Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Angel A. J. Torriero, Deakin University, Australia

REVIEWED BY

Zhiyong Zheng, Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium Andrea Marchionni, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Sayyar Muhammad, 🖾 sayyar@icp.edu.pk Asad Ali, 🖾 asad.ali@associated.ltu.se

RECEIVED 23 October 2024 ACCEPTED 04 March 2025 PUBLISHED 09 April 2025

CITATION

Muhammad S and Ali A (2025) Efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 on an Ag catalyst in 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium ethylsulfate, with its co-catalytic role as a supporting electrolyte during the reduction in an acetonitrile medium. *Front. Chem.* 13:1515903. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2025.1515903

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Muhammad and Ali. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on an Ag catalyst in 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium ethylsulfate, with its co-catalytic role as a supporting electrolyte during the reduction in an acetonitrile medium

Sayyar Muhammad D 1* and Asad Ali D 2*

¹Department of Chemistry, Islamia College Peshawar, Peshawar, Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan, ²Energy engineering, Division of Energy Science, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

CO₂ electrochemical reduction reactions (CO₂ERR) has shown great promise in reducing greenhouse gas emissions while also producing useful chemicals. In this contribution, we describe the CO₂ERR at different catalysts using 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium ethyl sulfate [emim][EtSO₄] ionic liquid (IL) as a solvent and as a supporting electrolyte. CO₂ERR occurs at Ag and Cu catalysts at a lower overpotential than that at Au, Pt, and boron-doped diamond (BDD) catalysts. In addition, we report that ILs play a better co-catalytic role when used as a supporting electrolyte during CO₂ERR in an acetonitrile (AcN) medium than the conventional supporting electrolyte, tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate [TBA][PF₆] in AcN. Furthermore, it is found that imidazolium-based cations ([emim]⁺) play a significant co-catalytic role during the reduction compared to [TBA]⁺ and pyrrolidinium [empyrr]⁺ cations, while anions of the ILs play no such role. The formation of CO from the CO2ERR was detected using cyclic voltammetry at an Ag catalyst both in [emim][EtSO4] as well as in an AcN solvent containing [emim][EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte. The product of the CO₂ reduction in this IL medium at the Ag catalyst is CO, which can be converted to synthetic liquid fuels by coupling the process with the Fischer-Tropsch process or through the conversion of CO₂ into fuels based on green hydrogen by the Sabatier process, that is, methanation of CO_2 on industrial scale, in the future.

KEYWORDS

cyclic voltammetry, electrocatalysis, ionic liquids, co-catalyst, CO2 mitigation



1 Introduction

Escalating emissions of carbon dioxide, CO₂, have led to increasing efforts to combat its release. In addition to cutting emissions, we also need to take CO₂ out of the atmosphere to stop the worst effects of climate change. We can lessen the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere and slow the rate at which global temperatures rise by converting atmospheric CO₂ into other carbon-based fuel molecules by capturing and storing CO₂ emissions. Lowering CO2 emissions protects biodiversity and lowers the likelihood of extreme weather occurrences by lessening the effects of climate change on ecosystems, sea levels, and weather patterns. CO2 mitigation and sequestration can assist in lowering emissions from current fossil fuel power plants and industrial processes as we switch from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources, paving the way for a cleaner energy future. Large volumes of CO₂ are produced by several industrial processes, such as the fabrication of steel and cement. It may be possible to lower overall emissions from industries that are challenging to fully decarbonize by capturing CO2 from these processes and converting it to other useful molecules (Wu et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2023). Overall, CO₂ capture is a key tool in the broader strategy to address climate change and move towards a more sustainable future.

A range of chemical (Messou et al., 2021), biochemical (Rosen et al., 2012), photochemical (Dong et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018), and electrochemical (Ávila-Bolívar et al., 2019; Faggion et al., 2019; Francke et al., 2018; Hiragond et al., 2020; Sánchez et al., 2019) methods have been used to fix CO2 into useful fuels and industrial chemicals. Electroreduction of CO₂ is a significant and promising method for addressing climate change and converting greenhouse gases into valuable products, helping to mitigate its concentration in the atmosphere, recycling it into chemicals and fuels, including carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH₄), ethylene (C₂H₄), and alcohols like methanol, and ethanol, effectively turning a waste product into valuable resources (Mitchell et al., 2021; Resasco et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2018). Electroreduction can be powered by renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind power. By turning extra power into chemical fuels, this improves process sustainability and facilitates the integration of renewable energy sources into the grid. The process can lower its dependency on fossil fuels and increase overall energy efficiency by utilizing excess renewable energy (Ikuerowo et al., 2024). In conclusion, the electroreduction of CO_2 has two advantages: it reduces atmospheric CO_2 to help slow global warming, and it produces useful products from what would otherwise be a waste product. Technology could become increasingly important in climate plans and sustainable energy systems as it develops.

Many commodity chemicals that may be used directly as fuel or as a fuel precursor are produced by the electroreduction of CO₂ at metal electrodes in both aqueous and non-aqueous media. The selectivity of the electrochemical reduction of CO2 depends on the type of metal electrodes, the type of media (aqueous and nonaqueous), and the potential applied (Nakata et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013). The commercialization of this technology is hampered by many issues, including the electrode's instability, the desired product's low Faradic efficiencies, and the high overpotential seen at various electrocatalysts and aqueous and non-aqueous electrolytes due to the stability of the CO2 molecule. In nonaqueous solvents such as dimethylformamide (DMF), the kinetically inert. stable, and linear-shaped CO_2 is electrochemically reduced at various electrodes to a bent-shaped intermediated radical anion CO_2^{--} ($\text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^{--}$) at a high overpotential (E° of -1.97 V) (Benson et al., 2013; Marcandalli et al., 2021). This CO_2^{-} formation is a main hurdle in CO_2 electrocatalysis. Any medium that can help convert this intermediated radical anion at low overpotential to useful fuel products is desirable.

Several efforts have been made to overcome the issue of high overpotential during CO_2ERR , such as the development of electrocatalysts and searching for a new electrocatalytic medium (Usman et al., 2021; Mena et al., 2021). A significant amount of interest in the use of aprotic media such as ionic liquids (ILs) for the CO_2ERR has arisen (Mitchell et al., 2021; Resasco et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2018). Liquids that are completely composed of ions and that are liquid below 373 K are officially referred to as ILs. These liquids are regarded as a new and growing class of liquids that differ from traditional organic solvents and aqueous media. They are created by the weak electrostatic interaction of larger organic cations and anions of inorganic and/or organic nature. Aprotic ionic liquids (APILs) and protic ionic liquids (PILs) are the two primary categories of ILs, which are distinguished by whether they include any mobile conducting proton. While the latter are

created by an acid–base reaction in which a proton is transferred from a proton donor acid to a proton accepter base and contain a labile conducting proton, the former are created by irreversible alkylation of a heteroatom and lack any conducting proton in their structure. They are being tested as potential solvents and electrolytes for a variety of electrochemical applications, such as batteries, supercapacitors, potential use as a proton conductor in intermediate temperature fuel cells, and CO_2 capture, due to their important physiochemical properties, including their intrinsic conductivity, wide electrochemical windows (EWs), low vapor pressure, elevated thermal stability, and minimum fireretardant ability (Muhammad et al., 2024; Muhammad et al., 2020; Muhammad et al., 2023).

Room temperature APILs are regarded as a promising electrolytic medium for electrochemical CO2 reduction because of their special characteristics. Imidazolium-based ionic liquids have attracted interest among room temperature APILs because of their superior electrochemical capabilities. Choi et al. (2016) showed that the overpotential for CO2 reduction may be considerably decreased by adding an ionic liquid, [Bmim][BF₄]. In their investigation into the promotion of CO₂ electroreduction, Zhang et al. (2024) used [Bmim][BF₄], [Bmmim][BF₄], [Bmim][PF₆], [Bmmim][PF₆], and [Bmim][NTf₂]. They discovered that these Imim-ILs have a Faradic efficiency of CO of almost 95% and a high CO selectivity in CO₂RR. These findings imply that Imim-ILs have a stimulating effect on CO₂ electrochemical reduction, and in recent years, determining how they improve CO₂ electrochemical reduction has become a major area of study (Gomes et al., 2024). Because of their great solubility in comparison to aqueous solutions and traditional organic solvents, as well as their high current density, product selectivity, and conversion efficiency, APIL electrolytes are generally suggested as a preferred medium for the electroreduction of CO_2 (Zoski et al., 2021).

In neat APIL electrolytes, high viscosity can significantly affect mass transfer during a reaction. To enhance mass transfer in the system, it is common to mix the ionic liquid with a solvent to form a mixed electrolyte. AcN has emerged as a common solvent for CO2ERR due to its low viscosity and high CO2 solubility. Sun et al. (2014) highlighted the role of room temperature ILs as a supporting electrolyte during electroreduction of CO2 at Pb in acetonitrile. They found that the CO2 reduction overpotential in an acetonitrile solution decreases by using an ionic liquid as a electrolyte compared to conventional supporting tetraethylammonium perchlorate due to the catalytic role played by ionic liquids in changing the reduction pathway.

In this contribution, we report the electrochemical reduction of CO_2 at Ag, Cu, Au, BDD, and Pt working electrodes using neat [emim][EtSO₄] (Scheme 1) as the electrolyte. The results are compared, and a better electrocatalyst that undergoes CO_2EER at a lower overpotential is identified. In many imidazolium-based ILs, such as [emim][Tf₂N], [emim][Br] and [empyrr][EtSO₄] (Resasco et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2018), [Bmim][BF₄] (Choi et al., 2016), [Bmim][BF₄], [Bmmim][BF₄], [Bmim][PF₆], [Bmmim][PF₆], and [Bmim][NTf₂] (Zhang et al., 2024), the CO₂ERR is reported. No such study has been carried out in [emim][EtSO₄], which is why this IL is selected for this study. Different ILs were used as supporting electrolytes, and CO_2ERR was investigated using acetonitrile as a non-aqueous medium. The results are compared with the CO₂ERR using conventional [TBA][PF₆] as the supporting electrolyte, and



the role of the IL cation and anions during the electroreduction process is also elucidated.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Reagents and apparatus

[emim][EtSO₄] was acquired from Merck (≥95%). [emim][Br] was acquired from Across Organics (98%). [emim][Tf₂N] and [empyrr][EtSO₄] were kindly donated by Prof. Peter Licence's ionic liquid research group of the School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham. Other chemicals used were AgNO₃ (Fischer Scientific, analytical reagent grade), ferrocene (Alfa-Aesar, 99.0%), acetonitrile (BDH VWR Prolab, 99.9%), HClO₄ (Fischer Chemicals, Fischer Scientific, United Kingdom, 60%), and tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate [TBA][PF₆] (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%). CO₂ (99.5%), N₂ (99.9999%), and Ar (99.995%) were acquired from BOC, Ltd. (Nottingham, United Kingdom). The compounds were utilized in their original form. A model 760C potentiostat from CH Instruments (Austin, TX, United States) was used to conduct the electrochemical experiments.

2.2 Instrument and electrodes for electrochemical measurements

We performed cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), and chronoamperometry (CA) experiments in triplicate for data reproducibility check, using a three-necked glass cell and a conventional three-electrode configuration. The CV, LSV, and CA experimental data were found to be reproducible. The working electrodes were BDD, Au, Cu, and Ag disks of geometrical surface area 7.07×10^{-2} cm², 3.14×10^{-2} cm², 3.14×10^{-2} cm², 3.04×10^{-2} 10^{-2} cm², and 2.51×10^{-2} cm², respectively. The counter electrode used was a Pt flag ($0.5 \text{ cm} \times 0.4 \text{ cm}$). For the Au electrode, ECSA was determined from the CV obtained in 0.1 M aqueous HClO₄ solution by the electronic charge of gold oxides (Ma et al., 2014) and was $9.5 \times$ 10^{-2} cm². The ECSA of the Ag and Cu electrodes were estimated to be 4.78×10^{-2} cm² and 4.11×10^{-2} cm², respectively, from the charge passed during the under-potential deposition of lead onto each electrode from 5 mM Pb (NO₃)₂ solution in 10 mM KCl/10 mM HNO₃ (Supplementary Figures S1, S2 in the supporting information) (Salehi-Khojin et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2012). The charge under the Pb_{UPD} stripping peak at a Pb²⁺ concentration of 5 mM or above corresponds to 600 μ C cm⁻² Ag. The same integrated

charge density under the Pb_{UPD} region was used to estimate the ECSA of the Cu electrode from a CV obtained at a Cu electrode (Supplementary Figure S2 in the supporting information) in 5 mM Pb (NO₃)₂ solution in 10 mM KCl plus 10 mM HNO₃ (Rosen et al., 2012). The currents in each measurement were normalized to the ECSA for calibration of catalytic activity of the electrodes for the CO₂ reduction in the room temperature ILs. In the case of the BDD electrode, the geometric surface area of the electrode was used to calibrate the currents for CO₂ reduction in the liquids. The reference electrode was a self-made Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode, which was normalized *versus* Fc/Fc⁺, and the results are reported vs. Fc/Fc⁺.

2.3 Construction and calibration of Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrodes

Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrodes were constructed using a 7.5-cmlong glass tube, a 3.2 mm porous Vycor frit, and a heat-shrink Teflon tubing from Gamry Instruments, United States. The Vycor tip was placed inside the piece of a heat-shrink tube and held to the glass capillary by sliding the heat-shrink Teflon over the end of the glass tube. The Teflon was then gently warmed with a heat gun until the tubing tightened around the Vycor tip and the end of the reference electrode tube. The electrode was then filled with 10 mM AgTfO (Sigma-Aldrich ≥98.0% (Ag) in [emim][EtSO₄], and an Ag wire connected to a Teflon top was immersed. In the study that involved AcN as a main electrolyte, Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrodes were made by the same procedure, but the Ag wire was immersed in 10 mM AgNO₃ in either 0.1 M [TBA][PF₆]/AcN or 0.1 M [emim][EtSO₄]/ AcN. Reference electrode stability is a common issue when using ionic liquids as electrolytes in electrochemistry (Zhao et al., 2008). Therefore, the Ag/Ag⁺ electrode (Ag/AgTfO in [emim][EtSO₄]) was calibrated against the potential of the IUPAC-recommended ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺), redox couple (Ma and Kenis, 2013; Niu et al., 2015a). Supplementary Figure S3 in the supporting information shows CVs obtained in 5 mM ferrocene solution in [emim][EtSO₄] at Pt and Au electrodes at 100 mV s⁻¹. The reference electrodes containing 10 mM AgNO3 in 0.1 M [emim] [EtSO₄]/AcN and 0.1 M [TBA][PF₆]/AcN were also calibrated versus ferrocene in 0.1 M [TBA][PF₆]/AcN and 0.1 M [emim] [EtSO₄]/AcN, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4 in the supporting information). The formal potential, E^{0^\prime} (taken as the average of the Ferrocene (Fc) oxidation peak potential and cathodic ferrocenium (Fc⁺) reduction peak potential), was determined in N₂saturated solution vs. the Ag/Ag+ reference electrodes, and all potentials are reported versus this.

2.4 Electrochemical methods

The electrodes were polished before use using soft polishing pads and an aqueous suspension of 0.05 μ m alumina from Buehler, Lake Bluff, Illinois (Buehler consumable, USA). The electrodes were cleaned, thoroughly rinsed with deionized water, subjected to 2 min of sonication in a very small amount of deionized water, and then thoroughly rinsed once more with deionized water before being dried in a stream of N₂. The cell containing roughly 5 mL of [emim]

[EtSO₄] was submerged with the working, counter, and reference electrodes. After the solution was bubbled for 30 min with either N₂ or Ar to eliminate dissolved oxygen or for 60 min with CO₂ (the ideal duration for CO₂ saturation in the IL), cyclic voltammograms or LSVs were captured. To study the effect of temperature on CO₂ERR in [emim][EtSO₄], we did the celebration of the Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode at room temperature and also at 50°C, 80°C, and 100°C. Before recording an LSV for CO₂ERR at each temperature, CO₂ gas was purged in the IL for 60 min.

2.5 Electrochemical analysis of the product of CO_2 reduction

A 3-electrode system was adopted for the electrochemical analysis of the product formed by the reduction of CO2 in [emim][EtSO₄], as described above. However, an additional 2 mm-diameter Pt electrode was used as the second working electrode to adsorb CO if any was formed from CO2 reduction at the Ag working electrode. The experiment was performed using an Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode and a Pt flag counter electrode. Chronoamperometry measurements (current-time transient) were carried out by holding the Ag working electrode for 2,400 s at a –2.33 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ redox couple in CO₂-saturated [emim][EtSO₄] and also in [emim][EtSO₄]/AcN. A 2 mm-diameter freshly polished Pt disk electrode (activated in aqueous $HClO_4$) was held at -0.4 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ in the same solution for adsorption of the CO forming during the reduction reaction. After the experiment, the Pt electrode was removed from the CO2/IL system and rinsed with de-ionized water, then immersed in 0.1 M aqueous HClO₄ in a three-necked cell to voltammetrically strip off any CO adsorbed on the electrode surface.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 CO₂ERR in [emim][EtSO₄]

Figure 1a shows the cathodic sweep profiles obtained in CO₂saturated [emim][EtSO4] at Ag, Cu, Au, BDD, and Pt electrodes. The initial scan direction was from positive toward negative potentials. CVs were obtained at these catalysts (electrodes), and the initial half of the CV (LSV) is reported here. By comparing the CO2 electroreduction activity of these electrodes with that of the blank LSV (without CO_2), it is evident that some reduction of CO_2 is observed at each of these electrodes. However, by comparing the CO2 reduction LSVs obtained at the studied electrodes, it is observed that at both the Ag and Cu electrodes, the onset potential for the reduction of CO_2 was -1.8 V compared with the onset potentials at Au (-2.0 V), BDD (-2.2 V), and Pt (-2.3 V), respectively. Thus, according to the current study, both Ag and Cu are better electrocatalysts for the electrocatalytic reduction of CO2 in [emim][EtSO₄] medium, while at the Pt electrode, the electrocatalytic reduction of CO2 occurs at a very high overpotential (0.5 V) compared to the Ag and Cu electrocatalysts used for CO2ERR followed by BDD, at which the CO2 reduction occurs at a 0.4 V overpotential and the overpotential observed at the Au electrode compared to Pt and BDD is 0.2 V. Although CO2ERR occurs at the same onset potentials at both the Ag and Cu catalysts, a



saturated [emim][EtSO₄] (green CV). (c) LSV profiles were recorded in CO₂-saturated [emim][EtSO₄] solution at 298 K, 323 K, and 373 K on an Ag electrode at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. (d) Shows the Arrhenius plot of CO₂ERR in the IL in the temperature range of 298–373 K.

wide reductive wave with a higher current density is observed at the Ag than at the Cu catalyst. In addition, Ag is found to have known product selectivity that reduces CO_2 mainly to CO (Salehi-Khojin et al., 2013; Niu et al., 2015b; Sampson et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2009). Therefore, Ag was mainly used as a catalyst for further investigation of the CO_2 electroreduction in [emim][EtSO₄] and other ILs.

Figure 1b shows cyclic voltammograms of CO₂-saturated (green CV) and CO₂-free, that is, Ar-saturated [emim][EtSO₄] (black CV) recorded at an Ag electrode at 100 mV s⁻¹ at room temperature. In the Ar-saturated CV, only the background charging current flowed between -1.5 V and -2.5 V. After -2.5 V, a sharp increase in the reduction current started, which is attributed to the cation, [emim]⁺, reduction of the IL. In the CO₂-saturated liquid, a single irreversible broad reduction wave was observed at an onset of -1.8 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺, which peaked at approximately -2.4 V. The increase in the reduction current between -1.8 V and -2.5 V is attributed to an irreversible oneelectron electroreduction of CO₂ to CO₂⁻⁻ in the IL (Rosen et al., 2012; Sánchez et al., 2019; Ma and Kenis, 2013). It is also evident from the figure that during the reverse sweep, a small reductive wave between -2.4 V and -2.3 V is seen, which is also due to the electroreduction of CO₂ on Ag catalysts.

APILs are thermally stable and can also be used for hightemperature studies. Room temperature is frequently used to study the CO₂ERR and practical electrolyzers; however, higher temperatures might be employed. Although it is well understood that the rates of reactions increase with an increase in temperature, fundamental knowledge of how temperature affects CO2ERR is currently lacking. To learn more about how temperature affects this reaction, we conducted temperature-dependent investigations on the CO₂ERR using an Ag electrode. Figure 1c shows LSV profiles for CO2ERR in [emim][EtSO4] obtained at 298 K, 323 K, 353 K, and 373 K using polycrystalline an Ag disk electrode. Initially, CVs were recorded in the solution in a potential range from -1.8 V to -2.5 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺, and only the cathodic sweeps are shown in the figure. It is clear from the figure that at high temperatures, Ag shows better catalytic activity for CO2 reduction. The onset potential and the reduction peak potential shift toward the more positive potential. The overpotential for CO₂ reduction in the ionic liquid decreased by ~100 mV, and the onset reduction peak potential shifted from -2.37 V to -2.21 V when the temperature increased from 298 K to 373 K.

The Arrhenius equation $(\ln K = lnA - \frac{E_a}{RT})$ can be used to determine the apparent activation energy for the CO₂ERR on Ag based on the temperature-dependent experiments. In the Arrhenius



equation, k is the reaction rate constant, A is the pre-exponential factor, Ea is the activation energy, R is the gas constant (8.3143 J. K⁻¹. mol⁻¹), and T is the absolute temperature. Figure 1d shows the Arrhenius equation $(\ln j = lnA - \frac{E_a}{RT})$ plot of the natural logarithm of the current density (ln j in mA cm⁻²) versus 1/T (K⁻¹) at a constant potential of -2.2 V. It can be seen from the figure that the current density increases with an increase in the temperature; that is, for a lower 1/T value, a smaller value of current density is observed, and for a higher 1/T, value the magnitude of the current density increased. The higher intercept value from the graph indicates a higher ln j value, as from the Arrhenius equation, ln j is directly proportional to ln A. A small value of the activation energy (E_a), 13.04 J mol⁻¹ determined from the slope of the plot, shows that the CO₂ERR occurred at a fast rate at the Ag catalysts in the [emim] [EtSO₄]. Ly et al. (2020) reported the activation energies for the [TETAH][Lys]-ethanol-water and [TETAH][Lys]- water solutions capturing CO₂ as 61.42 kJ/mol and 62.10 kJ/mol, respectively. Wu et al. (2016) reported the calculated activation energy of 41.89 kJ mol⁻¹ for CO₂ capture in 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium glycinate aqueous solutions. Vos and Koper (2022) studied the effect of temperature on the cation-promoted electrochemical CO₂ reduction on gold and reported 60 kJ mol⁻¹ apparent activation energy with constant CO₂ concentration. Thus, compared to the literature-reported activation energy values for CO2 reduction/ capture in CO₂-saturated ILs, the activation energy calculated in this study is smaller, showing that an Ag catalyst surface, or the cation [emim]+, or the synergistic approach of both, activate the CO₂ERR to take place at lower overpotentials. The [emim]+ ---CO-, on the one hand, reduces the activation energy for the reaction. On the other hand, the formation of surface intermediates like formate (HCOO) and carboxyl (COOH) species on the surface of thermally activated Ag can result in the final product at a fast rate (Álvarez et al., 2017). The data fit statistically well, as the regression coefficient, R², obtained from the Arrhenius plot is 0.9899, which is near the ideal fit $R^2 = 1$. The analysis confirms that the rate of the CO2ERR increased as the temperature increased. At lower temperatures, ILs are more viscous. The viscosity of the IL decreases with increasing temperature, causing faster mass transport of CO_2 toward the electrode surface (Zare et al., 2019).

3.2 Determination of the diffusion coefficient and concentration of CO₂

The diffusion coefficient (D) and concentration (*C*) of CO_2 in [emim][EtSO₄] were experimentally obtained by a potential-step chronoamperometry. A chronoamperometric transient was recorded using an Au microelectrode (nominal radius 12.5 µm) and is shown in Figure 2 (red line). The potential was stepped from -1.8 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺ (-2.05 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺) to -2.3 V (-2.55 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺) for 10 s. The black dotted line in Figure 2 shows the theoretical fitted data using the Shoup–Szabo equation shown as Equation 1, which allows determining both D and C of CO_2 simultaneously (Bard, 2010; Spendelow et al., 2004).

$$i = -4nFDCrf\tau(\tau), \tag{1}$$

where n is the number of electrons involved in the redox process, which is taken as 1 for the reduction of CO_2 to CO_2^{--} , and r is the radius of the Au microelectrode, which was determined using steady-state current. is is used for oxidation of ferrocene at the microelectrode and is determined using Equation 2 (Supplementary Figure S5 in the supporting information) (Bard, 2010).

$$i_{ss} = 4 \, n \, F \, C \, D \, r, \tag{2}$$

where i_{ss} was 7.97×10^{-9} A, and n is the number of electrons involved in the redox process, which is 1 for the Fc/Fc⁺ redox couple. The concentration, c, of the ferrocene solution was 2 \times 10⁻⁶ mol cm⁻³. The diffusion coefficient of the Fc/Fc⁺ redox couple was 6.7 \times 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹ (Bard, 2010; Bond et al., 2010). The actual radius of the Au microelectrode calculated through this experiment was 15.6 µm.

The function f (τ) and the parameter, τ in the Shoup–Szabo equation are given by Equations 3, 4, respectively.

$$f(\tau) = 0.7854 + 0.8863\tau^{-1/2} + 0.2146 \exp(-0.7823\tau^{-1/2}).$$
 (3)
$$\tau = 4 \operatorname{Dt}/r^2$$
(4)

The D and C values obtained from theoretical fitted data were $4.78 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (or $4.78 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and $1.83 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ (0.0183 mol L⁻¹), respectively.

The diffusion coefficient of CO₂ in [emim][EtSO₄] (η = 108 cP) is comparable to the diffusion coefficient of O₂ in [bmim][BF₄] (η = 92 cP), which was 1.79 × 10⁻¹⁰ m²s⁻¹ measured at 298 K using a 10-µm-diameter Au electrode (Martindale and Compton, 2012). However, the D value for CO₂ in [emim][EtSO₄] was higher by two orders of magnitude than that observed in [bmim][Ac] (Wang et al., 2020), which was 2.65 × 10⁻¹² m²s⁻¹. This could be due to the low viscosity of [emim][EtSO₄], in which CO₂ molecules can diffuse faster than [bmim][Ac] (η = 140 cP). However, viscosity could not be the only reason for the high diffusion of CO₂ in [emim][EtSO₄]. The arrangement of atoms or alkyl groups around the central atom in the cation and anion may also play a role. For example, [bmim] [BF₄] has viscosity η = 112 cP, which is very close to that of [emim][EtSO₄], 108 cP (Samjeské et al., 2009), and the D value



for CO₂ in the latter case is higher by an order of magnitude than the former $(7.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})$ (Wang et al., 2020).

3.3 [emim][EtSO₄] as supporting electrolyte during CO_2 ERR in AcN

The effect of [emim][EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte and/or co-catalyst was investigated during CO2ERR in the conventional organic solvent, AcN, and the results were compared with the CO2ERR carried out in AcN using the conventional supporting electrolyte, [TBA][PF₆]. Figure 3 shows cyclic voltammograms measured in AcN containing (a) 0.1 M [TBA][PF₆] and (b) 0.1 M [emim][EtSO₄] at a 2 mm-diameter Ag electrode. Each of the solutions was purged with Ar for 30 min to remove dissolved oxygen, as oxygen can actively reduce and hinder the main electrochemical process, and CVs were recorded as shown by black lines in the Figures. Then, CO_2 was bubbled for 60 min into the solutions, and CVs were measured at an Ag catalyst, as shown by the red and green lines in Figures 3a, b, respectively. In the blank (Ar saturated) [TBA][PF₆]/AcN, only capacitive current flowed up to -2.48 V, after which a large reductive current started flowing that corresponds to the decomposition of AcN. In CO₂-saturated [TBA][PF₆]/AcN, the current response was different from that in blank solutions. A reduction current started flowing at an onset potential of -2.1 V until -2.48 V. This cathodic reduction wave, which was also observed during the reverse sweep, is attributed to the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction at the Ag catalyst in the solution.

Similarly, if we compare the CV obtained in the CO₂-saturated [emim][EtSO₄]/AcN solution (Figure 3b) with the blank CV (Arsaturated), a sharp increase in the current density can be seen at an onset potential of -1.9 V. The reduction current kept increasing and can also be observed during the reverse sweep, which is attributed to the CO₂ electroreduction. This shows the Ag electrode's ability to reduce CO₂ in AcN using both [TBA][PF₆] as well as [emim] [EtSO₄] as supporting electrolytes. However, it is clear from Figure 3c that the reduction of CO₂ occurs at ~200 mV lower potential when using [emim][EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte in AcN than when $[TBA][PF_6]$ is used as a supporting electrolyte. The high current density and early onset potential for CO₂ electroreduction show that [emim][EtSO4] plays a co-catalytic role. This could be attributed to the fact that [emim]+ may stabilize the intermediate radical anion, CO2- during the intermediate step of the reduction of CO₂, that is, the electron uptake process ($CO_2 + e - \rightarrow CO_2^{--}$) and further help in its reduction (Niu et al., 2015b; Sampson et al., 2014).

As mentioned above, $[emim][EtSO_4]$ has some electrocatalytic effect toward CO₂ERR when used alone as a non-aqueous medium or as a supporting electrolyte in AcN at an Ag catalyst. To verify



whether cation or anion of the ILs plays a role during CO2ERR, experiments were performed using different ionic liquids as supporting electrolytes in AcN. Figure 3d displays linear sweep voltammograms for the reduction of CO2 in AcN containing 0.1 M of each of [TBA][PF₆], [empyrr][EtSO₄], [emim][Tf₂N], and [emim][EtSO₄] obtained at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹on an Ag catalyst. Generally, it is clear from the figure that compared to [TBA] $[PF_6]$, the CO₂ ERR occurs at a lower onset potential when ILs are used as supporting electrolytes in AcN. This confirms further that ILs play a co-catalytic role during the reduction process. Furthermore, it can be seen from the figure that a low overpotential is observed for the CO2ERR when [emim]+ cationbased ILs, that is, [emim][EtSO₄] and [emim][Tf₂N], were used as supporting electrolytes as in these ILs. The onset potential for the reduction is approximately the same (~1.9 V), although the anions are different. However, while comparing the catalytic role of the different ILs toward CO2ERR, it can be seen that the overpotential for the reduction of CO₂ in AcN solution containing 0.1 M [empyrr] [EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte is higher by 80 mV than that containing [emim][EtSO₄] and [emim][Tf₂N]. This shows that the imidazolium-based ILs, especially [emim]+, play some co-catalytic role during the reduction of CO2 at the Ag catalyst, also signifying the role of the cation of the ILs during CO_2 electrocatalysis [51].

3.4 Electrochemical analysis of the product formed during CO₂ reduction

It is suggested by Rosen et al. (2012) that after a one-electron reduction in imidazolium-based ILs in acidic media, an intermediate complex with [emim]⁺ cation is formed, which further results in CO formation. In order to confirm this in our IL medium, we performed product analysis by using the cyclic voltammetry technique. Figure 4 shows cyclic voltammograms recorded at the Pt electrode that was held at -0.4 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ during CO₂ reduction at an Ag electrode in [emim][EtSO₄] at 100 mV s⁻¹. The figure shows that a strong oxidative wave started flowing during the first positive going scan (dotted line) at an onset potential of 0.846 V with a peak potential of 0.908 V vs. RHE. This sharp oxidative wave is attributed to the voltammetric oxidation of Pt-adsorbed CO. The adsorbed CO was completely stripped off the surface of Pt during the first scan, as no CO oxidation peak was observed in the second scan (solid line) in the same potential region, showing that the surface of Pt was CO-free during the second scan. It is well established that at potentials ≥0.85 V (here onset potential of CO oxidation), Pt surfaces oxidize to form PtOH_{ads}/PtO_{ads} in aqueous acid solutions. The surface Pt hydroxide is reduced back to Pt on the reverse scan, as evident from the broad reductive wave in Figure 4, at an onset potential of approximately 1.0 V with a peak potential of 0.75 V. The oxygen-containing Pt surface acts as a catalyst to oxidize CO-covered Pt to CO2 during oxidative sweep by the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism (Martindale and Compton, 2012; Samjeské et al., 2009). Formation of CO during CO2ERR in [emim][EtSO₄] at the Ag electrode and its adsorption on and then removal from the Pt surface was reproducible, as a similar behavior was observed when the experiment was performed again at 323 K under the same set of other experimental conditions. In addition, we have shown that the CO₂ reduction rate at the Ag electrode in [emim][EtSO₄] increased as the overpotential gradually decreased with the increase in temperature of the system, probably due to a decrease in the viscosity of the liquid with rising temperature. The formation of CO was also detected during the electroreduction of CO₂ at an Ag electrode in AcN containing 0.5 M [emim][EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte (inset of Figure 4).

Based on the proposed mechanism in the literature (Zhang et al., 2024), it is suggested that the CO_2^- intermediate formed by a oneelectron reduction in the [emim]⁺...CO₂ medium at the Ag electrode is stabilized by forming an intermediates complex through interaction between CO_2^{--} and [emim]⁺. This can facilitate the binding of CO_2^{--} at the electrode interface to accept electrons for further reduction to CO. Additionally, during electrochemical reduction, the imidazolium cation can continue to exhibit catalytic activity because the structures of [emim]⁺ do not change. The schematics are shown in Figure 5. The optimization



of CO_2 conversion at the Ag electrode in terms of maximizing CO production would be beneficial to produce liquid fuels when combined with H_2 via the Fischer–Tropsch process as well as by Sabatier's process (Ma and Kenis, 2013).

4 Conclusion

In this work, it is shown that polycrystalline Ag and Cu are better catalysts for CO2 electroreduction in [emim][EtSO4] than Au, Pt, and BDD electrodes. By using a potential-step chronoamperometry, the diffusion coefficient (D) and concentration (C) of CO₂ in [emim] [EtSO₄] were determined to be 4.78 m² s⁻¹ and 0.018 mol L^{-1} , respectively. It is observed that Ag can reduce CO₂ in AcN at a 200 mV lower potential in the presence of [emim][EtSO₄] as a supporting electrolyte compared to a commercial supporting electrolyte, [TBA][PF₆]. It is found that the CO₂ reduction in AcN took place at a lower potential when we used imidazolium-based ionic liquids with [emim]⁺ cations than when using pyrrolidinium, an [empyrr]+-based ionic liquid. It can be concluded that the imidazolium-based ionic liquids, both as a solvent and as a supporting electrolyte in AcN, play a co-catalytic role in the reduction of CO₂ at Ag electrodes at a lower overpotential. In addition, we confirmed that the cation of the ILs is responsible for lowering the overpotential during the CO₂ERR by the ILs, while the role of the anions is not significant. Furthermore, it is found and verified electrochemically that CO is the product formed by the CO2ERR at an Ag electrode both in [emim][EtSO4] electrolyte and in the solution of [emim][EtSO₄] in AcN. The product obtained can be reacted with green hydrogen to produce synthetic petrol by the Fischer-Tropsch process or methane by the Sabatier process (i.e., methanation of CO_2) on a large scale in the future.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

SM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft.

References

Álvarez, A., Borges, M., Corral-Pérez, J. J., Olcina, J. G., Hu, L., Cornu, D., et al. (2017). CO₂ activation over catalytic surfaces. *ChemPhysChem.* 17;(22):3135–3141. doi:10. 1002/cphc.201700782

Ávila-Bolívar, B., García-Cruz, L., Montiel, V., and Solla-Gullón, J. (2019). Electrochemical reduction of CO2 to formate on easily prepared carbon-supported Bi nanoparticles. *Molecules* 24, 2032. doi:10.3390/molecules24112032

Bard, A. J. (2010). Inner-sphere heterogeneous electrode reactions. Electrocatalysis and photocatalysis: the challenge. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 7559–7567. doi:10.1021/ja101578m

Benson, E. E., Kubiak, C. P., Sathrum, A. J., and Smieja, J. M. (2013). Cation exchange on the nanoscale: an emerging technique for new material synthesis, device fabrication, and chemical sensing. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 2423. doi:10.1039/c2cs35241a AA: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Validation, Writing - review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This PhD work (Dr. Sayyar Muhammad) was supported by the University of Nottingham through a Vice-Chancellor's Scholarship for Research Excellence (International) and also by the Higher Education Commission (HEC) Pakistan and Islamia College Peshawar through the Human Resource Development (HRD) scholarship/Faculty Development Program (FDP). Dr. Asad Ali thanks the financial support from Kempe Foundation (SMK21-0011, SMK21-0020) and Lulea university of technology, Sweden.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2025.1515903/ full#supplementary-material

Choi, J., Benedetti, T. M., Jalili, R., Walker, A., Wallace, G. G., and Officer, D. L. (2016). High performance Fe porphyrin/ionic liquid Co-catalyst for electrochemical CO_2 reduction. *Chem. Eur. J.* 26;(40):14158–14161. doi:10.1002/chem.201603359

Bond, A. M., Compton, R. G., O'Mahony, A., Rogers, E., and Silvester, D. (2010). Voltammetry in room temperature ionic liquids: comparisons and contrasts with conventional electrochemical solvents. *Chem. Asian J.* 5, 202–230. doi:10.1002/asia. 200900191

Dong, Y., Ghuman, K. K., Popescu, R., Duchesne, P. N., Zhou, W., Loh, J. Y., et al. (2018). Solar fuels: tailoring surface frustrated lewis pairs of $In_2O_{3-x}(OH)_y$ for gasphase heterogeneous photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 by isomorphous substitution of In^{3+} with Bi^{3+} (adv. Sci. 6/2018). Adv. Sci. 5, 1700732. doi:10.1002/advs. 201700732

Faggion, Jr D., Gonçalves, W. D., and Dupont, J. (2019). CO2 electroreduction in ionic liquids. Fron. Chem. 7, 102. doi:10.3389/fchem.2019.00102

Francke, R., Schille, B., and Roemelt, M. (2018). Homogeneously catalyzed electroreduction of carbon dioxide—methods, mechanisms, and catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* 118, 4631–4701. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00459

Gomes, R. J., Kumar, R., Fejzić, H., Sarkar, B., Roy, I., and Amanchukwu, C. V. (2024). Modulating water hydrogen bonding within a non-aqueous environment controls its reactivity in electrochemical transformations. *Nat. Catal.* 7, 689–701. doi:10.1038/ s41929-024-01162-z

Guo, S., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Dong, K., Zhang, X., and Zhang, S. (2023). Interfacial role of Ionic liquids in CO2 electrocatalytic Reduction: a mechanistic investigation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 457, 141076. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2022.141076

Hiragond, C. B., Kim, H., Lee, J., Sorcar, S., Erkey, C., and In, S. I. (2020). Electrochemical CO2 reduction to CO catalyzed by 2D nanostructures. *Catalysts* 10, 98. doi:10.3390/catal10010098

Huang, X. J., Rogers, E. I., Hardacre, C., and Compton, R. G. (2009). The reduction of oxygen in various room temperature ionic liquids in the temperature range 293–318 K: exploring the applicability of the Stokes–Einstein relationship in room temperature ionic liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 113, 8953–8959. doi:10.1021/jp903148w

Ikuerowo, T., Bade, S. O., Akinmoladun, A., and Oni, B. A. (2024). The integration of wind and solar power to water electrolyzer for green hydrogen production. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy.* 76, 75–96. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.02.139

Li, Q. X., Si, D.-H., Lin, Y.-B., Wang, H.-J., Zhu, Y.-B., Huang, R., et al. (2022). Highly efficient electroreduction of CO2 by defect single-atomic Ni-N3 sites anchored on ordered micro-macroporous carbons. *Sci. China Chem.* 65, 1584–1593. doi:10.1007/s11426-022-1263-5

Lin, L., Hou, C., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Chen, Y., and He, T. (2018). Highly efficient visible-light driven photocatalytic reduction of CO2 over g-C3N4 nanosheets/tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin iron(III) chloride heterogeneous catalysts. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 221, 312–319. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.09.033

Lu, X. B. G., Separovic, F., and Zhao, C. (2012). J. Phys. Chem. B 116, 9160. doi:10. 1021/jp304735p

Lv, B., Huang, Q., Zhou, Z., and Jing, G. (2020). Novel biphasic amino-functionalized ionic liquid solvent for CO2 capture: kinetics and regeneration heat duty. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 27 (21), 26965–26973. doi:10.1007/s11356-020-09039-x

Ma, S., and Kenis, P. J. (2013). Electrochemical conversion of CO2 to useful chemicals: current status, remaining challenges, and future opportunities. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* 2, 191–199. doi:10.1016/j.coche.2013.03.005

Ma, S., Lan, Y., Perez, G. M., Moniri, S., and Kenis, P. J. (2014). Silver supported on titania as an active catalyst for electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction. *ChemSusChem* 7, 866–874. doi:10.1002/cssc.201300934

Marcandalli, G., Villalba, M., and Koper, M. T. (2021). The importance of acid–base equilibria in bicarbonate electrolytes for CO₂ electrochemical reduction and CO reoxidation studied on Au(*hkl*) electrodes. *Langmuir* 37, 5707–5716. doi:10.1021/acs. langmuir.1c00703

Martindale, B. C., and Compton, R. G. (2012). Formic acid electro-synthesis from carbon dioxide in a room temperature ionic liquid. *Chem. Commun.* 48, 6487. doi:10. 1039/c2cc32011h

Mena, S., Ribas, E., Richart, C., Gallardo, I., Faraudo, J., Shaw, S. K., et al. (2021). Electrochemical tools to disclose the electrochemical reduction mechanism of CO2 in aprotic solvents and ionic liquids. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 895, 115411. doi:10.1016/j. jelechem.2021.115411

Messou, D., Bernardin, V., Meunier, F., Ordoño, M. B., Urakawa, A., Machado, B. F., et al. (2021). Origin of the synergistic effect between TiO2 crystalline phases in the Ni/TiO2-catalyzed CO2 methanation reaction. *J. Catal.* 398, 14–28. doi:10.1016/j.jcat.2021.04.004

Mitchell, C. E., Santos-Carballal, D., Beale, A. M., Jones, W., Morgan, D. J., Sankar, M., et al. (2021). The role of surface oxidation and Fe–Ni synergy in Fe–Ni–S catalysts for CO_2 hydrogenation. *Faraday Discuss.* 230, 30–51. doi:10.1039/d0fd00137f

Muhammad, S., Khan, J., Javed, S., Iqbal, R., Wali, H., Shah, L. A., et al. (2020). Synthesis and physioelectrochemical characterization of triethylammonium bisulphate ionic liquid and the role of the electrode surface oxides during ethanol oxidation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 758, 137902. doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2020.137902

Muhammad, S., Najia, A. Z., Aziz, S., Hammad, K. M., Iqbal, M., Hassan, U., et al. (2024). Moisture sorption by low-cost pyridinium-based protic ionic liquids: kinetics and physico-electrochemical properties. *ChemistryOpen* 14, e202400165. doi:10.1002/ open.202400165

Muhammad, S., Zahra, U. B., Wali, H., Ali, D., Sannan, M., Waqas, M., et al. (2023). Assessment of the hygroscopic behaviour of proton-conducting ionic liquids: kinetics, conductivity and electrochemical analysis. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 170 (4), 046506. doi:10. 1149/1945-7111/acced8 Nakata, K., Ozaki, T., Terashima, C., Fujishima, A., and Einaga, Y. (2014). High-yield electrochemical production of formaldehyde from CO₂ and seawater. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 53, 871–874. doi:10.1002/anie.201308657

Niu, D., Wang, H., Li, H., Wu, Z., and Zhang, X. (2015a). Roles of ion pairing on electroreduction of carbon dioxide based on imidazolium-based salts. *Electrochim. Acta.* 158, 138–142. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.01.096

Niu, D., Wang, H., Li, H., and Zhang, X. (2015b). The effect of the alkyl chain length of the tetraalkylammonium cation on CO2 electroreduction in an aprotic medium. *Electrochem. Commun.* 52, 58–62. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2015.01.022

Resasco, J., Lum, Y., Clark, E., Zeledon, J. Z., and Bell, A. T. (2018). Effects of anion identity and concentration on electrochemical reduction of CO_2 . *ChemElectroChem.* 5, 1064–1072. doi:10.1002/celc.201701316

Rosen, B. A., Zhu, W., Kaul, G., Salehi-Khojin, A., and Masel, R. I. (2012). Water enhancement of CO₂Conversion on silver in 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 160, H138–H141. doi:10.1149/2.004303jes

Salehi-Khojin, A., Jhong, H. R. M., Rosen, B. A., Zhu, W., Ma, S., Kenis, P. J., et al. (2013). Nanoparticle silver catalysts that show enhanced activity for carbon dioxide electrolysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 117, 1627–1632. doi:10.1021/jp310509z

Samjeské, G., Komatsu, K. I., and Osawa, M. (2009). Dynamics of CO oxidation on a polycrystalline platinum electrode: a time-resolved infrared study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 113, 10222–10228. doi:10.1021/jp900582c

Sampson, M. D., Nguyen, A. D., Grice, K. A., Moore, C. E., Rheingold, A. L., and Kubiak, C. P. (2014). Manganese catalysts with bulky bipyridine ligands for the electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide: eliminating dimerization and altering catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 5460–5471. doi:10.1021/ja501252f

Sánchez, O. G., Birdja, Y. Y., Bulut, M., Vaes, J., Breugelmans, T., and Pant, D. (2019). Recent advances in industrial CO2 electroreduction. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.* 16, 47–56. doi:10.1016/j.cogsc.2019.01.005

Spendelow, J., Lu, G., Kenis, P., and Wieckowski, A. (2004). Electrooxidation of adsorbed CO on Pt(111) and Pt(111)/Ru in alkaline media and comparison with results from acidic media. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 568, 215–224. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2004. 01.018

Sun, L., Ramesha, G. K., Kamat, P. V., and Brennecke, J. F. (2014). Switching the reaction course of electrochemical CO₂ reduction with ionic liquids. *Langmuir.* 30, 6302–6308. doi:10.1021/la5009076

Usman, M., Humayun, M., Garba, M. D., Ullah, L., Zeb, Z., Helal, A., et al. (2021). Electrochemical reduction of CO2: a review of cobalt based catalysts for carbon dioxide conversion to fuels. *Nanomaterials* 11, 2029. doi:10.3390/nano11082029

Vos, R. E., and Koper, M. T. (2022). The effect of temperature on the cation-promoted electrochemical CO_2 reduction on gold. *ChemElectroChem*. 9 (13), e202200239. doi:10. 1002/celc.202200239

Wang, Y., Hayashi, T., He, D., Li, Y., Jin, F., and Nakamura, R. (2020). Appl. Catal. B Environ. 64, 118495. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118495

Wu, Q. J., Liang, J. Y., Huang, B., and Cao, R. (2022). Thermo-Electro-and photocatalytic CO_2 conversion to value-added products over porous metal/covalent organic frameworks. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 55, 2978–2997. doi:10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00326

Wu, Z., Huang, Z., Zhang, Y., Qin, Y. H., Ma, J., and Luo, Y. (2016). Kinetics analysis and regeneration performance of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium glycinate solutions for CO 2 capture. *Chem. Eng. J.* 295, 64–72. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2016.03.030

Yang, N., Gao, F., and Nebel, C. E. (2013). Diamond decorated with copper nanoparticles for electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 5764–5769. doi:10.1021/ac400377y

Yuan, J., Zhi, W. Y., Liu, L., Yang, M. P., Wang, H., and Lu, J. X. (2018). Electrochemical reduction of CO2 at metal-free N-functionalized graphene oxide electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta.* 282, 694–701. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2018.06.107

Zare, M., Ghatee, M. H., Sami, R., Fluid, Ph. E., Daryayehsalameh, B., Nabavi, M., et al. (2019). *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 22, 27–39. doi:10.1016/j.fluid.2019.01.019

Zhang, M., Li, K., Yuan, S., Lv, R., Huang, H., Hu, H., et al. (2024). Mechanism of efficient electroreduction of CO2 to CO at Ag electrode in imidazolium-based ionic liquids/acetonitrile solution. *Appl. Catal. B Environ. Energy* 359, 124508. doi:10.1016/j. apcatb.2024.124508

Zhao, C., Burrell, G., Torriero, A. A., Separovic, F., Dunlop, N. F., MacFarlane, D. R., et al. (2008). Electrochemistry of room temperature protic ionic liquids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 112, 6923–6936. doi:10.1021/jp711804j

Zoski, C. G., Leddy, J., Bard, A. J., Faulkner, L. R., and White, H. S. (2021). *Electrochemical methods: fundamentals and applications, student solutions manual*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Available online at: https://www.wiley.com/en-kr/Electrochemical+Methods% 3A+Fundamentals+and+Applications%2C+3e+Student+Solutions+Manual-p-9781119524106#permissions-section