#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Liang Xiong, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China

REVIEWED BY Chung Sub Kim, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea Jungui Dai, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lijie Yuan, i yuanlijie1970@163.com Yunying Xie, i xieyy@imb.pumc.edu.cn

RECEIVED 03 June 2023 ACCEPTED 18 July 2023 PUBLISHED 03 August 2023

#### CITATION

Chang S, Luo Y, He N, Huang X, Chen M, Yuan L and Xie Y (2023), Nocaviogua A and B: two lipolanthines from rootnodule-associated *Nocardia* sp. *Front. Chem.* 11:1233938. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2023.1233938

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Chang, Luo, He, Huang, Chen, Yuan and Xie. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Nocaviogua A and B: two lipolanthines from root-noduleassociated *Nocardia* sp.

Shanshan Chang<sup>1</sup>, Yajun Luo<sup>2</sup>, Ning He<sup>1</sup>, Xinyue Huang<sup>1</sup>, Mingxu Chen<sup>1</sup>, Lijie Yuan<sup>2</sup>\* and Yunying Xie<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>CAMS Key Laboratory of Synthetic Biology for Drug Innovation, Institute of Medicinal Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Hebei Key Laboratory for Chronic Diseases, Tangshan Key Laboratory for Preclinical and Basic Research on Chronic Diseases, School of Basic Medical Sciences, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei, China

Nocaviogua A (1) and B (2), two lipolanthines featuring a non-canonical avionin (Avi)-containing macrocycle and a long acyl chain, were identified from the mutualistic actinomycete *Nocardia* sp. XZ19\_369, which was isolated from the nodules of sea buckthorn collected in Tibet. Their planar structures were elucidated via extensive analyses of 1D and 2D NMR, as well as HRMS data. The absolute configurations were fully elucidated by advanced Marfey's analysis and GIAO NMR calculations, representing the first time that the configurations of this family of lipolanthines have been determined. Nocaviogua A (1) exhibited weak cytotoxicity against human chronic uveal melanoma cells (UM92-1), non-small cell lung cancer (NCI-H2170), and breast cancer (MDA-MB-231). Our work provides valuable information on this burgeoning class of lipolanthines for further investigations.

#### KEYWORDS

lipolanthine, Nocardia, advanced Marfey's analysis, NMR calculations, cytotoxicity

# 1 Introduction

Ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs) are a large group of natural products that give rise to a potent biological activity and desirable drug-like properties, such as resistance to chemical and enzymatic degradation (Watrous et al., 2012; Montalban-Lopez et al., 2021; Mazo et al., 2023), conformational rigidity (Bobeica et al., 2020), and high target specificity (Ongey et al., 2017; Grant-Mackie et al., 2021). The structural diversity of RiPPs is multiplied by fusions with polyketides or fatty acids (Kozakai et al., 2020). Among them, lipolanthines are a class of polyketide/fatty acid-ribosomally synthesized hybrid lipopeptides (PK/FA-RiPPs) that feature a unique avionin (Avi)-containing macrocycle and a long acyl chain (Wiebach et al., 2018; Kozakai et al., 2020). Due to the utilization of class-III-type lanthipeptide synthetases in biosynthesis, these unusual natural lipopeptides were also classified as class-III lanthipeptides (Grant-Mackie et al., 2021).

Since the first lipolanthine was reported in 2018 (Wiebach et al., 2018), only 12 lipolanthines, belonging to three groups (microvionin, nocavionin, and goadvionins), have been discovered, and none of their absolute configurations have been fully determined. As an emerging class of natural products, some of these lipolanthines have shown promising antibacterial effects against antibiotic-resistant bacteria, e.g., microvionin has exhibited strong antibacterial effects with MIC values of less than 0.46  $\mu$ g/mL against MRSA and less

10.3389/fchem.2023.1233938

than 0.15  $\mu$ g/mL against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (Wiebach et al., 2018); goadvionins have also been shown to inhibit the growth of Gram-positive bacteria, with goadvionin B2 displaying the MIC values of 6.4  $\mu$ g/mL against *Staphylococcus aureus*, 3.2  $\mu$ g/mL against *B. subtilis*, and 3.2  $\mu$ g/mL against *Micrococcus luteus* (Kozakai et al., 2020). Despite their potential, the low yield and difficulties in synthesis have hindered their pharmaceutical commercialization (Mazo et al., 2023).

In our continuing efforts to explore novel bioactive metabolites from unique habitat-derived microbes (Chang et al., 2022a; Luo et al., 2022a; Chang et al., 2022b; Luo et al., 2022b), two lipolanthines (1 and 2) were produced by *Nocardia* sp. XZ19\_369, which was isolated from the nodules of sea buckthorn collected in Tibet, China. The whole structures of 1 and 2 were fully elucidated by the extensive analysis of 1D and 2D NMR data, advanced Marfey's method, and NMR calculations. These compounds represent the first lipolanthines with determined absolute configurations. Herein, the isolation, structural elucidation, and bioactivities of compounds 1 and 2 are described.

# 2 Experimental section

## 2.1 General experimental procedures

The optical rotations were obtained using a JASCO J-815 spectrometer (JASCO International Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). 1D and 2D NMR spectra were measured using a Bruker AVIIIHD spectrometer (Bruker Co. Ltd., Bremen, Germany) at 600 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 150 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$  in DMSO-d\_6 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.49 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  34.9). High-resolution mass data and advanced Marfey's analysis were measured using a Waters Xevo G2-XS QTof mass spectrometer (Waters Co., Manchester, United Kingdom). Column chromatography was performed on macroporous adsorption resins (Diaion 4006; Nankai Chemical Co. Ltd., China) and the CombiFlash "Rf system fitted with an ODS flash column (RediSep Rf C<sub>18</sub> flash column, 130 g). Semi-preparative HPLC was performed using an Agilent 1200 series liquid chromatography system (quaternary pump, autosampler, and diode array detector) using a Reprosil-Pur Basic-C<sub>18</sub> column (5  $\mu$ m, 250 × 10 mm).

## 2.2 Bacterial materials

*Nocardia* sp. XZ19\_369 was isolated from the nodules of sea buckthorn collected from Tibet, China. Their draft genomes were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States), assembled using SPAdes V3.13.1, and submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (accession no. JACVED000000000 for XZ19\_369). The phylogenetic tree (Supplementary Figure S1) was constructed using autoMLST (Alanjary et al., 2019).

# 2.3 Fermentation

Nocardia sp. XZ19\_369 grown on the ISP2 medium (0.4% glucose, 0.4% yeast extract, 1% malt extract, and 2% agar) was

inoculated into 100 mL of the ISP2 liquid medium contained in a 500-mL Erlenmeyer flask and cultured at 28°C for 2 days as the seed culture. For large-scale fermentation, 50 mL of the seed culture was inoculated into 10 Erlenmeyer flasks (5 L), each containing 1 L of the sterile YMS medium (0.4% yeast extract, 1% malt extract and 0.4% starch soluble), and then cultivated at 28°C for 10 days.

## 2.4 Isolation and purification

The culture broth (20 L) was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 30 min to obtain the mycelium and supernatant; the supernatant was analyzed by LC–MS using an ACQUITY UPLC<sup>®</sup> CSH<sup>TM</sup> C<sub>18</sub> column (Waters,  $1.7 \,\mu$ m,  $2.1 \times 100$  mm, at 30°C) eluted with the gradient method (from 10% to 100% MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, containing 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min for 10 min) (Supplementary Figure S2) and chromatographed over a macroporous adsorption resin column using the EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O gradient elution (0%, 30%, 50%, 80%, and 100%; each 5 L) to afford five corresponding fractions (Fr.1: 2350.1 mg, Fr.2: 1576.5 mg, Fr.3: 923.5 mg, Fr.4: 323.6 mg, and Fr.5: 375.2 mg).

Fr.3 (923.5 mg) was then fractionated using an ODS flash column eluted with a gradient MeCN–H<sub>2</sub>O solution (1–10 min, 5%–25% MeCN; 10–50 min, 25%–50% MeCN; 50–70 min, 50%–100% MeCN; 70–80 min, and 100% MeCN; 15 mL/min) to yield four fractions (Fr.3–1–Fr.3–4). Fr.3–3 was further purified by reversed-phase semipreparative HPLC (Reprosil-Pur Basic-C<sub>18</sub> column; 5  $\mu$ m, 250 × 10 mm, 2.5 mL/min, and 28% MeCN–H<sub>2</sub>O in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) to yield **1** (5.2 mg) and **2** (3.0 mg).

## 2.5 Spectroscopic data on compounds

#### 2.5.1 Nocaviogua A (1)

White powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -2.00 (*c* 0.2, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); UV (DAD) 219 nm and 261 nm; <sup>1</sup>H (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), as shown in Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 918.4514 (calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>64</sub>N<sub>11</sub>O<sub>11</sub>S, 918.4507).

#### 2.5.2 Nocaviogua B (2)

White powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -2.96 (*c* 0.3, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); UV (DAD) 219 nm and 261 nm; <sup>1</sup>H (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>), as shown in Table 1; HRESIMS *m*/*z* [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 935.4766 (calcd for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>67</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>11</sub>S, 935.4773).

## 2.6 Advanced Marfey's method

Each compound 1–2 (50  $\mu$ g) was dissolved in a 100  $\mu$ L of 6 N HCl and heated at 110°C for 24 h. After heating, the hydrolysates were divided into two parts and dried under the N<sub>2</sub> flow. The dried hydrolysates and each standard amino acid (*L*-Ala, *L*-Val, *L*-Ser, and *L*-Asp) were dissolved in 30  $\mu$ L of the 0.1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. To each reaction vial, 30  $\mu$ L of *L*-FDAA ( $N_{\alpha}$ -(2,4-dinitro-5-fluorophenyl)-*L*-alaninamide, 1% solution in acetone) was added and heated at 40°C for 1 h (Kiyonaga Fujii, 1997a; Kiyonaga Fujii, 1997b). After cooling at room temperature, 30  $\mu$ L of 0.1 M HCl was added to each vial. The mixtures were diluted with 500  $\mu$ L of MeOH.

		Nocaviogua A (1)		Noc	Nocaviogua B (2)	
		$\delta_{C}$ , type	$\delta_{ m H}$ , multi. (J in Hz)	$\delta_{C}$ , type	$\delta_{ m H}$ , multi. (J in Hz)	
MGFA	1	165.1, C	_	165.5, C	_	
	2	122.9, CH	5.99, d (15.6)	122.7, CH	5.98, d (15.0)	
	3	139.6, CH	7.07, dd (11.4, 15.0)	139.9, CH	6.96, dd (11.4, 15.0)	
	4	128.7, CH	6.19, dd (11.4, 15.0)	128.7, CH	6.20, dd (11.4, 15.0)	
	5	141.4, CH	6.05, dt (7.2, 15.0)	141.6, CH	6.04, dt (6.6, 15.0)	
	6	32.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.17, m	32.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.18, m	
	7	31.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.07, m	31.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.07, dt (7.2, 13.2)	
	8	129.3, CH	5.40, dd (5.4, 15.0)	129.4, CH	5.38, dd (5.4, 15.6)	
	9	130.4, CH	5.40, dd (5.4, 15.0)	130.4, CH	5.42, dd (5.4, 15.6)	
	10	31.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.96, m	31.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.95, dt (7.2, 12.6)	
	11	26.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.31, m	26.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.30, m	
	12	28.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.46, m	28.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	1.46, m	
	13	40.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.08, m	40.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.08, m	
	14	155.2, C	_	155.2, C	_	
	15	28.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.72, d (5.4)	28.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.72, d (4.8)	
	16	28.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.72, d (5.4)	28.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.72, d (4.8)	
	13-NH		7.32, t (6.0)	_	7.33, m	
	15-NH		7.41, q (5.4)		7.43, q (4.8)	
	16-NH	_	7.41, q (5.4)		7.43, q (4.8)	
Ala <sub>1</sub>	1	171.5, C	_	172.3, C	_	
	2	48.6, CH	4.20, m	48.7, CH	4.24, m	
	3	17.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.16, d (7.2)	17.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.20, d (7.2)	
	2-NH		8.06, d (6.6)	_	8.29, d (6.6)	
Avi <sub>2</sub>	1	171.2, C	_	171.6, C		
	2	52.2, CH	4.17, m	49.7, CH	4.27, m	
	3	34.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.01, t (13.8)	34.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.27, m	
		010, 0112	2.11, dd (5.4, 13.8)	-	2.50, m	
	2-NH	_	7.91, d (7.8)		8.15, d (8.4)	
Val <sub>3</sub> Ser <sub>4</sub>	1	171.3, C		172.7, C		
	2	53.4, CH	4.16, m	57.6, CH	4.06, dd (6.6, 8.4)	
	3	28.8, CH	1.97, m	29.8, CH	2.06, m	
	4	20.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.74, d (6.0)	18.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.78, d (7.2)	
	5	17.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.60, d (6.6)	17.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.84, d (7.2)	
	2-NH 1		7.49, m		7.66, d (8.4)	
		170.3, C	3.84 m	166.4, C	2.06 m	
	2	58.4, CH 61.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.84, m 3.50, m	55.0, CH 59.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.86, m 3.69, m	

### TABLE 1 <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz) data for compounds 1–2 in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.

(Continued on following page)

		Nocaviogua A (1)		Nocaviogua B (2)	
No.		$\delta_{ m C}$ , type	$\delta_{ m H}$ , multi. (J in Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$ , type	$\delta_{ m H}$ , multi. (J in Hz)
					3.82, m
	3-OH	_	_	_	5.65, s
	2-NH	_	7.40, m	_	_
	2-NH <sub>2</sub>	_	_	_	8.11, d (5.4)
Avi <sub>5</sub>	1	169.1, C	_	169.8, C	_
	2	60.9, C	_	62.0, C	_
	3	41.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.86, d (10.2)	41.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.07, m
			2.74, d (10.2)		1.96, m
	2-NH	_	6.83, s	_	8.60, s
Asn <sub>6</sub> or Asp <sub>6</sub>	1	170.3, C	_	171.4, C	_
	2	49.6, CH	4.84, dt (3.0, 7.2)	49.7, CH	4.71, m
	3	36.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.75, m	35.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	2.25, m
			2.88, dd (3.0, 16.2)		2.76, m
	4	172.4, C	_	171.54, C	_
	4-NH <sub>2</sub>	_	_	_	7.33, s
					6.89, s
	2-NH	_	8.50, d (7.2)	_	8.20, d (8.4)
Gly <sub>7</sub>	1	167.6, C	_	167.0, C	_
	2	43.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.49, m	43.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	3.53, m
			4.07, dd (6.0, 16.8)		3.94, m
	2-NH	_	8.82, t (6.0)	_	8.81, t (6.0)
Avi <sub>8</sub>	1	98.7, CH	5.28, d (7.2)	99.3, CH	5.40, d (7.2)
	2	132.8, CH	7.16, dd (7.2, 11.4)	132.8, CH	7.19, dd (7.2, 11.4)
	2-NH	_	8.95, d (11.4)	_	8.73, d (11.4)

#### TABLE 1 (Continued) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz) data for compounds 1-2 in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>.

The other part of hydrolysates and standard amino acids were derived with D-FDAA in the same manner. The aforementioned derivatives were analyzed with LC-MS using an ACQUITY UPLC® CSH<sup>™</sup> C<sub>18</sub> column (Waters, 1.7  $\mu$ m, 2.1 × 100 mm, at 30°C) eluted with the gradient method (from 15% to 60% MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O with a 5% isocratic MeOH containing 1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/ min for 30 min) and isocratic elution method (from 12% MeCN/  $H_2O$  with a 5% isocratic MeOH containing 1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min for 30 min). The configurations of amino acids were confirmed by comparison with the authentic standards. The L-FDAA/D-FDAA derivatized authentic amino acids provided retention times (t<sub>R</sub>, min): L-Ala-L-FDAA and L-Ala-D-FDAA (7.80 and 9.22 min, m/z 342), L-Val-L-FDAA and L-Val-D-FDAA (11.52 and 14.18 min, m/z 370), L-Asp-L-FDAA and L-Asp-D-FDAA (6.22 and 6.76 min, m/z 386), and L-Ser-L-FDAA and L-Ser-D-FDAA (9.99 and 11.32 min, m/z 358). The presence of Ala, Val, Asp (Asn), and Ser residues in 1 and 2 was also assigned to the L-configuration.

# 2.7 NMR calculations

In order to simplify the calculation, the bismethylated guanidino fatty acid (MGFA) was replaced with acetic acid, which was not impacted with the accuracy of the result (Daranas and Sarotti, 2021). Conformational analysis was performed using OpenBabel (O'Boyle et al., 2011) with a genetic algorithm at the MMFF94 force field, with energies within the 3.0 kcal/mol energy threshold (Spartan14. Wavefunction, 2019). The conformers were optimized using Gaussian 16 (Gaussian Inc.) (M. J. Frisch, 2010) at the M062X/6-311+G (d, p) level in vacuum. At the same level, the frequencies were calculated to provide the relative thermal free energies ( $\Delta G$ ), which are used to calculate the equilibrium populations. NMR chemical shift calculations for those optimized conformers within their Boltzmann distribution (>1%) were performed using the GIAO method at the mPW1PW91/6-311+G (d, p) level in DMSO with the PCM model. The calculated chemical shifts of conformers for 1-2 were averaged in terms of their relative Gibbs free energy and the

Boltzmann distribution theory. Finally, the calculated NMR chemical shift values were averaged according to Boltzmann distribution for each conformer and fit to the experimental values by linear regression. DP4+ probability analysis was performed according to the reported methods (Grimblat et al., 2015).

### 2.8 Antibacterial assays

Antibacterial assays were conducted in flat bottom, sterile 96well plates (Corning, America) in triplicate, using a broth microdilution protocol (Cockerill, 2012). Candida albicans (ATCC 10231), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213), Enterococcus faecium (ATCC 35667), Candida tropicalis (ATCC 1369), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 700603), and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) were used as test strains. Each bacterial culture (100  $\mu$ L) containing ca. 5  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> CFU was added to each well of 96-well plates. Nocaviogua A and B (1 and 2) were dissolved in DMSO. A measure of  $1 \,\mu\text{L}$  of each work solution of compounds 1-2 and the corresponding positive drugs (1.28-0.00125 mg/mL) were added to each well and incubated at 30°C for 24 h for determining MIC values.

#### 2.9 Cytotoxic activity assays

The cytotoxic effects of compounds **1–2** were evaluated against uveal melanoma cells 92-1 (UM92-1), non-small cell lung cancer (NCI-H2170), and three breast cancer cell lines (SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-453) by the 3-(4, 5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2, 5-diphenyl-2H tetrazolium bromide (MTT) method. After cells (ca.  $3\times10^3$  cells/200 µL/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate and cultured in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C for 24 h, **1** and **2** were added to each well for 48 h incubation. Then, the medium was removed, and the MTT solution was subsequently added to each well and maintained for 4 h. After removing the supernatant, 150 µL DMSO was added to dissolve purple crystals. Ultimately, the absorbance value was read at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Elx800, BioTek Instruments, Inc., United States). The assays were performed four times, and bleomycin was used as the positive control.

# 3 Results and discussions

## 3.1 Structure elucidation

Nocaviogua A (1) was purified as white amorphous powder. HRESIMS of 1 disclosed a molecular formula of  $C_{41}H_{64}N_{11}O_{11}S$ using a quasi-molecular ion at m/z 918.4514 {[M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 918.4507, with 16 double-bond equivalents (DBEs)}. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 1) recorded in DMSO- $d_6$ revealed nine amide and/or ester carbonyl carbons ( $\delta_C$ 165.1–172.4), nine alkenyl carbons ( $\delta_C$  98.7–155.2 and  $\delta_H$ 7.16–5.28), and eleven NH ( $\delta_H$  6.83–8.95), accounting for 14 DBEs and requiring that 1 incorporates two rings. The existence of one alanine (Ala) moiety in 1 was confirmed due to

the presence of the spin system NH-CH-CH<sub>3</sub> revealed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY sequential correlations, together with the HMBC correlations from Ala<sub>1</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.20) and Ala<sub>1</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.16) to Ala<sub>1</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.5). One valine (Val) unit in 1 was inferred by the presence of a spin system of -NH-CH-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, which is indicated by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations, and then confirmed by the HMBC correlations from Val<sub>3</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.16) and Val<sub>3</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.97) to Val<sub>3</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.3). The existence of one serine (Ser) residue was identified based on the spin system of -NH-CH-CH<sub>2</sub> deduced from <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations, as well as the key HMBC correlations from Ser<sub>4</sub>-2  $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.84)$  and Ser<sub>4</sub>-3  $(\delta_{\rm H} 3.50)$  to Ser<sub>4</sub>-CO  $(\delta_{\rm C} 170.3)$  (Figure 1). One asparagine (Asn) or aspartic acid (Asp) moiety was inferred by the presence of the spin system of -NH-CH-CH<sub>2</sub>, which is indicated by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations, and then confirmed by the HMBC correlations from Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.75, 2.88) to Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-CO<sub>1</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.3) and Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-CO<sub>4</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  172.4), and Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.84) to  $Asp_6/Asn_6-CO_4$  ( $\delta_C$  172.4). One glycine (Gly) residue was discovered due to the presence of the spin system of -NH-CH<sub>2</sub> revealed by the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlation, together with the HMBC correlations from Gly<sub>7</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.49, 4.07) to Gly<sub>7</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  167.6). The existence of one avionin, which includes three amino acid residues, namely, Avi1, Avi2, and Avi3 moieties, can be revealed by the spin system of NH-CH-CH<sub>2</sub> in Avi<sub>2</sub> and NH-CH = CH in Avi<sub>8</sub>, together with the key HMBC correlations from Avi<sub>2</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.17) to Avi<sub>2</sub>-CO  $(\delta_{\rm C} 171.2)$ , from Avi<sub>5</sub>-3  $(\delta_{\rm H} 2.74, 3.86)$  to Avi<sub>5</sub>-CO  $(\delta_{\rm C} 169.1)$  and Avi<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  60.9), and from Avi<sub>5</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.83) to Avi<sub>5</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 169.1) and Avi<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  60.9). The double-bond geometry of the Avi<sub>8</sub> moiety was established as Z based on the small coupling constant (7.2 Hz) and the ROESY correlation between Avi8-(CH)1 and Avi8-(CH)<sub>2</sub>. Additionally, an N-terminal MGFA was confirmed by the CH2-CH2-NH and two -NH-CH3 units, and key HMBC correlations from MGFA-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.99) to MGFA-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  165.1), and from MGFA-15 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.72), MGFA-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.72), and MGFA-13-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.32) to MGFA-C<sub>14</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  155.2). The alkenyls in MGFA were all E arrangements based on the large coupling constant (equal or greater than 15.0 Hz).

The connection sequence of these nine groups was established by HMBC correlations from Ala1-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.06) to MGFA-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$ 165.1), from Avi<sub>2</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.91) to Ala<sub>1</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.5), from Val<sub>3</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.49) to Avi<sub>2</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.2), from Ser<sub>4</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.40) to Val<sub>3</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{C}$  171.3), from Avi<sub>5</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{H}$  6.83) to Ser<sub>4</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{C}$  170.3), from Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.50) to Avi<sub>5</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  169.1), from Gly<sub>7</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.82) to Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-CO<sub>1</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  170.3), and from Avi<sub>8</sub>-NH ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.95) to Gly<sub>7</sub>-CO ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  167.6), suggesting that nine units were connected to yield a sequence of MGFA-Ala1-Avi2-Val3-Ser4-Avi<sub>5</sub>-Asp<sub>6</sub>/Asn<sub>6</sub>-Gly<sub>7</sub>-Avi<sub>8</sub>. The two DBEs were accounted for realizing the dicyclic structure of 1. The key HMBC correlations from Avi<sub>2</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.01, 2.11) to Avi<sub>5</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  60.9) established the connectivity of Avi2 and Avi5. Additionally, considering the chemical shift of Avi<sub>8</sub>-1 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  98.7) and Avi<sub>5</sub>-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  41.0), and the requirement of unsaturation, the connection of (Avi5-3)-S-(Avi8-1) was deduced as found in nocavionin and microvionin (Wiebach et al., 2018). Based on the combination of previous information and the molecular formula, one Asp unit was assigned in 1. Thus, the planar structure of 1 was determined, as shown in Figure 1. Notably, 1 contains an odd number of nitrogen atoms and an even number of hydrogen atoms, which suggests that the MGFA unit with the



positively charged functional group is found in goadvionins (Kozakai et al., 2020).

The configurations of the amino acid residues in 1 were further determined by the advanced Marfey's method. The acid hydrolysates of 1 were derivatized with *L*-FDAA and *D*-FDAA. By comparing the retention times with standards (Supplementary Figures S5–S8; Supplementary Table S1), the absolute configurations of Ala<sub>1</sub>, Val<sub>3</sub>, Ser<sub>4</sub>, and Asp<sub>6</sub> were all established as *S*-configurations, leaving two chiralities in Avi<sub>2</sub> and Avi<sub>5</sub> residues to be clarified.

The remaining two configurations in  $Avi_2$  and  $Avi_5$  are proving to be a challenge to resolve. However, unlike the

NMR spectra of nocavionin and microvionin in  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$ , respectively, which showed the presence of conformer isomers (Wiebach et al., 2018), the NMR spectra of nocaviogua A (1) in DMSO- $d_6$  did not exhibit any obvious signals indicating different conformers. This allows us to use the GIAO NMR calculation to determine their stereochemistry. In order to solve the structure of complex, large, and highly flexible molecules using readily available computational resources, the MGFA was replaced with acetic acid and the four possible diastereomers 1a-1d (Figure 2) were evaluated. Conformational searches were performed at OpenBabel with the MMFF94 force field and an energy cutoff value of 3.00 kcal/mol.





Subsequently, the obtained conformers were optimized by the DFT method at the M062X/6-311+G (d, p) level in vacuum, and the NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the PCM/mPW1PW91/6-311+G (d, p) level. Accordingly, the calculated carbon chemical shifts for **1a** showed the highest similarity toward the experimental values with the smallest corrected mean absolute error (CMAE, **1a**: 1.5113 vs. **1b–1d**: 2.0405,

1.9820, and 1.8977 in <sup>13</sup>C NMR data and **1a**: 0.1397 vs. **1b–1d**: 0.2068, 0.1813, and 0.2073 in <sup>1</sup>H NMR data, respectively) values (Supplementary Tables S2–S3), particularly for Avi<sub>2</sub> and Avi<sub>5</sub> moieties (Figure 3). In addition, DP4+ analysis based on NMR data provided 100% probability for the isomer **1a** (Supplementary Table S4), indicative of the *S*, *S* configuration for Avi<sub>2</sub> and Avi<sub>5</sub> moieties in **1**. Thus, the whole structure of



compound 1 was fully determined and designated as nocaviogua A (1).

Nocaviogua B (2), white powder, was determined as C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>66</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>11</sub>S, based on the HRESIMS peak at m/z935.4766  $[M + H]^+$  (calcd for  $C_{41}H_{67}N_{12}O_{11}S$ , 935.4773), implying 15 DBEs. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (Table 1) for 2 that were recorded in DMSO- $d_6$  revealed nine amide and/or ester carbonyl carbons ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  165.5–172.7) and nine alkenyl carbons ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  99.3–158.3 and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.19–5.38), accounting for 14 DBEs and requiring only one ring incorporation into 2. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ) data for 2 were closely similar to those with 1 except for three signals of NH (Asn<sub>6</sub>-4-NH<sub>2</sub>:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.33 and 6.89 and Ser<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.11) in **2**, which is more than **1**, indicating that the amide bond between Val<sub>3</sub> and Ser<sub>4</sub> was opened, and the Asp unit in 1 was replaced with the Asn residue in 2. This hypothesis was further confirmed by HMBC and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlations (Figure 1). Based on the coupling constant and the ROESY correlation, the geometry of the double bond in the Avi8 moiety was established as Z and the alkenyls in MGFA were all in the E arrangement, which were the same as in 1. Considering the number of nitrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms, the MGFA unit in 2 was also with the positively charged functional group as in 1.

The absolute configurations of Ala, Val, Ser, and Asn moieties were determined as L by the advanced Marfey's method (Supplementary Figures S11–S14; Supplementary Table S1). The configurations in Avi<sub>2</sub> and Avi5 units of compound 2 were also determined using GIAO NMR calculations by evaluating the four possible diastereomers 2a-2d (Supplementary Figure S27). Consequently, the calculated carbon chemical shifts of 2a showed the highest probability to the experimental values with the smallest CMAE (2a: 1.4284 vs. 2b-2d: 1.6495, 1.8486, and 1.6907 in  $^{\rm 13}{\rm C}$  NMR data and 2a: 0.2186 vs. 2b–2d: 0.2303, 0.2732, and 0.2248 in <sup>1</sup>H NMR data, respectively) values (Supplementary Tables S13-S14). In addition, DP4+ analysis based on NMR data with 100% probability for the isomer 2a (Supplementary Table S15), assigned Avi<sub>2</sub> and Avi<sub>5</sub> units as S, S configurations, respectively, are identical to those determined in compound 1. Thus, the whole structure of compound 2 was fully determined and designated as nocaviogua B (2).

#### 3.2 Antibacterial assays

The two compounds were evaluated for their antibacterial activity on *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213), *Enterococcus faecium* (ATCC 35667), *Candida tropicalis* (ATCC 1369), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 700603), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) by MIC values. Regrettably, both compounds **1** and **2** showed no antibacterial effect at concentrations up to 128  $\mu$ g/mL.

## 3.3 Cytotoxic activity assays

The cytotoxicity of compounds 1-2 was assayed against uveal melanoma cells (UM92-1), non-small cell lung cancer (NCI-H2170), and three breast cancer cell lines (SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-453). Compound 1 exhibited weak cytotoxicity against UM92-1, NCI-H2170, and MDA-MB-231, exhibiting an inhibition rate of approximately 15%, 30.0%, and 31.1%, respectively, at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ M (Figure 4). Compound 2 shows no obvious cytotoxic activity at the same concentration.

# 4 Conclusion

Lipolanthines, featuring unique structures and physicochemical properties, are increasingly attracting wide interests as pharmaceutical leads (Grant-Mackie et al., 2021). In our research, two new lipolanthines, nocaviogua A (1) and B (2), were identified from mutualistic actinomycete *Nocardia* sp. XZ19\_369 that was isolated from the nodules of sea buckthorn collected in Tibet. Their planar structures were elucidated via extensive 1D and 2D NMR, and HRMS data. The absolute configurations were fully elucidated by advanced Marfey's analysis and GIAO NMR calculations.

Structurally, compounds 1 and 2 are analogs of microvionin, nocavionin, and goadvionins. Unlike their analogs, compounds 1 and 2 did not exhibit any antimicrobial activity against the seven tested pathogens at concentrations up to  $128 \,\mu g/mL$ . However, compound 1 did show weak cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines UM92-1, NCI-H2170, and MDA-MB-231, with inhibition rates of approximately 15%, 30.0%, and 31.1%, respectively, at a concentration of 50  $\mu$ M. On the other hand, compound 2, the one-ring-opened derivative of compound 1, did not show any obvious cytotoxic activity, suggesting that the bicycle scaffold in lipolanthines plays a crucial role in their cytotoxicity. To date, 12 lipolanthines have been discovered, but nocaviogua A (1) and B (2) were the first compounds in this family with fully determined configurations, which is of great importance for further stereoselective synthesis and bioactive studies.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and

accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

# Author contributions

Conceptualization: YX and LY; methodology: SC; software: YX; validation: YX and SC; formal analysis: SC and YX; investigation: SC; resources: YL, MC, and NH; data curation: SC and XH; writing—original draft preparation: SC; writing—review and editing: YX and SC; visualization: YX; supervision: YX and LY; project administration: YX; funding acquisition: YX, LY, and SC. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was financially supported by the Program for The National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 81973219 and 82104047), the CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS, 2021-I2M-1-028), and the National Science Foundation of Hebei Province (grant no. H2021209027).

# References

Alanjary, M., Steinke, K., and Ziemert, N. (2019). AutoMLST: An automated web server for generating multi-locus species trees highlighting natural product potential. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (W1), W276–W282. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz282

Bobeica, S. C., Zhu, L., Acedo, J. Z., Tang, W., and van der Donk, W. A. (2020). Structural determinants of macrocyclization in substrate-controlled lanthipeptide biosynthetic pathways. *Chem. Sci.* 11 (47), 12854–12870. doi:10.1039/d0sc01651a

Chang, S., Cai, M., Xiao, T., Chen, Y., Zhao, W., Yu, L., et al. (2022a). Prenylemestrins A and B: Two unexpected epipolythiodioxopiperazines with a thioethanothio bridge from emericella sp. isolated by genomic analysis. *Org. Lett.* 24 (32), 5941–5945. doi:10. 1021/acs.orglett.2c02187

Chang, S., Yan, B., Chen, Y., Zhao, W., Gao, R., Li, Y., et al. (2022b). Cytotoxic hexadepsipeptides and anti-coronaviral 4-hydroxy-2-pyridones from an endophytic Fusarium sp. *Front. Chem.* 10, 1106869. doi:10.3389/fchem.2022.1106869

Cockerill, F. W. M., Alder, J., Dudley, M., Eliopoulos, G., Ferraro, M., et al. (2012). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically: Approved standard.

Daranas, A. H., and Sarotti, A. M. (2021). Are computational methods useful for structure elucidation of large and flexible molecules? Belizentrin as a case study. *Org. Lett.* 23 (2), 503–507. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.0c04016

Frisch, M. J., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., et al. (2010). "Gaussian 09," in *Revision C.01* (Wallingford CT: Gaussian, Inc.).

Grant-Mackie, E. S., Williams, E. T., Harris, P. W. R., and Brimble, M. A. (2021). Aminovinyl cysteine containing peptides: A unique motif that imparts key biological activity. *JACS Au* 1 (10), 1527–1540. doi:10.1021/jacsau.1c00308

Grimblat, N., Zanardi, M. M., and Sarotti, A. M. (2015). Beyond DP4: An improved probability for the stereochemical assignment of isomeric compounds using quantum chemical calculations of NMR shifts. *J. Org. Chem.* 80 (24), 12526–12534. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.5b02396

Kiyonaga Fujii, Y. I., Mayumi, T., Oka, H., Suzuki, M., and Ken-ichi, H. (1997b). A nonempirical method using LC/MS for determination of the absolute configuration of constituent amino acids in a Peptide: combination of marfey's method with mass spectrometry and its practical application. *Anal. Chem.* 69, 5146–5151. doi:10.1021/ac970289b

Kiyonaga Fujii, Y. I., Oka, H., Suzuki, M., Harada, K. i., Suzuki, M., and Harada, K. i. (1997a). A nonempirical method using LC/MS for determination of the absolute

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2023.1233938/ full#supplementary-material

configuration of constituent amino acids in a Peptide: elucidation of limitations of marfey's method and of its separation mechanism. *Anal. Chem.* 69 (16), 3346–3352. doi:10.1021/ac9701795

Kozakai, R., Ono, T., Hoshino, S., Takahashi, H., Katsuyama, Y., Sugai, Y., et al. (2020). Acyltransferase that catalyses the condensation of polyketide and peptide moieties of goadvionin hybrid lipopeptides. *Nat. Chem.* 12 (9), 869–877. doi:10. 1038/s41557-020-0508-2

Luo, M., Chang, S., Li, Y., Xi, X., Chen, M., He, N., et al. (2022a). Molecular networking-based screening led to the discovery of a cyclic heptadepsipeptide from an endolichenic xylaria sp. *J. Nat. Prod.* 85 (4), 972–979. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod. 1c01108

Luo, M., Wang, M., Chang, S., He, N., Shan, G., and Xie, Y. (2022b). Halogenase-targeted genome mining leads to the discovery of  $(\pm)$  pestalachlorides A1a, A2a, and their atropisomers. *Antibiot. (Basel)* 11 (10), 1304. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11101304

Mazo, N., Rahman, I. R., Navo, C. D., Peregrina, J. M., Busto, J. H., van der Donk, W. A., et al. (2023). Synthesis of fluorescent lanthipeptide cytolysin S analogues by latestage sulfamidate ring opening. *Org. Lett.* 25 (9), 1431–1435. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett. 3c00122

Montalban-Lopez, M., Scott, T. A., Ramesh, S., Rahman, I. R., van Heel, A. J., Viel, J. H., et al. (2021). New developments in RiPP discovery, enzymology and engineering. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 38 (1), 130–239. doi:10.1039/d0np00027b

O'Boyle, N. M., Banck, M., James, C. A., Morley, C., Vandermeersch, T., and Hutchison, G. R. (2011). Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox. J. Cheminform 3, 33. doi:10.1186/1758-2946-3-33

Ongey, E. L., Yassi, H., Pflugmacher, S., and Neubauer, P. (2017). Pharmacological and pharmacokinetic properties of lanthipeptides undergoing clinical studies. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 39 (4), 473–482. doi:10.1007/s10529-016-2279-9

Spartan14. Wavefunction (2019). Spartan'14 for windows, macintosh and linux. Irvine, CA: WAVEFUNCTION, INC.

Watrous, J., Roach, P., Alexandrov, T., Heath, B. S., Yang, J. Y., Kersten, R. D., et al. (2012). Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial colonies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (26), E1743–E1752. doi:10.1073/pnas.1203689109

Wiebach, V., Mainz, A., Siegert, M. J., Jungmann, N. A., Lesquame, G., Tirat, S., et al. (2018). The anti-staphylococcal lipolanthines are ribosomally synthesized lipopeptides. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 14 (7), 652–654. doi:10.1038/s41589-018-0068-6