



# Click Pt(IV)-Carbohydrates Pro-Drugs for Treatment of Osteosarcoma

Eoin Moynihan<sup>1</sup>, Giada Bassi<sup>2</sup>, Andrea Ruffini<sup>2</sup>, Silvia Panseri<sup>2</sup>, Monica Montesi<sup>2\*</sup>, Trinidad Velasco-Torrijos<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Diego Montagner<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Maynooth University, Maynooth, Ireland, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Science and Technology for Ceramics—National Research Council, Faenza, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Kathleen Londsdale Institute for Human Health Research, Maynooth University, Maynooth, Ireland

The selectivity *vs.* cancer cells has always been a major challenge for chemotherapeutic agents and in particular for cisplatin, one of the most important anticancer drugs for the treatment of several types of tumors. One strategy to overtake this challenge is to modify the coordination sphere of the metallic center with specific vectors whose receptors are overexpressed in the tumoral cell membrane, such as monosaccharides. In this paper, we report the synthesis of four novel glyco-modified Pt(IV) pro-drugs, based on cisplatin scaffold, and their biological activity against osteosarcoma (OS), a malignant tumor affecting in particular adolescents and young adults. The sugar moiety and the Pt scaffold are linked exploiting the Copper Azide Alkyne Cycloaddition (CUAAC) reaction, which has become the flagship of click chemistry due to its versatility and mild conditions. Cytotoxicity and drug uptake on three different OS cell lines as well as CSCs (Cancer Stem Cell) are described.

## Keywords: Pt(IV) prodrugs, cisplatin, sugars, osteosarcoma, cancer stem cells, click chemistry

## INTRODUCTION

Despite the large success of cisplatin and of the second generation Pt(II) anticancer drugs (oxalilplatin and carboplatin) for the treatment of tumors, several drawbacks and side effects are limiting their use (**Figure 1A**) (Johnstone et al., 2013). The main concern is the lack of selectivity of Pt(II) based drugs, and in the last 2 decades a large interest has grown in the development of selective targeted metal-based anticancer drugs (Jung and Lippard, 2007).

A very promising strategy that has been successfully adopted is the use of carbohydrates, such as glucose and galactose, as targeting vectors, exploiting the Warburg effect (Koppenol et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2016). Tumoral tissues require a higher demand of nutrients, such as sugars, to maintain the fast proliferation rate, and many cancer cells are overexpressing glucose transporters (Jang et al., 2013). Several metal-based complexes have been modified with carbohydrates with the aim to increase selectivity *versus* cancer cells, including platinum, palladium, gold, ruthenium, copper, cobalt, and tin (Pettenuzzo et al., 2016). Many glyco-modified Pt(II) complexes are reported (Kenny and Marmion, 2019) but the literature on Pt(IV) functionalized with sugars mainly refers to the interesting works reported by Wang et al. (Ma et al., 2016; 2017a; 2017b, 2018). Pt(IV) complexes show several advantages with respect to Pt(II) counterparts, being more stable and less prone to substitution reactions (Harper et al., 2010). Pt(IV) species are also called pro-drugs because they must be activated by intracellular reduction with the release of the Pt(II) scaffold and the axial ligands (Harper et al., 2010), and dual-action Pt(IV) pro-drugs are obtained when the axial positions are occupied by another relevant biological molecule (another drug, an enzyme inhibitor, a vector, etc) (Neumann et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Wexselblatt et al., 2015; Gibson, 2016; Lee et al., 2018; Lo Re

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Mauro Ravera, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Wee Han Ang, National University of Singapore, Singapore Xiao-Peng He, East China University of Science and Technology, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Monica Montesi monica.montesi@istec.cnr.it Trinidad Velasco-Torrijos trinidad.velascotorrijos@mu.ie Diego Montagner diego.montagner@mu.ie

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 15 October 2021 Accepted: 08 November 2021 Published: 07 December 2021

#### Citation:

Moynihan E, Bassi G, Ruffini A, Panseri S, Montesi M, Velasco-Torrijos T and Montagner D (2021) Click Pt(IV)-Carbohydrates Pro-Drugs for Treatment of Osteosarcoma. Front. Chem. 9:795997. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.795997

1



et al., 2018; Montagner et al., 2018; Petruzzella et al., 2018; Savino et al., 2018; Almotairy et al., 2020; Gabano et al., 2021). In this work, we present a facile synthesis of mono glyco-functionalised Pt(IV) complexes based on cisplatin scaffold and their biological applications against osteosarcoma cell lines. Osteosarcoma (OS) is the main primary bone malignant entity affecting adolescents and young adults, and it is an aggressive tumor with a tendency to metastasize and invade para-carcinoma tissues (Heymann et al., 2019). The primary treatment for this tumor is a combination of surgery and chemotherapy but unfortunately, the prognosis remains poor due to chemoresistance and early metastasis (Han et al., 2019). The absence of specific and targeted strategies for the treatment of OS increased the scientific interest in designing and synthesizing new drugs able to selective target OS cancer cells. Cisplatin is a standard drug for osteosarcoma therapy but the effectiveness of the therapy is often limited by the chemoresistance and the absence of drug specificity (Li et al., 2018). As most of the tumoral issues, also OS cancer cells exhibit increased glycolytic activity and accumulate greater quantities of sugars, compared to normal tissues (Cifuentes et al., 2011; Cura and Carruthers, 2012; Ogawa et al., 2021). It has been shown that the overexpression of sugar receptors in OS is predominantly associated with the likelihood of metastasis and poor patient prognosis (Medina and Owen, 2002).

For the first time, the carbohydrates and the platinum scaffold are linked, exploiting the Copper Azide Alkyne Cycloaddition (CUAAC) reaction, which has become the flagship of click chemistry. Click chemistry is becoming a very promising and emerging tool in synthetic medicinal chemistry because its versatility and mild conditions allow the conjugation of a plethora of functional groups (Pathak et al., 2014; Wirth et al., 2015; Lauria et al., 2020). Triazole linkers are very attractive bioisosteres that can often replace the amide bonds with increased metabolic stability (Valverde et al., 2013; Bonandi et al., 2017; Farrer and Griffith, 2020). Modification of the axial positions of a Pt(IV) scaffold with targeting vectors can be achieved using several synthetic strategies (reaction between the free carboxylic acid and oxoplatin in the presence of a coupling reagent, or reaction of an activated acyl chloride with oxoplatin) but often the final Pt(IV) compound requires purification (Zhang et al., 2013). In this paper, we will show how CUAAC chemistry can be used as a tool to link targeting carbohydrates to a Pt scaffold. We report the syntheses and characterization of four novel Pt(IV) complexes based on cisplatin and functionalized with acetylated glucose and galactose. Acetylated carbohydrate derivatives have been often found to have higher anti-cancer activity than their deprotected counterparts, likely due to an increase in lipophilicity that facilitates diffusion through cell

Pt(IV), Carbohydrates, Click Chemistry, Osteosarcoma

membranes (Morris et al., 2011; Upadhyaya et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). In addition, we investigated the effect of the linker connecting these structural moieties by the formation of anomeric *N*-triazolyl or *O*-ethylene glycosides (**Figure 1B**). Anomeric triazoles can offer additional sites of interaction for glucose transporters and hence enhance the activity of these types of derivatives compared to conventional glycosides (Brito et al., 2020; Ottoni et al., 2020). The anticancer activity and the cellular uptake of the complexes have been tested against three different osteosarcoma cell lines and in a model of enriched-cancer stem cells, in order to compare *in vitro* the effectiveness with standard cisplatin.

## EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials and Methods

All reagents and reactants (5 and 6) were purchased from commercial sources. The two sources used were Sigma-Aldrich and Fluorochem. All solvents were used without further purification. Cisplatin and oxoplatin were synthesized as previously reported (Dhara, 1970; Brandon and Dabrowiak, 1984).

The elemental analysis studies (carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen) were performed by means of a PerkinElmer 2400 series II analyzer. ESI Mass Spectra were recorded with a Waters LCT Premier XE Spectrometer. NMR: <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>195</sup>Pt NMR spectra were obtained in a solution of CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO- $d_6$  at 300 K, in 5-mm sample tubes, with a Bruker Advance 500 MHz spectrometer (operating at 500.13, 125.75, and 107.49 MHz, respectively). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift was referenced to the residual impurity of the solvent. The external reference was Na<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> in D<sub>2</sub>O (adjusted to  $\delta$  = -1628 ppm from Na<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>) for <sup>195</sup>Pt. The stability was followed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Phenomenex Luna C18 (5 μM, 100 Å, 250 mm  $\times$  4.60 mm i.d.) column at room temperature at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min with 254 nm UV detection. Mobile phase containing 80:20 acetonitrile (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid): water (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid): the complexes were dissolved in DMSO (0.5 ml) and diluted to a final concentration of 0.5 mM using acetonitrile and water solution (1/1) and 2 mM 4-(2hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer (pH 6.8). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded in the region 4,000-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> on a Perkin Elmer precisely spectrum 100 FT/ IR spectrometer. The solid samples were run using ATR. Compounds 7, 8 (Tropper et al., 1992), 9 (Mangunuru et al., 2015), and 13-16 (Reddy et al., 2017) were prepared according to reported procedures. An extensive biological evaluation of the activity of all the compounds was performed in human osteosarcoma cell line in vitro models, as reported below.

## Synthesis

#### Synthesis of N-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-

**Glucopyranosyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl)-Propanoic Acid (9)** Compound 7 (0.98 g, 3.412 mmol) and 4-pentynoic acid (0.2 g, 2.038 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran (6 ml), tert-Butanol (6 ml), and deionized water (4 ml). Separately, copper(II) sulphate pentahydrate (0.1 g, 0.08 mmol) and sodium ascorbate (0.161 g, 0.325 mmol) were dissolved in deionized water (2 ml), added to a reaction flask, and allowed to stir at r.t. overnight (16 h). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in DCM (15 ml) and washed with brine  $(2 \text{ ml} \times 20 \text{ ml})$ . The organic phase was dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (1:1, petroleum ether: ethyl acetate) to yield a white solid (0.615 g, 1.304 mmol, 64%).  $R_f = 0.92$  (90:10 DCM: MeOH)  $[\alpha]_D^{23.3}$ -17.14 (c 0.7, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  7.62 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.85 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.46–5.37 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 5.24 (t, J = 9.95 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.29 (dd, J = 12.6, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.14 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 3.99 (ddd, J = 10.1, 5.0, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.05 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.78 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) of OAc), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 2.02 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.85 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm.  ${}^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.93 (COOH), 170.70 (CO of OAc), 170.08 (CO of OAc), 169.54 (CO of OAc), 169.13 (CO of OAc), 147.04 (triaz-C), 119.81 (triaz-CH), 85.80 (C-1), 75.22 (C-5), 72.81 (C-3), 70.30 (C-2), 67.88 (C-4), 61.70 (C-6), 33.24 (CH<sub>2</sub>COOH), 20.83 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.68 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.24 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>) ppm. IR (ATR) 2966.47, 1738.08, 1701.08, 1429.63, 1369.28, 1218.46, 1096.44, 1037.86, 918.27, 838.17 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub> + Na<sup>+</sup> (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 494.1489, found 494.1383. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_{11} + H^+ (M + H)^+ 472.1489$ , found 472.1564.

#### Synthesis of N-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Galactopyranosyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl)-Propanoic Acid (10)

Compound 10 was prepared according to the method reported for compound **9** (Yield 0.713 g, 1.512 mmol, 74%). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.33 (95:5 DCM: MeOH)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$  -1.51 (c 0.66, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.81 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.57-5.50 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 5.23 (dd, J = 10.3, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.24-4.10 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6, H-6'), 3.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.99 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.86 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  177.14 (COOH), 170.52 (CO of OAc), 170.13 (CO of OAc), 169.97 (CO of OAc), 169.25 (CO of OAc), 146.91 (triaz-C), 119.88 (triaz-CH), 86.31 (C-1), 74.11 (C-5), 70.93 (C-4), 67.88 (C-2), 67.02 (C-3), 61.31 (C-6), 33.27 (CH<sub>2</sub>COOH), 20.81 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.78 (CH3 of OAc), 20.76 (CH3 of OAc), 20.61 (CH3 of OAc), 20.32 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. IR (ATR) 3087.65, 1734.80, 1715.92, 1436.51, 1366.99, 1216.01, 1045.12, 923.00, 850.50, 717.78 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_{11} + H^+(M + H)^+ 472.1489$ , found 472.1564. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_{11} + Na^+$  $(M + Na)^{+}$  494.1489, found 494.1384.

# Synthesis of N-[2-O-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl- $\beta$ -D-Glucopyranosyl)-ethyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl]-Propanoic Acid (17)

Compound 17 was prepared according to the method reported for compound 9 (Yield 0.147 g, 0.285 mmol, 44.24%).  $R_f = 0.35$  (DCM: MeOH 95:5)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ -8.57 (c 0.7, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR

 $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$  7.44 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.19 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.06 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.97 (dd, J = 9.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.55 (dt, J = 14.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H, CH-triaz), 4.50-4.44 (m, 1H, CH'-triaz), 4.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.24 (dd, J = 12.4, 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.19 (dt, *J* = 10.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H, OCH), 4.11 (dd, *J* = 12.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 3.95-3.87 (m, 1H, OCH'), 3.68 (ddd, J = 10.0, 4.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.02 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.77 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.07 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.01 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.99 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.96 (s, 3H, OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 176.42 (COOH), 170.78 (CO of OAc), 170.48 (CO of OAc), 169.59 (CO of OAc), 169.54 (CO of OAc), 146.25 (C-triaz), 122.83 (CH-triaz), 100.66 (C-1), 72.67 (C-3), 72.04 (C-5), 71.17 (C-2), 68.28 (C-4), 67.89 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.85 (C-6), 50.20 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 33.54 (CH<sub>2</sub>COOH), 20.88 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.84 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.70 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.68 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. IR (ATR) 3136.33, 2954.03, 1753.42, 1742.06, 1720.47, 1428.59, 1367.14, 1251.30, 1221.16, 1167.23, 1046.93, 1031.50, 910.47, 826.13 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{29}N_3O_{12} + H^+ (M + H)^+ 516.1751$ , found 516.1826. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{29}N_3O_{12} + Na^+$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 538.1781, found 538.1644.

# Synthesis of N-[2-O-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Galactopyranosyl)-ethyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl]-Propanoic Acid (18)

Compound 18 was prepared according to the method reported for compound **9** (Yield 0.500 g, 0.969 mmol, 47%).  $R_f = 0.41$ (DCM: MeOH 95:5)  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -1.45 (c 0.68, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.46 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.38 (dd, J = 3.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 5.17 (dd, J = 10.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.01 (dd, J = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.57 (dt, J = 7.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H, CH-triaz), 4.49 (ddd, J = 14.5, 8.8, 3.4 Hz, 1H, CH'-triaz), 4.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.21 (dt, J = 10.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H, OCH), 4.13 (qd, J = 11.3, 6.7 Hz, 2H, H-6, H-6'), 3.95–3.87 (m, 2H, H-5, OCH'), 3.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.79 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 2.04 (s, J = 3.6 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.97 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 6H, 2 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 176.26 (COOH), 170.59 (CO of OAc), 170.32 (2 × CO of OAc), 169.79 (CO of OAc), 146.22 (C-triaz), 122.91 (CH-triaz), 101.07 (C-1), 70.98 (C-5), 70.74 (C-3), 68.78 (C-2), 67.77 (OCH2), 67.04 (C-4), 61.32 (C-6), 50.22 (CH2-triaz), 33.49 (CH2COOH), 20.92 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.82 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.80 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.77 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.69 (CH3 of OAc). IR (ATR) 2940.25, 1739.44, 1430.24, 1367.99, 1214.32, 1043.51, 955.29, 916.32, 859.50, 827.84, 734.98 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{29}N_3O_{12}$ + H<sup>+</sup> (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 516.1751, found 516.1826. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{29}N_3O_{12} + Na^+ (M + Na)^+ 538.1781$ , found 538.1664.

#### Synthesis of N-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Glucopyranosyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl)-{3-Oxopropyl-[oxy(2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)]} (11)

Compound **9** (0.2 g, 0.424 mmol) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (0.058 g, 0.604 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous DCM (7 ml) and purged with N<sub>2</sub>. A solution of EDCI (0.097 g, 0.607 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (2 ml) was added *via* cannula over an ice bath and the solution was stirred for 45 min. The reaction was warmed to r.t. and stirred for a further 16 h. The organic layer was washed with 0.1 M HCl ( $2 \times 10$  ml) and dried

with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was obtained as a white solid which was reacted on without further purification (0.185 g, 0.325 mmol, 77%). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.72 (DCM: MeOH 95:5)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$  – 9.85 (c 0.71, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.74 (s, 1H, CH-triaz), 5.83 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.40-5.35 (m, 2H, H-2 and H-3), 5.24-5.18 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.25 (dd, J = 12.6, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.11 (dd, J = 12.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 4.01–3.94 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.14 (td, J = 7.1, 3.2 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.00–2.95 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.81 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 2.03 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.02 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.98 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.81 (s, 3H, OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 170.68 (CO of OAc), 170.07 (CO of OAc), 169.52 (CO of OAc), 169.24 (CO succ x2), 169.05 (CO of OAc), 167.77 (CO), 145.83 (C-triaz), 120.27 (CH-triaz), 85.79 (C-1), 75.20 (C-5), 72.91 (C-3), 70.35 (C-2), 67.87 (C-4), 61.76 (C-6), 30.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 25.73 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 21.11 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 20.83 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.69 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.66 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.27 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. IR (ATR) 2945.90, 1732.16, 1430.40, 1367.42, 1203.35, 1064.13, 1035.83, 924.03, 813.55, 736.68 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{28}N_4O_{13} + H^+ (M + H)^+$  569.1653, found 569.1727. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{28}N_4O_{13} + Na^+$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 591.1653, found 591.1554.

#### Synthesis of N-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Galactopyranosyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl)-{3-Oxopropyl-[oxy(2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)]} (12)

Compound 12 was prepared according to the method reported for compound 11 (Yield 0.131 g, 0.230 mmol, 54%).  $R_f = 0.15$ (pet. ether: EtOAc 1:1)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ +1.42 (c 0.7, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) \delta 7.79 \text{ (s, 1H, CH-triaz), 5.81 (d, J =}$ 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.55 (dd, J = 12.5, 7.0 Hz, 2H, H-2 and H-4), 5.22 (dd, J = 10.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.23-4.12 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6 and H-6'), 3.18 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.84 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 2.23 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.05 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.01 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.88 (s, 3H, OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 170.52 (CO of OAc), 170.18 (CO of OAc), 170.00 (CO of OAc), 169.17 (CO of OAc), 169.14 (CO Succ x2), 167.84 (CO), 145.84 (C-triaz), 120.27 (CH-triaz), 86.35 (C-1), 74.08 (C-5), 71.07 (C-3), 67.95 (C-2), 67.01 (C-4), 61.38 (C-6), 30.87 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.73 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 21.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 20.81 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.78 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.66 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.38 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. IR (ATR) 2944.04, 1731.92, 1430.13, 1368.12, 1205.23, 1045.44, 923.03, 812.54, 744.66 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{28}N_4O_{13} + H^+ (M + H)^+$  569.1653, found 569.1726. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{23}H_{28}N_4O_{13} + Na^+ (M + Na)^+$ 591.1653, found 591.1547.

## Synthesis of N-[2-O-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Glucopyranosyl)-ethyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl]-{3-Oxopropyl-[oxy(2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)]} (19)

Compound **19** was prepared according to the method discussed for compound **11** (0.126 g, 0.205 mmol, 87.5%).  $R_f = 0.75$  (DCM: MeOH 95:5)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}+1.42$  (c 0.7, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.49 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.11 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.02 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.92 (dd, J = 9.6, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.51 (ddd, J = 14.5, 4.6, 3.7 Hz, 1H, CH-triaz), 4.48–4.43 (m, 2H, H-1 and CH'-triaz), 4.21–4.11 (m, 2H, H-6' and OCH), 4.06 (dd, J = 12.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-6), 3.88 (ddd, J = 10.7, 8.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H, OCH), 3.66 (ddd, J = 10.0, 4.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.09 (dd, J = 10.7, 4.1 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.00–2.95 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.80 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 2.02 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.96 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.93 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.90 (s, 3H, OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.76 (CO of OAc), 170.28 (CO of OAc), 169.54 (CO of OAc), 169.42 (CO of OAc), 169.22 (CO succ x2), 168.06 (CO), 144.96 (C-triaz), 123.04 (CH-triaz), 100.70 (C-1), 72.69 (C-3), 72.06 (C-5), 71.11 (C-2), 68.37 (C-4), 67.98 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.92 (C-6), 50.08 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 30.95 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 25.72 (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 21.00 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.87 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.71 (3 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. IR (ATR) 2955.80, 1733.40, 1430.16, 1366.33, 1208.17, 1033.60, 907.61, 812.61, 733.58, 700.28 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (ESI+): m/z calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>14</sub> + H<sup>+</sup> (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 613.1915, found 613.1985. HR-MS (ESI+): m/z calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>32</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>14</sub> + Na<sup>+</sup> (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 635.1915, found 635.1806.

## Synthesis of N-[2-O-(2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Galactopyranosyl)-ethyl-1,2,3-Triazol-4-yl]-{3-Oxopropyl-[oxy(2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)]} (20)

Compound 20 was prepared according to the method reported for compound **11** (Yield 0.106 g, 0.173 mmol, 79%).  $R_f = 0.69$ (DCM: MeOH 95:5)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ -1.49 (c 0.67, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.48 (s, 1H, triaz-H), 5.33 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 5.12 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.93 (dd, *J* = 10.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.53 (dt, J = 14.4, 3.9 Hz, 1H, CH-triaz), 4.49–4.42 (m, 1H, CH'-triaz), 4.40 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.19 (dt, J = 10.4, 4.1 Hz, 1H, OCH'), 4.08 (ddd, J = 25.0, 11.3, 6.6 Hz, 2H, H-6, H-6'), 3.93-3.85 (m, 2H, H-5, OCH), 3.11 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.03-2.97 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.80 (s, J =12.2 Hz, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-succ), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 2.00 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.92 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.91 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 170.53 (CO of OAc), 170.33 (CO of OAc), 170.21 (CO of OAc), 169.57 (CO of OAc), 169.19 (CO succ x2), 168.10 (CO), 144.95 (C-triaz), 123.03 (CH-triaz), 101.11 (C-1), 70.98 (C-5), 70.77 (C-3), 68.65 (C-2), 67.79 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 67.03 (C-4), 61.32 (C-6), 50.07 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 30.89 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 25.73 (CH<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-succ), 20.99 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.82 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.81 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.69 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc). IR (ATR) 2959.63, 1732.72, 1429.93, 1367.59, 1211.91, 1045.63, 915.63, 812.19, 731.78 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{25}H_{32}N_4O_{14}$ + H<sup>+</sup> (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 613.1915, found 613.1983. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{25}H_{32}N_4O_{14} + H^+ (M + H)^+$  635.1915, found 635.1804.

## Synthesis of Complex 1

Compound **11** (0.140 g, 0.246 mmol) was added to a suspension of oxoplatin (0.086 g, 0.270 mmol) in DMSO (5 ml) and stirred at 60°C for 16 h. Residual oxoplatin was filtered through cotton wool and the solvent was removed by lyophilization. The oily residue was dissolved in acetone and the product was precipitated with diethyl ether and collected by centrifugation. The yellow-white solid was washed with diethyl ether and dried *in vacuo* (0.083 g, 0.105 mmol, 43%)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ –10.34 (c 0.58, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  8.16 (s, 1H, CH-triaz), 6.25 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.96 (br. t, 6H, 2 × NH<sub>3</sub>), 5.60–5.49 (m, 2H, H-2,H-3), 5.12 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.34 (ddd, *J* = 10.0, 5.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.13 (dd, *J* = 12.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.08–4.03 (m, 1H, H-6'), 2.80 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.46 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.01 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.99

(s, 3H, OAc), 1.95 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.78 (s, 3H, OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  179.90 (COOPt), 170.34 (CO of OAc), 169.82 (CO of OAc), 169.61 (CO of OAc), 168.80 (CO of OAc), 147.32 (C-triaz), 121.44 (CH-triaz), 83.89 (C-1), 73.40 (C-5), 72.29 (C-3), 70.30 (C-2), 67.74 (H-4), 61.91 (C-6), 35.93 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 21.95 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.73 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.54 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.42 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.09 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>195</sup>Pt(<sup>1</sup>H) NMR (108 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  1041.75 ppm. IR (ATR) 3212.46, 1746.40, 1627.32, 1367.46, 1215.23, 1035.51, 924.48, 822.96 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (-): m/z calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>31</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Pt-H<sup>-</sup> (M-H)<sup>-</sup>786.4640, found 786.0898. El. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>31</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Pt: % C = 28.29; H = 3.97; N = 8.89; found: % C = 28.88; H = 4.18; N = 8.52.

## Synthesis of Complex 2

Complex 2 was prepared according to the method reported for complex 1 (Yield 0.065 g, 0.082 mmol, 35.9%)  $[\alpha]_{D}^{21.6}$  -2.85 (c 0.7, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  8.12 (s, 1H, triaz-CH), 6.18 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.95 (br. t., 6H,  $2 \times NH_3$ ), 5.58 (t, J =10.1 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.45 (dd, J = 10.1, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.40 (dd, I = 3.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.59–4.56 (t, I = 6.75 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.13 (dd, J = 11.6, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.01 (dd, J = 11.5, 7.3 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 2.82 (t, J = 7.25 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.47-2.46 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.99 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.94 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.82 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO) δ 179.66 (COOPt), 170.03 (CO of OAc), 169.94 (CO of OAc), 169.48 (CO of OAc), 168.56 (CO of OAc), 147.12 (C-triaz), 121.44 (CH-triaz), 84.19 (C-1), 72.80 (C-5), 70.43 (C-3), 67.78 (C-2), 67.29 (C-4), 61.58 (C-6), 35.92 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 21.79 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.51 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.47 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.34 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.02 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>195</sup>Pt{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (108 MHz, DMSO) δ 1044.64 ppm. IR (ATR) 3207.92, 1746.25, 1625.63, 1368.09, 1214.56, 1050.93, 922.78 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{19}H_{31}Cl_2N_5O_{12}Pt + H^+ (M + H)^+$ 786.4640, found 786.1041. El. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{19}H_{31}Cl_2N_5O_{12}Pt$ : % C = 28.98; H = 3.97; N = 8.89; found: % C = 28.48; H = 4.18; N = 8.52. 786.0994.

## Synthesis of Complex 3

Complex 3 was prepared according to the method reported for complex 1 (0.05 g, 0.060 mmol, 29%)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$  -1.66 (c 0.6, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (s, 1H, triaz-CH), 5.87 (br t,  $6H, 2 \times NH_3$ , 5.23 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.89 (t, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.81 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.75–4.69 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.53-4.40 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 4.18 (dd, J = 12.3, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.08 (dt, *J* = 8.9, 4.1 Hz, 1H, OCH), 4.02 (dd, *J* = 12.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 3.98 (ddd, *J* = 9.9, 4.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 11.4, 7.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H, OCH'), 2.81-2.77 (m, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.48-2.43 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.02 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.97 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.92 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.88 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  179.88 (COOPt), 170.08 (CO of OAc), 169.53 (CO of OAc), 169.28 (CO of OAc), 168.95 (CO of OAc), 146.14 (C-triaz), 122.47 (CH-triaz), 99.20 (C-1), 71.90 (C-3), 70.64 (C-2), 70.59 (C-5), 68.08 (C-4), 67.45 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.64 (C-6), 49.06 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 36.16 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 21.97 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.54 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.38 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.29 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.27 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm.  $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (108 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 1047.09 ppm. IR (ATR) 3214.82, 1745.13, 1626.73, 1430.32,



1365.92, 1217.35, 1034.85, 908.82, 699.33 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{35}Cl_2N_5O_{13}Pt$  + H<sup>+</sup> (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 832.5170, found 832.1316. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{35}Cl_2N_5O_{13}Pt$  + Na<sup>+</sup> (M + H)<sup>+</sup> 854.5170, found 854.1134. El. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{35}Cl_2N_5O_{13}Pt$ : % C = 30.33; H = 4.24; N = 8.42; found: % C = 30.79; H = 4.61; N = 8.90.

#### Synthesis of Complex 4

Complex 4 was prepared according to the method reported for complex 1 (0.07 g, 0.084 mmol, 38%)  $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ +43.1 (c 0.58, DCM). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) δ 7.75 (s, 1H, triaz-CH), 5.82 (br t, 6H, 2 × NH<sub>3</sub>), 5.24 (dd, J = 3.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 5.12 (dd, J = 10.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.88 (dd, J = 10.4, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.52–4.40 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 4.19 (dd, J = 7.2, 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.12–4.00 (m, 3H, H-6, H-6', OCH), 3.93-3.86 (m, 1H, OCH'), 2.82-2.78 (t, I = 7.25 Hz, 2H, triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.47 (dd, J = 8.6, 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 2.01 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 1.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm.  $^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  179.86 (COOPt), 169.93 (CO of OAc), 169.91 (CO of OAc), 169.48 (CO of OAc), 169.05 (CO of OAc), 146.13 (C-triaz), 122.43 (CH-triaz), 99.67 (C-1), 70.07 (C-5), 69.94 (C-3), 68.34 (C-2), 67.30 (C-4), 67.26 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 61.23 (C-6), 49.09 (CH<sub>2</sub>-triaz), 36.13 (CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 21.97 (triaz-CH<sub>2</sub>), 20.52 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.40 (CH<sub>3</sub> of OAc), 20.32 (2xCH<sub>3</sub> of OAc) ppm. <sup>195</sup>Pt{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (108 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 1047.15 ppm. IR (ATR) 3214.92, 1740.41, 1631.39, 1429.52, 1367.30, 1217.81, 1173.03, 1045.52, 952.53 cm<sup>-1</sup>. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{35}Cl_2N_5O_{13}Pt + H^+ (M + H)^+ 832.5170$ , found 854.1139. HR-MS (+): m/z calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>35</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>13</sub>Pt + H<sup>+</sup> (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> 854.5170, found 854.1315. El. Anal. Calcd. for  $C_{21}H_{35}Cl_2N_5O_{13}Pt$ : % C = 30.33; H = 4.24; N = 8.42; found: % C = 29.98; H = 4.69; N = 8.01.

## In vitro Biological Evaluation

In vitro tests of cisplatin-based drugs were performed to evaluate the cellular behaviors in response to the different compounds (1–4) compared to cisplatin. All the drugs were reconstituted in Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) at 1 mg/ml final concentration, and then dissolved in the culture media at different concentrations: 15, 30, and 60  $\mu$ M. Three different osteosarcoma cells lines (MG63, SAOS-2, U-2OS) and an *in vitro* model of osteosarcoma stem cells (enriched-CSCs) were maintained in culture with and without the drugs for 72 h.

## **Cell Culture**

Human Osteosarcoma cell lines MG63 (ATCC<sup>®</sup> CRL1427<sup>™</sup>), U-2OS (ATCC<sup>\*</sup> HTB-96<sup>TM</sup>), and SAOS-2 (ATCC<sup>\*</sup> HTB-85<sup>TM</sup>), purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), were used. MG63 cell line was cultured in DMEM F12-GlutaMAX<sup>™</sup> Modified Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Gibco) and 1% of penicillin/streptomycin mixture (pen/strep) (100 U/ml-100 µg/ml, Gibco). SAOS-2 and U-2OS cell lines were cultured in McCoy's 5A Modified Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 15 and 10% FBS, respectively, and 1% pen/ strep. Cells were kept in an incubator at 37°C under controlled humidity and 5% CO2 atmosphere conditions. Cells were detached from culture flasks by trypsinization and centrifuged. The cell number and viability were determined by Trypan Blue Dye Exclusion test and all cell handling procedures were performed under a laminar flow hood in sterility conditions. For the experiment, all cell lines were seeded  $5.0 \times 10^3$  cells/well in 96 well-plates and  $5.0 \times 10^4$  cells/well in 6 well-plates.

#### **Enriched-CSCs Culture**

Enriched-cancer stem cells (CSCs) were obtained under specific culture conditions as reported in the literature (Brown et al., 2017; Bassi et al., 2020) as a sarcosphere-forming method starting from a human MG63 osteosarcoma cell line. The MG63 cell line was seeded in Ultra-Low Attachment T25 flasks (Corning Inc., NY) with a density of  $2.0 \times 10^3$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in serum-free DMEM F12-GlutaMAX<sup>™</sup> Modified Medium supplemented with a specific cocktail of factors: 10 µL/ml N2 (Gibco), 20 µL/ml B27 (Gibco), 0.1 µL/ml human Basic-Fibroblast Growth Factor (bFGF) (Invitrogen), and 0.01 µL/ml human Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) (PeproTech). The cocktail was added to each flask every 2/ 3 days for a total of 10 days of culture. After their formation, the CSCs were collected and centrifugated for 10 min at  $130 \times g$ ; the pellet was resuspended in the same medium conditions, well mixed, and directly seeded in Ultra-Low Attachment 96 wellplate and Ultra-Low Attachment 6 well-plate with 200 µL/well and 1.5 ml/well volume of cell culture medium, respectively. The factors' cocktail was added every 2/3 days during the experiment following the above-reported manufacturer's instructions.

#### MTT Cell Viability Assay

A quantitative analysis of cell viability and proliferation was carried out by MTT assay on cell cultures, by using the cells



SCHEME 1 | Synthetic route for the complexes 1 and 2: (i) TMSN<sub>3</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub>, DCM, rt, 16 h, 91%; (ii) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, sodium ascorbate, *t*-BuOH, THF, H<sub>2</sub>O, rt, 16 h, 64%; (iii) EDCI, NHS, DCM, rt, 16 h, 77%; (iv) DMSO, 60°C, 16 h, 43%.





only as a negative control. At 72 h, the MTT assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, MTT reagent [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] (5 mg/ml) was dissolved in Phosphate Saline Buffer 1X (PBS 1X). At 72 h, the cells were incubated with 10% media volume MTT solution for 2 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and controlled humidity conditions. The cell culture media was removed and substituted with DMSO (Sigma) dissolving formazan crystals derived from MTT conversion by

metabolically active cells. For CSCs, the total media was centrifugated and the deposited crystals were directly resuspended in DMSO. After 15-min of incubation under slight stirring conditions, the absorbance of formazan was read at 570 nm by using a Multiskan FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Scientific). The values of absorbance are directly proportional to the number of metabolic active cells in each well. The experiment was carried out with three biological replicates for each condition.

Complex OS cancer cell	Cisplatin		1		2		3		4	
	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	95% CI	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	95% CI	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	95% CI	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	95% CI	IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	95% CI
SAOS-2	20.42	-4.13; +5.17	16.48	-1.84; +2.08	20.18	-2.38; +2.7	20.39	-2.12; +2.37	20.1	-1.99; +2.21
U-2 OS MG63	19.85 17.8	-1.64; +1.8 -3.34: +4.13	16.48 21.9	-0.98; +1.02 -0.86: +0.89	21.09 19.68	-0.86, +0.89 -1.27: +1.36	18.57 14.88	-1.3; +1.4 -0.91: +0.98	18.91 15.5	-1.5; +1.63 -0.54: +0.55

#### TABLE 1 | IC<sub>50</sub> (µM) values of Cisplatin and complexes 1-4 on OS cell lines.



#### **Cell Morphology Evaluation**

Cells treated with and without the drugs (30  $\mu$ M) were fixed in 4% buffered Paraformaldehyde (PFA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The fixed cells were permeabilized in PBS 1X with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 5 min at room temperature and F-actin filaments were highlighted with a red fluorescent solution of Rhodamine Phalloidin (Actin Red 555 Ready Probes<sup>TM</sup> Reagent, Invitrogen), following the company indications, for 30 min at room temperature. DAPI (600 nM) counterstaining was performed for cell nuclei identification, following the manufacturer's instructions. The images were acquired by using an Inverted Ti-E Fluorescent Microscope.

## Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry

The ICP-OES (Agilent Technologies 5100 ICP-OES, Santa Clara, United States) was performed on Enriched-CSCs culture to quantify cellular internalization of drugs, following the manufacturer's instructions. At 72 h, cells were mechanically by 50–100 times p200 pipetting to disaggregate spheroid, counted by Trypan Blue Dye Exclusion Test, and collected in 400  $\mu$ L PBS 1X. ICP-OES was used for the quantitative determination of platinum ions content per cell derived by cisplatin-based drugs, by using cells only as a negative control. Briefly, the samples were dissolved in 500  $\mu$ L nitric acid (65 wt%) and 2.1 ml of milliQ water followed by 30 min of sonication in an

ultrasonicator bath. The analytical wavelength of Pt was 265.945 nm. One experiment was carried out and for each condition, the amount of drug per cell was quantified in biological triplicate. The data are represented in the graph.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by using GraphPad Prism Software (8.0.1 version). The results of the MTT assays are reported in the graphs as mean percentage of cell viability with respect to cells only ± standard deviation, and they were analyzed by Two-way analysis of variance (Two-way ANOVA) and Tukey's multiple comparisons test. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated as log(inhibitor) versus mean percentage of dead cells with respect to cells only, and the obtained values are reported in the graphs  $\pm$  95% confidence interval (CI) for each cell line. The results of MTT assays on CSCs are reported in the graph as mean percentage of cell viability with respect to cells only  $\pm$  the standard error of the mean, and they were analyzed by Unpaired *t*-test setting the *p* value  $\leq 0.05$  to determine statistically significant differences. The ICP-OES data were elaborated as picograms of iron ions per cell and reported in the graph  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. The results were analyzed by One-way analysis of variance (One-way ANOVA) and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (\*p value  $\leq$  0.05, \*\**p* value  $\leq$  0.01, \*\*\**p* value  $\leq$  0.001, \*\*\*\**p* value  $\leq$ 0.0001).



## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The four novel glyco-Pt(IV) complexes are shown in **Figure 2**. Complexes 1 and 2 are  $\beta$ -anomeric triazolyl *N*-glycosides which have the triazole group directly attached to the anomeric carbon (1, glucose, and 2 galactose) while complexes 3 and 4 have an additional *O*-ethylene linker (3, glucose, and 4 galactose).

The synthetic route for complexes 1 and 2 can be seen in Scheme 1. Briefly, the per-acetylated glucose 5 and galactose 6 were transformed to the corresponding  $\beta$ -azides at the anomeric carbon, 7 and 8 respectively (Tropper et al., 1992), by reaction with azidotrimethylsilane and tin tetrachloride. Compounds 7 and 8 were then reacted with pentynoic acid, using CUAAC conditions at room temperature, to produce the carboxylic acids 9

and **10** (Mangunuru et al., 2015). These latter carboxylic acids are activated, forming NHS esters **11** and **12**, respectively, that were reacted with oxoplatin to produce the final desired compounds **1** and **2**.

For complexes **3** and **4**, with an *O*-ethylene spacer between the anomeric carbon and the triazole ring, the synthetic route requires one more step, as shown in **Scheme 2**, whereby the per-acetylated sugars **5** and **6** were reacted with chloroethanol in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate to produce **13** and **14** (Reddy et al., 2017). They are then transformed to the corresponding azides **15** and **16** before being reacted with pentynoic acid under similar CUAAC conditions used previously, to give acids **17** and **18**. Activation to form NHS esters **19** and **20** followed by reaction with oxoplatin produced the final desired complexes **3** and **4**.

All the intermediate compounds (5–20) have been obtained with moderate to good yields and have been characterized with multinuclear NMR, IR, and LC(HR)-MS. The final complexes containing platinum (1–4) have been characterized with multinuclear NMR (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>195</sup>Pt), HR-MS, and Elemental Analyses to assess the purity. All the data and spectra can be found in the Experimental Section and the **Supplementary Material**.

The physiological stability has been evaluated with HPLC in DMSO/HEPES at pH 6.8 buffer and the complexes are stable (little decomposition of 8% observed after 1 week at r.t., see **Supplementary Material** for complex **4**).

The biological effect of the complexes 1-4, in comparison with the effect of cisplatin has been evaluated on different osteosarcoma cells lines. All the drugs showed a dose-dependent anticancer effect (Figure 3) in all the tested cancer cell lines. A statistically significant decrease of cell viability induced by all the drug concentrations (*p* value  $\leq 0.0001$ ) has been reported with respect to the cells grown without drugs (cells only). Most excitingly, starting from 30 µM, the complexes showed a significantly higher cytotoxicity with respect to cisplatin. Looking in detail at the single-cell lines, it was possible to observe phenotypic-dependent behaviors in response to the different complexes. In detail, the viability of SAOS-2 (Figure 3A) was significantly decreased in the presence of the four complexes with respect to cisplatin when supplied at 30  $\mu$ M (*p* value  $\leq$  0.0001); conversely the viability of U-2 OS (Figure 3B) was significantly reduced only in the presence of 3 and 4 compared to cisplatin at 30  $\mu$ M (*p* value  $\leq$  0.0001), and only in the presence of complex 4 at 60  $\mu$ M (*p* value  $\leq$  0.05). All the complexes seem to be more effective with respect to cisplatin in the MG63 cell line (Figure 3C), starting from 30 µM and at higher concentrations, the anticancer effect greatly increases (p value  $\leq$ 0.0001). The IC<sub>50</sub> values ( $\mu$ M) reported in Table 1, confirmed the phenotypic-dependent effect of the different complexes. In fact, in SAOS-2 the most effective drug is complex 1, showing a  $IC_{50}$  of 16.48 (-1.84; +2.08), while in U-2 OS and MG63 complex 3 has the best IC<sub>50</sub> values of 18.57 (-1.3; +1.4) and 14.88 (-0.91; +0.98), respectively (see Supplementary Material).

The qualitative analysis of cell morphology confirmed the cytotoxicity results (**Figure 4**). As shown in the panel, the number of all the cells treated with cisplatin and complexes **1–4** drastically decreased compared to cells only which, on the contrary, showed a higher cell density. The different behaviors observed among the osteosarcoma cell lines, induced by the different drugs, are ascribable to the well-known different degrees of genetic complexity of each cell line, inducing cell-specific biological behaviors (e.g., tumorigenicity, colony-forming ability, invasive/migratory potential, metabolism, and proliferation capacity) (Lauvrak et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016).

Furthermore, even cancer stem cells (CSCs), unipotent cell population presents within the tumor microenvironment, have the ability to alter their metabolism responding to specific bioenergetic and biosynthetic requirements (Deshmukh et al., 2016; Brown et al., 2017). CSCs are key tumor-initiating cells that play an integral role in the metastatic process, and tumor recurrence even after chemotherapy. It is easily understood why CSCs, in the last years, have gained intense interest as a specific target for new therapeutic strategies. Based on this evidence, a preliminary *in vitro* study of the tested drug effect on the enriched osteosarcoma stem cell viability and on the drug uptake has been performed. Very promising outcomes indicated that the complex **4** has a statistically significant higher effect on CSCs, *p* value  $\leq 0.05$  (**Figure 5A**), strictly related to the observed increased quantity of platinum inside the cells (27.1 pg/cells, *p* value  $\leq 0.01$ ), compared to cisplatin (**Figure 5B**). The morphological evaluation showed a reduction of the dimension of the spheres, typical morphological markers of CSCs, confirming the cytotoxicity results (**Figure 5C**) (Bouchet and Akhmanova, 2017).

## CONCLUSION

Four novel glyco-modified Pt(IV) pro-drugs based on cisplatin scaffold were synthesized, linking the sugar moiety and the metal center via CUAAC click chemistry. The complexes were tested on a panel of different OS (Osteosarcoma) cell lines and showed very promising activity compared to the reference cisplatin, demonstrating that the presence of a monosaccharide strongly increased the anticancer effect. The complexes resulted also particularly active toward CSCs (Cancer Stem Cells) with the most promising activity shown by complex 4 with a galactose substituent. The interplay of galactose in the metabolism of cancer stem cells is attracting high attention because of potential diagnostic and therapeutic possibilities (Valle et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). At the moment, it is not possible to affirm with certainty if the sugar in the complexes described herein is playing the role of active vector because more specific biological studies (beyond the scope of this work) should be conducted (i.e., inhibition of the GLUTs receptors), but it is clear that the presence of the sugar is increasing the anticancer activity and the drug internalization, as demonstrated with the uptake experiment in CSCs (this could be due to the higher lipophilicity). All the complexes showed very promising activity but the discrimination between glucose and galactose and between the two linkers is not evident yet and this could confirm the hypothesis that these species are internalized by passive diffusion. Ideally, the sugars should be deprotected in order to be better recognized by the receptors, and our group is working in this direction, even if the synthesis is not trivial. We are planning to speculate on the role of the sugar scaffold by developing analogous complexes where the carbohydrate is conjugated via the C2 carbon (not the anomeric carbon) that was demonstrated to be the best in terms of cellular recognition (Patra et al., 2016). Finally, we are conjugating these species, through specific linkers, to magnetic nanoparticles that will act as a delivery platform to increase the drug selectivity and cellular internalization.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

## FUNDING

EM, SP, MM, and DM strongly acknowledge NANO4TARMED consortium H2020-WIDESPREAD-2020-5 project number 952063.

## REFERENCES

- Almotairy, A. R. Z., Montagner, D., Morrison, L., Devereux, M., Howe, O., and Erxleben, A. (2020). Pt(IV) Pro-drugs with an Axial HDAC Inhibitor Demonstrate Multimodal Mechanisms Involving DNA Damage and Apoptosis Independent of Cisplatin Resistance in A2780/A2780cis Cells. J. Inorg. Biochem. 210, 111125. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2020.111125
- Bassi, G., Panseri, S., Dozio, S. M., Sandri, M., Campodoni, E., Dapporto, M., et al. (2020). Scaffold-based 3D Cellular Models Mimicking the Heterogeneity of Osteosarcoma Stem Cell Niche. Sci. Rep. 10, 22294. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-79448-y
- Bonandi, E., Christodoulou, M. S., Fumagalli, G., Perdicchia, D., Rastelli, G., and Passarella, D. (2017). The 1,2,3-triazole Ring as a Bioisostere in Medicinal Chemistry. *Drug Discov. Today* 22, 1572–1581. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2017.05.014
- Bouchet, B. P., and Akhmanova, A. (2017). Microtubules in 3D Cell Motility. J. Cel Sci. 130, 39–50. doi:10.1242/jcs.189431
- Brandon, R. J., and Dabrowiak, J. C. (1984). Synthesis, Characterization, and Properties, of a Group of Platinum(IV) Complexes. J. Med. Chem. 27, 861–865. doi:10.1021/jm00373a009
- Brito, A., Pereira, P. M. R., Soares da Costa, D., Reis, R. L., Ulijn, R. V., Lewis, J. S., et al. (2020). Inhibiting Cancer Metabolism by Aromatic Carbohydrate Amphiphiles that Act as Antagonists of the Glucose Transporter GLUT1. *Chem. Sci.* 11, 3737–3744. doi:10.1039/D0SC00954G
- Brown, H. K., Tellez-Gabriel, M., and Heymann, D. (2017). Cancer Stem Cells in Osteosarcoma. *Cancer Lett.* 386, 189–195. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2016.11.019
- Cifuentes, M., García, M. A., Arrabal, P. M., Martínez, F., Yañez, M. J., Jara, N., et al. (2011). Insulin Regulates GLUT1-Mediated Glucose Transport in MG-63 Human Osteosarcoma Cells. J. Cel. Physiol. 226, 1425–1432. doi:10.1002/ jcp.22668
- Cura, A. J., and Carruthers, A. (2012). "Role of Monosaccharide Transport Proteins in Carbohydrate Assimilation, Distribution, Metabolism, and Homeostasis," in *Comprehensive Physiology* (Wiley), 863–914. doi:10.1002/cphy.c110024
- Deshmukh, A., Deshpande, K., Arfuso, F., Newsholme, P., and Dharmarajan, A. (2016). Cancer Stem Cell Metabolism: a Potential Target for Cancer Therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 15, 69. doi:10.1186/s12943-016-0555-x
- Dhara, S. (1970). A Rapid Method for the Synthesis of cis-[Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]. Indian J. Chem. 8, 193–194.
- Farrer, N. J., and Griffith, D. M. (2020). Exploiting Azide-Alkyne Click Chemistry in the Synthesis, Tracking and Targeting of Platinum Anticancer Complexes. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 55, 59–68. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.12.001
- Gabano, E., Rangone, B., Perin, E., Caron, G., Ermondi, G., Vallaro, M., et al. (2021). Pt(IV) Complexes Based on Cyclohexanediamines and the Histone Deacetylase Inhibitor 2-(2-propynyl)octanoic Acid: Synthesis, Characterization, Cell Penetration Properties and Antitumor Activity. *Dalton Trans.* 50, 4663–4672. doi:10.1039/D0DT04135A
- Gibson, D. (2016). Platinum(iv) Anticancer Prodrugs Hypotheses and Facts. Dalton Trans. 45, 12983–12991. doi:10.1039/C6DT01414C
- Han, X., Wang, W., He, J., Jiang, L., and Li, X. (2019). Osteopontin as a Biomarker for Osteosarcoma Therapy and Prognosis (Review). Oncol. Lett. 17, 2592–2598. doi:10.3892/ol.2019.9905
- Harper, B. W., Krause-Heuer, A. M., Grant, M. P., Manohar, M., Garbutcheon-Singh, K. B., and Aldrich-Wright, J. R. (2010). Advances in Platinum

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

EM is grateful to Maynooth University for sponsoring his PhD with a Graduate Teaching Fellowship.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2021.795997/full#supplementary-material

Chemotherapeutics. Chem. - A Eur. J. 16, 7064–7077. doi:10.1002/ chem.201000148

- Heymann, M.-F., Lézot, F., and Heymann, D. (2019). The Contribution of Immune Infiltrates and the Local Microenvironment in the Pathogenesis of Osteosarcoma. *Cell Immunol.* 343, 103711. doi:10.1016/j.cellimm.2017.10.011
- Jang, M., Kim, S. S., and Lee, J. (2013). Cancer Cell Metabolism: Implications for Therapeutic Targets. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 45, e45. doi:10.1038/emm.2013.85
- Johnstone, T. C., Wilson, J. J., and Lippard, S. J. (2013). Monofunctional and Higher-Valent Platinum Anticancer Agents. *Inorg. Chem.* 52, 12234–12249. doi:10.1021/ic400538c
- Jung, Y., and Lippard, S. J. (2007). Direct Cellular Responses to Platinum-Induced DNA Damage. Chem. Rev. 107, 1387–1407. doi:10.1021/cr068207j
- Kenny, R. G., and Marmion, C. J. (2019). Toward Multi-Targeted Platinum and Ruthenium Drugs-A New Paradigm in Cancer Drug Treatment Regimens? *Chem. Rev.* 119, 1058–1137. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00271
- Koppenol, W. H., Bounds, P. L., and Dang, C. V. (2011). Otto Warburg's Contributions to Current Concepts of Cancer Metabolism. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11, 325–337. doi:10.1038/nrc3038
- Lauria, T., Slator, C., McKee, V., Müller, M., Stazzoni, S., Crisp, A. L., et al. (2020). A Click Chemistry Approach to Developing Molecularly Targeted DNA Scissors. *Chem. Eur. J.* 26, 16782–16792. doi:10.1002/chem.202002860
- Lauvrak, S. U., Munthe, E., Kresse, S. H., Stratford, E. W., Namløs, H. M., Meza-Zepeda, L. A., et al. (2013). Functional Characterisation of Osteosarcoma Cell Lines and Identification of mRNAs and miRNAs Associated with Aggressive Cancer Phenotypes. Br. J. Cancer 109, 2228–2236. doi:10.1038/bjc.2013.549
- Lee, K. G. Z., Babak, M. V., Weiss, A., Dyson, P. J., Nowak-Sliwinska, P., Montagner, D., et al. (2018). Development of an Efficient Dual-Action GST-Inhibiting Anticancer Platinum(IV) Prodrug. *ChemMedChem* 13, 1210–1217. doi:10.1002/cmdc.201800105
- Li, C., Cai, J., Ge, F., and Wang, G. (2018). TGM2 Knockdown Reverses Cisplatin Chemoresistance in Osteosarcoma. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 42, 1799–1808. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2018.3753
- Liu, Y., Feng, X., Zhang, Y., Jiang, H., Cai, X., Yan, X., et al. (2016). Establishment and Characterization of a Novel Osteosarcoma Cell Line: CHOS. J. Orthop. Res. 34, 2116–2125. doi:10.1002/jor.23245
- Lo Re, D., Montagner, D., Tolan, D., Di Sanza, C., Iglesias, M., Calon, A., et al. (2018). Increased Immune Cell Infiltration in Patient-Derived Tumor Explants Treated with Traniplatin: an Original Pt(IV) Pro-drug Based on Cisplatin and Tranilast. *Chem. Commun.* 54, 8324–8327. doi:10.1039/C8CC02071J
- Ma, J., Liu, H., Xi, Z., Hou, J., Li, Y., Niu, J., et al. (2018). Protected and Deprotected Platinum(IV) Glycoconjugates with GLUT1 and OCT2-Mediated Selective Cancer Targeting: Demonstrated Enhanced Transporter-Mediated Cytotoxic Properties *In Vitro* and *In Vivo. Front. Chem.* 6, 1–15. doi:10.3389/ fchem.2018.00386
- Ma, J., Wang, Q., Huang, Z., Yang, X., Nie, Q., Hao, W., et al. (2017a). Glycosylated Platinum(IV) Complexes as Substrates for Glucose Transporters (GLUTs) and Organic Cation Transporters (OCTs) Exhibited Cancer Targeting and Human Serum Albumin Binding Properties for Drug Delivery. J. Med. Chem. 60, 5736–5748. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00433
- Ma, J., Wang, Q., Yang, X., Hao, W., Huang, Z., Zhang, J., et al. (2016). Glycosylated Platinum(IV) Prodrugs Demonstrated Significant Therapeutic Efficacy in Cancer Cells and Minimized Side-Effects. *Dalton Trans.* 45, 11830–11838. doi:10.1039/c6dt02207c

- Ma, J., Yang, X., Hao, W., Huang, Z., Wang, X., and Wang, P. G. (2017b). Monofunctionalized Glycosylated Platinum(IV) Complexes Possessed Both pH and Redox Dual-Responsive Properties: Exhibited Enhanced Safety and Preferentially Accumulated in Cancer Cells In Vitro and In Vivo. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 128, 45–55. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.01.032
- Ma, L., Ma, R., Wang, Y., Zhu, X., Zhang, J., Chan, H. C., et al. (2015). Chalcoplatin, a Dual-Targeting and P53 Activator-Containing Anticancer Platinum(IV) Prodrug with Unique Mode of Action. *Chem. Commun.* 51, 6301–6304. doi:10.1039/C4CC10409A
- Mangunuru, H. P. R., Yerabolu, J. R., Liu, D., and Wang, G. (2015). Synthesis of a Series of Glucosyl Triazole Derivatives and Their Self-Assembling Properties. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 56, 82–85. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2014.11.013
- Medina, R. A., and Owen, G. I. (2002). Glucose Transporters: Expression, Regulation and Cancer. Biol. Res. 35, 9–26. doi:10.4067/s0716-97602002000100004
- Montagner, D., Tolan, D., Andriollo, E., Gandin, V., and Marzano, C. (2018). A Pt(IV) Prodrug Combining Chlorambucil and Cisplatin: a Dual-Acting Weapon for Targeting DNA in Cancer Cells. *Ijms* 19, 3775. doi:10.3390/ ijms19123775
- Morris, J. C., Chiche, J., Grellier, C., Lopez, M., Bornaghi, L. F., Maresca, A., et al. (2011). Targeting Hypoxic Tumor Cell Viability with Carbohydrate-Based Carbonic Anhydrase IX and XII Inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 54, 6905–6918. doi:10.1021/jm200892s
- Neumann, W., Crews, B. C., Marnett, L. J., and Hey-Hawkins, E. (2014). Conjugates of Cisplatin and Cyclooxygenase Inhibitors as Potent Antitumor Agents Overcoming Cisplatin Resistance. *ChemMedChem* 9, 1150–1153. doi:10.1002/cmdc.201402074
- Ogawa, T., Sasaki, A., Ono, K., Ohshika, S., Ishibashi, Y., and Yamada, K. (2021). Uptake of Fluorescent D- and L-Glucose Analogues, 2-NBDG and 2-NBDLG, into Human Osteosarcoma U2OS Cells in a Phloretin-Inhibitable Manner. *Hum. Cel* 34, 634–643. doi:10.1007/s13577-020-00483-y
- Ottoni, F. M., Gomes, E. R., Pádua, R. M., Oliveira, M. C., Silva, I. T., and Alves, R. J. (2020). Synthesis and Cytotoxicity Evaluation of Glycosidic Derivatives of Lawsone against Breast Cancer Cell Lines. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 30, 126817. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2019.126817
- Pathak, R. K., McNitt, C. D., Popik, V. V., and Dhar, S. (2014). Copper-Free Click-Chemistry Platform to Functionalize Cisplatin Prodrugs. *Chem. Eur. J.* 20, 6861–6865. doi:10.1002/chem.201402573
- Patra, M., Awuah, S. G., and Lippard, S. J. (2016). Chemical Approach to Positional Isomers of Glucose-Platinum Conjugates Reveals Specific Cancer Targeting through Glucose-Transporter-Mediated Uptake In Vitro and In Vivo. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 12541–12551. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b06937
- Petruzzella, E., Sirota, R., Solazzo, I., Gandin, V., and Gibson, D. (2018). Triple Action Pt(IV) Derivatives of Cisplatin: a New Class of Potent Anticancer Agents that Overcome Resistance. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 4299–4307. doi:10.1039/ C8SC00428E
- Pettenuzzo, A., Pigot, R., and Ronconi, L. (2016). Metal-based Glycoconjugates and Their Potential in Targeted Anticancer Chemotherapy. *Metallodrugs* 1, 36–61. doi:10.1515/medr-2015-0002
- Reddy, A., Ramos-Ondono, J., Abbey, L., Velasco-Torrijos, T., and Ziegler, T. (2017). "2-Chloroethyl and 2-Azidoethyl 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Acetyl-β-D-Gluco-Andβ-D-Galactopyranosides," in *Carbohydrate Chemistry: Proven Synthetic Methods.* Editors P. Murphy and C. Vogel, 4, 201–208. doi:10.1201/ 9781315120300-25
- Savino, S., Gandin, V., Hoeschele, J. D., Marzano, C., Natile, G., and Margiotta, N. (2018). Dual-acting Antitumor Pt(IV) Prodrugs of Kiteplatin with Dichloroacetate Axial Ligands. *Dalton Trans.* 47, 7144–7158. doi:10.1039/ C8DT00686E

- Tropper, F. D., Andersson, F. O., Braun, S., and Roy, R. (1992). Phase Transfer Catalysis as a General and Stereoselective Entry into Glycosyl Azides from Glycosyl Halides. Synthesis 1992, 618–620. doi:10.1055/s-1992-26175
- Upadhyaya, K., Hamidullah, H., Singh, K., Arun, A., Shukla, M., Srivastava, N., et al. (2016). Identification of Gallic Acid Based Glycoconjugates as a Novel Tubulin Polymerization Inhibitors. Org. Biomol. Chem. 14, 1338–1358. doi:10.1039/C5OB02113H
- Valle, S., Alcalá, S., Martin-Hijano, L., Cabezas-Sáinz, P., Navarro, D., Muñoz, E. R., et al. (2020). Exploiting Oxidative Phosphorylation to Promote the Stem and Immunoevasive Properties of Pancreatic Cancer Stem Cells. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5265–5284. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18954-z
- Valverde, I. E., Bauman, A., Kluba, C. A., Vomstein, S., Walter, M. A., and Mindt, T. L. (2013). 1,2,3-Triazoles as Amide Bond Mimics: Triazole Scan Yields Protease-Resistant Peptidomimetics for Tumor Targeting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52, 8957–8960. doi:10.1002/anie.201303108
- Wang, G.-Q., Yan, L.-L., and Wang, Q.-A. (2018). Synthesis and Antiproliferative Activity of Flavonoid Triazolyl Glycosides. *Heterocycl. Commun.* 24, 119–124. doi:10.1515/hc-2017-0241
- Wexselblatt, E., Raveendran, R., Salameh, S., Friedman-Ezra, A., Yavin, E., and Gibson, D. (2015). On the Stability of PtIVPro-Drugs with Haloacetato Ligands in the Axial Positions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 21, 3108–3114. doi:10.1002/ chem.201405467
- Wirth, R., White, J. D., Moghaddam, A. D., Ginzburg, A. L., Zakharov, L. N., Haley, M. M., et al. (2015). Azide vs Alkyne Functionalization in Pt(II) Complexes for Post-treatment Click Modification: Solid-State Structure, Fluorescent Labeling, and Cellular Fate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 15169–15175. doi:10.1021/ jacs.5b09108
- Wu, M., Li, H., Liu, R., Gao, X., Zhang, M., Liu, P., et al. (2016). Galactose Conjugated Platinum(II) Complex Targeting the Warburg Effect for Treatment of Non-small Cell Lung Cancer and colon Cancer. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 110, 32–42. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.01.016
- Zhang, J. Z., Bonnitcha, P., Wexselblatt, E., Klein, A. V., Najajreh, Y., Gibson, D., et al. (2013). Facile Preparation of Mono-, Di- and Mixed-Carboxylato Platinum(IV) Complexes for Versatile Anticancer Prodrug Design. *Chem. Eur. J.* 19, 1672–1676. doi:10.1002/chem.201203159
- Zheng, D., Sussman, J. H., Jeon, M. P., Parrish, S. T., MacMullan, M. A., Delfarah, A., et al. (2020). AKT but Not MYC Promotes Reactive Oxygen Species-Mediated Cell Death in Oxidative Culture. J. Cel Sci. 133, jcs239277. doi:10.1242/jcs.239277

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Moynihan, Bassi, Ruffini, Panseri, Montesi, Velasco-Torrijos and Montagner. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.