 via NLS-A does not play significant role in PLM axon regeneration.

DISCUSSION

A Novel Functional Domain in CEBP-1

While previous work on CEBP-1 has shown that it is essential for axon regeneration, the only defined functional domain has been the highly conserved bZIP domain (Yan et al., 2009). In this study, through forward genetic screenings and a sitedirected mutagenesis screening, we have identified a unique functional domain in the N' terminus of CEBP-1. We showed that this domain is required for the function of CEBP-1 in the NIPI-3-mediated development pathway as well as the function in adult axon regeneration. Furthermore, analysis from protein structural and modeling prediction suggests that this domain resides within a highly structured region, and that this structure can be altered by the mutations isolated in our screens. A majority of CEBP homologues in other species contain regulatory domains in their N' terminus that contribute to protein function (Hunter and Karin, 1992; Tsukada et al., 2011). For example, the transactivating domains in the N' terminus of mouse CEBPa can bind directly to cyclin-dependent kinases and a chromatin remodeling complex, and mediate specific functional outcomes in cell cycle progression and epigenetic regulation (Nerlov, 2007). Thus, we propose that this newly identified domain in CEBP-1 likely impacts the transcriptional activity of CEBP-1 via binding to other unidentified factors. The interaction that involves this N' domain likely leads to transcriptional activation as mutations in this domain phenocopy null mutations of CEBP-1. Further, it is worthy commenting on the CRISPR/Cas9-mediating genome editing technology. The sgRNA we designed showed high efficiency to guide Cas9 to the PAM sequence, as we observed all editing occurred 3' downstream from the PAM site. As we were able to obtain a large number of editing mutants because of the efficient functional assay for cebp-1 loss of function, our findings reveal a surprising degree of imprecise repair, ranging from a few nucleotide modification, insertion, deletion, to large deletion of several hundred nucleotides. Thus, this analysis raises caution for medical intervention, and urges deep studies of genome editing technology.

CEBP-1 Nuclear Localization Depends on Multiple NLS Sites and Partly on IMA-3

In response to axon injury, there is a transient influx of calcium (Ghosh-Roy et al., 2010), leading to the activation of



FIGURE 6 | *ima-3* is required cell autonomously for PLM axon regeneration. (A) Schematic illustration of a strategy to generate *ima-3* mutation in mechanosensory neurons. The lethality of *ima-3(ok715)* is rescued by *juSi167[Lox2272-flanked ima-3 gDNA]* or *juSi169[ima-3 gDNA]*. Both *juSi167* and *juSi169* were crossed to *Pmec-7::nCre*, which deletes transgenic IMA-3 in mechanosensory neurons in *juSi167*, but not *juSi169* animals. (B) PLM axon regrowth 24 h post-axotomy in *ima-3(ok715)* mutation in mechanosensory neurons. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM. ***p < 0.001. Bottom: representative images of PLM axons 24 h post-axotomy. Red arrowhead, site of axotomy. (C) GABAergic motor neuron full regeneration 24 h post-axotomy in *ima-3(ok715)* mutation in GABAergic motor neurons. Fisher's exact test, two-tailed. Data are shown as mean. n, number of animals shown within columns. ns, not significant; **p < 0.01. Bottom: representative images of motor neurons (VD3, DD2, VD4) 24 h post-axotomy. Red arrowhead, site of axotomy. Red arrowhead, site of axotomy.

distinct pathways, some activating downstream transcription factors (Hammarlund and Jin, 2014; Hisamoto and Matsumoto, 2017). CEBP-1 is activated through the MAPKKK DLK-1 and PMK-3/p38 pathway (Yan et al., 2009). Another transcription factor required for axon regeneration, ETS-4, is activated by phosphorylation through a cAMP pathway (Li et al., 2015). These two transcription factors form a complex in the nucleus and promote the transcription of a transmembrane receptor SVH-2, which senses extracellular growth factors, leading to axon growth (Li et al., 2015). Although many genetic players in these pathways have been identified, the process of retrograde signaling is poorly understood.

Importin-mediated cytoplasmic-nuclear shuttling is known to broadly regulate retrograde signaling (Rishal and Fainzilber, 2014). In this study, we have identified at least three candidate nuclear localization sites on the CEBP-1 protein. The NLS-C motif within the bZIP domain appears to act dominantly and is sufficient for the localization of CEBP-1 to the nucleus. The other two NLS sites, NLS-A and NLS-B, can act together to facilitate the nuclear import of CEBP-1.

Our data also show that CEBP-1 interacts with IMA-3 via a stretch of 59 amino acid residues (aa 117-175) overlapping with NLS-A. We also showed that the expression of partial CEBP-1(aa 1-230) either containing mutations on the NLS-A or in the ima-3(0) mutant background was altered to be partially cytoplasmic. These results suggest that the expression pattern of CEBP-1 is at least partially dependent on IMA-3. In normal circumstances, full-length CEBP-1 protein does not seem to require Importin-a/IMA-3 for nuclear import, likely due to the dominant effects of NLS-C and DNA binding activity of bZip domain. A recent study suggests that three-dimensional context rather than NLS sequence determines Importin-a specificity for binding its partner protein (Sankhala et al., 2017). It is possible that Importin- α binding to CEBP-1 may be influenced by other regions of the protein in addition to the predicted NLSs. IMA-3 may facilitate the nuclear import of CEBP-1 under neuronal injury, where rapid action of CEBP-1 is necessary to activate cellular response. While we attempted to test this possibility, the low level of cytoplasmic pool of CEBP-1 precluded visible detection. It would be of future interest to define the extent and context of IMA-3-mediated CEBP-1 nuclear import.

IMA-3/Importin-α3 Is Required for Adult Axon Regeneration

C. elegans IMA-3 is broadly expressed and likely functions in nearly all somatic tissues including neurons, like its homologs in other species. Thus, null mutations of *ima-3* and other importin genes display overall defects in organismal growth. In the arrested larvae, many neurons we examined showed normal axon morphology, and synapses in some motor neurons were also normal (**Table 1**), suggesting that importins are not essential for axon development, although it remains possible that axon development may be supported by maternally supplied

importins. Using a neuron-specific deletion strategy to remove ima-3 in post-mitotic neurons, we find no defects in axon development, indicating that axon growth and maintenance in larvae and adults likely does not rely on importins. In contrast, we show that neuronal depletion of IMA-3 causes significant reduction of axon regeneration following injury. We also find that IMA-3's role in axon regeneration is likely independent of the nuclear import of CEBP-1. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of importin's role in C. elegans neurons. This finding is also consistent with those studies from vertebrate axon injury studies. In rats, importin is required for the repair after nerve injury likely via retrograde transport of injury signaling molecules from injury site to the cell body (Hanz et al., 2003; Perry et al., 2012). As CEBP-1's nuclear import tends to be also facilitated by IMA-3-independent pathway, an important challenge for the future is to identify the importin-independent retrograde transport mechanisms that are critical for promoting axon regeneration.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and/or the supplementary files.

ETHICS STATEMENT

We primarily used *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* as research organisms, which do not require animal protocols.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RM, YJ, and KK designed the work and drafted the manuscript. RM, PY, TK, MB, YJ, and KK performed the experiments and analyzed the data. RM, PY, MB, YJ, and KK reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work regarding questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A2C1003329) to KK. RM was supported by a grant NS R01-093588S1 from the National Institutes of Health. MB and TK were supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) ALW Innovational Research Incentives Scheme Vidi grant 864.09.008.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Zilu Wu for technical support in laser axotomy and Hetty Zhang for strain construction.

REFERENCES

- Alberini, C. M., Ghirardi, M., Metz, R., and Kandel, E. R. (1994). C/EBP is an immediate-early gene required for the consolidation of long-term facilitation in *Aplysia. Cell* 76, 1099–1114. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90386-7
- Aleksic, M., and Feng, Z. P. (2012). Identification of the role of C/EBP in neurite regeneration following microarray analysis of a L. stagnalis CNS injury model. BMC Neurosci. 13:2. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-13-2
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215, 403–410. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.1990. 9999
- Bounoutas, A., Kratz, J., Emtage, L., Ma, C., Nguyen, K. C., and Chalfie, M. (2011). Microtubule depolymerization in *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons reduces gene expression through a p38 MAPK pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 3982–3987. doi: 10.1073/pnas.11013 60108
- Boxem, M., Maliga, Z., Klitgord, N., Li, N., Lemmens, I., Mana, M., et al. (2008). A protein domain-based interactome network for *C. elegans* early embryogenesis. *Cell* 134, 534–545. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008. 07.009
- Brenner, S. (1974). The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77, 71-94.
- Chen, L., Chuang, M., Koorman, T., Boxem, M., Jin, Y., and Chisholm, A. D. (2015). Axon injury triggers EFA-6 mediated destabilization of axonal microtubules via TACC and doublecortin like kinase. *Elife* 4:e08695. doi: 10. 7554/eLife.08695
- Cho, Y., Sloutsky, R., Naegle, K. M., and Cavalli, V. (2015). Injury-Induced HDAC5 nuclear export is essential for axon regeneration. *Cell* 161:691. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2015.04.019
- Friedland, A. E., Tzur, Y. B., Esvelt, K. M., Colaiacovo, M. P., Church, G. M., and Calarco, J. A. (2013). Heritable genome editing in *C. elegans via* a CRISPR-Cas 9 system. Nat. Methods 10, 741–743. doi: 10.1038/nmeth. 2532
- Frøkjær-Jensen, C., Davis, M. W., Hopkins, C. E., Newman, B. J., Thummel, J. M., Olesen, S. P., et al. (2008). Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat. Genet.* 40, 1375–1383. doi: 10.1038/ng.248
- Geles, K. G., and Adam, S. A. (2001). Germline and developmental roles of the nuclear transport factor importin alpha3 in *C. elegans. Development* 128, 1817–1830.
- Geles, K. G., Johnson, J. J., Jong, S., and Adam, S. A. (2002). A role for *Caenorhabditis elegans* importin IMA-2 in germ line and embryonic mitosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 3138–3147. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e02-02-0069
- Ghosh-Roy, A., Wu, Z., Goncharov, A., Jin, Y., and Chisholm, A. D. (2010). Calcium and cyclic AMP promote axonal regeneration in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and require DLK-1 kinase. J. Neurosci. 30, 3175–3183. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.5464-09.2010
- Goldfarb, D. S., Corbett, A. H., Mason, D. A., Harreman, M. T., and Adam, S. A. (2004). Importin alpha: a multipurpose nuclear-transport receptor. *Trends Cell Biol.* 14, 505–514. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2004.07.016
- Hammarlund, M., and Jin, Y. (2014). Axon regeneration in C. elegans. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 27, 199–207. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2014.04.001
- Hanz, S., Perlson, E., Willis, D., Zheng, J. Q., Massarwa, R., Huerta, J. J., et al. (2003). Axoplasmic importins enable retrograde injury signaling in lesioned nerve. *Neuron* 40, 1095–1104. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(03)0 0770-0
- Hisamoto, N., and Matsumoto, K. (2017). Signal transduction cascades in axon regeneration: insights from *C. elegans. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 44, 54–60. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2017.01.010
- Hunter, T., and Karin, M. (1992). The regulation of transcription by phosphorylation. Cell 70, 375–387. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90162-6
- Kim, K. W., Thakur, N., Piggott, C. A., Omi, S., Polanowska, J., Jin, Y., et al. (2016). Coordinated inhibition of C/EBP by tribbles in multiple tissues is essential for *Caenorhabditis elegans* development. *BMC Biol.* 14:104. doi: 10.1186/s12915-016-0320-z.
- Lee, Y. S., Choi, S. L., Jun, H., Yim, S. J., Lee, J. A., Kim, H. F., et al. (2012). AU-rich element-binding protein negatively regulates CCAAT enhancerbinding protein mRNA stability during long-term synaptic plasticity in *Aplysia*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 15520–15525. doi: 10.1073/pnas.11162 24109

- Li, C., Hisamoto, N., and Matsumoto, K. (2015). Axon regeneration is regulated by ets-c/ebp transcription complexes generated by activation of the cAMP/Ca2+ signaling pathways. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1005603. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen. 1005603
- Lin, J. R., and Hu, J. (2013). SeqNLS: nuclear localization signal prediction based on frequent pattern mining and linear motif scoring. *PLoS One* 8:e76864. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076864
- Lopez de Heredia, L., and Magoulas, C. (2013). Lack of the transcription factor C/EBPdelta impairs the intrinsic capacity of peripheral neurons for regeneration. *Exp. Neurol.* 239, 148–157. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2012. 10.012
- Mahar, M., and Cavalli, V. (2018). Intrinsic mechanisms of neuronal axon regeneration. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 19, 323–337. doi: 10.1038/s41583-018-0001-8
- Mason, D. A., Stage, D. E., and Goldfarb, D. S. (2009). Evolution of the metazoanspecific importin alpha gene family. J. Mol. Evol. 68, 351–365. doi: 10.1007/ s00239-009-9215-8
- Miyamoto, Y., Yamada, K., and Yoneda, Y. (2016). Importin alpha: a key molecule in nuclear transport and non-transport functions. *J. Biochem.* 160, 69–75. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvw036
- Nadeau, S., Hein, P., Fernandes, K. J., Peterson, A. C., and Miller, F. D. (2005). A transcriptional role for C/EBP beta in the neuronal response to axonal injury. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 29, 525–535. doi: 10.1016/j.mcn.2005.04.004
- Nerlov, C. (2007). The C/EBP family of transcription factors: a paradigm for interaction between gene expression and proliferation control. *Trends Cell Biol.* 17, 318–324. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2007.07.004
- Noma, K., Goncharov, A., and Jin, Y. (2014). Systematic analyses of rpm-1 suppressors reveal roles for ESS-2 in mRNA splicing in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* 198, 1101–1115. doi: 10.1534/genetics.114.1 67841
- Perry, R. B., Doron-Mandel, E., Iavnilovitch, E., Rishal, I., Dagan, S. Y., Tsoory, M., et al. (2012). Subcellular knockout of importin beta1 perturbs axonal retrograde signaling. *Neuron* 75, 294–305. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012. 05.033
- Ramji, D. P., and Foka, P. (2002). CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins: structure, function and regulation. *Biochem. J.* 365, 561–575. doi: 10.1042/bj2002 0508
- Rishal, I., and Fainzilber, M. (2014). Axon-soma communication in neuronal injury. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 32–42. doi: 10.1038/nrn3609
- Sankhala, R. S., Lokareddy, R. K., Begum, S., Pumroy, R. A., Gillilan, R. E., and Cingolani, G. (2017). Three-dimensional context rather than NLS amino acid sequence determines importin alpha subtype specificity for RCC1. *Nat. Commun.* 8:979. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01057-7
- Seidel, H. S., Ailion, M., Li, J., van Oudenaarden, A., Rockman, M. V., and Kruglyak, L. (2011). A novel sperm-delivered toxin causes late-stage embryo lethality and transmission ratio distortion in *C. elegans. PLoS Biol.* 9:e1001115. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001115
- Sharifnia, P., Kim, K. W., Wu, Z., and Jin, Y. (2017). Distinct cis elements in the 3' UTR of the *C. elegans cebp*-1 mRNA mediate its regulation in neuronal development. *Dev. Biol.* 429, 240–248. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2017. 06.022
- Shin, J. E., Cho, Y., Beirowski, B., Milbrandt, J., Cavalli, V., and DiAntonio, A. (2012). Dual leucine zipper kinase is required for retrograde injury signaling and axonal regeneration. *Neuron* 74, 1015–1022. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04. 028
- Tsukada, J., Yoshida, Y., Kominato, Y., and Auron, P. E. (2011). The CCAAT/enhancer (C/EBP) family of basic-leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors is a multifaceted highly-regulated system for gene regulation. *Cytokine* 54, 6–19. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2010. 12.019
- Wang, S., Li, W., Liu, S., and Xu, J. (2016). RaptorX-Property: a web server for protein structure property prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W430–W435. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw306
- Wu, Z., Ghosh-Roy, A., Yanik, M. F., Zhang, J. Z., Jin, Y., and Chisholm, A. D. (2007). *Caenorhabditis elegans* neuronal regeneration is influenced by life stage, ephrin signaling, and synaptic branching. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 15132–15137. doi: 10.1073/pnas.070700 1104

- Yan, D., Wu, Z., Chisholm, A. D., and Jin, Y. (2009). The DLK-1 kinase promotes mRNA stability and local translation in *C. elegans synapses* and axon regeneration. Cell 138, 1005–1018. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009. 06.023
- Yang, Y., Liu, L., Naik, I., Braunstein, Z., Zhong, J., and Ren, B. (2017). Transcription Factor C/EBP Homologous Protein in Health and Diseases. *Front. Immunol.* 8:1612. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017. 01612
- Yudin, D., Hanz, S., Yoo, S., Iavnilovitch, E., Willis, D., Gradus, T., et al. (2008). Localized regulation of axonal RanGTPase controls retrograde injury signaling in peripheral nerve. *Neuron* 59, 241–252. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.05.029

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Malinow, Ying, Koorman, Boxem, Jin and Kim. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.