



Bioactive α-Pyrone Derivatives from the Endophytic Fungus *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100 as Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Inhibitors

Hong Pu^{1,2,3}, Jianxin Liu², Yeji Wang¹, Yuhui Peng², Wanying Zheng², Yang Tang², Boping Hui², Chunmei Nie², Xueshuang Huang³, Yanwen Duan^{1,4,5}* and Yong Huang^{1,5}*

¹Xiangya International Academy of Translational Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, China, ²School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hunan University of Medicine, Huaihua, China, ³Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory for Synthetic Biology of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hunan University of Medicine, Huaihua, China, ⁴Hunan Engineering Research Center of Combinatorial Biosynthesis and Natural Product Drug Discover, Changsha, China, ⁵National Engineering Research Center of Combinatorial Biosynthesis for Drug Discovery, Changsha, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Zhendong Jin, The University of Iowa, United States

Reviewed by:

Senhua Chen, Sun Yat-Sen University, China Yuguo Du, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

*Correspondence:

Yong Huang jonghuang@csu.edu.cn Yanwen Duan ywduan66@sina.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Organic Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 12 March 2021 Accepted: 28 April 2021 Published: 18 May 2021

Citation:

Pu H, Liu J, Wang Y, Peng Y, Zheng W, Tang Y, Hui B, Nie C, Huang X, Duan Y and Huang Y (2021) Bioactive α-Pyrone Derivatives from the Endophytic Fungus Diaporthe sp. CB10100 as Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Inhibitors. Front. Chem. 9:679592. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.679592 Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) produces NO from L-arginine and plays critical roles in inflammation and immune activation. Selective and potent iNOS inhibitors may be potentially used in many indications, such as rheumatoid arthritis, pain, and neurodegeration. In the current study, five new compounds, including a dibenzo- α pyrone derivative ellagic acid B (5) and four α -pyrones diaporpyrone A-D (9-12), together with three known compounds (6-8), were isolated from the endophytic fungus Diaporthe sp. CB10100. The structures of these new natural products were unambiguously elucidated using NMR, HRESIMS or electronic circular dichroism calculations. Ellagic acid B (5) features a tetracyclic 6/6/6/6 ring system with a fused 2H-chromene, which is different from ellagic acid (4) with a fused 2H-chromen-2-one. Both 2-hydroxy-alternariol (6) and alternariol (7) reduced the expression of iNOS at protein levels in a dose-dependent manner, using a lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced RAW264.7 cell models. Also, they decreased the protein expression levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-a, interleukin-6 and monocyte chemotactic protein 1. Importantly, 6 and 7 significantly reduced the production of NO as low as 10 µM in LPSinduced RAW264.7 cells. Molecular docking of 6 and 7 to iNOS further suggests that both of them may interact with iNOS. Our study suggests that 6 and 7, as well as the alternariol scaffold may be further developed as potential iNOS inhibitors.

Keywords: endophytic fungus, Diaporthe sp., α -pyrone, anti-inflammation, inducible nitric oxide synthase, NO

INTRODUCTION

Inflammation plays important roles in the occurring and development of many diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (Choy and Panayi, 2001), osteoarthritis (OA) (Ahmad et al., 2020), diabetes (Purkayastha and Cai, 2015), and cancers (Zhong et al., 2016). When inflammation occurs, excessive inflammatory mediators are produced by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) or cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), such as NO and prostaglandin E2 (PGE₂) (Yu et al., 2019). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and steroid hormone glucocorticoids are both used for the treatment of inflammation, despite serious side effects (Buchman, 2001; Salvo et al., 2011). iNOS

1



is a mammalian protein composed of a C-terminal reductase and an N-terminal oxygenase domain, which produces micromolar NO by oxidizing L-arginine to L-citrulline in the presence of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and/or proinflammatory cytokines. A considerable number of iNOS inhibitors, such as arginine derivatives, pyrimidines and aminopyrimidines, as well as aliphatic, aromatic, and cyclic amidines, have been developed (Cinelli et al., 2020). Although many of them showed promise in the treatment of arthritis or inflammatory and neuropathic pain in animal models, there are no iNOS inhibitors on the market. Therefore, there is strong need to discover new iNOS inhibitors as anti-inflammation agents.

α-Pyrone (1, Figure 1A) is an aromatic unsaturated lactone and an important sub-structure of various natural products with interesting biological activities (Mcglacken and Fairlamb, 2005). For example, dothideopyrone F (2) inhibited NO production in LPS-induced BV2 cells and diminished the protein expression levels of iNOS and COX-2 (Kim et al., 2018). In addition, natural products with a-pyrone substructure have antibacterial (Zhang et al., 2013), antiinfluenza A virus (Hou et al., 2018), and anti-HIV (Appendino et al., 2007) activities. Some of them are signal molecules (Brachmann et al., 2013), while some are also cytotoxic agents against tumor cells (Rivera-Chávez et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019). In particular, dibenzo-α-pyrone (3) belongs to a significant group of heptaketide coumarin metabolites that have a fused tricyclic core (Figure 1A), commonly identified from fungi, bacteria, and plants (Lai et al., 2016). Many of them also display diverse biological activities including cytotoxicity and anti-inflammatory activity (Mao et al., 2014). For example, ellagic acid (4), found in medicinal plants, vegetables and fruits, exhibits impressive anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic activities (Ríos et al.,

2018). It is widely used in skin care products because of its anti-oxidation effect and skin protection effects.

Figure Sinomenine (Supplementary **S1**), а isolated tetrahydroisoquinoline-type alkaloid from the medicinal plant of Sinomenium acutum (Thunb.) ("青风藤" in Chinese), has strong anti-inflammatory effects and is used in China to treat RA for many years (Zhao et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2019). To our knowledge, there are few reports of endophytes and their natural products from S. acutum. Since endophytes from medical plants are attractive sources of bioactive natural products, we initiated an endeavor to isolate natural products from endophytes colonized in the stem and root of S. acutum, in our continuous search for new bioactive metabolites of microorganisms from un- or underexplored niches (Jiang et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2021a). In this study, we report that these accumulating efforts have resulted in the isolation of multiple endophytes from S. acutum, and bioactivity-guided natural product isolation have yielded eight compounds, including a new dibenzo- α -pyrone derivative, ellagic acid B (5) and four new α -pyrone diaporpyrone A–D (9–12), together with three known compounds (6-8). Further biological evaluation of these compounds revealed that 2-hydroxy-alternariol (6) and alternariol (7) are potential iNOS inhibitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Methods

The instruments (including those applied for optical rotations, MS, ORD, NMR, and ECD) and routine reagents for chemical isolation and biological evaluation were the same as those reported previously (Jiang et al., 2021a). The details were listed in the Supporting Information.

Isolation of Endophytes and Fermentation

The separation methods of endophytic fungi are detailed in the Supporting Information. These endophytic fungi CB10098-CB10104 were isolated from the stems and roots of S. acutum, which were collected from Huaihua (Hunan, China) in October 2017. They were grown in PDA (0.3% potato extract, 2% glucose, 1.5% agar power) medium at 28°C for 5 day, and then inoculated to seed medium [glucose 2%, sucrose 1%, soybean powder 0.2%, peptone 1%, K₂HPO₄ 0.03%, poly (ethylene glycol) 0.25%, NaNO₃ 0.3%, and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 0.3%]. The final pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.0 before sterilization with an autoclave at 121°C for 20 min. Cultures were incubated in flasks at 28°C on a rotary shaker at 220 rpm for 3 day to prepare the seed culture. Next, they were transferred to 2 \times 500 ml Erlenmeyer flasks contained rice (50 g) and H₂O (50 ml). After sterilization in an autoclave at 121°C for 30 min, each flask was inoculated with 10 ml of seed culture and incubated at 28°C for 40 day. Finally, the solid rice culture containing the respective fungus were extracted by ethyl acetate to obtain extracts C1-C6.

Fungal Strain

The strain CB10100 was identified as *Diaporthe* sp. according to molecular identification and its ITS sequence has 99% sequence identity to that of *Diaporthe* sp. The sequence data have been deposited to GenBank with the accession number MW037206. The strain was deposited in the Xiangya International Academy of Translational Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China.

Large-Scale Fermentation of *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100

For large-scale fermentation of *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100, 185×1 L Erlenmeyer flasks containing rice (100 g) and H₂O (100 ml) were used. The fermented solid rice culture was supersonically extracted with EtOAc (3 × 60 L), and after recovering the organic solvent, the crude extract was suspended in water and successively treated with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol.

Isolation of Compounds 5–12

The EtOAc-soluble fraction (192.8 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using petroleum/EtOAc (v/v, 20:1 \rightarrow 10:1 \rightarrow 5:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow 1:5 \rightarrow 1:20), EtOAc, EtOAc/MeOH (v/v 1:0 \rightarrow 1:5 \rightarrow 0:1)to yield nine combined fractions (Fr. A to J). Fr. B (27.13 g) was chromatographed on ODS column (MeOH/H₂O v/v 3:7 \rightarrow 4:6 \rightarrow 5:5 \rightarrow 6:4 \rightarrow 7:3) to yield six combined fractions (Fr. A1 to A6). Fr. A3 was separated by Sephadex LH-20 by employing MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (7:3, v/v) as mobile phase, followed by purification using semi-preparative HPLC with isocratic MeCN/H₂O [containing 0.2% formic acid, MeCN/H₂O, v/v, 10: 90 \rightarrow 70: 30 (0–11 min); 70:30 (11–12 min); 70:30 \rightarrow 10:90 (12–20 min); 10:90 (20–21 min)] as mobile phase to yield **6** (10.6 mg), 7 (30.6 mg), along with known **8** (5.3 mg). Following the same procedure, **5** (4.6 mg) was obtained from Fr. A5.

The petroleum ether fraction (447.6 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using a step gradient elution of

TABLE 1 | $^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz) and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz) data of 5 and 6 in DMSO- $d_{6}.$

Position		5	6			
	δ_{C_i} type	δ_{H} , mult. (J in Hz)	δ_{C} , type	δ _H , mult. (J in Hz)		
1	120.4, C		122.0, C			
2	101.5, CH	6.69, s	141.7, C			
3	149.4, C		147.7, C			
4	142.1, C		101.0, CH	6.7, s		
4a	102.8, C		144.9, C*			
4b	138.2, C		109.2, C			
6	163.9, C		165.2, C*			
6a	129.8, C		97.8, C			
6b	94.1, C		138.7, C*			
7	102.4, CH	6.31, s	164.1, C			
7-0H				11.87, s		
8	154.2, C		100.8, C	6.34, br s		
9	156.5, C		165.0, C			
9a	114.4, C					
10			104.4, CH	7.26, br s		
11	63.3, CH ₂	5.30, s	16.0, CH ₃	2.58, s		

*Not detected (Chapla et al., 2014).

petroleum/EtOAc (v/v, 20:1 \rightarrow 5:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow 1:10), EtOAc, EtOAc/MeOH (v/v 1:0 \rightarrow 10:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow 0:1) to yield ten combined fractions (Fr. 2A to 2H). Fr. 2J (57.86 g) was chromatographed on ODS column (MeOH: H₂O v/v 3:7 \rightarrow 4: $6 \rightarrow 5:5 \rightarrow 6:4 \rightarrow 7:3$) to yield ten combined fractions (Fr. 2J-1 to 2J-10). Fr. 2J-2 (1.32 g) was chromatographed on ODS column (MeOH/H₂O v/v 3:7 \rightarrow 4:6 \rightarrow 5:5 \rightarrow 6:4 \rightarrow 7:3), Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) column and repeated purification by semipreparative HPLC [containing 0.2% formic acid, MeCN/H₂O, v/v, 5: 95 \rightarrow 25: 75 (0–11 min); 25:75 (11–12 min); 25:75 \rightarrow 5:95 (12–20 min); 5: 95 (20–21 min)], which resulted in **9** (4.3 mg) and **10** (5.6 mg). In the same manner, **11** (2.7 mg) and **12** (1.0 mg) were obtained from Fr. 2J - 1.

Ellagic acid B (5)

Dark-grey powder; UV (MeOH) $\lambda max 200.9, 255.2, 287.2, 363.7$ (see **Supplementary Figure S4A**); ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectroscopic data, see **Table 1** and **Supplementary Figures S7–S13**; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 287.0197 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₄H₇O₇, 287.0197).

Diaporpyrone A (9)

White powder; UV (MeOH) λ max 202.1, 220.9, 308.7 (see **Supplementary Figure S4E**); ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectroscopic data, see **Table 2**; **Supplementary Figures S35–S48**; HRESIMS *m/z* 195.0655 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₁O₄,195.0663).

Diaporpyrone B (10)

White powder; UV (MeOH) λ max 196.2, 301.5 (see **Supplementary Figure S4F**) [α] $_{D}^{23.6}$ +1.92 (c 0.12, MeOH); ECD (MeOH) λ (ϵ) 303 (-1.22), 233 (2.02), 208(-5.97); ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectroscopic data, see **Table 2**; **Supplementary Figures S49–S56**; HRESIMS *m/z* 239.0922 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₂H₁₅O₅, 239.0925).

Position	9 ^b		10 ^a	11 ^b		12ª		
	δ_{C} , type	δ _H , mult. (J in Hz)	δ_{C} , type	δ _H , mult. (J in Hz)	δ_{C} , type	δ _H , mult. (J in Hz)	δ_{C} , type	δ _H , mult. (J in Hz)
2	165.2, C		164.8, C		165.3, C		165.2, C	
3	113.7, CH	6.17, d (9.6)	114.1, CH	6.23, d (9.5)	113.7, CH	6.20, d (9.6)	112.8, CH	6.18, d (9.5)
4	149.6, CH	7.44, d (9.6)	145.9, CH	7.62, d (9.5)	149.7, CH	7.48, d (9.6)	150.2, CH	7.45, d (9.5)
5	116.9, C		120.6, C		116.8, C		113.2, C	
6	160.4, C		160.0, C		160.6, C		161.7, C	
1'	29.5, CH ₂	2.41, t (7.2)	68.6, CH	4.61, t (7.0)	70.9, CH	4.08, m	35.4, CH ₂ *	3.36, s
2'	26.2, CH ₂	1.79, m	37.7, CH ₂	1.76, m 1.57, m	35.2, CH ₂	1.96, m 1.86, m	175.7, C*	
3'	34.4, CH ₂	2.33, t (7.2)	26.2, CH ₂	1.36, m	25.9, CH ₂	2.52, m		
4'	176.8, C		26.0, CH ₂	1.63, m	176.7, C			
5'			35.2, CH ₂	2.29, t (4.5)				
6'			178.1, C					
1''	17.2, CH ₃	2.27, s	17.0, CH ₃	2.27, s	17.2, CH ₃	2.32, s	17.6, CH ₃	2.26, s

TABLE 2 | ¹H NMR (^a500 MHz) or (^b400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (^a125 MHz) or (^b100 MHz) data of 9–12 in CD₃OD.

*Not detected.

Diaporpyrone C (11)

White powder; UV (MeOH) $\lambda max 197.4$, 223.3, 307.5 (see **Supplementary Figure S4G**) [α] $_{D}^{23.6}$ 170+14.72 (c 0.072, MeOH); ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectroscopic data, see **Table 2; Supplementary Figures S57–S64**; HRESIMS *m/z* 211.0607 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₁O₅, 211.0612).

Diaporpyrone D (12)

White powder; UV (MeOH) λ max 199.7, 220.9, 303.9 (see **Supplementary Figure S4H**); ¹H, ¹³C and 2D NMR spectroscopic data, see **Table 2**; **Supplementary Figures S65–S71**; HRESIMS *m*/*z* 167.0340 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₈H₇O₄, 167.0350).

ECD Calculation Methods

The ECD spectrum of diaporpyrone B (10) was calculated by using of the Gaussian 09 package (Frisch et al., 2010). Those configurations were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G (d) level. The ECD spectrum were calculated by the TDDFT method at the B3LYP/6-311+ +G (2 day, p) level with the CPCM model in methanol solution (Bruhn et al., 2013). The details were listed in the Supporting Information.

Cell Culture and Cell Viability Assay

Cell culture and cell viability assay (Liu et al., 2016) was utilized to investigate the maximum concentration of every compound that was not toxic to cells. The method for cell culture and cell viability assay was in the **Supplementary Material**.

Western Blotting for the Detection of iNOS and COX-2

The iNOS and COX-2 protein levels affected by compounds **5–12** were detected using Western blotting according to the reported procedures, and dexamethasone was used as the positive control (Jiang et al., 2021a). The procedure is detailed in the **Supplementary Material**.

Detection of NO and Inflammatory Cytokines Production

RAW264.7 cells were seeded in 12-well plates in a density of 2×10^5 cells/mL and incubated for 24 h. Three different

concentration compounds were added in the each well for 1 h before LPS stimulation. The culture medium was collected and stored at -80° C. The levels of nitric oxide in the culture medium were detected using the Nitric oxide detection kit (Invitrogen) and the levels of TNF-a, IL-6 and MCP-1 were analyzed using the ELISA kits (eBioscience).

Molecular Docking Analysis

Molecular docking studies were conducted by the software Molecular Operating Environment (MOE 2010.06; Chemical Computing Group, Canada) as the computational platform. The procedure is detailed in the **Supplementary Material**.

Statistical Analysis

The results are expressed as mean \pm SD of at least three independent experiments. Statistical significance of differences between groups was determined by ANOVA, and a level of **p* < 0.05 or ***p* < 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of Endophylic Fungi From Sinomenium acutum

Six endophytic fungi CB10098-CB10104 were isolated from the stems and roots of S. acutum, collected in Huaihua city in central China (Supplementary Figure S1). These endophytic microorganisms were fermented and the anti-inflammatory activity of their crude extracts C1-C6 was evaluated in a lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW264.7 cells. Several crude extracts, e.g., C3 (from CB10100) and C5 (from CB10102), showed the specific inhibition against iNOS at a concentration of 20 µg/ml (Supplementary Figure S1C). Next, the fungus CB10100 was identified as a Diaporthe species based on DNA sequencing of its internal transcribed spacer 4 (ITS4) and the phylogenetic analysis of its ITS4 with selected Diaporthe strains in GenBank (Supplementary Figure S2). Diaporthe, the sexual morph of Phomopsis and a known plant pathogen (Huang et al., 2013), lives in a diversity of plants (Guarnaccia and Crous, 2017). Some Diaporthe or Phomopsis species can also produce



bioactive small molecules and protect host plants from fungi infection (Tan and Zou, 2001). For example, several bioactive metabolites were discovered, including anthraquinones (*i.e.*, cytoskyrin A), terpenes (*i.e.*, diaporthein A), alkaloids (*i.e.*, diaporisoindoles A) (Chepkirui and Stadler, 2017) (**Supplementary Figure S3**). Therefore, a scale-up solid fermentation of *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100 (185 \times 1 L flasks containing 100 g boiled rice) was next used to isolate natural products with anti-inflammatory activity.

Structure Elucidation

The obtained *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100 crude extract was further fractioned based on silico gel and Sephadex LH-20

chromatography and semi-preparative HPLC, which yielded compounds 5–12. Alternariol (7) and alternariol-9monomethyl ether (8) are known compounds, and their structures were established based on the comparison of their 1D and 2D NMR spectra, HRMS, and UV spectra with the literature (**Supplementary Figures S4C,D, S6C,D, S21–S34**) (Hildebrand et al., 2015). Although 2-hydroxy- alternariol (6) was reported in 2007 and 2014 (Pfeiffer et al., 2007; Chapla et al., 2014), three carbons signals of 6 were not identified. Therefore, a complete set of NMR assignment of 6 is listed in Table 1, based on our full set of NMR spectra (**Supplementary Figures S14–S20**).

Ellagic acid B (5) was isolated as colorless powder. Its molecular formula is established as C14H8O7 based on the (-) -HRESIMS analysis at m/z 287.0197 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₄H₇O₇, 287.0197), suggesting 11 degrees of unsaturation. Its ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 1) shows a total of 14 signals that could be classified as an ester carbonyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$, 163.9), 12 sp²-hybridized carbons (including two methine and six quaternary carbons), and one oxygenated aliphatic carbon (δ_{C} 63.3), assisted by DEPT-135 and HSQC spectra (Supplementary Figures S10, S11). The presence of two aromatic singlet protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.31 (s) and 6.69 (s) suggests the presence of a likely biphenyl structure. Based on these analyses and the similar UV spectra with 6-8, compound 5 may contain a new dibenzo-a-pyrone ring system with an additional fused a-pyran moiety. This was corroborated by its HMBC spectrum, showing correlations from the aromatic proton H-2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.69, s) to C-1, C-3, C-4, C-4b, and from H-7 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.31, s) to C-6, C-6a, C-6b, C-8, and C-9, as well as from one methylene $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.29, s) to C-1, C-2, C-4b, C-9a (**Figure 2**). To our knowledge, this represents a new tetracyclic 6/6/6/6 ring system derived from dibenzo-a-pyrones.

Diaporpyrone A (9) was isolated as a colorless powder. Its molecular formula was determined as $C_{10}H_{12}O_4$ on the basis of HRESIMS analysis, affording an $[M - H]^-$ ion at m/z 195.0655 $[M - H]^-$ (calculated for $[M - H]^-$ ion at m/z 195.0663). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 9 are similar to a previously isolated pyrone with a six-carbon aliphatic chain from an endophytic fungus *Pericinia* sp. F-31, with the replacement of the terminal methyl group by a carboxylic acid (δ_C 178.1) (**Table 2; Supplementary Figure S36;** Zhang et al., 2015). This was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from 1" -CH₃ to C-5 (δ_C 116.9) and C-6 (δ_C 160.4), and from C-3 ' (δ_C 34.4) to C-4 ' (δ_C 176.8) and C-2' (δ_C 26.2). We named the new α -pyrone 9 as diaporpyrone A, since it is from the fungus *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100.

Diaporpyrone B (10) was isolated as colorless powder. Its molecular formula was established as $C_{12}H_{16}O_5$ based on the (-)-HRESIMS analysis at m/z 239.0922 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{15}O_5$, 239.0925). The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of 10 shows a total of 12 signals containing two carbonyl carbon (δ_C 178.1 and 164.8), two nonprotonated carbons (δ_C 160.0, 120.6), two olefinic methine carbon (δ_C 145.9, 114.1), an oxymethine carbons (δ_C 68.6), four methylene carbons (δ_C 37.7, 35.2, 26.2, and 26.0), and one methyl carbon (δ_C 17.0) (**Table 2**). The UV spectra of **10** resembled that of **9**, and most of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **10** are similar to those of **9**. In the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HSQC spectra of **10**, additional signals attributed to

Bioactive α -Pyrone Derivatives against iNOS

the presence of a hydroxy group on C-1' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.6). This was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from C-1' to C-4 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 145.9), C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 120.6), C-6 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 160.0), and the correlations of H-1'/H₂-2'/H₂-3'/H₂-4'/H₂-5' in the COSY spectrum of **10** (**Figure 2A**). Electronic circular dichroism (ECD) calculations were next employed to determine the absolute configuration of **10** by comparing the ECD spectra of (1'S)-**10** and (1'*R*)-**10** with the experimental result, which suggests that a (1'*R*)-**10** configuration (**Figure 2B**; Bruhn et al., 2013). In addition, the specific rotation value [α]_D^{23.6} +1.92 (c 0.12, MeOH) was in opposite with the published specific rotation value of similar α -pyrones dothideopyrone A ([α]_D²⁵ -77 (c 0.22, CHCl₃)) (Chomcheon et al., 2009) and dothideopyrone F ([α]_D²⁵ -118.72 (c 0.05, MeOH)) (Kim et al., 2018), with an S-configuration at C-1'.

Diaporpyrone C (11) was isolated as colorless powder, and its molecular formula was determined as $C_{10}H_{12}O_5$ by the (-)-HRESIMS *m/z* 211.0607 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}O_5$, 211.0612), differing from the molecular formula of 10 by two methylenes. The UV spectrum and 1D and 2D NMR data of 11 are similar to those of 10 (Supplementary Figures S4F,G; Table 2), suggesting that 10 and 11 are similar α -pyrones with a fatty acid side chain. The complete structure of 11 was fully assigned based on ${}^{1}H^{-1}H$ COSY, HSQC, and HMBC data (Figure 2A; Table 2). Since diaporpyrones B (10) and C (11) likely share the same biosynthetic route, diaporpyrone C (11) may have the same absolute configuration at C-1' position, which is further supported by its specific rotation value $[\alpha]_D^{23.6} + 14.72$ (c 0.072, MeOH), similar to that of diaporpyrone B (10).

Diaporpyrone D (12) was isolated as colorless powder, and its molecular formula was determined as $C_8H_8O_4$ by the (-)-HRESIMS *m/z* 167.0340 [M - H]⁻ (calcd for $C_8H_7O_4$, 167.0350), differing from the molecular formula of **9** by two methylenes. The UV maximum absorption and 1D NMR data were highly similar to those of **9** (Table 2; Supplementary Figures S4E,H), suggesting the presence of a similar carbon skeleton. The signals for 35.4 and 175.7 ppm are not present in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **12**, while they are present in both HSQC and HMBC spectra (Supplementary Figures S69, S70). The complete structure of **12** was fully assigned based on ¹H-¹H COSY, HSQC, and HMBC data (Figure 2A; Table 2).

Biosynthetic Pathway Speculation for Compounds 5–12

The biosynthesis of 7 and 8 was proposed in 2012, while their biosynthetic enzyme SnPKS19 was identified from a wheat pathogen *Parastagonospora nodorum* (Kim et al., 2012; Chooi et al., 2015). Therefore, the biosynthesis of dibenzo- α -pyrone 5–8 in *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100 may follow the similar biosynthetic logic, which involves the condensation of seven molecules of malonyl-CoA, followed by aldol-type cyclization between C-2 and C-7, and C-8 and C-13, and the subsequent lactonization leads to the key intermediate 7 (**Figure 3A**). Subsequent methylation of the C-5 hydroxyl group of 7 by a methyltransferase would lead to 8, and hydroxylation of C-2 of 7 may produce 6. Further multi-step modifications of 7 may be

envisioned to generate the unique conjugated four ring system of 5, despite an alternative precursor of 5 may also be possible.

The biosynthesis of pyrone 9-12 may involve the condensation of three molecules of malonyl-CoA, followed by aldol-type cyclization and the subsequent lactonization to generate the key six-membered lactone intermediate by a PKS (**Figure 3B**). Next, the additional fatty acid side chains with various lengths may be biosynthesized by a PKS and finally attached to the lactone to generate 9-12. The detailed biosynthetic mechanism of 9-12 remains to be elucidated.

Effects of Compounds 5–12 on LPS-Induced iNOS and COX-2 Expression in RAW264.7 Cells

iNOS and COX-2 are key proteins in the inflammatory signaling pathway. When inflammation occurs, iNOS and COX-2 are usually highly expressed, resulting the formation of inflammatory mediators, including NO and PGE₂ (Kyoung et al., 2019). Many phenolic compounds exhibit antiinflammatory activities through inhibition of the iNOS (Ku et al., 2008; Laavola et al., 2015). No anti-inflammatory activity of compound 6 was reported (Pfeiffer et al., 2007; Chapla et al., 2014), while 7 was discovered to modify macrophage phenotype and to inhibit production of NO and inflammatory responses (Solhaug et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2020). In addition, dothideopyrone F (2) was able to diminish the expression of iNOS and COX-2 in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)induced BV2 cells (Kim et al., 2018). Therefore, we hypothesize that the newly isolated natural products, e.g., 5-6 and 9-12, may have certain anti-inflammatory activity. In lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW264.7 cell model, we next evaluated their inhibitory activity of iNOS and COX-2 protein expression, along with 7 and 8, using dexamethasone (DEX) as a positive control (Figures 4A-C).

Among the tested compounds, only 6 and 7 strongly inhibited iNOS protein expression, while they had no effects on COX-2 expression in a concentration of 30 µM (Figure 4A). To compare the anti-inflammatory activity of 6 and 7, the anti-inflammatory activity of 6 and 7 in concentrations ranging from 10 to 40 µM was determined in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells. Both compounds inhibit the expression of iNOS in a dosedependent manner (Figures 4D,E). For example, 6 was able to inhibit about 90% of iNOS expression when applied at 20 µM, while 7 showed slightly less inhibitory activity against iNOS expression. Therefore, the presence of multiple hydroxyl groups in the dibenzo-a-pyrone scaffold would be important for the observed inhibitory activity against iNOS expression, since the methylation of C-9 hydroxyl group abolishes the activity in 8, while the additional C-2 hydroxyl group increases the activity of 6. The fused a-pyran moiety into dibenzo-a-pyrone scaffold abolishes the inhibitory activity against iNOS expression activity in 5.

No significant cytotoxicity of both compounds to RAW264.7 cells was observed under the test conditions, even up to 100 μ M of 6 and 7 were used (**Figures 4F,G**). Solhaug et al. reported the cytotoxic activity of 7 in cells and we ascribe this difference as the



shorter treatment period (18 h) in our study, compared to the previously used 48 h (Solhaug et al., 2015). These results suggest that the inhibitory activities of **6** and **7** against iNOS expression in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells did not involve general cytotoxicity.

2-Hydroxy-alternariol (6) and Alternariol (7) Decreased the Protein Levels of TNF-α, IL-6 and MCP-1 in LPS-Stimulated RAW264.7 Cells

Macrophages are central effectors in inflammation, and activated macrophages act through the release of cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor a (TNF-a) and interleukin-6 (IL-6), as well as chemokines, such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) (Fujiwara and Kobayashi, 2005). Since TNF-a, IL-6, and MCP-1 are major mediators of inflammation, we examined the effects of 6 and 7 on LPS-induced TNF-a, IL-6, and MCP-1 production in RAW264.7 cells, using DEX as a control (Figures 5A-F). After RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 18 h in the presence or absence of 6 and 7, the levels of TNF- α , IL-6, and MCP-1 in the culture media were measured by the ELISA. As shown in Figures 5A-C, compound 6 is able to inhibit the production of the tested cytokines and chemokines in a dosedependent manner in RAW264.7 cells stimulated with LPS (p < 0.05). Significantly, the protein level of IL-6 is reduced to \sim 25% by 6 (40 μ M), which is comparable to the treatment by DEX (0.5 µM). Similar inhibitory effects of 7 could be observed for TNF- α and IL-6, while intriguingly, higher concentrations of 7, e.g., 20 and 40 $\mu M,$ have no obvious effects on MCP-1 production.

Anti-Inflammatory Effects of 2-Hydroxy-Alternariol (6) and Alternariol (7) on LPS-Induced NO Production in LPS-Stimulated RAW264.7 Cells

NO, an important cellular messenger, may mediate various biological processes, including inflammation, induction of tumor cell death, and killing alien microorganisms (Jiang et al., 2021b). We next investigated the release of NO by the treatment of **6** and **7** in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 cells (**Figures 5G,H**). The level of nitrite, a stable oxidized product of NO in the culture medium of RAW264.7 cells were measured using a nitric oxide detection kit. The treatment with **6** and **7** reduced LPS-induced NO production in a dose-dependent manner in the range of $10-40 \,\mu$ M. Compound **6** showed stronger inhibition of NO production than **7** in $10 \,\mu$ M, consistent with the more potent inhibition of iNOS by 6.

Molecular Docking Simulations of 6 and 7 With iNOS

Due to the reduction of NO level and the reduced expression of iNOS by the treatment of **6** and **7** in LPS-treated RAW264.7 cells, compounds **6** and **7** may interact with iNOS and block the biosynthesis of NO. To our knowledge, the dibenzo- α -pyrone



group was set to 1. (**D and E)** Effects of **6** and **7** with concentrations of 10, 20, and 40 μ M on th *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, vs. LPS alone. (**F,G**) The cytotoxicity of **6** and **7** on RAW264.7 cells.

scaffold has not been used as iNOS inhibitors. Therefore, the interactions of **6** and **7** with iNOS were investigated using molecular docking by Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) (Hu et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2021b). Using the crystal structure of mouse inducible nitric oxide synthase (miNOS, 3NW2) as a template, compound **6** form four hydrogenbonding interactions with the amino acid residue Trp457, Asp376, and Arg382 of iNOS, while **7** only has one hydrogen-

bonding interaction and two π - π interactions with Met428 (**Figures 5I,J**). Taken together, **6** and 7 may interact with iNOS and could be further developed as specific inhibitors against iNOS.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, five new compounds, including a new dibenzo- $\alpha\text{-pyrone}$ derivative, ellagic acid B (5) and four new $\alpha\text{-pyrone}$



protein expression were analyzed using the ELISA kit (eBioscience). Effects of **6** (**G**) and **7** (**H**) on the production of NO in RAW264.7 cells. The cells were pretreated for 1 h with the indicated concentrations of **6** or **7** and then stimulated with LPS (100 ng/m) for 24 h. NO production in the culture medium was detected using NO detection kit (Invitrogen). The data shown represent the mean values of three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. Molecular docking of **6** (**1**) and **7** (**J**) with iNOS (PDB ID: 3NW2) using MOE. For clarity, only interacting residues are labeled and hydrogen bonding interactions are shown by dashed arrows.

diaporpyrone A–D (9–12), together with three known compounds (6–8), were isolated from the endophytic fungus *Diaporthe* sp. CB10100. Their structures were determined by the analyses of their NMR and HRESIMS spectra, while the absolute configuration of 10 and 11 was based on ECD spectra and their specific optical rotations. Ellagic acid B (5) features a tetracyclic 6/6/66 ring system with a fused 2*H*-chromene, while

diaporpyrones consist of a pyrone and a short fatty acid side chain. The biosynthesis of **5** and **8** may involve a fungus PKS similar to SnPKS19 from *P. nodorum*, while the biosynthesis of **9–12** would likely be catalyzed by distinct fungi PKSs. All of the isolated compounds **5–12** were evaluated for their antiinflammatory activities in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages. Among them, **6** and 7 display strong inhibitory activities against iNOS and inhibit nitric oxide production. In addition, both compounds significantly reduced the production of pro-inflammatory mediators and cytokines, including NO, TNF- α , IL-6, and MCP-1. Molecular docking of **6** and **7** to iNOS further suggests that they are potential iNOS inhibitors. Considering the importance of iNOS in inflammation and signal transduction, the discovery of **6** and **7** the alternariol scaffold may be further developed as potential iNOS inhibitors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary** Material.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YH, YD, and HP conceived and designed the experiments. HP, WZ, BH, and CN was responsible for the isolation of compounds. YH and HP elucidated the structures. JL, YP, YT, and XH tested the anti-inflammation of the compounds. YW performed the experiments of docking. YH, YD, and HP interpreted the data

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N., Ansari, M. Y., and Haqqi, T. M. (2020). Role of iNOS in Osteoarthritis: Pathological and Therapeutic Aspects. J. Cell. Physiol. 235, 6366–6376. doi:10. 1002/jcp.29607
- Appendino, G., Ottino, M., Marquez, N., Bianchi, F., Giana, A., Ballero, M., et al. (2007). Arzanol, an Anti-inflammatory and Anti-HIV-1 Phloroglucinol a-Pyrone from *Helichrysum italicum* ssp. *microphyllum. J. Nat. Prod.* 70, 608–612. doi:10.1021/np060581r
- Brachmann, A. O., Brameyer, S., Kresovic, D., Hitkova, I., Kopp, Y., Manske, C., et al. (2013). Pyrones as Bacterial Signaling Molecules. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 9, 573–578. doi:10.1038/nchembio.1295
- Bruhn, T., Schaumlöffel, A., Hemberger, Y., and Bringmann, G. (2013). SpecDis: Quantifying the Comparison of Calculated and Experimental Electronic Circular Dichroism Spectra. *Chirality* 25, 243–249. doi:10.1002/chir.22138
- Buchman, A. L. (2001). Side Effects of Corticosteroid Therapy. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 33, 289–294. doi:10.1097/00004836-200110000-00006
- Chapla, V., Zeraik, M., Ximenes, V., Zanardi, L., Lopes, M., Cavalheiro, A., et al. (2014). Bioactive Secondary Metabolites from *Phomopsis* sp., an Endophytic Fungus from *Senna Spectabilis*. *Molecules* 19, 6597–6608. doi:10.3390/ molecules19056597
- Chen, S., Deng, Y., Yan, C., Wu, Z., Guo, H., Liu, L., et al. (2020). Secondary Metabolites with Nitric Oxide Inhibition from Marine-Derived Fungus *Alternaria* sp. 5102. *Mar. Drugs* 18, 426. doi:10.3390/md18080426
- Chepkirui, C., and Stadler, M. (2017). The Genus Diaporthe: A Rich Source of Diverse and Bioactive Metabolites. Mycol. Prog. 16, 477–494. doi:10.1007/ s11557-017-1288-y
- Chomcheon, P., Wiyakrutta, S., Sriubolmas, N., Ngamrojanavanich, N., Mahidol, C., Ruchirawat, S., et al. (2009). Metabolites from the Endophytic Mitosporic Dothideomycete sp. LRUB20. Phytochemistry 70, 121–127. doi:10.1016/j. phytochem.2008.10.007
- Chooi, Y.-H., Muria-Gonzalez, M. J., Mead, O. L., and Solomon, P. S. (2015). SnPKS19 Encodes the Polyketide Synthase for Alternariol Mycotoxin

and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the NSFC Grants under Grant 81473124 (to YH); the Chinese Ministry of Education 111 Project under Grant BP0820034 (to YD); Hunan Graduate Research and Innovation Grant under Grant CX2018B049 (to HP); Huaihua Science and Technology Project 2018N2203 (to JL) and Hunan University of Medicine High-Level Talent Introduction Startup Funds (to JL).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Center for Advanced Research in Central South University for the NMR experiments. China National Supercomputing Center in Shenzhen for the computation resources.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2021.679592/full#supplementary-material

Biosynthesis in the Wheat Pathogen Parastagonospora nodorum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 5309–5317. doi:10.1128/AEM.00278-15

- Choy, E. H. S., and Panayi, G. S. (2001). Cytokine Pathways and Joint Inflammation in Rheumatoid Arthritis. N. Engl. J. Med. 344, 907–916. doi:10.1056/NEJM200103223441207
- Cinelli, M. A., Do, H. T., Miley, G. P., and Silverman, R. B. (2020). Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase: Regulation, Structure, and Inhibition. *Med. Res. Rev.* 40, 158–189. doi:10.1002/med.21599
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., et al. (2010). *Gaussian 09, Revision C.01*. Wallingford CT: Gaussian, Inc.
- Fujiwara, N., and Kobayashi, K. (2005). Macrophages in Inflammation. Cdtia 4, 281–286. doi:10.2174/1568010054022024
- Guarnaccia, V., and Crous, P. W. (2017). Emerging Citrus Diseases in Europe Caused by Species of Diaporthe. IMA Fungus 8, 317–334. doi:10.5598/ imafungus.2017.08.02.07
- Hildebrand, A. A., Kohn, B. N., Pfeiffer, E., Wefers, D., Metzler, M., and Bunzel, M. (2015). Conjugation of the Mycotoxins Alternariol and Alternariol Monomethyl Ether in Tobacco Suspension Cells. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63, 4728–4736. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.5b00806
- Hou, L., Huang, H., Li, H., Wang, S., Ju, J., and Li, W. (2018). Overexpression of a Type III PKS Gene Affording Novel Violapyrones with Enhanced Antiinfluenza A Virus Activity. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 17, 1–11. doi:10.1186/s12934-018-0908-9
- Hu, Z., Wu, Y., Xie, S., Sun, W., Guo, Y., Li, X.-N., et al. (2017). Phomopsterones A and B, Two Functionalized Ergostane-Type Steroids from the Endophytic Fungus *Phomopsis* sp. TJ507A. *Org. Lett.* 19, 258–261. doi:10.1021/acs. orglett.6b03557
- Huang, F., Hou, X., Dewdney, M. M., Fu, Y., Chen, G., Hyde, K. D., et al. (2013). Diaporthe Species Occurring on Citrus in China. Fungal Divers. 61, 237–250. doi:10.1007/s13225-013-0245-6
- Jiang, L., Pu, H., Qin, X., Liu, J., Wen, Z., Huang, Y., et al. (2021a). Syn-2, 3-diols and anti-inflammatory Indole Derivatives from *Streptomyces* sp. CB09001. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 35, 144–151. doi:10.1080/14786419.2019.1611812

- Jiang, L., Pu, H., Xiang, J., Su, M., Yan, X., Yang, D., et al. (2018). Huanglongmycin A-C, Cytotoxic Polyketides Biosynthesized by a Putative Type II Polyketide Synthase from *Streptomyces* sp. CB09001. *Front. Chem.* 6, 1–9. doi:10.3389/ fchem.2018.00254
- Jiang, S., Yin, H., Qi, X., Song, W., and Shi, W. (2021b). Immunomodulatory Effects of Fucosylated Chondroitin Sulfate from *Stichopus Chloronotus* on RAW 264.7 Cells. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 251, 117088. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.117088
- Kim, G. S., Ko, W., Kim, J. W., Jeong, M.-H., Ko, S.-K., Hur, J.-S., et al. (2018). Bioactive a-Pyrone Derivatives from the Endolichenic Fungus Dothideomycetes sp. EL003334. J. Nat. Prod. 81, 1084–1088. doi:10.1021/ acs.jnatprod.7b01022
- Kim, N., Sohn, M.-J., Kim, C.-J., Kwon, H. J., and Kim, W.-G. (2012). Verrulactones A and B, New Inhibitors of *Staphylococcus aureus* Enoyl-ACP Reductase Produced by *Penicillium verruculosum* F375. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 22, 2503–2506. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2012.02.001
- Ku, K.-T., Huang, Y.-L., Huang, Y.-J., and Chiou, W.-F. (2008). Miyabenol A Inhibits LPS-Induced NO Production via IKK/IκB Inactivation in RAW 264.7 Macrophages: Possible Involvement of the P38 and PI3K Pathways. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 8911–8918. doi:10.1021/jf8019369
- Kyoung, T., An, T., Rak, S., Kim, S., Min, H., Moon, E., et al. (2019). Bioactivity-Based Analysis and Chemical Characterization of Anti-inflammatory Compounds from *Curcuma Zedoaria* Rhizomes Using LPS-Stimulated RAW264.7 Cells. *Bioorg. Chem.* 82, 26–32. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.09.027
- Laavola, M., Nieminen, R., Leppänen, T., Eckerman, C., Holmbom, B., and Moilanen, E. (2015). Pinosylvin and Monomethylpinosylvin, Constituents of an Extract from the Knot of Pinus Sylvestris, Reduce Inflammatory Gene Expression and Inflammatory Responses in vivo. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63, 3445–3453. doi:10.1021/jf504606m
- Lai, D., Wang, A., Cao, Y., Zhou, K., Mao, Z., Dong, X., et al. (2016). Bioactive Dibenzo-α-Pyrone Derivatives from the Endophytic Fungus *Rhizopycnis Vagum* Nitaf22. *J. Nat. Prod.* 79, 2022–2031. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod. 6b00327
- Liu, J., Tang, J., Zuo, Y., Yu, Y., Luo, P., Yao, X., et al. (2016). Stauntoside B Inhibits Macrophage Activation by Inhibiting NF-Kb and ERK MAPK Signalling. *Pharmacol. Res.* 111, 303–315. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2016.06.022
- Mao, Z., Sun, W., Fu, L., Luo, H., Lai, D., and Zhou, L. (2014). Natural Dibenzoa-Pyrones and Their Bioactivities. *Molecules* 19, 5088–5108. doi:10.3390/ molecules19045088
- Mcglacken, G. P., and Fairlamb, I. J. S. (2005). 2-Pyrone Natural Products and Mimetics: Isolation, Characterisation and Biological Activity. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 22, 369–385. doi:10.1039/b416651p
- Pfeiffer, E., Schebb, N. H., Podlech, J., and Metzler, M. (2007). Novel Oxidative in vitro Metabolites of the Mycotoxins Alternariol and Alternariol Methyl Ether. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 51, 307–316. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200600237
- Purkayastha, S., and Cai, D. (2013). Neuroinflammatory Basis of Metabolic Syndrome. Mol. Metab. 2, 356–363. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2013.09.005
- Ríos, J.-L., Giner, R., Marín, M., and Recio, M. (2018). A Pharmacological Update of Ellagic Acid. *Planta Med.* 84, 1068–1093. doi:10.1055/a-0633-9492

- Rivera-Chávez, J., El-Elimat, T., Gallagher, J., Graf, T., Fournier, J., Panigrahi, G., et al. (2019). Delitpyrones: α-Pyrone Derivatives from a Freshwater *Delitschia* sp. *Planta Med.* 85, 62–71. doi:10.1055/a-0654-5850
- Salvo, F., Fourrier-Réglat, A., Bazin, F., Robinson, P., Riera-Guardia, N., Haag, M., et al. (2011). Cardiovascular and Gastrointestinal Safety of NSAIDs: A Systematic Review of Meta-Analyses of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 89, 855–866. doi:10.1038/clpt.2011.45
- Solhaug, A., Wisbech, C., Christoffersen, T. E., Hult, L. O., Lea, T., Eriksen, G. S., et al. (2015). The Mycotoxin Alternariol Induces DNA Damage and Modify Macrophage Phenotype and Inflammatory Responses. *Toxicol. Lett.* 239, 9–21. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2015.08.1107
- Tan, R. X., and Zou, W. X. (2001). Endophytes: a Rich Source of Functional Metabolites (1987 to 2000). Nat. Prod. Rep. 18, 448–459. doi:10.1039/b1009180
- Wang, L.-J., Jiang, Z.-M., Xiao, P.-T., Sun, J.-B., Bi, Z.-M., and Liu, E.-H. (2019). Identification of Anti-Inflammatory Components in *Sinomenii Caulis* Based on Spectrum-Effect Relationship and Chemometric Methods. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 167, 38–48. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2019.01.047
- Yu, C.-H., Suh, B., Shin, I., Kim, E.-H., Kim, D., Shin, Y.-J., et al. (2019). Inhibitory Effects of a Novel Chrysin-Derivative, CPD 6, on Acute and Chronic Skin Inflammation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 2607. doi:10.3390/ijms20112607
- Zhang, D. W., Liu, J. M., Chen, R. D., Zhang, M., Yu, L. Y., Wu, J., et al. (2015). [A New Lactone Derivative from Plant Endophytic Fungus *Periconia* sp. F-31]. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi* 40, 2349–2351. doi:10.4268/cjcmm20151217
- Zhang, J., Jiang, Y., Cao, Y., Liu, J., Zheng, D., Chen, X., et al. (2013). Violapyrones A-G, α-Pyrone Derivatives from *Streptomyces Violascens* Isolated from *Hylobates hoolock* Feces. J. Nat. Prod. 76, 2126–2130. doi:10.1021/np4003417
- Zhao, X.-X., Peng, C., Zhang, H., and Qin, L.-P. (2012). Sinomenium Acutum: A Review of Chemistry, Pharmacology, Pharmacokinetics, and Clinical Use. Pharm. Biol. 50, 1053–1061. doi:10.3109/13880209.2012.656847
- Zhong, Z., Sanchez-Iopez, E., and Karin, M. (2016). Autophagy, Inflammation, and Immunity: A Troika Governing Cancer and its Treatment. *Cell* 166, 288–298. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.051
- Zhu, H., Li, D., Yan, Q., An, Y., Huo, X., Zhang, T., et al. (2019). α-Pyrones, Secondary Metabolites from Fungus *Cephalotrichum Microsporum* and Their Bioactivities. *Bioorg. Chem.* 83, 129–134. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.10.022

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Pu, Liu, Wang, Peng, Zheng, Tang, Hui, Nie, Huang, Duan and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.