



rTMS Regulates the Balance Between Proliferation and Apoptosis of Spinal Cord Derived Neural Stem/Progenitor Cells

Chen-Guang Zhao^{1†}, Jie Qin^{2†}, Wei Sun¹, Fen Ju¹, Yong-Lin Zhao³, Rui Wang², Xiao-Long Sun¹, Xiang Mou^{1*} and Hua Yuan^{1*}

¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ²Department of Orthopedics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ³Department of Oncology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a noninvasive technique that uses

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Filippo Tempia, University of Turin, Italy

Reviewed by:

Ertugrul Kilic, Istanbul Medipol University, Turkey Jorge Matias-Guiu, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

*Correspondence:

Hua Yuan yuanhua_fmmu@hotmail.com Xiang Mou pro.mu@fmmu.edu.cn

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Received: 01 October 2019 Accepted: 20 December 2019 Published: 28 January 2020

Citation:

Zhao CG, Qin J, Sun W, Ju F, Zhao YL, Wang R, Sun XL, Mou X and Yuan H (2020) rTMS Regulates the Balance Between Proliferation and Apoptosis of Spinal Cord Derived Neural Stem/Progenitor Cells. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 13:584. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2019.00584 electromagnetic fields to stimulate the brain. rTMS can restore an impaired central nervous system and promote proliferation of neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs), but optimal stimulus parameters and mechanisms underlying these effects remain elusive. The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of different rTMS stimulus parameters on proliferation and apoptosis of spinal cord-derived NSPCs, the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) after rTMS, and the potentially underlying pathways. NSPCs were isolated from mice spinal cord and stimulated by different frequencies (1/10/20 Hz), intensities (0.87/1.24/1.58 T), and number of pulses (400/800/1,500/3,000) once a day for five consecutive days. NSPC proliferation was analyzed by measuring the neurosphere diameter and Brdu staining, apoptosis was detected by cell death enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and flow cytometry, and NSPC viability was assessed by cell counting kit-8 assay. We found that specific parameters of frequency (1/10/20 Hz), intensity (1.24/1.58 T), and number of pulses (800/1,500/3,000) promote proliferation and apoptosis (p < 0.05 for all), but 20 Hz, 1.58 T, and 1,500 pulses achieved the optimal response for the NSPC viability. In addition, rTMS significantly promoted the expression of BDNF at the mRNA and protein level, while also increasing Akt phosphorylation (Thr308 and Ser473; p < 0.05). Overall, we identified the most appropriate rTMS parameters for further studies on NSPCs in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the effect of magnetic stimulation on NSPC proliferation might be correlated to BDNF/Akt signaling pathway.

Keywords: rTMS, proliferation, apoptosis, neural stem/progenitor cells, BDNF

INTRODUCTION

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a widely used noninvasive technique that uses electromagnetic fields to stimulate the brain. Generated magnetic fields penetrate the skull with minimal attenuation and produce electrical currents in cortical layers. rTMS has a direct effect on the excitability of regions functionally connected with

cortical and subcortical structures. The result of rTMS is affected by the intensity and frequency of the stimulation: low frequency rTMS (≤ 1 Hz) and high frequency rTMS (≥ 5 Hz) decrease and increase the cortical excitability, respectively (Ridding and Ziemann, 2010).

rTMS has been reported to treat many neurological diseases including traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury (SCI), neurodegenerative disorders, schizophrenia, and substance addiction (Tazoe and Perez, 2015; Benussi et al., 2018; Shin et al., 2018). The therapeutic effect induced by rTMS might be associated to several processes, such as increased neural stem and progenitor cell growth and neuronal differentiation, release of neurotrophic factors (e.g., brain-derived neurotrophic factor, BDNF), and regulation of neuronal excitability and cerebral blood flow (Lee et al., 2015). However, the exact mechanism underlying rTMS function is still elusive and needs to be further investigated.

The discovery of resident neural stem cells in the adult central nervous system, especially in the spinal cord (Barnabé-Heider and Frisén, 2008), has evoked interest in transplantation therapies of SCI based on the recruitment of neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs; Sabelström et al., 2014). Numerous techniques were explored to promote the proliferation of NSPCs, including rTMS, but the most appropriate parameters were not clear (Guo et al., 2014).

In this study, we aimed to explore the effect of rTMS at different intensity, frequency, and number of pulses on spinal cord-derived NSPC apoptosis and proliferation, shedding light on potential underlying mechanisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spinal Cord-Derived NSPC Isolation, Culture, and Differentiation

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Xijing Hospital of the Fourth Military Medical University (No.XJYYLL-2015134) and was conducted according to the ARRIVE guidelines and National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. NSPCs were isolated from spinal cords of 8-weeks-old adult C57/BL6 mice, according to our previously published method (Wang et al., 2018). Briefly, thoracic spinal cords were isolated, triturated, and passed through a 70-µm strainer. Then, cells were cultured in NSPC growth media (DMEM/F12, 11320033, B-27, 12587010, N-2, 17502048, Gibco) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were passaged every 6-8 days and experiments were conducted between the third and the seventh passage. For adherent cultures, cells were harvested and seeded on slips coated with poly-L-lysine (0.01%, P4707, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). For NSPC differentiation specific differentiation media were used replacing the NSPC growth medium according to the Gibco neurobiology protocol handbook, as we previously described (Wang et al., 2018).

In vitro Repetitive Magnetic Stimulation

rTMS was applied on NSPCs with a CCY-1 stimulator (YIRUIDE Medical Equipment Company, China) using the experimental

coil as recommended (Figure 1A), easily distinguishable from figure-8 coil (Figure 1B) or circular coil (Figure 1C). Before stimulation, the intensity at different distances (from the center of the coil to the bottom of the culture dish) was measured, and the exact intensity of the magnetic field was recorded as schematized in Figure 1. For detecting the effects of different stimulus frequencies, the parameters were set at 1/10/20 Hz of stimulation with a total of 1,500 pulses (peak value 1.58 T) once a day for five consecutive days. For detecting the effects of different intensities of the magnetic field, we tested 0.87/1.24/1.58 T (1.0/1.5/2.0 cm distance from the center of the coil to the bottom of the culture dish) of 20-Hz stimulation with a total of 1,500 pulses once a day for five consecutive days. The effects of different stimuli pulses were evaluated by setting the parameters at 400/800/1,500/3,000 pulses of 20-Hz stimulation (peak value 1.58 T) once a day for five consecutive days. For the control group, NSPC plates were placed under the experimental coil at the same room temperature but were subjected to no stimulation. The first treatment was commenced 8 h after NSPC plating, and the subsequent treatments were commenced every morning since the 2nd day for 4 days. All the experiments were performed in three biological replicates.

Quantitative Real-Time Reverse Transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (15596018, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNAs were synthesized by using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (RR047A, TaKaRa) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To quantify the mRNA expression of BDNF, qRT-PCR using TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (RR820A, TaKaRa) was performed. Primer pairs were as follows-BDNF: AATCCCATGGGTTACACGAA (forward) and AAGTTGTGCGCA AATGACTG (reverse); GAPDH: CCTCTGGAAAGCTGTGGCGT (forward) and TTGGAGGCCATGTAGGCCAT (reverse). The reaction conditions were as follows: 95°C for 30 s, 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 30 s. The relative expression was quantified using the $2^{-\triangle \triangle ct}$ method. *BDNF* mRNA levels were then expressed as fold changes after normalization to GAPDH levels.

Western Blot Analysis

NSPCs were harvested and homogenized with a radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (89900, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (11697498001, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm at 4°C, supernatants were collected, and protein content was determined by NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ND-1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Equal amounts of total protein (20 μ g) were separated onto 10% sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes, and blocked with 5% skim milk in Tris buffered saline plus Tween (TBST) buffer at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: anti-



FIGURE 1 Appearance of stimulation coil and intensities of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (r1MS). Appearance of experimental coil (A), figure-8 coil (B) and circular coil (C). Schematic diagram of different intensities of rTMS were measured at 1.0 cm (D), 1.5 cm (E) and 2.0 cm (F) from the center of the coil to the bottom of the culture dish.

phospho-Akt (Thr308; 1:500, #13038 Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-phospho-Akt (Ser473; 1:500, #4060, Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-Akt (1:1,000, #4685, Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-BDNF (1:500, ab108319, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and anti- β -actin (1:1,000, #4970, Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). Membranes were washed with TBS and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:2,000, ab205718, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 2 h. Protein bands were detected by exposure to X-ray films. Films were scanned, and digital images were analyzed using the ImageJ software (version 1.45, NIH, USA). Relative protein levels were expressed as fold changes after normalization to β -actin.

Immunofluorescence Study

Cell cultures were fixed with 4% cold paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 5 min at room temperature. After washing, the primary antibody solution containing anti-nestin (1:200, MAB353, Chemicon), anti-TUJ1 (1:100, MAB1637, Chemicon), anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; 1:400, Z033401–2, Dako), anti-oligodendrocyte marker O4 (1:25, MAB345, Chemicon), and anti-TrkB (1:200, ab18987, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was added to each coverslip and incubated overnight at 4°C. The

primary antibody was removed and samples were incubated with the corresponding secondary antibody solution (1:500, A-10680, A-11030, A-11081, A-11035, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1:1,000, ab228549, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 45 min at room temperature. Finally, samples were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and observed using a fluorescent microscope (Zeiss Axio Vert. A1).

Neurosphere Diameter Measurement

Neurosphere diameter was measured in three biological replicates, and for each repetition, 10 micrographs were randomly captured under $200 \times$ magnification from culture dish after the final rTMS stimulation. Then the average diameter of neurospheres was calculated from the 30 micrographs.

BrdU Incorporation

Cells were plated on coverslips and incubated with 10 μM BrdU (B5002, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 8 h at 37°C. Cells were then fixed with 4% PFA and incubated with 2 mol/L of HCl, followed by 1 mol/L Na₂B₄O₇. After washing, cells were incubated with anti-BrdU antibody (1:250, ab6326, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for 4 h, goat antirat Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated

secondary antibody for 1 h, and Hoechst stain for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were examined with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axio Vert. A1). Data were expressed as the number of BrdU-positive cells/total number of cells. The final percentage of positive cells was calculated from three biological replicates. In each biological repetition, 10 micrographs were randomly captured from three cover slips.

Flow Cytometry Assay

To detect cell apoptosis, flow cytometry was performed using the Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and propidium iodide (PI) double staining kit (556547, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, after magnetic stimulation, NSPCs were collected and resuspended in a 500- μ l binding buffer. Then, aliquots (100 μ l) of the solution were transferred for incubation with 5- μ l FITC-Annexin V and 5- μ l PI, followed by gently vortexing at room temperature avoiding light for 10 min. Samples were analyzed using a Beckman Epics XL-MCL flow cytometer within 1 h. Flow cytometry assays were tested three times in each biological experiment, and averages of a total of three biological replicates were used for statistical analysis.

Cell Death Assay

The cell death detection enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Plus kit (11544675001, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used to measure the relative amounts of mono- and oligonucleosomes released from the apoptotic cells in the cytoplasmic fraction. The ELISA was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were collected and homogenized in 400 μ l of incubation buffer. After the incubation, the cell lysates containing histone/DNA components were obtained. Then, antihistone and anti-DNA-peroxidase antibodies were added followed by the peroxidase substrate. Finally, the absorbance was recorded at 405 nm with a microplate reader (ELx808, BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). ELISAs were tested three times in each biological repetition; averages of a total of three biological replicates were used for statistical analysis.

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) Assay

CCK-8 assay, which is considered as a sensitive, nonradioactive colorimetric assay, was used to determine the number of viable cells. Briefly, NSPCs were cultured in NSPC growth media for 72 h, and CCK-8 solution (CK04, Dojindo) was added at a final concentration of 10 μ l/100 μ l and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Optical density (OD) at 450 nm was measured with a microplate reader (ELx808, BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, USA). CCK-8 levels were tested six times in one biological repetition, and averages of a total of three biological replicates were used for final analysis.

BDNF ELISA

The concentration of BDNF in supernatants from NSPCs after rTMS was determined by an ELISA kit (MU30097, Bio-Swamp) in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. BDNF levels were determined by reading the OD of each sample at the wavelength of 450 nm and calculating the standard curve.

ELISAs were tested three times in one biological repetition, and averages of a total of three biological replicates were used for statistical analysis.

Statistical Analysis

All data were expressed as mean \pm SD and analyzed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Significant differences between two groups were evaluated by the student's *t*-test; analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison in more than two groups, followed by least significant difference (LSD) to conduct a *post hoc* test. The level of significance for all comparisons was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Culture and Identification of NSPCs

The majority of the cells isolated from spinal cord gradually adhered to the wall after 1 day. Cells were found to grow into neurospheres after 2 days. Nestin positive cells detected by immunofluorescence were both found in floating neurospheres and adherent NSPCs (**Figure 2A**). Following the addition to NSPCs of specific differentiation medium, neurospheres were capable of developing into heterogeneous populations of neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. TUJ-1-positive neuron (8.9 \pm 1.4%), GFAP-positive astrocyte (65.1 \pm 3.5%), and O4-positive oligodendrocyte (12.4 \pm 1.8%) were observed by immunofluorescence after 10 days of incubation (**Figure 2B**).

Different Frequencies of rTMS on NSPC Proliferation and Apoptosis

To explore the effects of different frequencies of rTMS on NSPCs, we assayed different parameters (1, 10, and 20 Hz) while the intensity and the number of pulses were set to 1.58 T and 1,500, respectively. We observed that compared to the control group, rTMS (1, 10, and 20 Hz) stimulated NSPC proliferation (p < 0.05), as suggested by evaluating the neurosphere diameter (Figures 3A,B) and BrdU positive cell rate (Figures 3C,D). Different rTMS frequencies also increased the apoptosis rate of NSPCs (p < 0.05), as showed by the apoptosis ELISA analysis (Figure 3E) and flow cytometry results (Figures 3F,G). CCK-8 assay showed that all different frequencies significantly increased cell viability compared with control (p < 0.05; Figure 3H), but no statistical differences were observed in proliferation, apoptosis, or cell viability between the three stimulation groups (p > 0.05). All these results indicated that different frequencies (1, 10, and 20 Hz) promoted NSPC proliferation and increase cell viability.

Different Intensities of rTMS on NSPC Proliferation and Apoptosis

The effect of different intensities of rTMS on NSPCs was evaluated at three different values (0.87, 1.24, and 1.58 T), while the frequency and the number of pulses were set to 20 Hz and 1,500, respectively. Our results showed that rTMS (1.24- and 1.58-T groups) stimulated NSPC proliferation (p < 0.05), as indicated by the neurosphere diameter (**Figures 4A,B**) and BrdU positive cell rate (**Figures 4C,D**). Moreover, the apoptosis rate of NSPCs increased (p < 0.05) according to apoptosis ELISA



analysis (**Figure 4E**) and flow cytometry results (**Figures 4F,G**). CCK-8 assay indicated that intensities of 1.24 and 1.58 T increased cell viability (p < 0.05), with the highest values at 1.58-T rTMS intensity (**Figure 4H**). The intensity at 0.87 T did not show significant differences with respect to the control group. Overall, these results showed that 1.24- and 1.58-T intensities promoted the NSPC proliferation and increase cell viability.

Different Number of Pulses of rTMS on NSPC Proliferation and Apoptosis

We assayed four different parameters for the number of pulses (400, 800, 1,500, and 3,000) in order to determine whether they affected NSPC proliferation and viability. To do this, we kept the intensity and the frequency set to 1.58 T and 20 Hz, respectively. Compared to the control group, the parameters of 800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses stimulated NSPC proliferation (p < 0.05), but there were no significant differences between the 1,500 and 3,000 groups (p > 0.05). Indeed, the neurosphere diameter (**Figures 5A,B**) and BrdU positive cell rate (**Figures 5C,D**) clearly

showed these effects. Moreover, different pulses significantly increased the NSPC apoptosis rate (p < 0.05), as suggested by ELISA data (**Figure 5E**) and flow cytometry assay (**Figures 5F,G**). CCK-8 assay showed that 800 and 1,500 pulses increased the cell viability (p < 0.05), with the highest value in the 1,500 group (**Figure 5H**). Due to the rapid increase in the apoptosis rate at 3,000 pulses, the final cell viability had no significant differences with the control group (p > 0.05). The pulse number of 400 did not show significant differences with respect to the control group. Taken together, these results indicated that both 800 and 1,500 pulses promote NSPC proliferation and increase cell viability.

Effects of rTMS on BDNF Signaling in NSPCs

BDNF receptor TrkB along with Nestin were expressed in NSPCs (**Figure 6A**). The secretion of BDNF was increased after rTMS stimulation (p < 0.05; **Figure 6B**). Compared to the control group, the *BDNF* expression was significantly



upregulated in the rTMS group at the mRNA and protein level (p < 0.05 for all; **Figures 6C–E**). In order to further explore BDNF signaling, we investigated the activation of the Akt pathway. Both p-Akt (Thr308) and p-Akt (Ser473) were significantly upregulated in the rTMS group compared to the control, while no significant differences were observed in total Akt expression (**Figures 6F,G**). Overall, these results indicated that rTMS stimulation promoted the expression of *BDNF*, which is associated with the phosphorylation level of Akt.

DISCUSSION

Spinal cord-derived NSPCs are a promising candidate for treating SCI. Transplantation of spinal cord-derived NSPCs in the earlier period after SCI promotes neuron regeneration and function recovery (Sabelström et al., 2014). Unfortunately, how to improve the poor post-transplantation survival and deficiency in differentiation remains a major challenge. Moreover, endogenous NSPCs play a pivotal role in the repair after SCI. rTMS is a treatment used for central nervous system diseases that may achieve satisfactory curative effectiveness. For example, high-frequency rTMS promotes cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in oxygen and glucose deprivation/reoxygenation (OGD/R)-injured cells and showed positive results in treating individuals with physical impairments (De Araújo et al., 2017; Baek et al., 2018). Multiple rTMS sessions also have a potential as a treatment for central neuropathic pain (Quesada et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018), and it also promotes neuron survival and proliferation and reduces the apoptosis rate after traumatic brain injury (Lu et al., 2017). rTMS appeared to be a promising way to promote the transplantation success rate of the transplanted NSPCs and endogenous NSPC survival. In



diameter increased in rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (C) BrdU positive NSPCs in CTL and rTMS groups (n = 3). (D) BrdU positive rate increased in rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (C) BrdU positive NSPCs in CTL and rTMS groups (n = 3). (D) BrdU positive rate increased in rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (E) Apoptosis rate increased in rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (E) Apoptosis rate increased in rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (E) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (E) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (E) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS groups (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group (1.24 and 1.58 T; n = 3). (F) Apoptosis rate in CTL and rTMS group

this study, we investigated rTMS stimulation effects on NSPC proliferation and apoptosis upon different conditions, including different intensity, frequency, and number of pulses of magnetic stimulation. We found that 1,500 pulses, 20 Hz, and 1.58 T were the most appropriate parameters.

High-frequency stimulation has been proved to elevate neuroblastoma cells and NSPC proliferation, along with neuronal differentiation *in vitro*, while rTMS treatment at low and high frequencies promoted neuronal differentiation of NSPCs in the subventricular zone of the adult mouse brain (Abbasnia et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015). In a focal cerebral ischemia rat model, rTMS promoted neural stem cell proliferation *via* the regulation of MiR-25 and the upregulation of MiR-106b *in vitro* (Guo et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). However, few studies focused on the effect of rTMS on the spinal cord-derived NSPCs. Previous studies showed that neural stem cells propagated from the adult spinal cord were different from the well-studied forebrain neural stem cells, which maintained neurogenesis throughout the entire organism life (Barnabé-Heider and Frisén, 2008). In this study, NSPCs derived from the adult mouse spinal cord were isolated, cultured, and differentiated under various conditions.

The effect of rTMS depends on the intensity and frequency of the applied stimulation. Depending on the stimulation frequency, the cortical excitability could be temporarily modulated and the physiology within the cortex was consistently regulated by rTMS (Pleger et al., 2006). A previous study showed that low-frequency (1 Hz) rTMS treatment could improve the motor function in cortical stroke patients (Emara et al., 2010). Our previous study revealed that low-frequency rTMS to the contralesional hemisphere combined with high-frequency rTMS to the lesional hemisphere was efficacious in accelerating upper limb motor recovery in patients with acute stroke. In particular, the combination of different-frequencies rTMS was more beneficial for motor improvement than the low-frequency rTMS use only (Long et al., 2018). Herein, we found that rTMS of 1, 10, and 20 Hz significantly increased NSPC proliferation



positive rate increased in rTMS groups (800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses; n = 3). (**E**) Apoptosis rate increased in rTMS groups (800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses; n = 3). (**E**) Apoptosis rate increased in rTMS groups (800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses) assessed by flow cytometry analysis (n = 3). (**G**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS groups (800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS groups (800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosis rate increased in the rTMS group (800 and 1,500 pulses; n = 3). (**F**) Apoptosi



and apoptosis. However, the effect of rTMS on proliferation is more obvious. Therefore, the results of CCK-8 showed that the value of all three experimental groups was higher than that of the control group, but there was no significant difference among the three experimental groups. Although different frequencies of rTMS can lead to different excitability, the effects observed here on NSPC viability were similar.

rTMS intensity also played a decisive role in the therapy of many diseases of the nervous system. rTMS targeting the primary motor cortex (M1) has shown clinical effectiveness in treating

patients with neuropathic pain (Ayache et al., 2016). Shimizu et al. (2017) reported that, compared to figure-8 coil, the use of deep rTMS with Hesed coil (H-coil), referred to as "deep TMS," achieved an improved deeper penetration in the lower limb region of the M1 providing significant short-term pain relief in patients with neuropathic pain. This clinical trial indicated that differences in field intensity, due to different effective depth of rTMS, may be responsible for different clinical outcomes. In this study, the different intensities of rTMS depended on the distances from the center of the coil to the bottom of the culture dish. The higher intensity of rTMS induced higher proliferation and apoptosis in NPSCs. Overall, 1.24 and 1.58 T promoted NSPC proliferation and increased cell viability, indicating that rTMS did not work effectively when the distance from the center of the coil to functional areas is beyond 2 cm, which may be a useful clue in clinical practice.

The number of pulses of rTMS varies in clinical efficacy. Previous studies showed that rTMS treatment consisting of 10 daily sessions of 1,500 pulses over the intact motor cortex could rebalance motor excitability of stroke patients with mild motor injury (Avenanti et al., 2012). Moreover, five daily sessions of 900 pulses over the motor cortex of the influenced hemisphere could accelerate recovery in acute stroke patients (Khedr et al., 2009). However, 200 pulses of rTMS seemed to have a limited effect on improving muscle function and purposeful movement early after stroke, although motor-evoked potential frequency increased 14% for biceps and 20% for triceps after stimulation (Pomeroy et al., 2007). These results suggest that some specific ranges of stimulation pulses may achieve a therapeutic effect. Indeed, we found that 800, 1,500, and 3,000 pulses of rTMS induce NSPC proliferation and apoptosis. Overall, the cell viability upon stimulation with 1,500 pulses induced better results, indicating that a different number of pulses (800, 1,500) could promote NSPC proliferation and increase cell viability. Three thousand pulses of stimulation dramatically increased apoptosis of NSPCs leading to a decrease in the CCK-8 value, and consequently counteracting the proliferative role and finally leading to equivalence of CCK-8 viability to controls.

BDNF, a well-studied neurotrophin, has been proved to be associated with proliferation, neuronal survival, plasticity, and neurotransmitter regulation. BDNF activates its receptor TrkB and leads to intracellular signaling cascades, which include the Ras/ERK protein kinase pathway, the phosphatidylinositol-3kinase (PI-3 kinase)/Akt kinase pathway, and phospholipase C (PLC)- γ 1. Like most members of the AGC kinase family, Akt could be phosphorylated at Thr308 of its T loop and Ser473 of its C-terminal hydrophobic motif (HM) site (Scheid et al., 2002). It has been found that rTMS treatment improves symptoms of refractory depression, especially for agitation, by increasing the plasma level of BDNF (Yukimasa et al., 2006). Low-frequency rTMS also accelerates the motor function recovery in the affected

REFERENCES

Abbasnia, K., Ghanbari, A., Abedian, M., Ghanbari, A., Sharififar, S., and Azari, H. (2015). The effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation limb after stroke by influencing *BDNF* gene polymorphism (Niimi et al., 2016). Here, we found that the level of BDNF was significantly upregulated in NSPCs after magnetic stimulation, indicating that rTMS promoted BDNF expression and secretion. At the same time, the receptor of BDNF, tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB), was expressed in NSPCs. It has been reported that BDNF/Akt signaling was related to NSPC growth and differentiation *in vitro*, and BDNF secretion as well as the phosphorylation of Akt could be induced by different frequency magnetic stimulation, followed by an influence on neuronal cell proliferation (Sabelström et al., 2014; Hossain et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017). Our results confirmed that the phosphorylation of Akt at Thr308 and Ser473 sites was increased upon magnetic stimulation. Thus, magnetic stimulation might regulate NSPC proliferation correlated to the BDNF/Akt signaling pathway.

In conclusion, we confirmed that some specific parameters of rTMS could promote NSPC proliferation and apoptosis, but the effect on proliferation seemed to be stronger. We identified the most appropriate parameters as 1,500 pulses, 20 Hz, and 1.58 T, useful for further studies on NSPCs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These effects may be correlated to the BDNF/Akt signaling pathway.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Medical Ethics Committee of the Xijing Hospital of the Fourth Military Medical University.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors read, edited, and approved the final manuscript. C-GZ and JQ were responsible for the design and project supervision. WS and FJ were responsible for writing of the manuscript. Y-LZ, RW, and X-LS carried out the experiment. XM and HY are the lead investigators, and developed the design of the study, analysis and interpretations.

FUNDING

This study was supported by a grant from Innovative Program of Military Medicine (16CXZ022).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Li-Shu Yao and Hai-Tao Xu for measuring different magnetic field intensities of rTMS.

on proliferation and differentiation of neural stem cells. *Anat. Cell Biol.* 48, 104–113. doi: 10.5115/acb.2015.48.2.104

Avenanti, A., Coccia, M., Ladavas, E., Provinciali, L., and Ceravolo, M. G. (2012). Low-frequency rTMS promotes use-dependent motor plasticity in

chronic stroke: a randomized trial. *Neurology* 78, 256–264. doi: 10.1212/wnl. 0b013e3182436558

- Ayache, S. S., Ahdab, R., Chalah, M. A., Farhat, W. H., Mylius, V., Goujon, C., et al. (2016). Analgesic effects of navigated motor cortex rTMS in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. *Eur. J. Pain* 20, 1413–1422. doi: 10.1002/ ejp.864
- Baek, A., Kim, J. H., Pyo, S., Jung, J. H., Park, E. J., Kim, S. H., et al. (2018). The differential effects of repetitive magnetic stimulation in an *in vitro* neuronal model of ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Front. Neurol.* 9:50. doi: 10.3389/fneur. 2018.00050
- Barnabé-Heider, F., and Frisén, J. (2008). Stem cells for spinal cord repair. Cell. Stem. Cell. 3, 16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2008.06.011
- Benussi, A., Alberici, A., Ferrari, C., Cantoni, V., Dell'Era, V., Turrone, R., et al. (2018). The impact of transcranial magnetic stimulation on diagnostic confidence in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimers. Res. Ther.* 10:94. doi: 10.1186/s13195-018-0423-6
- De Araújo, A. V. L., Barbosa, V. R. N., Galdinom, G. S., Fregni, F., Massetti, T., Fontes, S. L., et al. (2017). Effects of high-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation on functional performance in individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 18:522. doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2280-1
- Emara, T. H., Moustafa, R. R., Elnahas, N. M., Elganzoury, A. M., Abdo, T. A., Mohamed, S. A., et al. (2010). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation at 1Hz and 5Hz produces sustained improvement in motor function and disability after ischaemic stroke. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 17, 1203–1209. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03000.x
- Guo, F., Han, X., Zhang, J., Zhao, X., Lou, J., Chen, H., et al. (2014). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation promotes neural stem cell proliferation *via* the regulation of MiR-25 in a rat model of focal cerebral ischemia. *PLoS One* 9:e109267. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0109267
- Hossain, M. E., Matsuzaki, K., Katakura, M., Sugimoto, N., Mamun, A. A., Islam, R., et al. (2017). Direct exposure to mild heat promotes proliferation and neuronal differentiation of neural stem/progenitor cells *in vitro*. *PLoS One* 12:e0190356. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190356
- Khedr, E. M., Abdel-Fadeil, M. R., Farghali, A., and Qaid, M. (2009). Role of 1 and 3 Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor function recovery after acute ischaemic stroke. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 16, 1323–1330. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02746.x
- Lee, J. Y., Park, H. J., Kim, J. H., Cho, B. P., Cho, S. R., and Kim, S. H. (2015). Effects of low- and high-frequency repetitive magnetic stimulation on neuronal cell proliferation and growth factor expression: a preliminary report. *Neurosci. Lett.* 14, 167–172. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2015.07.038
- Li, X. T., Liang, Z., Wang, T. T., Yang, J. W., Ma, W., Deng, S. K., et al. (2017). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor promotes growth of neurons and neural stem cells possibly by triggering the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT/glycogen synthase kinase-3β/β-catenin pathway. CNS. Neurol. Disord. Drug. Targets. 16, 828–836. doi: 10.2174/1871527316666170518170422
- Liu, H., Han, X. H., Chen, H., Zheng, C. X., Yang, Y., and Huang, X. L. (2015). Repetitive magnetic stimulation promotes neural stem cells proliferation by upregulating MiR-106b in vitro. J. Huazhong Univ. Sci. Technol. Med. Sci. 35, 766–772. doi: 10.1007/s11596-015-1505-3
- Long, H., Wang, H., Zhao, C., Duan, Q., Feng, F., Hui, N., et al. (2018). Effects of combining high- and low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on upper limb hemiparesis in the early phase of stroke. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* 36, 21–30. doi: 10.3233/rnn-170733
- Lu, X., Bao, X., Li, J., Zhang, G., Guan, J., Gao, Y., et al. (2017). Highfrequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating moderate traumatic brain injury in rats: a pilot study. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 13, 2247–2254. doi: 10.3892/etm.2017.4283
- Niimi, M., Hashimoto, K., Kakuda, W., Miyano, S., Momosaki, R., Ishima, T., et al. (2016). Role of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in beneficial effects of

repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for upper limb hemiparesis after stroke. *PLoS One* 11:e0152241. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152241

- Pleger, B., Blankenburg, F., Bestmann, S., Ruff, C. C., Wiech, K., Stephan, K. E., et al. (2006). Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation-induced changes in sensorimotor coupling parallel improvements of somatosensation in humans. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 1945–1952. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 4097-05.2006
- Pomeroy, V. M., Cloud, G., Tallis, R. C., Donaldson, C., Nayak, V., and Miller, S. (2007). Transcranial magnetic stimulation and muscle contraction to enhance stroke recovery: a randomized proof-of-principle and feasibility investigation. *Neurorehabil. Neural. Repair.* 2, 509–517. doi: 10.1177/1545968307300418
- Quesada, C., Pommier, B., Fauchon, C., Bradley, C., Créac'h, C., Vassal, F., et al. (2018). Robot-guided neuronavigated repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) in central neuropathic pain. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 99, 2203–2215. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2018.04.013
- Ridding, M. C., and Ziemann, U. (2010). Determinants of the induction of cortical plasticity by non-invasive brain stimulation in healthy subjects. *J. Physiol. Lond.* 588, 2291–2304. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2010.190314
- Sabelström, H., Stenudd, M., and Frisén, J. (2014). Neural stem cells in the adult spinal cord. *Exp. Neurol.* 260, 44–49. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2013. 01.026
- Scheid, M. P., Marignani, P. A., and Woodgett, J. R. (2002). Multiple phosphoinositide 3-kinase-dependent steps in activation of protein kinase B. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 22, 6247–6260. doi: 10.1128/mcb.22.17.6247-6260.2002
- Shimizu, T., Hosomi, K., Maruo, T., Goto, Y., Yokoe, M., Kageyama, Y., et al. (2017). Efficacy of deep rTMS for neuropathic pain in the lower limb: a randomized, double-blind crossover trial of an H-coil and figure-8 coil. *J. Neurosurg.* 127, 1172–1180. doi: 10.3171/2016.9.jns16815
- Shin, S. S., Krishnan, V., Stokes, W., Robertson, C., Celnik, P., Chen, Y., et al. (2018). Transcranial magnetic stimulation and environmental enrichment enhances cortical excitability and functional outcomes after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Stimul.* 11, 1306–1313. doi: 10.1016/j.brs.2018. 07.050
- Tazoe, T., and Perez, M. A. (2015). Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on recovery of function after spinal cord injury. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 96, S145–S155. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2014.07.418
- Wang, R., Zhao, C., Li, J., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Dong, H., et al. (2018). Notch1 promotes mouse spinal neural stem and progenitor cells proliferation via p-p38pax6 induced cyclin D1 activation. *Exp. Cell Res.* 373, 80–90. doi: 10.1016/j. yexcr.2018.09.025
- Yang, L., Wang, S. H., Hu, Y., Sui, Y. F., Peng, T., and Guo, T. C. (2018). Effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on astrocytes proliferation and nnos expression in neuropathic pain rats. *Curr. Med. Sci.* 38, 482–490. doi: 10.1007/s11596-018-1904-3
- Yukimasa, T., Yoshimura, R., Tamagawa, A., Uozumi, T., Shinkai, K., Ueda, N., et al. (2006). High-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation improves refractory depression by influencing catecholamine and brainderived neurotrophic factors. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 39, 52–59. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-931542

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Zhao, Qin, Sun, Ju, Zhao, Wang, Sun, Mou and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.