#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Dae-Woon Lim, Yonsei Univerisity, Republic of Korea

#### REVIEWED BY

Leili Esrafili, University of Antwerp, Belgium Aurel Tabacaru, Dunarea de Jos University, Romania Kunyu Wang, Texas A&M University, United States Abhijeet Chaudhari, Christ University, India

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Qiang Zhang, q.zhang@wsu.edu Matthew J. Hurlock, mjhurlo@sandia.gov

RECEIVED 05 March 2024 ACCEPTED 08 April 2024 PUBLISHED 25 April 2024

#### CITATION

Johnson HM, Hurlock MJ, Lare MF, Forseth LV, Mosset DM, Li J and Zhang Q (2024), Probing ligand conformation and net dimensionality in a series of tetraphenylethene-based metal–organic frameworks. *Front. Chem.* 12:1396123. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1396123

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Johnson, Hurlock, Lare, Forseth, Mosset, Li and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Probing ligand conformation and net dimensionality in a series of tetraphenylethene-based metal-organic frameworks

Hannah M. Johnson<sup>1</sup>, Matthew J. Hurlock<sup>2</sup>\*, Monipak F. Lare<sup>1</sup>, Lauren V. Forseth<sup>1</sup>, Dylan M. Mosset<sup>1</sup>, Jiahong Li<sup>1</sup> and Qiang Zhang<sup>1.3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Nanoscale Sciences Department, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, United States, <sup>3</sup>Materials Science and Engineering Program, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States

Tetraphenylethene-based ligands with lowered symmetry are promising building blocks for the construction of novel luminescent metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). However, few examples have been reported, and predicting the ligand conformation and the dimensionality of the resulting MOF remains challenging. In order to uncover how synthetic conditions and accessible ligand conformations may affect the resulting MOF structure, four new MOF structures were synthesized under solvothermal conditions using the metacoordinated tetraphenylethene-based ligand *m*-ETTC and paddlewheel SBUs composed of Co(II), Cu(II), and Zn(II). WSU-10 (WSU = Washington State University) is formed with either Zn or Cu comprising stacked psuedo-2D layers. The dimensionality of WSU-10 can be intentionally increased through the addition of pyrazine as a pillar ligand into the synthesis, forming the 3D structure WSU-11. The third structure, WSU-20, is formed by the combination of Zn or Co with m-ETTC and is intrinsically 3D without the use of a pillar ligand; interestingly, this is the result of a distortion in the paddlewheel SBU. Finally, Cu was also found to form a new structure (WSU-12), which displays an m-ETTC conformation unique from that found in the other isolated MOFs. Structural features are compared across the series and a mechanistic relationship between WSU-10 and -20 is proposed, providing insight into the factors that can encourage the generation of frameworks with increased dimensionality.

#### KEYWORDS

metal-organic framework, ligand conformation, tetraphenylethene, net dimensionality, solvent lability

# 1 Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) stand out amongst coordination polymers, a type of inorganic-organic polymeric material, due to their unique properties such as permanent porosity, a high degree of structural diversity, large internal surface areas, and tunability (Zhou et al., 2012; Furukawa et al., 2013). These properties have enabled MOFs for use in a variety of applications including gas adsorption and separations (Zhao et al., 2018; Ding et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020), catalysis (Jiao and Jiang, 2019; Xiao and Jiang, 2019), energy storage (Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018), chemical sensors (Banerjee et al., 2014; Lustig



et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Pamei and Puzari, 2019), cancer therapy (Hu et al., 2023; Zhong et al., 2023), and lighting devices (Lustig and Li, 2018). MOFs are constructed from the self-assembly of multitopic organic ligands and metal ions or clusters called secondary building units, SBUs (Kalmutzki et al., 2018). The ligand selection plays a significant role in controlling the resulting structure and properties of the material. MOFs built from tetraphenylethene (TPE)-based molecules have attracted increased attention in the last decade due to their unique photoluminescent properties (Mei et al., 2015; Lustig et al., 2020; 2016; La et al., 2018; Hurlock et al., 2021a). TPE exhibits aggregation-induced emission (AIE) behavior, which can be mimicked through rigidification into a coordination scaffold, making it an ideal candidate for solid-state luminescent materials (Shustova et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2014; Lustig et al., 2019). Luminescent TPE-based MOFs are most commonly utilized in sensing applications, usually of contaminants or explosives (Liu et al., 2021), as well as solid-state emitters such as LEDs (Ma et al., 2017).

The majority of TPE-based ligands used in fluorescent MOFs contain binding moieties in the para position of the end phenyl rings (Gong et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016), due in part to the ease of synthesis and purification of these compounds. In contrast, TPE-based ligands of lowered symmetry have seen little research interest, despite their potential for accessing more varied conformations and MOF structures without detriment to their photophysical properties. For example, the ligand 4',4''',4'''',4''''''''''-(ethene-1,1,2,2-tetrayl)tetrakis([1,1'-biphenyl]-3carboxylic acid) (m-ETTC) has a single binding moiety in the metaposition at the end of each phenyl arm. This results in a wide range of conformations the ligand can adopt due to the rotation of the terminal phenyl rings, seen in Figure 1A. For comparison, the tetratopic para-ETTC (p-ETTC) ligand (Figure 1B) is generally considered a simple rectangular or square node in MOFs. Predicting the conformation that ligands like *m*-ETTC will adopt a priori in solid-state structures is difficult, as it is highly dependent on the synthetic conditions and the geometry of the metal nodes.

Fortunately, predicting and influencing the geometry of the metal SBU is simpler compared to ligand conformation. For example, divalent transition metals like Zn(II), Cu(II), and Co(II)

commonly form paddlewheel clusters when combined with carboxylate ligands (Mori et al., 2005; Carson et al., 2009). Paddlewheel SBUs typically coordinate to four carboxylate groups, whose conformation with respect to the linker influences the resulting dimensionality of the MOF (Furukawa et al., 2008). In literature so far, the combination of paddlewheel clusters and paracoordinated TPE-based linkers (namely, ETTC and TCPE) has only been found to form layered 2D sheet structures (Shustova et al., 2011; King et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2020). In comparison, *m*-ETTC has a higher potential to form 3D frameworks with paddlewheel SBUs due to the increased diversity of its conformations.

To examine what synthetic factors may affect the conformation of the *m*-ETTC ligand and overall framework dimensionality, we have synthesized four distinct paddlewheel-MOF structures using m-ETTC and divalent metal cations. The first MOF, WSU-10 (WSU = Washington State University), possesses a pseudo 2D sheet structure with the formula  $M_2(m-ETTC)$  (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> (M = Cu or Zn). Upon addition of pyrazine as a pillar ligand to the reaction mixture, a new 3D structure denoted WSU-11 is formed, or M<sub>2</sub>(m-ETTC) ( $\frac{1}{2}$ pyz) (H<sub>2</sub>O) (M = Cu or Zn, pyz = pyrazine). A third structure was identified and determined to be intrinsically 3D, named WSU-12 or M<sub>2</sub>(m-ETTC) (DMAc)<sub>2</sub> (M = Cu, DMAc = dimethylacetamide), and a final 3D structure named WSU-20 was isolated and found to be  $M_2(m-ETTC)$  (DMAc)<sub>2</sub> (M = Co or Zn). The bulk properties of these materials were assessed, and structural variations between the compounds resulting from changes to the synthetic conditions have been characterized. Additionally, broad trends in node geometry, ligand conformation, and net dimensionality are presented.

# 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Chemicals

Copper nitrate hemi-pentahydrate (99%), bromine, and tetraphenylethene (TPE, 98%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Cobalt nitrate hexahydrate was purchased from J. T. Baker. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (99%) was purchased from Beantown Chemical. Nitric acid and tetrahydrofuran were purchased from Fisher Scientific. *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) was purchased from MilliporeSigma, while *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc), potassium hydroxide, *p*-dioxane, dichloromethane (DCM), ethanol, and methanol were purchased from EMD Millipore. (3-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)boronic acid (95%) was purchased from Oxchem. Cesium fluoride and pyrazine were purchased from Oakwood Chemical. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (99%) was purchased from Strem Chemicals. Nanopure water was made using a Barstead Nanopure with a D5026 organic free filter kit. All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

## 2.2 Synthetic procedure

2.2.1 Synthesis of 4',4<sup>m</sup>,4<sup>m</sup>,4<sup>m</sup>,4<sup>m</sup>,4<sup>m</sup>-(ethene-1,1,2,2-tetrayl)tetrakis (([1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carboxylic acid)) (m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC)

*m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC was synthesized following previously reported procedures (Hurlock et al., 2021b).

#### 2.2.2 Preparation of WSU-10(Cu)

*m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC (30 mg, 0.037 mmol) and Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·2.5H<sub>2</sub>O (90 mg, 0.38 mmol, 10.5 equiv.) were dissolved in DMAc (2 mL) in a 4 mL glass vial, followed by 200  $\mu$ L of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, giving a transparent green solution. The vial was shaken vigorously before being placed in an oven at 100°C. After 48 h, green square plate crystals had formed, and the vial was removed from the oven. After allowing the vial to cool, quality crystals were collected for structure determination. The remaining crystals were washed with DMF (3 × 4 mL) and soaked for 24 h in fresh DMF. The crystals were washed with methanol (3 × 4 mL) and soaked in fresh methanol every day. The methanol was then decanted, and the crystals were dried at room temperature under vacuum for 5 h giving the product as a green crystalline powder (23.1 mg, 64.5% yield based on *m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

#### 2.2.3 Preparation of WSU-10(Zn)

*m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC (30 mg, 0.037 mmol) and Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (90 mg, 0.372 mmol, 10 equiv.) were dissolved in DMAc (4 mL) in a 20 mL glass vial, followed by 500 µL of Nanopure water, giving a transparent pale-yellow solution. The vial was shaken vigorously before being placed in an oven at 85°C. After 1 h, the vial was removed from the oven and allowed to cool. After cooling, yellow plate crystals had formed. Quality crystals were collected for structure determination. The remaining crystals were then washed with DMF (3 × 8 mL) and soaked for 24 h in fresh DMF. The crystals were washed with methanol (3 × 8 mL) and soaked in fresh methanol for 4 days, exchanging with fresh methanol every day. The methanol was then decanted, and the crystals were dried at room temperature under vacuum for 16 h giving the product as a yellow crystalline powder (Yield: 9.3 mg, 25.8% yield based on *m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

#### 2.2.4 Preparation of WSU-11(Cu)

The following modifications were made to the synthesis of WSU-10(Cu) to synthesize WSU-11(Cu): pyrazine (5 mg,

0.0625 mmol, 1.67 equiv.) was added, and the total volume of DMAc was changed to 3 mL. (Yield: 31.1 mg, 84.5% based on m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

#### 2.2.5 Preparation of WSU-11(Zn)

The following modifications were made to the synthesis of WSU-10(Zn) to synthesize WSU-11(Zn): pyrazine (5 mg, 0.0625 mmol, 1.67 equiv.) was added to the reaction solution before heating. (Yield: 9.7 mg, 26.4% based on m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

#### 2.2.6 Preparation of WSU-20(Co)

*m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC (60 mg, 0.074 mmol) and Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (30 mg, 0.103 mmol, 0.72 equiv.) were dissolved in DMAc (8 mL) in a 20 mL glass vial, followed by 200 µL of Nanopure water, giving a deep purple solution. The vial was shaken vigorously before being placed in an oven at 100°C. After 48 h, purple block crystals had formed, and the vial was removed from the oven. After allowing the vial to cool, quality crystals were collected for structure determination. The remaining crystals were then washed with DMAc (3 × 4 mL) and soaked for 24 h in fresh DMAc. The crystals were washed with DCM (3 × 4 mL) and soaked in fresh DCM for 4 days, exchanging with fresh DCM every day. The DCM was then decanted, and the crystals were dried at room temperature in air for 16 h giving the product as a purple-red crystalline powder (Yield: 23.4 mg, 30.6% yield based on *m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

#### 2.2.7 Preparation of WSU-20(Zn)

*m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC (30 mg, 0.037 mmol) and Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (90 mg, 0.372 mmol, 10 equiv.) were dissolved in DMAc (4 mL) in a 20 mL glass vial, giving a transparent yellow solution. The vial was shaken vigorously before being placed on a hot plate at 40°C. After 72 h, yellow pillar crystals had formed, and the vial was removed from the hot plate. After allowing the vial to cool, quality crystals were collected for structure determination. The remaining crystals were then washed with DMF (3 × 4 mL) and soaked for 24 h in fresh DMF. The crystals were washed with DCM (3 × 4 mL) and soaked in fresh DCM for 4 days, exchanging with fresh DCM every day. The DCM was then decanted, and the crystals were dried at room temperature in air for 16 h giving the product as a yellow crystalline powder (Yield: 4.0 mg, 9.7% yield based on *m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC).

### 2.3 Instrumentation

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected using a Rigaku MiniFlex600 diffractometer equipped with a Cu K<sub>a</sub> source ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å). The generator power was set at 40 kV and 15 mA. The data was collected in the 20 range of 5°–40°, with a step size of 0.02° and a scan speed of 4° min<sup>-1</sup>. Photoluminescence emission spectra were obtained at room temperature using a Horiba FluoroMax-4 equipped with a Xenon lamp and an excitation wavelength of 365 nm. Optical microscopy images were obtained on glass slides using a Leica M165 C microscope with an M170 HD camera. SEM samples were sputter-coated with gold using a Technics Hummer V Sputter Coater and images were obtained using a FEI SEM Quanta 200F at 20 kV. UV-Vis spectra were obtained using a ThermoScientific Evolution 300 UV/Vis spectrophotometer using a diffuse reflectance Harrick Praying



Mantis attachment and a Harrick Sampling kit DRP-SAP. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were collected using a ThermoScientific Nicolet iS10 with the iTR solid-state accessory.

## 2.4 Single crystal X-Ray diffraction (SCXRD)

High-quality single crystals were selected from reaction mixtures using an optical microscope and placed onto MiTiGen Dual Thickness MicroMounts using paratone oil. Single crystal data were collected at room temperature on a Bruker D8 Venture with a microfocus source and Mo K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Absorption corrections were done using the SADABS (Dolomanov et al., 2009) detector absorption correction program embedded in APEX3 (Adam et al., 2015). The structures were solved using SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a) structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing, and refinement was done using SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) refinement package and least-squares minimization embedded into the Olex2 interface (Bourhis et al., 2015). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were located by using difference Fourier maps and were placed in the geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The solvent masking feature of Olex2 was used on all structures. Detailed crystallographic data and refinement parameters are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

# **3** Results

## 3.1 Structural description

The TPE-based ligand *m*-ETTC was synthesized following previously reported procedures (Hurlock et al., 2021b). Using *m*-ETTC and metal nitrate precursors of Zn, Cu, and Co, a series of coordination polymers were synthesized through individually optimized solvothermal methods. Single crystals of the seven compounds were obtained, Supplementary Figure S1, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) was used to determine their structures. A summary of the crystallographic data is provided in Supplementary Table S1, and the structure of WSU-10(Zn) has been reported previously (Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022).

#### 3.1.1 Structure of WSU-10

To form WSU-10(Cu), copper(II) nitrate and m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC were combined in *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) with nitric acid, yielding the product as large green square plates, Supplementary Figure S1A. It was found that the typical solvent *N*,*N*-



dimethylformamide (DMF) cannot be used as Cu(II) is reduced, depositing copper metal along the vial walls. WSU-10(Cu) crystallizes in the tetragonal space group P4/nnc, and the asymmetric unit contains one-quarter of the *m*-ETTC ligand and two independent Cu(II) ions. These ions are penta-coordinated, involving four oxygen atoms of different *m*-ETTC ligands and one capping oxygen from a coordinating water molecule, forming the paddlewheel SBU (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S2A). The m-ETTC ligand orients all carboxylate groups outward, approximately within the plane of the ethene core. This orientation of the ligand, in combination with the copper paddlewheel cluster, leads to a single pseudo-2D layer with the thickness of an *m*-ETTC ligand, Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure S2B. These layers stack together in an ABA fashion to form the packed arrangement of WSU-10, Figures 2C, D, Supplementary Figures S2C, E. Due to the staggering of the layers, the pores within each layer are occupied by the clusters of the adjacent layer. Its topology was analyzed using Topcryst (Shevchenko et al., 2022) and can be described as a 4,4connected (for the ligand and metal node, respectively) pseudotwo dimensional structure, Figure 2B, possessing the underlying topology 4,4L1.

An isostructural Zn analogue of WSU-10(Cu) was obtained by replacing the metal precursor, using water in place of nitric acid, and reducing the reaction temperature and time. Though WSU-10(Cu) is the first report of a Cu-ETTC MOF, there have been previous reports of the WSU-10(Zn) structure (Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022). These describe nearly identical MOFs of Zn<sub>2</sub> paddlewheels and pseudo-2D *m*-ETTC layers; interestingly, in the 2019 report, adjacent layers are connected to each other through bridging  $\mu_2$ -CO<sub>2</sub> molecules that were determined to have been acquired from the ambient air atmosphere. This resulted in new connectivity (a 2-fold interpenetrated 3D net) but otherwise the same spatial arrangement of atoms that is found in the 2D MOFs presented here.

#### 3.1.2 Structure of WSU-12

A second population of crystals was identified in reactions of WSU-10(Cu) due to slight differences in morphology (elongated hexagonal plates, Supplementary Figure S3). SCXRD determined that this phase is in fact a unique 3D structure in the C2/c space group, denoted WSU-12(Cu). Featuring similar paddlewheel nodes that are DMAc-capped (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S4A), this 3D structure arises from a difference in orientation of *m*-ETTC, wherein two carboxylates have twisted out of the plane of the ethene core in opposite directions, Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure S4B, forming a pseudo tetrahedral conformation, Figure 3A. This conformation change breaks the layered structure observed in WSU-10(Cu) and instead promotes an intrinsically 3D structure with 4,4,4T72 topology, Figure 3C, featuring large channel pores ( $24 \times$ 32 Å, Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure S5A). WSU-12 also possesses a 4,4-connected net; however, it is 3D instead of 2D. This also causes independent nets of WSU-12(Cu) to assemble



pyramid node, and the conformation of *m*-ETTC ligands representing rectangular nodes; (**B**) depiction of a single net of the structure showing two layers being linked by the pyrazine pillar, as viewed along the b-axis. (**C**) Depiction of a single net of the structure showing expanded structure, as viewed along the b-axis.

within each other, resulting in a 3-fold interpenetrated structure (Supplementary Figure S5B) that retains channels with a diagonal width of 16 Å. Attempts to isolate this structure as a pure phase were unsuccessful and further physical characterization was not performed, though its structure is discussed in following sections.

#### 3.1.3 Structure of WSU-11

Though residual electron density suggests that carbon dioxide is present in the structures of WSU-10(Cu) and WSU-10(Zn), similar to previous reports (Wang et al., 2019), this cannot be well-resolved due to disorder/low occupancy (Supplementary Figure S6). In order to clearly target this bridging connection and increase the dimensionality of the framework, pyrazine was selected as a substitute pillar molecule due to its similarity in size and coordination mode to CO2. The introduction of pyrazine into the synthesis of WSU-10(Cu) resulted in crystals with a square plate morphology, Supplementary Figure S1B, which successfully incorporated pyrazine pillars between layers of WSU-10. The new MOF, WSU-11(Cu), crystallizes in the same tetragonal space group P4/nnc, and its unit cell parameters are almost identical to that of WSU-10(Cu) except for an elongation of the *c*-axis caused by the incorporation of pyrazine (Supplementary Table S1). Much like WSU-10(Cu), the metal centers of WSU-11(Cu) are pentacoordinated (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure S7A), though the Cu atoms on the exterior of the pseudo-2D layers are coordinated to a nitrogen of pyrazine molecules which bridge two paddlewheel clusters from two different layers (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S7B). This bridging connection causes the structure to transform from 2D to a 3D structure with 2-fold interpenetration, Supplementary Figures S7C, D. The underlying net of WSU-11(Cu) can be described as 4,5-connected with the topology **xah**, Figure 4C. The use of larger molecules (such as 4,4'-bipyridine) to expand the layers was explored; however, no crystals containing these molecules were obtained. The isostructural WSU-11(Zn) was obtained by similarly adding pyrazine to the synthesis of WSU-10(Zn), mimicking the connectivity of the CO<sub>2</sub>-bridged 3D structure described previously.

#### 3.1.4 Structure of WSU-20

Through further synthetic manipulation of the WSU-10 synthesis, another structure was achieved featuring *m*-ETTC and paddlewheel clusters. Cobalt(II) nitrate was used as the metal precursor, the reaction was made more dilute, and water was used in place of nitric acid as the modulator. Under these conditions using DMAc as the solvent, red-violet rod-shaped crystals were obtained (Supplementary Figure S1D). The



structure, named WSU-20(Co), crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. The asymmetric unit contains one *m*-ETTC molecule, two independent Co atoms, and two disordered coordinated DMAc molecules. Each Co(II) ion is penta-coordinated with four oxygen atoms originating from four m-ETTC ligands and one from the DMAc cap, Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S8A. The paddlewheel cluster of WSU-20 is distorted compared to that of WSU-10 and -11, caused by the steric interactions of the coordinated DMAc molecules between the stacked SBUs. The two sides of the paddlewheel 'slip' to avoid direct DMAc overlap, preventing the propagation of a single layer with aligned linkers. Instead, the *m*-ETTC molecules are arranged in a checkerboard fashion, forming an intrinsically 4,4-connected 3D net with lvt topology, Figures 5B, C and Supplementary Figure S8B, that is 2fold interpenetrated, Supplementary Figures S8C, D. The isostructural compound WSU-20(Zn) was obtained by reducing the reaction temperature from 100°C to 40°C. Above this temperature, mixed-phase products of WSU-10(Zn) and WSU-20(Zn) result, indicating a kinetic preference for the WSU-20(Zn) phase versus a thermodynamic preference for WSU-10(Zn). A Cu analogue of WSU-20 was pursued but was not successful, as all synthesis attempts resulted in WSU-10(Cu).

## 3.2 Assessment of properties

Infrared spectra (Supplementary Figure S9) confirm the presence of the m-ETTC ligand and the successful coordination of the carboxylate groups in bulk material. To determine phase

purity of all reactions, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns on bulk material were collected, Figures 6A, B. Due to the subtle differences between the structures and lattice parameters of WSU-10 and WSU-11, their crystal morphologies and diffraction patterns are quite similar. The two compounds can be best distinguished by the positions of reflections below  $12^{\circ}$  (2 $\theta$ ) (Supplementary Figure S10), as the insertion of the pyrazine ligand increases the interlayer spacing and decreases the position of reflection. The variations between the experimental and simulated patterns of WSU-10 are likely due to facile changes in the interlayer spacing caused by sample processing and preparation. This is not observed in the patterns of WSU-11, as the pyrazine locks the layers in fixed positions. Additionally, the pattern of the WSU-10(Cu) does not match any major peaks exhibited by the simulated structure of WSU-12(Cu) (Supplementary Figure S11), confirming that WSU-12(Cu) constitutes only a minor impurity in reactions of WSU-10(Cu).

The effects of pyrazine insertion on the MOFs' gas uptake properties were examined with nitrogen adsorption experiments at 77K. The compound WSU-10(Cu) shows microporous adsorption with an N<sub>2</sub> uptake of  $14 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$  and a low Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of  $46 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , Figure 6C. When pyrazine is introduced into the structure in WSU-11(Cu), N<sub>2</sub> adsorption increases to  $92 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$  with a corresponding rise in the BET surface area to  $335 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , a sevenfold increase from WSU-10(Cu). The experimental pore size distributions similarly reflect a cohesive increase in accessible pore space (Supplementary Figure S12). The non-copper compounds WSU-10(Zn), WSU-11(Zn), and WSU-20(Zn/Co)



exhibited poor stabilities under heating at low pressures, forming non-porous amorphous solids and precluding proper activation and  $N_2$  adsorption analysis. In the case of WSU-20, the removal of the bulky solvent caps at the metal centers during solvent exchange likely alters the distorted nature of the paddlewheel, straining the framework and ultimately leading to structural collapse. Low stability is not uncommon for other reported paddlewheel-based frameworks (Tan et al., 2012).

Optical absorption spectra of all compounds were obtained at room temperature, Supplementary Figure S13A. WSU-10(Zn), -11(Zn), -20(Zn) are all yellow in color under ambient light and show absorbance spectra similar to the free *m*-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC ligand. The compounds WSU-10(Cu) and -11(Cu) show an absorption with a maximum of 675 nm, due to the copper metal centers, resulting in the green color of the compound. The as-synthesized WSU-20(Co) compound exhibits a deep violet color due to the absorbance centered at 550 nm by cobalt, though this color was observed to vary with solvent. Structural degradation of WSU-20 upon solvent exchange hindered further study of this phenomenon. Furthermore, while the Cu(II)- and Co(II)-based compounds were found to be fluorescently nonemissive, the closed-shell  $d^{10}$ compounds WSU-10(Zn), WSU-11(Zn), and WSU-20(Zn) all demonstrate strong fluorescence with  $\lambda_{em}$  at 508 nm, 509 nm, and 518 nm respectively when excited with UV light (Supplementary Figure S13B). These signals originate from the luminescent ligand, which emits at 510 nm, and do not deviate much between Zn-MOFs due to the similar conformation m-ETTC adopts across all three structures. It is interesting to note that WSU-20(Zn) exhibits the lowest energy emission, since WSU-20 also possesses a higher packing density than the other two structures. It has been previously observed that smaller interligand distances increase the likelihood of charge transfer and excimer formation between ligands, and interpenetrated structures tend to display redshifted emissions compared to their free fluorophore (Meek et al., 2010). The photophysical properties of the WSU-10(Zn) structure have also been investigated in its respective previous reports (Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022) and align well with the behavior observed here. Xu *et al.* also utilized the material's layered structure to generate nanosheet suspensions, which were then applied toward the sensing of aqueous antibiotics via fluorescent quenching at low concentrations.

# 4 Discussion

All MOFs reported herein are composed of the m-ETTC ligand and paddlewheel nodes, but vary in distinct ways that may give insight into the relationship between ligand/node geometry and overall framework structure. Note that conformations between isostructural samples of different elements generally varied by less than 1°. The orientations of m-ETTC in WSU-10 and -11 are essentially identical and will be treated together, demonstrating a symmetric conformation with all four carboxyl groups relatively inplane with the ethene bond and pointing outwards (Figure 7A). This conformation has been previously observed in the only other two reported paddlewheel-m-ETTC MOFs, which share the WSU-10 structure (Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022). The pseudo-2D layered framework seems to be favored by this symmetric m-ETTC conformation and the square planar coordination motif of paddlewheel nodes. However, this ligand orientation is also found in WSU-20, with slightly different torsion angles due to the imprecise nature of the paddlewheel distortion (Figure 7B). WSU-20 represents the first time a 3D MOF has resulted from paddlewheel nodes and m-ETTC, and it is surprising that this occurred without a change in ligand orientation (vide infra). Additionally, a unique m-ETTC conformation is observed in WSU-12(Cu) which has not been reported in a MOF to date (Figure 7C). Two carboxyl groups on the same side of the central double bond have twisted out-of-plane and sit approximately perpendicularly to the plane of the ethene core, allowing subsequent paddlewheel coordination that extends in all axes and forms a 3D framework.

Turning to the configuration of the paddlewheel nodes, standard geometries are observed in WSU-10, -11, and -12 where the metalmetal distance is perpendicular to the coordination plane around the metals. The two square pyramids of the cluster are twisted from each



other by 16.6°, 9.3°, and 0° respectively, with WSU-12(Cu) possessing an ideal paddlewheel node. In contrast, the WSU-20 structure features a more distorted cluster; here, the metal-metal line has angled 12° away from the normal of the metal coordination planes, and the individual polyhedra are twisted 8.7° from each other. It is not DMAc coordination that directly causes the distortion; the nodes of WSU-12(Cu) were also resolved with two capping DMAc molecules and still display an ideal geometry. Instead, it is the proximity of adjacent DMAc-capped nodes that sterically induces the distortion, since ideal paddlewheel geometry would have resulted in direct DMAc overlap. The emerging relationships between node capping, ligand geometry, and framework dimensionality in this series led us to speculate a mechanistic connection between the WSU-10 and -20 phases.

Consider a paddlewheel node that has formed in solution and is dynamically capped with solvent molecules (Figure 8). To form WSU-10 or -20, an *m*-ETTC ligand first equatorially chelates one cluster, and then must chelate a second cluster 'below' the first one in a way that axially aligns the two SBUs. However, the space between the axial tips of the clusters is not sufficient to allow two sets of

solvent caps; the DMAc molecule of the top cluster would overlap directly with the DMAc cap of the lower cluster. Instead, there are two distinct routes for framework propagation: 1) retention of the solvent caps but distortion of the paddlewheel, allowing the two adjacent capped clusters to reside (as in WSU-20), or 2) loss of the bulky solvent caps, maintaining ideal geometry and spacing between all clusters (as in WSU-10). If route one occurs, the distorted clusters occupy positions that prevent direct bridging with a single *m*-ETTC molecule on the opposite side, as the 6.70 Å space is too small (Figure 8A, left). Instead, two different ligands are required to coordinate these nodes, forcing the framework to form the 3D, solvent-capped WSU-20 phase. However, if propagation occurs through route 2, then no distortion is necessary and the nodes are in ideal geometries and positions for a single *m*-ETTC ligand to coordinate the 9.99 Å space on the opposite side (Figure 8A, right), forming the pseudo-2D uncapped WSU-10 structure.

Thus, we hypothesize that the kinetic dependence of WSU-10/-20 formation is related to the lability of the metal-solvent interaction during crystallization. By this logic, a metal with a high affinity for solvent coordination and a low rate of solvent self-exchange (*i.e.*, low



lability) would be more likely to form the capped WSU-20 structure, while a metal with lower solvent affinity and high rates of solvent exchange (*i.e.*, high lability) would be more likely to form the uncapped WSU-10 structure. One common measure of metal-solvent lability is the well-established rate constants of  $H_2O$  exchange in metal aqua complexes (Lincoln, 2005). Although the precise rate magnitudes would not apply in nonaqueous systems such as this, lability in these aqua species is primarily dictated by factors specific to the metal ion, such as surface charge density and *d* orbital occupancy. Temperature also has an effect on lability and exchange kinetics, generally increasing rates of exchange with increasing temperatures.

In the WSU-10/-20 system, we observe that there is in fact a phase dependency on the identity of the metal as well as the temperature of the reaction, supporting this hypothesis (Figure 8B). Using Cu(II), the uncapped WSU-10 phase was obtained across all temperature ranges, and the capped WSU-20 phase was never obtained. This indicates that the Cu-DMAc interaction is labile, even at room temperature, and there is never a need for the node to distort in order to propagate a framework. Conversely, using Co(II), the WSU-20 phase is obtained even up to 100°C, and transition to the uncapped WSU-10 phase was not observed. This implies that the nature of the Co-DMAc interaction is quite strong (i.e., nonlabile) and node distortion is preferred, even at high temperatures. Zn(II) was able to form both structures in a temperature-dependent manner. As expected, the uncapped WSU-10(Zn) phase was formed at higher temperatures (where metal-solvent lability is higher), while the distorted WSU-20(Zn) phase was achieved at lower temperatures, where the metal-solvent interaction is less labile. This broad trend of metal-solvent lability across these metal ions, increasing from Co(II) < Zn(II) < Cu(II), is reflected exactly in the H<sub>2</sub>O exchange rates of their metal aqua complexes (Lincoln, 2005). It is the combined influence of the metal ion and the reaction temperature that controls the rate of metal-solvent exchange on the paddlewheel SBU, which in turn has a profound impact on the MOF phase that is obtained. The effects of reaction temperature (Yuan et al., 2016a; 2016b) and solvent identity (Zhang B. et al., 2015; Seetharaj et al., 2019) on MOF syntheses have been reported in a number of examples before. These works mainly focus on the solubility and acidity of the solvent; here, the kinetics of the solvent interaction with the metal ion appear to play the largest role.

One might wonder how the WSU-12 structure fits in to this mechanism, since it is a constitutionally isomeric phase to WSU-10 and -20 as well. This MOF was only obtained with Cu and, despite the established lability of Cu(II), was resolved with DMAc molecules coordinated to the nodes. Here, we propose that since the WSU-12 structure allows typical paddlewheel geometry while capped, there is no energetic need for the node to follow one particular route. The metalsolvent capping interaction is not in fact related to the assembly of WSU-12, disqualifying this phase from the same dependence on lability that the WSU-10 and -20 phases experience. This kinetic independence suggests that both the WSU-12(Zn) and WSU-12(Co) phases may also be accessible if ligand conformation can be controlled. Unfortunately, the synthetic factors that encourage specific ligand orientations are far less direct than the kinetic control on node geometry demonstrated here, and the WSU-12 phase appears in this study to be less energetically favorable than alternatives with planar, symmetric m-ETTC conformations.

In summary, a series of coordination polymers (WSU-10, -11, -12, and -20) were synthesized based on Zn, Cu, and Co paddlewheel metal nodes and the TPE-based ligand m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC, featuring a variety of ligand and node conformations that influence their dimensionalities. WSU-20 is a kinetic isomer of WSU-10, and a mechanistic relationship between the two phases has been presented that relies on the lability of the metal-solvent interaction during synthesis. This kinetic influence on phase distribution could lead to further understanding and control over the MOF construction process. Additionally, these new structures provide a better picture of the conformation that the lower-symmetry m-H<sub>4</sub>ETTC ligand can adopt with paddlewheel nodes to propagate extended frameworks. Continued synthetic explorations are being performed to further probe the kinetic landscape of the *m*-ETTC system and expand this isomeric series.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors. The data presented in the study are deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) repository, accession numbers 2295734–2295739. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, United Kingdom; fax: +44 1223 336033.

## Author contributions

HJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Software, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. MH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Writing-review and editing. ML: Writing-original draft. LF: Writing-original draft. DM: Writing-original draft. JL: Writing-original draft. QZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

# References

Adam, M., Hovestreydt, E., Ruf, M., Kaercher, J., and IUCr (2015). Reaching a new highpoint with crystallography software - APEX3. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 71, s194. doi:10. 1107/s2053273315097120

Banerjee, D., Hu, Z., and Li, J. (2014). Luminescent metal-organic frameworks as explosive sensors. *Dalton Trans.* 43, 10668–10685. doi:10.1039/C4DT01196A

Bourhis, L. J., Dolomanov, O. V., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K., and Puschmann, H. (2015). The anatomy of a comprehensive constrained, restrained refinement program for the modern computing environment - Olex2 dissected. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 71, 59–75. doi:10.1107/S2053273314022207

Carson, C. G., Hardcastle, K., Schwartz, J., Liu, X., Hoffmann, C., Gerhardt, R. A., et al. (2009). Synthesis and structure characterization of copper Terephthalate metal–organic frameworks. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 2338–2343. doi:10.1002/ejic. 200801224

Ding, M., Flaig, R. W., Jiang, H.-L., and Yaghi, O. M. (2019). Carbon capture and conversion using metal–organic frameworks and MOF-based materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48, 2783–2828. doi:10.1039/C8CS00829A

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is supported by the Washington State University startup funds and Seed Grant No. 10H24529967.

# Acknowledgments

X-ray crystallographic data were collected using instruments supported by the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust. HJ acknowledges the funding received from the DOE Office of Nuclear Energy's Nuclear Energy University Programs. MH would like to thank the Frank A. Fowler Endowed Graduate Fellowship in Chemistry, the Donald S. Matteson Graduate Fellowship, and the James P. and Lee Ella I. Ruck Graduate Fellowship at Washington State University for financial support. We thank Ying-Pin Chen for the help with the data collection for WSU-12(Cu).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2024.1396123/ full#supplementary-material

Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. a. K., and Puschmann, H. (2009). OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 42, 339–341. doi:10.1107/S0021889808042726

Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O'Keeffe, M., and Yaghi, O. M. (2013). The chemistry and applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Science* 341, 1230444. doi:10.1126/science.1230444

Furukawa, H., Kim, J., Ockwig, N. W., O'Keeffe, M., and Yaghi, O. M. (2008). Control of Vertex geometry, structure dimensionality, Functionality, and pore Metrics in the reticular synthesis of crystalline Metal–Organic frameworks and polyhedra. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130, 11650–11661. doi:10.1021/ja803783c

Gong, Q., Hu, Z., Deibert, B. J., Emge, T. J., Teat, S. J., Banerjee, D., et al. (2014). Solution processable MOF yellow phosphor with Exceptionally high quantum efficiency. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 16724–16727. doi:10.1021/ja509446h

Hu, W., Wang, S., Jiang, C., Zheng, M., Bai, Z., Srivastava, D., et al. (2023). Recent advances in sonodynamic therapy by MOFs-based platforms for biomedical applications. *Dyes Pigments* 219, 111596. doi:10.1016/j.dyepig.2023.111596

Hurlock, M. J., Hao, L., Kriegsman, K. W., Guo, X., O'Keeffe, M., and Zhang, Q. (2021a). Evolution of 14-connected Zr6 secondary building Units through Postsynthetic linker incorporation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 13, 51945–51953. doi:10.1021/acsami. 1c07701

Hurlock, M. J., Lare, M. F., and Zhang, Q. (2021b). Two Cd-based luminescent coordination polymers constructed from a Truncated linker. *Inorg. Chem.* 60, 2503–2513. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c03422

Jiao, L., and Jiang, H.-L. (2019). Metal-organic-framework-based single-Atom Catalysts for energy applications. *Chem* 5, 786–804. doi:10.1016/j.chempr.2018.12.011

Kalmutzki, M. J., Hanikel, N., and Yaghi, O. M. (2018). Secondary building units as the turning point in the development of the reticular chemistry of MOFs. *Sci. Adv.* 4, eaat9180. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aat9180

King, S. C., Wang, H., Arman, H. D., and Chen, B. (2016). A two-dimensional metalorganic framework composed of paddle-wheel cobalt clusters with permanent porosity. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 74, 98–101. doi:10.1016/j.inoche.2016.11.004

La, D. D., Bhosale, S. V., Jones, L. A., and Bhosale, S. V. (2018). Tetraphenylethylenebased AIE-Active probes for sensing applications. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 10, 12189–12216. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b12320

Lincoln, S. F. (2005). Mechanistic studies of metal aqua ions: a Semi-Historical Perspective. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 88, 523–545. doi:10.1002/hlca.200590036

Liu, Y., Guan, X., and Fang, Q. (2021). Recent advances in AIEgen-based crystalline porous materials for chemical sensing. *Aggregate* 2, e34. doi:10.1002/agt2.34

Lustig, W. P., and Li, J. (2018). Luminescent metal-organic frameworks and coordination polymers as alternative phosphors for energy efficient lighting devices. *Coord. Chem. Rev., Coord. Chem. Energy* 373, 116–147. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2017.09.017

Lustig, W. P., Mukherjee, S., Rudd, N. D., Desai, A. V., Li, J., and Ghosh, S. K. (2017). Metal–organic frameworks: functional luminescent and photonic materials for sensing applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 46, 3242–3285. doi:10.1039/C6CS00930A

Lustig, W. P., Shen, Z., Teat, S. J., Javed, N., Velasco, E., O'Carroll, D. M., et al. (2020). Rational design of a high-efficiency, multivariate metal-organic framework phosphor for white LED bulbs. *Chem. Sci.* 11, 1814–1824. doi:10.1039/C9SC05721H

Lustig, W. P., Teat, S. J., and Li, J. (2019). Improving LMOF luminescence quantum yield through guest-mediated rigidification. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 7, 14739–14744. doi:10. 1039/C9TC05216J

Lustig, W. P., Wang, F., Teat, S. J., Hu, Z., Gong, Q., and Li, J. (2016). Chromophorebased luminescent metal-organic frameworks as lighting phosphors. *Inorg. Chem.* 55, 7250–7256. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b00897

Ma, D., Li, Z., Zhu, J., Zhou, Y., Chen, L., Mai, X., et al. (2020). Inverse and highly selective separation of CO2/C2H2 on a thulium-organic framework. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 8, 11933–11937. doi:10.1039/D0TA03151H

Ma, L., Feng, X., Wang, S., and Wang, B. (2017). Recent advances in AIEgen-based luminescent metal-organic frameworks and covalent organic frameworks. *Mater. Chem. Front.* 1, 2474–2486. doi:10.1039/C7QM00254H

Meek, S., Houk, R., Doty, P., and Allendorf, M. (2010). Luminescent metal-organic frameworks: a Nanolaboratory for probing energy transfer via Interchromophore interactions. *ECS Trans.* 28, 137–143. doi:10.1149/1.3367219

Mei, J., Leung, N. L. C., Kwok, R. T. K., Lam, J. W. Y., and Tang, B. Z. (2015). Aggregation-induced emission: together We shine, united We soar. *Chem. Rev.* 115, 11718–11940. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00263

Mori, W., Sato, T., Kato, C. N., Takei, T., and Ohmura, T. (2005). Discovery and development of microporous metal carboxylates. *Chem. Rec.* 5, 336–351. doi:10.1002/tcr.20060

Pamei, M., and Puzari, A. (2019). Luminescent transition metal-organic frameworks: an emerging sensor for detecting biologically essential metal ions. *Nano-Struct. Nano-Objects.* 19, 100364. doi:10.1016/j.nanoso.2019.100364

Seetharaj, R., Vandana, P. V., Arya, P., and Mathew, S. (2019). Dependence of solvents, pH, molar ratio and temperature in tuning metal organic framework architecture. *Arabian J. Chem.* 12, 295–315. doi:10.1016/j.arabjc.2016.01.003

Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). SHELXT - integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 71, 3–8. doi:10.1107/S2053273314026370

Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. C 71, 3-8. doi:10.1107/S2053229614024218

Shevchenko, A. P., Shabalin, A. A., Karpukhin, I.Yu., and Blatov, V. A. (2022). Topological representations of crystal structures: generation, analysis and implementation in the TopCryst system. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater Methods* 2, 250–265. doi:10.1080/27660400.2022.2088041

Shustova, N. B., McCarthy, B. D., and Dincă, M. (2011). Turn-on fluorescence in Tetraphenylethylene-based metal–organic frameworks: an alternative to aggregation-induced emission. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 20126–20129. doi:10.1021/ja209327q

Tan, K., Nijem, N., Canepa, P., Gong, Q., Li, J., Thonhauser, T., et al. (2012). Stability and Hydrolyzation of metal organic frameworks with paddle-wheel SBUs upon Hydration. *Chem. Mater.* 24, 3153–3167. doi:10.1021/cm301427w

Wang, H., Lustig, W. P., and Li, J. (2018). Sensing and capture of toxic and hazardous gases and vapors by metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 47, 4729–4756. doi:10. 1039/C7CS00885F

Wang, W., Wen, Y., Su, J., Ma, H., Wang, H.-Y., Kurmoo, M., et al. (2019). Carbon dioxide (CO2) Fixation: Linearly bridged Zn2 paddlewheel nodes by CO2 in a metal-organic framework. *Inorg. Chem.* 58, 16040–16046. doi:10.1021/acs. inorgchem.9b02548

Wei, Z., Gu, Z.-Y., Arvapally, R. K., Chen, Y.-P., McDougald, R. N., Ivy, J. F., et al. (2014). Rigidifying fluorescent linkers by metal-organic framework formation for fluorescence blue Shift and quantum yield enhancement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136, 8269–8276. doi:10.1021/ja5006866

Xiao, J.-D., and Jiang, H.-L. (2019). Metal–organic frameworks for Photocatalysis and Photothermal catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 52, 356–366. doi:10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00521

Xu, G., Nie, P., Dou, H., Ding, B., Li, L., and Zhang, X. (2017). Exploring metal organic frameworks for energy storage in batteries and supercapacitors. *Mater. Today* 20, 191–209. doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2016.10.003

Xu, Y., Zhou, Y.-Y., Yu, M., Xiong, Y., Liu, X.-G., and Zhao, Z. (2022). Excellent quantum yield enhancement in luminescent metal-organic layer for sensitive detection of antibiotics in aqueous medium. *Dyes Pigm* 198, 109961. doi:10.1016/j.dyepig.2021. 109961

Yang, W., Chang, G., Wang, H., Hu, T.-L., Yao, Z., Alfooty, K., et al. (2016). A threedimensional Tetraphenyliethene-based metal-organic framework for selective gas separation and luminescence sensing of metal ions. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 4470–4475. doi:10.1002/ejic.201600201

Yuan, S., Chen, Y.-P., Qin, J.-S., Lu, W., Zou, L., Zhang, Q., et al. (2016a). Linker Installation: Engineering pore environment with precisely placed Functionalities in Zirconium MOFs. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 8912–8919. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b04501

Yuan, S., Qin, J.-S., Zou, L., Chen, Y.-P., Wang, X., Zhang, Q., et al. (2016b). Thermodynamically Guided synthesis of mixed-linker Zr-MOFs with Enhanced tunability. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 6636–6642. doi:10.1021/jacs.6b03263

Zhang, B., Zhang, J., Liu, C., Sang, X., Peng, L., Ma, X., et al. (2015a). Solvent determines the formation and properties of metal-organic frameworks. *RSC Adv.* 5, 37691–37696. doi:10.1039/C5RA02440D

Zhang, Q., Su, J., Feng, D., Wei, Z., Zou, X., and Zhou, H.-C. (2015b). Piezofluorochromic metal-organic framework: a Microscissor Lift. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 10064–10067. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b04695

Zhao, R., Liang, Z., Zou, R., and Xu, Q. (2018a). Metal-organic frameworks for batteries. Joule 2, 2235–2259. doi:10.1016/j.joule.2018.09.019

Zhao, X., Wang, Y., Li, D.-S., Bu, X., and Feng, P. (2018b). Metal-organic frameworks for separation. *Adv. Mater.* 30, e1705189. doi:10.1002/adma.201705189

Zheng, H.-L., Huang, S.-L., Luo, M.-B., Wei, Q., Chen, E.-X., He, L., et al. (2020). Photochemical *in situ* Exfoliation of metal–organic frameworks for Enhanced Visiblelight-Driven CO2 Reduction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 59, 23588–23592. doi:10.1002/anie. 202012019

Zhong, Y., Peng, Z., Peng, Y., Li, B., Pan, Y., Ouyang, Q., et al. (2023). Construction of Fe-doped ZIF-8/DOX nanocomposites for ferroptosis strategy in the treatment of breast cancer. J. Mater. Chem. B 11, 6335–6345. doi:10.1039/D3TB00749A

Zhou, H.-C., Long, J. R., and Yaghi, O. M. (2012). Introduction to metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* 112, 673–674. doi:10.1021/cr300014x