



## A Case Study of the Glycoside Hydrolase Enzyme Mechanism Using an Automated QM-Cluster Model Building Toolkit

Qianyi Cheng \* and Nathan John DeYonker \*

Department of Chemistry, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, United States

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Sam P De Visser, The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

#### Reviewed by:

Reynier Suardiaz, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain Tong Zhu, East China Normal University, China Matthew George Quesne, Cardiff University, United Kingdom

#### \*Correspondence:

Qianyi Cheng qcheng1@memphis.edu Nathan John DeYonker ndyonker@memphis.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 13 January 2022 Accepted: 08 March 2022 Published: 24 March 2022

#### Citation:

Cheng Q and DeYonker NJ (2022) A Case Study of the Glycoside Hydrolase Enzyme Mechanism Using an Automated QM-Cluster Model Building Toolkit. Front. Chem. 10:854318. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2022.854318 Glycoside hydrolase enzymes are important for hydrolyzing the  $\beta$ -1,4 glycosidic bond in polysaccharides for deconstruction of carbohydrates. The two-step retaining reaction mechanism of Glycoside Hydrolase Family 7 (GH7) was explored with different sized QMcluster models built by the Residue Interaction Network ResidUe Selector (RINRUS) software using both the wild-type protein and its E217Q mutant. The first step is the glycosylation, in which the acidic residue 217 donates a proton to the glycosidic oxygen leading to bond cleavage. In the subsequent deglycosylation step, one water molecule migrates into the active site and attacks the anomeric carbon. Residue interaction-based QM-cluster models lead to reliable structural and energetic results for proposed glycoside hydrolase mechanisms. The free energies of activation for glycosylation in the largest QMcluster models were predicted to be 19.5 and 31.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the wild-type protein and its E217Q mutant, which agree with experimental trends that mutation of the acidic residue Glu217 to Gln will slow down the reaction; and are higher in free energy than the deglycosylation transition states (13.8 and 25.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the wild-type protein and its mutant, respectively). For the mutated protein, glycosylation led to a low-energy product. This thermodynamic sink may correspond to the intermediate state which was isolated in the X-ray crystal structure. Hence, the glycosylation is validated to be the rate-limiting step in both the wild-type and mutated enzyme.

Keywords: glycoside hydrolase (GH), QM-cluster models, glycosylation, density functional (DFT), residue interaction network, computational enzymology

## INTRODUCTION

The organic biopolymer cellulose, which acts as a large source of carbohydrates, is found abundantly in the cell wall of green plants (Updegraff, 1969; Klemm et al., 2005). Cellulose consists of hundreds to thousands of  $\beta$ -1,4 linked glucose units, which can be cleaved to form shorter chains by degradation processes. Glycoside hydrolase (GH) enzymes, as one of many enzyme classes that can modify, decompose, and assemble carbohydrates in nature (Cantarel et al., 2009), are responsible for breaking down the glycosidic  $\beta$ -1,4 linkages between C<sub>1</sub>-O<sub>4</sub> *via* a hydrolysis reaction. Two mechanisms have been proposed for the reaction, "retaining" and "inverting" (Koshland, 1953). Utilized by many GH families (Cantarel et al., 2009), the retaining mechanism consists of two steps (Sulzenbacher et al., 1997; Davies et al., 1998; Mackenzie et al., 1998). In step one, a proton will be transferred from an acidic residue to the glycosidic oxygen (O<sub>4</sub>), coupling with a nucleophilic attack

1

of the anomeric carbon of the carbohydrate  $(C_1)$  forming a glycosyl-enzyme intermediate (GEI). In step two, a water molecule attacks the anomeric carbon, breaking the GEI bond and transferring a proton back to the acidic residue. These two steps are also respectively called glycosylation and deglycosylation. In the less-common inverting mechanism, proton transfer causes the cleavage of the glycosidic bond to take place via nucleophilic attack by water (Koshland, 1953). The focus of this study will be exploring the retaining mechanism, which is utilized by many GHs, specifically GH Family 7 (GH7). Note that a protein in the GH7 family can be interchangeably called Cellobiohydrolase 7A (Cel7A), Exocellobiohydrolase I (CBHI), and 1,4-β-cellobiohydrolase, though the recommended name is Exoglucanase 1 to adhere to the Enzyme Commission number EC:3.2.1.91.

GH7 cellobiohydrolases depolymerize single chains from crystalline cellulose and hydrolyze cellobiose units from the reducing end (Divne et al., 1994; Chundawat et al., 2011). GH7 cellobiohydrolases are major components of enzyme cocktails for biodegrading plant material (Martinez et al., 2008) and biofuels processes in industry (Chundawat et al., 2011). Therefore, numerous studies have been carried out focusing on the structures, reaction mechanisms, and energetics of GH7 cellobiohydrolases (Divne et al., 1994; Divne et al., 1998; Bu et al., 2011; Chundawat et al., 2011; Kern et al., 2013; Knott et al., 2014a; Knott et al., 2014b; Vermaas et al., 2015; Knott et al., 2020). The first structure of a cellulase was determined in 1994 from the Trichoderma reesei extracellular cellobiohydrolase I (CBHI, TrCel7A, an anamorph of the fungus Hypocrea jecorina) (Divne et al., 1994). TrCel7A binds 10 glucosyl units (+3 to -7, positive and negative numbers indicating the reducing and nonreducing end of the cellulose chain) (Davies et al., 1997). It was found to catalyze the hydrolysis of the glycosidic  $\beta$ -1,4 linkage specifically (breaking the bond between the +1 and -1 units) in cellulose via a proposed retaining mechanism (Wolfenden et al., 1998). This bond is difficult to hydrolyze without a catalyst, for example the free energy of activation has been measured to be 36.8 ± 2.2 kcal/mol at pH 10.6 and 25°C for  $\beta$ -methylglucopyranoside in potassium acetate, phosphate or carbonate buffer (Wolfenden et al., 1998).

The glycosyl substrate distortion in the hydrolysis of glycosides has been studied extensively (Vocadlo and Davies, 2008; Mayes et al., 2014). The IUPAC conventions for labelling ring conformations are used throughout (Conformational Nomenclature for Five and Six-Membered Ring Forms of Monosaccharides and Their Derivatives. Recommendations 1980, IUPAC-IUB, 1980). Large conformational change of the -1 glycose unit from the half-chair, envelope, or boat-like conformation to the  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  chair conformation is typically observed in the glycosylation step (Vocadlo and Davies, 2008). This was also observed in a recent study by Beckham, Ståhlberg, Withers, Götz, et al. (Knott et al., 2014b), that the -1 glucose unit adopts a  ${}^{4}E$  envelope conformation in the Michaelis complex of the H. jecorina Cel7A (HjeCel7A) E217Q mutated protein and an intact cellononaose chain (PDB: 4C4C) and a  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  chair conformation in the GEI; which was further confirmed in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using the theoretical

model of the wild-type enzyme (PDB: 8CEL, a Michaelis complex of the protein and 10 glucosyl units). The MD simulations suggested that the glucose unit changed from a half-chair ( ${}^{4}H_{5}$ ) in the Michaelis complex to a half-chair ( ${}^{4}H_{3}$ ) at the transition state, then to a stable chair ( ${}^{4}C_{1}$ ) conformation in the glycosylation product state (Knott et al., 2014b). The transition state geometries were also found to be able to adopt the  ${}^{4}H_{3}$  and  ${}^{3}H_{4}$  half-chair and  ${}^{2.5}B$  and  $B_{2,5}$  boat conformations (Cremer and Pople, 1975; Davies et al., 2003; Vocadlo and Davies, 2008; Satoh and Manabe, 2013).

In an early mutation study of TrCel7A, the catalytic activities  $(k_{cat})$  were measured to be 12.8 ± 0.2, 0.15 ± 0.05, 0.035 ± 0.005, and  $0.0063 \pm 0.0005 \text{ min}^{-1}$  at pH 5.7 and 37°C for the wild-type, the D214E, E212Q and E217Q mutated proteins, respectively. These values correspond to a free energy of activation of 19.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the wild-type protein and 23.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the E217Q mutated protein (Ståhlberg et al., 1996), indicating the E217Q mutation slowed down the reaction. An experimental study using high-speed atomic force microscopy found that the rate of processive cellobiose hydrolysis by *Hje*Cel7A on a crystalline cellulose surface was  $7.1 \pm 3.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 30°C (16.3-17.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Igarashi et al., 2011). In a more recent study comparing another GH7 from the fungus Penicillium funiculosum with TrCel7A, the  $k_{cat}$  for the E217Q mutated protein was reported to be  $14.26 \pm 1.05 \text{ min}^{-1}$  at pH = 4 and 55°C (20.2-20.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Taylor et al., 2018). This rate constant is also slower than that of the wild-type protein.

In the study by Beckham, Ståhlberg, Withers, Götz, et al. (Knott et al., 2014b), the mixed quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) and transition path sampling method was applied to study the full retaining catalytic mechanism starting from the Michaelis complex of theoretical model PDB: 8CEL and 10 glucosyl units (+2 to -7, Glc452 to Glc460) at 300 K and 1.0 bar (Knott et al., 2014b). The simulation results indicated that in the glycosylation step, the nucleophile Glu212, which first hydrogen bonds with Asp214, attacked the anomeric carbon C<sub>1</sub> on the -1 position (Glc454) of glucopyranose, breaking the C1-O4 bond. A proton on the acidic residue Glu217 transferred to O<sub>4</sub> on the +1 position (Glc453) of glucopyranose. In the deglycosylation step, one water enters the active site, undergoes nucleophilic attack on the -1 glycosyl unit bonding to the C<sub>1</sub>, breaks the bond between the glucopyranose and Glu212 oxygen, and lastly donates its proton to Glu217. The QM/MM computations predicted that the glycosylation was the rate limiting step with a free energy of activation of  $15.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ , and the intermediate structure was 2.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower in energy than the initial reactant. For deglycosylation, the free energy of activation of the elementary step was 11.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, and the final product was 2.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower in free energy than the initial reactant.

The glycosylation of GH7 (PDB: 8CEL) was later studied by the Wang group using both a pure quantum mechanics (QM) and QM/MM approach (Li et al., 2010). The activation free energy was computed to be 14.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> using a density functional theory (DFT)-based QM-cluster model at the B3LYP/6-31G(d, p) level of theory. The QM-cluster model was very small, only including residues E212, E217, +1 and -1Glc. A higher free energy glycosylation TS (23.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) was found when using QM/MM in the same study (Li et al., 2010). The relatively high QM/MM glycosylation barrier was explained as arising from the catalytic residues frozen in the MM-region, and this indicated that the active site environment has an important impact on the kinetics (Li et al., 2010). In the same study, deglycosylation was examined by adding one water molecule to the QM-cluster model and a much higher free energy of activation, 32.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory, was computed for the glycosylation (Li et al., 2010). The results of Wang and coauthors suggest that the QM-region must be appropriately defined to obtain good agreement between experiment and theory for the GH7 enzyme.

Most early computational studies ignored deglycosylation, instead focusing on the rate-limiting glycosylation step. One full catalytic cycle was explored using a small model QMcluster model (two residues and the substrate) built from the Escherichia coli  $\beta$ -galactosidase-galactopyranoside complex system with various DFT functionals and basis sets and found that the glycosylation activation energies ranged from 21.1 to 28.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Brás et al., 2008). Mutational effects were also studied with QM/MD simulation which predicted the glycosylation barriers to be  $32.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  for wild-type TrCel7A (Yan et al., 2011). The following nucleophilic attack step had barriers of  $0.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  for the elementary step (Yan et al., 2011). The glycosylation free energy barrier was computed to be 17.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in a more recent DFTB/MM study on CBHI (Barnett et al., 2011), and 13.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in a QM/MM metadynamics study on GH27 (Pan et al., 2013). Clearly, kinetics of various enzymes in the GHs family show variability, and the relationship between computational accuracy and partitioning of enzyme QM-regions needs to be explored further.

The workflow of the RINRUS (Residue Interaction Networkbased ResidUe Selector) toolkit provides a standard QM-cluster model construction procedure, with embedded reproducibility for system comparison and benchmarking. The RINRUS software has been developed by our lab to rationally select and rank importance of biological fragments (amino acid residues, cofactors, solvent) for inclusion in QM-cluster models and write input files to set up QM calculations. Ranking of residue importance can be obtained through distance metrics, but also more importantly via qualitative topological features of the residue interaction network (Shannon et al., 2003; del Sol et al., 2006; Csermely, 2008; Vishveshwara et al., 2009; Doncheva et al., 2011; Di Paola et al., 2013) or from firstprinciples interaction energies computed via Symmetry Adapted Perturbation Theory (Summers et al., 2019). RINRUS has been applied to many other enzyme case studies which provided valuable results (DeYonker and Webster, 2013; DeYonker and Webster, 2015; Summers et al., 2018; Cheng and DeYonker, 2020; Summers et al., 2021; Cheng and DeYonker, 2021). It can currently interface with several quantum chemistry packages (such as Gaussian, Q-Chem, PSI4, and xtb). RINRUS is open source, but not yet publicly available. It is available by request from the authors and will be shared with the community once the web-based interface is refined and a peer-reviewed introduction to the software (currently in preparation) is published. Until public release of RINRUS is announced in the literature, a thorough description of its capabilities has been described in our work on calibrating QM-cluster models of catechol-O-methyltransferase (Summers et al., 2021).

In this study, we use RINRUS built QM-cluster models to study the full catalytic cycle of the retaining mechanism of cellulose hydrolysis based on the wild-type protein and its E217Q mutant. The proposed mechanistic detail and energetics will provide more insights for enzyme engineering of effective catalytic modifications.

## **COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

Both a theoretical model of an exoglucanase 1 in complex with a cellulose nanomer (PDB ID: 8CEL) and the X-ray crystal structure of Hypocrea jecorina exoglucanase 1 E217Q in complex with a cellononaose (PDB ID: 4C4C, GH7) were used to construct the models for QM-cluster computations. Hydrogen atoms were added to backbone and side chain heavy atoms of the enzyme using the reduce program (Word et al., 1999b). Hydrogen atoms were also manually added to the cellononaose. The active site histidine residue (His228) was doubly protonated (X-ray crystal data for 4C4C is recorded at pH = 6). Next, the protonated PDB files were processed by probe (Word et al., 1999a) to generate the Residue Interaction Networks (RINs) based on atom contact information. In the RIN of 8CEL, 23 residues (including the Glc452, Glc453, Glc454, Glc455 as the +2, +1, -1, and -2 glucosyl units, 16 residues, and three waters) were identified by RINRUS that interact with the +1 and -1 glucosyl units (named Glc+1 and Glc-1, used as the RINRUS "seed" of our models), and 17 residues among the 23 have a high interaction score (more than 50 contact dot counts). Next, 22 residues (including the Bgc1, Bgc2, Bgc3 and Bgc4 as the +2, +1, -1, -2 glucosyl units, 14 residues, and four waters) were identified in the RIN of 4C4C, among which 17 fragments have a >50 interaction count with the seed. The catalytic triad residues Glu212, Asp214 and Glu217/ GLN217 in 8CEL and 4C4C which were included in the QM region in the study by Beckham, Ståhlberg, Withers, Götz, et al. (Knott et al., 2014b), were all identified by RINRUS as they have high contact dot counts with the -1 or +1 glucosyl units. Glu212 is the nucleophile and is positioned closely to the -1 glucose with a C1(Glc454)-O(Glu212) bond distance of 3.44 Å in 8CEL and 3.40 Å in 4C4C. Glu217 and Asp214 were protonated to be neutral residues similar to the procedure in reference 13, Glu217 is the acidic residue, mutated to a protonated Gln in PDB:4C4C, that donates a proton to the +1 glucosyl unit, while Asp214 hydrogen bonds to Glu212 to support the catalytic reaction. All three residues exhibit multiple conformations in the X-ray crystal structure. In Conformation A of the PDB file, Gln217 is oriented towards the glycosidic bond (similar to Glu217 in 8CEL), therefore all the QM-cluster models were built based on this conformation.

Considering the glycosylation cleavage of the  $O_4$ - $C_1$  bond connecting the -1 and +1 glucosyl units, only the  $O_4$  atom in the

	Residue name		Res16-E	Res21-E	Res16-Q	Res20-Q	Res21-Q	Res23-C
	# of Atoms		227	305	228	275	297	312
		Charge	0	0	0	-1	0	0
1	Ala143	0	_	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	_	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$
	Leu144	0	_	$C_{\alpha}$	_	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$
2	Tyr145	0	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
3	Asp173	-1	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
4	Ser174	0	_	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	_	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$
5	Gln175	0	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
6	Glu212	-1	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
7	Asp214	0	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
8	Glu/Gln217	0/+1	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
9	Thr226	0		$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	_ ,	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$
10	His228	+1	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
11	Thr246	0	_ `	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	_ `	_ `	_ `	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$
12	Arg251	+1	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	_	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$
	Asp257	-1		$C_{\alpha}$	_ ,	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$
13	Pro258	0	_	$C_{\alpha}$	_	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$
14	Asp259	0	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$
	Gly260	0	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{lpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$	$C_{\alpha}$
15	Trp367	0	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
16	Trp376	0	$C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}$					
17	Glc+1	0	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	Glc-1	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
19	HOH1	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
20	HOH2	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
21	НОНЗ	0	_	Х	_	Х	Х	Х
22	HOH4	0	_	_	_	Х	Х	Х
23	HOH5	0	_	_	_	_	_	Х

TABLE 1 | Residue and trimming information for the various QM-cluster models built in this study.

"C<sub>α</sub>" indicates frozen alpha-carbon atoms for that residue, "C<sub>α</sub>C<sub>β</sub>" indicates frozen alpha- and beta-carbons for that residue, "X" indicates the specified non-amino acid residue fragment is included in the model. Residues ID#s written in italics were not identified in the RIN as interacting with the seed, but were added as bridging residues connecting two discrete residues.



glucosyl -2 unit was kept and protonated as a hydroxyl group in the model. The +2 unit was completely removed, and one hydrogen atom was added to the O<sub>4</sub> atom of the -1 glucosyl

unit. Hence, QM-cluster models of 16 residues (227 atoms, 12  $C_{\alpha}$ and 10  $C_{\beta}$  atoms were kept frozen, named Res16-E) and 21 residues (the "maximal model" where all residues with nonzero contact dot counts with seed are included, 305 atoms, 19  $C_{\alpha}$  and 14  $C_{\beta}$  atoms were kept frozen, named **Res21-E**) based on the 8CEL RIN were constructed. Frozen atoms in the QMcluster models are provided in Table 1. A model from the same 16 residue set using PDB:4C4C was built with 228 atoms (same set of frozen atoms, named Res16-Q) and a "maximal model" that included all 20 residues identified by the RIN as having interactions with the seed (275 atoms,  $14 C_{\alpha}$  and nine  $C_{\beta}$ atoms were kept frozen, named Res20-Q). Residues Thr246 and Arg251 were included in the RIN of 8CEL but not 4C4C. In order to have some comparable analysis, two larger models were built by adding these residues to the 4C4C-based models (named Res21-Q which includes Arg251, and Res23-Q which includes Thr246, Arg251, and one additional water). The aligned Res21-E and Res23-Q 3D models are shown in Figure 1. The 2D structure of the Res21-E and Res23-Q model along with frozen atom information are shown in Figures 2A,B. The atomic labeling for the glycosyl units is shown in Figures 2C,D.

All quantum mechanical cluster model computations were performed using the Gaussian16 program (Frisch et al., 2016). Density functional theory (DFT) with the hybrid B3LYP exchange-correlation functional (Lee et al., 1988; Becke, 1993)



was employed with the 6-31G(d') basis set for N, O, and S atoms (Hariharan and Pople, 1973; Petersson and Al-Laham, 1991; Foresman and Frisch, 1996), and the 6-31G basis sets for C and H atoms (Hehre et al., 1972). QM-cluster models incorporated the Grimme D3 (Becke-Johnson) dispersion correction (GD3BJ) (Grimme et al., 2010; Grimme et al., 2011) and implicit solvation *via* the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) (Barone and Cossi, 1998; Cossi et al., 2003) using universal force field (UFF) atomic radii, a non-default electrostatic scaling factor of 1.2, and the default parameters for water with an attenuated dielectric constant of

 $\epsilon$  = 4. This dielectric constant value has been previously determined as appropriate for simulating the less-polarized environment within an enzyme active site (Siegbahn and Blomberg, 2000; Blomberg et al., 2014). Unscaled harmonic vibrational frequency calculations were used to identify all stationary points as either minima (no imaginary frequencies) or transition states (TSs, only one imaginary frequency). TSs were located first for each elementary step of the proposed mechanism; the reactants and products were then located by following the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) (Fukui, 1970; Fukui, 1981). It is important to note that our group uses the "freeze code" scheme





in Gaussian16, where all Hessian elements are zero when involving frozen internal coordinates. The phenomenon where several small magnitude imaginary vibrational frequencies appear in the thermochemical analysis does not arise in our treatment of the Hessian matrix. Zero-point energies (ZPE) and thermal enthalpy/free energy corrections were computed at 1 atm and 310 K.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The labeling scheme **ResV-W(X)-YZ** is used for the QM-cluster model illustrating the reaction mechanism, where  $\mathbf{V} = \#$  of residues in the model (16, 20, 21 or 23);  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{E}$  for residue E217 in the wild-type protein (8CEL) or Q for residue Q217 in the mutated protein (4C4C);  $\mathbf{X}$  indicates when multiple



FIGURE 5 | Conformational change of the glycosyl unit Glc-1 in the glycosylation reaction from (A) <sup>4</sup>E in R1 to (B) <sup>4</sup>H<sub>3</sub> in TS1 to (C) <sup>4</sup>C<sub>1</sub> in P1.



conformations (**A**, **B** or **C**) are explored and reported; **Y** = R (reactant), TS (transition state), Int (intermediate state), or P (product); **Z** = 1 indicates glycosylation, **Z** = 2 indicates deglycosylation. For example, **Res16-EA-R1** refers to the optimized 16-residue glycosylation reactant with residue E217 (8CEL) in conformation A, which is the lowest energy reactant. The optimized structures (xyz-coordinates) of all the reactants, transition states, and products are in the SI (xyzfiles.zip).

#### Step 1. Glycosylation

The representative reactants, transition states (TS), and products of the glycosylation reaction step are shown in **Figures 3**, **4**, which use the maximal model **Res21-E** (3D and 2D representation in **Figure 3**) and **Res23-Q** (3D and 2D representation in **Figure 4**) generated from the wild-type protein theoretical model (8CEL) and its E212Q mutant X-ray crystal structure (4C4C), respectively. The reactant is a Michaelis complex and the glycosidic bond (O<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>1</sub>) between Glc+1 and Glc-1 is intact. Glu217/Gln217 is protonated, and the proton is hydrogen bonding with O<sub>4</sub> of Glc+1. In the TS, the proton of Glu217/Gln217 is transferred between the O4 of Glc+1 and sidechain oxygen atom of Glu217 or nitrogen atom of Glu217. While the glycosidic bond is elongated and the Glc-1 ring distorts from a  ${}^{4}E$  envelope conformation in the reactant (R1) to a  ${}^{4}H_{3}$  half chair conformation (in TS1), the anomeric C1 shifts close to Glu212 (Figure 5). Sidechain rotations are observed in Glu212, Asp214 and Glu217/Gln217 as well as sidechain shifts in the aromatic residues Tyr145 and Trp367 close to Glc-1 and Trp376 above Glc+1. In the product, the glycosidic bond is broken as the proton is transferred to the glycosidic O<sub>4</sub>, while C<sub>1</sub> migrates closer to Glu212 and the Glc-1 glycosyl ring distorts to a  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  chair-like conformation (in P1). In this step, the Glc+1 glycosyl ring migrates away from Glc-1 without large conformational change. The geometric parameters for the optimized reactants, TSs, and products for different models can be found in supporting information Supplementary Table S1.

The free energy diagram for glycosylation is shown in **Figure 6**. The free energies of activation using **Res16-E** and **Res21-E** models are computed to be 15.5 and 19.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly to the earlier computational study using the QM/



MM+potential of mean force free energy sampling (15.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), (Knott et al., 2014b), our free energy of activation in glycosylation using the smaller model is close to the experimentally measured kinetics. The result using the larger model is in excellent agreement with the activation free energy  $(19.11-19.13 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$  derived from the experimentally measured rate constants  $[k_{cat} = 12.8(\pm 0.2) \text{ min}^{-1}]$  at 37°C (Ståhlberg et al., 1996). Our two models of 8CEL lead to glycosylation products that are 10.8 and 7.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in free energy than their corresponding reactants. Using the QMcluster models based on the E217Q mutated 4C4C X-ray crystal structure, the activation free energies of glycosylation are computed to be 28.2, 34.6, and 30.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for Res16-Q, **Res20-Q**, and **Res21-Q**, respectively; and  $31.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  using the maximal RINRUS model **Res23-Q**, which is  $7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher than the free energy (22.68–22.85 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) converted from the experimentally measured rate constants  $[k_{cat} = 3.5(\pm 0.5)]$  $\times 10^{-2}$  min<sup>-1</sup>] (Ståhlberg et al., 1996). Addition of a water molecule plus Thr246 and Arg251 residues causes the Glc+1 ring to shift less from Glc-1 ( $C_1$ - $O_4$  bond length is 2.31 Å in Res23-Q-TS1 versus 2.91 Å in Res20-Q-TS1). All models of the E217Q mutated protein led to glycosylation products that are higher in free energy compared to their corresponding reactant. Clearly, the E217Q mutation is much less kinetically or thermodynamically favored for glycosylation. This nicely corresponds to the hypothesis that the E217Q should destabilize the glycosylation transition state.

The predicted free energy of activation for the glycosylation step of the mutated protein is concerningly higher than the experimentally measured kinetics. However, our QM-cluster model kinetics are still more qualitatively reasonable than some previous Cel7A QM/MM-based models. Disagreement between experiment and theory for the E217Q glycosylation activation free energy may be rationalized by frozen atoms exacerbating the already poor proton donating ability of the Gln217 residue. Alternatively, we may not have exhaustively explored glycosyl ring distortions that may provide further transition state stabilization. Lastly, the somewhat coarse level of electronic structure theory used in our QM-cluster models will always cause some deviation from experimental kinetic and thermodynamic values.

#### Step 2. Deglycosylation

One explicit water molecule is added to the active site models for the deglycosylation path, so that the deglycosylation reactant can be connected to the glycosylation product. The representative reactants, transition states (TS), and products of the deglycosylation reaction step are shown in Figures 7, 8, which use models Res21-E (3D and 2D representation in Figure 7) and Res23-Q (3D and 2D representation in Figure 8). In the reactant (R2), the water positions its oxygen close to the  $C_1$  of Glc-1, but opposite to Glu212. While one of the hydrogen atoms is close to Glu217-O/ Gln217-N, the other hydrogen bonds to the glycosidic O<sub>4</sub> of Glc+1 and pushes the Glc+1 further away from Glc-1 compared to the glycosylation product. In the TS2, Glc-1 shifts slightly towards the water but further away from Glu212, while the water shifts slightly coupled with sidechain rotation in Glu217/ Gln217. A proton transfer from the new water molecule couples with the water nucleophilic attack C<sub>1</sub> of Glc-1 in the TS2. The proton from the water transfers to Glu217-O/Gln217-N, and the OH group bonds to C<sub>1</sub> of Glc-1, leading to the glycosyl unit migrating further away from Glu212. The glycosyl unit Glc-1 again undergoes a large conformational change from  ${}^{4}C_{1}$  to  ${}^{4}H_{3}$ to  ${}^{4}E$  (Figure 9 from R2 to TS2 to P2), which is opposite from the glycosylation process. Most of the residues of the reactant,





TS, and product in deglycosylation are structurally unperturbed, while large geometric changes are seen in Trp376 and Trp367, which are near the glycosyl units, and Tyr145, which is close to the Glc–1 glycosyl unit. The geometric parameters for the optimized reactants, TSs, and products of deglycosylation for different QM-cluster models can be found in **Supplementary Table S2**.

The free energy diagram of deglycosylation is also shown in **Figure 6** and **Supplementary Table S3**. The deglycosylation reactant (R2) is lower in energy than the glycosylation reactant in **Res16-E** model, but higher than the glycosylation reactant in other models. The deglycosylation free energies of activation using the **Res16-E** and **Res21-E** models are computed to be 23.9 and 13.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (14.1 and 1.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for this elementary step) and the corresponding products are found to be 3.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher and 0.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower in free energy than the glycosylation reactant (R1). The free energies of activation for deglycosylation of the mutated models **Res16-QA**, **Res20-QA**, **Res21-QA** and **Res23-QA** are 1.5, 1.7, 0.7, and 1.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for

the elementary step, but much higher in overall free energy of activation (34.9, 30.0, 25.5 and 31.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). Similar to **Res21**-**EA** model, whose deglycosylation reactant is 5.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than the glycosylation product plus one water, all E217Q mutated models have deglycosylation reactants 20.9, 4.9, 6.4, and 11.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in free energy than glycosylation products plus water. Comparing both maximal models, the larger energy difference between the glycosylation product and deglycosylation reactant seen in the mutated model **Res23-QA** may explain the observation of a GEI in the X-ray crystal structure (PDB 4C4C).

Overall, in the RINRUS models of the wild-type protein, the glycosylation transition state is found to be the rate limiting step in our proposed mechanism. While the deglycosylation step in the mutated enzyme has a very high free energy of activation and is competitive with glycosylation, it is still slightly lower in free energy than the TS of the glycosylation step. The glycosylation of the mutant is slower than the wild-type enzyme, which agrees well with experimental observation.



# Alternative Reactant Conformations of the Glycosylation and Deglycosylation Steps

For the glycosylation step, using the RINRUS-derived models (Res16-E and Res21-E) of the theoretical protein 8CEL enabled us to explore different conformations. Conformational differences (Supplementary Figure S1) are seen in the sidechains of the aromatic residues Tyr145, His228, Trp367, and Trp376 which surround the glycosyl units, Asp214 which hydrogen bonds to the important residue Glu212, and Asp173 and water molecules which form hydrogen bonds with the glycosyl units. The Glu217 sidechain is also quite flexible and can adopt multiple conformations in our QM-cluster models. The free energy diagram of glycosylation using different conformers is shown in Figure 10. Note that the Res16-EA and Res21-EA models discussed here are shown in Figure 6 and previously discussed. Both Res16-EB-R1 and Res21-EB-R1 are slightly higher in energy than Res16-EA-R1 and Res21-EA-R1 but lead to a higher energy TS1, which indicates that the glycosylation will be unlikely to take place via conformation **B**. The free energy of activation for models **Res16-EC** is slightly higher than that of **Res16-EA**, and reactant **Res16-EC-R1** is 6.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher in free energy than Res16-EA-R1, which indicates that the glycosylation reaction will be more likely to occur via conformation A. While for the maximal model, even though **Res21-EC-R1** is 4.3 kcal  $mol^{-1}$  higher in energy than **Res21**-EA-R1, the Res21-EC model has a lower free glycosylation activation energy than that of Res21-EA. Hence, the

deglycosylation was examined and a much higher energy TS was located which indicates that reaction will be unlikely to take place *via* conformation **C**.

Three conformations of models Res20-Q and Res21-Q based on the mutated protein are found in the glycosylation step and the free energy diagram is shown in Figure 11. The glycosylation reactants in the three conformations have different Trp367 and Trp376 sidechain orientations (Supplementary Figures S2B,C); and the reactants (R1) in conformation B and C are less than 4.5 kcal  $mol^{-1}$  higher in free energy than the reactants in conformation A. However, the activation free energies of glycosylation of conformation **B** (28.2 and 26.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for Res20-QB and Res21-QB) are lower than those in conformation A, but corresponding free energies of activation for deglycosylation were computed to be 40.5 and 43.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for Res20-QB and Res21-QB, respectively, which indicates that even if conformation B of the mutated protein is more kinetically favorable for glycosylation, the free energies of activation for deglycosylation are too high to be catalytically viable. These large energy differences in the two steps could be caused by one water shifting to a position close to Glc+1, which blocked Glc+1 from moving away from Glc-1. This water reorientation makes it difficult for the additional water to attack the anomeric carbon. In both Res20-QC and Res21-QC models, the free energies of TS1s are competitive compared to conformer A, but Res20-QC-R1 and **Res21-QC-R1** are 1.7 and 0.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than **Res20**-QA-R1 and Res21-QA-R1 and the glycosylation products (P1) of both conformer C are higher in energy than those of conformer







A, which may lead to a similar deglycosylation reactant in conformer A.

Multiple conformations of **Res16-Q** and **Res23-Q** are located for both glycosylation and deglycosylation. The free energy diagrams of both models are shown in Figure 12. The reactants, TS, and products in glycosylation step are very similar in Res16-QA and Res16-QB models; only small differences are seen in Trp367, Trp376 and waters. Both

conformers have very high energy deglycosylation TSs, indicating that large QM-cluster models are needed to study the reactions of GHs. Three conformations of the maximal model are examined. Like **Res20-Q** and **Res21-Q**, the TSs in the three additional conformations have almost the same free energy, even though the reactants of **Res23-QB** and **Res23-QC** are 6.4 and 3.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than **Res23-QA-R1**. For conformer **B** the deglycosylation has a very high energy TS2, indicating the reaction is unlikely to take place *via* this conformation. The product of conformer **C** is also higher in free energy than that of conformer **A**. Overall, conformation **A** is the most energetically favored.

## CONCLUSION

Cellulose hydrolysis by the cellobiohydrolase 7A (also known as CBHI) enzyme via a two-step retaining process is elucidated by two QM-cluster models built on the wild-type theoretical protein structure PDB:8CEL and four models built on the X-ray crystal structure PDB:4C4C in which Glu217 is mutated to Gln. In the glycosylation step, a proton from residue 217 is transferred to the glycosyl unit coupled with the glycosidic bond cleavage. Large conformational change is observed in the Glc-1 unit in both the wild-type and mutated enzyme models. The catalytic trio Glu212, Asp214 and Glu217/Gln217 are important for the reaction. Mutation of Glu to Gln leads to a weaker proton donor that increases the free energy of activation in both glycosylation and deglycosylation. These three residues are also quite flexible as multiple sidechain orientations are seen in the X-ray crystal structure as well as in the multiple pathways proposed in our study. In the deglycosylation step, one explicit water molecule is added to the models and the free energy of activation is lower than those in the glycosylation step in the wild-type protein, indicating glycosylation is the rate-limiting step. The deglycosylation step of the mutated protein model becomes more competitive kinetically. Large QM-cluster models (Res21-EA, Res20-QA, Res21-QA, and Res23-QA) lead to a low energy deglycosylation product, hence the full catalytic cycle is thermodynamically favored.

The RINs based on 8CEL and 4C4C are slightly different. However, the fringe residues Arg251 and Thr246, which have fewer interaction counts with the glycosyl units are found to have minor effect on the kinetics of the proposed mechanisms. The free energy of activation of glycosylation is only lowered by 1.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> when adding Arg251 to **Res20-QA** (to form Res21-QA); the glycosylation activation free energy is lowered by 3.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> when adding Thr246 and one water to Res21-QA (to form Res23-QA). All RINRUS-built models provide free energies of activation for the glycosylation step which are in good agreement with experimental measurements of  $k_{cat}$ values for the wild-type protein and its E217Q mutant. Enzymatic reaction studies based on the residue interaction network and QM-cluster models automatically generated by RINRUS can provide good structural and energetic results for better understanding of enzyme reaction mechanisms. The

RINRUS workflow is inherently reproducible, which will be greatly beneficial to the computational enzymology community.

This is the first time that RINRUS has been used to explore thermodynamic and kinetic changes of an enzymatic reaction based on point mutation, and RINRUS provides results which are reasonably in good agreement with experimental observation and would be useful to apply to study other metal-free enzyme systems. Based on this study along with our previous QMcluster studies of different enzymatic reaction, large QMcluster models with typical 200–300 atoms provide better results than smaller sized models. RINRUS-built QM-cluster models should more accurately predict thermodynamic and kinetic results if conformational sampling is included, which may be necessary when glycosyl units are present in the active site.

## **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Qianyi Cheng – Department of Chemistry, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, 38152. Nathan J. DeYonker – Department of Chemistry, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, 38152.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Materials**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

QC and ND: conceptualization, methodology, data analysis, visualization, writing; QC: investigation; ND: administration, funding acquisition.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CAREER) BIO-1846408 and DOE BES SBIR DE-SC0021568. The High-Performance Computing Center and the Computational Research on Materials Institute at The University of Memphis (CROMIUM) also provided generous resources for this research. The authors also thank Gregg Beckham, Heather Mayes, and Brandon Knott (NREL) for assistance.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2022.854318/full#supplementary-material

## REFERENCES

- Barnett, C. B., Wilkinson, K. A., and Naidoo, K. J. (2011). Molecular Details from Computational Reaction Dynamics for the Cellobiohydrolase I Glycosylation Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 19474–19482. doi:10.1021/ja206842j
- Barone, V., and Cossi, M. (1998). Quantum Calculation of Molecular Energies and Energy Gradients in Solution by a Conductor Solvent Model. J. Phys. Chem. A. 102, 1995–2001. doi:10.1021/jp9716997
- Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648–5652. doi:10.1063/1.464913
- Blomberg, M. R. A., Borowski, T., Himo, F., Liao, R.-Z., and Siegbahn, P. E. M. (2014). Quantum Chemical Studies of Mechanisms for Metalloenzymes. *Chem. Rev.* 114, 3601–3658. doi:10.1021/cr400388t
- Brás, N. F., Moura-Tamames, S. A., Fernandes, P. A., and Ramos, M. J. (2008). Mechanistic Studies on the Formation of Glycosidase-Substrate and Glycosidase-Inhibitor Covalent Intermediates. *J. Comput. Chem.* 29, 2565–2574. doi:10.1002/jcc.21013
- Bu, L., Beckham, G. T., Shirts, M. R., Nimlos, M. R., Adney, W. S., Himmel, M. E., et al. (2011). Probing Carbohydrate Product Expulsion from a Processive Cellulase with Multiple Absolute Binding Free Energy Methods. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 18161–18169. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.212076
- Cantarel, B. L., Coutinho, P. M., Rancurel, C., Bernard, T., Lombard, V., and Henrissat, B. (2009). The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes Database (CAZy): an Expert Resource for Glycogenomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D233–D238. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkn663
- Cheng, Q., and DeYonker, N. J. (2020). Acylation and Deacylation Mechanism and Kinetics of Penicillin G Reaction with Streptomyces R61 DD -peptidase. J. Comput. Chem. 41, 1685–1697. doi:10.1002/jcc.26210
- Cheng, Q., and DeYonker, N. J. (2021). QM-cluster Model Study of the Guaiacol Hydrogen Atom Transfer and Oxygen Rebound with Cytochrome P450 Enzyme GcoA. J. Phys. Chem. B 125, 3296–3306. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb. 0c10761
- Chundawat, S. P. S., Beckham, G. T., Himmel, M. E., and Dale, B. E. (2011). Deconstruction of Lignocellulosic Biomass to Fuels and Chemicals. Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2, 121–145. doi:10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-061010-114205
- Cossi, M., Rega, N., Scalmani, G., and Barone, V. (2003). Energies, Structures, and Electronic Properties of Molecules in Solution with the C-PCM Solvation Model. J. Comput. Chem. 24, 669–681. doi:10.1002/jcc.10189
- Cremer, D., and Pople, J. A. (1975). General Definition of Ring Puckering Coordinates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354–1358. doi:10.1021/ja00839a011
- Csermely, P. (2008). Creative Elements: Network-Based Predictions of Active Centres in Proteins and Cellular and Social Networks. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 33, 569–576. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2008.09.006
- Davies, G. J., Wilson, K. S., and Henrissat, B. (1997). Nomenclature for Sugar-Binding Subsites in Glycosyl Hydrolases. *Biochem. J.* 321, 557–559. doi:10.1042/ bj3210557
- Davies, G. J., Mackenzie, L., Varrot, A., Dauter, M., Brzozowski, A. M., Schülein, M., et al. (1998). Snapshots along an Enzymatic Reaction Coordinate: Analysis of a Retaining β-Glycoside Hydrolase, *Biochemistry* 37, 11707–11713. doi:10.1021/bi981315i
- Davies, G. J., Ducros, V. M.-A., Varrot, A., and Zechel, D. L. (2003). Mapping the Conformational Itinerary of β-glycosidases by X-ray Crystallography. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 31, 523–527. doi:10.1042/bst0310523
- del Sol, A., Fujihashi, H., Amoros, D., and Nussinov, R. (2006). Residue Centrality, Functionally Important Residues, and Active Site Shape: Analysis of Enzyme and Non-enzyme Families. *Protein Sci.* 15, 2120–2128. doi:10.1110/ps. 062249106
- DeYonker, N. J., and Webster, C. E. (2013). Phosphoryl Transfers of the Phospholipase D Superfamily: A Quantum Mechanical Theoretical Study. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 13764–13774. doi:10.1021/ja4042753
- DeYonker, N. J., and Webster, C. E. (2015). A Theoretical Study of Phosphoryl Transfers of Tyrosyl-DNA Phosphodiesterase I (Tdp1) and the Possibility of a "Dead-End" Phosphohistidine Intermediate. *Biochemistry* 54, 4236–4247. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00396
- Di Paola, L., De Ruvo, M., Paci, P., Santoni, D., and Giuliani, A. (2013). Protein Contact Networks: An Emerging Paradigm in Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 1598–1613. doi:10.1021/cr3002356

- Divne, C., Ståhlberg, J., Reinikainen, T., Ruohonen, L., Pettersson, G., Knowles, J. K. C., et al. (1994). The Three-Dimensional Crystal Structure of the Catalytic Core of Cellobiohydrolase I from Trichoderma Reesei. *Science* 265, 524–528. doi:10.1126/science.8036495
- Divne, C., Ståhlberg, J., Teeri, T. T., and Jones, T. A. (1998). High-resolution crystal Structures Reveal How a Cellulose Chain Is Bound in the 50 Å Long Tunnel of Cellobiohydrolase I from Trichoderma Reesei 1 1Edited by K. Nagai. J. Mol. Biol. 275, 309–325. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1997.1437
- Doncheva, N. T., Klein, K., Domingues, F. S., and Albrecht, M. (2011). Analyzing and Visualizing Residue Networks of Protein Structures. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 36, 179–182. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2011.01.002
- Foresman, J. B., and Frisch, Æ. (1996). Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods. 2nd ed., 266. Pittsburgh: PA Gaussian Inc., 278–283.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., et al. (2016). *Gaussian 16 Revision B.01*. Wallingford, CT: Gaussian Inc.
- Fukui, K. (1970). Formulation of the Reaction Coordinate. J. Phys. Chem. 74, 4161-4163. doi:10.1021/j100717a029
- Fukui, K. (1981). The Path of Chemical Reactions the IRC Approach. Acc. Chem. Res. 14, 363–368. doi:10.1021/ar00072a001
- Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S., and Krieg, H. (2010). A Consistent and Accurate Ab Initio Parametrization of Density Functional Dispersion Correction (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 132, 154104. doi:10.1063/1.3382344
- Grimme, S., Ehrlich, S., and Goerigk, L. (2011). Effect of the Damping Function in Dispersion Corrected Density Functional Theory. J. Comput. Chem. 32, 1456–1465. doi:10.1002/jcc.21759
- Hariharan, P. C., and Pople, J. A. (1973). The Influence of Polarization Functions on Molecular Orbital Hydrogenation Energies. *Theoret. Chim. Acta* 28, 213–222. doi:10.1007/BF00533485
- Hehre, W. J., Ditchfield, R., and Pople, J. A. (1972). Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XII. Further Extensions of Gaussian-type Basis Sets for Use in Molecular Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 56, 2257–2261. doi:10.1063/1.1677527
- Igarashi, K., Uchihashi, T., Koivula, A., Wada, M., Kimura, S., Okamoto, T., et al. (2011). Traffic Jams Reduce Hydrolytic Efficiency of Cellulase on Cellulose Surface. Science 333, 1279–1282. doi:10.1126/science.1208386
- IUPAC-IUB (1980). Conformational Nomenclature for Five and Six-Membered Ring Forms of Monosaccharides and Their Derivatives. Recommendations 1980. Eur. J. Biochem. 111, 295–298. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1980.tb04941.x
- Kern, M., McGeehan, J. E., Streeter, S. D., Martin, R. N. A., Besser, K., Elias, L., et al. (2013). Structural Characterization of a Unique marine Animal Family 7 Cellobiohydrolase Suggests a Mechanism of Cellulase Salt Tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 10189–10194. doi:10.1073/pnas.1301502110
- Klemm, D., Heublein, B., Fink, H.-P., and Bohn, A. (2005). Cellulose: Fascinating Biopolymer and Sustainable Raw Material. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 3358–3393. doi:10.1002/anie.200460587
- Knott, B. C., Crowley, M. F., Himmel, M. E., Ståhlberg, J., and Beckham, G. T. (2014a). Carbohydrate-Protein Interactions that Drive Processive Polysaccharide Translocation in Enzymes Revealed from a Computational Study of Cellobiohydrolase Processivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 8810–8819. doi:10.1021/ja504074g
- Knott, B. C., Haddad Momeni, M., Crowley, M. F., Mackenzie, L. F., Götz, A. W., Sandgren, M., et al. (2014b). The Mechanism of Cellulose Hydrolysis by a Two-step, Retaining Cellobiohydrolase Elucidated by Structural and Transition Path Sampling Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 321–329. doi:10.1021/ja410291u
- Knott, B. C., Erickson, E., Allen, M. D., Gado, J. E., Graham, R., Kearns, F. L., et al. (2020). Characterization and Engineering of a Two-Enzyme System for Plastics Depolymerization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 117, 25476–25485. doi:10.1073/ pnas.2006753117
- Koshland, D. E. (1953). Stereochemistry and the Mechanism of Enzymatic Reactions. Biol. Rev. 28, 416–436. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1953.tb01386.x
- Lee, C., Yang, W., and Parr, R. G. (1988). Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 785–789. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785
- Li, J., Du, L., and Wang, L. (2010). Glycosidic-Bond Hydrolysis Mechanism Catalyzed by Cellulase Cel7A from Trichoderma Reesei: A Comprehensive

Theoretical Study by Performing MD, QM, and QM/MM Calculations. J. Phys. Chem. B 114, 15261–15268. doi:10.1021/jp1064177

- Mackenzie, L. F., Sulzenbacher, G., Divne, C., Jones, T. A., Wöldike, H. F., Schülein, M., et al. (1998). Crystal Structure of the Family 7 Endoglucanase I (Cel7B) from Humicola Insolens at 2.2 Å Resolution and Identification of the Catalytic Nucleophile by Trapping of the Covalent Glycosyl-Enzyme Intermediate. *Biochem. J.* 335, 409–416. doi:10.1042/bj3350409
- Martinez, D., Berka, R. M., Henrissat, B., Saloheimo, M., Arvas, M., Baker, S. E., et al. (2008). Genome Sequencing and Analysis of the Biomass-Degrading Fungus Trichoderma Reesei (Syn. Hypocrea Jecorina). *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 553–560. doi:10.1038/nbt1403
- Mayes, H. B., Broadbelt, L. J., and Beckham, G. T. (2014). How Sugars Pucker: Electronic Structure Calculations Map the Kinetic Landscape of Five Biologically Paramount Monosaccharides and Their Implications for Enzymatic Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 1008–1022. doi:10.1021/ja410264d
- Pan, X.-L., Liu, W., and Liu, J.-Y. (2013). Mechanism of the Glycosylation Step Catalyzed by Human α-Galactosidase: A QM/MM Metadynamics Study. J. Phys. Chem. B 117, 484–489. doi:10.1021/jp308747c
- Petersson, G. A., and Al-Laham, M. A. (1991). A Complete Basis Set Model Chemistry. II. Open-shell Systems and the Total Energies of the First-row Atoms. J. Chem. Phys. 94, 6081–6090. doi:10.1063/1.460447
- Satoh, H., and Manabe, S. (2013). Design of Chemical Glycosyl Donors: Does Changing Ring Conformation Influence Selectivity/reactivity? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 4297. doi:10.1039/c3cs35457a
- Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., et al. (2003). Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* 13, 2498–2504. doi:10. 1101/gr.1239303
- Siegbahn, P. E. M., and Blomberg, M. R. A. (2000). Transition-Metal Systems in Biochemistry Studied by High-Accuracy Quantum Chemical Methods. *Chem. Rev.* 100, 421–438. doi:10.1021/cr980390w
- Ståhlberg, J., Divne, C., Koivula, A., Piens, K., Claeyssens, M., Teeri, T. T., et al. (1996). Activity Studies and Crystal Structures of Catalytically Deficient Mutants of Cellobiohydrolase I fromTrichoderma Reesei. J. Mol. Biol. 264, 337–349. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1996.0644
- Sulzenbacher, G., Schülein, M., and Davies, G. J. (1997). Structure of the Endoglucanase I from Fusarium Oxysporum: Native, Cellobiose, and 3,4-Epoxybutyl  $\beta$ -d-Cellobioside-Inhibited Forms, at 2.3 Å Resolution. Biochemistry 36, 5902–5911. doi:10.1021/BI962963+
- Summers, T. J., Cheng, Q., and DeYonker, N. J. (2018). A Transition State "trapped"? QM-Cluster Models of Engineered Threonyl-tRNA Synthetase. Org. Biomol. Chem. 16, 4090–4100. doi:10.1039/C8OB00540K
- Summers, T. J., Daniel, B. P., Cheng, Q., and DeYonker, N. J. (2019). Quantifying Inter-residue Contacts through Interaction Energies. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 59, 5034–5044. doi:10.1021/acs.jcim.9b00804
- Summers, T. J., Cheng, Q., Palma, M. A., Pham, D.-T., Kelso, D. K., Webster, C. E., et al. (2021). Cheminformatic Quantum Mechanical Enzyme Model Design: A

Catechol-O-Methyltransferase Case Study. Biophysical J. 120, 3577–3587. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2021.07.029

- Taylor, L. E., Knott, B. C., Baker, J. O., Alahuhta, P. M., Hobdey, S. E., Linger, J. G., et al. (2018). Engineering Enhanced Cellobiohydrolase Activity. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1186. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03501-8
- Updegraff, D. M. (1969). Semimicro Determination of Cellulose Inbiological Materials. Anal. Biochem. 32, 420–424. doi:10.1016/S0003-2697(69)80009-6
- Vermaas, J. V., Crowley, M. F., Beckham, G. T., and Payne, C. M. (2015). Effects of Lytic Polysaccharide Monooxygenase Oxidation on Cellulose Structure and Binding of Oxidized Cellulose Oligomers to Cellulases. J. Phys. Chem. B 119, 6129–6143. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b00778
- Vishveshwara, S., Ghosh, A., and Hansia, P. (2009). Intra and Inter-molecular Communications through Protein Structure Network. *Cpps* 10, 146–160. doi:10.2174/138920309787847590
- Vocadlo, D. J., and Davies, G. J. (2008). Mechanistic Insights into Glycosidase Chemistry. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 12, 539–555. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2008. 05.010
- Wolfenden, R., Lu, X., and Young, G. (1998). Spontaneous Hydrolysis of Glycosides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 6814–6815. doi:10.1021/ja9813055
- Word, J. M., Lovell, S. C., LaBean, T. H., Taylor, H. C., Zalis, M. E., Presley, B. K., et al. (1999a). Visualizing and Quantifying Molecular Goodness-Of-Fit: Small-Probe Contact Dots with Explicit Hydrogen Atoms 1 1Edited by J. Thornton. *J. Mol. Biol.* 285, 1711–1733. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1998.2400
- Word, J. M., Lovell, S. C., Richardson, J. S., and Richardson, D. C. (1999b). Asparagine and Glutamine: Using Hydrogen Atom Contacts in the Choice of Side-Chain Amide Orientation 1 1Edited by J. Thornton. J. Mol. Biol. 285, 1735–1747. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1998.2401
- Yan, S., Li, T., and Yao, L. (2011). Mutational Effects on the Catalytic Mechanism of Cellobiohydrolase I from Trichoderma Reesei. J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 4982–4989. doi:10.1021/jp200384m

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Cheng and DeYonker. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.