



# DOTA-Derivatives of Octreotide Dicarba-Analogs with High Affinity for Somatostatin sst<sub>2,5</sub> Receptors

Alessandro Pratesi<sup>1†</sup>, Mauro Ginanneschi<sup>1,2</sup>, Marco Lumini<sup>1,2</sup>, Anna M. Papini<sup>1,2</sup>, Ettore Novellino<sup>3</sup>, Diego Brancaccio<sup>3</sup> and Alfonso Carotenuto<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry "Ugo Schiff," University of Florence, Firenze, Italy, <sup>2</sup> Interdepartmental Laboratory of Peptide & Protein Chemistry & Biology, University of Florence, Firenze, Italy, <sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacy, University of Naples "Federico II," Naples, Italy

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Muhammad Athar Abbasi,  
Government College University,  
Pakistan

### Reviewed by:

Christophe Salome,  
University of Strasbourg, France  
Andrew James Sutherland,  
Aston University, UK

### \*Correspondence:

Alfonso Carotenuto  
alfonso.carotenuto@unina.it

### † Present Address:

Alessandro Pratesi,  
Laboratory of Metals in Medicine,  
Department of Chemistry, University of  
Florence, Florence, Italy

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Medicinal and Pharmaceutical  
Chemistry,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 12 December 2016

Accepted: 09 February 2017

Published: 23 February 2017

### Citation:

Pratesi A, Ginanneschi M, Lumini M,  
Papini AM, Novellino E, Brancaccio D  
and Carotenuto A (2017)  
DOTA-Derivatives of Octreotide  
Dicarba-Analogs with High Affinity for  
Somatostatin sst<sub>2,5</sub> Receptors  
Front. Chem. 5:8.  
doi: 10.3389/fchem.2017.00008

*In vivo* somatostatin receptor scintigraphy is a valuable method for the visualization of human endocrine tumors and their metastases. In fact, peptide ligands of somatostatin receptors (sst's) conjugated with chelating agents are in clinical use. We have recently developed octreotide dicarba-analogs, which show interesting binding profiles at sst's. In this context, it was mandatory to explore the possibility that our analogs could maintain their activity also upon conjugation with DOTA. In this paper, we report and discuss the synthesis, binding affinity and conformational preferences of three DOTA-conjugated dicarba-analogs of octreotide. Interestingly, two conjugated analogs exhibited nanomolar affinities on sst<sub>2</sub> and sst<sub>5</sub> somatostatin receptor subtypes.

**Keywords:** somatostatin receptors, dicarba-analogs, DOTA-conjugation, NMR conformational analysis, radiotracers

## INTRODUCTION

Radiolabeled peptides, targeting specific receptors of malignant cells, *in primis* GPCRs, have emerged in the past years as one of the most promising tools for diagnosis and therapy of several kinds of metastatic tumors which express these receptors (Ramogida and Orvig, 2013). Somatostatins SRIF-14 and SRIF-28 are peptide hormones, which have a wide range of pharmacological actions on exocrine and endocrine secretions (Brazeau et al., 1973) mediated by direct interaction with at least five GPCRs. Chemically, they are -S-S- bridged cyclic peptides containing 14 and 28 amino acids, respectively. SRIF receptors are highly expressed in various types of malignant cells, particularly in some neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) or neuroendocrine-like diseases. The use of native SRIF-14, that possesses strong antisecretive and antiproliferative properties, was hampered by the short *in vivo* half-life of this hormone (<3 min; Weckbecker et al., 2003). This prompted several research groups, during the last three decades, to synthesize a huge

**Abbreviations:** DOTA, 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid; DQF-COSY, double quantum filtered correlated spectroscopy; DTPA, 2-[Bis[2-[bis(carboxymethyl)amino]ethyl]amino]acetic acid diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid; GPCRs, G protein-coupled receptors; HATU, 1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo [4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxid hexafluorophosphate, N-[(Dimethylamino)-1H-1,2,3-triazolo-[4,5-b]pyridin-1-ylmethylene]-N-methylmethanaminium hexafluoro-phosphate N-oxide; HYNIC, 6-[2-(2-sulfonatobenzaldehyde)hydrazono]nicotinylnicotinic acid; NMM, 4-methylmorpholine; NOC, 1-Nal<sup>3</sup>-octreotide; NOESY, nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy; RCM, ring closing metathesis; SDS-d<sub>25</sub>, dodecyl-d<sub>25</sub> sulfate sodium salt; SRIF, somatotropin release-inhibiting factor; TATE, Tyr<sup>3</sup>-octreotate; TOC, [Tyr<sup>3</sup>]octreotide; TOCSY, total correlated spectroscopy; TSP, trimethylsilyl propionate.

number of new size-reduced cyclic analogs with enhanced stability in physiological conditions. Despite this synthetic effort, only a few analogs resulted as good candidates for further *in vitro* and *in vivo* investigations and fewer entered the clinical practice. Among them, the cyclo-octapeptide octreotide (**Figure 1**) emerged as  $sst_2$  agonist, thanks to the high affinity and specificity toward this receptor that is over-expressed by numerous NETs. However, the octreotide itself is a poor inhibitor of the cell growth and it is currently used as a carrier of radionuclides for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Therefore, octreotide and its congeners like [Tyr<sup>3</sup>]-octreotide (TOC), [1-Nal<sup>3</sup>]-octreotide (NOC) or [Tyr<sup>3</sup>, Thr<sup>8</sup>]-octreotide (TATE), conjugated with radiolabelled chelating agents like HYNIC, DTPA, or DOTA, gave good results when applied in imaging and therapy of NETs (Ginj et al., 2006; Ambrosini et al., 2011; Graham and Menda, 2011; Maecke and Reubi, 2011).

The basic parameters needed for satisfactory clinical applications of radiolabeled SRIF analogs have been recently pointed out by Maecke and Reubi (2011). During the last three decades, Rivier's group carried out an impressive work by synthesizing a tremendous number of SRIF analogs, agonists and antagonists, and correlating their affinity to  $sst_{1-4}$  receptors with their conformation in solution (Grace et al., 2008). However, all these synthetic cyclopeptides were bridged by S-S clasp, mimicking the native SRIF tether, that is sensitive to enzymatic and chemical agents. This prompted us to prepare more robust octreotide dicarba-analogs by RCM between the allylic side chains of two L-allylglycine (Agl) residues (Agl<sup>3</sup> and Agl<sup>14</sup>) of the linear peptides. The structures of octreotide (**1**) and of the first dicarba-tethered analog prepared in our laboratories (**2**) (Carotenuto et al., 2005) are reported in **Figure 1**. Despite a very similar amino acid sequence, the affinity of **2** toward the  $sst_2$  receptor was about 70-fold less than that reported for compound **1** (D'Addona et al., 2008). No affinity improvement was detected increasing the flexibility of **2** by double bond hydrogenation. Later on, we prepared several other dicarba-analogs by changing selected amino acids in the sequence of the analog **2**. Some of the novel compounds showed affinity for  $sst_{2,3,5}$  subtypes in


the nM range (D'Addona et al., 2008; Di Cianni et al., 2010). Moreover, our studies on the conformation-affinity relationship revealed that the cyclic dicarba-analogs, having high affinity for the  $sst_5$  subtype, showed propensity to form a  $3_{10}$ -helix at the C-terminal sequence (Di Cianni et al., 2010). The structures of these compounds are reported in **Figure 2**.

In this paper, we describe the conjugation of the dicarba-analogs **3a**, **4a**, and **5a** with the DOTA chelating agent, thus obtaining the novel cyclic peptides **3b**, **4b**, and **5b**, which were tested for their affinity toward  $sst_2$  and  $sst_5$  receptors. As expected, the introduction of the DOTA moiety at the N-terminus of the cyclic peptides affected the receptors binding affinity of these molecules (**Table 1**). We here report and discuss on the synthesis, the receptor binding affinity and conformational preferences of these novel DOTA-conjugated octreotide dicarba-analogs.


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### General Procedures

Fmoc protected amino acids were purchased from Novabiochem (Laufelfingen, Switzerland) and from Iris Biotech (Marktredwitz, Germany), H-L-Thr(*t*Bu)-ol-2-chlorotrityl resin and HATU were purchased from Iris Biotech. Second generation Grubbs catalyst was obtained from Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Fmoc-Agl-OH was purchased from Polypeptide Laboratories (Strasbourg, France). Peptide grade DMF was from Scharlau (Barcelona, Spain). TSP was purchased from MSD Isotopes (Montreal, Canada). <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O was obtained from Aldrich. SDS-d<sub>25</sub> was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Andover, MA). All the other solvents and reagents used for SPPS were of analytical quality and used without further purification. The solid phase syntheses and DOTA-conjugations were performed using a semi-automatic synthesizer from MultiSynTech (Witten, Germany). Analytical RP-HPLCs were performed on a Waters instrument equipped with a UV detector on a Phenomenex Jupiter C18 column (5 μm, 250 × 4.6 mm) using a flow rate of 1 mL/min, with the following solvent system: 0.1% TFA in



**FIGURE 1 | Chemical structure of octreotide (1) and of the first dicarba-tethered analog (2).** Numbering of the amino acid residues follows that of the native SRIF.



**TABLE 1 | Sst<sub>2,5</sub> receptor affinities of the free and DOTA-conjugates octreotide dicarba-analogs.**

Compound	Receptor (IC <sub>50</sub> , nM)	
	sst <sub>2</sub>	sst <sub>5</sub>
3a <sup>a</sup>	9.6 ± 0.9	16.5 ± 4.5
3b	61 ± 5.4	130 ± 7
4a <sup>a</sup>	46 ± 3	12.3 ± 0.3
4b	>300	91 ± 7.8
5a <sup>a</sup>	101 ± 9	4.9 ± 1.0
5b	160 ± 8	12 ± 2.6

The mean values ± SEM were obtained on the bases of three independent repetitions of the experiment. <sup>a</sup> Data published previously (Di Cianni et al., 2010).

H<sub>2</sub>O (A), 0.1% TFA in MeCN (B). Semi-preparative RP-HPLC were performed on the same instrument using a flow rate of 4 ml/min with the same solvent system, on a Phenomenex Jupiter C18 column (10 μm, 250 × 10 mm). Mass spectra were registered on a Thermo-Finnigan ESI LCQ Advantage mass spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA). LC-ESI-MS analyses were performed on a Phenomenex Jupiter C18 column (5 μm, 150 × 2.0 mm) using a flow rate of 500 μL/min on a ThermoFinnigan Surveyor HPLC system coupled to ESI-MS, using the solvent system: 0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O (A), 0.1% TFA in MeCN (B).

## Synthesis and Purification of Compounds 3–5

Peptides were synthesized following the method reported in the preceding paper (Di Cianni et al., 2010). Briefly, the peptides were prepared using the general Fmoc-SPPS strategy on preswelled H-L-Thr(*t*Bu)-ol-2-chloro-trityl resin. Couplings were performed by adding 2 equivalents of protected amino acid activated by HATU and 4 equivalents of NMM in DMF. Each coupling was monitored by the qualitative ninhydrin (Kaiser) test (Kaiser et al., 1970). The cyclization was performed on-resin by second generation Grubbs catalyst (0.5 mol eq. calculated on the basis of 0.5 mmol/g of peptide). After swelling, NH<sub>2</sub> terminal Fmoc-Agl was deprotected and coupled with Fmoc-D-Phe-OH, affording the on-resin peptides. At this point, all the on-resin peptides were divided in two equal amounts, one portion was deprotected with 20% piperidine in DMF and cleaved [3a,b with TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol (96:2:2, 3 h); 4a,b, and 5a,b with TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol (70:28:2, 2.30 h)]. The aqueous solutions of the peptides 3a–5a were pre-purified by solid phase extraction and after subjected to the purification by semipreparative RP-HPLC and subsequently characterized by ESI-MS. Analytical RP-HPLC and ESI-MS analysis of the crude compounds revealed two chromatographic peaks with the same MW for compounds 3a–5a, corresponding to the geometric isomers (*Z/E* ratio ≈ 90:10). Compounds were then purified by semipreparative RP-HPLC, and the most abundant chromatographic peaks were collected.

For all the products, HPLC purity was 97%. The other portion with the deprotected on-resin peptides **3a–5a** were coupled with the azamacrocycle DOTA, by adding 2 equivalents of protected DOTA-tris-*t*Bu ester activated by 2 equivalents of HATU and 4 equivalents of NMM in DMF. Also in this case the coupling was monitored by the Kaiser test. The crude peptides **3b–5b** were cleaved from the solid support and purified as already described.

## Determination of Somatostatin Receptor Affinity Profiles

Determination of Somatostatin receptors affinity was performed at CEREP (Le Bois l'Évêque, B. P. 3,001, 86,600 Celle l'Évescault, France). Cell membrane pellets were prepared from human *sst*<sub>2</sub>-expressing endogeneous IMR32 cells, and *sst*<sub>5</sub>-expressing human CHO cells. For each of the tested compounds, complete displacement experiments with the universal SRIF radio-ligand [Leu<sup>8</sup>, D-Trp<sup>22</sup>, <sup>125</sup>I-Tyr<sup>25</sup>]-SRIF-28 (125I-[LTT]-SRIF-28) (2000 Ci/mmol; Anawa, Wangen, Switzerland) were performed. As control, unlabeled seglitide and SRIF-14 were run in parallel, using the same increasing concentrations, with *sst*<sub>2</sub> and *sst*<sub>5</sub> subtypes, respectively. *IC*<sub>50</sub> values were calculated by non-linear regression analysis of the competition curves generated with mean replicate values using Hill equation curve. The analysis was performed using software developed at CEREP (Hill software) and validated by comparison with data generated by the commercial software SigmaPlot 4.0 for Windows.

## HPLC Estimation of Hydrophobicity

Analytical RP-HPLC was run on a Thermo Finnigan Surveyor HPLC equipped with a Phenomenex aqua C18 column 300 Å 5 μm (250 mm × 4.6 mm). The solvent systems used for gradients were A (0.1% TFA in H<sub>2</sub>O) and B (0.1% TFA in CH<sub>3</sub>CN). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, with a linear gradient from 40 to 90% of B in 20 min. The chromatographic peaks were monitored with a PDA detector at 254 nm.

A solution of each compound (1 mg/mL) was prepared in HPLC-grade water and 20 μL of solution were injected into the instrument. Each compound was repeated in triplicate and the average retention time was then calculated.

## NMR Spectroscopy

The samples for NMR spectroscopy were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of peptide in 0.55 mL of <sup>1</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O (pH 5), 0.05 ml of <sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O to obtain a concentration 1–2 mM of peptides and 200 mM of SDS-d<sub>25</sub>. TSP was used as internal chemical shift standard. The water signal was suppressed by gradient echo (Hwang and Shaka, 1995). NMR experiments were recorded on a Varian Inova-Unity 700 MHz at 308.1 K. 1D <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the new compounds **3b–5b** are reported in Figure S1 of the Supplementary Material. Complete <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shift assignments were effectively achieved for all the analyzed peptides (Tables S3–S5) according to the Wüthrich procedure (Wüthrich, 1986) via the usual systematic application of TOCSY (Braunschweiler and Ernst, 1983) and NOESY (Jeener et al., 1979) experiments recorded in the phase-sensitive mode using the method from States (States et al., 1982).

Typical data block sizes were 2,048 addresses in *t*<sub>2</sub> and 512 equidistant *t*<sub>1</sub> values. Before Fourier transformation, the time domain data matrices were multiplied by shifted sin<sup>2</sup> functions in both dimensions. A mixing time of 70 ms was used for the TOCSY experiments. NOESY experiments were run with mixing times of 100 and 200 ms. The qualitative and quantitative analyses of TOCSY and NOESY spectra were obtained with the support of the XEASY software package (Bartels et al., 1995).

## Structural Determinations and Computational Modeling

The NOE-based distance restraints were obtained from NOESY spectra collected with the mixing time of 100 ms. The NOE cross peaks were integrated with the XEASY program and were converted into upper distance bounds using the CALIBA program incorporated into the program package DYANA (Güntert et al., 1997). Only NOE derived constraints (Tables S6–S8) were considered in the annealing procedures. In a first calculation run, all the upper distance bounds were used, generating an ensemble of 100 structures with the simulated annealing standard protocol of the program DYANA. For all peptides, a number of consistent (i.e., in all calculated structures) violated upper limit constraints (>0.1 Å) were observed (Tables S6–S8). These violations were discarded in a subsequent MD run. This step was repeated until no violation was observed (two runs were enough for all peptides). Thus, we obtained a first family of structures (family I). In a second MD cycle, the violated upper limit constraints of the first cycle were up-weighted (10-fold) for the contribution to the target energy function of DYANA. Hence, we obtained a new set of violated constraints which were discarded in the subsequent MD runs. After two MD runs, no violations were observed. In the final calculation run, we applied the same weight to the not discarded constraints and obtained a second family of structures (family II). Since, the two sets of violations had no common member we did not repeat further the described procedure.

Finally, 20 structures for each family of peptides **3b–5b** were chosen, whose interprotonic distances best fitted NOE derived distances, and then refined through successive steps of restrained and unrestrained energy minimization calculations using the Discover algorithm (Accelrys, San Diego, CA) and the consistent valence force field (CVFF) (Maple et al., 1988).

The minimization lowered the total energy of the structures. The final structures were analyzed using the InsightII program (Accelrys, San Diego, CA). Graphical representations were carried out with the UCSF Chimera package (Pettersen et al., 2004). The root-mean-squared-deviation analysis between energy-minimized structures was carried out with the program MOLMOL (Koradi et al., 1996).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Peptide Synthesis and Purification

The synthesis of dicarba-analogs followed the procedure described in our previous article (Di Cianni et al., 2010). All the syntheses take advantages from the Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis

protocol, allowing to rapidly afford the products in the range from a  $\mu\text{M}$  to mM scale and with high purity level.


The syntheses of the linear peptides were performed on a 2-chloro-trityl resin pre-loaded with the protected aminoalcohol H-L-Thr(*t*Bu)-ol and following the **Scheme 1**. The peptide chain elongation, according to the selected amino acid sequence, was achieved by the coupling of a pre-activated Fmoc-protected amino acid with HATU and NMM. All the couplings were checked by the Kaiser test (Kaiser et al., 1970). Once the syntheses of the linear peptides were completed, the corresponding dicarba-cyclopeptides were obtained by RCM reactions catalyzed with the second generation Grubb's catalyst in anhydrous DCM for 48 h at 50°C.

At this point, the last amino acid residue D-Phe, was coupled to the three on-resin cyclopeptides and the resins were divided in two halves. One of these was cleaved in order to obtain the free dicarba-cyclopeptide **3a–5a**, while the other underwent to the DOTA coupling on the free amino-group of the D-Phe residue with the DOTA-tris-*t*Bu ester, suitably activated with HATU and NMM. Also in this case, the compounds were cleaved from the solid support, affording the crude products **3b–5b**. The cleavage of compounds **3a,b** was carried out with a mixture of TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol (96:2:2) for 3 h at r.t., while compounds **4a,b**, and **5a,b**, that needed some milder conditions since the OBz must be retained on the side-chain of the Tyr residue, were treated with TFA/H<sub>2</sub>O/phenol (70:28:2) for 2.5 h. All compounds

obtained were pre-purified by SPE. The concentrated solutions of the raw products were adsorbed on the SPE and eluted with an increased percentage of CH<sub>3</sub>CN in H<sub>2</sub>O (from 0 to 100%). The enriched fractions were then purified by semipreparative RP-HPLC and characterized by ESI-MS (see Tables S1, S2). For each peptide, the HPLC chromatogram showed two peaks with the same MW, corresponding to the geometric isomers (*Z/E* ratio  $\approx$  90:10). In particular, the *Z* structure of the C-C = C-C tether of the sample, eluted at higher R<sub>t</sub>, was recovered and the *cis*-structure ascertained by <sup>1</sup>H NMR inspection. In particular, the geometry of the double bond of compounds **3b–5b** was confirmed as *cis* (*E*) from the coupling constant value (<sup>3</sup>J<sub>CH=CH</sub> = 11 Hz) between the two olefinic protons of the bridge (Figure S2) and the relative strong NOE between the same olefinic H<sub>γ</sub>s. Since, the signals of the two olefinic protons of all compounds **3b–5b** overlapped each other in the NMR spectra acquired in SDS solutions, previous parameters were extracted from spectra acquired in D<sub>2</sub>O solution. The HPLC purity of each compound was >97%, and the isolated structure showed unique *Z* configuration, confirmed by NMR analysis. No oligomeric by-products were observed.

### Binding Affinity to sst<sub>2,5</sub> Receptors

The three DOTA conjugated compounds **3b**, **4b**, and **5b** were tested for their ability to bind to the sst<sub>2</sub> and sst<sub>5</sub> receptors subtypes in complete displacement experiments using the



universal somatostatin radioligand [ $^{125}\text{I}$ ]-[Leu<sup>8</sup>,D-Trp<sup>22</sup>,Tyr<sup>25</sup>]-somatostatin-28.  $IC_{50}$  values were calculated after quantification of the data using a computer-assisted image processing system (Table 1). Receptor subtypes  $sst_2$  and  $sst_5$  were chosen for preliminary binding assays because of their overexpression in some tumor types (Miller et al., 1995). In Table 1, the affinities of the parent unconjugated compounds 3a, 4a, and 5a are also reported for comparison (Di Cianni et al., 2010).

Bearing in mind that affinity values >100 nM cannot permit to consider the related compounds of pharmacological interest, from data reported in Table 1 it clearly appears that 3b maintains a fairly good affinity for  $sst_2$  while it loses affinity for  $sst_5$  subtype. The opposite case is reported for the Tyr(OBz)<sup>10</sup> containing derivative 4b that shows a moderate reduction of the affinity at the  $sst_5$  receptor but a total loss of  $sst_2$  affinity. In the case of compound 5b, after the conjugation with DOTA there is roughly no effect on  $sst_2$  activity while the  $sst_5$  affinity is reduced (2.4-fold). Nevertheless, the binding ability with  $sst_5$  still remains of significant interest.

## HPLC Estimation of Hydrophobicity

RP-HPLC retention time ( $t_R$ ) measurements can give an idea of the difference in hydrophobicity of our peptides after the conjugation with DOTA (Table 2; Tachi et al., 2002; Hossain et al., 2011).

Compound 3a is, as expected, the less hydrophobic analog having the -OH group of the Thr<sup>10</sup> side chain in the place of the lipophilic Tyr(OBz)<sup>10</sup> residue of 4a and 5a. On the other hand, it is not surprisingly that 5a is still more hydrophobic than 4a because of the outcome of the aromatic naphthyl side chain in position 7. The introduction of the DOTA moiety at the amino end of these analogs enhanced the affinity of the entire molecule for the stationary phase through a slight increment of the chromatographic retention times, at least in our experimental conditions but did not alter the hydrophobic/hydrophilic nature of the molecules to any significant extent. Noticeably, the contribution to the hydrophobicity carried by the DOTA moiety is almost the same for 3b–5b molecules. Because the lipophilicity = hydrophobicity–polarity (Giaginis and Tsantili-Kakoulidou, 2008), these finds seem to suggest that changes in hydrophobicity and then also in lipophilicity, introduced by the conjugation with the DOTA group, show a very similar trend along the three structures.

**TABLE 2 | RP-HPLC comparison of retention time of dicarba-analogs and their respective DOTA-conjugated analogs.**


Compound	$T_R$ (MIN)	$\Delta T_R$ (MIN)
3a	6.14	0.65
3b	6.79	
4a	10.39	0.55
4b	10.94	
5a	12.02	0.55
5b	12.57	

## NMR Analysis


NMR analysis of the analogs 3b–5b was performed in SDS-d<sub>25</sub> micelles solution. The employment of SDS micelles to investigate the conformational properties is justified on the basis of their interaction with a membrane receptor. For peptides that bind membrane receptors, such as GPCR, the use of membrane mimetic solution is suggested, hypothesizing a membrane-assisted mechanism of interactions between the peptides and their receptors (Sargent and Schwyzer, 1986). Hence micelle solutions have been extensively used for conformational studies of peptide hormones and neuropeptides (Grieco et al., 2011; Carotenuto et al., 2013, 2015).

For compound 3b, NMR data resemble those of the corresponding parent 3a (Table S3) with the main differences located at N-terminal residues 2-3-7 (Note: numbering of the residues follows that of native SRIF, Figure 2). As for 3a (Di Cianni et al., 2010), NOESY spectra of 3b showed, simultaneously, both diagnostic connectivities consistent with folded structures and NOE contacts characteristic of extended regions (Table S6). Considering incompatible NOEs separately in different calculation cycles (Di Cianni et al., 2010), two families of conformation were obtained (Figure 3); family I with a type II'  $\beta$ -turn spanning residues D-Trp<sup>8</sup>-Lys<sup>9</sup>, followed by a short  $3_{10}$ -helix along residues 10-14-15 (Figure 3A) and family II which differed from the first mainly in that C-terminal residues were in extended conformations (Figure 3B). Compared to the conformations obtained for peptide 3a, the principal difference in both families is a better definition of D-Phe<sup>2</sup> side chain which is predominately *gauche*- oriented in 3b, and a higher conformational freedom for 1-Nal<sup>7</sup> side chain which populates both *trans* and *gauche*- conformers starting (in 3a) from a preferred *trans* conformation. D-Phe<sup>2</sup> reorientation is probably due to attractive interactions between D-Phe and DOTA moieties, while 1-Nal<sup>7</sup> movements should be a consequence of the first. Interactions between D-Phe<sup>2</sup> residue and N-terminal conjugate moieties were already observed in other octreotide dicarba-derivatives (Barragán et al., 2012).

These conformational modifications have to account for the loss of activity at receptors, mainly at the subtype  $sst_5$ , because probably the reorientation of the 1-Nal<sup>7</sup> induces the loss of an important interaction. Differently from NMR spectra of 3a, those of Tyr(OBz) containing compounds (4b, and 5b) show significant differences regarding all residues compared to the parents 4a and 5a, respectively (Tables S4, S5). Some NOE interactions between Tyr(OBz) side chain and both Phe<sup>7</sup> (1-Nal<sup>7</sup>) and D-Trp<sup>8</sup> could be observed (Tables S7–S8). Structure calculation explained the experimental NMR data. In fact, apart the *gauche*- side chain orientations of D-Phe<sup>2</sup> and of Phe<sup>7</sup> (1-Nal<sup>7</sup>) already described for 3b, Tyr(OBz) side chain of both extended (family I) and folded (family II) structure clusters of 4b and 5b had a *gauche*<sup>+</sup> orientation (Figures 4, 5). Henceforth, the long Tyr(OBz) side chain is inserted between the aromatic systems of Phe<sup>7</sup> (1-Nal<sup>7</sup>) and D-Trp<sup>8</sup>. This tight packing blocks the rotation of both Phe<sup>7</sup> (1-Nal<sup>7</sup>) and D-Trp<sup>8</sup>. As a consequence of this loss of rotational freedom D-Trp<sup>8</sup> indole moiety is closer, on average, to Lys<sup>9</sup> residue compared to what happens in the unconjugated peptides;




**FIGURE 3 | Superposition of the 10 lowest energy conformers of 3b: family I (A), family II (B).** Structure models were superimposed using the backbone heavy atoms. Lowest energy conformer is evidenced with thicker stick and different colors of the backbone atoms (carbon, green; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red; sulfur, yellow). DOTA position is showed as a black ball. Hydrogen atoms of the side chains are hidden for a better view.



**FIGURE 4 | Superposition of the 10 lowest energy conformers of 4b: family I (A), family II (B).** Structure models were superimposed using the backbone heavy atoms. Lowest energy conformer is evidenced with thicker stick and different colors of the backbone atoms (carbon, green; nitrogen, blue; oxygen, red; sulfur, yellow). DOTA position is showed as a black ball. Hydrogen atoms of the side chains are hidden for a better view.

this is in accordance with the significant upfield shifts of all the proton signals of Lys<sup>9</sup>. This compact conformation is favorable to the sst<sub>5</sub> binding of **5b** (and partially of **4b**) since this compound recovers the affinity toward sst<sub>5</sub> compared to **3b**.

At the same time, **4b** and **5b** show a moderate/slight reduction of the affinity toward sst<sub>5</sub> compared to **4a** and **5a**, respectively, again the non-perfect orientation of Phe<sup>7</sup> (1-Nal<sup>7</sup>) can explain this worsening. Considering the sst<sub>2</sub> receptor, a slight (**5b**) or total loss (**4b**) of affinity is observed. Unfortunately, due to their poor



overall affinities for  $sst_2$  (both  $>100$  nM), neither compound, **4b** or **5b**, can be considered as a good candidate for eliciting pharmacological activity on this receptor subtype. Consequently, the “cross-arm” orientation of Tyr(OBz) in the context of the  $\beta$ -hairpin structure (**Figures 4B, 5B**), that is considered the binding conformer at the  $sst_2$  (Grace et al., 2006), results to be not suitable for  $sst_2$  receptor.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we have prepared three novel DOTA conjugated peptides, which derive from previously developed cyclic dicarba-analogs **3a**, **4a**, and **5a** with low nanomolar affinity toward somatostatin receptors. The new cyclic peptides **3b**, **4b**, and **5b** were tested for their affinity toward  $sst_2$  and  $sst_5$  receptors. Compounds **3b** and **5b** maintained moderate to high affinities of their unconjugated parents for the  $sst_2$  and  $sst_5$  receptors, respectively. Detailed conformational analysis by solution NMR revealed the possible reasons behind the observed affinity profiles. These very encouraging results will prompt us to load the developed conjugated peptides with different radiometals and to test the novel radiotracers both for diagnostic and therapeutic aims.

## REFERENCES

- Ambrosini, V., Fani, M., Fanti, S., Forrer, F., and Maecke, H. R. (2011). Radiolabeled peptide imaging and therapy in Europe. *J. Nucl. Med.* 52, 42S–55S. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.110.085753
- Barragán, F., Carrion-Salip, D., Gómez-Pinto, I., González-Cantó, A., Sadler, P. J., De Llorens, R., et al. (2012). Somatostatin subtype-2 receptor-targeted metal-based anticancer complexes. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 23, 1838–1855. doi: 10.1021/bc300173h

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AP, MG, ML, AMP conducted the design and the synthesis. DB, AC, analyzed the NMR data, performed the Molecular Dynamics simulations. MG, EN, AC coordinated the project. AP, MG, AC wrote the main manuscript text. All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the financial support of Advanced Accelerator Application (Saint-Genis-Pouilly, France and Colleretto Giacosa, Italy). The Fondazione Umberto Veronesi is also gratefully acknowledged for the funding to AP.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fchem.2017.00008/full#supplementary-material>

**Analytical data of the synthesized peptides and NMR data of the analyzed peptides.**

- Bartels, C., Xia, T. H., Billeter, M., Güntert, P., and Wüthrich, K. (1995). The program XEASY for computer-supported NMR spectral analysis of biological macromolecules. *J. Biomol. NMR* 6, 1–10. doi: 10.1007/BF00417486
- Braunschweiler, L., and Ernst, R. R. (1983). Coherence transfer by isotropic mixing: application to proton correlation spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reson.* 53, 521–528. doi: 10.1016/0022-2364(83)90226-3
- Brazeau, P., Vale, W., Burgus, R., Ling, N., Butcher, M., Rivier, J., et al. (1973). Hypothalamic polypeptide that inhibits the secretion

- of immunoreactive pituitary growth hormone. *Science* 179, 77–79. doi: 10.1126/science.179.4068.77
- Carotenuto, A., Auriemma, L., Merlino, F., Limatola, A., Campiglia, P., Gomez-Monterrey, I., et al. (2013). New insight into the binding mode of peptides at urotensin-II receptor by Trp-constrained analogues of P5U and urantide. *J. Pept. Sci.* 19, 293–300. doi: 10.1002/psc.2498
- Carotenuto, A., D'Addona, D., Rivalta, E., Chelli, M., Papini, A. M., Rovero, P., et al. (2005). Synthesis of a dicarba-analog of octreotide keeping the type II'  $\delta$ -turn of the pharmacophore in water solution. *Lett. Org. Chem.* 2, 274–279. doi: 10.2174/1570178053765276
- Carotenuto, A., Merlino, F., Cai, M., Brancaccio, D., Yousif, A. M., Novellino, E., et al. (2015). Discovery of Novel potent and selective agonists at the melanocortin-3 receptor. *J. Med. Chem.* 58, 9773–9778. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01285
- D'Addona, D., Carotenuto, A., Novellino, E., Piccand, V., Reubi, J. C., Di Cianni, A., et al. (2008). Novel sst5-selective somatostatin dicarba-analogues: synthesis and conformation-affinity relationships. *J. Med. Chem.* 51, 512–520. doi: 10.1021/jm070886i
- Di Cianni, A., Carotenuto, A., Brancaccio, D., Novellino, E., Reubi, J. C., Beetschen, K., et al. (2010). Novel octreotide dicarba-analogues with high affinity and different selectivity for somatostatin receptors. *J. Med. Chem.* 53, 6188–6197. doi: 10.1021/jm1005868
- Giaginis, C., and Tsantili-Kakoulidou, A. (2008). Alternative measures of lipophilicity: from octanol-water partitioning to IAM retention. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 97, 2984–3004. doi: 10.1002/jps.21244
- Ginj, M., Schmitt, J. S., Chen, J., Waser, B., Reubi, J.-C., de Jong, M., et al. (2006). Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of somatostatin-based radiopeptides. *Chem. Biol.* 13, 1081–1090. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2006.08.012
- Grace, C. R., Erchegyi, J., Koerber, S. C., Reubi, J. C., Rivier, J., and Riek, R. (2006). Novel sst2-selective somatostatin agonists. Three-dimensional consensus structure by NMR. *J. Med. Chem.* 49, 4487–4496. doi: 10.1021/jm060363v
- Grace, C. R., Erchegyi, J., Reubi, J. C., Rivier, J. E., and Riek, R. (2008). Three-dimensional consensus structure of sst2-selective somatostatin (SRIF) antagonists by NMR. *Biopolymers* 89, 1077–1087. doi: 10.1002/bip.21060
- Graham, M. M., and Menda, Y. (2011). Radiopeptide imaging and therapy in the United States. *J. Nucl. Med.* 52, 56–63. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.110.085746
- Grieco, P., Brancaccio, D., Novellino, E., Hruba, V. J., and Carotenuto, A. (2011). Conformational study on cyclic melanocortin ligands and new insight into their binding mode at the MC4 receptor. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 46, 3721–3733. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.05.038
- Güntert, P., Mumenthaler, C., and Wüthrich, K. (1997). Torsion angle dynamics for NMR structure calculation with the new program DYANA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 273, 283–298. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.1997.1284
- Hossain, M. A., Guilhaudis, L., Sonnevend, A., Attoub, S., van Lierop, B. J., Robinson, A. J., et al. (2011). Synthesis, conformational analysis and biological properties of a dicarba derivative of the antimicrobial peptide, brevinin-1BYa. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 40, 555–564. doi: 10.1007/s00249-011-0679-2
- Hwang, T. L., and Shaka, A. J. (1995). Water suppression that works. Excitation sculpting using arbitrary wave-forms and pulsed-field gradients. *J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A* 112, 275–279. doi: 10.1006/jmra.1995.1047
- Jeener, J., Meier, B. H., Bachmann, P., and Ernst, R. R. (1979). Investigation of exchange processes by two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* 71, 4546–4553. doi: 10.1063/1.438208
- Kaiser, E., Colescott, R. L., Bossinger, C. D., and Cook, P. I. (1970). Color test for detection of free terminal amino groups in the solid-phase synthesis of peptides. *Anal. Biochem.* 34, 595–598. doi: 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2
- Koradi, R., Billeter, M., and Wüthrich, K. (1996). MOLMOL: a program for display and analysis of macromolecular structures. *J. Mol. Graph.* 14, 51–55. doi: 10.1016/0263-7855(96)00009-4
- Maecke, H. R., and Reubi, J. C. (2011). Somatostatin receptors as targets for nuclear medicine imaging and radionuclide treatment. *J. Nucl. Med.* 52, 841–844. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.110.084236
- Maple, J. R., Dinur, U., and Hagler, A. T. (1988). Derivation of force fields for molecular mechanics and dynamics from ab initio energy surfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 5350–5354.
- Miller, G. M., Alexander, J. M., Bikkal, H. A., Katznelson, L., Zervas, N. T., and Klibanski, A. (1995). Somatostatin receptor subtype gene expression in pituitary adenomas. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 80, 1386–1392. doi: 10.1210/jcem.80.4.7714115
- Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084
- Ramogida, C. F., and Orvig, C. (2013). Tumour targeting with radiometals for diagnosis and therapy. *Chem. Commun.* 49, 4720–4739. doi: 10.1039/c3cc41554f
- Sargent, D. F., and Schwyzer, R. (1986). Membrane lipid phase as catalyst for peptidoreceptor interactions (electrostatic accumulation/sequential binding steps/macrosopic binding characteristics/catalysis by micelles/reconstitution criteria). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 83, 5774–5778. doi: 10.1073/pnas.83.16.5774
- States, D. J., Haberkorn, R. A., and Ruben, D. J. (1982). A two-dimensional nuclear overhauser experiment with pure absorption phase in four quadrants. *J. Magn. Reson.* 48, 286–292. doi: 10.1016/0022-2364(82)90279-7
- Tachi, T., Epand, R. F., Epand, R. M., and Matsuzaki, K. (2002). Position-dependent hydrophobicity of the antimicrobial magainin peptide affects the mode of peptide-lipid interactions and selective toxicity. *Biochemistry* 41, 10723–10731. doi: 10.1021/bi0256983
- Weckbecker, G., Lewis, I., Albert, R., Schmid, H. A., Hoyer, D., and Bruns, C. (2003). Opportunities in somatostatin research: biological, chemical and therapeutic aspects. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2, 999–1017. doi: 10.1038/nrd1255
- Wüthrich, K. (1986). *NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Pratesi, Ginanneschi, Lumini, Papini, Novellino, Brancaccio and Carotenuto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.