Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jinlin Lu, Guangzhou Maritime College, China

REVIEWED BY Yang Li, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, China Peng Li, Soochow University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hui Lu, luhui@nmu.edu.cn Shaolin Yang, slyang@nun.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nanoscience, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

RECEIVED 04 October 2022 ACCEPTED 24 October 2022 PUBLISHED 02 November 2022

CITATION

Lu H, Sha S, Li T, Wen Q, Yang S, Wu J, Wang K, Sheng Z and Ma J (2022), Onestep electrodeposition of ZnO/ graphene composites with enhanced capability for photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes. *Front. Chem.* 10:1061129. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.1061129

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lu, Sha, Li, Wen, Yang, Wu, Wang, Sheng and Ma. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

One-step electrodeposition of ZnO/graphene composites with enhanced capability for photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes

Hui Lu^{1,2†}*, Simiao Sha^{1†}, Tong Li¹, Qian Wen¹, Shaolin Yang¹*, Jiandong Wu^{1,2}, Kang Wang¹, Zhilin Sheng¹ and Jinfu Ma¹

¹School of Materials Science and Engineering, North Minzu University, Yinchuan, China, ²Yinchuan Aini Industrial Technology Development Co., Ltd, Yinchuan, China

Zinc oxide is a popular semiconductor used in catalysts due to its wide bandgap and high exciton binding energy. However, the photocatalytic performance of ZnO was compromised by its insufficient electron-hole separation efficiency and electron transfer rate. Herein, ZnO-reduced graphene oxide (rGO) composite solid catalyst was synthesized by one-step electrodeposition method on FTO substrate using lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄) as the supporting electrolyte. Scanning electron microscopy, Raman, Fourier Transform Infrared, and XRD characterizations confirmed the deposition of ZnO and the reduction of graphene oxide Owing to the cooperative effect between rGO and ZnO, the as-prepared ZnO-rGO composites show much enhanced photocatalytic degradation ability compared with pure ZnO nanorods. By optimizing the conditions of electrodeposition of ZnO-rGO composites, the degradation rate of methylene blue can reach 99.1% within 120 min. Thus, the simple preparation and the excellent performance could endow the ZnO-rGO composites with promising application in practical dyepolluted water treatment.

KEYWORDS

ZnO, graphene oxide, electrodeposition, electrolyte, solid catalyst

Introduction

The growing water contamination has become a serious problem with the development of industrialization and urbanization (Liras et al., 2019; Abdel-Karim et al., 2021). As a kind of staining materials, dyes are widely used in pollutionintensive industries such as textile, rubber, papermaking, plastics, and printing (Mansor et al., 2020; Nasir et al., 2021). Untreated dye-containing industrial wastewater produces severe pollution and threatens the ecological environment and human health. In addition, the organic dye pollutants in the wastewater are hard to be decomposed naturally. Among various dye-removal techniques, the degradation of organic dyes using highly efficient photocatalysts has become the most promising due to its high efficiency, fast reaction, and easy operation (Garg et al., 2020).

Until now, many low-priced, high-performance, and consistent photocatalysts have been produced and used in wastewater treatment, such as, metal oxides (TiO₂ and ZnO) (Roshni and Thambidurai, 2022; Umadevi et al., 2022), sulfides (Luan et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2021), and nitrogen oxides (Niu et al., 2012). Among them, ZnO, an n-type semiconductor material of the group II-VI has been widely used in recent years because of its wider bandgap and higher exciton binding energy (Dehghan Nayeri et al., 2013). However, the deficiencies of ZnO including high resistivity, and easy recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs seriously hinders the improvement of its photochemical catalysis performance (Anandan et al., 2010). To overcome these shortcomings and improve the photochemical catalysis performance, the ZnO composite materials have been widely investigated (Lonkar et al., 2018). As a two-dimensional carbon nanomaterial with zero bandgap, graphene has the advantages of high electron mobility and excellent conductivity at room temperature, making it a candidate for enhancing the performance of various catalysts (Imran et al., 2021; Kharatzadeh et al., 2021; Moradi et al., 2021). For these reasons, ZnO-rGO composite photocatalysts with better optical and electrical properties were synthesized. The addition of rGO can promote the separation of electronhole pairs and reduce the recombination rate, and increase the light absorption capacity (Abdelsamad et al., 2018). Therefore, the ZnO-rGO composite can obtain better photocatalytic degradation performance than ZnO. Until now, various techniques for synthesizing ZnO-rGO composites have been exploited. For instance, Azaranga et al. fabricated nanocomposites of ZnO NPs and rGO by sol-gel method, and the ZnO-rGO nanocomposites achieved a degradation efficiency of about 92.5% for methylene blue (MB) within 120 min (Azarang et al., 2015). Tuan et al. prepared ZnO/rGO nanocomposites by hydrothermal method, which can only degrade 60% MB in 60 min (Van Tuan et al., 2020). However, most methods suffer from complex preparation process, high temperature and pressure conditions, difficult recycling and other problems, which limits their practical application.

In this paper, we developed a simple, low-cost, controllable method to synthesize ZnO-rGO composite by one-step electrochemical deposition using GO, zinc nitrate $(Zn(NO_3)_2)$ and lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄) solution as electrolyte. The use of LiClO₄ as supporting electrolyte is conducive to the growth of ZnO and the uniform coverage of rGO nanosheets onto the surface of ZnO nanorods. Since the deposition of ZnO and the reduction of GO were carried out concurrently, the removal of GO with toxic reductants was avoided. Due to the combined effect between rGO and ZnO, the photochemical catalytic activity of ZnO-rGO composites was significantly improved compared with that of pure ZnO nanorods. After optimizing the GO concentration in electrolyte, the photocatalytic degradation rate of MB by ZnO-rGO composites reached 99.1% within 120 min.

Experimental

Materials

FTO coated glass $(13 \pm 1.5 \text{ ohm})$ was purchased from Dalian Qiseguang Solar Technology Development Co., Ltd. Zinc nitrate $(Zn(NO_3)_2, AR)$, potassium chloride (KCl, AR) and lithium perchlorate (LiClO₄, AR) were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. The graphene oxide (GO) aqueous solution was provided by Suzhou Carbon Fung Technology Co., Ltd.

Electrodeposition of ZnO-rGO composite materials

All electrodeposition processes were implemented on a CHI660E electrochemical workstation (Chenhua Instruments, three-electrode system China) using comprised of FTO, Pt wire, and Ag/AgCl as the working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. The FTO conductive glasses were cleaned with ultrasonic oscillation with the glass cleaning agent, deionized water, and ethanol for 30 min successively. ZnO and ZnOrGO films were electrochemical deposited on FTO substrates by potentiostatic method at 80 °C with electrodeposition potentials and time of -1.1 V and 600 s, respectively. The electrolyte for ZnO nanomaterials was 10 mM Zn(NO₃)₂ and 0.1 M LiClO₄ aqueous solution, whereas the ZnO-rGO was deposited with an electrolyte containing $10 \text{ mM Zn}(NO_3)_2$, 0.1 M LiClO₄, and 5 mg L^{-1} GO. For comparison, ZnO-rGO composite prepared without LiClO₄ as supporting electrolytes was named as ZnO-rGO-N. To study the effect of GO concentration on the photochemical degradation of the synthesized ZnO-rGO, the ZnO-rGO composites were prepared with GO concentration of 2, 5, and 8 mg L^{-1} , which were named ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO, and ZnO-8rGO, respectively.

Characterization

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) mapping were carried out on a Zeiss Sigma 600 field emission scanning electron microscope. X-ray diffraction (XRD) test was conducted on a Rigaku Dmax2500. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on an ESCALAB Xi + X-ray photoelectron spectrometer. The ultraviolet-visible spectra were measured by using an ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (Beijing Puxi TU-1901). Raman spectra were measured by HORIBA LabRAM micro-Raman microscope irradiated with a 514 nm laser. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded by Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 FTIR Spectrometer.

Photocatalytic tests

Organic dyes including MB, Rhodamine B (RhB), and Methylene orange (MO) were used as organic pollutants to measure photocatalytic activity, respectively. Three pieces of FTO (1.5 cm \times 1.5 cm) coated with ZnO or ZnO-rGO composite materials were immersed into 30 ml organic dyestuff solution with a concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} . To achieve the balance of adsorption/desorption, the solution was placed in the dark for 30 min before measurement. Afterwards, the dyestuff solution was irradiated with a mercury lamp (300 W) from a distance of 10 cm for photodegradation. 3 ml of the dye solution was taken to test its absorbance with an UV-vis spectrophotometer every 20 min and returned to the solution after the test. The degradation efficiency was calculated according to the variation of the maximum characteristic absorption peaks. Transient photocurrent tests were performed in a KCl electrolyte with a bias voltage of 0.5 V and $0.1\ mol\ L^{-1}1\ mmol\ L^{-1}$ of BQ, $10\ mmol\ L^{-1}$ of IPA and 10 mmol L⁻¹ of EDTA-2Na were used as trapping agents for O_2^- , OH and h^+ , respectively, and the reaction mechanism was tested according to the steps of photocatalytic degradation to analyze the reaction mechanism.

Results and discussion

In the process of preparing ZnO-rGO composite materials by one-step electrochemical deposition, the choice of supporting electrolytes determines the quality of the deposited film, which is the key to the photocatalytic performance. Figure 1 shows the Chronoamperometry (CA) curves for electrochemical deposition of ZnO and ZnO-rGO nanocomposites using potentiostatic method. These curves have a similar trend: The rapid decrease of current at the initial deposition ascribed to the rapid adsorption of the charge in the electrolyte on the electrode surface, then the increase of current corresponds to the nucleation process, and the subsequent current stabilization stage is the growth of crystal nuclei. The cathode current for depositing ZnO stabilized at -1.4 mA cm⁻², whereas the stabilized current



was increased to -2.1 mA cm^{-2} with the addition of GO to the electrolyte for preparing ZnO-rGO composite, suggesting that GO promoted the growth rate. It is well-known that GO can be reduced under negative potential (Yang et al., 2014). Thus, the deoxidation of GO and the electrodeposition of ZnO should proceed concurrently. As a comparison, the deposition without adding LiClO₄ as the supporting electrolyte, the current was steadied at -1.7 mA cm^{-2} . This result suggests that the supporting electrolyte will increase the conductivity of the electrolyte, which is beneficial to the growth of the ZnOrGO composite.

Figure 2 displays the SEM micrographs of the ZnO, ZnOrGO-N, and ZnO-rGO deposited on FTO substrates. As seen from Figure 2A, the pure ZnO has a uniform and dense hexagonal rod structure and completely covers the conductive substrate. As for ZnO-rGO-N deposited without supporting electrolyte, the morphology of the electrodeposited ZnO changed to a pencil shape with a larger size (Figure 2B), corresponding to a smaller specific surface area. In addition, rGO sheets were coated on ZnO nanorods due to the addition of GO. With the addition of LiClO₄ as a supporting electrolyte, the diameter of ZnO nanorods in the ZnO-rGO composite material becomes smaller in diameter but larger in density (Figure 2C), leading to much larger surface area. The elemental mapping of ZnO-rGO displayed in Figure 2D proves the uniform distribution of C and O on the top of ZnO-rGO, suggesting the uniform coverage of rGO nanosheets on the top of ZnO nanorods.

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of ZnO and ZnO-rGO. In the pattern of ZnO, the high crystallinity ZnO with hexagonal wurtzite phase (JCPDS 361–451) was confirmed by the 31.78°, 34.4°, and 36.2° characteristic peaks except the peaks of FTO



FIGURE 2

corresponding to the planes (100) (002) and (101) respectively. As for ZnO-rGO, the intensities of ZnO peaks decrease due to the coverage of rGO nanosheets. However, the diffraction peaks of rGO cannot be observed, which is probably because that the rGO nanosheets is too thin to be detected by XRD signal.

Figure 3B shows the XPS survey scan spectra of ZnO and ZnO-rGO composite material. The wide range analysis pattern of ZnO-rGO consist of C1s, O1s, and Zn2p. The XPS Zn2p spectra of the ZnO and ZnO-rGO are presented in Figure 3C. The binding energy positions of 1045.1 and 1021.9 eV accord with the two atomic states of Zn2p 1/2 and Zn2p 3/2, confirming the +2 oxidation states of Zn atoms. The binding energy of the two Zn2p peaks shifted slightly, which is due to the hybridization with GO, resulting in the change of the electronic band structure of ZnO. In Figure 3D the C1s scan spectrum of Zn-rGO can be deconvoluted into three peaks centered at the binding energies of 284.3, 286.4 and 288.8 eV, which can be assigned to the carbon atoms of C-C, C-O, and C=O bonds of rGO respectively. Compared with the spectrum of GO Supplementary Figure S1, the intensity of C-O and C=O peaks reduced, indicating that most oxygen-containing groups were removed upon reduction.

The Raman spectra of GO, ZnO and ZnO-rGO composite are shown in Figure 3E. In the spectrum of ZnO-rGO two typical bands corresponding to wurtzite-type ZnO were observed, in consistence with the spectrum of ZnO. The non-polar optical phonon E_{2H} mode can be revealed by a band at 440 cm⁻¹, and the band at 580 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the existence of oxygen vacancies, zinc interstitials and defect complexes (Chaudhary et al., 2018; Erdogan et al., 2021). In the higher wavenumber range, the G (1591 cm⁻¹) and D peaks (1340 cm⁻¹) respectively corresponding to graphitic domains and lattice defects of rGO can be observed (Agarwal and Zetterlund, 2020). Compared with GO, the D to G band intensity ratio of ZnOrGO increases, suggesting the decrease of average size of the sp² carbon domains caused by the generation of more vacant lattice sites through the removal of carbon atom accompanied with the oxygenated groups removal.

Figure 3F shows the FTIR spectra of GO, ZnO, and ZnOrGO composite materials. The wide and high-strength band at 3400 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the O-H stretching vibration of water molecules adsorbed on the sample. The peak at 1630 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the C-C/C=C stretching of sp² carbon domains. The band near 500 cm⁻¹ is originated from the vibration absorption

SEM micrographs of ZnO (A), ZnO-rGO-N (B), and ZnO-rGO (C) deposited on the FTO substrates, EDS elemental mapping of ZnO-rGO composite (D).



FIGURE 3

XRD patterns of ZnO and ZnO-rGO (A); XPS survey spectra of ZnO and ZnO-rGO photoanodes (B), Zn2p high-resolution spectra of ZnO and ZnO-rGO (C), C1s scan spectrum of ZnO-rGO photoanode (D); Raman spectra of ZnO-rGO and GO composite materials (E) and FTIR spectra of GO, ZnO and ZnO-rGO composites (F).



peak of the Zn-O bond. The stretching vibration absorption peak of the carbonyl group (C=O) in the carboxyl group (-COOH) of GO at 1738 cm^{-1} vanished in ZnO-rGO, proving that GO was reduced in this process (Lu et al., 2021).

The UV-visible absorption spectra of ZnO and ZnO-rGO composite materials are described in Figure 4A. ZnO has obvious absorption in the UV region of 300–400 nm. As for ZnO-rGO-N,

the absorbance in UV region increased slightly. In comparison, the absorbance of the ZnO-rGO composite materials enhanced significantly, especially in the area close to visible light (Vanitha et al., 2015). Besides, the absorption edge moves slightly towards the visible light region. The above outcomes indicate that more light can be absorbed for photocatalytic reaction due to the synergistic effect of rGO and ZnO.



According to the plots of $(\alpha hv)^2$ vs hv displayed in Figure 4B, the bandgap can be calculated by the Kubelka-Munk method:

$$\alpha h \nu = A \left(h \nu - E_g \right)^{\eta}$$

where h, A, v, and E_g are Planck constant, absorption constant of direct transition, frequency of light, and the bandgap value, respectively. As we all know, η is an index that characterizes the light absorption process, and it is 1/2 for the of ZnO and ZnO-rGO composites with direct bandgap (Lupan et al., 2010). The calculated energy bandgap of ZnO is 3.29 eV. However, the bandgap of ZnO-rGO-N was reduced to 3.27 eV and further decreased to 3.21 eV for ZnO-rGO, which is beneficial to the absorption of photons with lower energy.

To assess the photocatalytic performance, ZnO, ZnO-rGO-N and ZnO-rGO composites were used to degrade methylene blue (MB) under mercury lamp irradiation. Figures 5A–C exhibits the variation of the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of the ZnO, ZnOrGO-N and ZnO-rGO degraded MB solution with irradiation time. Compared with ZnO and ZnO-rGO-N, the absorption peaks of ZnO-rGO shrank rapidly with the largest extent as the extension of the irradiation time, and the absorption peak almost disappeared in 120 min. Figure 5D shows the variation of the MB concentrations by the degradation of different samples under a mercury lamp. The degradation rate can be calculated by Lambert-Beer law:

Degradation rate =
$$\frac{C_0 - C}{C_0} \times 100\% = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100\%$$

where C_0 is the initial concentration of MB, C is the concentration of MB at time t, A₀ is the initial absorbance of MB solution, and A is the absorbance of MB solution at time t. As seen from Figure 5D, the MB degradation rate without using photocatalyst was only 7% under irradiation for 120 min, suggesting the MB can hardly be degraded without the aid of photocatalyst. As for ZnO catalyst, it can only degrade 32% of the MB dye within 120 min. After the incorporation of rGO nanosheets, the degradation rates of MB can reach about 77.5%, and 99.1% for MB dye in 120 min by the catalysis of ZnO-rGO-N and ZnO-rGO composites. This result suggests that the photocatalytic performance of ZnO can be significantly improved by the addition of rGO, probably be owing to that the presence of rGO reduced the recombination speed of electron-hole pairs and enhanced the absorption of MB (Kwon et al., 2017). Concurrently, the improvement of photocatalytic degradation efficiency of ZnO-rGO compared with ZnO-rGO-N should be attributed to the finer and more uniform ZnO nanorods (Figure 2), the increase of absorbance in visible region as well as the decrease of band gap width (Figures 4A,B).



According to the above results, the process of degradation of organic dyestuff by ZnO, ZnO-rGO-N and ZnO-rGO composite materials conformed to the first-order reaction kinetics:

$$-\frac{dC}{dt} = k \times C$$
$$-\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = k \times t$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right) = kt$$

Here, k (min⁻¹) represents the first-order rate constant, and t is the irradiation time. Figure 5E shows the first-order kinetic fitting results of these photodegradation, from which it can be distinctly found that the degradation rates follow the sequence: ZnO < ZnO-rGO-N < ZnO-rGO.

The separation of photogenerated carriers during the photocatalytic process was demonstrated by the transient photocurrent curves of the electrochemically tested samples. In transient photocurrent curves, the carrier separation efficiency of the photocatalyst is proportional to the photocurrent corresponding. As can be seen in Figure 6, the photocurrent density of the photocatalyst in the absence of light is located at zero, and the photocurrent density rises rapidly after light exposure and stabilizes at a maximum point with good stability of the cycle within 500 s of discontinuous irradiation. The ZnO-rGO sample shows the maximum photocurrent stability density compared to ZnO and ZnO-rGO-N, which is due to the fact that the addition of rGO can effectively increase the conductivity, improve the electron transfer rate, and promote the separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs.

The morphologies of the ZnO-rGO composites prepared with electrolytes of different GO concentrations are depicted in Figure 7. In Figure 7A and 7B, the diameter of the ZnO nanorods reduced from 148.49 to 93.3 nm as the GO concentration was raised from 2 to 5 mg L^{-1} . When the concentration was further raised to 8 mg L^{-1} , the top of the ZnO nanorods was destroyed and the distribution of the nanorods became sparser, leading to the exposure of the substrate (Figure 7C).

Figure 8 exhibits the XRD spectra of pure ZnO and ZnO-rGO composites prepared with various GO concentrations. It can be seen that the characteristic peaks of ZnO and FTO decrease with the increase of GO concentration, which is because of the increased thickness of the rGO nanosheets covering the top of the ZnO nanorods in the ZnO-rGO composite material deposited on the FTO surface, in consistence with the results in Figure 7.

The UV-visible absorption spectra of ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO composite materials and corresponding curves of $(\alpha hv)^2$ *versus* the hv are described in Figures 9A,B. Compared with ZnO-2rGO, the absorbance of ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO increased significantly in the visible region, which was conducive to improving the photocatalytic degradation efficiency (Figure 9A). The calculated energy bandgaps of ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO from Figure 9B by the Kubelka-Munk formula are 3.29, 3.22, and 3.26 eV respectively.



SEM micrographs ZnO-2rGO (A), ZnO-5rGO (B), and ZnO-8rGO (C).





FIGURE 9

UV-vis absorption spectroscopy of ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO composite materials (A) and the corresponding curves of $(\alpha h v)^2$ versus the hv (B); variation ratios of the concentrations of the MB degraded by different catalysts versus irradiation time (C), and the corresponding kinetic curves (D).



Figure 9C shows the variation of the MB concentrations by the degradation of different samples under a mercury lamp, and the absorption spectra are shown in SupplementaryFigure S2. The degradation rates of MB dye by ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO composites can reach 60%, 99.1%, and 75% within 120 min. The ZnO-5rGO composites exhibit the best photocatalytic activity, which is ascribable to the largest surface area caused by the dense distribution of the ZnO nanorods with small diameter. The degradation rate of 99.1% for MB in 120 min is larger than previously reported ZnO-rGO composites, such as, ZnO/graphene composite prepared by surfactant-assisted simple hydrothermal method (90% in 130 min) (Zhou et al., 2012), ZnO-rGO composites fabricated by an easy one-step low-temperature chemical etching route (97% in 140 min) (Zhao et al., 2017), and ZnO/GO nanocomposite powder synthesized by novel flame transport approach (60% in 120 min) (Reimer et al., 2014).

The photocurrent test can further illustrate the separation efficiency of photogenerated carriers during the reaction process. Figure 10 shows the transient photocurrent curves with ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO as photocatalysts. The degree of photocurrent response of ZnO-2rGO, ZnO-5rGO and ZnO-8rGO was different under 500 s intermittent irradiation. It indicates that the addition of different concentrations of GO in the precursor solution has an effect on the photocatalytic performance of the prepared ZnO-rGO samples, further verifying that the introduction of rGO with higher conductivity and larger specific surface area can be used as the acceptor and emitter of electrons to improve



the conductivity and reduce the complexation of photogenerated carriers, resulting in improved photocatalytic performance. The photocurrent intensity of ZnO-5rGO is the largest compared with other samples, indicating that it has the best photocatalytic degradation performance.

For further study the recyclability of ZnO-5rGO as a photocatalytic material, the degradation efficiency of repeatedly used ZnO-5rGO for MB was studied. After each cycle of degradation, the ZnO-5rGO coated FTO was washed with deionized water and then dried. As shown in Figure 11 and S3, the degradation efficiencies of the five cycles for MB are 99.1, 97.6, 95.4, 93.6, and 91.5%, respectively, suggesting the excellent reusability and light stability of the ZnO-rGO composite.

To prove the universal application of ZnO-rGO composite for the degradation of dyestuffs, the degradation of RhB and MO solutions using ZnO-5rGO composites was studied, and the outcomes are presented in Figure 12. It can be observed from Figure 12A and 12B that absorption peaks of both RhB and MO decreased quickly under the irradiation of a mercury lamp. The photocatalytic degradation rate of MO and RhB solutions can be achieved to 90.3% and 93.2% in 120 min, respectively (Figure 12C). Combined with the first-order kinetic fitting results of photodegradation in Figure 12D, it can be concluded that ZnO-rGO composite also has excellent photocatalytic performance for other dyes.

To understand the main active species during the reaction of ZnO-rGO photocatalytic degradation of target degraded organics, the main active species during the photocatalytic reaction of ZnO, ZnO-rGO-N and ZnO-rGO photocatalysts





for MB degradation without the addition of active species trapping agents and with EDTA-2Na, IPA and BQ as active species trapping agents are shown in Figure 13. The degradation rate of the target degradants after the addition

of active species trapping agents was inversely proportional to the role played by the active species during the reaction. In Figure 13, the degradation rate of ZnO-rGO as a photocatalyst for MB was 99.1% without the addition of active species trapping agent, which changed to 70% with the addition of EDTA-2Na, 48% with the addition of IPA, and 61% with the addition of BQ. The changes in degradation rates after the addition of active species trapping agents indicate that OH is the active species that plays a major role in the photocatalytic degradation of MB.

In this paper, there are many reasons why the photocatalytic degradation rate of ZnO-rGO composite was improved compared with that of ZnO. First, the ZnO nanorods of the synthesized ZnO-rGO composites are lower in diameter but higher in density compared with the pure ZnO, leading to a larger surface area. This will promote the spread and mass transfer of dye and oxygen species in photochemical reactions (Wei et al., 2013). Secondly, as shown in Figure 14, the coverage of rGO nanosheets on the top of ZnO nanorods can receive photo-generated electrons and accelerate charge separation through the charge transfer process. In addition, the generated charge can be quickly transferred, which is beneficial to the degradation of the dye (Kang et al., 2016).



Conclusion

The ZnO-rGO photocatalyst was synthesized on the FTO substrate by a one-step electrochemical deposition method. After the incorporation of rGO into ZnO, the photocatalytic performance of the ZnO-rGO was significantly improved. The degradation of MB dye by ZnO-rGO composites synthesized with different concentrations of GO in electrolyte was also studied. The ZnO-rGO prepared with electrolyte containing 5 mg L⁻¹ GO achieved the best photodegradation efficiency of 99.1% for degrading MB within 120 min. These outcomes indicate that the ZnO-rGO composites could be an excellent candidate material for photodegradation of organic dyes.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

Conceptualization and funding acquisition: HL, Methodology: TL and QW; Formal analysis and investigation: JW and KW; Writing – original draft preparation: SS; Writing – review and editing: SY; Resources: JM; Supervision: ZS.

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Ningxia, China (No. 2022AAC03272), and Key Research and Development Project of Ningxia, China (No. 2020BCE01006).

Conflict of interest

HL, JW was employed by Yinchuan Aini Industrial Technology Development Co., Ltd

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2022.1061129/full#supplementary-material

References

Abdel-Karim, A., El-Naggar, M. E., Radwan, E. K., Mohamed, I. M., Azaam, M., and Kenawy, E. (2021). High-performance mixed-matrix membranes enabled by organically/inorganic modified montmorillonite for the treatment of hazardous textile wastewater. *Chem. Eng. J.* 405, 126964. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2020.126964

Abdelsamad, A. M. A., Gad-Allah, T. A., Mahmoud, F. A., and Badawy, M. I. (2018). Enhanced photocatalytic degradation of textile wastewater using Ag/ZnO thin films. *J. Water Process Eng.* 25, 88–95. doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2018.07.002

Agarwal, V., and Zetterlund, P. (2020). Strategies for reduction of graphene oxide-a comprehensive review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 405, 127018. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2020. 127018

Anandan, S., Ohashi, N., and Miyauchi, M. (2010). ZnO-Based visible-light photocatalyst: Band-gap engineering and multi-electron reduction by co-catalyst. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 100, 502–509. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.08.029

Azarang, M., Shuhaimi, A., Yousefi, R., and Jahromi, S. P. (2015). One-pot sol–gel synthesis of reduced graphene oxide uniformly decorated zinc oxide nanoparticles in starch environment for highly efficient photodegradation of Methylene Blue. *RSC Adv.* 5, 21888–21896. doi:10.1039/c4ra16767h

Chaudhary, D., Simrjit, S., Vankar, V. D., and Khare, N. (2018). ZnO nanoparticles decorated multi-walled carbon nanotubes for enhanced photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical water splitting. *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* A Chem. 351, 154–161. doi:10.1016/j.jphotochem.2017.10.018

Dehghan Nayeri, F., Asl Soleimani, E., and Salehi, F. (2013). Synthesis and characterization of ZnO nanowires grown on different seed layers: The application for dye-sensitized solar cells. *Renew. Energy* 60, 246–255. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2013. 05.006

Erdogan, N. H., Kutlu, T., Sedefoglu, N., and Kavak, H. (2021). Effect of Na doping on microstructures, optical and electrical properties of ZnO thin films grown by sol-gel method. *J. Alloys Compd.* 881, 160554. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2021. 160554

Garg, D., Matai, I., Garg, A., and Sachdev, A. (2020). Tragacanth hydrogel integrated CeO $_2@$ rGO nanocomposite as reusable photocatalysts for organic dye degradation. *ChemistrySelect* 5, 10663–10672. doi:10.1002/slct.202002041

Imran, M., Alam, M. M., Hussain, S., Ali, M. A., Shkir, M., Mohammad, A., et al. (2021). Highly photocatalytic active r-GO/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites development for enhanced photocatalysis application: A facile low-cost preparation and characterization. *Ceram. Int.* 47, 31973–31982. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.08.083

Kang, W., Xu, J., and Wang, X. (2016). The effects of ZnO morphology on photocatalytic efficiency of ZnO/RGO nanocomposites. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 360, 270–275. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.10.190

Kharatzadeh, E., Masharian, S. R., and Yousefi, R. (2021). Comparison of the photocatalytic performance of S-SnSe/GO and SnSe/S-GO nanocomposites for dye photodegradation. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 135, 111127. doi:10.1016/j.materresbull.2020. 111127

Kwon, Y. T., Kang, S. O., Cheon, J. A., Song, Y., Lee, J. J., and Choa, Y. H. (2017). Fabrication of a Graphene/ZnO based p-n junction device and its ultraviolet photoresponse properties. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 415, 2–7. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2016. 10.159

Liras, M., Barawi, M., and de la Peña O'Shea, V. (2019). Hybrid materials based on conjugated polymers and inorganic semiconductors as photocatalysts: From environmental to energy applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48, 5454–5487. doi:10.1039/c9cs00377k

Lonkar, S., Vijayan Pillai, V., and Alhassan, S. (2018). Facile and scalable production of heterostructured ZnS-ZnO/Graphene nano-photocatalysts for environmental remediation. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 13401. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-31539-7

Lu, H., Sha, S. M., Yang, S. L., Wu, J. D., Sheng, Z. L., Hou, C., et al. (2021). The coating and reduction of graphene oxide on meshes with inverse wettability for continuous water/oil separation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 538, 147948. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc. 2020.147948

Luan, Q., Chen, Q., Zheng, J., Guan, R., Fang, Y., and Hu, X. (2020). Construction of 2D-ZnS@ZnO Z-scheme heterostructured nanosheets with a highly ordered ZnO

core and disordered ZnS shell for enhancing photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *ChemNanoMat* 6, 470–479. doi:10.1002/cnma.201900630

Lupan, O., Pauporte, T., Chow, L., Viana, B., Pelle, F., Ono, L. K., et al. (2010). Effects of annealing on properties of ZnO thin films prepared by electrochemical deposition in chloride medium. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 256, 1895–1907. doi:10.1016/j. apsusc.2009.10.032

Mansor, E. S., Ali, H., and Abdel-Karim, A. (2020). Efficient and reusable polyethylene oxide/polyaniline composite membrane for dye adsorption and filtration. *Colloid Interface Sci. Commun.* 39, 100314. doi:10.1016/j.colcom.2020. 100314

Moradi, M., Vasseghian, Y., Khataee, A., Harati, M., and Arfaeinia, H. (2021). Ultrasound-assisted synthesis of FeTiO₃/GO nanocomposite for photocatalytic degradation of phenol under visible light irradiation. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 261, 118274. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118274

Nasir, A. M., Awang, N., Jaafar, J., Ismail, A. F., Othman, M. H. D., Rahman, M. A., et al. (2021). Recent progress on fabrication and application of electrospun nanofibrous photocatalytic membranes for wastewater treatment: A review. *J. Water Process Eng.* 40, 101878. doi:10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101878

Niu, P., Liu, G., and Cheng, H. M. (2012). Nitrogen vacancy-promoted photocatalytic activity of graphitic carbon nitride. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 116, 11013–11018. doi:10.1021/jp301026y

Reimer, T., Paulowicz, I., Roder, R., Kaps, S., Lupan, O., Chemnitz, S., et al. (2014). Single step integration of ZnO nano- and microneedles in Si trenches by novel flame transport approach: Whispering gallery modes and photocatalytic properties. *ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces* 6, 7806–7815. doi:10.1021/am5010877

Ren, Z., Li, X., Guo, L., Wu, J. Z., Li, Y., Liu, W., et al. (2021). Facile synthesis of ZnO/ZnS heterojunction nanoarrays for enhanced piezo-photocatalytic performance. *Mat. Lett.* 292, 129635. doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2021.129635

Roshni, A., and Thambidurai, S. (2022). Enhanced photocatalytic and antibacterial activity of ZnO with rice field crab chitosan and plectranthus amboinicus extract. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 291, 126739. doi:10.1016/j. matchemphys.2022.126739

Umadevi, P., Ramya Devi, K. T., Sridevi, D. V., Suresh, P., and Ramesh, V. (2022). Structural, morphological, optical, photocatalytic activity and bacterial growth inhibition of Nd-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 286, 116018. doi:10.1016/j.mseb.2022.116018

Van Tuan, P., Phuong, T. T., Tan, V. T., Nguyen, S. X., and Khiem, T. N. (2020). *In-situ* hydrothermal fabrication and photocatalytic behavior of ZnO/ reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites with varying graphene oxide concentrations. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 115, 105114. doi:10.1016/j. mssp.2020.105114

Vanitha, M., Keerthi, Vadivel S., and Balasubramanian, N. (2015). Visible light photocatalysis of Methylene blue by graphene-based ZnO and Ag/AgCl nanocomposites. *Desalination Water Treat.* 54, 2748–2756. doi:10.1080/19443994.2014.903207

Wei, A., Xiong, L., Sun, L., Liu, Y., Li, W., Lai, W., et al. (2013). One-step electrochemical synthesis of a graphene–ZnO hybrid for improved photocatalytic activity. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 48, 2855–2860. doi:10.1016/j. materresbull.2013.04.012

Yang, S., Deng, B., Ge, R., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., et al. (2014). Electrodeposition of porous graphene networks on nickel foams as supercapacitor electrodes with high capacitance and remarkable cyclic stability. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 9, 672. doi:10.1186/1556-276x-9-672

Zhao, Y., Liu, L., Cui, T., Tong, G., and Wu, W. (2017). Enhanced photocatalytic properties of ZnO/reduced graphene oxide sheets (rGO) composites with controllable morphology and composition. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 412, 58–68. doi:10. 1016/j.apsusc.2017.03.207

Zhou, X., Shi, T., and Zhou, H. (2012). Hydrothermal preparation of ZnOreduced graphene oxide hybrid with high performance in photocatalytic degradation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 258, 6204–6211. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.02.131