Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kun Jiang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

REVIEWED BY Yanwei Lum, National University of Singapore, Singapore Yifan Ye, University of Science and Technology of China, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Ethan J. Crumlin, ⊠ ejcrumlin@lbl.gov Jin Qian, ⊠ jqian2@lbl.gov

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Electrochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

RECEIVED 01 January 2023 ACCEPTED 31 January 2023 PUBLISHED 16 February 2023

CITATION

Jana A, Snyder SW, Crumlin EJ and Qian J (2023), Integrated carbon capture and conversion: A review on C_{2+} product mechanisms and mechanism-guided strategies. Front. Chem. 11:1135829. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2023.1135829

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Jana, Snyder, Crumlin and Qian. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Integrated carbon capture and conversion: A review on C₂₊ product mechanisms and mechanism-guided strategies

Asmita Jana^{1,2}, Seth W. Snyder³, Ethan J. Crumlin^{1,2}* and Jin Qian¹*

¹Chemical Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States, ²Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States, ³Energy & Environment S&T, Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID, United States

The need to reduce atmospheric CO₂ concentrations necessitates CO₂ capture technologies for conversion into stable products or long-term storage. A single pot solution that simultaneously captures and converts CO₂ could minimize additional costs and energy demands associated with CO2 transport, compression, and transient storage. While a variety of reduction products exist, currently, only conversion to C₂₊ products including ethanol and ethylene are economically advantageous. Cu-based catalysts have the best-known performance for CO₂ electroreduction to C₂₊ products. Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are touted for their carbon capture capacity. Thus, integrated Cu-based MOFs could be an ideal candidate for the one-pot capture and conversion. In this paper, we review Cu-based MOFs and MOF derivatives that have been used to synthesize C2+ products with the objective of understanding the mechanisms that enable synergistic capture and conversion. Furthermore, we discuss strategies based on the mechanistic insights that can be used to further enhance production. Finally, we discuss some of the challenges hindering widespread use of Cu-based MOFs and MOF derivatives along with possible solutions to overcome the challenges.

KEYWORDS

one-pot solution, carbon capture, carbon conversion, C_{2+} products, electrochemical reduction, metal organic framework, copper catalysts

Abbreviations: DOBDC; 2,5-Dihydroxyterephthalate, BTC; Benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate, BDC; 1,4-Benzenedicarboxylate, BPDC; Biphenyl-1,4-dicarboxylate, M-MOF-74; $[M_2(DOBDC) (H_2O)_2]$ (M = Mg^{2+} , Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺), IRMOF-3; [Zn_4O(NH_2BDC)_3], HKUST-1; [Cu_3(BTC)_2(H_2O)_3], HITP; 2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaiminotriphenylene, H-CuTCPP; Helical Cu porphyrinic MOF meso-tetra (4- carboxyphenyl) porphyrin, CuAdeAce; Copper(II)-adeninate-acetate, CuDTA MOA; Copper bis-bidentate dithiooxamidate metal-organic aerogel, Cu(II)/ade-MOF; CuII/adeninato/carboxylato metal-biomolecule frameworks, Cu MOF-NC; BEN-Cu BTC; Calcination of N-containing benzimidazole modified Cu-BTC MOFs (BEN-Cu-BTC), Cu-NBDC; Cu 2-aminoterephthalic acid, Cu-GNC-VL; Cu/Cu₂O nanocomposite loaded on the surface of carbon derived from direct carbonization of two-dimensional cross-like zeolitic imidazolate framework-L coated vertically on graphene oxide, H₄TACTMB; 1,4,7,10-tetrazazcycloddecane-N,N',N''.N''''-tetra-p-methylbenzoic acid, MMCF-2; [Cu₂(Cu-TACTMB) (H₂O)₃(NO₃)₂], F-IRMOF-3; Functionalized IRMOF; NH₂ groups converted to quaternary ammonium salt though introduction of CH₃I.



A one-pot solution of combined capture and conversion represents the ideal solution with the MOFs component aiding capture and the Cu constituents supporting conversion to C_{2+} products via CO_2ER .

1 Introduction

The exponential increase in greenhouse gases (GHGs) including CO2 since the industrial revolution is the key driver of climate change (Field and Barros, 2014; Sun et al., 2017). Currently, the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere is greater than 400 ppm (Jiao et al., 2019) with fossil fuels accounting for 75% of the increase in anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Field and Barros, 2014; Rafiee et al., 2018). CO₂ levels are projected to increase to 685 ppm by 2050 (OECD, 2012) but CO₂ levels need to be maintained at \leq 450 ppm to avoid seriously jeopardizing the environment (Metz, 2007). While replacing fossil fuels with renewable sources of energy is the longterm solution, capturing CO2 from concentrated sources and from the atmosphere is urgently needed (Irena, 2018; Birol, 2019; Gielen et al., 2019). Three main separation technologies to capture CO_2 are liquid absorbents, solid adsorbents, and membranes ('Paul and Michelle, 2020: Report of the Basic Energy Sciences Workshop for Carbon Capture: Beyond 2020', 2020) but the only method currently being used in an industrial scale is absorption using aqueous amine solutions (Iver et al., 2017). However, it is fraught with issues like solvent losses and comes with a high sorbent regeneration cost. Thus, there is an ongoing search for better materials (and processes) that can achieve efficient and costeffective carbon capture ('Paul and Michelle, 2020: Report of the Basic Energy Sciences Workshop for Carbon Capture: Beyond 2020', 2020). In this review, we evaluate a class of solid adsorbents in more detail- Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs). These crystalline porous materials are composed of multi-metallic units surrounded by organic linkers (Eddaoudi et al., 2001; Long and Yaghi, 2009; Zhou et al., 2012; "Joe" Zhou and Kitagawa, 2014; Lu et al., 2014) and satisfy some of the prerequisites of an ideal CO₂ capture material: a. high selectivity towards binding CO₂, b. high capacity, c. low energy requirement for releasing CO₂, d. thermal and chemical stability along with thermal capacity, and e. good synthesizability.

The step following carbon capture is either storage or conversion. Limited known geological storage options along with associated costs of transportation and suffocation risks from potential leaks suggest that conversion and utilization should be strongly committed (Sabri et al., 2021). Conversion achieves the dual objective of converting CO_2 to value-added products including urea, methane, methanol, etc., while simultaneously avoiding the risks associated with storage. Conversion of CO2 invariably translates to CO2 reduction. Most thermal CO2 reduction requires harsh conditions involving high temperature and pressures, requires additional energy input and can hinder broad deployment. Depending on the energy source, it could require additional carbon-emitting fossil combustion. This can be circumvented by using CO₂ electrochemical reduction (CO₂ER) instead of thermal and pressure-driven methods (Kondratenko et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2017; Jiao et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022). Electrochemistry enables production of chemicals that are often more difficult to produce from thermal methods (Gattrell et al., 2006; Kondratenko et al., 2013). While a wide variety of value-added products can be obtained, not all of them are economically feasible. Nitopi et al. weighed the market price of an array of value-added products against the energy required to produce them and concluded that C₂₊ products such as ethanol, ethylene, and propanol are the most economically feasible (Nitopi et al., 2019). Hence, in this review, we shall focus on processes that exclusively produce C2+ products. Among the catalysts used for CO2ER, Cu-based ones are unparalleled for synthesis of C2+ products (Nitopi et al., 2019).

In this review, we focus on the conversion part of the capture and conversion process because the former is a process driven by thermodynamics and is a very well-studied topic on its own (Eddaoudi et al., 2001; Long and Yaghi, 2009; Zhou et al., 2012; Joe Zhou and Kitagawa, 2014; Lu et al., 2014). Here, we wanted to



showcase the impact of electrocatalysis on CO2 reduction within the framework of a conversion material. We explore mechanisms for CO2ER to C2+ products in Cu-based electrodes to develop insights into the process. Such mechanistic knowledge shall guide different strategies that enhance C2+ product formation by optimizing the catalyst and reaction conditions. We then highlight the emerging potential of having a one-pot solution that combines capture and conversion. This has been demonstrated with Cu-based MOF derivatives where the constituent MOFs adsorb CO2 and provide a nice framework for constituent Cu sites to act as catalytic sites for CO₂ER. Furthermore, the structure of MOF enhances catalytic activity via synergistic effects in addition to CO2 capture. While some of the mechanistic insights and improvement strategies in Cu electrocatalysis are transferable, we also discuss the additional requirements that are mandated by the one-pot Cu-based MOF solution. Finally, we discuss challenges hindering commercial use of these materials and how they can be overcome, paving the way for using these materials as suitable candidates for broad deployment of CO₂ reduction systems.

2 CO₂ conversion

Catalysts used to fabricate electrodes are broadly classified into four groups based on the selectivity of their CO_2 reduction products: a. formate; b. CO; c. H₂; and d. hydrocarbon, aldehydes, and alcohols. The

formate producers are metals such as Pb, Hg, Tl, and In, while some metals such as Au, Ag, and Zn primarily produce CO. A few metals including Ni, Fe, Pt, and Ti reduce water to H₂ and are termed H₂ producing. Cu is the only metal belonging to the fourth category making it ideal for producing C₂₊ products. This is heavily attributed to the unique characteristic of Cu of having a negative adsorption energy for CO while maintaining a positive one for H species. This translates to the ability to retain and eventually reduce CO while preventing the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). The adsorption characteristics of CO play an instrumental role because reduction to CO is the first reaction step in CO₂ER to C₂₊ products (Nitopi et al., 2019). CO production is enhanced in the presence of catalysts such as Ag and they have been increasingly used to design bi-metallic catalysts with increased reduction to C₂₊ products (Nitopi et al., 2019).

While electrodes fabricated from Cu can synthesize a variety of products such as CO, formate, C_2H_4 , and CH_4 , we are primarily interested in producing C_{2+} products given their high benefit-to-cost ratio. In specific, formation of the C-C bond in C_{2+} is the critical first reaction for oligomerization into a range of materials, chemicals, and fuels, enabling CO₂ to serve as a primary industrial building block. Many processes exist to maximize the production of C_{2+} products but from a reduction pathway perspective, they broadly result in these effects: a. increasing the availability of CO and b. enhancing CO dimerization reactions. The first tier of products synthesized after two electron transfer from CO₂ in the CO₂ER reduction pathway consists of formate and CO (Nitopi et al., 2019). The

TABLE 1 List of Cu-based materials used as electrodes for CO ₂ ER to C ₂₊ products	
--	--

Adsorber	Material category	Conductive component	Electrolyte	Reactor cell type	Potential (V vs. SHE)	Potential (V vs. RHE)	Products	Efficiency (%)	Current density (mA/cm²)	Reference
Cu Cu (100)	Metal	Metal	0.1 M KHCO ₃	H-type Pyrex cell	-1.44	-1.05	C_2H_4	25.5	-5	Hori et al., 1995; Hori (2008)
							C ₂ H ₅ OH	5.7		
							C ₃ H ₇ OH	3		
					-1.4	-1	C_2H_4	40.4		
							Alcohol	12		
							Aldehyde	4.4		
Cu (110)					-1.55	-1.15	C_2H_4	15.1		
							Alcohol	7.4		
							Aldehyde	3.1		
Cu (111)					-1.55	-1.15	C_2H_4	8.3		
							Alcohol	3.3		
							Aldehyde	2.7		
Cu-BTC	MOF	Glassy carbon electrode	0.1 M KCl	Three electrode singles compartment electrochemical cell	-2.27		Oxalic acid	51	19.22	Senthil Kumar, Senthil Kumar and Anbu Kulandainathan (2012)
Cu-BTC			0.5 M KHCO ₃	Micro Flow cell, ElectroCell A/S	-0.28		C ₂ H ₅ OH	10.3	10	Albo et al. (2017)
HKUST-1		Carbon paper	0.1 M KHCO ₃	H-type cell		-0.98	C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₅ OH	58.6	19.2	Han et al. (2021a)
MOF-Cu ₃ (HITP) ₂		Conducting support	0.1 M KHCO ₃	Two compartment H-cell	-1.56		C_2H_4	48	48	Sun et al. (2021)
HKUST-1				Micro Flow cell,			C ₂ H ₅ OH	15.9	10	Albo et al. (2017)
CuAdeAce				ElectroCell A/S				1.2		
CuDTA MOA				-				6		
CuZnDTA MOA								9.9		
CS⁺ modified Cu, Zn MOF (Zr ₁₂ BPDC)							C ₂ H ₅ OH			An et al. (2019)
Cu(II)/ade-MOF				H-type cell		-1.4	C_2H_4	34	8.5	Yang et al. (2019)
MOF-Cu ₃ (HITP) ₂				H-cell, flow-cell			C_2H_4	60-70		Sun et al. (2021)

TABLE 1 (Continued) List of Cu-based materials used as electrodes for CO_2ER to C_{2+} products.

Adsorber	Material category	Conductive component	Electrolyte	Reactor cell type	Potential (V vs. SHE)	Potential (V vs. RHE)	Products	Efficiency (%)	Current density (mA/cm²)	Reference
		Conducting support								
OD Cu/C HKUST-1	MOF derivatives <i>via</i> pyrolysis	a Carbonized structure	0.1 M KHCO ₃	Two bath cell		-0.1	C ₂ H ₅ OH	45.2-71.2		Zhao et al. (2017)
HKUST-1 with desymmetrized Cu dimer			1 М КОН	Flow cell	-1.07		C ₂ H ₄	45	262	Nam et al. (2018)
Cu MOF-NC; BEN-	-		0.1 M KHCO ₃	H-cell		-1.01	C_2H_4	11.2	8	Yao et al. (2020)
Cu BTC							C ₂ H ₅ OH	18.4		
Cu@CuxO-MOF HKUST-1			0.1 M KHCO ₃	Flow cell		-1.58	C ₂ H ₄	51	150	Yao et al. (2020)
HKUST-MOF derived CuxOyCz	_		1 М КОН	Three compartment cell			C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₂ H ₅ OH, C ₃ H ₇ OH	54	80	Sikdar et al. (2021)
CuZn-NC MOF-74			0.1 M KHCO ₃	H-type compression cell		-1	C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₅ OH	25	12	Juntrapirom et al. (2021)
Cu ₂ O/Cu@NC Cu- NBDC	-		0.1 M KHCO ₃			-1.6	C ₂ H ₄	23.9		Jin et al. (2021)
Cu-GNC-VL	-		0.5 M KHCO ₃	H-type cell		-0.87	C ₂ H ₅ OH	70.53	10.4	Zhang et al. (2020)
Ag/Cu on HKUST	-		1 M KOH	Three compartment glass cell		-0.28	C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₂ H ₅ OH, C ₃ H ₇ OH	21	120	Sikdar et al. (2022)
Cu/Cu ₂ O@NG (HKUST-1 and N-doped graphene)	MOF derivatives <i>via</i> electrochemical reaction	N-doped graphene	0.2 M KI	Single compartment gas diffusion cell		-1.9	C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₅ OH, C ₃ H ₇ OH	56	19	Zhi et al. (2021)
HKUST-1-derived Cu nanosheet	-	Cu foil	0.1 M KHCO ₃	H-type cell		-1.03	C ₂ products	56		Wang et al. (2021)



The materials classes involved in the CO_2ER to C_{2+} products: each successive progression highlights an improvement over the prior group. Future research directions are depicted.

former is a terminal product, implying that it does not undergo further reduction. Thus, for C2+ production, we need to drive the reduction process to CO, not formate. Increasing the adsorption, transport, and overall availability of CO can enhance formation of C2+ products. This is the principle behind employing metals that selectively produce CO such as Ag, Au, and Zn as a co-catalyst (Nitopi et al., 2019). For example, a study found Cu-Ag bimetallic electrodes resulted in higher production of CH₃CHO and C₂H₄ compared to pure Cu electrode (Ishimaru et al., 2000). The second process is based on a reaction pathway that involves enhancing CO dimerization reactions (Nitopi et al., 2019). Cu (100) is observed to amplify those reactions and is reported to be one of the surfaces with a high selectivity for C_2H_4 over CH_4 (Hori et al., 1995). There are other strategies to boost C2+ products, the most important and widespread one is increasing the electrochemically-active surface area (ECSA). Some methods for increasing ECSA include using Cu nanostructures and stepped Cu surfaces. For example, Manthiram et al. observed fourfold higher current density along with a faradaic efficiency of 80% for CH4 production on Cu nanoparticles with an initial size of 7 nm as compared to polycrystalline Cu foil (Manthiram et al., 2014). These processes are depicted in Figure 1.

3 Integrated CO₂ capture and conversion

Carbon conversion is preceded by carbon capture, but such sequential treatment suffers from the additional cost required for compression and transportation. For transportation, CO_2 needs to be compressed up to 150 bar which translates to at least around 360 kJ/kg CO_2 of work (Huck et al., 2014). Other challenges with compression include the removal of residual moisture to avoid creating corrosive conditions in the tank and dissipation of heat during the compression process. These costs can be avoided if both processes occur in the same plant location, termed an integrated cascade system (Sabri et al., 2021). The extremely optimistic end of this spectrum is simultaneous capture and conversion. Often, this is achieved by materials that function as both CO_2 adsorbers and CO_2 reduction catalysts.

Interestingly, metal organic frameworks (MOFs) which belong to the category of solid adsorbers can serve as both an adsorbent for CO_2 capture as well as a catalyst for CO_2 conversion. Some of the attractive features of MOFs that make it ideal for CO_2 capture include a. porous structure, b. chemically tunability, c. compatibility with other materials, d. structural flexibility, and e. hydrophobicity (Ding et al., 2019). The open metal sites and organic linkers serve as Lewis acid and basic sites, respectively, both of which can coordinate and bind CO₂ (Ding et al., 2019). For example, M-MOF-74 (M: Mg, Ni, Co., Zn) coordinates CO₂ *via* the metal sites (Kong et al., 2012; Queen et al., 2014) while IRMOF uses its N-containing Lewis base (amines) as the site for binding CO₂ (Millward and Yaghi, 2005). Moreover, CO₂ capture is enhanced with linkers functionalized with polar functional groups like -F, -Br, -NO₂, and -SO₃ as the additional dipole interacts with the quadrupole of CO₂ (Debatin et al., 2010; Gassensmith et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016; Mosca et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2019). Additionally, the chemical features can be altered by easily functionalizing the linkers and modifying metal centers. These sites and modifications enhance CO₂ capture *via* synergistic effects. Lastly, the pore size and flexibility of these hydrophobic structures are tunable (Ding et al., 2019).

Synthesizing C_{2+} products *via* electroreduction of CO_2 calls for Cu-based electrodes to serve as catalysts. The easily tunable characteristic of MOFs translates to the ability to incorporate Cu within the framework (as shown in Figure 1). Cu-based MOFs such as HKUST-1 exist that exhibit selectivity for C_{2+} products when used as an electrochemical catalyst (Han et al., 2021a). Table 1 highlights the catalysts, products, and synthesis efficiency. More importantly, we believe that it is important to highlight that not only do Cu-based MOFs combine both capture and conversion capabilities, but the combination increases the performance of both processes.

First, the availability of uniformly dispersed active sites and high surface area already makes MOFs an excellent catalyst template in general while the constituent micropores ensure uniform transport of reactants to the catalytic sites (Ding et al., 2019). MOFs have been used for thermal reduction of CO_2 to synthesize organic products *via* cycloaddition, carboxylation, and cyclization reactions (Zalomaeva et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017; Xiong et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). For example, MMCF-2 has been shown to provide a 95% yield for cycloaddition of CO_2 with propylene oxide in the presence of a cocatalyst (Gao et al., 2014).

Second, nanostructured Cu has been shown to display a higher catalytic activity compared to its bulk counterpart owing to a higher ECSA (Nitopi et al., 2019) arising from the structural increase in steps, facets, and edge atoms. Moreover, grain boundaries are found to promote C-C coupling reactions while suppressing HER (Sun et al., 2021). This effect can be readily exploited in MOFs and they provide an opportunity of significantly enhancing ECSA by incorporating Cu nanostructures and single atoms. For example, Cu-based MOFs such as HKUST-1 have been shown to produce C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH at 59% efficiency (Han et al., 2021a). Moreover, a Cu-based HKUST-1 embedded on carbon catalysts via an electrochemical reaction resulted in ~10-fold increase in faradaic efficiency for synthesizing C2+ products compared to a pristine Cu foil (Wang et al., 2021). This enhancement was attributed to the stepped surfaces, facets, and edges that contributed to the 1.24-fold higher ECSA observed compared to the Cu foil. Cu nanocrystallites were generated in MOF-Cu₃(HITP)₂ and the rich grain boundaries aided in C-C coupling reactions resulting in producing C₂H₄ with 60%-70% efficiency (Sun et al., 2021).

Third and most importantly, MOFs provide a structure where additional chemical species can be easily incorporated among the plethora of active sites available. From studies done on Cu electrodes, a variety of additions were found to enhance CO_2 conversion that holds true for Cu-based MOFs as well, and are well-suited to be adapted within the MOF structure (Figure 1). One of the primary chemical modifications that enhances C_{2+} product formation is the addition of metals that produce CO such as Au, Ag, and Zn as co-catalysts. As mentioned in section 1, Au nanoparticles dispersed on a polycrystalline Cu foil results in a 100 x higher reduction rate to C_{2+} products compared to Cu alone (Morales-Guio et al., 2018). This effect is also observed in CuZn bimetallic material embedded in a carbonized MOF, where the influence of Zn led to a 5-fold increase in faradaic efficiency in producing C_2H_4 and C_2H_5OH (Juntrapirom et al., 2021). A similar effect was also seen in Ag/Cu bimetallic catalysts based on a Cu-based MOF derivative where many C_{2+} products were produced with an efficiency of 21% (Sikdar et al., 2022).

MOFs can also incorporate non-metals within its framework. Appending N-containing Cu-N species is credited to amplify formation of C2+ products and lend higher stability. The former is attributed to the more stable adsorption of the CH₂ intermediate species and inhibition of HER (Jin et al., 2021). This is cited as the reason for the Cu₂O/Cu@NC catalyst made from Cu-NBDC MOF showing 24% efficiency in forming C₂H₄ in direct contrast to the Cu₂O/Cu@C catalyst (no N content) which did not produce any C₂H₄ (Jin et al., 2021). N, N dimethylformamide (DMF) was used in Cu-BTC and it was found to increase dimerization reactions producing oxalic acid with an efficiency of 51% (Senthil Kumar, Senthil Kumar and Anbu Kulandainathan, 2012). Similarly, N-containing ligands in Cu (II)/ade-MOFs augmented C2H4 generation with a 45% efficiency (Yang et al., 2019). An N-containing BEN-Cu-BTC derivative displayed efficiencies of 18% and 11% for C₂H₅OH and C₂H₄, respectively (Cheng et al., 2019). As seen from section 2, adding N-containing species is also a strategy used to increase CO₂ capture as these groups interact with CO₂ to enhance adsorption making this a dual-purpose addition for both capture and conversion.

Furthermore, stabilizing the Cu⁺ state was shown to boost synthesis of C₂₊ products *via* enhancing CO dimerization. This effect was observed in HKUST-1 where the high Cu⁺/Cu⁰ ratio resulted in producing C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH with efficiency of 59% (Han et al., 2021a). For similar reasons, the Cu@Cu_xO structure in a Cu-based MOF derivative created C₂H₄ with 51% efficiency (Yao et al., 2020).

While MOFs contain a plethora of advantages for CO₂ER, they are also plagued by some disadvantages. Some of them are the lack of conductivity and stability. This necessitates the addition of conductive supports that can in turn, complicate manufacturability. To truly enhance the catalytic activity to the maximum limit, we need to explore methods of making the MOF conductive on its own. Fortuitously, this can be achieved by pyrolyzing the MOFs to create MOF derivatives that not only are stable and conducting because of the carbonization of the organic linkers at high temperatures, but the process also creates additional active sites (Chen et al., 2018). These reasons led to oxide-derived Cu/carbon catalyst generated from HKUST-1 via pyrolysis displaying a very low overpotential (-0.1 V vs. RHE) for production of C₂H₅OH (Zhao et al., 2017). Other such Cu-based MOF derivatives are listed in Table 1 with the products and their efficiencies. These examples demonstrate that Cu-based MOF derivatives are well suited for CO₂ER to C_{2+} products.

4 Conclusion

An integrated carbon capture and conversion process is urgently needed to address atmospheric GHG concentrations. A one-pot solution avoids the additional cost, energy burden, and risks of compression and transportation that is associated with the CO₂ storage (and succeeding conversion). Only conversion to C_{2+} products is reported to be economically feasible. Since electrochemical reduction can be achieved under milder temperature and pressure conditions, they are preferred over thermal reduction. Cu-based catalysts are the gold standard for CO2ER to synthesize C2+ products while MOFs are renowned for their CO₂ capture abilities. The chemical tunability of MOFs makes it possible to make Cu-based MOFs which fit perfectly with the requirement of the one-pot solution to enable CO2 capture and CO2ER synthesis of C2+ products. A series of Cu-based MOFs were explored and their performance in converting CO₂ to C₂₊ products were summarized (Table 1). We also delved deeper into the mechanisms that are involved in C2+ product formation and various strategies that can be used to enhance it. Notable among these processes are incorporating Ag/Au/Zn as co-catalysts to increase CO yield, as converting CO₂ to CO is the first step in the CO₂ER pathway. Another strategy is increasing the ECSA of the catalyst, which is the principle behind using nanostructured Cu instead of polycrystalline Cu foil. Moreover, these Cu nanoclusters can be embedded into MOFs as well. We also explored one critical disadvantage of MOFs for electrocatalysis: their low electrical conductivity, which necessitates using conductive supports. However, using pyrolyzed MOFs is an easy way to achieve the requisite electrical conductivity while also boosting stability. These materials classes are highlighted in Figure 2. We believe that this one-pot solution can be used for cleaned and reacted flue gas with the added advantage of avoiding energies involved in pressurization and collection. This has been reported in a few studies (D'Alessandro et al., 2010; Kong et al., 2012; McDonald et al., 2012). Moreover, we want to highlight that this technology is in its conceptual stage and is not a working technology yet. Transformation to a full-fledged technology would require detailed evaluation of the scientific and engineering challenges such as separation of the reduction product from electrolyte and optimizing extended operation. A technoeconomic analysis would be useful to determine the scalability and to direct the implementation towards viable pathways.

While Cu-based MOFs display a high potential for CO_2ER , translating it to commercial use requires us to overcome challenges associated with the scaling-up of fabrication and deployment of MOFs. Firstly, MOFs are expensive and often, the primary contributing factor is the solvent cost (DeSantis et al., 2017). For example, it accounts for 40% of the cost in HKUST-1 made *via* solvothermal process (DeSantis et al., 2017). Opting for alternative processes such as liquid assisted grinding (LAG) and aqueous synthesis has the potential to reduce the cost by 34%–83% as these processes use less solvent in comparison (DeSantis et al., 2017). Moreover, the presence of inorganic nano-sized metal ions that are non-biodegradable along with trapped non-aqueous solvents such as dimethylformamide (DMF) make MOFs toxic (Kumar et al., 2019). More detailed studies on the toxic effects of MOFs are required for design of less toxic MOFs. Additionally, operation of MOFs for electrocatalytic applications often subject them to exposure to harsh chemical environments making chemical stability essential for efficient operation. Stability, in turn, is a direct result of the bonding environment including interactions such as hydrogen bonding and pi stacking (Coe, 2002; Nouar et al., 2008; Howarth et al., 2016). Incorporating a more inert central metal ion in the MOF structure can increase chemical stability (Kang et al., 2011; Leus et al., 2016). MOF derivatives synthesized via pyrolysis also slow down metal nanoparticle corrosion in the electrolyte, which in turn improves stability (Xiao et al., 2022). Another strategy to consider would be wrapping a protecting film on the surface of MOFs and MOF derivatives (Xiao et al., 2022). Mechanical stability is another factor affecting operation and while strategies like interweaving MOF networks (Tan and Cheetham, 2011; Burtch et al., 2014; Katz et al., 2015) are often used, this can be redundant in MOFs for CO2ER as liquid electrolyte filling MOFs enhances its stability already (Bennett et al., 2015; van de Voorde et al., 2015). Thermal stability is not as important in MOFs for CO2ER as electroreduction does not require harsh thermal conditions for CO₂ capture or conversion. Finally, the poor electrical conductivity of the organic linkers necessitates presence of conductive substrates such as carbon cloth (Xiao et al., 2022). As previously highlighted, MOF derivatives created via pyrolysis can provide the required conductivity with the formed carbon layers. By altering synthesis parameters, the degree of graphitization can be enhanced. By adjusting the chemistry of the MOF precursors, the degree of defect carbon structure can be tuned. Both strategies can be used to optimize the resulting MOF derivative for enhanced conductivity (Xiao et al., 2022).

Lastly, we summarize our review with Figure 2 and we provide conjectures regarding research needs for the next-generation onepot carbon-neutral solutions. Future research should standardize experimental results to facilitate comparison across multiple studies, enabling the community to accelerate the discovery process (Ward et al., 2022). Most of the electrochemical reductions occur at the interface of the electrode and the electrolyte. Using experimental characterization techniques can help us understand bonding and identify species participating in the reaction. Overall, investigating the solid/liquid interface using characterization techniques requires overcoming two major challenges. First, characterization techniques need to be adapted for high pressure environments, as opposed to high vacuum, to replicate the more realistic experimental conditions. Second, the region corresponding to the electrical double layer is small and sandwiched between the bulk electrode and the bulk electrolyte, requiring for Å to nm resolution. Thus, the characterization techniques need to be specifically modified to enable a focus on the solid/liquid interface. Recent developments in characterization techniques like Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), Raman spectroscopy, and Ambient-Pressure X-Ray Spectroscopy (APXPS) have been able to overcome these challenges (Tian & Ren, 2004; Zaera, 2014; Favaro et al., 2017b; Salmeron, 2018; Lu et al., 2019; Han et al., 2021b; Radjenovic et al., 2021; Ye & Liu, 2021; Hao et al., 2022). Using these characterization tools could enable a deeper understanding of the mechanisms

involved in the activation process (Favaro et al., 2017a; Qian et al., 2019; Qian et al., 2020). The field will benefit from active experimental collaboration across and computational investigations with the former focused on evaluating the performance of Cu-based MOFs as a function of parameters involved in the mechanism enhancement. On the other hand, computational studies can play a key role in assessing the energy landscape involved in the conversion of CO₂ to specific products. These assessments can be accelerated by implementing larger scale density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Kronik et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2019; 2021; Motamarri et al., 2020; Dogan et al., 2022; Hao et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2022) along with a faster transition state search procedure (Koistinen et al., 2017; Garrido Torres et al., 2019; Meyer et al., 2019). Eventually, a database common to both experimental and computational work, as outlined by the Materials Project (Jain et al., 2013) can be constructed which can go a long way towards helping design high performance solutions.

Author contributions

AJ and JQ contributed to the conception of the review. AJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision.

Funding

JQ was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

References

Albo, J., Vallejo, D., Beobide, G., Castillo, O., Castaño, P., Irabien, A., et al. (2017). Copper-based metal–organic porous materials for CO2 electrocatalytic reduction to alcohols. *ChemSusChem* 10 (6), 1100–1109. doi:10.1002/cssc.201600693

An, B., Li, Z., Song, Y., Zhang, J., Zeng, L., Wang, C., et al. (2019). Cooperative copper centres in a metal-organic framework for selective conversion of CO2 to ethanol. *Nat. Catal.* 2 (8), 709–717. doi:10.1038/s41929-019-0308-5

Bennett, T. D., Sotelo, J., Tan, J. C., and Moggach, S. A. (2015). Mechanical properties of zeolitic metal–organic frameworks: Mechanically flexible topologies and stabilization against structural collapse. *CrystEngComm* 17 (2), 286–289. doi:10.1039/C4CE02145B

Birol, D. F. (2019). World energy outlook 2019. Paris, France: Iea, 810.

Burtch, N. C., Jasuja, H., and Walton, K. S. (2014). Water stability and adsorption in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* 114 (20), 10575–10612. doi:10.1021/cr5002589

Chen, Y.-Z., Zhang, R., Jiao, L., and Jiang, H. L. (2018). Metal-organic framework-derived porous materials for catalysis. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 362, 1–23. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2018.02.008

Cheng, T., Xiao, H., and Goddard, W. A. I. I. (2015). Free-energy barriers and reaction mechanisms for the electrochemical reduction of CO on the Cu(100) surface, including multiple layers of explicit solvent at pH 0. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6 (23), 4767–4773. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02247

Cheng, Y.-S., Chu, X. P., Ling, M., Li, N., Wu, K. L., Wu, F. H., et al. (2019). An MOF-derived copper@nitrogen-doped carbon composite: The synergistic effects of N-types and copper on selective CO 2 electroreduction. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 9 (20), 5668–5675. doi:10.1039/C9CY01131E

Chernyshova, I., Somasundaran, P., and Ponnurangam, S. (2018). On the origin of the elusive first intermediate of CO2 electroreduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115 (40), E9261–E9270. doi:10.1073/pnas.1802256115

Coe, C. G. (2002). "Structural effects on the adsorptive properties of molecular sieves for air separation," in *Access in nanoporous materials*. Editors T. J. Pinnavaia and M. F. Thorpe (Boston, MA: Springer US (Fundamental Materials Research), 213–229. doi:10.1007/0-306-47066-7_14 through Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. AJ and EC. were supported by an Early Career Award in the Condensed Phase and Interfacial Molecular Science Program, in the Chemical Sciences Geosciences and Biosciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the United States. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. SWS was supported by Idaho National Laboratory under the United States Department of Energy through contract DE-AC07-05ID14517.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Yingchao Yang for his discussions and feedback.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

D'Alessandro, D. M., Smit, B., and Long, J. R. (2010). Carbon dioxide capture: Prospects for new materials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 49 (35), 6058–6082. doi:10.1002/ anie.201000431

Debatin, F., Thomas, A., Kelling, A., Hedin, N., Bacsik, Z., Senkovska, I., et al. (2010). *In situ* synthesis of an imidazolate-4-amide-5-imidate ligand and formation of a microporous zinc-organic framework with H2-and CO2-storage ability. *Angew. Chem.* 122 (7), 1280–1284. doi:10.1002/ange.200906188

DeSantis, D., Mason, J. A., James, B. D., Houchins, C., Long, J. R., and Veenstra, M. (2017). Techno-economic analysis of metal-organic frameworks for hydrogen and natural gas storage. *Energy and Fuels* 31 (2), 2024–2032. doi:10.1021/acs.energyfuels. 6b02510

Ding, M., Flaig, R. W., Jiang, H. L., and Yaghi, O. M. (2019). Carbon capture and conversion using metal–organic frameworks and MOF-based materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48 (10), 2783–2828. doi:10.1039/C8CS00829A

Dogan, M., Liou, K.-H., and Chelikowsky, J. R. (2022). Real-space methods for electronic structure calculations of over 100,000 atoms. United States: TACC. doi:10. 26153/TSW/43732

Eddaoudi, M., Moler, D. B., Li, H., Chen, B., Reineke, T. M., O'Keeffe, M., et al. (2001). Modular chemistry: Secondary building units as a basis for the design of highly porous and robust Metal–Organic carboxylate frameworks. *Accounts Chem. Res.* 34 (4), 319–330. doi:10.1021/ar000034b

Favaro, M., Liu, Z., and Crumlin, E. J. (2017b). Ambient-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy to characterize the solid/liquid interface: Probing the electrochemical double layer. *Synchrotron Radiat. News* 30 (2), 38–40. doi:10.1080/08940886.2017. 1289806

Favaro, M., Xiao, H., Cheng, T., Goddard, W. A., Yano, J., and Crumlin, E. J. (2017a). Subsurface oxide plays a critical role in CO2 activation by Cu (111) surfaces to form chemisorbed CO2, the first step in reduction of CO2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114 (26), 6706–6711. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701405114 Feaster, J. T., Shi, C., Cave, E. R., Hatsukade, T., Abram, D. N., Kuhl, K. P., et al. (2017). Understanding selectivity for the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid and carbon monoxide on metal electrodes. *ACS Catal.* 7 (7), 4822–4827. doi:10.1021/acscatal.7b00687

Field, C. B., and Barros, V. R. (2014). Climate change 2014: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability: Working group II contribution to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Gao, W.-Y., Chen, Y., Niu, Y., Williams, K., Cash, L., Perez, P. J., et al. (2014). Crystal engineering of an nbo topology metal–organic framework for chemical fixation of CO2 under ambient conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 53 (10), 2615–2619. doi:10.1002/anie.201309778

Garrido Torres, J. A., Jennings, P. C., Hansen, M. H., Boes, J. R., and Bligaard, T. (2019). Low-scaling algorithm for nudged elastic band calculations using a surrogate machine learning model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 122 (15), 156001. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.122.156001

Garza, A. J., Bell, A. T., and Head-Gordon, M. (2018). Mechanism of CO2 reduction at copper surfaces: Pathways to C2 products. *ACS Catal.* 8 (2), 1490–1499. doi:10.1021/acscatal.7b03477

Gassensmith, J. J., Furukawa, H., Smaldone, R. A., Forgan, R. S., Botros, Y. Y., Yaghi, O. M., et al. (2011). Strong and reversible binding of carbon dioxide in a green metal–organic framework. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (39), 15312–15315. doi:10.1021/ja206525x

Gattrell, M., Gupta, N., and Co, A. (2006). A review of the aqueous electrochemical reduction of CO2 to hydrocarbons at copper. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 594 (1), 1–19. doi:10. 1016/j.jelechem.2006.05.013

Gielen, D., Boshell, F., Saygin, D., Bazilian, M. D., Wagner, N., and Gorini, R. (2019). The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. *Energy Strategy Rev.* 24, 38–50. doi:10.1016/j.esr.2019.01.006

Han, Y., Zhang, H., Yu, Y., and Liu, Z. (2021b). In situ characterization of catalysis and electrocatalysis using APXPS. ACS Catal. 11 (3), 1464–1484. doi:10.1021/acscatal.0c04251

Han, Y., Zhu, S., Xu, S., Niu, X., Xu, Z., Zhao, R., et al. (2021a). Understanding structure-activity relationship on metal-organic-framework-derived catalyst for CO2 electroreduction to C2 products. *ChemElectroChem* 8 (16), 3174–3180. doi:10. 1002/celc.202100942

Hao, H., Pestana, L. R., Qian, J., Liu, M., Xu, Q., Head-Gordon, T., et al. (2022). *Chemical transformations and transport phenomena at interfaces*. New Jersey, United States: Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science, e1639. doi:10.1002/WCMS.1639

Hori, Y. (2008). "Electrochemical CO2 reduction on metal electrodes," in *Modern* aspects of *Electrochemistry*. Editors C. G. Vayenas, R. E. White, and M. E. Gamboa-Aldeco (New York, NY: Springer), 89–189. (Modern Aspects of Electrochemistry). doi:10.1007/978-0-387-49489-0 3

Hori, Y., Takahashi, R., Yoshinami, Y., and Murata, A. (1997). Electrochemical reduction of CO at a copper electrode. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 101 (36), 7075–7081. doi:10. 1021/jp970284i

Hori, Y., Wakebe, H., Tsukamoto, T., and Koga, O. (1995). Adsorption of CO accompanied with simultaneous charge transfer on copper single crystal electrodes related with electrochemical reduction of CO2 to hydrocarbons. *Surf. Sci.* 335, 258–263. doi:10.1016/0039-6028(95)00441-6

Hori, Y., Wakebe, H., Tsukamoto, T., and Koga, O. (1994). Electrocatalytic process of CO selectivity in electrochemical reduction of CO2 at metal electrodes in aqueous media. *Electrochimica Acta* 39 (11), 1833–1839. doi:10.1016/0013-4686(94)85172-7

Howarth, A. J., Liu, Y., Li, P., Li, Z., Wang, T. C., Hupp, J. T., et al. (2016). Chemical, thermal and mechanical stabilities of metal-organic frameworks. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 1, 15018. doi:10.1038/natrevmats.2015.18

Huck, J. M., Lin, L. C., Berger, A. H., Shahrak, M. N., Martin, R. L., Bhown, A. S., et al. (2014). Evaluating different classes of porous materials for carbon capture. *Energy and Environ. Sci.* 7 (12), 4132–4146. doi:10.1039/C4EE02636E

IRENA (2018). Global energy transformation: A roadmap to 2050. United Arab Emirates: IRENA, 76.

Ishimaru, S., Shiratsuchi, R., and Nogami, G. (2000). Pulsed electroreduction of CO[sub 2] on Cu-Ag alloy electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 147 (5), 1864. doi:10.1149/1.1393448

Iyer, S. S., Bajaj, I., Balasubramanian, P., and Hasan, M. M. F. (2017). Integrated carbon capture and conversion to produce syngas: Novel process design, intensification, and optimization. *Industrial Eng. Chem. Res.* 56 (30), 8622–8648. doi:10.1021/acs.iecr. 7b01688

Jain, A., Ong, S. P., Hautier, G., Chen, W., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S., et al. (2013). Commentary: The materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *Apl. Mater.* 1 (1), 011002. doi:10.1063/1.4812323

Jiao, L., Xie, F., Chen, R., Ye, D., Zhang, B., An, L., et al. (2019). Toward CO2 utilization for direct power generation using an integrated system consisting of CO2 photoreduction with 3D TiO2/Ni-foam and a photocatalytic fuel cell. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 7 (11), 6275–6284. doi:10.1039/C8TA12255E

Jin, H., Xiong, L., Zhang, X., Lian, Y., Chen, S., Lu, Y., et al. (2021). Cu-Based catalyst derived from nitrogen-containing metal organic frameworks for electroreduction of CO&tl;sub>2&dt;/sub>. *Wuli Huaxue Xuebao/Acta Phys. - Chim. Sin.* 37 (11), 2006017. doi:10.3866/PKU.WHXB202006017

Joe Zhou, H.-C., and Kitagawa, S. (2014). Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs). Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (16), 5415–5418. doi:10.1039/C4CS90059F

Juntrapirom, S., Santatiwongchai, J., Watwiangkham, A., Suthirakun, S., Butburee, T., Faungnawakij, K., et al. (2021). Tuning CuZn interfaces in metal–organic frameworkderived electrocatalysts for enhancement of CO2 conversion to C2 products. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 11 (24), 8065–8078. doi:10.1039/D1CY01839F

Kang, I. J., Khan, N. A., Haque, E., and Jhung, S. H. (2011). Chemical and thermal stability of isotypic metal–organic frameworks: Effect of metal ions. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 17 (23), 6437–6442. doi:10.1002/chem.201100316

Katz, M. J., Moon, S. Y., Mondloch, J. E., Beyzavi, M. H., Stephenson, C. J., Hupp, J. T., et al. (2015). Exploiting parameter space in MOFs: A 20-fold enhancement of phosphate-ester hydrolysis with UiO-66-NH2. *Chem. Sci.* 6 (4), 2286–2291. doi:10. 1039/c4sc03613a

Koistinen, O. P., Dagbjartsdottir, F. B., Asgeirsson, V., Vehtari, A., and Jonsson, H. (2017). Nudged elastic band calculations accelerated with Gaussian process regression. *J. Chem. Phys.* 147 (15), 152720. doi:10.1063/1.4986787

Kondratenko, E., Mul, G., Baltrusaitis, J., Larrazabal, G. O., and Perez-Ramirez, J. (2013). Status and perspectives of CO22 conversion into fuels and chemicals by catalytic, photocatalytic and electrocatalytic processes. *Energy and Environ. Sci.* 6 (11), 3112–3135. doi:10.1039/C3EE41272E

Kong, X., Scott, E., Ding, W., Mason, J. A., Long, J. R., and Reimer, J. A. (2012). CO2 dynamics in a metal–organic framework with open metal sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134 (35), 14341–14344. doi:10.1021/ja306822p

Kortlever, R., Shen, J., Schouten, K. J. P., Calle-Vallejo, F., and Koper, M. T. M. (2015). Catalysts and reaction pathways for the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6 (20), 4073–4082. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett. 5b01559

Kronik, L., Makmal, A., Tiago, M. L., Alemany, M. M. G., Jain, M., Huang, X., et al. (2006). Parsec – the pseudopotential algorithm for real-space electronic structure calculations: Recent advances and novel applications to nano-structures. *Phys. status solidi (b)* 243 (5), 1063–1079. doi:10.1002/PSSB.200541463

Kuhl, K. P., Cave, E. R., Abram, D. N., and Jaramillo, T. F. (2012). New insights into the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide on metallic copper surfaces. *Energy and Environ. Sci.* 5 (5), 7050–7059. doi:10.1039/C2EE21234J

Kumar, P., Anand, B., Tsang, Y. F., Kim, K. H., Khullar, S., and Wang, B. (2019). Regeneration, degradation, and toxicity effect of MOFs: Opportunities and challenges. *Environ. Res.* 176, 108488. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2019.05.019

Leus, K., Bogaerts, T., De Decker, J., Depauw, H., Hendrickx, K., Vrielinck, H., et al. (2016). Systematic study of the chemical and hydrothermal stability of selected "stable" Metal Organic Frameworks. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 226, 110–116. doi:10. 1016/imicromeso.2015.11.055

Liang, L., Liu, C., Jiang, F., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Xue, H., et al. (2017). Carbon dioxide capture and conversion by an acid-base resistant metal-organic framework. *Nat. Commun.* 8 (1), 1233. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01166-3

Liu, X.-H., Ma, J. G., Niu, Z., Yang, G. M., and Cheng, P. (2015). An efficient nanoscale heterogeneous catalyst for the capture and conversion of carbon dioxide at ambient pressure. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54 (3), 988–991. doi:10.1002/anie. 201409103

Liu, X., Schlexer, P., Xiao, J., Ji, Y., Wang, L., Sandberg, R. B., et al. (2019). pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of CO(2) towards C2 products on stepped copper. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 32. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07970-9

Long, J. R., and Yaghi, O. M. (2009). The pervasive chemistry of metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 38 (5), 1213–1214. doi:10.1039/B903811F

Lu, W., Wei, Z., Gu, Z. Y., Liu, T. F., Park, J., Park, J., et al. (2014). Tuning the structure and function of metal–organic frameworks via linker design. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (16), 5561–5593. doi:10.1039/C4CS00003J

Lu, Y. H., Larson, J. M., Baskin, A., Zhao, X., Ashby, P. D., Prendergast, D., et al. (2019). Infrared nanospectroscopy at the graphene–electrolyte interface. *Nano Lett.* 19 (8), 5388–5393. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b01897

Lum, Y., Cheng, T., Goddard, W. A., and Ager, J. W. (2018). Electrochemical CO reduction builds solvent water into oxygenate products. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (30), 9337–9340. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b03986

Manthiram, K., Beberwyck, B. J., and Alivisatos, A. P. (2014). Enhanced electrochemical methanation of carbon dioxide with a dispersible nanoscale copper catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (38), 13319–13325. doi:10.1021/ja5065284

McDonald, T. M., Lee, W. R., Mason, J. A., Wiers, B. M., Hong, C. S., and Long, J. R. (2012). Capture of carbon dioxide from air and flue gas in the alkylamine-appended metal-organic framework mmen-Mg2 (dobpdc). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134 (16), 7056–7065. doi:10.1021/ja300034j

Metz, B. (2007). Climate change 2007: Mitigation of climate change: Contribution of working group III to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge ; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Meyer, R., Schmuck, K. S., and Hauser, A. W. (2019). Machine learning in computational chemistry: An evaluation of method performance for nudged elastic band calculations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 15, 6513–6523. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00708 Millward, A. R., and Yaghi, O. M. (2005). Metal–Organic frameworks with exceptionally high capacity for storage of carbon dioxide at room temperature. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127 (51), 17998–17999. doi:10.1021/ja0570032

Montoya, J. H., Shi, C., Chan, K., and Norskov, J. K. (2015). Theoretical insights into a CO dimerization mechanism in CO2 electroreduction. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6 (11), 2032–2037. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b00722

Morales-Guio, C. G., Cave, E. R., Nitopi, S. A., Feaster, J. T., Wang, L., Kuhl, K. P., et al. (2018). Improved CO2 reduction activity towards C2+ alcohols on a tandem gold on copper electrocatalyst. *Nat. Catal.* 1 (10), 764–771. doi:10.1038/s41929-018-0139-9

Mosca, N., Vismara, R., Fernandes, J. A., Tuci, G., Di Nicola, C., Domasevitch, K. V., et al. (2018). Nitro-functionalized bis(pyrazolate) metal–organic frameworks as carbon dioxide capture materials under ambient conditions. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 24 (50), 13170–13180. doi:10.1002/chem.201802240

Motamarri, P., Das, S., Rudraraju, S., Ghosh, K., Davydov, D., and Gavini, V. (2020). DFT-FE – a massively parallel adaptive finite-element code for large-scale density functional theory calculations. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 246, 106853. doi:10.1016/J.CPC.2019.07.016

Nam, D.-H., Bushuyev, O. S., Li, J., De Luna, P., Seifitokaldani, A., Dinh, C. T., et al. (2018). Metal-organic frameworks mediate Cu coordination for selective CO2 electroreduction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (36), 11378–11386. doi:10.1021/jacs. 8b06407

Nguyen, P. T. K., Nguyen, H. T. D., Nguyen, H. N., Trickett, C. A., Ton, Q. T., Gutierrez-Puebla, E., et al. (2018). New metal–organic frameworks for chemical fixation of CO2. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10 (1), 733–744. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b16163

Nitopi, S., Bertheussen, E., Scott, S. B., Liu, X., Engstfeld, A. K., Horch, S., et al. (2019). Progress and perspectives of electrochemical CO2 reduction on copper in aqueous electrolyte. *Chem. Rev.* 119 (12), 7610–7672. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00705

Nouar, F., Eubank, J. F., Bousquet, T., Wojtas, L., Zaworotko, M. J., and Eddaoudi, M. (2008). Supermolecular building blocks (SBBs) for the design and synthesis of highly porous metal-organic frameworks. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (6), 1833–1835. doi:10.1021/ja710123s

OECD (2012). OECD environmental outlook to 2050: The consequences of inaction. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Paul, A., and Michelle, B. (2020). Basic research needs for carbon capture: Beyond 2020: Report of the basic energy Sciences Workshop for carbon capture: Beyond 2020. United States: OSTI.GOV, 196.

Peterson, A. A., Abild-Pedersen, F., Studt, F., Rossmeisl, J., and Norskov, J. K. (2010). How copper catalyzes the electroreduction of carbon dioxide into hydrocarbon fuels. *Energy and Environ. Sci.* 3 (9), 1311. doi:10.1039/c0ee00071j

Qian, J., Baskin, A., Liu, Z., Prendergast, D., and Crumlin, E. J. (2020). Addressing the sensitivity of signals from solid/liquid ambient pressure XPS (APXPS) measurement. *J. Chem. Phys.* 153 (4), 044709. doi:10.1063/5.0006242

Qian, J., Ye, Y., Yang, H., Yano, J., Crumlin, E. J., and Goddard, W. A. (2019). Initial steps in forming the electrode-electrolyte interface: H2O adsorption and complex formation on the Ag (111) surface from combining quantum mechanics calculations and ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141 (17), 6946–6954. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b13672

Queen, W., Hudson, M. R., Bloch, E. D., Mason, J. A., Gonzalez, M. I., Lee, J. S., et al. (2014). Comprehensive study of carbon dioxide adsorption in the metal–organic frameworks M 2 (dobdc) (M = Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn). *Chem. Sci.* 5 (12), 4569–4581. doi:10.1039/C4SC02064B

Radjenovic, P. M., Zhou, R. Y., Dong, J. C., and Li, J. F. (2021). Watching reactions at solid–liquid interfaces with *in situ* Raman spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 125 (48), 26285–26295. doi:10.1021/acsjpcc.1c08640

Rafiee, A., Rajab Khalilpour, K., Milani, D., and Panahi, M. (2018). Trends in CO2 conversion and utilization: A review from process systems perspective. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 6 (5), 5771–5794. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2018.08.065

Sabri, M. A., Al Jitan, S., Bahamon, D., Vega, L. F., and Palmisano, G. (2021). Current and future perspectives on catalytic-based integrated carbon capture and utilization. *Sci. Total Environ.* 790, 148081. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148081

Salmeron, M. (2018). From surfaces to interfaces: Ambient pressure XPS and beyond. *Top. Catal.* 61 (20), 2044–2051. doi:10.1007/s11244-018-1069-0

Senthil Kumar, R., Senthil Kumar, S., and Anbu Kulandainathan, M. (2012). Highly selective electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide using Cu based metal organic framework as an electrocatalyst. *Electrochem. Commun.* 25, 70–73. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2012.09.018

Sikdar, N., Junqueira, J. R. C., Dieckhofer, S., Quast, T., Braun, M., Song, Y., et al. (2021). A metal–organic framework derived $cu_x O_y C_z$ catalyst for electrochemical CO $_2$ reduction and impact of local pH change. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60 (43), 23427–23434. doi:10.1002/anie.202108313

Sikdar, N., Junqueira, J. R. C., Ohl, D., Dieckhofer, S., Quast, T., Braun, M., et al. (2022). Redox replacement of silver on MOF-derived Cu/C nanoparticles on gas diffusion electrodes for electrocatalytic CO2 reduction. *Chem. - A Eur. J.* 28 (12), e202104249. doi:10.1002/chem.202104249

Sun, H., Chen, L., Xiong, L., Feng, K., Chen, Y., Zhang, X., et al. (2021). Promoting ethylene production over a wide potential window on Cu crystallites induced and stabilized via current shock and charge delocalization. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 6823. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27169-9

Sun, K., Cheng, T., Wu, L., Hu, Y., Zhou, J., Maclennan, A., et al. (2017). Ultrahigh mass activity for carbon dioxide reduction enabled by gold-iron core-shell nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (44), 15608–15611. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b09251

Tan, J. C., and Cheetham, A. K. (2011). Mechanical properties of hybrid inorganic–organic framework materials: Establishing fundamental structure–property relationships. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 40 (2), 1059. doi:10.1039/c0cs00163e

Tian, Z. Q., and Ren, B. (2004). Adsorption and reaction at electrochemical interfaces as probed by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 55, 197–229. doi:10.1146/annurev.physchem.54.011002.103833

van de Voorde, B., Stassen, I., Bueken, B., Vermoortele, F., De Vos, D., Ameloot, R., et al. (2015). Improving the mechanical stability of zirconium-based metal-organic frameworks by incorporation of acidic modulators. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 3 (4), 1737–1742. doi:10.1039/c4ta06396a

Wang, D., Xu, J., Zhu, Y., Wen, L., Ye, J., Shen, Y., et al. (2021). HKUST-1-derived highly ordered Cu nanosheets with enriched edge sites, stepped (211) surfaces and (200) facets for effective electrochemical CO2 reductionfacets for effective electrochemical CO2 reduction. *Chemosphere* 278, 130408. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130408

Wang, X., Gao, W. Y., Niu, Z., Wojtas, L., Perman, J. A., Chen, Y. S., et al. (2018). A metal-metalloporphyrin framework based on an octatopic porphyrin ligand for chemical fixation of CO2 with aziridines. *Chem. Commun.* 54 (10), 1170–1173. doi:10.1039/C7CC08844B

Wang, Y., Tian, Y., Pan, S., and Snyder, S. W. (2022). Catalytic processes to accelerate decarbonization in a net-zero carbon world. *ChemSusChem* 15 (24), e202201290. doi:10. 1002/cssc.202201290

Ward, L., Babinec, S., Dufek, E. J., Howey, D. A., Viswanathan, V., Aykol, M., et al. (2022). Principles of the battery data genome. *Joule* 6 (10), 2253–2271. doi:10.1016/j. joule.2022.08.008

Xiao, L., Wang, Z., and Guan, J. (2022). 2D MOFs and their derivatives for electrocatalytic applications: Recent advances and new challenges. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 472, 214777. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2022.214777

Xiong, G., Yu, B., Dong, J., Shi, Y., Zhao, B., and He, L. N. (2017). Cluster-based MOFs with accelerated chemical conversion of CO2 through C-C bond formation. *Chem. Commun.* 53 (44), 6013–6016. doi:10.1039/C7CC01136A

Xu, Q., Prendergast, D., and Qian, J. (2022). Real-Space pseudopotential method for the calculation of 1 s core-level binding energies. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 18 (9), 5471–5478. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.2c00474

Xu, Q., Sharma, A., Comer, B., Huang, H., Chow, E., Medford, A. J., et al. (2021). Sparc: Simulation package for ab-initio real-space calculations. *SoftwareX* 15, 100709. doi:10.1016/J.SOFTX.2021.100709

Xu, Q., Wang, S., Xue, L., Shao, X., Gao, P., Lv, J., et al. (2019). *Ab initio* electronic structure calculations using a real-space Chebyshev-filtered subspace iteration method. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 31 (45), 455901. doi:10.1088/1361-648X/AB2A63

Yang, F., Chen, A., Deng, P. L., Zhou, Y., Shahid, Z., Liu, H., et al. (2019). Highly efficient electroconversion of carbon dioxide into hydrocarbons by cathodized copper–organic frameworks. *Chem. Sci.* 10 (34), 7975–7981. doi:10.1039/C9SC02605C

Yao, K., Xia, Y., Li, J., Wang, N., Han, J., Gao, C., et al. (2020). Metal–organic framework derived copper catalysts for CO2 to ethylene conversion. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 8 (22), 11117–11123. doi:10.1039/D0TA02395G

Ye, Y., and Liu, Z. (2021). "APXPS of solid/liquid interfaces," in *Ambient pressure* spectroscopy in complex chemical environments' (Washington, United States: American Chemical Society), 67–92. doi:10.1021/bk-2021-1396.ch004

Zaera, F. (2014). New advances in the use of infrared absorption spectroscopy for the characterization of heterogeneous catalytic reactions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (22), 7624–7663. doi:10.1039/C3CS60374A

Zalomaeva, O. v., Maksimchuk, N. V., Chibiryaev, A. M., Kovalenko, K. A., Fedin, V. P., and Balzhinimaev, B. S. (2013). Synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides or olefins and CO2 catalyzed by metal-organic frameworks and quaternary ammonium salts. *J. Energy Chem.* 22 (1), 130–135. doi:10.1016/S2095-4956(13)60017-0

Zhang, G., Wei, G., Liu, Z., Oliver, S. R. J., and Fei, H. (2016). A robust sulfonate-based metal-organic framework with permanent porosity for efficient CO2 capture and conversion. *Chem. Mater.* 28 (17), 6276–6281. doi:10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b02511

Zhang, Y., Li, K., Chen, M., Wang, J., and Liu, J. (2020). Cu/Cu₂O nanoparticles supported on vertically ZIF-L-coated nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets for electroreduction of CO₂ to ethanol. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 3 (1), 257–263. doi:10.1021/acsanm.9b01935

Zhao, K., Liu, Y., Quan, X., Chen, S., and Yu, H. (2017). CO2 electroreduction at low overpotential on oxide-derived Cu/carbons fabricated from metal organic framework. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 9 (6), 5302–5311. doi:10.1021/acsami.6b15402

Zhi, W.-Y., Liu, Y. T., Shan, S. L., Jiang, C. J., Wang, H., and Lu, J. X. (2021). Efficient electroreduction of CO2 to C2-C3 products on Cu/Cu2O@N-doped graphene. *J. CO2 Util.* 50, 101594. doi:10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101594

Zhou, X., Zhang, Y., Yang, X., Zhao, L., and Wang, G. (2012). Functionalized IRMOF-3 as efficient heterogeneous catalyst for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates. *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 361–362, 12–16. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2012.04.008

Zhou, Y., Saad, Y., Tiago, M. L., and Chelikowsky, J. R. (2006). Parallel self-consistentfield calculations via Chebyshev-filtered subspace acceleration. *Phys. Rev. E - Stat. Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys.* 74 (6), 066704. doi:10.1103/physreve.74.066704