#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Aris E. Giannakas, University of Patras, Greece

REVIEWED BY Aizhamal Baiseitova, Gyeongsang National University, Republic of Korea Akhtar Ali, Center of Plant Systems Biology and Biotechnology, Bulgaria Imran Khan,

Leibniz Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Germany

Amare Bitew Mekonnen, I amarebitew8@gmail.com Mohammed Bourhia, I m.bourhia@uiz.ac.ma

RECEIVED 08 March 2024 ACCEPTED 21 August 2024 PUBLISHED 13 September 2024

#### CITATION

Asbabou A, Hanane T, Gourich AA, Siddique F, Drioiche A, Remok F, Saidi S, Adadi I, Khamar H, Almaary KS, Mekonnen AB, Bourhia M, Bouzoubaa A and Zair T (2024) Phytochemical profile, physicochemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Tetraclinis articulate: in vitro* and *in silico* approaches. *Front. Chem.* 12:1397961. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2024.1397961

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Asbabou, Hanane, Gourich, Siddique, Drioiche, Remok, Saidi, Adadi, Khamar, Almaary, Mekonnen, Bourhia, Bouzoubaa and Zair. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Phytochemical profile, physicochemical, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Tetraclinis articulate: in vitro* and *in silico* approaches

Ayoub Asbabou<sup>1</sup>, Touijer Hanane<sup>1</sup>, Aman Allah Gourich<sup>1</sup>, Farhan Siddique<sup>2</sup>, Aziz Drioiche<sup>1</sup>, Firdaous Remok<sup>1</sup>, Soukaina Saidi<sup>1</sup>, Imad Adadi<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Khamar<sup>3</sup>, Khalid S. Almaary<sup>4</sup>, Amare Bitew Mekonnen<sup>5</sup>\*, Mohammed Bourhia<sup>6</sup>\*, Amale Bouzoubaa<sup>1</sup> and Touriya Zair<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Team of Chemistry of Bioactive Molecules and the Environment, Laboratory of Innovative Materials and Biotechnology of Natural Resources, Faculty of Sciences, Moulay Ismaïl University, Meknes, Morocco, <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Botany and Plant Ecology, Scientific Institute, University Mohammed V in Rabat, Rabat, Morocco, <sup>4</sup>Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>5</sup>Department of Biology, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia, <sup>6</sup>Laboratory of Biotechnology and Natural ResourcesValorization, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco

**Introduction:** This research aims to explore the molecular composition, antioxidant capabilities, and antibacterial effects of the essential oils from *Tetraclinis articulateata* and *Juniperus phoenicea*.

**Methods:** Essential oils were extracted using hydrodistillation. Gas chromatography combined with mass spectrometry was used to determine the chemical makeup of essential oils. Two methods are used to assess the antioxidant activity of essential oils: the reduction of iron (ferric reducing antioxidant power or frap) and the trapping of the free radical 2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). The antimicrobial potential of essential oils was assessed using the diffusion method on a solid-state disk in comparison to nine bacterial and seven fungal souches.

**Results and Discussion:** The essential oil yields from *Tetraclinis articulata* and *Juniperus phoenicea* are 0.46%  $\pm$  0.02% and 0.83%  $\pm$  0.05%, respectively. According to CG/SM's chromatographic analyses, the predominant constituent in the essential oil of J. Phoenicea is α-pinène (59.51%), while the main constituents in the essential oil of *T. Articulata*? are Bornyle acetate (18.91%) and camphor (28.48%). The assessment of antioxidant activities reveals intriguing antioxidant qualities in the essential oils of the species under investigation. *T. Articulata* essential oils yield the greatest results in the DPPH and FRAP tests, with CI50 values of around 266.9  $\pm$  5.4 µg/mL and EC50 values of 433.16  $\pm$  4.13 µg/mL, respectively. Except for Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus aureus BLACT, and Pseudomonas aeroginosa, the two essential oils have demonstrated significant bactericidal activity

against all bacterial and fungal souches (MIC <2 mg/mL et MBC <3.5 mg/mL). The inhibiting effect of these oils on bacterial and fungal development raises potential application areas in the food, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical industries. In addition, the current study investigated the potential antifungal, antibacterial, and antioxidant activities of the essential oils from *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Tetraclinis articulate* plants via the Glide molecular docking methodology, and most of these constituents were observed to be potent therapeutic agents.

KEYWORDS

Juniperus phoenicea, Tetraclinis articulata, essential oils, antioxidant activity, antimicrobial activity

## 1 Introduction

Essential oils derived from aromatic and therapeutic plants have been known to exhibit numerous important biological actions since antiquity (Ait-Sidi-Brahim et al., 2019; Bouyahya et al., 2017; Harmouzi et al., 2016). These goods, which are utilized in the food, cosmetics, and pharmaceutical sectors, have a high added value. Numerous clinical research conducted in the past 10 years have demonstrated the antibacterial and antioxidant properties of several essential oils (Atailia and Djahoudi, 2015; Amarti et al., 2008; Nasir Shah et al., 2023). The temperature, altitude, type, and pH of the soil, time of harvest, and methods used for drying and extraction all affect the chemical composition of essential oils. Several studies have demonstrated a connection between the chemical composition of essential oils, particularly the characteristics of their main volatile constituents, and the biological activities of these oils (Satrani et al., 2007). Morocco, due to its geographical location, constitutes a completely original natural setting offering a complete range of Mediterranean bioclimates favoring a rich and varied flora with very marked endemism. The rich vegetation of Morocco is underappreciated in terms of its chemical, sensory, or biological potential. In this context, our work focused on two Moroccan species: Juniperus phoenicea and Tetraclinis articulata. Both plants belong to the Cupressaceae family known for their Mediterranean essences and characterized by multiple uses in traditional medicine.

The Cupressaceae family is a large and important family of the plant world, classified in the subphylum Gymnosperms, order Coniferales (Adams, 2000). It is the largest family of conifers in terms of genera, and the third in terms of species (Farjon, A. 2010). It brings together twenty-nine genera and more than 130 species (Farjon, 2005; Adams et al., 2009). The genus Juniperus occupies an important place in the Cupressaceae family, with about 60 species distinguished by their hardiness and dynamism (Adams, 1998). The red or Phoenician juniper (Juniperus phoenicea) is a bushy shrub or monoecious tree, standing 1-8 m high (Benabid, A. 2000). It is a variable species, characterized by great morphological and biochemical differentiation, which has made it possible to distinguish three subspecies: J. phoenicea sub spphoenicea, J. phoenicea sub speu-mediterranea and J. phoenicea var. turbinata (Adams et al., 2002). Juniperus phoenicea is a species whose distribution area is circum-Mediterranean. It is present in North Africa, South Africa, southern Europe, and Asia (Adams, 1998). Red juniper is considered an important medicinal plant, widely used in traditional medicine in many countries. The branches, leaves, and fruits of J. phoenicea are used in traditional medicine and their chemical compounds are incorporated into pharmaceutical preparations of particularly antiseptic use attributed to the presence of essential oils (Mansouri et al., 2011). The mixture of leaves and female cones is widely used in traditional Moroccan and Algerian medicine to treat diabetes (Amel, B 2013). The plant is also used for its antihypertensive, anti-inflammatory, antiparasitic, and antiseptic properties (Boudjelal et al., 2013). Previous studies that studied the chemical composition of the essential oils of J. phoenicea in different regions on either side of the basin Mediterranean have shown that the major constituent in its oils is α-pinene which has antibacterial, antifungal, and antiseptic properties (Amalich et al., 2015; El-Sawi et al., 2007; Adams et al., 1996). The Berberian or Maghreb thuja (Tetraclinis articulata), is a monoecious, long-lived tree (around 500 years), 6-20 m high (Fennane, 1957). The distribution of this species is limited to the southern western Mediterranean region, present in Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, and Spain (Abbas et al., 2006; Bourkhiss et al., 2015). Berberie thuja is a plant widely used in traditional medicine in several countries, particularly in Morocco. In traditional medicine, the different organs of thuja, notably the leaves and branches, are used in the treatment of intestinal and respiratory infections (Bellakhdar et al., 1991). The essential oils of T. articulata stand out for their strong antifungal activity; their spectrum of action is very broad. Consequently, they act on a large number of phytopathogenic fungi (Ghnaya et al., 2016). Several works have been carried out on the chemical composition of the essential oils of Tetraclinis articulata showing its richness in oxygenated monoterpenes such as a-pinene, limonene, isobornyl acetate, thymol (El et al., 2010; Harmouzi et al., 2016; Chikhoune et al., 2013; Bourkhiss et al., 2015). Research carried out in the scientific literature indicates that there are few reports of studies on the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of the essential oils of J. phoenicea and T. articulata (Saber et al., 2023; Sahib et al., 2022).

*J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata*, have been valued for their healing properties for centuries such as essential oils (Fahim et al., 2017; Lawal and Ogunwande, 2013). Recent scientific investigations have started to uncover the complex phytochemical profiles of these plants, exposing a plethora of bioactive compounds. The physicochemical characteristics, along with strong antioxidant and antimicrobial properties, highlight their potential in pharmacological and nutraceutical applications (Djahafi et al., 2021; Harmouzi et al., 2016; Tahar et al., 2023). This current study examines the extensive phytochemical composition, physicochemical attributes, and antimicrobial

#### TABLE 1 Harvest sites of the plants studied.

Botanical name	Harvest site		Longitude (y)	Latitude (x)	Altitude (m)	
	Region	Locality				
T. articulata	Sefrou	Ribate El Kheir	33°48′42″ N	4°24′57″ W	1,250	
J.phoenicea	Boulmane	Gigo	33°39′02″ N	4°82′86″ W	1,509	

#### TABLE 2 The taxonomic classification of the plants studied.

Kingdom	Plantae	Plantae
Class	Equisetopsida	Equisetopsida
Order	Cupressales	Cupressales
Family	Cupressaceae	Cupressaceae
Genus	Juniperus	Tetraclinis
Species	Juniperus phoenicea L	Tetraclinis articulata (Vahl) Masters

efficacy of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata*, Middle Atlas Morocco plants emphasizing their potential to improve human health and addressing microbial resistance.

## 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Chemicals

Ethyl alcohol, hydrochloric acid, glacial acetic acid and methanol were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. 2H<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>, 2,2diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and KOH were obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Trichloroacetic acid (TCA), Sodium hydroxide and AlCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O were from Riedelde Haen (Seelze, Germany). Ascorbic acid was from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain). Deionized double distilled water was used for all assays.

### 2.2 Plant material

The leafy branches of *J. phoenicea* were collected in the Boulmane region in March 2022, while those of T. articulata were collected in Rebat Elkheir in June 2022. The two plants were dried for several days at protected from humidity and light. The geographical parameters of the harvesting stations and the morphological appearance of the plants studied are represented in Tables 1, 2; Figure 1. The identification of the plants studied was carried out at the Department of Botany and Plant Ecology, Rabat Scientific Institute.

### 2.3 Bacterial and fungal material

Sixteen microbial strains were chosen for their pathogenicity and their frequent involvement in the contamination of foodstuffs: nine bacterial strains [Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus aureus BLACT, Streptococcus agalactiae (B), wild Escherichia coli, Escherichia coli ESBL, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa] and seven fungal strains (Candida albicans, Candida dubliniensis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Aspergillus niger, Candida tropicalis, Candida krusei, Candida parapsilosis). These selected microorganisms are pathogenic, known for their high resistance, invasive and toxic power in humans. They are frequently encountered in many infections in Morocco that pose a clinical and therapeutic problem. These strains were isolated from the hospital environment: Mohamed V-Meknes Provincial Hospital.





TABLE 3 Quality control results of plant material.

Plant	Humidity level (%)	рН	Ash (%)
Juniperusphoenicea	13.19	6.29	13.38
Tetraclinisarticulata	16.11	5.98	11.44

TABLE 4 Yields and organoleptic properties of essential oils.

	Yields (%)	Color	Smell
J. phoenicea	0.83 ± 0.05	Pale yellow	Strong and characteristic of junipers
T.articulata	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	Pale yellow	Strong and Balsamic

## 2.4 Quality control of plant material

#### 2.4.1 Moisture content (MC)

A quantity of 5 g of the fresh plant material was dried in a Memmert-type oven at  $105^{\circ}$ C for 24 h (to a constant weight). The mass of the dry plant was determined using a precise balance and the water content is given by the Equation 1 below:

MC% = 
$$\frac{(m0 - m1)}{m0} \times 100$$
 (1)

 $m_0$  (g): Initial mass of the plant.

m1 (g): Mass after drying.

The result was expressed as a percentage of dry matter.

#### 2.4.2 Determination of pH

The principle consists of adding 10 mL of hot distilled water to a quantity of 2 g of powdered plant material. After filtering, the mixture is left to cool. A pH meter of the HANNA type was used to measure the pH.

#### 2.4.3 ASH content

A quantity of 1 G of the powdered plant material was taken and placed in a previously tared crucible. The whole is introduced into a

muffle furnace at 550°C for 48 h, then weighed again after cooling. The organic matter content is calculated by Formula 2 given below:

$$OM(\%) = \left(\frac{W1 - W2}{TS}\right) X100$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

OM%: Organic matter;

W1: Weight of sample before calcination;W2: Weight of sample after calcination;TS: Test sample.The ash content was calculated by the Equation 3:

$$\mathbf{Ash\%} = \mathbf{100} - \mathbf{MO\%} \tag{3}$$

## 2.5 Extraction and estimation of essential oil yield

The extraction of the essential oil was carried out by hydrodistillation in a Clevenger-type apparatus (Clevenger, 1928). The distillation lasts 3 hours after recovery of the first drop of distillate, three distillations were made by boiling 100 g of the plant. Then the resulting oil was dried by adding anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na2SO4). The essential oil is stored at 4°C in the dark. The HE yields are expressed concerning dry matter (in mL/100 g of dry matter).

#### 2.6 Analyse chromatographique

The chromatographic analysis of essential oils was carried out using a THERMO ELECTRON chromatograph (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States): Trace GC Ultra equipped with a DB-5 (5% phenyl-methyl-siloxane) capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 µm), of a flame ionization detector (FID) powered by a mixture of H2/Air-gas. The carrier gas is nitrogen with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The device is equipped with a split-splitless PVT (Programmed Vaporization Temperature) injector. The injection mode is split (leakage ratio: 1/50, flow rate: 66 mL/min). The injected volume is 1 µL. The experimental

TABLE 5 Chemical composition of J. phoenicea essential oil.

CargophylleneIA08 $G_{13}P_{A4}$ 3A2QrogophylleneIA06 $G_{13}P_{A4}$ IA0Y ElemeneIA36 $G_{13}P_{A4}$ IA3Germacrene DIA81 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 305Murulola (14)5-dieneIA93 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 091q-MurulolaeIA94 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 063q-Murulene1.500 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 0.63Quarulene1.501 $G_{12}P_{A4}$ 0.63Quarulene1.512 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 0.63Quarulene1.512 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 0.63Quarulene1.512 $G_{14}P_{A4}$ 0.64Quarulene1.512 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.64Quarulene1.523 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.74Quarulene1.546 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.74Quarulene1.546 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.74Quarulene1.547 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.74Quarulene1.542 $G_{14}P_{A5}$ 0.74Quarulene	Compound	ІК	Chemical formula	Percentage (%)			
	α-pinene	939	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub>	59.51			
Nyrcne99GeAaCaAa1.7p Cymen104GuAa0.0Linonen10.9GuAa0.0Linonen1.00GuAa0.0Inaloa1.00GuAa0.0Poscorol1.00GuAa0.0Poscorol1.00GuAa0.0Poscorol1.00GuAa0.0Systema1.00GuAa0.0Caryophilea1.04GuAa0.0Y Benner1.04GuAa0.0Marada (1), Guiner1.04GuAa0.0Marada (1), Guiner1.04GuAa0.0Aurada (1), Guiner1.00GuAa0.0Aurada (1), Guiner1.00GuAa0.0Systema1.50GuAa0.0Cadenac1.51GuAa0.6Cadenac1.58GuAa0.6Notative1.58GuAa0.6Notative1.58GuAa0.0Cadenac1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema1.54GuAa0.0Systema <td< td=""><td>Camphene</td><td>954</td><td>C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub></td><td>0.64</td></td<>	Camphene	954	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub>	0.64			
	β-pinene	979	$C_{10}H_{16}$	1.22			
Ineace         189         CaRia         000000000000000000000000000000000000	Myrcene	990	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub>	1.27			
InitialIn0Rµn0I.2Finaneal1.09Rµn00.4Finaneal1.09Rµn00.4Galpanean1.09Rµn10.4Cayphylhean1.00Rµn10.4Y Banean1.04Rµn10.4Y Banean1.45Rµn10.4Gamarone Da1.49Rufa0.4Gamarone Da1.99Rufa0.4Quadra (1).64m1.91Cultar0.4Quadra (1).64m1.92Cultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4Quadra (1).64mCultarCultar0.4	p-Cymene	1,024	$C_{10}H_{14}$	0.60			
Pincavel         139         G <sub>B</sub> M <sub>0</sub> 0.44           β-Banen         139         G <sub>B</sub> M <sub>3</sub> 0.48           Caryophyllen         408         Galla         3.42           Y-Benere         146         Galla         1.09           Hunder         1.48         Galla         1.09           Munder (1).54         Galla         1.09         1.09           Quadrat (1).54         Galla         0.61         1.00           quadrat (1).54         Galla         0.61         0.61           Quadrat (1).54         Galla         Galla         0.61           Quadrat (1).54         Galla         Galla         0.61           Quadrat (1).54         Galla	Limonene	1,029	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub>	0.70			
planen     199     6µ3, 100     98       Grapphilae     148     6µ3, 100     140       y Hanane     143     6µ3, 100     130       Hundane     143     6µ3, 100     130       Gemacren D     148     6µ3, 100     130       qu'adade     149     6µ3, 100     130       qu'adade     149     6µ3, 100     100       qu'adade     141     6µ3, 100     100       qu'adade     151     6µ3, 100     100       qu'adade     153     6µ3, 100     100       qu'adade     154     6µ3, 100     100       Qu'adade     164     6µ3, 100     100       Qu'adade     161     6µ3, 100     100 <td>Linalool</td> <td>1,100</td> <td>C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O</td> <td>1.22</td>	Linalool	1,100	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O	1.22			
CorrespondenceIndexCalanAddAdorphylineIndexCalanIndexY EnereIndexCalanIndexHunkaeIndexCalanIndexGermarene DIndexCalanIndexquicade (14)s-timeIndexCalanIndexquicade (14)s-timeIndexCala	Pinocarveol	1,139	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0.46			
PiEmen1,49 $c_1\beta_3$ 10Hundee1,49 $c_1\beta_3$ 13Grancend D1,41 $c_1\beta_4$ 3.1Murola (14).5-dane1,49 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.1qu'clabel1,49 $c_1\beta_3$ 0.1qu'clabel1,50 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.3 $\gamma$ amphen1,51 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.3 $\gamma$ adorphen1,51 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.4 $\gamma$ back1,51 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.4 $\gamma$ back1,53 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.4 $\gamma$ back1,53 $c_1\beta_4$ 0.4 $\gamma$ back1,54 $c_1\beta_4$ <	β-Elemene	1,390	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.68			
HandenIA94CirlaIA94 <t< td=""><td>Caryophyllène</td><td>1,408</td><td>C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub></td><td>3.42</td></t<>	Caryophyllène	1,408	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	3.42			
Gerancene D1.481CiaBa.DisMurolar (14).5-dane1.93CiaBa.9.1quorda (14).5-dane1.94CiaBa.0.1a-Murolene1.50CiaBa.0.3y-morphene1.51CiaBa.0.3y-damophene1.51CiaBa.1.9y-damophene1.51CiaBa.1.9y-damophene1.51CiaBa.1.9y-damophene1.53CiaBa.CiaBa.y-damophene1.38CiaBa.0.6y-damophene1.53CiaBa.0.6Motodatene1.54CiaBa.0.6Liquoxide1.54CiaBa.0.6Marolene1.58CiaBa.0.7Cadmenether1.58CiaBa.0.7Maloi1.54CiaBa.0.7Caronphyllene oxide1.54CiaBa.0.7Sativit Ciamether1.54CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.54CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.54CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.65CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.62CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.63CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.63CiaBa.0.7Catonether1.	γ- Elemene	1,436	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	1.00			
Murola4 (4),5-dine         IA93         Grafa         9.9           eyCubebal         194         GaBa_O         7.1           e-Murolene         100         GaBa_O         6.3           y-morphene         121         GaBa_O         1.9           Cubebal         1.15         GaBa_O         1.9           Y-cafnene         1.23         GaBa_O         1.9           Notatene         1.38         GaBaO         6.6           Liquxide         1.38         GaBaO         6.6           Marole-there         1.38         GaBaO         6.6           Liquxide         1.38         GaBaO         6.7           Marole-there         1.58         GaBaO         7.7           Maila         1.61         GaBaO         7.8           Autole-there         1.58         GaBaO         7.8           Subal-there         1.58         GaBaO         7.9           Subal-there         1.58         GaBaO         7.9           Subal-there         1.59         GaBaO         7.9           Subal-there         1.59         GaBaO         7.9           Subal-there         1.62         GaBaO         7.9      <	Humulene	1,454	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	1.53			
ejclubed1494Ciglao0.71a-Murolere150Ciglao0.63y-morphere151Ciglao0.63Cubed151Ciglao1.9Y-Cadinere153Ciglao2.5Nodatane158Ciglao0.64Liquoxide1.54Ciglao0.64Hydraynlo1.54Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Chanolt1.59Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.58Ciglao0.7Chanolt1.59Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Ciglao0.7Cadinerether1.69Cig	Germacrene D	1,481	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	3.05			
a-Murolee1,50G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.63γ- norphere1,51G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.64Cabebl1,51G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A1.9γ- Cadinere1,52G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641,50G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641.011,40xda1,51G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641,40xda1,53G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641,64G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641.011,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.641,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.721,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.721,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.731,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.731,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.741,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.741,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.741,64ycaryl1,54G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.741,64ycaryl1,64G <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub> A0.741,74ycaryl1,64G <sub>1</sub>	Muurola-4 (14),5-diene	1,493	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.91			
norm         number         number         number           y- anophene         1,512 $G_{12}H_{34}$ 0.56           Cabebal         1,515 $G_{12}H_{34}$ 1.19           y- cadinene         1,523 $G_{12}H_{34}$ 2.55           Nootkarene         1,518 $G_{12}H_{34}$ 0.66           Liquloxide         1,518 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 0.46           Hedycaryol         1,548 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 0.46           Cadinenether         1,581 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 0.77           Mailoi         1,587 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 1.26           Caryophyllen oxide         1,581 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 1.26           Salvid (14)-en-1-one         1,581 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 2.97           Salvid (14)-en-1-one         1,621 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 0.70           Catonelyl         1,623 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 2.55           Salvid (14)-en-1-one         1,624 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 2.51           Catonelyl         1,625 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 2.51           Salvid (14)-en-1-one         1,626 $G_{13}H_{30}$ 2.51           Salven	epi-Cubebol	1,494	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	0.71			
Cabebal1.515Ca <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O1.19Y- Cadinen1.523G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>24</sub> O2.55Nookaten1.518G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.66Liquoxide1.536G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.46Hedycaryol1.548G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.77Cadineneether1.558G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.78Mailoi1.667G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O2.77Caryophylene oxide1.833G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.70Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one1.594G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.70Catenaethy1.625G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.70Catenaethy1.625G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.70Catenaethy1.625G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.82Gubandolepi1.642G <sub>14</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.82Gubandolepi1.642G <sub>14</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.82Fadesmed (15).7-dien 1.61.680G <sub>14</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.83Syoband1.681G <sub>13</sub> P <sub>20</sub> O0.91Syoband1.891G <sub>14</sub> P <sub>40</sub> O0.90Syoband1.891G <sub>14</sub> P <sub>40</sub> O0.91Hydreneethy	a-Muurolene	1,500	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.63			
y-Adinene1,523Ci <sub>1</sub> H22,55Nodkatene1,518Ci <sub>1</sub> H20.66Liquoxide1,518Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.66Helycaryol1,554Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.77Cadinenether1,558Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.78Maliol1,567Ci <sub>1</sub> H202.72Caryophyllene oxide1,583Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.70Salvil-1 (14)-en-1-one1,594Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.70Cathenethy1,625Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.70Cathenethy1,625Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.70Cathenethy1,625Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.82Cathenethy1,626Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.82Cathenethy1,626Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.82Cathenethy1,627Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.82Cathenethy1,628Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.82Cathenethy1,691Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,691Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,691Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Shobunol1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,891Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,892Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Cathenethy1,892Ci <sub>1</sub> H200.92Ca	γ- amorphene	1,512	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.56			
Nodkaten1,518C1 <sub>3</sub> H22666Hajaxide1,536C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.46Hadycaryol1,548C1 <sub>3</sub> H201.77Cadieneether1,558C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.78Mailol1,567C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.26Caryophyllene oxide1,583C1 <sub>3</sub> H202.97Salvial 4 (14)-en-1-one1,594C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.70Caronelyl1,594C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.70Caronelyl1,625C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.70Carbonol1,628C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.55Carbonol1,628C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.52Anhurololepi1,691C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.54Juniperolacetar1,681C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.54Juniperolacetar1,681C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.54Stobunol1,682C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.90Stobunol1,682C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.90Stobunol1,681C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.91Stobunol1,681C1 <sub>3</sub> H200.91Stobunol1,6	Cubebol	1,515	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	1.19			
Iquadide1,536G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.46Hedycaryol1,548G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O1.77Cadneneether1,581G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.78Maliol1,567G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O1.66Caryophyllene oxide1,581G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O2.97Salval 4 (14)-en-1-one1,591G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.70Caronelyl1,625G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.70Caronelyl1,625G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.70Cabenol1,626G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.82Auturolopi1,642G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.82Subianol1,630G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.82Subianol1,681G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.51Subianol1,681G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.91Subianol1,681G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.91Subianol1,692G <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O0.91Subianol1,692 <td>γ- Cadinene</td> <td>1,523</td> <td>C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub></td> <td>2.55</td>	γ- Cadinene	1,523	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	2.55			
Helycaryol1,548C1,3H201.77Cadineneether1,558C1,3H200.78Maliol1,567C1,3H201.26Caryophyllene oxide1,583C1,3H200.70Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one1,594C1,3H200.70Citronellyl1,625C1,3H200.70Citronellyl1,625C1,3H200.70Cubenol1,628C1,3H200.55Auurololepi1,642C1,3H200.54Auurololepi1,680C1,3H200.54Khisnol1,680C1,3H200.53Junperolacetate1,683C1,3H200.90Stobubund1,681C1,3H200.90Stobubund1,681C1,3H200.90Manoloxide1,987C1,3H200.90Hydrogenated memorepreet%1,987S14400.90Hydrogenated sequiterpenet%1,987S14401.99Hydrogenated memorepreet%1,991.99	Nootkatene	1,518	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	0.66			
Cadinenether1,558C13H2AO0.78Maliol1,557C13H2AO1.26Caryophyllene oxide1,583C13H2AO2.97Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one1,594C13H2AO0.70Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one1,624C13H2AO0.70Citronellyl1,625C13H2AO0.70Cubenol1,628C13H2AO0.55a-Muuroloepi1,642C13H2AO0.54β-Eudesmol1,650C13H2AO0.54Kusinol1,680C13H2AO0.53Junperolacetate1,681C13H2AO0.90Sylobunol1,687C13H2AO0.90Manolo oxide1,987C13H2AO0.95Hydrogenated metorepreckyII.69I.63H2AOHydrogenated sequiterpeneckyI.59I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyII.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hydrogenated metorepreckyI.69I.69I.69Hyd	Liquloxide	1,536	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	0.46			
Maliol         1,567         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         1.26           Caryophyllene oxide         1,583         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         9.97           Salvial 4 (14)-en-1-one         1,594         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.70           Salvial 4 (14)-en-1-one         1,691         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.70           Citronellyl         1,625         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.70           Citronellyl         1,626         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.70           Cubenol         1,628         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.82           α-Muurololepi         1,642         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.82           β-Eudesmol         1,650         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O         0.53           Juniperolacetate         1,680         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.53           Juniperolacetate         1,681         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.90           Sylophunol         1,681         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.90           Manool oxide         1,987         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.90           Hydrogenated monoterpenes%         1,987         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.90           Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%         1,987         C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O         0.91	Hedycaryol	1,548	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	1.77			
Carvophyllene oxide         1.583         Ca Harmonic         1.542           Caryophyllene oxide         1,583         Ca,bH2aO         297           Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one         1,594         Ca,aB42aO         0.70           Citronellyl         1,625         Ca,bH2aO         1.07           Cubenol         1,628         Ca,bH2aO         255           α-Muurololepi         1,642         Ca,bH2aO         0.82           β-Eudesmol         1,650         Ca,bH2aO         0.54           Musinol         1,680         Ca,bH2aO         0.53           Junperolacetate         1,685         Ca,bH2aO         0.90           Sudosmo-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol         1,688         Ca,bH2aO         0.90           Supobunol         1,689         Ca,bH2aO         0.90         0.91           Manool oxide         1,987         Ca,bH2aO         0.91         0.91           Hytrogenated sequiterpenes%	Cadineneether	1,558	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O	0.78			
Salval-4 (14)-en-1-one         1,594 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.70           Salval-4 (14)-en-1-one         1,625 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 1.07           Citronellyl         1,623 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 2.55           Cubenol         1,642 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.82 $\alpha$ -Muurololepi         1,650 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.54           Kusinol         1,680 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.53           Juniperolacetate         1,680 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.54           Sudosmo-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol         1,683 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.50           Shyobunol         1,689 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.50           Manool oxide         1,687 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.50           Hydrogenated monoterpenes%         1,687 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.50           Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%         1,987 $C_{20}H_{34}O$ 0.50           Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%         1,987         14.99         1.99	Maaliol	1,567	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	1.26			
Citronellyl     1,625     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1.07       Cubenol     1,628     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     2.55       α-Muurololepi     1,642     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     0.82       β-Eudesmol     1,650     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     0.54       Khusinol     1,680     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     0.53       Juniperolacetate     1,685     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     0.90       Shyobunol     1,688     C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O     0.90       Manool oxide     1,987     C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O     0.95       Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%     1,987     C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O     1.12	Caryophyllene oxide	1,583	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O	2.97			
Cubenol       1.628 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 2.55 $\alpha$ -Muurololepi       1.642 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.82 $\beta$ -Eudesmol       1.650 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.54         Khusinol       1.680 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.53         Juniperolacetate       1.681 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.90         Esudesme-4 (15).7-dien-1 $\beta$ -ol       1.688 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.90         Manool oxide       1.689 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.90         Manool oxide       1.689 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.90         Hydrogenated sequiterpenes% $V_{20}$ 0.95         Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%       I.499       1.499	Salvial-4 (14)-en-1-one	1,594	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O	0.70			
α-Muurololepi       1,642       C1 <sub>5</sub> H2 <sub>6</sub> O       0.82         β-Eudesmol       1,650       C1 <sub>5</sub> H2 <sub>6</sub> O       0.54         Khusinol       1,680       C1 <sub>5</sub> H2 <sub>4</sub> O       0.53         Juniperolacetate       1,685       C1 <sub>7</sub> H2 <sub>8</sub> O2       1.12         Esudesme-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol       1,688       C1 <sub>5</sub> H2 <sub>4</sub> O       0.90         Shyobunol       1,689       C1 <sub>5</sub> H2 <sub>4</sub> O       0.90         Manool oxide       1,987       C2 <sub>0</sub> H3 <sub>4</sub> O       0.90         Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%       1,987       S394       1.07         Oxygenated monoterpenes%       I       I.12       I.12	Citronellyl	1,625	$C_{15}H_{28}O_2$	1.07			
β-Eudesmol1,650 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 0.54Khusinol1,680 $C_{15}H_{24}O$ 0.53Juniperolacetate1,685 $C_{17}H_{28}O_2$ 1.12Esudesme-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol1,688 $C_{15}H_{24}O$ 0.90Shyobunol1,689 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 1.07Manool oxide1,987 $C_{20}H_{34}O$ 0.95Hydrogenated sesquiterpenes% $$	Cubenol	1,628	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	2.55			
Knusinol       1,680       C15H24O       0.53         Juniperolacetate       1,680       C17H28O2       1.12         Esudesme-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol       1,688       C15H24O       0.90         Shyobunol       1,689       C15H26O       0.90         Manool oxide       1,987       C20H34O       0.95         Hydrogenated sequiterpenes%       5394       5394         Oxygenated monoterpenes%       1499	a-Muurololepi	1,642	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	0.82			
Image: Arrow of the transmission of tr	β-Eudesmol	1,650	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	0.54			
Esudesme-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol         1,688 $C_{15}H_{24}O$ 0.90           Shyobunol         1,689 $C_{15}H_{26}O$ 1.07           Manool oxide         1,987 $C_{20}H_{34}O$ 0.95           Hydrogenated monoterpenes%         53,94         53,94           Cygenated monoterpenes%         1,987         1,997	Khusinol	1,680	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O	0.53			
Instrume         Instrume         Instrume         Instrume           Shyobunol         1,689         C15H26O         1.07           Manool oxide         1,987         C20H34O         0.95           Hydrogenated monoterpenes%         53.94         63.94           Oxygenated monoterpenes%         14.99         14.99	Juniperolacetate	1,685	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.12			
Manool oxide         1,987         C20 H34 O         0.95           Hydrogenated monoterpenes%         63,94         63,94           Oxygenated monoterpenes%         14,99         14,99	Esudesme-4 (15),7-dien-1 β-ol	1,688	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O	0.90			
Hydrogenated monoterpenes%     63,94       hydrogenated sesquiterpenes%     14,99       Oxygenated monoterpenes %     21,07	Shyobunol	1,689	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	1.07			
Hydrogenated sesquiterpenes%     14,99       Oxygenated monoterpenes %     21,07	Manool oxide	1,987	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O	0.95			
Oxygenated monoterpenes % 21,07	Hydrogenated monoterpenes%	Hydrogenated monoterpenes%					
	Hydrogenated sesquiterpenes%	14,99					
Total % 99,95	Oxygenated monoterpenes %			21,07			
	Total %			99,95			

TABLE	6 Chemical	composition	of	Tetraclinis	articulate	essential oil
IADLL	o chemicat	composition	OI.	retractinis	articulate	essentiat on.

Compound	IK	Chemical formula	Percentage (%)
Tricyclene	926	$C_{10}H_{16}$	0.85
α-Pinene	939	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	7.44
Camphene	954	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	1.28
β-Pinene	979	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0.67
Limonene	1,029	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	3.98
α-Campholenal	1,126	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0.98
Camphor	1,146	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	28.48
Trans-Verbenol	1,144	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	2.05
Camphene hydrate	1,149	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O	2.06
Pinocarvone	1,164	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O	0.47
Borneol	1,169	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O	14.83
Myrtenal	1,195	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O	0.68
Terpineol <a-></a->	1,188	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O	1.03
Myrtenol	1,195	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0.42
Verbenone	1,205	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O	2.48
Carveol < trans->	1,216	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0.76
Carveol < cis->	1,229	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	1.37
Carvone	1,243	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O	1.23
Bornylacetate	1,285	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	18.91
Terpinylacetate<α- >	1,349	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.00
Methyllinoleate	2,085	$C_{19}H_{34}O_2$	9.02
Hydrogenated mono	70.97		
Hydrogenated sesqui	0		
Oxygenated monoter	penes		28.93
Total			99.9

temperature increases from 50°C to 200°C with a gradient of 4°C/min. Mass spectrometry is carried out with a gas chromatograph of the THERMO ELECTRON Trace MS system type (THERMO ELECTRON: Trace GC Ultra; Polaris Q MS). Fragmentation is carried out by electronic impact with an intensity of 70 eV. The capillary column is type DB-5 MS (5% phenyl-methyl-siloxane) (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 µm). The column temperature increases from 50°C to 200°C at a rate of 4°C/min. Helium is used as a carrier gas with a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The injection is done in split mode (leakage ratio: 1/70, flow rate mL/min).

The masses listed fall within a range of 30–500 m/z. The device is connected to a computer system managing a library of NIST 98 mass spectra. The identification of the constituents was carried out based on their Kováts Indices (IK) and on gas chromatography coupled with spectrometry of mass (GC/MS).

### 2.7 EO quality control

The physicochemical properties studied were determined according to the standards of the French Standardization Association (AFNOR) and the International Standardization Organization (ISO).

#### 2.7.1 Density

The density of an essential oil at 20°C is the ratio of the density of this oil to the density of water at the same temperature, using a RADWAG-PS 600/C/2 precision electronic balance (RADWAG, Radom 26–600, Poland).

#### 2.7.2 Acid value

This is the amount of potassium hydroxide milligrams required to balance the free acids in 1 G of essential oil. Potassium hydroxide titrated in an ethanolic solution is used to neutralize free acids. The standard NFV 03–906 was used to determine the acid number (Association Francaise de normalisation 1984).

#### 2.7.3 Miscibility with ethanol

The miscibility test described in standard NF ISO 875 consists of gradually adding (from 0.1 mL in 0.1 mL increments up to 20 mL) an ethanol solution of suitable alcoholic strength to a test portion of oil essential (1 mL), at a temperature of 20°C. We then note the volume of ethanol that caused the cloudiness or opalescence (reaching the critical point of complete miscibility) of the essential oil.

#### 2.7.4 Ester value

The ester index is the number of mg of potassium hydroxide necessary for the neutralization of the acids released by the hydrolysis of the esters contained in 1 g of EO. The hydrolysis of the esters present in the EO is done by heating, under defined conditions, in the presence of ethanol previously titrated with KOH and followed by back-dosing of the excess alkali with a titrated solution of hydrochloric acid.

#### 2.8 Antioxidant activity

#### 2.8.1 Evaluation of anti-radical activity by trapping the free radical (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl: DPPH)

The evaluation of the antioxidant activity of essential oils was carried out using the stable radical 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl

TABLE 7 Physico-chemical characteristics of essential oils.

	Density	Acid index	Miscibility with ethanol (V/V)	Ester index
J. phoenicea	0.863	1.08	3	73.1
T. articulata	0.925	1.22	5	44.7





TABLE 8 IC<sub>50</sub> values of essential oils and Ascorbic Acid.

EO	IC <sub>50</sub> (μg/mL)
T. articulate	266.9 ± 5.4
J. phoenicea	332.8 ± 6.1
Ascorbic acid	15.89 ± 1.4

(DPPH) method described by (Parejo et al., 2000). In this test, the purple-coloured DPPH has an absorption maximum at 515 nm, is reduced to a yellow compound, diphenylpicrylhydrazine, whose color intensity is inversely proportional to the reduced capacity of the antioxidants present in the medium (Sanchez-Moreno, 2002). The DPPH solution is obtained by dissolving 2.4 mg of the powder in 100 mL of ethanol. 200  $\mu$ L of the ethanolic solution of the essential oils tested are added to 2.8 mL of the previous DPPH solution at different concentrations. The antioxidant power of the EO tested was estimated by comparison with a reference antioxidant (Ascorbic acid). All tests were performed with three repetitions for each concentration. After an incubation period of 30 min at

laboratory temperature, the absorbance is read at 517 nm. The percentage of inhibition of the DPPH radical is calculated according to the following Equation 4:

$$\mathbf{PI}(\%) = \frac{A\mathbf{0} - A\mathbf{1}}{A\mathbf{0}} X100 \tag{4}$$

 $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are the absorbance values of the blank and the test sample, respectively.

The antioxidant power is characterized by the IC<sub>50</sub> parameter.

 $IC_{50}$  is inversely related to the antioxidant capacity of a compound, as it expresses the amount of antioxidants required to decrease the free radical concentration by 50%. The lower the  $IC_{50}$  value, the greater the antioxidant activity of a compound.

# 2.8.2 Evaluation of antioxidant activity by the iron reduction method (ferric ion reducing antioxidant power: FRAP)

The reducing power is determined according to the method recommended by (Karagözler et al., 2008). One ml of different concentrations of each essential oil from 0.5 to 3.0 mg/mL diluted



TABLE 9 EC<sub>50</sub> values.

	EC <sub>50</sub> (μg/mL)
T. articulate	433.16 ± 4.13
J. phoenicea	716.5 ± 7.52
Ascorbicacid	81.85 ± 0.9

in ethanol is mixed with 2.5 mL of 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution at pH 6.6 and 2.5 mL potassium ferricyanide [K3Fe(CN)6] at 1%. The mixtures are incubated at 50°C for 20 min and then cooled. Then, 2.5 mL of trichloroacetic acid (10%) is added. 2.5 mL of the supernatant of each concentration is mixed with 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 0.1% iron chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>). Ascorbic acid is used as the reference antioxidant in this experiment. The absorbances are read against a blank at 700 nm using an R-200 closed-type spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States). The results make it possible to calculate the effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>), the concentration of the extract corresponding to an absorbance equal to 0.5, obtained by interpreting the linear regression curve (density of the optics as a function of the different concentrations).

## 2.8.3 Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata*

To evaluate the antimicrobial activity of essential oils we used the aromatogram method. The diffusion of the antimicrobial agent in the inoculated medium results from a gradient of the antimicrobial. When the concentration of the antimicrobial becomes much diluted, it can no longer inhibit the growth of the bacteria tested; the zone of inhibition is demarcated. The diameter of this zone of inhibition correlates with the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the particular bacteria/antimicrobial combination, the zone of inhibition corresponds inversely to the MIC of the assay. Generally, the smaller the zone of inhibition, the lower the concentration of antimicrobial needed to inhibit the growth of microorganisms.

## 2.9 Glide molecular docking methodology

The glide molecular docking method is an effective tool for evaluating various interactions of the ligands with the target proteins (Zheng et al., 2013). It has been incorporated to visualize the antifungal, antibacterial and antioxidant activities of investigated compounds under study (Azeem et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2023).

#### 2.9.1 Selection of target proteins

The crystal structures of the antifungal, antibacterial, and antioxidant proteins of interest (PDB ID: 5EQB), (PDB ID: 4Q9M), and (PDB ID: 1K4Q) were retrieved from the RCSB protein data bank (https://www.rcsb.org/) (Berman et al., 2000).

#### 2.9.2 Pre-processing of target protein structures

The Protein Preparation Wizard module of the Schrodinger Maestro platform v12.8 (Yusuf et al., 2023) was employed to prepare the protein structures. The module assigned jobs to prepare bond orders, add hydrogen atoms and establish zero-order bonds to metals, as well as create di-sulfate bonds followed by changing the seleno-methionines into methionine, and then filling up the missing side chains. The structures were finally optimized by energy minimization followed by hydrogen bond optimization under the OPLS4 force field (Lu et al., 2021).

#### 2.9.3 Pre-processing of ligand structures

The LigPrep module of the Schrodinger Maestro platform was employed to prepare the co-crystallized ligands of targeted proteins and the essential oils isolated from the *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Tetraclinis articulate*.

#### 2.9.4 Glide docking

Glide docking of the target proteins and ligand molecules was executed by the Schrodinger Maestro platform's Glide docking module (Friesner et al., 2006). The SP pose viewer analyzed the docked ligand and protein interactions and then generated the optimal pose. The ligand interaction module generated a 2D interaction diagram of the ligand-protein complex which was

Microorganism		References	MIC/mbc(mg/mL)			
			J. phoenica		T. articulata	
			MIC	МВС	MIC	МВС
Fungi	Candida <i>albicans</i>	C. a	0.6	1.2	2.5	2.5
	Candida dubliniensis	C. d	1.2	2.5	5	5
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Sac. C	0.6	0.6	1.2	1.2
	Aspergillus niger	Asp. N	0.3	0.3	1.2	1.2
	Candida tropicalis	C. t	0.15	0.3	0.3	0.6
	Candida krusei	C. kr	1.2	1.2	5	5
	Candida parapsilosis	C. par	0.6	1.2	1.2	2.5
Bacteria	Staphylococcus epidermidis	5,994	2.5	5	5	5
	Staphylococcus aureus BLACT	4IH2510	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
	Streptococcus agalactiae(B)	7DT1887	0.3	0.6	1.2	2.5
	Escherichia coli sauvage	3DT1938	0.3	0.6	5	5
	Escherichia coli BLSE	2DT2057	0.3	0.6	1.2	2.5
	Enterobacter cloacae	02EV317	1.2	2.5	5	5
	Klebsiella pneumoniae	3DT1823	0.6	1.2	2.5	2.5
	Proteus mirabilis	2DS5461	1.2	2.5	1.2	2.5
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2DT2138	2.5	2.5	>5	>5

#### TABLE 10 Determination of the MIC and the MBC of essential oils.

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration.

then visualized to investigate the interaction between the ligand molecules and the selected target proteins during the binding process through the resulting SP posture.

## 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Quality control of plant material

The collected samples underwent quality control at the laboratory level by measuring several characteristic parameters such as moisture content, pH, and Ash. The results are grouped in Table 3.

#### 3.1.1 Humidity level

The samples studied contain water; *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* give moisture contents of around 13.19% and 16.11% respectively (Table 3). Before drying, the plant has a humidity level of 70%–90%. The objective of drying is to obtain a product stabilized in the air and without risk of degradation or contamination. To do this, the humidity level must be lowered to around 12% (Thibaut Joliet, 2015). This means that the samples dried under laboratory conditions are of good quality.

#### 3.1.2 pH

The plants studied have a weakly acidic character (pH < 7). It should be noted that pH plays a determining role during chemical

and biochemical reactions and can influence the stabilizing properties of an essential oil (antioxidant and antimicrobial effects). Consequently, this result can lead to a good stabilizing character against microorganisms (Ouis et al., 2015).

#### 3.1.3 Ashes

The percentage of total ash provides information on mineral content because minerals are not transformed into volatile substances at high temperatures, unlike organic matter. The total ash contents observed on *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* are of the order of 13.38% and 11.44% respectively (Table 3). It should be noted that the ash content varies depending on the species studied, the part of the plant used and the place of harvest.

## 3.2 Chemical yield and composition of essential oils

The yields of essential oils obtained from the samples of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* are respectively  $0.83\% \pm 0.05\%$  and  $0.46\% \pm 0.02\%$  (Table 4).

The essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* exhibit characteristic organoleptic properties. They are colorless to pale yellow liquids with a viscous appearance and an odor reminiscent of the plant. The essential oil yield of *J. phoenicea* is lower than those reported by; Mansouri et al. (2011) (0.90%) and Amalich et al. (2015) (1.71%) in the Midelt region in Morocco. On the other hand,

TABLE 11 Glide Molecular docking data of interaction of hit compounds and co-crystallized ligand of Juniperus phoenicea and *T. articulate* with the antifungal (5EQB), antibacterial (4Q9M) and anti-oxidant target protein (1K4Q).

-						
Ligands	Docking Score (kcal/mol)	Glide Score (kcal/ mol)	Glide emodel (kcal/mol)	H-bonding and Distance in Å	Polar amino acid residues	Hydrophobic interactions
5EQB (antifungal)	)					
a)5EQB- co- crystallized ligand	-10.217	-12.052	-92.135	HIS468 (2.24) CYS470 (2.09) PHE506 (2.63)	THR130 THR318 SER382 HIS468 THR507 SER508	ALA69, TYR72, LEU95, LEU96, TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, ILE139, TYR140, LEU147, VAL154, PHE236, PRO238, PHE241, LEU307, VAL311, LEU380, LEU383, PHE384, CYS470, ILE471, MET509
b)β-Eudesmol 91,457 (Hit compound of J.phoenica)	-7.270	-7.270	-39.685	SER382 (1.86)	THR130 SER382	TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, TYR140, PHE236, PRO238, PHE241, LEU380, LEU383, PHE384, MET509
B)Bornyl acetate 93,009 (Hit compound of <i>T.</i> <i>articulate</i> )	-6.738	-6.738	-34.727	TYR140 (2.04)	THR130 SER382	TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, ILE139, TYR140, PHE236, PHE241, LEU380, LEU383, MET509
4Q9M (Antibacte	rial)	1	1	1		
e)4Q9M- co- crystallized ligand	-7.597	-7.597	-29.343	ASN30 (1.99) TRP227 (2.74)	SER73 ASN76 ASN146	PHE72, TRP77, VAL84, ILE87, MET88, LEU145
f)γ- Cadinene 92,313 (Hit compound of J.phoenica)	-8.63	-8.63	-39.786	Not found	GLN53	MET27, MET49, LEU52, LEU90, PRO91, TYR95, TYR98, VAL99, LEU102, ILE109, AL125, LEU126, ALA129, LEU141, PHE143, LEU145
F)Carvone 7,439 (Hit compound of <i>T.</i> <i>articulate</i> )	-7.310	-7.310	-38.902	Not found	GLN53	MET49, LEU52, PRO91, PHE94, TYR95, TYR98, VAL99, LEU102, ILE109, AL125, LEU126, ALA129, LEU141, PHE143
1K4Q (Antioxidar	nt)					
i)1K4Q-co- crystallized ligand	-7.687	-8.628	-111.177	GLU50 (1.93, 2.01, 2.61) SER51 (2.30) THR162 (2.29) GLY174 (1.97) ASP178 1.82, 1.89	SER51 HIS52 THR57 ASN60 THR156 SER161 THR162 SER172 THR176 GLN182 ASN294	VAL61, ALA155, MET159, PRO160, ILE175
j) γ- amorphene 12,313,019 (Hit compound of J.phoenica)	-5.346	-5.346	-29.566	Not found	THR57 SER177 THR339	CYS58, VAL61, CYS63, PHE181, TYR197, ILE198, MET202, LEU337, LEU338
J)Carveol < cis-> 443,177 (Hit compound of <i>T.</i> <i>articulate</i> )	-5.762	-5.762	-32.148	LYS66 (2.03)	THR57 SER177	CYS58, VAL61, CYS63, PHE181, ILE198, MET202, LEU337

the yield obtained is higher than those of the red junipers of Greece (0.21% for the branches), and of the turbinata subspecies of Spain (0.30% for the branches) (Adams et al. 1996).

A comparison of our results with literature data shows that the yield of essential oils from *T. articulata* is slightly higher than those reported by Zerkani et al. (2019) and Bourkhiss et al. (2015) which

obtained a yield of the order of 0.41%. However, this yield is lower than those obtained by Harmouzi et al. (2016) ( $0.57\% \pm 0.05\%$ ) and Sadiki et al. (2018) ( $0.84\% \pm 0.01\%$ ). The difference in essential oil yield can be attributed to several factors, including the organ used, species origin, harvest time, and drying time (Moldão-Martins et al., 2002). The results obtained show that both essential oils are





dominated mainly by hydrocarbons followed by alcohol, esters and ketones with varying proportions. We note the absence of ethers and epoxides in the essential oil of *T. articulata* and the absence of aldehydes in the essential oil of *J. phoenicea* (Figure 2). The GC/MS analyses of the EO of the plants studied have allowed to make the chromatographic profiles illustrated in Figure 3.

Analysis of the chemical composition of *J. phoenicea* essential oil made it possible to identify 35 compounds which represent a total of 99.95% (Table 5). The essence of *J. phoenicea* consists mainly of  $\alpha$ -pinene (59.51%), accompanied by other compounds at relatively low levels: Caryophyllene (3.42%), germacrene D (3.05%), Caryophyllene oxide (2.97%) and Cubenol (2.55%). This oil is characterized by its richness in hydrocarbon monoterpenes (63.94%). Numerous studies have revealed that the essential oils of Phoenician juniper, native to the north of the Mediterranean basin, are dominated by  $\alpha$ -pinene including Morocco (Amalich

et al., 2015), Algeria (Bekhechi et al., 2012), Egypt (El-Sawi et al., 2007), this confirms our results.

The profile of the chromatogram obtained during the GC/MS analysis of the essential oils of *Tetraclinis articulate* vealed the presence of 21 compounds of which 70.72% are monoterpene derivatives and 28.93% are monoterpene hydrocarbons (Table 6). It appears that the majority of components of *Tetraclinis articulate* essential oil are monoterpenes. Five compounds seem to be in the majority: Camphor (28.48%), Bornylacetate (18.91%), Borneol (14.83%), Methyllinoleate (9.02%),  $\alpha$ -Pinene (7.44%). The rest of the identified compounds have relatively low contents with values between 0.42% for Myrtenol and 3.98% for Limonene. Given these results and comparison with other work, more or less similar results were reported by Bourkhiss et al. (2015) which shows that the main constituents are: bornyl acetate (30.6%), camphor (18.6%) and  $\alpha$ -pinene (16.8%). The same results were obtained by Zerkani et al.





(2019) whose main compounds are: bornyl acetate (38.54%) and  $\alpha$ -pinene (6.71%).

## 3.3 Physico-chemical characteristics of essential oils

The results of the physicochemical characteristics studied are grouped in the following Table 7.

The acid number gives an idea of the level of free acids. In our study, this index is certainly within the norms (Acid index <2), which shows that our essences are well preserved (low quantity of free acids). The higher the ester index, the better the quality of an essential oil. Our oils reveal an ester index in compliance with AFNOR, standards (Ester index >12). We note that the ester index of the essential oils of *J. phoenicea* is higher than that of *T. articulata*. The miscibility with ethanol depends directly on the composition of

the EO, in particular its proportions of hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds. The essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* are miscible with 95% ethanol with ethanol volumes of 3 mL and 5 mL respectively.

## 3.4 Antioxidant activity of essential oils

Two methods were chosen for their ease of implementation and their reliability in the evaluation of the antioxidant activity of the essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata*. These were the 1,1-Diphenyl -2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) test and the FRAP test.

#### 3.4.1 DPPH method

The antioxidant activity of a compound corresponds to its ability to resist oxidation (Rice-Evans et al., 1995). Many methods are currently used to evaluate this activity. The DPPH radical has been



widely used to study the anti-radical activity of different plant extracts. The reduction of this radical is accompanied by its transition from the purple color characteristic of the DPPH solution to the yellow color measurable by spectrophotometry at 514–518 nm. The results shown in the curve (Figure 4A) illustrate the percentages of the anti-radical activity of the different oils tested concerning the free radical DPPH. These results show that the essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* have good anti-radical activity but remain less effective than those of ascorbic acid. At the high concentration of 1 mg/mL, the percentages of DPPH reduction obtained are:  $82.50\% \pm 1.72$ ,  $80.58\% \pm 1.6\%$  and  $91.07\% \pm 0.98$  for the essential oils of T. articulata, *J. phoenicea* and ascorbic acid respectively.

The IC<sub>50</sub> values found for all the essential oils of J. phoenicea and T. articulata are represented in Figure 4B. By comparing the  $EC_{50}$  of the oils tested compared to that of ascorbic acid, we notice that the anti-radical activity of all our oils is lower than the DPPH radical trapping capacity of ascorbic acid (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $15.89 \pm 1.4 \mu g/mL$ ). We also note that the essential oil of T. articulata is the most active with an IC<sub>50</sub> of around 266.9  $\pm$  5.4 µg/mL followed by the essential oil of J. phoenicea with a value of  $332.8 \pm 6.1 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$  Table 8. According to the literature, the antioxidant activity of T. articulata has been studied by several authors. The majority of this work confirms our results. Indeed, Djouahri et al. (2015) studied the antioxidant activity of the essential oil of thuja leaves from Algeria. The results of the DPPH test showed better activity, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of around  $252.49 \pm 6.14 \ \mu g/mL$ , a value comparable to that of our study  $(266.9 \pm 5.4 \,\mu\text{g/mL})$ . Note that Camphor (28.48%), Bornyl Acetate (18.91%), Borneol (14.83%), Methyllinoleate (9.02%), a-pinene (7.44%) are the majority constituents of this essential oil. Regarding the results of the antioxidant activity of J. phoenicea essential oils, our values are more important in comparison with the results of previous work such as those done by El Jemli et al. (2020) who found an IC<sub>50</sub> = value 18,780  $\pm$  0.27 µg/mL. It should be noted that J. phoenicea essential oils are very rich in α-pinene (Tepe et al., 2005) report that  $\alpha$ -pinene is known for its weak antioxidant effect. Therefore, the antioxidant activity of these oils is probably due to the presence of other compounds.

#### 3.4.2 FRAP method

In our work, we tested, using the FRAP method, the ability of essential oils to reduce ferric iron  $Fe^{3+}$  to ferrous iron  $Fe^{2+}$ , and the results obtained allowed us to draw curves for each oil. The results obtained show that the essential oils tested have dose-dependent antioxidant activity (Figure 5A). All our oils have antioxidant activities that are significantly lower than that of the reference (ascorbic acid), for the latter, the reduction is almost complete from a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL (Figure 5A).

The antioxidant capacity of our different extracts is determined from the EC<sub>50</sub> (Figure 5B). The results obtained show that the capacity of our essential oils to reduce iron is much lower than that of ascorbic acid (EC<sub>50</sub> =  $81.85 \pm 0.9 \,\mu$ g/mL). This reduction is much greater in *T. articulata* oils (EC<sub>50</sub> =  $433.16 \pm 4.13 \,\mu$ g/mL). However, the essential oils of *J. phoenicea* reveal a low reducing power (EC<sub>50</sub> = 716.5 ± 7.52  $\mu$ g/mL) (Table 9).

The results obtained show that the essential oils of *J. phoenicea* and *T. articulata* presented low reducing activities compared to those reported by EL JEMLI, et al. (2016) with EC<sub>50</sub> of the order of 135.68  $\pm$  0.62 and 148.18  $\pm$  0 43 µg/mL respectively. However, the essential oils of *T. articulata* have greater reducing power than those found by Boussaid (2017), EC<sub>50</sub> = 48.28  $\pm$  0.08 mg/mL.

#### 3.5 Antimicrobial activity of essential oils

The determination of the inhibition parameters (MIC and MBC) makes it possible not only to confirm, quantify and compare the activities but also to characterize the nature of the effect revealed by an extract on a given microorganism. The results obtained with the essential oils of *J. phoenica* and *T. articulata* are presented in Table 10.

The results obtained show that the strongest antibacterial activity was obtained with the essential oil of *J. phoenica* with MIC values that vary between 0.3 and 2.5 mg/mL. All bacterial and fungal strains except *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* BLACT and *Pseudomonas aeroginosa*, are very sensitive to the essence of *J. phoenica* (MIC <2 mg/mL and MBC< 3.5 mg/mL).





These results are supported by several studies that have also proven the antimicrobial activity of *J. phoenica* essential oils (Bouzouita et al., 2008; Mansouri et al., 2011; Amalich et al., 2015). The antimicrobial activity of *J. phoenica* essential oils can be explained by its chemical profile rich in terpene hydrocarbons, notably  $\alpha$ -pinene. The latter presents several biological activities: antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, expectorant, sedative, herbicide and insect repellent (Ghanmi et al., 2007).

The essential oil of *T. articulata* has shown remarkable antimicrobial activity against a range of microorganisms, such as *S. cerevisiae*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* (B), *Escherichia coli* ESBL, and *Proteus mirabilis*. Nevertheless, its efficacy was restricted when confronted with various fungal and bacterial strains, including *Candida albicans*, *Candida dubliniensis*, *Candida krusei*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* BLACT, wild *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, as their minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) surpassed 2 mg/mL. In addition, it was observed that the minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) exceeded 2 mg/mL, suggesting a diminished bactericidal capacity. The results align with previous research (Chikhoune et al., 2013) that indicated limited effectiveness of Thuja essential oils against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*, with MICs >5 mg/ mL. The antimicrobial activity of *T. articulata* essential oil is a result of its chemical composition, which consists of camphor, bornyl acetate,  $\alpha$ -pinene, and borneol. These compounds are well-known for their strong antimicrobial properties, as supported by studies conducted by (Rabib et al., 2020; Angioni et al., 2003).

### 3.6 Glide molecular docking results

Tables 5, 6 show the constituents isolated by GC-MS analysis of *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Tetraclinis articulate* respectively which served as ligands to investigate various therapeutic activities.

Table 11 represents the glide molecular docking data involving the co-crystallized ligand and the hit essential oil constituent





(ligands) against the target proteins of interest i.e., antifungal, antibacterial, and anti-oxidant target proteins and reflects the docking results including the essential parameters such as DScore, GScore, Glide Emodel, the polar interactions, the hydrogen bonding with relative distance measured in angstroms (Å), and hydrophobic interactions of the hit compounds (ligands) with the concerned target proteins.

To explore the antifungal activity, the co-crystallized ligand Figure 6, is showing a notable binding score as a GScore of-12.052 kcal/mol. It exhibits polar interactions with THR130, THR318, SER382, HIS468, THR507, SER508. HIS468 (2.24), CYS470 (2.09), and PHE506 (2.63) are involved in hydrogen bonding interactions at their relevant distances expressed in angstroms. Additionally, hydrophobic interactions were observed with ALA69, TYR72, LEU95, LEU96, TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, ILE139, TYR140, LEU147, VAL154, PHE236, PRO238, PHE241, LEU307, VAL311, LEU380, LEU383, PHE384, CYS470, ILE471, and MET509. The ligand named  $\beta$ -Eudesmol isolated from *J. phoenica* interacts with the antifungal target protein as is depicted in Figure 7. SER382 (1.86) is engaged in hydrogen bonding. Hydrophobic interactions are noted with TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, TYR140, PHE236, PRO238, PHE241, LEU380, LEU383, PHE384, and MET509. The polar interactions are shown by amino acid residues THR130, and SER382. The GScore is found to be -7.270 kcal/mol. Bornyl acetate isolated from *T. articulate* shows a GScore of -6.738 kcal/mol. TYR140 (2.04) is engaging in hydrogen bonding. The hydrophobic interactions are evident with TYR126, LEU129, PHE134, ILE139, TYR140, PHE236, PHE241, LEU380, LEU383, and MET509. The amino acids THR130, and SER382 show the polar contacts as shown in Figure 8.

For the receptor 4Q9M (Antibacterial target protein) as shown in Figure 9, the co-crystallized ligand shows a binding score of GScore value of -7.597 kcal/mol. It exhibited polar interactions with SER73, ASN76, and ASN146 as well as forming hydrogen bonding

10.3389/fchem.2024.1397961

interactions with amino acids ASN30 (1.99), and TRP227 (2.74). In addition, hydrophobic interactions are observed with PHE72, TRP77, VAL84, ILE87, MET88, and LEU145. The ligand y-Cadinene isolated from J. phoenica interacts with the Q9M receptor is displayed in Figure 10. Hydrogen bonding is not observed. Hydrophobic interactions are observed with MET27, MET49, LEU52, LEU90, PRO91, TYR95, TYR98, VAL99, LEU102, ILE109, AL125, LEU126, ALA129, LEU141, PHE143, and LEU145. It shows polar interactions with GLN53. The GScore of  $\alpha$ -Cadinene is found to be -8.63 kcal/mol. The carvone isolated from Τ. articulate shows a GScoreof -7.310 kcal/mol when docked with the antibacterial target protein. It is not involved in hydrogen bonding and GLN53 is responsible for polar contacts. The hydrophobic interactions are evident with MET49, LEU52, PRO91, PHE94, TYR95, TYR98, VAL99, LEU102, ILE109, AL125, LEU126, ALA129, LEU141, and PHE143 as shown in Figure 11.

To reveal the antioxidant activity, the co-crystallized ligand of the target protein (1K4Q) Figure 12, shows a GScore of -8.628 kcal/ mol. It exhibits polar interactions with SER51, HIS52, THR57, ASN60, THR156, SER161, THR162, SER172, THR176, GLN182, ASN294. GLU50 (1.93, 2.01, 2.61), SER51 (2.30), THR162 (2.29), GLY174 (1.97), and ASP178 (1.82, 1.89) are involved in hydrogen bonding interactions. The hydrophobic interactions are observed with VAL61, ALA155, MET159, PRO160, and ILE175.

The ligand named  $\gamma$ -amorphene isolated from *J. phoenica* interacts with the antioxidant target protein as depicted in Figure 13. Hydrogen bonding is not evident. Hydrophobic interactions are noted with CYS58, VAL61, CYS63, PHE181, TYR197, ILE198, MET202, LEU337, and LEU338. The polar interactions are shown by amino acid residues THR57, SER177, and THR339. The GScore is found to be -5.346 kcal/mol. Carveol <cis-> isolated from *T. articulate* shows a GScore of -5.762 kcal/mol. LYS66 (2.03) is involved in hydrogen bonding. The hydrophobic interactions are evident with CYS58, VAL61, CYS63, PHE181, ILE198, MET202, and LEU337. The amino acids THR57, and SER177 show the polar contacts as shown in Figure 14.

## 4 Conclusion

For this study, we delved into the chemical composition and bioactivity of essential oils from J. phoenica and T. articulata, which are naturally found in the Middle Atlas region of Morocco. Our research suggests that these essential oils are primarily made up of terpenes, which could potentially explain their antioxidant and antimicrobial effects. The DPPH and FRAP assays uncovered significant reducing and anti-radical activities, indicating promising therapeutic applications. The experimental results were further supported by molecular docking simulations, which revealed potential interactions between the identified constituents and biological targets. Although this study offers valuable insights into the bioactivity of these essential oils, it is important to note that there are limitations. These include the use of only two plant species and a limited number of microbial strains. In comparison, the antibacterial and antioxidant characteristics of T. articulata and J. phoenicea essential oils are comparable, although their chemical makeup and bioactivity profiles differ significantly. *T. articulata* oil has a greater ability to scavenge free radicals and is more selective towards fungal strains than *J. phoenicea* oil, even though both oils exhibit remarkable potency against oxidative stress and microbial strains. *T. articulata* oil is dominated by sesquiterpenes, while *J. phoenicea* oil is rich in monoterpenes. These differences in the oils' chemical profiles reflect their distinct phytochemical fingerprints and possible uses. Future research should broaden its focus to encompass a wider range of plant species, microbial strains, and *in vivo* studies to gain a comprehensive understanding of the therapeutic possibilities offered by these essential oils. However, our findings indicate that the essential oils of *J. phoenica* and *T. articulata* show potential as natural antimicrobial and antioxidant agents, especially the former. Further research is warranted to explore their capabilities.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

AA: Conceptualization, Writing-original draft. TH: Methodology, Writing-original draft. AG: Formal Analysis, Writing-original draft. FS: Software, Writing-original draft. AD: Writing-original draft. Data curation, FR: Resources, Writing-original draft. SS: Visualization, Writing-original draft. IA: Validation, Writing-original draft. HK: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. KA: Project administration, Writing-original draft. AM: Project administration, Writing-original draft. MB: Writing-original draft. Writing-review and editing. AB: Data curation, Writing-original draft. TZ: Formal Analysis, Writing-original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is supported by the Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2024R189), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2024R189), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

### References

Abbas, Y., Ducousso, M., Mohamed, A., Azcón, R., and Duponnois, R. (2006). Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) masters woodlands in Morocco. *Ann. For. Sci.* 63 (3), 285–291. doi:10.1051/forest:2006007

Adams, R., Bartel, J., and Price, R. (2009). A new genus, Hesperocyparis, for the cypresses of the western hemisphere (CUPRESSACEAE). *Phytologia* 91.

Adams, R., Pandey, R., Rezzi, S., and Joseph, C. (2002). Geographic variation in the Random Amplified Polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs) of Juniperus *phoenicea*, J.p. var. canariensis, J.p. subsp. eu-mediterranea, and J.p. var. turbinata. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 30 (mars), 223–229. doi:10.1016/S0305-1978(01)00083-7

Adams, R. P. (1998). The leaf essential oils and chemotaxonomy of Juniperus sect. Juniperus. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 6 (26), 637-645. doi:10.1016/s0305-1978(98)00020-9

Adams, R. P. (2000). Systematics of Juniperus section Juniperus based on leaf essential oils and random amplified polymorphic DNAs (RAPDs). *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 28 (6), 515–528. doi:10.1016/s0305-1978(99)00089-7

Adams, R. P., Barrero, A. F., and Lara, A. (1996). Comparisons of the leaf essential oils of *Juniperus phoenicea*, *J. phoenicea* subsp.eu-mediterraneaLebr. and thiv. and *J. phoenicea* var.turbinata(Guss.) parl. *J. phoenicea subsp. eu-mediterranea Lebr.* and Thiv. J. phoenicea var. turbinata (Guss.) Parl. J. Essent. Oil Res. 8 (4), 367–371. doi:10.1080/10412905.1996.9700642

Ait-Sidi-Brahim, M., Markouk, M., and Larhsini, M. (2019). "Moroccan medicinal plants as antiinfective and antioxidant agents," in *New look to phytomedicine* (Elsevier), 91–142.

Ali, H., Usman, H., Ashraf, W., Alqahtani, F., Javaid, S., Siddique, F., et al. (2023). Demaghi, a polyherbal formulation, mitigates aluminum chloride-induced neurological impairment in mice: insights from phytochemical analysis and behavioral assessment. *Heliyon* 9 (11), e21234. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21234

Amalich, S., Zekri, N., Soro, D., Fadili, K., Khabbal, Y., Mahjoubi, M., et al. (2015). Chemical characterization and antibacterial evaluation of Juniperus *phoenicea* L. Leaves and fruits' essential oils from eastern high Atlas (Morocco). 13 881–889.

Amarti, F., Satrani, B., Aafi, A., Ghanmi, M., Farah, A., Aberchane, M., et al. (2008). Composition chimique et activité antimicrobienne des huiles essentielles de Thymus capitatus et de Thymus bleicherianus du Maroc. *Phytothérapie* 6 (6), 342–347. doi:10. 1007/s10298-008-0346-7

Amel, B. (2013). Traditional treatment of high blood pressure and diabetes in Souk Ahras District. J. Pharmacogn. Phytotherapy 5 (1). doi:10.5897/JPP11.065

Angioni, A., Barra, A., Russo, M. T., Coroneo, V., Dessí, S., and Paolo, C. (2003). Chemical composition of the essential oils of Juniperus from ripe and unripe berries and leaves and their antimicrobial activity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 51 (10), 3073–3078. doi:10. 1021/jf026203j

Association Francaise de normalisation (1984). Recueil de normes Françaises des corps gras graines olágineuses, produits dérivés 3ème éd. Paris: AFNOR.

Atailia, I., and Djahoudi, A. (2015). Composition chimique et activité antibactérienne de l'huile essentielle de géranium rosat (Pelargonium graveolens L'Hér.) cultivé en Algérie. *Phytothérapie* 13 (3), 156–162. doi:10.1007/s10298-015-0950-2

Azeem, M., Hanif, M., Mahmood, K., Siddique, F., Hashem, H. E., Aziz, M., et al. (2023). Design, synthesis, spectroscopic characterization, *in-vitro* antibacterial evaluation and in-silico analysis of polycaprolactone containing chitosan-quercetin microspheres. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 41 (15), 7084–7103. doi:10.1080/07391102.2022. 2119602

Bekhechi, C., Bekkara, F. A., Consiglio, D., Bighelli, A., and Tomi, F. (2012). Chemical variability of the essential oil of Juniperus phoenicea var. Turbinata from Algeria. *Chem. and Biodivers.* 9 (12), 2742–2753. doi:10.1002/cbdv.201200028

Bellakhdar, J., Claisse, R., Fleurentin, J., and Chafique, Y. (1991). Repertory of standard herbal drugs in the Moroccan pharmacopoea. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 35 (2), 123–143. doi:10.1016/0378-8741(91)90064-K

Benabid, A. (2000). Flore et écosystèmes du Maroc: Evaluation et préservation de la biodiversité.

Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., et al. (2000). The protein data bank. *Nucleic acids Res.* 28 (1), 235–242. doi:10.1093/nar/28. 1.235

Boudjelal, A., Henchiri, C., Sari, M., Sarri, D., Hendel, N., Benkhaled, A., et al. (2013). Herbalists and wild medicinal plants in M'sila (north Algeria): an ethnopharmacology survey. J. Ethnopharmacol. 148 (2), 395–402. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2013.03.082 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Bourkhiss, M., Chaouch, A., Ouhssine, M., and Bourkhiss, B. (2015). Étude physicochimique de l'huile essentielle de tetraclinis articulata (vahl) masters du plateau central marocain. *Les Technol. Lab.* 9:7. doi:10.34874/PRSM.teclab-vol9iss37. 2823

Boussaid, M. (2017). Caractérisation des huiles essentielles de Tetraclinis articulata (Vahl) Masters (Thuya de Barbarie) de la région de Tlemcen et étude de leurs activités biologiques. Thesis. 113.

Bouyahya, A., Bakri, Y., Khay, E., Edaoudi, F., Talbaoui, A., Et-Touys, A., et al. (2017). Antibacterial, antioxidant and antitumor properties of Moroccan medicinal plants: a review. *Asian Pac J. Trop. Dis.* 7 (1), 57–64. doi:10.12980/apjtd.7.2017d6-294

Bouzouita, N., Kachouri, F., Ben Halima, M., and Chaabouni, M. (2008). Composition chimique et activités antioxydante, antimicrobienne et insecticide de l'huile essentielle de *Juniperus phoenicea. J. de Société Chimique de Tunisie* 10 (janvier), 119–125.

Chikhoune, A., Mohamed, H., Kerbouche, L., Baaliouamer, A., and Aissat, K. (2013). Tetraclinis articulata (Vahl) Masters essential oils: chemical composition and biological activities. J. Essent. Oil Res. 25 (août), 300–307. doi:10.1080/10412905.2013.774625

Clevenger, J. F. (1928). Apparatus for the determination of volatile oil. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc. 17, 345–349. doi:10.1002/jps.3080170407

Djahafi, A., Taïbi, K., and Abderrahim, L. A. (2021). Aromatic and medicinal plants used in traditional medicine in the region of Tiaret, North West of Algeria. *Medit. Bot.* 42, e71465. doi:10.5209/mbot.71465

Djouahri, A., Boualem, S., Boudarene, L., and Baaliouamer, A. (2015). Geographic's variation impact on chemical composition, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of essential oils from wood and leaves of Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) masters. *Industrial Crops Prod.* 63 (janvier), 138–146. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.10.018

El, M., Ahmed, T., Gmouh, S., Aberchane, M., Benjouad, A., Bakri, Y., et al. (2010). Chemical composition and bactericidal evaluation of essential oil of Tetraclinis articulata burl wood from Morocco. *J. Indian Acad. Wood Sci.* 7 (1-2), 14–18. doi:10.1007/s13196-010-0003-2

El Jemli, M., Kamal, R., Marmouzi, I., Zerrouki, A., Cherrah, Y., Alaoui, K., et al. (2016). Radical-Scavenging Activity and Ferric Reducing Ability of *Juniperus Thurifera* (L.), *J. Oxycedrus* (L.), *J. Phoenicea* (L.) and *Tetraclinis Articulata* (L.). *Adv. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2016, 1–6. doi:10.1155/2016/6392656

El-Sawi, S. A., Motawae, H. M., and El-Sawi, S. A. (2007). Chemical composition, cytotoxic activity and antimicrobial activity of essential oils of leaves and berries of Juniperus *phoenicea* L. grown in Egypt. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med.* 4 (4), 417–426. doi:10.4314/ajtcam.v4i4.31236

Fahim, M., Shrivastava, B., Shrivastava, A., Ibrahim, M., Parveen, R., and Ahmad, S. (2017). Review on extraction methods, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of volatile oils. *Ann. Phytomed* 6 (2), 5–46. doi:10.21276/ap.2017.6.2.2

Farjon, A. (2005). A monograph of Cupressaceae and sciadopitys. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens.

Farjon, A. (2010). A handbook of the world's conifers. Available at: https://www.worldcat.org/fr/title/handbook-of-the-worlds-conifers/oclc/833766061.

Fennane, M. (1957). Flore pratique du Maroc: manuel de détermination des plantes vasculaires. Institut scientifique.

Friesner, R. A., Murphy, R. B., Repasky, M. P., Frye, L. L., Greenwood, J. R., Halgren, T. A., et al. (2006). Extra precision glide: docking and scoring incorporating a model of hydrophobic enclosure for protein– ligand complexes. *J. Med. Chem.* 49 (21), 6177–6196. doi:10.1021/jm0512560

Ghanmi, M., Satrani, B., Chaouch, A., Aafi, A., El Abid, A., Rchid Ismaili, M., et al. (2007). Composition Chimique et Activité Antimicrobienne de l'essence de Térébenthine Du Pin Maritime (Pinus Pinaster) et Du Pin d'Alep (Pinus Hale-Pensis) Du Maroc. *Acta Bot. Gallica* 154 (2), 293–300. doi:10.1080/12538078.2007. 10516058

Ghnaya, B., Amri, I., Hanana, M., Gargouri, S., Jamoussi, B., Romane, A., et al. (2016). Tetraclinis articulata (vahl.) masters essential oil from Tunisia: chemical characterization and herbicidal and antifungal activities assessment. *Industrial Crops Prod.* 83 (mai), 113–117. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.026

Harmouzi, A., Ahmed, B., El Ammari, Y., and Abdelaziz, C. (2016). Chemical composition and toxicity of Moroccan Tetraclinis articulata and Juniperus *phoenicea* essential oils against Aphis citricola goot, 1912 (Homoptera, aphididae). *Res. Chem. Intermed.* 42 (9), 7185–7197. doi:10.1007/s11164-016-2528-5

Karagözler, A. A., Erdag, B., Emek, Y., and Uygun, D. (2008). Antioxidant activity and proline content of leaf extracts from dorystoechas hastata. *Food Chem.* 111 (2), 400–407. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.03.089

Lawal, O. A., and Ogunwande, I. A. (2013). "Essential oils from the medicinal plants of Africa," in *Medicinal plant research in Africa* (Elsevier), 203–224.

Lu, C., Wu, C., Ghoreishi, D., Chen, W., Wang, L., Damm, W., et al. (2021). OPLS4: improving force field accuracy on challenging regimes of chemical space. J. Chem. theory Comput. 17 (7), 4291–4300. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.1c00302

Luo, B., Li, D., Zhang, A.-L., and Gao, J.-M. (2018). Synthesis, antifungal activities and molecular docking studies of benzoxazole and benzothiazole derivatives. *Molecules* 23 (10), 2457. doi:10.3390/molecules23102457

Mansouri, N., Satrani, B., Mohamed, G., and El Ghadraoui, L. (2011). Etude chimique et biologique des huiles essentielles de Juniperus *phoenicea* ssp. lycia et Juniperus *phoenicea* ssp. turbinata du Maroc. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.* 10.

Moldão-Martins, M., Bernardo-Gil, G. M., and Da Costa, L. M. (2002). Sensory and chemical evaluation of thymus zygis L. Essential oil and compressed CO2 extracts. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 214 (3), 207–211. doi:10.1007/s00217-001-0451-4

Nasir Shah, S., Khan, I., Tul Muntaha, S., Hayat, A., Ur Rehman, M., Ali Shah, T., et al. (2023). Bioactive, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of chemically fingerprinted essential oil s extracted from Eucalyptus globulus: *in-vitro* and in-silico investigations. *Front. Chem.* 11, 1287317. doi:10.3389/fchem.2023.1287317

Ouis, N. (2015). Etude Chimique et Biologique des Huiles Essentielles de coriandre, de fenouil et de persil. Thèse de Doctorat. Université d'Oran 1, 37.

Parejo, I., Codina, C., and Petrakis, C. (2000). Evaluation of scavenging activity assessed by Co(II)/EDTA-Induced luminol chemiluminescence and dpphá (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) free radical assay. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* 6. doi:10.1016/S1056-8719(01)00110-1

Rabib, H., Elagdi, C., Hsaine, M., Fougrach, H., Koussa, T., and Wadi, B. (2020). Antioxidant and antibacterial activities of the essential oil of Moroccan Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) masters. *Biochem. Res. Int.* 2020, 1–6. doi:10.1155/2020/9638548

Rice-Evans, C. A., Miller, N. J., Bolwell, P. G., Bramley, P. M., and Pridham, J. B. (1995). The relative antioxidant activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids. *Free Radic. Res.* 22 (4), 375–383. doi:10.3109/10715769509145649

Saber, M., Menyiy, N. E., Charfi, S., Mrabti, H. N., Belmehdi, O., El Moudden, H., et al. (2023). Comprehensive overview on nutritional, phytochemistry and pharmacological

properties of Tetraclinis articulata Masters. *Food Rev. Int.* 39 (7), 3691–3752. doi:10. 1080/87559129.2021.2013257

Sadiki, F. Z., El Idrissi, M., Sbiti, M., Lemrhari, A., Trifan, A., Cioanca, O., et al. (2018). Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) masters branches of eastern Morocco. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 5 (1), 24. doi:10.1186/s40538-018-0137-9

Sahib, N., Boumediene, M., Abid, M., Mihamou, A., Serghini-Caid, H., Elamrani, A., et al. (2022). Phenotypic comparison of three populations of Juniperus turbinata guss. in North-eastern Morocco. *Forests* 13 (2), 287. doi:10.3390/f13020287

Sanchez-Moreno, C. (2002). Review: methods used to evaluate the free radical scavenging activity in foods and biological systems. *Food Sci. Technol. Int.* 8 (juin), 121–137. doi:10.1177/1082013202008003770

Satrani, B., Ghanmi, M., Farah, A., Aafi, A., Fougrach, H., Bourkhiss, B., et al. (2007). Composition chimique et activité antimicrobienne de l'huile essentielle de cladanthus mixtus. Available at: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/COMPOSITION-CHIMIQUE-ET-ACTIVIT%C3%89-ANTIMICROBIENNE-DE-Satrani-Ghanmi/ d04642ea61bc7431048621b7383d5446fe56ed1b.

Tahar, K., Zakia, K., Nadia, T., and Omar, A. (2023). Insecticidal activity of Tetraclinis articulata L. essential oils on *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst 1797)(Tenebrionidae: Coleoptera) adults. *Indian J. Ecol.* 50 (2), 462–467. doi:10.1017/S1742758411000221

Tepe, B., Sokmen, M., Askin Akpulat, H., Daferera, D., Polissiou, M., and Atalay, S. (2005). Antioxidative activity of the essential oils of thymus sipyleus subsp. sipyleus var. Sipyleus and thymus sipyleus subsp. sipyleus var. Rosulans. *J. Food Eng.* 66 (4), 447–454. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.04.015

Thibaut Joliet (2015). Séchage des plantes aromatiques et médicinales.

Yusuf, A. J., Adegboyega, A. E., Yakubu, A. H., Johnson, G. I., Asomadu, R. O., Adeduro, M. N., et al. (2023). Exploring Scutellaria baicalensis bioactives as EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors: cheminformatics and molecular docking studies. *Inf. Med. Unlocked* 43, 101406. doi:10.1016/j.imu.2023.101406

Zerkani, H., Tagnaout, I., Dirioiche, A., Adadi, I., El Karkouri, J., Padzys, G. S., et al. (2019). Chemical characterization and antibacterial activity of the essential oils of Tetraclinis articulata (vahl) from Morocco. *Mediterr. J. Chem.* 8 (5), 390–396. doi:10. 13171/mjc851907076hz

Zheng, M., Liu, X., Xu, Y., Li, H., Luo, C., and Jiang, H. (2013). Computational methods for drug design and discovery: focus on China. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 34 (10), 549–559. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2013.08.004