



Astrocytes in Down Syndrome Across the Lifespan

Blandine Ponroy Bally and Keith K. Murai*

Centre for Research in Neuroscience, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Brain Repair and Integrative Neuroscience Program, Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada

Down Syndrome (DS) is the most common genetic cause of intellectual disability in which delays and impairments in brain development and function lead to neurological and cognitive phenotypes. Traditionally, a neurocentric approach, focusing on neurons and their connectivity, has been applied to understanding the mechanisms involved in DS brain pathophysiology with an emphasis on how triplication of chromosome 21 leads to alterations in neuronal survival and homeostasis, synaptogenesis, brain circuit development, and neurodegeneration. However, recent studies have drawn attention to the role of non-neuronal cells, especially astrocytes, in DS. Astrocytes comprise a large proportion of cells in the central nervous system (CNS) and are critical for brain development, homeostasis, and function. As triplication of chromosome 21 occurs in all cells in DS (with the exception of mosaic DS), a deeper understanding of the impact of trisomy 21 on astrocytes in DS pathophysiology is warranted and will likely be necessary for determining how specific brain alterations and neurological phenotypes emerge and progress in DS. Here, we review the current understanding of the role of astrocytes in DS, and discuss how specific perturbations in this cell type can impact the brain across the lifespan from early brain development to adult stages. Finally, we highlight how targeting, modifying, and/or correcting specific molecular pathways and properties of astrocytes in DS may provide an effective therapeutic direction given the important role of astrocytes in regulating brain development and function.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Arumugam R. Jayakumar, Miami VA Healthcare System, United States

Reviewed by:

Bo-Eun Yoon, Dankook University, South Korea Vanessa Nunes De Paiva, University of Miami, United States Asanish Kalyanasundaram, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Keith K. Murai keith.murai@mcgill.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Non-Neuronal Cells, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience

> Received: 29 April 2021 Accepted: 30 July 2021 Published: 18 August 2021

Citation:

Ponroy Bally B and Murai KK (2021) Astrocytes in Down Syndrome Across the Lifespan. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 15:702685. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.702685 Keywords: Down Syndrome, astrocyte, neurodevelopment, intellectual disability, glia, Alzheimer's disease

GENERAL FEATURES OF THE DS BRAIN

Down Syndrome (DS) is a genetic condition found in approximately one in 400 births and results from the presence of an extra copy of human chromosome 21 (Hattori et al., 2001). Trisomy 21 alters gene expression in all cells of the body and results in characteristic facial features, hypothyroidism, hearing and vision abnormalities, cardiac and gastric malformations, and importantly, delayed brain and cognitive development (Baburamani et al., 2019; Vicente et al., 2020). Neurodevelopment is atypical and extremely variable in DS. Notably DS individuals present intellectual disability ranging from mild to severe [30–70 of intellectual quotient (IQ)] (Maatta et al., 2006). Such intellectual disability manifests itself by disrupting working memory

1

and verbal short-term memory (Chapman and Hesketh, 2000; Lanfranchi et al., 2012). Analyses of the DS brain and animal models have shown reduced brain volume, as well as, simplified gyral appearance in human samples (Pinter et al., 2001). Furthermore, in children with DS, the brain has reduced cortical area but an increased cortical thickness (Lee et al., 2016). Later, during early to middle adulthood, the DS brain shows signs of premature aging and shrinkage of crucial brain regions needed for learning and memory and executive function, such as the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (Koran et al., 2014). During middle to late adulthood, essentially all DS individuals develop AD neuropathology, including *B*-amyloid (AB) plaques, tauopathy, neurodegeneration, and neuroinflammation (Wisniewski et al., 1978, 1985; Mann and Esiri, 1989).

The neurodevelopmental and intellectual deficits observed in DS are strongly linked to alterations in brain connectivity. Indeed, connectivity in the brain of DS individuals is reported to be perturbed at multiple levels. MRI studies have shown that DS individuals have altered functional connectivity and synchrony (Anderson et al., 2013; Pujol et al., 2015; Figueroa-Jimenez et al., 2021). However, disruptions in connectivity are not uniform across the brain, but rather occur in areas where anatomical alterations have been reported such as the hippocampus, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and the frontal lobe (Aylward et al., 1999; Carducci et al., 2013), and are consistent with the cognitive deficits observed with DS. Excessive connectivity along with increased inter-brain regional connectivity are thought to contribute to poor adaptative behaviors and lower IQ (Anderson et al., 2013; Pujol et al., 2015). At the cellular level, a reduction in neuronal production and premature neuronal death are observed in DS and implicated in the brain size reduction (Contestabile et al., 2007; Guidi et al., 2008, 2011). Abnormal dendritic arborization, dendritic spine density and morphology are also reported in DS (Takashima et al., 1981; Wisniewski et al., 1984; Becker et al., 1986), indicating disrupted formation and maintenance of cellular connectivity.

While neuronal changes have been widely described in DS, it is far less clear how trisomy 21 impacts non-neuronal cells which are essential for brain development, function, and homeostasis. Interestingly, recent studies analyzing the transcriptome of human DS brains have revealed a dysregulation of genes involved in oligodendrocyte differentiation, these genes include TMEM63A, MYRF, PLD1, RTKN, ASPA, OPALIN, ERBB3, and EVI2A (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016). This is consistent with defects in axonal myelination and altered psychomotor development in DS individuals (Wisniewski and Schmidt-Sidor, 1989). Alterations of oligodendrocytes are also present in the Ts65dn mouse model [the most studied DS mouse model which consists of a partial trisomy made up of a distal portion of mouse chromosome 16 and a centromeric portion of mouse chromosome 17 (Davisson et al., 1993)], where defects in myelin are attributed to impairments in oligodendrocyte maturation and an overall reduction in the number of mature myelinating oligodendrocytes (Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016). Thus, trisomy 21 appears to impact non-neuronal cells including oligodendrocytes, an

important brain cell type that ensures the fidelity of axon potential conduction.

ASTROCYTES IN NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS AND NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES

In addition to oligodendrocytes, astrocytes are a highly abundant non-neuronal types in the central nervous system (CNS) (Herculano-Houzel, 2014) that play central roles in the healthy and diseased brain at all stages of life. Astrocytes were originally thought to create passive connective tissue within the brain (Volterra and Meldolesi, 2005). However, we now know that they are critical for numerous aspects of brain function and possess sophisticated mechanisms to communicate with other CNS cell types, especially neurons. Astrocytes provide important metabolic and neurotrophic support to neurons (Rouach et al., 2008; Belanger and Magistretti, 2009; Figley, 2011; Dezonne et al., 2013) and regulate key processes such as synapse formation/plasticity, extracellular ion/neurotransmitter homeostasis, and neurovascular coupling (Drejer et al., 1982; Denis-Donini et al., 1984; Parpura et al., 1994; Zhang and Barres, 2010; Farmer and Murai, 2017; Matias et al., 2019), thus making them essential regulators of neurons across the lifespan. During development, astrocytes make important contributions to axon guidance and synapse formation and plasticity. Thus, it is not surprising that astrocytes have been implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders including Rett (RTT) and Fragile X (FXS) syndromes and autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Such disorders are characterized by abnormalities in neuronal brain wiring and physiology, with many studies focused on disruptions to neurogenesis, axon guidance, dendrite development, and synaptogenesis. Recent studies have suggested that astrocytes may directly participate in such disorders. For example, increased levels of several glial proteins have been identified including GFAP, EAAT1, and S100A3 in post mortem brain samples from RTT individuals. RTT also causes astrocyte reactivity (Colantuoni et al., 2001), in which the structural, molecular, and functional profile of astrocytes is significantly altered (Escartin et al., 2021). In vitro, RTT astrocytes release factors into the culture medium which stunt neurite outgrowth (Ballas et al., 2009). Astrocytes also are implicated in FXS where dendritic arborization is delayed in neurons co-cultured with FXS astrocytes (Jacobs et al., 2010). In vivo studies in mice have also shown that selective genetic deletion of Fmr1 (gene responsible for FXS) from astrocytes reduces spine dynamics and impairs motor-skill learning (Hodges et al., 2017). Astrocytic alterations have been shown in non-syndromic ASD using human tissues and animals models and has been reviewed recently (Petrelli et al., 2016). Interestingly, the astrocytic glutamate transporters EAAT1 and EAAT2, which regulate extracellular glutamate levels at the synapse, are misregulated in the brain of ASD individuals (Purcell et al., 2001). The importance of astrocytes in ASD has recently been further supported by transcriptome analysis of the ASD brain (Voineagu et al., 2011). These studies revealed an enrichment of reactive astrocytes (Voineagu et al., 2011) which is supported by immunolabeling of post mortem ASD brain tissue where reactive gliosis and glial proliferation are observed (Vargas et al., 2005; Edmonson et al., 2014). While much has been learned about the contribution of astrocytes to neurodevelopmental disorders such as RTT, FXS, and ASD [which is further described in this review (Cresto et al., 2019)], further work is still required to pinpoint precisely how these cells contribute to both morphological and functional changes of the developing brain.

Beyond neurodevelopmental disorders, astrocytes also participate in the pathological events following acquired CNS injuries such as stroke, spinal cord injury, and traumatic brain injury, where robust astrocyte reactivity is found near sites of injury (Anderson et al., 2016; Burda et al., 2016; Adams and Gallo, 2018). Astrocyte reactivity is also a prominent feature in neurodegenerative conditions, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), multiple sclerosis (MS), and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Allan and Rothwell, 2003; Belanger and Magistretti, 2009; Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010). The role of astrocytes in these conditions is described in detail in several reviews (Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010; Pekny et al., 2016; Verkhratsky et al., 2016). With both acquired CNS injuries and chronic neurodegenerative conditions, astrocytes significantly change their communication with neurons and microglial cells, the residing immune cells of the CNS, and participate in a variety of non-cell autonomous processes through the production of neuro-modulatory and inflammatory mediators (such as cytokines), and growth factors. This gives rise to a complex scenario where astrocytes can have both neuroprotective and neurotoxic effects that are context-dependent (Burda and Sofroniew, 2014; Hong et al., 2016; Kwon and Koh, 2020). Further discussion about astrocyte reactivity will come later in this review as it is a prominent feature of the DS brain and akin to what is seen in other neurodegenerative diseases, especially AD.

DS ASTROCYTES AND BRAIN SIZE

As astrocytes play important roles in brain disorders and diseases from early developmental to adult stages, it is important to consider how astrocytes are affected by triplication of chromosome 21. Although studies of astrocytes in DS are few in number when compared to studies of neurons, recent studies are providing insight into how trisomy 21 can directly impact astrocytes to affect brain development and function throughout the lifespan. A characteristic feature of the DS brain is reduced brain volume which likely contributes to the intellectual disability of DS individuals. This reduced brain volume has been reported as early as in the second trimester of pregnancy (Patkee et al., 2020) and is caused by a significant reduction in neuronal number (Schmidt-Sidor et al., 1990). It should be noted that cell counting in the brain is not an easy task and can be associated with significant analytical artifact which is described in this review (von Bartheld et al., 2016). Therefore, additional and up to date studies performed in humans would

be useful in order to calculate more precise numbers and ratios of astrocytes and neurons in DS. However, considering the large body of evidence reporting smaller brain volumes and decreased neuronal numbers in humans and animal models from in vivo and in vitro analysis, it is largely accepted that this phenomenon occurs in the DS brain. New studies using contemporary techniques would nevertheless allow for clarity and preciseness in the exact numbers and ratios. It is suspected that there are multiple causes of the reduction in neuronal number, including a decrease in neuronal differentiation during development and increased neuronal cell death throughout the life of DS individuals (Contestabile et al., 2007; Guidi et al., 2008, 2011). Notably, the reduction in neuronal differentiation is believed to be caused by a gliogenic shift, meaning that neuroprogenitor cells alter their differentiation ability in favor of astrocytes rather than neurons (Guidi et al., 2008; Zdaniuk et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014). Several mechanisms can cause the gliogenic shift in DS, among which is a decrease in progenitor cell proliferation (Roper et al., 2006; Contestabile et al., 2007; Trazzi et al., 2013) and deficits in the Sonic hedgehog signaling pathway which have been directly shown to cause a reduction in the production of neurons (Roper et al., 2006; Currier et al., 2012; Das et al., 2013; Trazzi et al., 2013). Remarkably, studies have shown that correcting these deficits can rescue neuronal number in a DS animal model (Das et al., 2013). Indeed, with a single injection of a Sonic hedgehog agonist in newborn mice, the Reeves' group restored neuronal number as well as behavioral deficits in the DS model. Furthermore, studies performed in vitro demonstrated that excessive levels of AICD (amyloid intracellular domain), which results from the cleavage of APP by γ -secretase were responsible for the increase in expression of Ptch1 and therefore for the malfunctioning of the Sonic hedgehog pathway. Importantly this study also showed that the treatment of neuronal precursor cells with a ysecretase inhibitor normalized AICD and restored neurogenesis and gliogenesis levels to normal levels in vitro (Figure 1; Giacomini et al., 2015). Additional pathways have also been suggested to induce a gliogenic shift in DS, such as an increase in progenitor cell oxidative stress and apoptosis caused by the simultaneous overexpression of S100ß and amyloid precursor protein (APP) (both of which are genes located on chromosome 21) (Lu et al., 2011). Finally, overactivation of the JAK-Stat pathway in progenitor cells due to Dyrk1a overexpression (also a chromosome 21 gene) has been suggested to drive aberrant gliogenesis in DS (Kurabayashi et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2019). Overall, the gliogenic shift in DS and the pathways described could potentially be targeted to rescue neuronal number deficits and restore cell populations that are competent to form normal brain cell number and connectivity.

DS ASTROCYTES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF BRAIN CONNECTIVITY

In DS, neuronal connectivity is believed to be disrupted at several levels from individual synapses to whole circuits.



Alterations in synapse density and shape have been reported in the brains of DS individuals, along with defects in dendritic outgrowth and arborization (Marin-Padilla, 1976; Coyle et al., 1986; Ferrer and Gullotta, 1990; Golden and Hyman, 1994; Benavides-Piccione et al., 2004). The extensiveness of such morphological abnormalities are correlated with the severity of intellectual disability (Zdaniuk et al., 2011). Interestingly, dendritic arborization and synaptogenesis are processes which are regulated by astrocytes in the developing brain through the expression and/or release of various neuroactive factors (Mauch et al., 2001; Christopherson et al., 2005; Verkhratsky and Butt, 2007). Interestingly, thrombospondin 1 (TSP-1), a known astrocyte-secreted synaptogenic factor (Christopherson et al., 2005), is expressed at lower levels in cultured DS astrocytes (Garcia et al., 2010). Its lowered expression is responsible for perturbations in dendritic spine morphology and decreases in synapse number in co-cultures of human DS astrocytes with rodent neurons (Figure 2). This deficit can be mitigated by supplementation with recombinant TSP-1 (Garcia et al., 2010). Future experiments, such as those investigating TSP-1 in DS animal models, will be important in assessing how lower TSP-1 levels contribute to DS synaptic changes that impact neuronal connectivity and circuit formation, and cognitive processes such as learning and memory formation.

In addition to defects in synapse development, perturbations in the effectiveness of GABA synaptic transmission are also implicated in DS (Contestabile et al., 2017). GABA is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mature brain. However, during development, GABA transmission is known to be excitatory (Ben-Ari, 2002). During the postnatal period, GABAergic responses in neurons switch from being excitatory to inhibitory due to decreases and increases in the expression of the chloride transporters NKCC1 and KCC2, respectively, which regulate intracellular chloride concentration (Ben-Ari, 2002). In DS, inhibitory GABA transmission in the adult brain is altered and rendered excitatory. This is supported by studies in the Ts65dn mouse model that have shown that synaptic plasticity and memory deficits can be corrected when inhibitory GABA transmission is restored (Deidda et al., 2015). Intriguingly, astrocytes can regulate intracellular GABA concentrations and the GABA excitatory-inhibitory switch in vitro (Li et al., 1998). This is mainly through the secretion of BDNF, which downregulates NKCC1 levels (Eftekhari et al., 2014). Studies have demonstrated that both BDNF and NKCC1 levels are altered in DS (Deidda et al., 2015). Indeed the reduction in BDNF levels (Tlili et al., 2012) and upregulation of NKCC1 cause excitatory GABAergic transmission in the adult brain (Figure 3; Deidda et al., 2015). Thus, astrocytes may alter the GABA switch in the DS brain through their altered BDNF secretion and cause cellular and circuit-level deficits in excitation/inhibition in the developing brain.

Unlike neurons, astrocytes do not have action potentials. Instead, they exhibit dynamic physiological changes visualized through intracellular calcium elevations. Such communication is coordinated by intracellular calcium transients which can be driven by neuronal activity (Khakh and McCarthy, 2015). These calcium events are thought to induce release of neuroactive molecules including gliotransmitters which can alter neuronal activity and the activity of neighboring astrocytes (Angulo et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2010). In DS, aberrant calcium dynamics have been reported both in rodent models (Muller et al., 1997) and in one study using induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived human astrocytes in which spontaneous calcium fluctuations were increased (Mizuno et al., 2018). These aberrant calcium dynamics are believed to cause a reduction in neuronal excitability when co-cultured with neurons. Remarkably, S100ß overexpression causes aberrant calcium signaling, and pharmacological intervention on this



Biorender.com.



pathway restores calcium dynamics along with neuronal excitability (Mizuno et al., 2018). Thus, targeting calcium dynamics in DS astrocytes may improve aberrant neuronal activity patterns in DS. However, a recent study performed by our group did not detect similar alterations in spontaneous or evoked calcium fluctuations in three different iPSC-derived DS astrocyte lines (Ponroy Bally et al., 2020). The reason

for this discrepancy is unclear. However, it should be noted that the two studies were performed using different iPSC (**Figure 5**) cell lines and that in Mizuno et al. (2018), only one isogenic line was used. Therefore, additional studies are required using a larger number of iPSC lines in order to better understand the impact of trisomy 21 on astrocyte calcium dynamics.

GENOME-WIDE TRANSCRIPTIONAL ALTERATIONS IN DS ASTROCYTES

Until recently, it was believed that the DS phenotype was largely caused by the altered gene dosage of a small number of genes located in the DS critical region (DSCR) of chromosome 21 (Korenberg, 1990; Delabar et al., 1993). The DSCR extends for approximately 5.4 Mb on HSA21q22 and was shown to be necessary and sufficient to induce a DS phenotype. Many key genes are in that region such as DYRK1A, APP, S100β and SOD1 (Belichenko et al., 2009). However, studies now show that trisomy 21 has broader and more complex effects well beyond those directly associated with the DSCR (Korbel et al., 2009; Lyle et al., 2009). A recent study reported changes to the global chromatin architecture in DS, with potential genomewide effects on the transcriptome (Letourneau et al., 2014). Other studies have reported global transcriptional alterations in DS from analysis of a range of tissues including brain (Saran et al., 2003; Mao et al., 2005; Lockstone et al., 2007; Olmos-Serrano et al., 2016), heart (Mao et al., 2005), blood (Pelleri et al., 2018), and thymus (Pelleri et al., 2018), as well as, in individual cell types including fibroblasts (Pelleri et al., 2018), fetal cells (FitzPatrick et al., 2002), lymphoblastoid cell lines (Sullivan et al., 2016), iPSCs (Briggs et al., 2013; Weick et al., 2013; Gonzales et al., 2018; Pelleri et al., 2018), and neurons (Briggs et al., 2013; Weick et al., 2013; Gonzales et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2018). With respect to astrocytes, microarray analysis has detected dysregulation of many mRNAs in these cells (Chen et al., 2014). A more recent study from our group using an Assay for Transposase Accessible Chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) on control and DS iPSC-derived astrocytes uncovered thousands of differently accessible chromatin sites across the genome in DS astrocytes, with an even split of increased and decreased accessibility (Ponroy Bally et al., 2020). Concomitantly, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) revealed a global dysregulation of the transcriptome of DS astrocytes that differed significantly from DS neuroprogenitors (Ponroy Bally et al., 2020). As expected, DS astrocytes showed an upregulation of genes on chromosome 21 such as DYRK1A, S100β, APP, SOD1 and SUMO3. However, 93% of dysregulated genes were found outside of chromosome 21 and were distributed across the genome. Interestingly, mRNAs encoding cell adhesion and extracellular matrix (ECM)-related genes were especially altered and led to impaired adhesive properties of these cells. This is particularly interesting as alterations in cell adhesion and the ECM have also been reported in various cell types and tissues in DS (Conti et al., 2007; Gonzales et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2018). Further investigation into cell adhesion changes of astrocytes is needed to better understand their relationship to neurodevelopmental and agerelated changes observed in the DS brain.

DS ASTROCYTES AND NEURONAL INJURY

Trisomy 21 is expected to impact astrocyte physiology throughout the lifespan of DS individuals. Mitochondrial

dysfunction and oxidative stress may be particularly relevant in this context given that these processes are associated with DS and are a common feature of all DS cells and tissues including astrocytes (Izzo et al., 2018). Consistent with this, DS astrocytes contain a fragmented mitochondrial network that is composed of mostly shorter mitochondria and few elongated mitochondria (Helguera et al., 2013). This type of mitochondrial network is correlated with reduced ATP production and increased ROS production (Yu et al., 2006). This lowered mitochondrial activity in DS astrocytes may be an adaptative and protective mechanism. Indeed, DS astrocytes are able to increase their mitochondrial activity if stimulated, but this exacerbates free radical formation, lipid peroxidation, and cell death (Helguera et al., 2013). Consistent with this, increased oxidative stress has been reported in various DS cell types, including astrocytes, and increases in iNOS and nitrite/nitrate concentrations have been reported in the conditioned medium of iPSC-derived astrocytes. Importantly, an increase in astrocytic oxidative stress can cause an increase in neuronal cell death (Hu et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2014). Thus, mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in DS astrocytes may impact the health of neurons and contribute to neuronal cell death observed within the DS brain (Figure 4).

ASTROCYTES AND ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE PATHOLOGY IN DS

Improvements in health care systems and management of comorbidities in DS have led to a dramatic increase in life expectancy for DS individuals from 12 years of age in 1949 to 60 years of age in 2004 (Bittles and Glasson, 2004). This increase in life expectancy has also led to the discovery of agerelated conditions in DS, the main one being AD neuropathology. Indeed, by age 40, most (if not all) DS individuals present AD neuropathology including Aß plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, neurodegeneration, and neuroinflammation (Mann, 1988; Mann and Esiri, 1989; Motte and Williams, 1989; Zigman and Lott, 2007). The prevalence of dementia in DS-associated AD is similar to sporadic AD (Oliver et al., 1998), although evaluating the cognitive decline in DS is challenging due to the pre-existing intellectual impairment. Novel cognitive tests are currently being developed and deployed to better assess the abilities of DS individuals (Sinai et al., 2016; Dekker et al., 2018). Importantly, dementia is associated with the mortality of over 70% of DS individuals making it the main cause of death in DS (Hithersay et al., 2018). In familial AD, $\sim 10\%$ of cases are caused by mutations in the APP protein, which is a precursor to toxic $A\beta$ in plaques. The APP gene is found on chromosome 21 and its overexpression is thought to be a primary cause of AD in DS leading to a rapid accumulation of AB with age (Margallo-Lana et al., 2004; Head et al., 2016).

In AD, chronic neuroinflammation is believed to exacerbate $A\beta$ burden and possibly neurofibrillary tangle formation related to tau hyperphosphorylation, thus potentially linking two hallmarks of AD pathology (Kinney et al., 2018). In DS, astrocyte reactivity may be a major contributor to AD pathology given that glial reactivity has been reported to occur as early as



2 days postnatally (Griffin et al., 1989). This early astrocytic reactivity occurs prior to wide-spread Aß plaque formation and neuronal degeneration, and hence is in a position to play a primary role in AD pathology. Consistent with this, early overexpression of \$100ß in astrocytes and neuronal APP overexpression have been shown to activate microglia and increase IL-1ß expression which, in turn, which exacerbates APP production in neurons and glial cells (Goldgaber et al., 1989; Barger and Harmon, 1997; Liu et al., 2005). These events appear to be self-propagating, as IL-1ß and S100ß have both been reported to induce microglial cell activation and astrocyte reactivity with overexpression of themselves, as well as, neuronal APP (Goldgaber et al., 1989; Sheng et al., 1996). Notably, glial activation and cytokine production occur during childhood in DS, many years before the accumulation of AB plaques (Griffin et al., 1989). Taken together, upregulation of neuronal APP and astrocytic S100ß, and cytokines such as IL-1ß, may drive neuronal stress, glial activation, and DS-related neuropathological changes characteristic of AD (Figure 5).

A noticeable cellular feature accompanying AD pathology is oxidative stress. Increased oxidative stress and ROS production occur in various cell types in DS throughout the lifespan including in astrocytes. Increased ROS production in the aging DS brain is known to damage proteins, lipids, and DNA which alters neuronal function and ultimately aggravates neurodegeneration in DS (Busciglio and Yankner, 1995; Perluigi and Butterfield, 2012; Di Domenico et al., 2013; Perluigi et al., 2014a). Studies have demonstrated that increased ROS levels in neurons leads to altered processing of APP and accumulation of A β (Busciglio et al., 2002; Cenini et al., 2012; Coskun and Busciglio, 2012; Perluigi and Butterfield, 2012). Interestingly, the spread and extent of oxidative stress increases with age and correlates with A β levels (Lott et al., 2006). The progressive and chronically high level of oxidative stress is therefore implicated in neuronal death and believed to contribute to neurodegenerative processes and cognitive dysfunction in the DS brain (Perluigi and Butterfield, 2012). Correcting oxidative stress early in DS may help ameliorate premature aging and slow the progression of AD neuropathology with which there are no therapies. Consumption of vitamin-rich diets and vitamin supplementation may also combat neurodegeneration, since vitamins are known antioxidants and reduce oxidative stress (Bhatti et al., 2016). Thus, targeting oxidative stress pathways may hold promise for the future treatment of DS-associated AD.

Excitotoxicity is another major event which lead to neuronal death and neurodegeneration and may be related to AD-related neuropathology in DS. Astrocyte reactivity has been shown to exacerbate excitotoxicity and neurodegeneration through the overexpression of the metabotropic receptor mGluR5. mGluR5 is expressed in both astrocytes and neurons and is important for neuron-glial cell communication in both the healthy and injured brain (Aronica et al., 2003; D'Antoni et al., 2008; Bradley and Challiss, 2012). mGluR5 is prevalent in the developing brain and is involved in processes such as proliferation, differentiation, and survival of neuronal progenitors (Di Giorgi Gerevini et al., 2004). mGluR5 expression is lower in the adult brain, except in areas with active neurogenesis (Catania et al., 1994; Romano et al., 1996). Importantly, mGluR5 upregulation has been reported



in brains of AD and DS individuals (Oka and Takashima, 1999; Dolen and Bear, 2008; Kumar et al., 2015). This mGluR5 upregulation is specific to astrocytes in DS and occurs as early as mid-gestation and persists postnatally (Iyer et al., 2014b). Aged DS individuals with AD pathology also present even higher levels of mGluR5 in astrocytes, especially in astrocytes in close vicinity to A β plaques. This suggests that A β may stimulate upregulation of mGluR5 expression in astrocytes (Iyer et al., 2014a). Interestingly, astrocytic mGluR5 is activated by soluble A β in sporadic AD which has been found to generate calcium oscillations and the release of glutamate, thus enhancing neuronal excitotoxicity (Shrivastava et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015).

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway is also known to be altered in DS astrocytes. The mTOR pathway is an important signaling pathway which responds to a large variety of environmental stimuli and regulates essential processes such as cell growth and proliferation, metabolism, protein synthesis, synaptogenesis, and apoptosis (Dazert and Hall, 2011; Laplante and Sabatini, 2012; Wong, 2013). Dysregulation of this pathway has a major impact on the nervous system and has been reported to occur in various neurological diseases such as tuberous sclerosis (Orlova and Crino, 2010), ASD (Tsai et al., 2012), and DS. This pathway has also been identified as a molecular link between AB accumulation and cognitive dysfunction in sporadic AD. Intriguingly, mTOR inhibitors can reverse cognitive dysfunction and reduce $A\beta$ load in a mouse model of AD (Caccamo et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2010; Spilman et al., 2010) and hyperactivation of the mTOR pathway has been identified both in the developing and aged DS brain (Iyer et al., 2014a; Perluigi et al., 2014b). A recent study showed that iPSC-derived DS astrocytes cause mTOR hyperactivation in control neurons and exacerbate the hyperactivation in DS neurons (Araujo et al., 2018). Targeting mTOR hyperactivation in astrocytes and neurons may therefore be a plausible target for mitigating some aspects of AD pathology in DS.

FINAL PERSPECTIVE ABOUT ASTROCYTES AND DS

New discoveries are challenging the neurocentric view of DS and leading to a more complete understanding of the contributions of other brain cell types including astrocytes to DS pathophysiology. Recent studies have revealed myriad ways astrocytes can participate in DS across the lifespan. Although these studies still remain relatively few in number, they provide an important launching point for investigating how trisomy 21 alters their properties which may have profound effects on the developing and aging DS brain. Since astrocyte development largely occurs postnatally, there may be an attractive therapeutic window for correcting genetic or molecular alterations in DS to improve brain function and prevent cellular changes including AD-related neuropathology. Harnessing new technologies such as single cell RNA-seq to investigate transcriptional profiles and cellular heterogeneity in DS will allow additional detailed characterization of astrocytes in the DS brain. This technology has been used in other diseases such as in Huntington's disease where several transcriptional states of astrocytes were identified (Al-Dalahmah et al., 2020). Use of patient-derived iPSCs is also a relatively new technology allowing the study of human DS cells. This approach is compatible with high-throughput screening methods that can be used to identify new compounds that correct

aberrant cellular pathways caused by trisomy 21. However, a current limitation of iPSC research is the limited availability of independent DS cell lines. Many studies have used the same iPSC lines, and it is clear that genetic background can have an important impact on cellular phenotypes observed, especially in DS. New patient-derived iPSC lines need to be created and shared among the scientific community in order to take full advantage of this powerful approach. Finally, establishing new animal models of DS is an important future direction for the field to help validate findings in vivo and test new hypotheses. DS is a particularly challenging to model in mice as it requires the triplication of genes of a whole human chromosome which are spread over several chromosomes in mice. There are many different animal models of DS which all have their strengths and weaknesses and summarized in this review (Herault et al., 2017). Access to new models and application of innovative technologies such as single-cell RNA-seq and iPSCs will help build a more complete picture of the cellular changes occurring in DS and provide further optimism that effective therapies for DS can be found.

REFERENCES

- Adams, K. L., and Gallo, V. (2018). The diversity and disparity of the glial scar. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 9–15. doi: 10.1038/s41593-017-0033-9
- Al-Dalahmah, O., Sosunov, A. A., Shaik, A., Ofori, K., Liu, Y., Vonsattel, J. P., et al. (2020). Single-nucleus RNA-seq identifies Huntington disease astrocyte states. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 8:19.
- Allan, S. M., and Rothwell, N. J. (2003). Inflammation in central nervous system injury. *Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 358, 1669–1677.
- Anderson, J. S., Nielsen, J. A., Ferguson, M. A., Burback, M. C., Cox, E. T., Dai, L., et al. (2013). Abnormal brain synchrony in Down Syndrome. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2, 703–715. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2013.05.006
- Anderson, M. A., Burda, J. E., Ren, Y., Ao, Y., O'Shea, T. M., Kawaguchi, R., et al. (2016). Astrocyte scar formation aids central nervous system axon regeneration. *Nature* 532, 195–200. doi: 10.1038/nature17623
- Angulo, M. C., Kozlov, A. S., Charpak, S., and Audinat, E. (2004). Glutamate released from glial cells synchronizes neuronal activity in the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 6920–6927. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.0473-04.2004
- Araujo, B. H. S., Kaid, C., De Souza, J. S., Gomes da Silva, S., Goulart, E., Caires, L. C. J., et al. (2018). Down syndrome iPSC-derived astrocytes impair neuronal synaptogenesis and the mTOR pathway in vitro. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 55, 5962–5975. doi: 10.1007/s12035-017-0818-6
- Aronica, E., Gorter, J. A., Ijlst-Keizers, H., Rozemuller, A. J., Yankaya, B., Leenstra, S., et al. (2003). Expression and functional role of mGluR3 and mGluR5 in human astrocytes and glioma cells: opposite regulation of glutamate transporter proteins. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 2106–2118. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9568.2003. 02657.x
- Aylward, E. H., Li, Q., Honeycutt, N. A., Warren, A. C., Pulsifer, M. B., Barta, P. E., et al. (1999). MRI volumes of the hippocampus and amygdala in adults with Down's syndrome with and without dementia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 156, 564–568.
- Baburamani, A. A., Patkee, P. A., Arichi, T., and Rutherford, M. A. (2019). New approaches to studying early brain development in down syndrome. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 61, 867–879. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14260
- Ballas, N., Lioy, D. T., Grunseich, C., and Mandel, G. (2009). Non-cell autonomous influence of MeCP2-deficient glia on neuronal dendritic morphology. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 311–317. doi: 10.1038/nn.2275
- Barger, S. W., and Harmon, A. D. (1997). Microglial activation by Alzheimer amyloid precursor protein and modulation by apolipoprotein E. *Nature* 388, 878–881. doi: 10.1038/42257
- Becker, L. E., Armstrong, D. L., and Chan, F. (1986). Dendritic atrophy in children with down's syndrome. *Ann. Neurol.* 20, 520–526.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BPB and KKM wrote the manuscript. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Sandra and Alain Bouchard Intellectual Disability Research Program, Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PJT148569, 156247 to KKM); Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (408044-2011 and 69404 to KKM), and a Joint Canada-Israel Research Program Award provided by the International Development Research Centre (IDRC)/Israel Science Foundation/Canadian Institutes of Health Research/Azrieli Foundation. KKM is supported by a Donald S. Wells Distinguished Scientist Award. BPB was supported by studentships from the McGill Faculty of Medicine and the Canada First Research Excellence Fund for the Healthy Brains for Healthy Lives initiative.

Belanger, M., and Magistretti, P. J. (2009). The role of astroglia in neuroprotection. Dialogues Clin. Neurosci. 11, 281–295. doi: 10.31887/dcns.2009.11.3/mbelanger

- Belichenko, N. P., Belichenko, P. V., Kleschevnikov, A. M., Salehi, A., Reeves, R. H., and Mobley, W. C. (2009). The "down syndrome critical region" is sufficient in the mouse model to confer behavioral, neurophysiological, and synaptic phenotypes characteristic of Down syndrome. J. Neurosci. 29, 5938–5948. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.1547-09.2009
- Ben-Ari, Y. (2002). Excitatory actions of gaba during development: the nature of the nurture. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3, 728–739. doi: 10.1038/nrn920
- Benavides-Piccione, R., Ballesteros-Yanez, I., de Lagran, M. M., Elston, G., Estivill, X., Fillat, C., et al. (2004). On dendrites in down syndrome and DS murine models: a spiny way to learn. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 74, 111–126. doi: 10.1016/j. pneurobio.2004.08.001
- Bhatti, A. B., Usman, M., Ali, F., and Satti, S. A. (2016). Vitamin supplementation as an adjuvant treatment for Alzheimer's disease. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* 10, OE07–OE11.
- Bittles, A. H., and Glasson, E. J. (2004). Clinical, social, and ethical implications of changing life expectancy in down syndrome. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* 46, 282–286. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2004.tb00483.x
- Bradley, S. J., and Challiss, R. A. (2012). G protein-coupled receptor signalling in astrocytes in health and disease: a focus on metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 84, 249–259. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2012.04.009
- Briggs, J. A., Sun, J., Shepherd, J., Ovchinnikov, D. A., Chung, T. L., Nayler, S. P., et al. (2013). Integration-free induced pluripotent stem cells model genetic and neural developmental features of down syndrome etiology. *Stem Cells* 31, 467–478. doi: 10.1002/stem.1297
- Burda, J. E., Bernstein, A. M., and Sofroniew, M. V. (2016). Astrocyte roles in traumatic brain injury. *Exp. Neurol.* 275(Pt 3), 305–315. doi: 10.1016/j. expneurol.2015.03.020
- Burda, J. E., and Sofroniew, M. V. (2014). Reactive gliosis and the multicellular response to CNS damage and disease. *Neuron* 81, 229–248. doi: 10.1016/j. neuron.2013.12.034
- Busciglio, J., Pelsman, A., Wong, C., Pigino, G., Yuan, M., Mori, H., et al. (2002). Altered metabolism of the amyloid beta precursor protein is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction in down's syndrome. *Neuron* 33, 677–688. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00604-9
- Busciglio, J., and Yankner, B. A. (1995). Apoptosis and increased generation of reactive oxygen species in down's syndrome neurons in vitro. *Nature* 378, 776–779. doi: 10.1038/378776a0
- Caccamo, A., Majumder, S., Richardson, A., Strong, R., and Oddo, S. (2010). Molecular interplay between mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR),

amyloid-beta, and Tau: effects on cognitive impairments. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 13107-13120. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m110.100420

- Carducci, F., Onorati, P., Condoluci, C., Di Gennaro, G., Quarato, P. P., Pierallini, A., et al. (2013). Whole-brain voxel-based morphometry study of children and adolescents with down syndrome. *Funct. Neurol.* 28, 19–28.
- Catania, M. V., Landwehrmeyer, G. B., Testa, C. M., Standaert, D. G., Penney, J. B. Jr., and Young, A. B. (1994). Metabotropic glutamate receptors are differentially regulated during development. *Neuroscience* 61, 481–495. doi: 10.1016/0306-4522(94)90428-6
- Cenini, G., Dowling, A. L., Beckett, T. L., Barone, E., Mancuso, C., Murphy, M. P., et al. (2012). Association between frontal cortex oxidative damage and betaamyloid as a function of age in Down syndrome. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1822, 130–138. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2011.10.001
- Chapman, R. S., and Hesketh, L. J. (2000). Behavioral phenotype of individuals with down syndrome. *Ment. Retard. Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* 6, 84–95. doi: 10.1002/1098-2779(2000)6:2<84::aid-mrdd2>3.0.co;2-p
- Chen, C., Jiang, P., Xue, H., Peterson, S. E., Tran, H. T., McCann, A. E., et al. (2014). Role of astroglia in down's syndrome revealed by patient-derived human-induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4430.
- Christopherson, K. S., Ullian, E. M., Stokes, C. C., Mullowney, C. E., Hell, J. W., Agah, A., et al. (2005). Thrombospondins are astrocyte-secreted proteins that promote CNS synaptogenesis. *Cell* 120, 421–433. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.12. 020
- Colantuoni, C., Jeon, O. H., Hyder, K., Chenchik, A., Khimani, A. H., Narayanan, V., et al. (2001). Gene expression profiling in postmortem Rett syndrome brain: differential gene expression and patient classification. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 8, 847–865. doi: 10.1006/nbdi.2001.0428
- Contestabile, A., Fila, T., Ceccarelli, C., Bonasoni, P., Bonapace, L., Santini, D., et al. (2007). Cell cycle alteration and decreased cell proliferation in the hippocampal dentate gyrus and in the neocortical germinal matrix of fetuses with down syndrome and in Ts65Dn mice. *Hippocampus* 17, 665–678. doi: 10.1002/hipo. 20308
- Contestabile, A., Magara, S., and Cancedda, L. (2017). The GABAergic hypothesis for cognitive disabilities in down syndrome. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 11:54. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2017.00054
- Conti, A., Fabbrini, F., D'Agostino, P., Negri, R., Greco, D., Genesio, R., et al. (2007). Altered expression of mitochondrial and extracellular matrix genes in the heart of human fetuses with chromosome 21 trisomy. *BMC Genomics* 8:268. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-8-268
- Coskun, P. E., and Busciglio, J. (2012). Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in down's syndrome: relevance to aging and dementia. *Curr. Gerontol. Geriatr. Res.* 2012:383170.
- Coyle, J. T., Oster-Granite, M. L., and Gearhart, J. D. (1986). The neurobiologic consequences of down syndrome. *Brain Res. Bull.* 16, 773–787. doi: 10.1016/ 0361-9230(86)90074-2
- Cresto, N., Pillet, L. E., Billuart, P., and Rouach, N. (2019). Do astrocytes play a role in intellectual disabilities? *Trends Neurosci.* 42, 518–527. doi: 10.1016/j. tins.2019.05.011
- Currier, D. G., Polk, R. C., and Reeves, R. H. (2012). A Sonic hedgehog (Shh) response deficit in trisomic cells may be a common denominator for multiple features of down syndrome. *Prog. Brain Res.* 197, 223–236. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-444-54299-1.00011-x
- D'Antoni, S., Berretta, A., Bonaccorso, C. M., Bruno, V., Aronica, E., Nicoletti, F., et al. (2008). Metabotropic glutamate receptors in glial cells. *Neurochem. Res.* 33, 2436–2443.
- Das, I., Park, J. M., Shin, J. H., Jeon, S. K., Lorenzi, H., Linden, D. J., et al. (2013). Hedgehog agonist therapy corrects structural and cognitive deficits in a down syndrome mouse model. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5:201ra120. doi: 10.1126/ scitranslmed.3005983
- Davisson, M. T., Schmidt, C., Reeves, R. H., Irving, N. G., Akeson, E. C., Harris, B. S., et al. (1993). Segmental trisomy as a mouse model for down syndrome. *Prog. Clin. Biol. Res.* 384, 117–133.
- Dazert, E., and Hall, M. N. (2011). mTOR signaling in disease. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 23, 744–755.
- Deidda, G., Parrini, M., Naskar, S. I, Bozarth, F., Contestabile, A., and Cancedda, L. (2015). Reversing excitatory GABAAR signaling restores synaptic plasticity and memory in a mouse model of down syndrome. *Nat. Med.* 21, 318–326. doi: 10.1038/nm.3827

- Dekker, A. D., Sacco, S., Carfi, A., Benejam, B., Vermeiren, Y., Beugelsdijk, G., et al. (2018). The behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia in down syndrome (BPSD-DS) scale: comprehensive assessment of psychopathology in down syndrome. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 63, 797–819. doi: 10.3233/jad-170920
- Delabar, J. M., Theophile, D., Rahmani, Z., Chettouh, Z., Blouin, J. L., Prieur, M., et al. (1993). Molecular mapping of twenty-four features of down syndrome on chromosome 21. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 1, 114–124. doi: 10.1159/000472398
- Denis-Donini, S., Glowinski, J., and Prochiantz, A. (1984). Glial heterogeneity may define the three-dimensional shape of mouse mesencephalic dopaminergic neurones. *Nature* 307, 641–643. doi: 10.1038/307641a0
- Dezonne, R. S., Stipursky, J., Araujo, A. P., Nones, J., Pavao, M. S., Porcionatto, M., et al. (2013). Thyroid hormone treated astrocytes induce maturation of cerebral cortical neurons through modulation of proteoglycan levels. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 7:125. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2013.00125
- Di Domenico, F., Coccia, R., Cocciolo, A., Murphy, M. P., Cenini, G., Head, E., et al. (2013). Impairment of proteostasis network in down syndrome prior to the development of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology: redox proteomics analysis of human brain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1832, 1249–1259. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis. 2013.04.013
- Di Giorgi Gerevini, V. D., Caruso, A., Cappuccio, I., Vitiani, L. Ricci, Romeo, S., Della Rocca, C., et al. (2004). The mGlu5 metabotropic glutamate receptor is expressed in zones of active neurogenesis of the embryonic and postnatal brain. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 150, 17–22. doi: 10.1016/j.devbrainres.2004.02.003
- Dolen, G., and Bear, M. F. (2008). Role for metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) in the pathogenesis of fragile X syndrome. *J. Physiol.* 586, 1503–1508. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2008.150722
- Drejer, J., Larsson, O. M., and Schousboe, A. (1982). Characterization of L-glutamate uptake into and release from astrocytes and neurons cultured from different brain regions. *Exp. Brain Res.* 47, 259–269.
- Edmonson, C., Ziats, M. N., and Rennert, O. M. (2014). Altered glial marker expression in autistic post-mortem prefrontal cortex and cerebellum. *Mol. Autism* 5:3. doi: 10.1186/2040-2392-5-3
- Eftekhari, S., Mehrabi, S., Soleimani, M., Hassanzadeh, G., Shahrokhi, A., Mostafavi, H., et al. (2014). BDNF modifies hippocampal KCC2 and NKCC1 expression in a temporal lobe epilepsy model. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp. (Wars)* 74, 276–287.
- Escartin, C., Galea, E., Lakatos, A., O'Callaghan, J. P., Petzold, G. C., Serrano-Pozo, A., et al. (2021). Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future directions. *Nat. Neurosci.* 24, 312–325.
- Farmer, W. T., and Murai, K. (2017). Resolving astrocyte heterogeneity in the CNS. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 11:300. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2017.00300
- Ferrer, I., and Gullotta, F. (1990). Down's syndrome and Alzheimer's disease: dendritic spine counts in the hippocampus. Acta Neuropathol. 79, 680–685. doi: 10.1007/bf00294247
- Figley, C. R. (2011). Lactate transport and metabolism in the human brain: implications for the astrocyte-neuron lactate shuttle hypothesis. J. Neurosci. 31, 4768–4770. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.6612-10.2011
- Figueroa-Jimenez, M. D., Canete-Masse, C., Carbo-Carrete, M., Zarabozo-Hurtado, D., Pero-Cebollero, M., Salazar-Estrada, J. G., et al. (2021). Restingstate default mode network connectivity in young individuals with down syndrome. *Brain Behav.* 11:e01905.
- FitzPatrick, D. R., Ramsay, J., McGill, N. I., Shade, M., Carothers, A. D., and Hastie, N. D. (2002). Transcriptome analysis of human autosomal trisomy. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 11, 3249–3256. doi: 10.1093/hmg/11.26.3249
- Garcia, O., Torres, M., Helguera, P., Coskun, P., and Busciglio, J. (2010). A role for thrombospondin-1 deficits in astrocyte-mediated spine and synaptic pathology in down's syndrome. *PLoS One* 5:e14200. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014200
- Giacomini, A., Stagni, F., Trazzi, S., Guidi, S., Emili, M., Brigham, E., et al. (2015). Inhibition of APP gamma-secretase restores Sonic Hedgehog signaling and neurogenesis in the Ts65Dn mouse model of down syndrome. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 82, 385–396. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2015.08.001
- Golden, J. A., and Hyman, B. T. (1994). Development of the superior temporal neocortex is anomalous in trisomy 21. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 53, 513–520. doi: 10.1097/00005072-199409000-00011
- Goldgaber, D., Harris, H. W., Hla, T., Maciag, T., Donnelly, R. J., Jacobsen, J. S., et al. (1989). Interleukin 1 regulates synthesis of amyloid beta-protein precursor mRNA in human endothelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 86, 7606–7610. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.19.7606

- Gonzales, P. K., Roberts, C. M., Fonte, V., Jacobsen, C., Stein, G. H., and Link, C. D. (2018). Transcriptome analysis of genetically matched human induced pluripotent stem cells disomic or trisomic for chromosome 21. *PLoS One* 13:e0194581. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194581
- Griffin, W. S., Stanley, L. C., Ling, C., White, L., MacLeod, V., Perrot, L. J., et al. (1989). Brain interleukin 1 and S-100 immunoreactivity are elevated in down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 86, 7611–7615.
- Guidi, S., Bonasoni, P., Ceccarelli, C., Santini, D., Gualtieri, F., Ciani, E., et al. (2008). Neurogenesis impairment and increased cell death reduce total neuron number in the hippocampal region of fetuses with down syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* 18, 180–197. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2007.00113.x
- Guidi, S., Ciani, E., Bonasoni, P., Santini, D., and Bartesaghi, R. (2011). Widespread proliferation impairment and hypocellularity in the cerebellum of fetuses with down syndrome. *Brain Pathol.* 21, 361–373. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2010. 00459.x
- Hattori, M., Fujiyama, A., and Sakaki, Y. (2001). [The DNA sequence of human chromosome 21]. *Tanpakushitsu Kakusan Koso* 46, 2254–2261.
- Head, E. I, Lott, T., Wilcock, D. M., and Lemere, C. A. (2016). Aging in down syndrome and the development of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 13, 18–29. doi: 10.2174/1567205012666151020114607
- Helguera, P., Seiglie, J., Rodriguez, J., Hanna, M., Helguera, G., and Busciglio, J. (2013). Adaptive downregulation of mitochondrial function in down syndrome. *Cell Metab.* 17, 132–140. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2012.12.005
- Herault, Y., Delabar, J. M., Fisher, E. M. C., Tybulewicz, V. L. J., Yu, E., and Brault, V. (2017). Rodent models in down syndrome research: impact and future opportunities. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 10, 1165–1186. doi: 10.1242/dmm.029728
- Herculano-Houzel, S. (2014). The glia/neuron ratio: how it varies uniformly across brain structures and species and what that means for brain physiology and evolution. *Glia* 62, 1377–1391. doi: 10.1002/glia.22683
- Hithersay, R., Startin, C. M., Hamburg, S., Mok, K. Y., Hardy, J., Fisher, E. M. C., et al. (2018). Association of dementia with mortality among adults with down syndrome older than 35 years. *JAMA Neurol.* 76, 152–160. doi: 10.1001/ jamaneurol.2018.3616
- Hodges, J. L., Yu, X., Gilmore, A., Bennett, H., Tjia, M., Perna, J. F., et al. (2017). Astrocytic contributions to synaptic and learning abnormalities in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. *Biol. Psychiatry* 82, 139–149. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2016.08.036
- Hong, H., Kim, B. S., and Im, H. I. (2016). Pathophysiological role of neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative diseases and psychiatric disorders. *Int. Neurourol. J.* 20(Suppl. 1), S2–S7.
- Hu, J., Ferreira, A., and Van Eldik, L. J. (1997). S100beta induces neuronal cell death through nitric oxide release from astrocytes. J. Neurochem. 69, 2294–2301. doi: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.1997.69062294.x
- Huo, H. Q., Qu, Z. Y., Yuan, F., Ma, L., Yao, L., Xu, M., et al. (2018). Modeling down syndrome with patient iPSCs reveals cellular and migration deficits of GABAergic neurons. *Stem Cell Rep.* 10, 1251–1266. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2018. 02.001
- Iyer, A. M., van Scheppingen, J., Milenkovic, I., Anink, J. J., Adle-Biassette, H, Kovacs, G. G., et al. (2014a). mTOR hyperactivation in down syndrome hippocampus appears early during development. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 73, 671–683. doi: 10.1097/nen.00000000000083
- Iyer, A. M., van Scheppingen, J., Milenkovic, I., Anink, J. J., Lim, D., Genazzani, A. A., et al. (2014b). Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 in down's syndrome hippocampus during development: increased expression in astrocytes. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 11, 694–705. doi: 10.2174/1567205011666140812115 423
- Izzo, A., Mollo, N., Nitti, M., Paladino, S., Cali, G., Genesio, R., et al. (2018). Mitochondrial dysfunction in down syndrome: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Mol. Med.* 24:2.
- Jacobs, S., Nathwani, M., and Doering, L. C. (2010). Fragile X astrocytes induce developmental delays in dendrite maturation and synaptic protein expression. *BMC Neurosci.* 11:132. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-11-132
- Khakh, B. S., and McCarthy, K. D. (2015). Astrocyte calcium signaling: from observations to functions and the challenges therein. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 7:a020404. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a020404
- Kinney, J. W., Bemiller, S. M., Murtishaw, A. S., Leisgang, A. M., Salazar, A. M., and Lamb, B. T. (2018). Inflammation as a central mechanism in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 4, 575–590.

- Koran, M. E., Hohman, T. J., Edwards, C. M., Vega, J. N., Pryweller, J. R., Slosky, L. E., et al. (2014). Differences in age-related effects on brain volume in down syndrome as compared to Williams syndrome and typical development. *J. Neurodev. Disord.* 6:8. doi: 10.1186/1866-1955-6-8
- Korbel, J. O., Tirosh-Wagner, T., Urban, A. E., Chen, X. N., Kasowski, M., Dai, L., et al. (2009). The genetic architecture of down syndrome phenotypes revealed by high-resolution analysis of human segmental trisomies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 12031–12036. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0813248 106
- Korenberg, J. R. (1990). Molecular mapping of the down syndrome phenotype. *Prog. Clin. Biol. Res.* 360, 105–115.
- Kumar, A., Dhull, D. K., and Mishra, P. S. (2015). Therapeutic potential of mGluR5 targeting in Alzheimer's disease. *Front. Neurosci.* 9:215. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015. 00215
- Kurabayashi, N., Nguyen, M. D., and Sanada, K. (2015). DYRK1A overexpression enhances STAT activity and astrogliogenesis in a down syndrome mouse model. *EMBO Rep.* 16, 1548–1562. doi: 10.15252/embr.201540374
- Kwon, H. S., and Koh, S. H. (2020). Neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative disorders: the roles of microglia and astrocytes. *Transl. Neurodegener*. 9:42.
- Lanfranchi, S., Baddeley, A., Gathercole, S., and Vianello, R. (2012). Working memory in down syndrome: is there a dual task deficit? J. Intellect. Disabil. Res. 56, 157–166. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2011.01444.x
- Laplante, M., and Sabatini, D. M. (2012). mTOR signaling in growth control and disease. Cell 149, 274–293. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.017
- Lee, H. C., Md Yusof, H. H., Leong, M. P., Zainal Abidin, S., Seth, E. A., Hewitt, C. A., et al. (2019). Gene and protein expression profiles of JAK-STAT signalling pathway in the developing brain of the Ts1Cje down syndrome mouse model. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 129, 871–881. doi: 10.1080/00207454.2019.1580 280
- Lee, N. R., Adeyemi, E. I., Lin, A., Clasen, L. S., Lalonde, F. M., Condon, E., et al. (2016). Dissociations in cortical morphometry in youth with down syndrome: evidence for reduced surface area but increased thickness. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 2982–2990. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv107
- Lee, S., Yoon, B. E., Berglund, K., Oh, S. J., Park, H., Shin, H. S., et al. (2010). Channel-mediated tonic GABA release from glia. *Science* 330, 790–796. doi: 10.1126/science.1184334
- Letourneau, A., Santoni, F. A., Bonilla, X., Sailani, M. R., Gonzalez, D., Kind, J., et al. (2014). Domains of genome-wide gene expression dysregulation in Down's syndrome. *Nature* 508, 345–350.
- Li, Y. X., Schaffner, A. E., Walton, M. K., and Barker, J. L. (1998). Astrocytes regulate developmental changes in the chloride ion gradient of embryonic rat ventral spinal cord neurons in culture. *J. Physiol.* 509(Pt 3), 847–858. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.1998.847bm.x
- Liu, L., Li, Y., Van Eldik, L. J., Griffin, W. S., and Barger, S. W. (2005). S100Binduced microglial and neuronal IL-1 expression is mediated by cell typespecific transcription factors. *J. Neurochem.* 92, 546–553. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2004.02909.x
- Lockstone, H. E., Harris, L. W., Swatton, J. E., Wayland, M. T., Holland, A. J., and Bahn, S. (2007). Gene expression profiling in the adult down syndrome brain. *Genomics* 90, 647–660. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2007.08.005
- Lott, I. T., Head, E., Doran, E., and Busciglio, J. (2006). Beta-amyloid, oxidative stress and down syndrome. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 3, 521–528. doi: 10.2174/ 156720506779025305
- Lu, J., Esposito, G., Scuderi, C., Steardo, L., Delli-Bovi, L. C., Hecht, J. L., et al. (2011). S100B and APP promote a gliocentric shift and impaired neurogenesis in down syndrome neural progenitors. *PLoS One* 6:e22126. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0022126
- Lyle, R., Bena, F., Gagos, S., Gehrig, C., Lopez, G., Schinzel, A., et al. (2009). Genotype-phenotype correlations in Down syndrome identified by array CGH in 30 cases of partial trisomy and partial monosomy chromosome 21. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 17, 454–466. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2008.214
- Ma, T., Hoeffer, C. A., Capetillo-Zarate, E., Yu, F., Wong, H., Lin, M. T., et al. (2010). Dysregulation of the mTOR pathway mediates impairment of synaptic plasticity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 5:e12845. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012845
- Maatta, T., Tervo-Maatta, T., Taanila, A., Kaski, M., and Iivanainen, M. (2006). Mental health, behaviour and intellectual abilities of people with down syndrome. *Downs Syndr. Res. Pract.* 11, 37–43. doi: 10.3104/reports.313

- Mann, D. M. (1988). The pathological association between down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 43, 99–136. doi: 10.1016/0047-6374(88) 90041-3
- Mann, D. M., and Esiri, M. M. (1989). The pattern of acquisition of plaques and tangles in the brains of patients under 50 years of age with down's syndrome. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 89, 169–179. doi: 10.1016/0022-510x(89)90019-1
- Mao, R., Wang, X., Spitznagel, E. L. Jr., Frelin, L. P., Ting, J. C., Ding, H., et al. (2005). Primary and secondary transcriptional effects in the developing human Down syndrome brain and heart. *Genome Biol.* 6:R107.
- Margallo-Lana, M., Morris, C. M., Gibson, A. M., Tan, A. L., Kay, D. W., Tyrer, S. P., et al. (2004). Influence of the amyloid precursor protein locus on dementia in Down syndrome. *Neurology* 62, 1996–1998. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000129275. 13169.be
- Marin-Padilla, M. (1976). Pyramidal cell abnormalities in the motor cortex of a child with down's syndrome. a Golgi study. J. Comp. Neurol. 167, 63–81. doi: 10.1002/cne.901670105
- Matias, I., Morgado, J., and Gomes, F. C. A. (2019). Astrocyte heterogeneity: impact to brain aging and disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 11:59.
- Mauch, D. H., Nagler, K., Schumacher, S., Goritz, C., Muller, E. C., Otto, A., et al. (2001). CNS synaptogenesis promoted by glia-derived cholesterol. *Science* 294, 1354–1357. doi: 10.1126/science.294.5545.1354
- Mizuno, G. O., Wang, Y., Shi, G., Wang, Y., Sun, J., Papadopoulos, S., et al. (2018). Aberrant calcium signaling in astrocytes inhibits neuronal excitability in a human down syndrome stem cell model. *Cell Rep.* 24, 355–365. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.033
- Motte, J., and Williams, R. S. (1989). Age-related changes in the density and morphology of plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in down syndrome brain. *Acta Neuropathol.* 77, 535–546. doi: 10.1007/bf00687256
- Muller, W., Heinemann, U., and Schuchmann, S. (1997). Impaired Ca-signaling in astrocytes from the Ts16 mouse model of Down syndrome. *Neurosci. Lett.* 223, 81–84. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(97)13406-1
- Oka, A., and Takashima, S. (1999). The up-regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) in down's syndrome brains. *Acta Neuropathol.* 97, 275–278. doi: 10.1007/s004010050985
- Oliver, C., Crayton, L., Holland, A., Hall, S., and Bradbury, J. (1998). A four year prospective study of age-related cognitive change in adults with down's syndrome. *Psychol. Med.* 28, 1365–1377. doi: 10.1017/s0033291798007 417
- Olmos-Serrano, J. L., Kang, H. J., Tyler, W. A., Silbereis, J. C., Cheng, F., Zhu, Y., et al. (2016). Down syndrome developmental brain transcriptome reveals defective oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination. *Neuron* 89, 1208– 1222. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.01.042
- Orlova, K. A., and Crino, P. B. (2010). The tuberous sclerosis complex. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1184, 87–105.
- Parpura, V., Basarsky, T. A., Liu, F., Jeftinija, K., Jeftinija, S., and Haydon, P. G. (1994). Glutamate-mediated astrocyte-neuron signalling. *Nature* 369, 744–747. doi: 10.1038/369744a0
- Patkee, P. A., Baburamani, A. A., Kyriakopoulou, V., Davidson, A., Avini, E., Dimitrova, R., et al. (2020). Early alterations in cortical and cerebellar regional brain growth in down syndrome: an in vivo fetal and neonatal MRI assessment. *Neuroimage Clin* 25, 102139. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.102139
- Pekny, M., Pekna, M., Messing, A., Steinhauser, C., Lee, J. M., Parpura, V., et al. (2016). Astrocytes: a central element in neurological diseases. *Acta Neuropathol.* 131, 323–345. doi: 10.1007/s00401-015-1513-1
- Pelleri, M. C., Cattani, C., Vitale, L., Antonaros, F., Strippoli, P., Locatelli, C., et al. (2018). Integrated quantitative transcriptome maps of human trisomy 21 tissues and cells. *Front. Genet.* 9:125. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00125
- Perluigi, M., and Butterfield, D. A. (2012). Oxidative stress and down syndrome: a route toward Alzheimer-like dementia. *Curr. Gerontol. Geriatr. Res.* 2012:724904.
- Perluigi, M., Di Domenico, F., and Buttterfield, D. A. (2014a). Unraveling the complexity of neurodegeneration in brains of subjects with down syndrome: insights from proteomics. *Proteomics Clin. Appl.* 8, 73–85. doi: 10.1002/prca. 201300066
- Perluigi, M., Pupo, G., Tramutola, A., Cini, C., Coccia, R., Barone, E., et al. (2014b). Neuropathological role of PI3K/Akt/mTOR axis in down syndrome brain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1842, 1144–1153. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.04. 007

- Petrelli, F., Pucci, L., and Bezzi, P. (2016). Astrocytes and microglia and their potential link with autism spectrum disorders. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 10:21. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2016.00021
- Pinter, J. D., Eliez, S., Schmitt, J. E., Capone, G. T., and Reiss, A. L. (2001). Neuroanatomy of down's syndrome: a high-resolution MRI study. Am. J. Psychiatry 158, 1659–1665. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.10.1659
- Ponroy Bally, B., Farmer, W. T., Jones, E. V., Jessa, S., Kacerovsky, J. B., Mayran, A., et al. (2020). Human iPSC-derived down syndrome astrocytes display genome-wide perturbations in gene expression, an altered adhesion profile, and increased cellular dynamics. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 29, 785–802. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ ddaa003
- Pujol, J., del Hoyo, L., Blanco-Hinojo, L., de Sola, S., Macia, D., Martinez-Vilavella, G., et al. (2015). Anomalous brain functional connectivity contributing to poor adaptive behavior in down syndrome. *Cortex* 64, 148–156. doi: 10.1016/j. cortex.2014.10.012
- Purcell, A. E., Jeon, O. H., Zimmerman, A. W., Blue, M. E., and Pevsner, J. (2001). Postmortem brain abnormalities of the glutamate neurotransmitter system in autism. *Neurology* 57, 1618–1628. doi: 10.1212/wnl.57.9.1618
- Romano, C., van den Pol, A. N., and O'Malley, K. L. (1996). Enhanced early developmental expression of the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 in rat brain: protein, mRNA splice variants, and regional distribution. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 367, 403–412. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(19960408)367:3<403::aidcne6>3.0.co;2-9
- Roper, R. J., Baxter, L. L., Saran, N. G., Klinedinst, D. K., Beachy, P. A., and Reeves, R. H. (2006). Defective cerebellar response to mitogenic Hedgehog signaling in down [corrected] syndrome mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 1452–1456. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0510750103
- Rouach, N., Koulakoff, A., Abudara, V., Willecke, K., and Giaume, C. (2008). Astroglial metabolic networks sustain hippocampal synaptic transmission. *Science* 322, 1551–1555. doi: 10.1126/science.1164022
- Saran, N. G., Pletcher, M. T., Natale, J. E., Cheng, Y., and Reeves, R. H. (2003). Global disruption of the cerebellar transcriptome in a down syndrome mouse model. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12, 2013–2019. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddg217
- Schmidt-Sidor, B., Wisniewski, K. E., Shepard, T. H., and Sersen, E. A. (1990). Brain growth in down syndrome subjects 15 to 22 weeks of gestational age and birth to 60 months. *Clin. Neuropathol.* 9, 181–190.
- Sheng, J. G., Ito, K., Skinner, R. D., Mrak, R. E., Rovnaghi, C. R., Van Eldik, L. J., et al. (1996). In vivo and in vitro evidence supporting a role for the inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1 as a driving force in Alzheimer pathogenesis. *Neurobiol. Aging* 17, 761–766. doi: 10.1016/0197-4580(96) 00104-2
- Shrivastava, A. N., Kowalewski, J. M., Renner, M., Bousset, L., Koulakoff, A., Melki, R., et al. (2013). beta-amyloid and ATP-induced diffusional trapping of astrocyte and neuronal metabotropic glutamate type-5 receptors. *Glia* 61, 1673–1686. doi: 10.1002/glia.22548
- Sinai, A., Hassiotis, A., Rantell, K., and Strydom, A. (2016). Assessing specific cognitive deficits associated with dementia in older adults with down syndrome: use and validity of the Arizona cognitive test battery (ACTB). *PLoS One* 11:e0153917. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0153917
- Sofroniew, M. V., and Vinters, H. V. (2010). Astrocytes: biology and pathology. *Acta Neuropathol.* 119, 7–35. doi: 10.1007/s00401-009-0619-8
- Spilman, P., Podlutskaya, N., Hart, M. J., Debnath, J., Gorostiza, O., Bredesen, D., et al. (2010). Inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin abolishes cognitive deficits and reduces amyloid-beta levels in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 5:e9979. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009979
- Sullivan, K. D., Lewis, H. C., Hill, A. A., Pandey, A., Jackson, L. P., Cabral, J. M., et al. (2016). Trisomy 21 consistently activates the interferon response. *Elife* 5:e16220.
- Takashima, S., Becker, L. E., Armstrong, D. L., and Chan, F. (1981). Abnormal neuronal development in the visual cortex of the human fetus and infant with down's syndrome. A quantitative and qualitative Golgi study. *Brain Res.* 225, 1–21. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(81)90314-0
- Tlili, A., Hoischen, A., Ripoll, C., Benabou, E., Badel, A., Ronan, A., et al. (2012). BDNF and DYRK1A are variable and inversely correlated in lymphoblastoid cell lines from down syndrome patients. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 46, 297–303. doi: 10.1007/s12035-012-8284-7
- Trazzi, S., Fuchs, C., Valli, E., Perini, G., Bartesaghi, R., and Ciani, E. (2013). The amyloid precursor protein (APP) triplicated gene impairs neuronal precursor

differentiation and neurite development through two different domains in the Ts65Dn mouse model for down syndrome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 20817–20829. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m113.451088

- Tsai, P. T., Hull, C., Chu, Y., Greene-Colozzi, E., Sadowski, A. R., Leech, J. M., et al. (2012). Autistic-like behaviour and cerebellar dysfunction in Purkinje cell Tsc1 mutant mice. *Nature* 488, 647–651. doi: 10.1038/nature11 310
- Vargas, D. L., Nascimbene, C., Krishnan, C., Zimmerman, A. W., and Pardo, C. A. (2005). Neuroglial activation and neuroinflammation in the brain of patients with autism. *Ann. Neurol.* 57, 67–81. doi: 10.1002/ana.20315
- Verkhratsky, A. N., and Butt, A. (2007). *Glial Neurobiology : A Textbook*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Verkhratsky, A., Zorec, R., Rodriguez, J. J., and Parpura, V. (2016). Pathobiology of neurodegeneration: the role for astroglia. *Opera Med. Physiol.* 1, 13–22. doi: 10.1186/1750-1326-4-13
- Vicente, A., Bravo-Gonzalez, L. A., Lopez-Romero, A., Munoz, C. S., and Sanchez-Meca, J. (2020). Craniofacial morphology in down syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 10:19895.
- Voineagu, I., Wang, X., Johnston, P., Lowe, J. K., Tian, Y., Horvath, S., et al. (2011). Transcriptomic analysis of autistic brain reveals convergent molecular pathology. *Nature* 474, 380–384. doi: 10.1038/nature10110
- Volterra, A., and Meldolesi, J. (2005). Astrocytes, from brain glue to communication elements: the revolution continues. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 6, 626–640. doi: 10.1038/nrn1722
- von Bartheld, C. S., Bahney, J., and Herculano-Houzel, S. (2016). The search for true numbers of neurons and glial cells in the human brain: a review of 150 years of cell counting. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 524, 3865–3895. doi: 10.1002/cne.24040
- Weick, J. P., Held, D. L., Bonadurer, G. F. III, Doers, M. E., Liu, Y., Maguire, C., et al. (2013). Deficits in human trisomy 21 iPSCs and neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 9962–9967. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1216575110
- Wisniewski, K., Howe, J., Williams, D. G., and Wisniewski, H. M. (1978). Precocious aging and dementia in patients with down's syndrome. *Biol. Psychiatry* 13, 619–627.
- Wisniewski, K. E., Laure-Kamionowska, M., and Wisniewski, H. M. (1984). Evidence of arrest of neurogenesis and synaptogenesis in brains of patients with down's syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 311, 1187–1188. doi: 10.1056/ nejm198411013111819

- Wisniewski, K. E., and Schmidt-Sidor, B. (1989). Postnatal delay of myelin formation in brains from down syndrome infants and children. *Clin. Neuropathol.* 8, 55–62.
- Wisniewski, K. E., Wisniewski, H. M., and Wen, G. Y. (1985). Occurrence of neuropathological changes and dementia of Alzheimer's disease in down's syndrome. Ann. Neurol. 17, 278–282. doi: 10.1002/ana.410170310
- Wong, M. (2013). Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways in neurological diseases. *Biomed. J.* 36, 40–50. doi: 10.4103/2319-4170.110365
- Yu, T., Robotham, J. L., and Yoon, Y. (2006). Increased production of reactive oxygen species in hyperglycemic conditions requires dynamic change of mitochondrial morphology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 2653–2658. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0511154103
- Zdaniuk, G., Wierzba-Bobrowicz, T., Szpak, G. M., and Stepien, T. (2011). Astroglia disturbances during development of the central nervous system in fetuses with down's syndrome. *Folia Neuropathol.* 49, 109–114.
- Zhang, Y., and Barres, B. A. (2010). Astrocyte heterogeneity: an underappreciated topic in neurobiology. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 20, 588–594. doi: 10.1016/j.conb. 2010.06.005
- Zigman, W. B., and Lott, I. T. (2007). Alzheimer's disease in down syndrome: neurobiology and risk. *Ment. Retard. Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* 13, 237–246.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Ponroy Bally and Murai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.