Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mi Wang, Michigan Medicine, University of Michigan, United States

REVIEWED BY

Benedict-Tilman Berger, Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany Xie Zhouling, Hefei University of Technology, China Jun Wan, Genentech Inc., United States Bing Feng, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, United States Jianli Zhang, SOURCE, Johns Hopkins University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Shuang-Xi Gu, is shuangxigu@163.com Mingliang Wang, is wangmingliang@simm.ac.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

RECEIVED 14 November 2022 ACCEPTED 06 January 2023 PUBLISHED 17 January 2023

CITATION

Jiang H, Xiong H, Gu S-X and Wang M (2023), E3 ligase ligand optimization of Clinical PROTACs. *Front. Chem.* 11:1098331. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2023.1098331

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Jiang, Xiong, Gu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

E3 ligase ligand optimization of Clinical PROTACs

Hanrui Jiang^{1.2†}, Huan Xiong^{2.3†}, Shuang-Xi Gu^{1*} and Mingliang Wang^{2.3*}

¹Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Process of Ministry of Education, School of Chemical Engineering *θ* Pharmacy, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Zhongshan Institute for Drug Discovery, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Zhongshan, China, ³Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China

Proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs) technology can realize the development of drugs for non-druggable targets that are difficult to achieve with traditional small molecules, and therefore has attracted extensive attention from both academia and industry. Up to now, there are more than 600 known E3 ubiquitin ligases with different structures and functions, but only a few have developed corresponding E3 ubiquitin ligase ligands, and the ligands used to design PROTAC molecules are limited to a few types such as VHL (Von-Hippel-Lindau), CRBN (Cereblon), MDM2 (Mouse Doubleminute 2 homolog), IAP (Inhibitor of apoptosis proteins), etc. Most of the PROTAC molecules that have entered clinical trials were developed based on CRBN ligands, and only DT2216 was based on VHL ligand. Obviously, the structural optimization of E3 ubiquitin ligase ligands plays an instrumental role in PROTAC technology from bench to bedside. In this review, we review the structure optimization process of E3 ubiquitin ligase ligands currently entering clinical trials on PROTAC molecules, summarize some characteristics of these ligands in terms of druggability, and provide some preliminary insights into their structural optimization. We hope that this review will help medicinal chemists to develop more druggable molecules into clinical studies and to realize the greater therapeutic potential of PROTAC technology.

KEYWORDS

PROTACs, E3 ubiquitin ligase ligand, structure optimization, clinical trials, CRBN

1 Introduction

The degradation of most damaged and soluble misfolded proteins is achieved by the 26S proteasome through ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS)-mediated protein degradation (Paiva et al., 2019; Pohl et al., 2019; Kawahata et al., 2020). In the UPS, the proteasome conjugated to a protein substrate through enzymatic cascade (Hershko et al., 1983; Hershko et al., 1992; Komander et al., 2012). First, E1 (Ub activating enzyme) binds Ub (ubiquitin) *via* an ATP-dependent mechanism and then transfers Ub to E2 (Ubconjugating enzyme) by forming an E2 ubiquitin conjugate (Schulman et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2009). Next, the E3 (Ub ligase) mediates the transfer of the ubiquitin from E2 to the substrate protein, followed by 26S proteasome-induced degradation or post-translational modification of the substrate protein (Zheng et al., 2017). E3 ligase mediates the specificity of protein substrates through a non-covalent mechanism, and the type of E3 ligase determines the outcome of the substrate protein. For instance, TRAF6 (tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6) interacts with YAP (Yes-associated protein) and promotes its ubiquitination to enhance YAP stability (Liu et al., 2020). c-Cbl (Casitas B lymphoma) binds to the intracytoplasmic tail of PD-1 and targets it for ubiquitination-proteasomal degradation

Company	Degrader	Target	E3 ligase	ROA	Highest phase	Clinical trial no. (if applicable)			
Arvinas	ARV-110	AR	CRBN	Oral	Phase II	NCT03888612			
Arvinas	ARV-766	AR	Undisclosed	Oral	Phase I	NCT05067140			
Arvinas/Pfizer	ARV-471	ER	CRBN	Oral	Phase II	NCT04072952			
Accutar Biotech	AC682	ER	CRBN	Oral	Phase I	NCT05080842			
Bristol Myers Squibb	CC-94676	AR	CRBN	Oral	Phase I	NCT04428788			
Dialectic Therapeutics	DT2216	BCL-XL	VHL	I.v	Phase I	NCT04428788			
Foghorn Therapeutics	FHD-609	BRD9	Undisclosed	Oral	Phase I	NCT04965753			
Kymera	KT-413	IRAK4	CRBN	I.v	Phase I	NA			
Kymera	KT-333	STAT3	Undisclosed	Undisclosed	Phase I	NA			
Kymera/Sanofi	KT-474	IRAK4	Undisclosed	Oral	Phase I	NCT04772885			
Nurix Therapeutics	NX-2127	ВТК	CRBN	Oral	Phase I	NCT04830137			
Nurix Therapeutics	NX-5948	ВТК	CRBN	Oral	Phase I	NCT04830137			
C4 Therapeutics	CFT8634	BRD9	CRBN	Oral	IND- e	NA			
C4 Therapeutics	CFT8919	EGFR ^{L858R}	CRBN	Oral	IND- e	NA			
Cullgen	CG001419	TRK	CRBN	Oral	IND- e	NA			

TABLE 1 PROTAC degraders in clinical development.

NA, not applicable.



in macrophages, resulting in downregulation of PD-1 and reduced surface expression leading to increased tumor phagocytosis and tumor suppression (Lyle et al., 2019).

Proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs) is based on proteasomes (Schapira et al., 2019). These bifunctional molecules consist of three parts: An E3-recruiting ligand, a POI (protein of

interest) targeting warhead, and a linker connecting the two ligands (Sun et al., 2019). PROTAC degraders mediate their own formation of POI-PROTAC-E3 complexes with substrate proteins and E3 ubiquitin ligases, which lead to the degradation of the substrate protein *via* UPS (Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). There are many target-based POI warheads and linkers available for the design and optimization of PROTAC degraders for medicinal chemists, but only a few E3 ubiquitin ligases ligands have been developed (Sun et al., 2019).

Arvinas is the first company to clinically implement two PROTAC degraders, the androgen receptor (AR) degrader **ARV-110** and the estrogen receptor (ER) degrader **ARV-471** (Mullard, 2019). The safety and effectiveness of **ARV-110** has been demonstrated in the treatment of metastatic castrated prostate cancer (mCRPC) (Neklesa et al., 2018; Neklesa et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2022), and **ARV-471** also has shown great potential in the treatment of breast cancer (Snyder et al., 2021). Since **ARV-110** and **ARV-471** entered clinic trials, an increasing number of protein targets have emerged to develop clinical degraders of PROTACs, such as BRD9 and IRAK4 (Table 1), while antitumor is currently the most concentrated field of research for PROTACs, except for KYMERA's degrader **KT-474**, which is the only clinical degrader for autoimmune-disease (Békés et al., 2022). These PROTACs degraders are based on different E3 ligands, but mainly on CRBN-based ligands.

The first PROTAC was discovered in 2001 by Craig Crews, founder of Arvinas (Sakamoto et al., 2001) (Figure 1). This compound consists of a covalent small molecule inhibitor of MetAP-2, and I κ B α -phosphopeptide, enabling the ligase to ubiquitylate METAP2. As the first attempt to explore PROTACs, this compound exposed the poor cell permeability prevented it from being widely used, and the structure of phosphopeptides in this type of PROTAC is easily hydrolyzed by intracellular phosphatase, which reduces its stability. Therefore, the desired small molecule E3 ligase ligand, must have good membrane permeability, be stable *in vitro* environment and have strong affinity to E3 ubiquitin ligase, on the basis of which PROTACs will have stronger druggability.

In the past 2 decades, various E3 ligase ligands based on different functions have been reported. Such as MDM2 ligand (Honda et al., 1997; Schneekloth et al., 2008; Saadatzadeh et al., 2017), cIAP ligands (Sato et al., 2008; Itoh et al., 2011; Ohoka et al., 2017), VHL ligand (Schneekloth et al., 2004; Rodriguez-Gonzalez et al., 2008), CRBN ligand (Winter et al., 2015), AhR (Aryl hydrocarbon receptor) ligand (Ohtake et al., 2007; Ohoka et al., 2019), DCAF (DDB1- And CUL4-Associated Factor) 11 and 15 and 16 ligands (Han et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021), RNF (RING finger protein) 4 and 114 ligands (Kumar et al., 2019; Ward et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2021), FEM1B (Fem-1 Homolog B) ligand (Henning et al., 2022), KEAP1 (Kelch Like ECH Associated Protein 1) ligand (Tong et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2021; Pei et al., 2022) (Figure 1). They were developed based on the function of different E3 ligases thus have different properties. For instance, VHL is the substrate receptor of CRL2VHL E3 ubiquitin ligase.

The Pro564 residue of HIF-1 α (Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 α) is hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylase (also the hydroxyl group in the VHL ligands), bound to VHL proteins and subsequently ubiquitinated by CRL2VHL E3 (Ivan et al., 2001; Schneekloth et al., 2004). HIF-1 α protects cells during hypoxia, and VHL-based PROTAC shows good degradation activity in most cases, and VHL ligands even reduce side effects in some cases (Jaakkola et al., 2001). However, in subsequent studies, the poor membrane permeability and low oral delivery rate of VHL ligands limited their application. The E3 ubiquitin ligase ligands are like a toolbox for PROTACs, and the appropriate "tool" is selected based on the different properties of E3 ligands for the purpose of the researchers.

In this review, we will summarize the experience of small molecule PROTACs currently entering clinical trials in optimizing E3 ligase ligands for degraders from the perspective of chemical structure. It is expected to shed light on the optimization of E3 ligase ligands for degraders of PROTACs in the future.

2 E3 ligase ligands optimization of PROTACs for clinical application

2.1 E3 ligase ligands optimization of AR PROTACs

Annually, more than 350,000 deaths are associated with prostate cancer, making the disease one of the leading causes of cancer-related death in men, and the androgen receptor (AR) is believed to drive hormone dependency of prostate cancer (Rebello et al., 2021). Key AR gene alterations contribute to castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (de Bono et al., 2020). Both enzalutamide and abiraterone have shown good results as AR antagonists in the treatment of prostate cancer. However, the drug resistance is inevitable when mutations occur in the ligand-binding domain (Teo et al., 2019). Therefore, the development of AR degraders based on PROTACs technology has become a new strategy (Pan et al., 2007).

The earlier AR PROTAC was designed using enzalutamide as the AR antagonist and VHL-b ligands as E3 ligase ligand. In 2019, Wang et al. selected Ari-16 as the antagonist of the degrader by screening various VHL-based E3 ligase ligands (compounds 16-24) to build different AR degraders (Kregel et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020) (Figure 2). During E3 ligase ligand optimization process, they found that the (S)-methyl group in VHL-b exposed to the solvent environment could serve as a possible tethering point for the design of AR degraders (Han et al., 2019). Subsequently, they reported that ARD-61 is an AR degrader with DC₅₀ (concentration that resulted in a 50% targeted protein degradation) values of 7.2 nM and 1.0 nM in LNCaP and VCaP cells, respectively. Apparently, the large molecular weight of the VHL ligand makes ARD-61 too large (MW = 1095.8) for its degradation activity to be significant. In their following work, the E3 ligase ligand part of ARD-61 was replaced with a VHL ligand and the optimized degrader ARD-69 showed AR DC50 values of 0.86 nM and 0.76 nM in LNCaP and VCaP cells, respectively. However, the molecular weight of ARD-69 was still too large as an AR degrader. Subsequently, they shortened the linker length and modified the VHL ligand to decrease its molecular weight. Thus, led to degrader ARD-266 with similar degradation activity, the AR DC_{50} values were 0.5 nM and 1.0 nM in LNCaP and VCaP cells, respectively (Han et al., 2019). The poor membrane permeability and low oral availability of VHL ligands led to its eventual replacement by CRBN ligands which is also used in Bristol Myers Squibb's ER clinical degrader CC-94676. On the basis of the above three molecules, they discovered that ARD-2128 showed the same degradation activity as ARD-61 (MW = 820.4), but its molecular weight was significantly reduced and its bioavailability in mice reached 67% (Han et al., 2021). Finally, on the basis of CRBN ligand, they re-optimized the linker and the antagonist and disclosed ARD-2585, which has 51% oral bioavailability in mice and with AR



 DC_{50} of 0.10 nM and 0.04 nM in LNCaP and VCaP cells, respectively (Xiang et al., 2021).

In the pharmaceutical industry, AR degraders have also received the attention of Arvinas, whose CRBN-based AR degrader ARV-110 (Figure 2) is currently in phase II clinical trials (Snyder et al., 2021). Arvinas has also performed many optimizations for AR degradation. First of all, compounds 31 and 32 showed good degradation abilities in vitro, but also with a high clearance rate in vivo. Subsequently, they disclosed that bi-functional compound 33 showed strong degradation activity in vitro, however, poor activity in vivo, perhaps due to the metabolism of 33. The structure of compound 34 was optimized on the basis of compound 33 with improved activity in vivo, but it was dose dependent and needed further optimization. Finally, the H at position 3 of the benzene ring of the CRBN ligand of 34 was replaced with fluorine to obtain degrader ARV-110 which improved the druggability. ARV-110 was highly efficient in VCaP cells with a DC50 value with 1 nM and Dmax (maximal levels of protein degradation) of 85% (Snyder et al., 2021). Above all, the E3 ubiquitin ligand functions as the promoter of the degradation process, and optimizing the E3 ligand and modifying its molecular structure is expected to improve the activity and oral delivery rate of the degraders.

2.2 E3 ligase ligands optimization of $\text{ER}\alpha$ PROTACs

The importance of estrogen regulates (ER) for female breast cancer is similar to AR for male prostate cancer. ER targets are associated with 70%–80% of breast cancer profiles, and become the primary therapeutic target for this disease (Ohoka et al., 2018; Waks et al., 2019; Criscitiello et al., 2022). ER α is a member of the nuclear receptor family and plays a crucial role in mediating the estrogen signaling pathway within the mammary glands and female reproductive tract (Arnal et al., 2017). In contrast to the high expression of ER α in breast tumors, the ER α expression is low in



normal breast epithelium cells (Huang et al., 2014). ERa knockout experiments in rats demonstrated that ERa plays an important role in promoting the formation of breast cancer cells in the mammary gland (Zhang et al., 2011). In addition to ERa knockout, PROTAC can also reduce the expression levels of $ER\alpha$ in breast. Wang et al. focused not only on AR degraders but also on ERa degraders. In their initial studies of ERa degradation, they singled out raloxifene as ERa-binding ligand and CRBN and VHL as E3 ligase ligands, respectively. Interestingly, the VHL-based PROTACs were shown to induce significant degradation of the target protein, whereas no obvious protein degradation was observed for CRBN-based molecules. Therefore, they synthesized a series of PROTACs based on raloxifene and VH032 (Figure 3). Among them, ERD-56 showed significant degradation properties of ERa protein at 100 nM, and also showed good anti-proliferative activity in MCF-7 and T47D cells with IC₅₀ (half maximal inhibitory concentration) of 39.9 nM and 77.8 nM (Gonzalez et al., 2020). In order to improve the potency of ERD-56, they optimized the linker and ERD-308 showed the best efficacy with DC₅₀ of 0.17 nM (Hu et al., 2019). Interestingly, the ER protein degraders reported above were all designed based on VH032 as an E3 ligase ligand. Due to the poor druggability of VH032 itself, the druggability of ER protein degraders developed based on it was also generally poor. Different from the above studies, Arvinas has also been working on the development of ERa degraders based on CRBN. First, they designed ERa degraders based on raloxifene and lenalidomide, and found that degrader 37 (Figure 3) showed good degradation activity. Based on 37, they optimized the linker and $ER\alpha$ ligands to obtain the degrader 38 targeting GSPT1. Then they modified the structure of raloxifene to obtain degrader 39, due to the poor druggability of the long-chain linker, they decided to use the same linker that existed in ARV-110 to obtain degrader 40. From the activity screening



experiment, it was found that the chiral degrader **ARV-471** had better degradation activity than **40**. The ER α degradation activity DC₅₀ in MCF-7 cells was 1.8 nM and thus **ARV-471** became another clinical degrader of Arvinas (Snyder et al., 2021). Hengrui Medicine further optimized CRBN E3 ligase ligand and improve the degradation activity of ER degraders, and found compound **41** with DC₅₀ value of 0.41 nM in MCF-7 cells (Yang et al., 2021). Accutar Biotech undisclosed their structure of ER clinical degrader (AC682) (NCT05080842), in their research they found that the compound **42** with DC₅₀ value of 0.3 nM in MCF-7 cells (Fan et al., 2021). In summary, the optimization of E3 ubiquitin ligase ligand is the key to improve the oral availability and potency of PROTACs.

2.3 E3 ligase ligands optimization of BTK PROTACs

Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) is highly expressed in various of lymphoma cells and plays an essential role in B-cell receptor (BCR) signal and B cell activation (Davis et al., 2010). Since the ATP binding

site of BTK is highly conserved, how to achieve kinase selectivity of BTK inhibitors becomes the key issue. Ibrutinib is the first approved BTK covalent inhibitor with high selectivity, strong activity and good oral bioavailability (Pan et al., 2007). The acrylamide warhead of ibrutinib forms a covalent bond with the sulfhydryl group of the cysteine residue 481 in BTK, which is irreversible and thus permanently inactivates BTK kinase with an IC50 value of 0.5 nM after 2 h (Pan et al., 2007). However, the C481S BTK mutation (cysteine to serine mutation at position 481) prevents the formation of the critical covalent bond with ibrutinib, leading to drug resistance (Woyach et al., 2014). In order to overcome this challenge, in 2018, Rao et al. applied the PROTAC technology for ibrutinib-resistant BTK degradation and reported P13I (Figure 4) which is an ibrutinib and pomalidomide-linked degrader with DC50 value of 9.2 nM for wild-type and DC₅₀ value of 30 nM for ibrutinibresistant C481S BTK in Mino cells (Sun et al., 2018). While ibrutinib has difficulty inhibiting the autophosphorylation of C481S mutant BTK, $\mathbf{P13I}$ is effective at low concentrations. For HBL-1 cells expressing the C481S mutant BTK, the GI₅₀ (50% growth inhibitory concentration) of P13I was about 28 nM compared to about 700 nM for ibrutinib, a 20-fold decrease in potency. In



addition, **P13I** showed no effect on ITK, EGFR and TEC family kinases that cause side effects. Subsequently, in order to improve the aqueous solubility of **P13I** for both *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluations, Rao et al. further optimized the E3 ligase ligand of **P13I** with lenalidomide and to obtain a new degrader **L18I** (Sievers et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2019). **L18I** exhibited good solubility in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and inhibit C481S BTK in DLBCL tumors growth *in vivo*. These efforts suggest that PROTACs may provide a new treatment strategy for ibrutinib-resistant tumors.

Unlike the usually used ibrutinib-based BTK PROTACs (In this section we only discuss the reversible non-covalent BTK PROTACs), Crews et al. reported another non-covalent analog of ibrutinib, and developed a novel CRBN-recruiting BTK PROTAC, MT-802 (Figure 4), which induced the efficient degradation of both wild-type ($DC_{50} = 14.6 \text{ nM}$) and C481S mutation (DC₅₀ = 14.9 nM) BTK (Buhimschi et al., 2018). Meanwhile, they found that the degradation efficiency of VHLrecruited BTK PROTAC degrader SJF678 was significantly weaker than that of CRBN-recruited BTK PROTACs. They then developed a BTK degrader 49 based on the E3 ligand of ARV-110 with DC₅₀ value less than 10 nM treated in RAMOS cell lines for 6 h. Nurix Therapeutics discovered two BTK degraders, NX-2127 and NX-5948, currently in Phase I clinical trials and their structures have not been disclosed. In recent years, they have disclosed oral PROTAC degraders 50 and 51 with good activities both in vitro and in vivo, as well as oral bioavailability (Robbins et al., 2020; Sands et al., 2020). The druggability of BTK degraders was significantly improved after modifying the linker to make it more rigid and reducing the molecular weight of the E3 ligand.

2.4 E3 ligase ligands optimization of IRAK4 PROTACs

Interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 4 (IRAK4) is a serine/ threonine kinase that not only performs phosphorylation but also

functions as a scaffold role in Toll-like receptor (TLR) and interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1R) signaling pathways (Brzezinska et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2013; Vollmer et al., 2017). As a promising therapeutic target for diffusing large B-cell lymphoma driven by the MYD88 L265P mutant, the IRAK4 target receives significant attention. While Previous inhibitors had only moderate effects on IRAK4 target because they inhibited kinase function but had no effect on scaffold function. Unlike traditional small molecule inhibitors, which only inhibit kinase activity, PROTACs for protein degradation may offer a solution to block both IRAK4 kinase activity and scaffold capabilities. In 2019, Anderson et al. selected PF-06650833 as IRAK4 inhibitor and synthesized a series of compounds based on VHL, CRBN, and IAP ligands. Among them, only the degrader 53 (Figure 5) based on VHL showed degradation activity of IRAK, with the DC50 value of 151 nM in PBMC cell (Nunes et al., 2019). In 2020, Dai et al. designed and synthesized a series of CRBN-based IRAK4 degraders and compound 55 showed DC50 value of 190 nM in vitro (Zhang et al., 2020). However, its degradation activity may be weaker than that of inhibitors 54. It may be due to the weak affinity between the inhibitor and the target protein, and replacing the E3 ligand will not obtain the desired potency.

Kymera has two IRAK4 degraders, KT-474 and KT-413 in phase I clinical trial while their structures are undisclosed (Kymera, 2022). From their published patent (WO 2020/113233 Al), they obtained a series of IRAK4 degraders based on CRBN in combination with different IRAK4 inhibitors, most of which such as compound **56** (Figure 5) showed more than 50% degradation of IRAK4 at 0.01 nM in PMBC cells (Kargbo, 2019) (e.g., compound **57**). Subsequently, the E3 ligase ligand part of **56** was replaced with the CRBN ligand, and the linker-optimized degrader **57** also showed DC₅₀ less than 0.01 nM in PMBC cells (Mainolfi et al., 2020). Recently, they disclosed the structure of compound **58** which is also based on CRBN ligand and showed excellent *in vitro* and *in vivo* activity, as well as oral bioavailability (Gollob et al., 2022).



2.5 E3 ligase ligands optimization of TRK PROTACs

The tropomyosin receptor kinase (TRK) receptor family comprises three members: TRKA, TRKB, and TRKC that are encoded by the NTRK1, NTRK2, and NTRK3 genes, respectively, which plays an important role in regulating cell differentiation, proliferation, pain, and survival (Pulciani et al., 1982; Martin-Zanca et al., 1986; Klein et al., 1989). TRKs are tyrosine kinases receptors and their main implication is the development and function of neuronal tissues (Kargbo, 2020). Although the targeted treatment of TRK1 and TRK2 shows an overall good safety profile in the clinic trials, this strategy could also be improved because currently available pan-TRK kinase inhibitors may induce off-target adverse effects by modulating TRK family members present in the CNS. Currently, moderate off-target adverse effects have been observed, such as dizziness/ataxia, paresthesia, and weight gain (Drilon, 2019). Non-specific side effects and drug resistance to TRK kinase inhibitors remain great challenges for effective treatment (Kargbo, 2020). In contrast, PROTACs technology keep the target protein in the periphery without penetrating the blood-brain barrier, thus avoid the side effects of off-targeting to the CNS.

In 2020, Cullgen et al. selected **GNF-8625** (Figure 6) as TRK inhibitor and linked with CRBN to obtain a series of TRK degraders and **CG428** proved to be the most promising degrader. It demonstrated that **CG428** can induce the degradation of wild-type TRKA in HEL cells with DC₅₀ value of 1.26 nM and also inhibit cell growth with IC₅₀ value of 2.9 nM (Chen et al., 2020). They then changed the connect position of pomalidomide with linker and obtained compound **CPD-143** with an increased activity which the cell growth IC₅₀ was 0.9 nM (Kargbo, 2020). It can be seen that when optimizing PROTACs, once the POI and E3 ligands were identified, changing the linker position on the E3 ligase ligand could be considered to improve the potency of PROTACs.

2.6 E3 ligase ligands optimization of BRD9 PROTACs

The BRD9 (bromodomain-containing protein 9) has gained special attention as a component of the human ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling BAF (BRG1/BRM-associated factor) complex (also known as mammalian SWI/SNF (SWItch Sucrose Non-Fermentable)) (Kadoch et al., 2013; Theodoulou et al., 2016). Studies have shown that BRD9 is preferentially used by cancers harboring SMARCB1 abnormalities, such as malignant rhabdoid tumors and several specific types of sarcomas (Kim et al., 2014). BRD9-containing complexes bind to active promoters and enhancers where they contribute to gene expression (Gatchalian et al., 2018). Loss of BRD9 leads to changes in gene expression related to apoptosis regulation, translation and development regulation. BRD9 is essential for the proliferation of SMARCB1-deficient cancer cell lines and is therefore a therapeutic target for these lethal cancers, and it is also a key target for causing acute myeloid leukemia (Hohmann et al., 2016; Michel et al., 2018). Despite the early discovery of BRD9 inhibitors, there is limited understanding of the function of BRD9 beyond acetyl lysine recognition based on early chemical probes.

Therefore, Bradner et al. designed the first BRD9 degrader **63** in 2017 to provide a tool compound (Figure 7) (Remillard et al., 2017). Compound **63** was designed by using **BI-7273** as inhibitor of BRD9 and CRBN ligand pomalidomide as E3 ligase ligand, it turned out to be valuable for exploring the biological and therapeutic potential of degrading BRD9. C4 Therapeutics (C4T) started with **64** and optimized both BRD9 inhibitors and E3 ligands and finally obtained the tool degrader **65** with DC₅₀ value of 5 nM.

Compound **66** was optimized based on the structure of compound **65** with fewer hydrogen bond donors and its bioavailability (F %) in mice was increased to 100%, thus improving the druggability of the degrader. Subsequently, they made minor modifications of the POI and linker of compound **65**, more importantly, for the E3 ligase ligand part, they replaced the F to trifluoromethyl group of the pomalidomide to obtain the degrader **CFT8634** with high oral bioavailability (Jackson et al., 2022). Finally, Food and drug





Administration (FDA) has granted orphan drug designation (ODD) to **CFT8634** (Figure 7) for the treatment of soft tissue sarcoma which is an orally bioavailable, selective degrader of BRD9 ($DC_{50} = 3 \text{ nM}$)

(Sabnis, 2021). In summary, the optimization process from 64 to CFT8634 indicates that the dramatic change in their E3 ligands fraction improves their treatment potential.



2.7 E3 ligase ligands optimization of EGFR L858R PROTACs

Several epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been developed and approved by the FDA for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer, but their efficacy may be compromised by drug resistance in EGFR-mutant variants (Sharma et al., 2007; Hirsch et al., 2017). Activating mutations, mainly in-frame deletions in exon 19 and L858R mutations, the former occurring in the α C-helix domain and the latter in the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding domain of the EGFR kinase. The EGFR L858R variant leads to poor prognosis and high incidence of malignant pleural effusion in non-small cell lung cancer, and the current small molecule drugs are only

moderately effective against this variant (Kohno et al., 2021; Matsui et al., 2021). The development of EGFR L858R degraders based on PROTACs technology has become a new strategy. In 2020, Jin et al. designed and synthesized EGFR degraders with gefitinib and VHL or CRBN-recruited E3 ligands. The DC₅₀ values of VHL-based degrader **68** (Figure 8) were 5.0 nM in HCC-827 cells and 3.3 nM in H3255 cells. The DC₅₀ values of compound **69** which was based on CRBN ligand were 11 nM and 25 nM, respectively. In addition, they also showed good plasma exposure in mice.

C4T discovered the CRBN-based EGFR L858R degrader **70** (Figure 8) with an IC_{50} (BaF3 EGFR T790M/L858R/C797S degradation) value of 12 nM (Duplessis et al., 2019). After the optimization of the linker and the CRBN ligand, compounds **71** and **72** were subsequently synthesized, both showed a DC_{50} value



TABLE 2 Summary of the chemical properties of E3 ligase ligands in clinical trials.

E3 ligase ligand	E3 ligase	MW	ROA	tPSA	cLog P
	CRBN	244.08	Oral	66.48	0.305
	CRBN	276.05	Oral	83.55	0.747
F NH	CRBN	222.08	Oral	58.2	1.184
	VHL	486.23	Lv	111.1	2.343

Red spot: Linking site.

of 18 nM in H1975 cells. Based on the structure of 72, compound 73 was further identified, which improved the $\rm DC_{50}$ value to 10 nM in H1975 cells. C4T replaced the lenalidomide ligand with a new CRBN-

based derivative ligand, resulted in a better activity of compound 73 than compound 70 on the basis of structural optimization (Nasveschuk et al., 2021).

2.8 E3 ligase ligands optimization of BCL-X $_{\rm L}$ PROTACs

BCL-X_L belongs to the anti-apoptotic BCL-2 protein family and plays a key role in determining cell life and death by regulating the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (Czabotar et al., 2014). The anti-apoptotic function of BCL-X_L protects cancer cells and induces drug resistance, which also promotes tumor progression (Igney et al., 2002). Inhibition of BCL-X_L has been of great interest as a potential cancer therapeutic strategy. However, traditional BCL-X_L inhibitors, such as **ABT263** (Figure 9), exhibit targeted and dose-limited platelet toxicity (Zhang et al., 2019). Since the tissue distribution studies of VHL and CRBN have shown that its expression in platelets is minimal, degraders of BCL-X_L could be developed through PROTAC technology.

In 2019, Zheng et al. selected **ABT263** (Figure 9) as BCL-X_L inhibitor connected with CRBN to obtain the degrader **PZ15227** with DC₅₀ value of 46 nM and Dmax of 96.2% in WI38 non-senescent cells (NCs) (He et al., 2020). Compared with **ABT263**, **PZ15227** showed reduced toxicity to platelets but remained toxicity against senescent cells because CRBN was less expressed in platelets. Subsequently, they found that CRBN-based PROTACs were highly potent against other cancer cell lines, but less potent in MOLT-4 cells, possibly due to the low expression of CRBN (Zhang et al., 2020).

In 2021, Zheng *et al.* discovered **DT2216** (NCT04886622) as an effective BCL-X_L degrader based on VHL E3 ligase with a DC₅₀ value of 63 nM and *D*max of 90.8% in MOLT-4 cells. Compared with **ABT263** (EC₅₀ = 0.191 μ M, half max effective concentration) and **DT2216** (EC₅₀ = 0.052 μ M), the latter showed increased cytotoxicity to MOLT-4 cells. More importantly, **DT2216** exerted almost no effect on the viability of platelets up to a concentration of 3 μ M which showed better effect than **PZ15227**. **DT2216** was found to have enhanced efficacy against a variety of BCL-X_L-dependent leukemia cell lines and exhibited much less toxic to platelets than **ABT263** (Khan et al., 2019). Therefore, **DT2216** was approved by FDA to enter phase I clinical trials for the treatment of advanced liquid and solid tumors. These findings demonstrated the potential of using PROTAC to reduce the toxicity of targeted drugs.

2.9 E3 ligase ligands optimization of STAT3 PROTACs

In mammalian cells, the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) is an essential component of the seven members of the STAT family (STAT1, 2, 3, 4, 5a, 5b, 6). STAT3 is widely expressed in a variety of cells and tissues and activates the expression of downstream genes in response to various cytokines, growth factors and other signals (Yu et al., 2009). Under normal physiological conditions, STAT3 activation is rapid and transient, mainly due to the presence of negative regulators in cells. However, STAT3 is continuously activated and expressed at high levels in tumor cells. Overexpression of STAT3 is strongly associated with cancer cell survival, proliferation, invasion, metastasis, drug resistance, and immune evasion, among other related genes. Since STAT3 dysregulation contributes to many human cancers and other human diseases (Wang et al., 2018). Inhibition or downregulation of STAT3 expression has become a main strategy for cancer therapy. However, to date, no drugs based on STAT3 targets have been approved in the market. Wang et al. designed several STAT3 degraders based on SI-109 (Figure 10) and lenalidomide, and discovered that degrader SD-36 exhibited good degradation activity (Zhou et al., 2019). In the subsequent studies, they converted the difluoro methylene group of SD-36 to a ketone group to obtain degrader SD-91 with improved potency both in vitro and in vivo (Zhou et al., 2021). Kymera Therapeutics chose the similar inhibitor, SI-109 (Figure 10), but changed the linker attachment site and synthesized a series of degraders based on VHL ligand or CRBN ligand derivatives (Yang et al., 2022). From their disclosed data, the degradation activity of VHL-based degraders was generally better than that of CRBN-based derivatives. However, their recent patent selected CRBN-based ligands for further optimization may due to the large molecular of VHL ligand. It is hypothesized that reducing the molecular weight and the hydrogen bond receptors of E3 ligands may be the trend for PROTACs to be more druggable.

3 Conclusions and perspectives

Since PROTAC technology was first identified as a clinical therapeutic strategy, both academia and industry have shown great interest in PROTACs technology. However, PROTACs degraders have relatively more complex chemical structures and biological mechanisms than traditional small molecule drugs, which require efforts in the fields of organic synthetic chemistry and medicinal chemistry.

Although PROTACs technology has shown many advantages over traditional small molecule drugs for antitumor therapy, unlike traditional small molecule drugs, they are mostly regarded as "beyond rule-of-five". PROTACs molecules have more hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and larger molecular weights, mostly around 1,000 Da. Therefore, the poor membrane permeability and low bioavailability of PROTACs molecules limit its clinical application. In the process of optimizing E3 ligase ligands by medicinal chemists, it is important to ensure a high affinity between the E3 ligase ligands and E3 ubiquitin ligases. The excessive molecular weight of E3 ligands leads to poor membrane permeability. Based on the suitable molecular weight size of CRBN ligand, ARV-110 and CFT8634 were rationally designed. In addition, they both introduced F in the aromatic ring of CRBN ligands, probably to improve their druggability. Most of the other CRBN ligands modifications are designed to improve the affinity with E3 ligases or to improve the druggability properties of these degraders in oral PROTACs.

Typically, the POIs based on agonists or antagonists of target proteins, as well as the traditional pharmacological optimization of these small molecules, have been studied in the design and optimization of PROTACs. The optimization of linkers tends to have more rigid structures. However, although more than 600 E3 ligases have been identified, the number of small molecule ligands available to design PROTAC molecules for E3 ligands is rather limited, and only CRBN-based PROTACs have been clinically achieved for oral application (Table 2).

It is believed that advances in artificial intelligence techniques (protein structure prediction), virtual drug screening, and other technologies will facilitate the discovery of E3 ligands and provide more tools for PROTAC design. These advances will greatly facilitate the transition of PROTACs degraders from being considered as tool molecules to small molecule clinical drug candidates.

Author contributions

Concept, writing, review, and revision of the manuscript: MW, S-XG, HJ, and HX; all authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Highlevel new R&D institute (2019B090904008), and High-level Innovative Research Institute (2021B0909050003) from Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong Province.

References

Arnal, J. F., Lenfant, F., Metivier, R., Flouriot, G., Henrion, D., Adlanmerini, M., et al. (2017). Membrane and nuclear estrogen receptor alpha actions: From tissue specificity to medical implications. *Physiol. Rev.* 97, 1045–1087. doi:10.1152/physrev.00024.2016

Békés, M., Langley, D. R., and Crews, C. M. (2022). PROTAC targeted protein degraders: The past is prologue. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 21, 181–200. doi:10.1038/s41573-021-00371-6

Brzezinska, A. A., Johnson, J. L., Munafo, D. B., Ellis, B. A., and Catz, S. D. (2009). Signalling mechanisms for toll-like receptor-activated neutrophil exocytosis: Key roles for interleukin-1-receptor-associated kinase-4 and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase but not toll/ IL-1 receptor (TIR) domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-beta (TRIF). *Immunology* 127, 386–397. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2008.02980.x

Buhimschi, A. D., Armstrong, H. A., Toure, M., Jaime-Figueroa, S., Chen, T. L., Lehman, A. M., et al. (2018). Targeting the C481S ibrutinib-resistance mutation in bruton's tyrosine kinase using PROTAC-mediated degradation. *Biochemistry* 57, 3564–3575. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00391

Chen, L., Chen, Y., Zhang, C., Jiao, B., Liang, S., Tan, Q., et al. (2020). Discovery of firstin-class potent and selective tropomyosin receptor kinase degraders. *J. Med. Chem.* 63, 14562–14575. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c01342

Criscitiello, C., Guerini-Rocco, E., Viale, G., Fumagalli, C., Sajjadi, E., Venetis, K., et al. (2022). Immunotherapy in breast cancer patients: A focus on the use of the currently available biomarkers in oncology. *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem.* 22, 787–800. doi:10. 2174/1871520621666210706144112

Czabotar, P. E., Lessene, G., Strasser, A., and Adams, J. M. (2014). Control of apoptosis by the BCL-2 protein family: Implications for physiology and therapy. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 15, 49–63. doi:10.1038/nrm3722

Davis, R. E., Ngo, V. N., Lenz, G., Tolar, P., Young, R. M., Romesser, P. B., et al. (2010). Chronic active B-cell-receptor signalling in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Nature* 463, 88–92. doi:10.1038/nature08638

De Bono, J., Mateo, J., Fizazi, K., Saad, F., Shore, N., Sandhu, S., et al. (2020). Olaparib for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382, 2091–2102. doi:10. 1056/NEJMoa1911440

Drilon, A. (2019). TRK inhibitors in TRK fusion-positive cancers. Ann. Oncol. 30, viii23-viii30. viii23-viii30. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdz282

Duplessis, M., Jaeschke, G., Kuhn, B., Lazarski, K., Liang, Y. N., Alice, Yvonne, et al. (2019). Preparation of substituted isoindoline compounds which cause degradation of EGFR and are useful as anticancer agents. U.S. patent NO WO2019149922. Watertown: United States Patent Application Publication.

Fan, J., Qian, Y., He, W., and Liu, K. (2021). Bicyclic imide derivative, preparation method thereof, and application thereof in medicine. CN patent NO WO 2021/143822 Al. Shanghai: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Gao, X., Iii, H. a. B., Vuky, J., Dreicer, R., Sartor, A. O., Sternberg, C. N., et al. (2022). Phase 1/2 study of ARV-110, an androgen receptor (AR) PROTAC degrader, in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 40, 17. doi:10.1200/JCO. 2022.40.6_suppl.017

Gatchalian, J., Malik, S., Ho, J., Lee, D. S., Kelso, T. W. R., Shokhirev, M. N., et al. (2018). A non-canonical BRD9-containing BAF chromatin remodeling complex regulates naive pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5139. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07528-9

Gollob, J., Davis, J., Mcdonald, A., and Rong, H. (2022). Irak4 degraders and uses thereof. U.S. patent NO WO 2022/174268 Al. Watertown: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Gonzalez, T. L., Hancock, M., Sun, S., Gersch, C. L., Larios, J. M., David, W., et al. (2020). Targeted degradation of activating estrogen receptor alpha ligand-binding domain mutations in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 180, 611–622. doi:10. 1007/s10549-020-05564-y

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Han, T., Goralski, M., Gaskill, N., Capota, E., Kim, J., Ting, T. C., et al. (2017). Anticancer sulfonamides target splicing by inducing RBM39 degradation via recruitment to DCAF15. *Science* 356, eaal3755. doi:10.1126/science.aal3755

Han, X., Wang, C., Qin, C., Xiang, W., Fernandez-Salas, E., Yang, C.-Y., et al. (2019a). Discovery of ARD-69 as a highly potent proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) degrader of androgen receptor (AR) for the treatment of prostate cancer. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 941–964. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b01631

Han, X., Zhao, L., Xiang, W., Qin, C., Miao, B., Mceachern, D., et al. (2021). Strategies toward discovery of potent and orally bioavailable proteolysis targeting chimera degraders of androgen receptor for the treatment of prostate cancer. *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 12831–12854. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00882

Han, X., Zhao, L., Xiang, W., Qin, C., Miao, B., Xu, T., et al. (2019b). Discovery of highly potent and efficient PROTAC degraders of androgen receptor (AR) by employing weak binding affinity VHL E3 ligase ligands. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 11218–11231. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.9b01393

He, Y., Zhang, X., Chang, J., Kim, H. N., Zhang, P., Wang, Y., et al. (2020). Using proteolysis-targeting chimera technology to reduce navitoclax platelet toxicity and improve its senolytic activity. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1996. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15838-0

Henning, N. J., Manford, A. G., Spradlin, J. N., Brittain, S. M., Zhang, E., Mckenna, J. M., et al. (2022). Discovery of a covalent FEM1B recruiter for targeted protein degradation applications. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 144, 701–708. doi:10.1021/jacs.1c03980

Hershko, A., and Ciechanover, A. (1992). The ubiquitin system for protein degradation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 61, 761–807. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.61.070192.003553

Hershko, A., Heller, H., Elias, S., and Ciechanover, A. (1983). Components of ubiquitinprotein ligase system. Resolution, affinity purification, and role in protein breakdown. J. Biol. Chem. 258, 8206–8214. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(20)82050-x

Hirsch, F. R., Scagliotti, G. V., Mulshine, J. L., Kwon, R., Curran, W. J., Wu, Y.-L., et al. (2017). Lung cancer: Current therapies and new targeted treatments. *Lancet* 389, 299–311. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30958-8

Hohmann, A. F., Martin, L. J., Minder, J. L., Roe, J. S., Shi, J., Steurer, S., et al. (2016). Sensitivity and engineered resistance of myeloid leukemia cells to BRD9 inhibition. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 672–679. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2115

Honda, R., Tanaka, H., and Yasuda, H. (1997). Oncoprotein MDM2 is a ubiquitin ligase E3 for tumor suppressor p53. *FEBS Lett.* 420, 25–27. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(97)01480-4

Hu, J., Hu, B., Wang, M., Xu, F., Miao, B., Yang, C. Y., et al. (2019). Discovery of ERD-308 as a highly potent proteolysis targeting chimera (PROTAC) degrader of estrogen receptor (ER). *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 1420–1442. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b01572

Huang, B., Omoto, Y., Iwase, H., Yamashita, H., Toyama, T., Coombes, R. C., et al. (2014). Differential expression of estrogen receptor α , β 1, and β 2 in lobular and ductal breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 1933–1938. doi:10.1073/pnas.1323719111

Igney, F. H., and Krammer, P. H. (2002). Death and anti-death: Tumour resistance to apoptosis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2, 277–288. doi:10.1038/nrc776

Itoh, Y., Ishikawa, M., Kitaguchi, R., Sato, S., Naito, M., and Hashimoto, Y. (2011). Development of target protein-selective degradation inducer for protein knockdown. *Bioorg Med. Chem.* 19, 3229–3241. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.03.057

Ivan, M., Kondo, K., Yang, H., Kim, W., Valiando, J., Ohh, M., et al. (2001). HIFa targeted for VHL-mediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: Implications for O $_2$ sensing. Science 292, 464–468. doi:10.1126/science.1059817

Jaakkola, P., Mole, D. R., Tian, Y. M., Wilson, M. I., Gielbert, J., Gaskell, S. J., et al. (2001). Targeting of HIF-alpha to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O2-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. *Science* 292, 468–472. doi:10.1126/science. 1059796

Jackson, K. L., Agafonov, R. V., Carlson, M. W., Chaturvedi, P., Cocozziello, D., Cole, K., et al. (2022). Abstract ND09: The discovery and characterization of CFT8634: A potent and selective degrader of BRD9 for the treatment of SMARCB1-perturbed cancers. *Cancer Res.* 82, ND09. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.Am2022-nd09

Kadoch, C., Hargreaves, D. C., Hodges, C., Elias, L., Ho, L., Ranish, J., et al. (2013). Proteomic and bioinformatic analysis of mammalian SWI/SNF complexes identifies extensive roles in human malignancy. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 592–601. doi:10.1038/ng.2628

Kargbo, R. B. (2020). PROTAC compounds targeting TRK for use in cancer therapeutics. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 11, 1090-1091. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.0c00235

Kargbo, R. B. (2019). PROTAC degradation of IRAK4 for the treatment of neurodegenerative and cardiovascular diseases. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 1251–1252. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00385

Kawahata, I., and Fukunaga, K. (2020). Degradation of tyrosine hydroxylase by the ubiquitin-proteasome system in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease and doparesponsive dystonia. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 3779. doi:10.3390/ijms21113779

Khan, S., Zhang, X., Lv, D., Zhang, Q., He, Y., Zhang, P., et al. (2019). A selective BCL-XL PROTAC degrader achieves safe and potent antitumor activity. *Nat. Med.* 25, 1938–1947. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0668-z

Kim, K. H., and Roberts, C. W. (2014). Mechanisms by which SMARCB1 loss drives rhabdoid tumor growth. *Cancer Genet*. 207, 365–372. doi:10.1016/j.cancergen.2014.04.004

Klein, R., Parada, L. F., Coulier, F., and Barbacid, M. (1989). trkB, a novel tyrosine protein kinase receptor expressed during mouse neural development. *EMBO J.* 8, 3701–3709. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1989.tb08545.x

Kohno, T., Matsui, T., and Enatsu, S. (2021). Differences between EGFR exon 19 deletion and exon 21 L858R point mutation, frequently detected EGFR mutations in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, from a molecular biology viewpoint. *Gan Kagaku Ryoho* 48, 1463–1467.doi:10.1038/srep31636

Komander, D., and Rape, M. (2012). The ubiquitin code. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 203–229. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328

Kregel, S., Wang, C., Han, X., Xiao, L., Fernandez-Salas, E., Bawa, P., et al. (2020). Androgen receptor degraders overcome common resistance mechanisms developed during prostate cancer treatment. *Neoplasia* 22, 111–119. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2019.12.003

Kumar, R., and Sabapathy, K. (2019). RNF4-A paradigm for SUMOylation-mediated ubiquitination. *Proteomics* 19, e1900185. doi:10.1002/pmic.201900185

Kymera (2022). https://investors.kymeratx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/ kymera-announces-positive-results-phase-1-clinical-trial (Accessed Dec 14, 2022).

Kymera (2022). https://investors.kymeratx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/ kymera-therapeutics-doses-first-patients-phase-1-oncology-trials (Accessed Jun 15, 2022).

Lim, K. H., and Staudt, L. M. (2013). Toll-like receptor signaling. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5, a011247. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a011247

Liu, M., Yan, M., Lv, H., Wang, B., Lv, X., Zhang, H., et al. (2020). Macrophage K63linked ubiquitination of YAP promotes its nuclear localization and exacerbates atherosclerosis. *Cell Rep.* 32, 107990. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107990

Luo, M., Spradlin, J. N., Boike, L., Tong, B., Brittain, S. M., Mckenna, J. M., et al. (2021). Chemoproteomics-enabled discovery of covalent RNF114-based degraders that mimic natural product function. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 28, 559–566.e15. e515. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2021.01.005

Lyle, C., Richards, S., Yasuda, K., Napoleon, M. A., Walker, J., Arinze, N., et al. (2019). c-Cbl targets PD-1 in immune cells for proteasomal degradation and modulates colorectal tumor growth. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 20257. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-56208-1

Mainolfi, N., Ji, N., Kluge, A. F., Weiss, M. M., Zhang, Y., and Zheng, X. (2020). Preparation of bifunctional compounds as IRAK degraders and uses thereof. U.S. patent NO WO 2020/113233 Al. Cambridge: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Martin-Zanca, D., Hughes, S. H., and Barbacid, M. (1986). A human oncogene formed by the fusion of truncated tropomyosin and protein tyrosine kinase sequences. *Nature* 319, 743–748. doi:10.1038/319743a0

Matsui, T., Tanizawa, Y., and Enatsu, S. (2021). [Exon 19 Deletion and Exon 21 L858R Point Mutation in EGFR Mutation—Positive Non—Small Cell Lung Cancer]. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 48 (5), 673–676.

Michel, B. C., D'avino, A. R., Cassel, S. H., Mashtalir, N., Mckenzie, Z. M., Mcbride, M. J., et al. (2018). A non-canonical SWI/SNF complex is a synthetic lethal target in cancers driven by BAF complex perturbation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20, 1410–1420. doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0221-1

Mullard, A. (2019). First targeted protein degrader hits the clinic. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 21 doi:10.1038/d41573-019-00043-6

Nasveschuk, C. G., Duplessis, M., Ahn, J. Y., Hird, A. W., Michael, R. E., Lazarski, K., et al. (2021). Preparation of isoindolinone and indazole compounds for the degradation of EGFR. U.S. patent NO WO2021127561 A1. Watertown: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Neklesa, T., Snyder, L. B., Willard, R. R., Vitale, N., Pizzano, J., Gordon, D. A., et al. (2019). ARV-110: An oral androgen receptor PROTAC degrader for prostate cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 37, 259. doi:10.1200/JCO.2019.37.7_suppl.259

Neklesa, T., Snyder, L. B., Willard, R. R., Vitale, N., Raina, K., Pizzano, J., et al. (2018). Abstract 5236: ARV-110: An androgen receptor PROTAC degrader for prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 78, 5236. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.Am2018-5236 Nunes, J., Mcgonagle, G. A., Eden, J., Kiritharan, G., Touzet, M., Lewell, X., et al. (2019). Targeting IRAK4 for degradation with PROTACs. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 10, 1081–1085. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.9b00219

Ohoka, N., Morita, Y., Nagai, K., Shimokawa, K., Ujikawa, O., Fujimori, I., et al. (2018). Derivatization of inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) ligands yields improved inducers of estrogen receptor α degradation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 6776–6790. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA117. 001091

Ohoka, N., Okuhira, K., Ito, M., Nagai, K., Shibata, N., Hattori, T., et al. (2017). *In vivo* knockdown of pathogenic proteins via specific and nongenetic inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP)-dependent protein erasers (SNIPERs). *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 4556–4570. doi:10. 1074/jbc.M116.768853

Ohoka, N., Tsuji, G., Shoda, T., Fujisato, T., Kurihara, M., Demizu, Y., et al. (2019). Development of small molecule chimeras that recruit AhR E3 ligase to target proteins. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 14, 2822–2832. doi:10.1021/acschembio.9b00704

Ohtake, F., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., and Kato, S. (2007). Transcription factor AhR is a liganddependent E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Tanpakushitsu kakusan Koso. Protein, nucleic Acid. enzyme* 52, 1973–1979. doi:10.1038/nature05683

Paiva, S. L., and Crews, C. M. (2019). Targeted protein degradation: Elements of PROTAC design. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 50, 111-119. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.02.022

Pan, Z., Scheerens, H., Li, S. J., Schultz, B. E., Sprengeler, P. A., Burrill, L. C., et al. (2007). Discovery of selective irreversible inhibitors for Bruton's tyrosine kinase. *ChemMedChem* 2, 58–61. doi:10.1002/cmdc.200600221

Pei, J., Xiao, Y., Liu, X., Hu, W., Sobh, A., Yuan, Y., et al. (2022). Identification of Piperlongumine (PL) as a new E3 ligase ligand to induce targeted protein degradation. *bioRxiv*.12 doi:10.1101/2022.01.21.474712

Pohl, C., and Dikic, I. (2019). Cellular quality control by the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy. *Science* 366, 818–822. doi:10.1126/science.aax3769

Pulciani, S., Santos, E., Lauver, A. V., Long, L. K., Aaronson, S. A., and Barbacid, M. (1982). Oncogenes in solid human tumours. *Nature* 300, 539-542. doi:10.1038/300539a0

Rebello, R. J., Oing, C., Knudsen, K. E., Loeb, S., Johnson, D. C., Reiter, R. E., et al. (2021). Prostate cancer. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 7, 9. doi:10.1038/s41572-020-00243-0

Remillard, D., Buckley, D. L., Paulk, J., Brien, G. L., Sonnett, M., Seo, H.-S., et al. (2017). Degradation of the BAF complex factor BRD9 by heterobifunctional ligands. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 56, 5738–5743. doi:10.1002/anie.201611281

Robbins, D. W., Sands, A. T., Mcintosh, J., Mihalic, J., Wu, J., Kato, D., et al. (2020). Bifunctional compounds for degrading BTK via ubiquitin proteolytic pathway and their preparation. U.S. patent NO WO2020081450 A1. San Francisco: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Rodriguez-Gonzalez, A., Cyrus, K., Salcius, M., Kim, K., Crews, C. M., Deshaies, R. J., et al. (2008). Targeting steroid hormone receptors for ubiquitination and degradation in breast and prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 27, 7201–7211. doi:10.1038/onc.2008.320

Saadatzadeh, M. R., Elmi, A. N., Pandya, P. H., Bijangi-Vishehsaraei, K., Ding, J., Stamatkin, C. W., et al. (2017). The role of MDM2 in promoting genome stability versus instability. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18, 2216. doi:10.3390/ijms18102216

Sabnis, R. W. (2021). BRD9 bifunctional degraders for treating cancer. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 12, 1879–1880. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.1c00580

Sakamoto, K. M., Kim, K. B., Kumagai, A., Mercurio, F., Crews, C. M., and Deshaies, R. J. (2001). Protacs: Chimeric molecules that target proteins to the skp1-cullin-F box complex for ubiquitination and degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98, 8554–8559. doi:10. 1073/pnas.141230798

Sands, A. T., and Kelly, A. (2020). Bifunctional compounds for degrading btk via ubiquitin proteosome pathway. U.S. patent NO WO2021113557. San Francisco: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Sato, S., Aoyama, H., Miyachi, H., Naito, M., and Hashimoto, Y. (2008). Demonstration of direct binding of cIAP1 degradation-promoting bestatin analogs to BIR3 domain: Synthesis and application of fluorescent bestatin ester analogs. *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 18, 3354–3358. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.04.031

Schapira, M., Calabrese, M. F., Bullock, A. N., and Crews, C. M. (2019). Targeted protein degradation: Expanding the toolbox. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 18, 949–963. doi:10.1038/ s41573-019-0047-y

Schneekloth, A. R., Pucheault, M., Tae, H. S., and Crews, C. M. (2008). Targeted intracellular protein degradation induced by a small molecule: En route to chemical proteomics. *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 18, 5904–5908. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.07.114

Schneekloth, J. S., Jr., Fonseca, F. N., Koldobskiy, M., Mandal, A., Deshaies, R., Sakamoto, K., et al. (2004a). Chemical genetic control of protein levels: Selective *in vivo* targeted degradation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126, 3748–3754. doi:10.1021/ja039025z

Schneekloth, J. S., Jr., Fonseca, F. N., Koldobskiy, M., Mandal, A., Deshaies, R., Sakamoto, K., et al. (2004b). Chemical genetic control of protein levels: Selective *in vivo* targeted degradation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126, 3748–3754. doi:10.1021/ja039025z

Schulman, B. A., and Harper, J. W. (2009). Ubiquitin-like protein activation by E1 enzymes: The apex for downstream signalling pathways. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 10, 319-331. doi:10.1038/nrm2673

Sharma, S. V., Bell, D. W., Settleman, J., and Haber, D. A. (2007). Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 7, 169–181. doi:10.1038/nrc2088

Sievers, Q. L., Petzold, G., Bunker, R. D., Renneville, A., Słabicki, M., Liddicoat, B. J., et al. (2018). Defining the human C2H2 zinc finger degrome targeted by thalidomide analogs through CRBN. *Science* 362, eaat0572. doi:10.1126/science.aat0572

Snyder, L. B., Flanagan, J. J., Qian, Y., Gough, S. M., Andreoli, M., Bookbinder, M., et al. (2021a). Abstract 44: The discovery of ARV-471, an orally bioavailable estrogen receptor degrading PROTAC for the treatment of patients with breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 81, 44. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.Am2021-44

Snyder, L. B., Neklesa, T. K., Chen, X., Dong, H., Ferraro, C., Gordon, D. A., et al. (2021b). Abstract 43: Discovery of ARV-110, a first in class androgen receptor degrading PROTAC for the treatment of men with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 81, 43. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.Am2021-43

Sun, X., Gao, H., Yang, Y., He, M., Wu, Y., Song, Y., et al. (2019). PROTACs: Great opportunities for academia and industry. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 4, 64. doi:10.1038/ s41392-019-0101-6

Sun, Y., Ding, N., Song, Y., Yang, Z., Liu, W., Zhu, J., et al. (2019). Degradation of Bruton's tyrosine kinase mutants by PROTACs for potential treatment of ibrutinibresistant non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *Leukemia* 33, 2105–2110. doi:10.1038/s41375-019-0440-x

Sun, Y., Zhao, X., Ding, N., Gao, H., Wu, Y., Yang, Y., et al. (2018). PROTAC-induced BTK degradation as a novel therapy for mutated BTK C481S induced ibrutinib-resistant B-cell malignancies. *Cell Res.* 28, 779–781. doi:10.1038/s41422-018-0055-1

Teo, M. Y., Rathkopf, D. E., and Kantoff, P. (2019). Treatment of advanced prostate cancer. Annu. Rev. Med. 70, 479–499. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-051517-011947

Theodoulou, N. H., Bamborough, P., Bannister, A. J., Becher, I., Bit, R. A., Che, K. H., et al. (2016). Discovery of I-BRD9, a selective cell active chemical probe for bromodomain containing protein 9 inhibition. *J. Med. Chem.* 59, 1425–1439. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem. 5b00256

Tong, B., Luo, M., Xie, Y., Spradlin, J. N., Tallarico, J. A., Mckenna, J. M., et al. (2020). Bardoxolone conjugation enables targeted protein degradation of BRD4. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 15543. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-72491-9

Vollmer, S., Strickson, S., Zhang, T., Gray, N., Lee, K. L., Rao, V. R., et al. (2017). The mechanism of activation of IRAK1 and IRAK4 by interleukin-1 and Toll-like receptor agonists. *Biochem. J.* 474, 2027–2038. doi:10.1042/BCJ20170097

Waks, A. G., and Winer, E. P. (2019). Breast cancer treatment: A review. JAMA 321, 288–300. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.19323

Wang, Y., Jiang, X., Feng, F., Liu, W., and Sun, H. (2020). Degradation of proteins by PROTACs and other strategies. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 10, 207–238. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2019. 08.001

Wang, Y., Shen, Y., Wang, S., Shen, Q., and Zhou, X. (2018). The role of STAT3 in leading the crosstalk between human cancers and the immune system. *Cancer Lett.* 415, 117–128. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2017.12.003

Ward, C. C., Kleinman, J. I., Brittain, S. M., Lee, P. S., Chung, C. Y. S., Kim, K., et al. (2019). Covalent ligand screening uncovers a RNF4 E3 ligase recruiter for targeted protein degradation applications. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 14, 2430–2440. doi:10.1021/acschembio. 8b01083

Wei, J., Meng, F., Park, K. S., Yim, H., Velez, J., Kumar, P., et al. (2021). Harnessing the E3 ligase KEAP1 for targeted protein degradation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 143, 15073–15083. doi:10.1021/jacs.1c04841

Winter, G. E., Buckley, D. L., Paulk, J., Roberts, J. M., Souza, A., Dhe-Paganon, S., et al. (2015). Phthalimide conjugation as a strategy for *in vivo* target protein degradation. *Science* 348, 1376–1381. doi:10.1126/science.aab1433

Woyach, J. A., Furman, R. R., Liu, T. M., Ozer, H. G., Zapatka, M., Ruppert, A. S., et al. (2014). Resistance mechanisms for the Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor ibrutinib. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 370, 2286–2294. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1400029

Xiang, W., Zhao, L., Han, X., Qin, C., Miao, B., Mceachern, D., et al. (2021). Discovery of ARD-2585 as an exceptionally potent and orally active PROTAC degrader of androgen receptor for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer. *J. Med. Chem.* 64, 13487–13509. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00900

Yang, B., Zheng, X., and Zhu, X. (2022). Preparation of peptidomimetics as STAT degraders and their uses for treating diseases. CN. patent NO WO2022077010 A1. Watertown: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Yang, F., Jia, M., He, W., Chen, G., He, F., and Tao, W. (2021a). Bicyclic imide derivative, preparation method thereof, and application thereof in medicine. CN. patent NO WO 2021/143822 A1. Shanghai: World Intellectual Property Organization.

Yang, Q., Zhao, J., Chen, D., and Wang, Y. (2021b). E3 ubiquitin ligases: Styles, structures and functions. *Mol. Biomed.* 2, 23. doi:10.1186/s43556-021-00043-2

Ye, Y., and Rape, M. (2009). Building ubiquitin chains: E2 enzymes at work. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10, 755–764. doi:10.1038/nrm2780

Yu, H., Pardoll, D., and Jove, R. (2009). STATs in cancer inflammation and immunity: A leading role for STAT3. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 798-809. doi:10.1038/nrc2734

Zhang, J., Fu, L., Shen, B., Liu, Y., Wang, W., Cai, X., et al. (2020a). Assessing IRAK4 functions in ABC DLBCL by IRAK4 kinase inhibition and protein degradation. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 27, 1500–1509 e1513. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2020.08.010

Zhang, X., Crowley, V. M., Wucherpfennig, T. G., Dix, M. M., and Cravatt, B. F. (2019a). Electrophilic PROTACs that degrade nuclear proteins by engaging DCAF16. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 15, 737–746. doi:10.1038/s41589-019-0279-5

Zhang, X., Luukkonen, L. M., Eissler, C. L., Crowley, V. M., Yamashita, Y., Schafroth, M. A., et al. (2021). DCAF11 supports targeted protein degradation by electrophilic proteolysistargeting chimeras. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 143, 5141–5149. doi:10.1021/jacs.1c00990

Zhang, X., Thummuri, D., He, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, P., Zhou, D., et al. (2019b). Utilizing PROTAC technology to address the on-target platelet toxicity associated with inhibition of BCL-XL. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* 55, 14765–14768. doi:10.1039/c9cc07217a

Zhang, X., Thummuri, D., Liu, X., Hu, W., Zhang, P., Khan, S., et al. (2020b). Discovery of PROTAC BCL-XL degraders as potent anticancer agents with low on-target platelet toxicity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 192, 112186. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112186

Zhang, X. T., Kang, L. G., Ding, L., Vranic, S., Gatalica, Z., and Wang, Z. Y. (2011). A positive feedback loop of ER-a36/EGFR promotes malignant growth of ER-negative breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* 30, 770–780. doi:10.1038/onc.2010.458

Zhao, L., Han, X., Lu, J., Mceachern, D., and Wang, S. (2020). A highly potent PROTAC androgen receptor (AR) degrader ARD-61 effectively inhibits AR-positive breast cancer cell growth *in vitro* and tumor growth *in vivo*. *Neoplasia* 22, 522–532. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2020.07.002

Zheng, N., and Shabek, N. (2017). Ubiquitin ligases: Structure, function, and regulation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 86, 129–157. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014922

Zhou, H., Bai, L., Xu, R., Mceachern, D., Chinnaswamy, K., Li, R., et al. (2021). SD-91 as A Potent and selective STAT3 degrader capable of achieving complete and long-lasting tumor regression. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 12, 996–1004. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett. 1c00155

Zhou, H., Bai, L., Xu, R., Zhao, Y., Chen, J., Mceachern, D., et al. (2019). Structure-based discovery of SD-36 as a potent, selective, and efficacious PROTAC degrader of STAT3 protein. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 11280–11300. doi:10. 1021/acs.jmedchem.9b01530